Association Between Reduced Plasma 25-hydroxy Vitamin D and Increased Risk of Cancer in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases
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Abstract

Background & Aims—Vitamin D deficiency is common among patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) (Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis). The effects of low plasma 25-
hydroxy vitamin D (25[OH]D) on outcomes other than bone health are understudied in patients with IBD. We examined the association between plasma level of 25(OH)D and risk of cancers in patients with IBD.

Methods—From a multi-institutional cohort of patients with IBD, we identified those with at least 1 measurement of plasma 25(OH)D. The primary outcome was development of any cancer. We examined the association between plasma 25(OH)D and risk of specific subtypes of cancer, adjusting for potential confounders in a multivariate regression model.

Results—We analyzed data from 2809 patients with IBD and a median plasma level of 25(OH)D of 26 ng/mL. Nearly one-third had deficient levels of vitamin D (<20 ng/mL). During a median follow-up period of 11 y, 196 patients (7%) developed cancer, excluding non-melanoma skin cancer (41 cases of colorectal cancer). Patients with vitamin D deficiency had an increased risk of cancer (adjusted odds ratio=1.82; 95% CI, 1.25–2.65) compared to those with sufficient levels. Each 1 ng/mL increase in plasma 25(OH)D was associated with an 8% reduction in risk of colorectal cancer (odds ratio=0.92; 95% CI, 0.88–0.96). A weaker inverse association was also identified for lung cancer.

Conclusion—in a study of from 2809 patients with IBD, low plasma level of 25(OH)D was associated with an increased risk of cancer—especially colorectal cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

The effects of vitamin D on bone metabolism are well recognized\(^1\). However, there is increasing recognition of the pleotropic effect of vitamin D on a spectrum of diseases including autoimmunity, cardiovascular health, and cancer\(^3\,\,5\). Epidemiologic studies suggest an increased risk of and mortality from cancer in residents of higher latitudes with lower ultraviolet light (UV) exposure, an association that may be mediated in part through vitamin D\(^1\,\,3\,\,6\). Furthermore, prospective cohorts have demonstrated an inverse association between plasma 25-hydroxy vitamin D [25(OH)D], the most stable measure of vitamin D status, and cancers of the colon, breast, and prostate\(^7\,\,12\). The strongest evidence of an anti-carcinogenic effect of vitamin D comes from a randomized controlled trial of over a thousand women where supplementation with calcium and vitamin D reduced the risk of cancer by nearly 60\(^13\).

Deficiency of vitamin D is common in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD; Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis), and may even precede the diagnosis of IBD\(^14\,\,16\). However, there has been only limited study of the longitudinal consequences of low vitamin D in patients with IBD, particularly outside its effect on bone metabolism. Cross-sectional studies suggested an association between vitamin D status and disease activity\(^17\,\,18\), a finding that was confirmed in a study from our group demonstrating an inverse association with IBD-related hospitalizations and surgery\(^19\). Furthermore, we also demonstrated that normalization of plasma 25(OH)D is associated with a reduction in this risk of IBD-related
No prior studies have examined the effect of vitamin D status on risk of cancers in patients with IBD.

Using a well-characterized multi-institutional IBD cohort, we examined the association between plasma 25(OH)D and risk of cancer. We then examined the association with specific types of cancers to see if the anti-carcinogenic effect of vitamin D is specific to certain cancer sub-types in the IBD population.

METHODS

Study cohort

The development of our study cohort has been described in detail in previous publications. In brief, we first identified all potential IBD patients by the presence of one or more International Classification of Diseases, 9th edition, clinical modification (ICD-9-CM) codes for CD (555.x) or UC (556.x) in our electronic medical record (EMR). The EMR, initiated in 1986, covers two major teaching hospitals and affiliated community hospitals in the Greater Boston area and serves a population of over 4 million patients. From this cohort, we developed a classification algorithm incorporating codified data (ICD-9-CM codes for disease complications), use of IBD-related medications identified through the electronic prescriptions, as well as free-text concepts (such as the term “Crohn’s disease”) identified using natural language processing. Our classification algorithm had a positive predictive value (PPV) of 97% that was confirmed by medical record review of an independent sample. Our final IBD cohort consisted of 5,506 patients with CD and 5,522 with UC.

Measurement of plasma 25(OH)D

The present study included all patients who had at least one available plasma 25(OH)D measured as part of routine clinical care. Prior studies have demonstrated good intra-class correlation and stability of measures of plasma vitamin D with intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) of 0.72 and 0.52 at 3 and 10 years respectively, comparable to the ICC for plasma cholesterol, an accepted marker of long-term cardiovascular risk. Patients who had their vitamin D status assessed only after the diagnosis of cancer were excluded. Plasma 25(OH)D was measured using radioimmunoassay prior to 2008 and high performance liquid chromatography since. The lowest plasma 25(OH)D value was used to classify patients as deficient (< 20ng/mL), insufficient (20–29.9ng/mL), and sufficient (≥30ng/mL) according to current guidelines. IBD patients who had at least one measured 25(OH)D were similar in age but more likely to be female, require immunomodulator or biologic therapy, and undergo an IBD-related surgery or hospitalization compared to the rest of the patients in our IBD cohort.

Variables and Outcomes

We extracted information on patient age, gender, race (white, black, or other) as well as age at first diagnosis code of IBD. We ascertained use of IBD-related medications including 5-aminosalicylates, systemic corticosteroids, immunomodulators (6-mercaptopurine,
azathioprine, and methotrexate) and anti-TNF biologics (infliximab, adalimumab, certolizumab pegol), and dates of IBD-related hospitalization and surgery.

Our primary outcome was diagnosis code in the EMR of any malignancy excluding non-melanoma skin cancers. This was further subdivided into solid organ tumors (ICD-9-CM 140–172.9, 174–195.8), hematologic malignancies including leukemia and lymphoma (ICD-9-CM 200–208.9), and metastatic cancers (ICD-9-CM 196–199.1). We then stratified by type of cancer for the most common malignancies including breast cancer (174.x), colorectal cancer (153.x–154.x), lung cancer (162.x), prostate cancer (185.x), melanoma (172.x), and pancreatic cancer (157.x). Chart review of random sets of 50 patients with each cancer type revealed a PPV of 80–90%.

Statistical Analysis

All data analysis was performed using Stata 12.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Continuous variables were summarized using medians and interquartile ranges (IQR); categorical variables were expressed as proportions. The t-test was used to compare continuous variables while the chi-square test (with Fisher’s exact modification when appropriate) was used to compare categorical variables. Univariate logistic regression was used to examine the association between vitamin D status and diagnosis of cancer. Vitamin D levels were modeled both as a continuous variable in increments of 1ng/mL as well as an ordinal variable stratified as described above. Planned subgroup analyses were performed by type of cancer. We also examined if the association with vitamin D status differed by gender, IBD type, or immunosuppressant use. To examine if the difference in cancer diagnoses was due to greater intensity of healthcare utilization in those with low vitamin D levels (and consequently, richer follow-up in our medical system), we adjusted for a variable termed “fact density”. Each outpatient visit, inpatient stay, laboratory test, radiology exam, inpatient or outpatient procedure constitutes a ‘fact’. Dividing this by duration of follow-up in our system yields a “fact density” that is a measure of intensity of healthcare utilization per unit time of follow-up within our healthcare system. A two-sided p-value < 0.05 in the multivariate model indicated independent statistical significance. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Partners Healthcare.

RESULTS

Study cohort

Our cohort included 2,809 IBD patients with a median age of 46 years (IQR 32 – 60 years) (Table 1). Over half the cohort were women (61%) and a majority were white (87%). The median age at first diagnosis code for IBD was 38 years. Nearly half the patients required immunomodulators while one-quarter were exposed to anti-TNF biologic therapy. The median plasma 25(OH)D level in our cohort was 26ng/mL (IQR 17 – 35ng/mL). Nearly one-third of the cohort were deficient in vitamin D (< 20ng/mL), and a similar proportion had insufficient (20–29.9ng/mL) levels. During a median follow-up of 11 years, 196 patients (7%) developed cancer excluding non-melanoma skin cancer. Seventy-two patients (3%) developed metastatic cancer. The median interval between measurement of 25(OH)D and first diagnosis code for cancer was 627 days (IQR 268 – 1,380 days).
Plasma vitamin D and risk of cancer

The mean plasma 25(OH)D in patients who subsequently developed cancer was 5ng/mL lower than in those who did not develop cancer (22.8 ng/mL vs. 27.5 ng/mL, p < 0.0001) (Figure 1). Among the 881 patients who had deficient levels of vitamin D, 88 (10%) developed any cancer compared to 4% of patients with normal levels of plasma 25(OH)D (p < 0.001), yielding an odds ratio (OR) of 2.38 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.67 – 3.39) (Table 2). This difference remained independently significant on multivariate analysis adjusting for age, gender, race, season of measurement, duration of follow-up, use of immunosuppression, and type of IBD (adjusted OR 1.82, 95% CI 1.25 – 2.65). Patients with insufficient levels of plasma 25(OH)D had an intermediate cancer risk.

Each 1ng/mL increase in plasma 25(OH)D was associated with a similar reduction in risk of non-metastatic (OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.95 – 1.00) and metastatic cancer (OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.96 – 1.00, p < 0.05 for both) (Table 3). The magnitude of reduction in risk was similar across IBD types and gender. Adjusting for intensity of healthcare utilization did not result in significant changes to our final estimates (OR 1.83, 95% CI 1.24 – 2.69).

Vitamin D and incidence of specific cancers

We then examined if the association with plasma 25(OH)D was confined to specific cancers. The strongest inverse association was identified for colorectal cancer with an 6% reduction in risk for each 1ng/mL increase in plasma 25(OH)D (OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.91 – 0.97) (Table 4, Figure 2). A statistically significant inverse association was also identified for lung cancer (OR 0.95, 95% CI 0.90 – 0.99). None of the other common cancers demonstrated a significant association.

DISCUSSION

Vitamin D has pleiotropic effects on the immune system4, 16, 22–24 and has been with risk of autoimmunity, cardiovascular disease, and cancer4, 5, 23–25. However, no prior studies have examined the association between vitamin D and cancer in chronic immune-mediated diseases where mechanisms of cancer may be distinct and other competing factors may influence both vitamin D status and risk of cancer. In a multi-institutional IBD cohort, we demonstrate an inverse association between plasma 25(OH)D and risk of malignancy, with statistically significant inverse associations with colorectal cancer and lung cancer.

Vitamin D deficiency is common in patients with IBD with most studies reporting up to a third of patients being deficient, and an equal proportion with insufficient levels14, 16–18. Such deficiency does not appear to be solely a consequence of the disease17, 18 as similar levels of deficiency have been reported in those with newly diagnosed IBD14, and may even precede the diagnosis of IBD15. There has been limited examination of the longitudinal implications of vitamin D deficiency in an IBD population. Low plasma 25(OH)D is associated with increased risk of IBD-related hospitalizations and surgery; normalization of plasma 25(OH)D is associated with a reduction in this risk19. The main findings from our study suggest that, in addition to its association with disease activity, low vitamin D levels in patients with IBD may also contribute to increased risk of malignancy, in particular that of...
colorectal cancer. This provides further evidence supporting incorporation of routine 
assessment of vitamin D status in the care of IBD patients and appropriate treatment to 
prevent long-term complications.

Few studies have examined the association between vitamin D status and overall risk of 
cancer. A large cohort study of 9,949 men and women followed for a median of 8 years 
found no association between vitamin D and overall cancer or site-specific cancer 
incidence. However, in another prospective study from Germany, vitamin D deficiency 
was associated with an increased risk for overall mortality, cardiovascular and cancer 
mortality. A randomized controlled trial of 1,179 postmenopausal women randomized to 
calcium and vitamin D (1000mg/1100 units) supplementation demonstrated a 60% reduction 
in cancer risk with vitamin D supplementation and an even stronger effect excluding cancers 
diagnosed within the first year. While the Women’s Health Initiative trials of calcium and 
vitamin D supplementation did not identify a similar benefit, this could potentially be 
explained by the lower dose of vitamin D (400 IU daily) used in the trials. A statistically 
significant reduction in CRC risk was identified in the WHI trial in patients who were noted 
to have a significant increase in their plasma 25(OH)D. Considerable biological 
plausibility suggests an anti-cancer effect of vitamin D. The local production of 1,25-
dihydroxy D (\(1,25\text{(OH)}_2\text{D}_3\)) inhibits cancer cells through pathways involving cyclin-
dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor synthesis, Wnt/β-catenin, mitogen activated 
protein(MAP)-kinase, and nuclear factor-κB. In addition, 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) promotes pro-
apoptotic mechanisms and induction of autophagy leading to death of cancer cells.

There is particularly strong evidence supporting a role of vitamin D in the development of 
sporadic colon cancer. In large epidemiologic studies, low plasma 25(OH)D was 
associated with an increased risk of CRC in men and women. Expression of the 
vitamin D receptor (VDR) is downregulated in colitis-associated dysplasia and may be 
involved in progression to CRC. The Wnt/β-catenin pathways also plays a role in the 
pathogenesis of CRC; 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) inhibits signaling through this pathway. Finally, 
vitamin D could enhance differentiation of colon cancer cells through induction of adhesion 
molecules such as E-cadherin. However, there are significant differences in the molecular 
pathology of colitis-associated cancer compared to sporadic CRC. Mutation in the tumor 
suppressor gene p53 occurs earlier and more frequently in colitis-associated cancer than 
sporadic CRC. In contrast, mutation at the APC gene occurs early in sporadic colon 
cancer. Furthermore, epigenetic differences may exist between sporadic and colitis-
associated cancer. Our findings suggest that the role of vitamin D in the development of 
CRC may be through pathways that are common to both sporadic and colitis-associated 
cancers.

There is less biologic data to explain the association between the vitamin D and lung 
cancer. First, this result could potentially be confounded by smoking status which is the 
strongest risk factor for lung cancer. However, smoking has not been shown to be 
consistently associated with vitamin D status and is thus unlikely to be differentially 
distributed to explain the association. In a study by Afzal et al. from the Copenhagen heart 
study, lower plasma 25(OH)D was associated with an increased risk of all tobacco related 
cancers including lung cancer (OR 1.19, 95% CI 1.09 – 1.31). In a Norwegian cohort,
early mortality within 18 months of diagnosis was higher in patients diagnosed with lung cancer during the winter/spring months when compared to those diagnosed during summer.\textsuperscript{39}

There are a few implications to our findings. To our knowledge, ours is the first study to demonstrate an association between plasma 25(OH)D and risk of malignancy, particularly CRC in an IBD cohort. Prior observational studies have demonstrated that normalization of vitamin D status can be associated with a reduction in risk of surgeries and hospitalizations, particularly in patients with CD.\textsuperscript{19} Furthermore, a randomized controlled trial by Jorgensen et al. demonstrate a trend towards reduced rates of relapse in patients supplemented with vitamin D compared to placebo.\textsuperscript{40} Our findings suggest that reduction in colorectal cancer risk may also be achievable through supplementation with vitamin D though a prospective clinical trial to examine this hypothesis would likely be prohibitively large and require considerable length of follow-up.

There are several limitations to our study. First, because our cohort is based primarily at two referral centers, the population may be skewed towards greater severity of underlying IBD. Second, we did not have information on body mass index or smoking status, both of which have been associated with overall risk of malignancy, and colorectal cancer. However, an effect of BMI and smoking on IBD-related cancers has not been noted previously. Third, we did not have information on medications such as aspirin and NSAID both of which have been inversely associated with development of CRC. However, long-term use of such medications is uncommon in patients with IBD due to their potential to trigger disease relapses. Fourth, we were not able to perform fine adjustments for disease duration and activity, which may have relevance with regards to colorectal cancer risk. However, it is also unclear if disease activity is a confounder, or could plausibly be within the causal pathway given the association between low vitamin D and disease severity, and impact of normalization of vitamin D on prevention of relapse and reducing IBD related surgeries and hospitalization. Fifth, for inclusion in our study, patients had to have their vitamin D level measured within our healthcare system. For a cancer diagnosis to be captured, the patient should have had at least one ICD-9 code for the relevant cancer within our system (at diagnosis or subsequently on referral for surgical or oncologic management). Finally, measurement of vitamin D was as part of routine clinical care and not systematically performed across all patients; fewer than half our IBD cohort had a measured plasma 25(OH)D. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this remains the largest cohort containing information on vitamin D status of patients with IBD. The diagnosis of cancer was made based on codes within our EMR and not using systematic links to regional or national cancer registries. However, one would expect such misclassification to bias the results toward the null, making ours a conservative estimate.

In conclusion, using a large multi-institutional IBD cohort, we demonstrated that low plasma 25(OH)D is associated with increased risk of metastatic and non-metastatic cancers. In particular, the association was strongest for colorectal cancer. Assessment of vitamin D status should routinely be part of comprehensive care of patients with IBD.
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Figure 1.
Figure 2.
Plasma 25-hydroxy vitamin D [25(OH)D] levels in patients, stratified by subsequent diagnosis of colorectal cancer
### Table 1

Characteristics of the Study Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N = 2,809 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age (IQR) (in years)</td>
<td>46 (32 – 60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,712 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>1,244 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at first IBD diagnosis code (IQR) (in years)</td>
<td>38 (27 – 52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever biologic use</td>
<td>629 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunomodulator use</td>
<td>1,129 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD-related hospitalizations</td>
<td>1,135 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel resection</td>
<td>453 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2,444 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>213 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>152 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration of follow-up (IQR)</td>
<td>11 (5 – 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median plasma 25(OH)D level</td>
<td>26 (17 – 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>885 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient</td>
<td>807 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1,117 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any cancer</td>
<td>196 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic cancer</td>
<td>72 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR – interquartile range;
Table 2

Plasma 25(OH)D and risk of all malignancy in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin D stratum</th>
<th>No cancer [N(%)]</th>
<th>Cancer [N(%)]</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥30 ng/mL</td>
<td>1,065 (95%)</td>
<td>52 (5%)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 29.9 ng/mL</td>
<td>741 (92%)</td>
<td>66 (8%)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.25 – 2.66)</td>
<td>1.69 (1.15 – 2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20 ng/mL</td>
<td>793 (90%)</td>
<td>92 (10%)</td>
<td>2.38 (1.67 – 3.38)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.25 – 2.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval

‡ Adjusted for age, gender, race, season of measurement, duration of follow up, immunosuppression use, and IBD type
Table 3
Plasma 25(OH)D and risk of malignancy in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases, stratified by subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By metastatic status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-metastatic</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95 – 1.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic cancer</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96 – 1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95 – 0.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97 – 1.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96 – 1.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96 – 1.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each 1ng/mL increase in plasma 25(OH)D

Adjusted for age, gender, race, season of measurement, duration of follow up, immunosuppression use, and IBD type
Table 4

Plasma 25(OH)D and risk of individual cancers in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of cancer</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI) //</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.94 (0.91 – 0.97)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96 – 1.02)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00 (0.97 – 1.05)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.98 (0.95 – 1.00)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.95 (0.90 – 0.99)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.97 (0.92 – 1.02)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98 – 1.05)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

// For each 1ng/mL increase in plasma 25(OH)D

‡ Adjusted for age, gender, race, season of measurement, duration of follow up, immunosuppression use, and IBD type

† Additionally adjusted for presence of primary sclerosing cholangitis