

# MIT Open Access Articles

# Action of longest element on a Hecke algebra cell module

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Makar, A. B. et al. "Formate Assay in Body Fluids: Application in Methanol Poisoning." Biochemical Medicine 13.2 (1975): 117–126.

**As Published:** http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2015.279.383

Publisher: Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/105144

**Version:** Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



# arXiv:1406.0452v3 [math.RT] 27 Jun 2015

## ACTION OF LONGEST ELEMENT ON A HECKE ALGEBRA CELL MODULE

G. Lusztig

Dedicated to the memory of Robert Steinberg

### INTRODUCTION

**0.1.** The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  (over  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ , v an indeterminate) of a finite Coxeter group W has two bases as an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module: the standard basis  $\{T_x; x \in W\}$ and the basis  $\{C_x; x \in W\}$  introduced in [KL]. The second basis determines a decomposition of W into two-sided cells and a partial order for the set of twosided cells, see [KL]. Let  $l: W \to \mathbf{N}$  be the length function, let  $w_0$  be the longest element of W and let  $\mathbf{c}$  be a two-sided cell. Let a (resp. a') be the value of the  $\mathbf{a}$ -function [L3, 13.4] on  $\mathbf{c}$  (resp. on  $w_0\mathbf{c}$ ). The following result was proved by Mathas in [MA].

(a) There exists a unique permutation  $u \mapsto u^*$  of  $\mathbf{c}$  such that for any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$  we have  $T_{w_0}(-1)^{l(u)}C_u = (-1)^{l(w_0)+a'}v^{-a+a'}(-1)^{l(u^*)}C_{u^*}$  plus an  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear combination of elements  $C_{u'}$  with u' in a two-sided cell strictly smaller than  $\mathbf{c}$ . Moreover, for any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$  we have  $(u^*)^* = u$ .

A related (but weaker) result appears in [L1, (5.12.2)].

A result similar to (a) which concerns canonical bases in representations of quantum groups appears in [L2, Cor. 5.9]; now, in the case where W is of type A, (a) can be deduced from *loc.cit*. using the fact that irreducible representations of the Hecke algebra of type A (with their canonical bases) can be realized as 0-weight spaces of certain irreducible representations of a quantum group with their canonical bases.

As R. Bezrukavnikov pointed out to the author, (a) specialized for v = 1 (in the group algebra of W instead of  $\mathcal{H}$ ) and assuming that W is crystallographic can be deduced from [BFO, Prop. 4.1] (a statement about Harish-Chandra modules), although it is not explicitly stated there.

In this paper we shall prove a generalization of (a) which applies to the Hecke algebra associated to W and any weight function assumed to satisfy the properties P1-P15 in [L3,§14], see Theorem 2.3; (a) corresponds to the special case where the weight function is equal to the length function. As an application we show that

Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1303060.

the image of  $T_{w_0}$  in the asymptotic Hecke algebra is given by a simple formula (see 2.8).

I thank Matthew Douglass for bringing the paper [MA] to my attention. I thank the referee for helpful comments.

**0.2.** Notation. W is a finite Coxeter group; the set of simple reflections is denoted by S. We shall adopt many notations of [L3]. Let  $\leq$  be the standard partial order on W. Let  $l: W \to \mathbf{N}$  be the length function of W and let  $L: W \to \mathbf{N}$  be a weight function (see [L3, 3.1]) that is, a function such that L(ww') = L(w) + L(w') for any w, w' in W such that l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'); we assume that L(s) > 0 for any  $s \in S$ . Let  $w_0, \mathcal{A}$  be as in 0.1 and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hecke algebra over  $\mathcal{A}$  associated to W, L as in [L3, 3.2]; we shall assume that properties P1-P15 in [L3, §14] are satisfied. (This holds automatically if L = l by [L3,§15] using the results of [EW]. This also holds in the quasisplit case, see [L3,§16].) We have  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}' \subset K$  where  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathbf{C}[v, v^{-1}], K = \mathbf{C}(v).$  Let  $\mathcal{H}_K = K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}$  (a K-algebra). Recall that  $\mathcal{H}$  has an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis  $\{T_x; x \in W\}$ , see [L3, 3.2] and an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis  $\{c_x; x \in W\}$ , see [L3, 5.2]. For  $x \in W$  we have  $c_x = \sum_{y \in W} p_{y,x} T_y$  and  $T_x = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(xy)} p_{w_0 x, w_0 y} c_y$  (see [L3, 11.4]) where  $p_{x,x} = 1$  and  $p_{y,x} \in v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$  for  $y \neq x$ . We define preorders  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}, \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$  on W in terms of  $\{c_x; x \in W\}$  as in [L3, 8.1]. Let  $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}, \sim_{\mathcal{R}}, \sim_{\mathcal{LR}}$ be the corresponding equivalence relations on W, see [L3, 8.1] (the equivalence classes are called left cells, right cells, two-sided cells). Let  $\bar{}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  be the ring involution such that  $\overline{v^n} = v^{-n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\overline{:} \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  be the ring involution such that  $\overline{fT_x} = \overline{f}T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}$  for  $x \in W, f \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $x \in W$  we have  $\overline{c_x} = c_x$ . Let  $h \mapsto h^{\dagger}$  be the algebra automorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$  or of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  given by  $T_x \mapsto (-1)^{l(x)} T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}$ for all  $x \in W$ , see [L3, 3.5]. Then the basis  $\{c_x^{\dagger}; x \in W\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined. (In the case where L = l, for any x we have  $c_x^{\dagger} = (-1)^{l(x)} C_x$  where  $C_x$  is as in 0.1.) Let  $h \mapsto h^{\flat}$  be the algebra antiautomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$  given by  $T_x \mapsto T_{x^{-1}}$  for all  $x \in W$ , see [L3, 3.5]; for  $x \in W$  we have  $c_x^{\flat} = c_{x^{-1}}$ , see [L3, 5.8]. For  $x, y \in W$  we have  $c_x c_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} c_z, c_x^{\dagger} c_y^{\dagger} = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} c_z^{\dagger}$ , where  $h_{x,y,z} \in \mathcal{A}$ . For any  $z \in W$  there is a unique number  $\mathbf{a}(z) \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for any x, y in W we have

 $h_{x,y,z} = \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$  + strictly smaller powers of v

where  $g_{x,y,z^{-1}} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $g_{x,y,z^{-1}} \neq 0$  for some x, y in W. We have also

$$h_{x,y,z} = \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} v^{-\mathbf{a}(z)}$$
 + strictly larger powers of v

Moreover  $z \mapsto \mathbf{a}(z)$  is constant on any two-sided cell. The free abelian group J with basis  $\{t_w; w \in W\}$  has an associative ring structure given by  $t_x t_y = \sum_{z \in W} \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} t_z$ ; it has a unit element of the form  $\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} n_d t_d$  where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a subset of W consisting of certain elements with square 1 and  $n_d = \pm 1$ . Moreover for  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  we have  $n_d = \gamma_{d,d,d}$ .

For any  $x \in W$  there is a unique element  $d_x \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} d_x$ . For a commutative ring R with 1 we set  $J_R = R \otimes J$  (an R-algebra).

There is a unique  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to J_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $\phi(c_x^{\dagger}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}, z \in W; d_z = d} h_{x,d,z} n_d t_z$  for any  $x \in W$ . After applying  $\mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$  to  $\phi$  (we regard  $\mathbf{C}$  as an  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra via  $v \mapsto 1$ ),  $\phi$  becomes a  $\mathbf{C}$ -algebra isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{C}[W] \xrightarrow{\sim} J_{\mathbf{C}}$  (see [L3, 20.1(e)]). After applying  $K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$  to  $\phi$ ,  $\phi$  becomes a K-algebra isomorphism  $\phi_K : \mathcal{H}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} J_K$  (see [L3, 20.1(d)]).

For any two-sided cell  $\mathbf{c}$  let  $\mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^{<\mathbf{c}}$ ) be the  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by  $\{c_x^{\dagger}, x \in W, x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} x' \text{ for some } x' \in \mathbf{c}\}$  (resp.  $\{c_x^{\dagger}, x \in W, x <_{\mathcal{LR}} x' \text{ for some } x' \in \mathbf{c}\}$ ). Note that  $\mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}}, \mathcal{H}^{<\mathbf{c}}$  are two-sided ideals in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}} := \mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}}/\mathcal{H}^{<\mathbf{c}}$  is an  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}$  bimodule. It has an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis  $\{c_x^{\dagger}, x \in \mathbf{c}\}$ . Let  $J^{\mathbf{c}}$  be the subgroup of J spanned by  $\{t_x; x \in \mathbf{c}\}$ . This is a two-sided ideal of J. Similarly,  $J_{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathbf{c}} := \mathbf{C} \otimes J^{\mathbf{c}}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$  and  $J_{K}^{\mathbf{c}} := K \otimes J^{\mathbf{c}}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $J_{K}$ .

We write  $E \in \operatorname{Irr} W$  whenever E is a simple  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ -module. We can view E as a (simple)  $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $E_{\bigstar}$  via the isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$ . Then the (simple)  $J_K$ -module  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{\bigstar}$  can be viewed as a (simple)  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -module  $E_v$  via the isomorphism  $\phi_K$ . Let  $E^{\dagger}$  be the simple  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ -module which coincides with E as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space but with the w action on  $E^{\dagger}$  (for  $w \in W$ ) being  $(-1)^{l(w)}$  times the w-action on E. Let  $\mathbf{a}_E \in \mathbb{N}$  be as in [L3, 20.6(a)].

### 1. Preliminaries

**1.1.** Let  $\sigma: W \to W$  be the automorphism given by  $w \mapsto w_0ww_0$ ; it satisfies  $\sigma(S) = S$  and it extends to a **C**-algebra isomorphism  $\sigma: \mathbf{C}[W] \to \mathbf{C}[W]$ . For  $s \in S$  we have  $l(w_0) = l(w_0s) + l(s) = l(\sigma(s)) + l(\sigma(s)w_0)$  hence  $L(w_0) = L(w_0s) + L(s) = L(\sigma(s)) + L(\sigma(s)w_0) = L(\sigma(s)) + L(w_0s)$  so that  $L(\sigma(s)) = L(s)$ . It follows that  $L(\sigma(w)) = L(w)$  for all  $w \in W$  and that we have an  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra automorphism  $\sigma: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  where  $\sigma(T_w) = T_{\sigma(w)}$  for any  $w \in W$ . This extends to a K-algebra isomorphism  $\sigma: \mathcal{H}_K \to \mathcal{H}_K$ . We have  $\sigma(c_w) = c_{\sigma(w)}$  for any  $w \in W$ . For any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  we have  $\sigma(h^{\dagger}) = (\sigma(h))^{\dagger}$ . Hence we have  $\sigma(c_w^{\dagger}) = c_{\sigma(w)}^{\dagger}$  for any  $w \in W$ . We have  $h_{\sigma(x),\sigma(y),\sigma(z)} = h_{x,y,z}$  for all  $x, y, z \in W$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{a}(\sigma(w)) = \mathbf{a}(w)$  for all  $w \in W$  and  $\gamma_{\sigma(x),\sigma(y),\sigma(z)} = \gamma_{x,y,z}$  for all  $x, y, z \in W$  so that we have a ring isomorphism  $\sigma: J \to J$  where  $\sigma(t_w) = t_{\sigma(w)}$  for any  $w \in W$ . This extends to an  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra isomorphism  $\sigma: J_{\mathcal{A}} \to J_{\mathcal{A}}$ , to a **C**-algebra isomorphism  $\sigma: J_{\mathbf{C}} \to J_{\mathbf{C}}$  and to a K-algebra isomorphism  $\sigma: J_{\mathcal{A}} \to J_{\mathcal{A}}$ . From the definitions we see that  $\phi: \mathcal{H} \to J_{\mathcal{A}}$  (see 0.2) satisfies  $\phi\sigma = \sigma\phi$ . Hence  $\phi_{\mathbf{C}}$  satisfies  $\phi_{\mathbf{C}}\sigma = \sigma\phi_{\mathbf{C}}$  and  $\phi_K$  satisfies  $\phi_K \sigma = \sigma\phi_K$ .

We show:

(a) For  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  we have  $\sigma(h) = T_{w_0} h T_{w_0}^{-1}$ .

It is enough to show this for h running through a set of algebra generators of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus we can assume that  $h = T_s^{-1}$  with  $s \in S$ . We must show that  $T_{\sigma(s)}^{-1}T_{w_0} = T_{w_0}T_s^{-1}$ : both sides are equal to  $T_{\sigma(s)w_0} = T_{w_0s}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** For any  $x \in W$  we have  $\sigma(x) \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} x$ .

From 1.1(a) we deduce that  $T_{w_0}c_x T_{w_0}^{-1} = c_{\sigma(x)}$ . In particular,  $\sigma(x) \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} x$ . Replacing x by  $\sigma(x)$  we obtain  $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} \sigma(x)$ . The lemma follows.

**1.3.** Let  $E \in \operatorname{Irr} W$ . We define  $\sigma_E : E \to E$  by  $\sigma_E(e) = w_0 e$  for  $e \in E$ . We have  $\sigma_E^2 = 1$ . For  $e \in E, w \in W$ , we have  $\sigma_E(we) = \sigma(w)\sigma_E(e)$ . We can view  $\sigma_E$  as a vector space isomorphism  $E_{\bigstar} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\bigstar}$ . For  $e \in E_{\bigstar}, w \in W$  we have  $\sigma_E(t_w e) = t_{\sigma(w)}\sigma_E(e)$ . Now  $\sigma_E : E_{\bigstar} \to E_{\bigstar}$  defines by extension of scalars a vector space isomorphism  $E_v \to E_v$  denoted again by  $\sigma_E$ . It satisfies  $\sigma_E^2 = 1$ . For  $e \in E_v, w \in W$  we have  $\sigma_E(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}\sigma_E(e)$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $E \in \text{Irr}W$ . There is a unique (up to multiplication by a scalar in  $K - \{0\}$ ) vector space isomorphism  $g: E_v \to E_v$  such that  $g(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}g(e)$ for all  $w \in W, e \in E_v$ . We can take for example  $g = T_{w_0} : E_v \to E_v$  or  $g = \sigma_E :$  $E_v \to E_v$ . Hence  $T_{w_0} = \lambda_E \sigma_E : E_v \to E_v$  where  $\lambda_E \in K - \{0\}$ .

The existence of g is clear from the second sentence of the lemma. If g' is another isomorphism  $g': E_v \to E_v$  such that  $g'(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}g'(e)$  for all  $w \in W, e \in E_v$ then for any  $e \in E_v$  we have  $g^{-1}g'(T_w e) = g^{-1}T_{\sigma(w)}g'(e) = T_w g^{-1}g'(e)$  and using Schur's lemma we see that  $g^{-1}g'$  is a scalar. This proves the first sentence of the lemma hence the third sentence of the lemma.

**1.5.** Let  $E \in \operatorname{Irr} W$ . We have

(a) 
$$\sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(T_x, E_v) \operatorname{tr}(T_{x^{-1}}, E_v) = f_{E_v} \dim(E)$$

where  $f_{E_v} \in \mathcal{A}'$  is of the form

(b) 
$$f_{E_v} = f_0 v^{-2\mathbf{a}_E} + \text{ strictly higher powers of } v$$

and  $f_0 \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$ . (See [L3, 19.1(e), 20.1(c), 20.7].)

From Lemma 1.4 we see that  $\lambda_E^{-1} T_{w_0}$  acts on  $E_v$  as  $\sigma_E$ . Using [L4, 34.14(e)] with  $c = \lambda_E^{-1} T_{w_0}$  (an invertible element of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ ) we see that

(c) 
$$\sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(T_x \sigma_E, E_v) \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E^{-1} T_{x^{-1}}, E_v) = f_{E_v} \dim(E).$$

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $E \in \operatorname{Irr} W$ . We have  $\lambda_E = v^{n_E}$  for some  $n_E \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For any  $x \in W$  we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E c_x^{\dagger}, E_v) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}, z \in W; d = d_z} h_{x, d, z} n_d \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_{\bigstar}) \in \mathcal{A}'$$

since  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_{\bigstar}) \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E h, E_v) \in \mathcal{A}'$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . In particular, both  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{w_0}, E_v)$  and  $\operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0}^{-1}\sigma_E, E_v)$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Thus  $\lambda_E \dim E$ and  $\lambda_E^{-1} \dim E$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}'$  so that  $\lambda_E = bv^n$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From the definitions we have  $\lambda_E|_{v=1} = 1$  (for  $v = 1, T_{w_0}$  becomes  $w_0$ ) hence b = 1. The lemma is proved. **Lemma 1.7.** Let  $E \in \text{Irr}W$ . There exists  $\epsilon_E \in \{1, -1\}$  such that for any  $x \in W$  we have

(a) 
$$\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E^{\dagger}T_x, (E^{\dagger})_v) = \epsilon_E(-1)^{l(x)}\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v).$$

Let  $(E_v)^{\dagger}$  be the  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -module with underlying vector space  $E_v$  such that the action of  $h \in \mathcal{H}_K$  on  $(E_v)^{\dagger}$  is the same as the action of  $h^{\dagger}$  on  $E_v$ . From the proof in [L3, 20.9] we see that there exists an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ -modules  $b : (E_v)^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^{\dagger})_v$ . Let  $\iota : (E_v)^{\dagger} \to (E_v)^{\dagger}$  be the vector space isomorphism which corresponds under b to  $\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} : (E^{\dagger})_v \to (E^{\dagger})_v$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}}T_x, (E^{\dagger})_v) = \operatorname{tr}(\iota T_x, (E_v)^{\dagger})$ . It is enough to prove that  $\iota = \pm \sigma_E$  as a K-linear map of the vector space  $E_v = (E_v)^{\dagger}$  into itself. From the definition we have  $\iota(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}\iota(e)$  for all  $w \in W, e \in (E_v)^{\dagger}$ . Hence  $(-1)^{l(w)}\iota(T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}e) = (-1)^{l(w)}T_{\sigma(w^{-1})}^{-1}\iota(e)$  for all  $w \in W, e \in E_v$ . It follows that  $\iota(he) = (-1)^{l(w)}T_{\sigma(h)}\iota(e)$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}, e \in E_v$ . Hence  $\iota(T_w e) = T_{\sigma(w)}\iota(e)$  for all  $w \in W, e \in E_v : E_v \to E_v$  where  $\epsilon_E \in K - \{0\}$ . Since  $\iota^2 = 1, \sigma_E^2 = 1$ , we see that  $\epsilon_E = \pm 1$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 1.8.** Let  $E \in \operatorname{Irr} W$ . We have  $n_E = -\mathbf{a}_E + \mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}$ .

For  $x \in W$  we have (using Lemma 1.4, 1.6)

(a) 
$$\operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0x}, E_v) = \operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0}T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v) = v^{n_E}\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v).$$

Making a change of variable  $x \mapsto w_0 x$  in 1.5(a) and using that  $T_{x^{-1}w_0} = T_{w_0\sigma(x)^{-1}}$ we obtain

$$f_{E_v} \dim(E) = \sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0 x}, E_v) \operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0 \sigma(x)^{-1}}, E_v)$$
$$= v^{2n_E} \sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v) \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{\sigma(x)}^{-1}, E_v).$$

Using now Lemma 1.7 and the equality  $l(x) = l(\sigma(x^{-1}))$  we obtain

$$f_{E_v} \dim(E) = v^{2n_E} \sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} T_x, (E^{\dagger})_v) \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} T_{\sigma(x^{-1})}, (E^{\dagger})_v)$$
$$= v^{2n_E} \sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} T_x, (E^{\dagger})_v) \operatorname{tr}(T_{\xi^{-1}} \sigma_{E^{\dagger}}, (E^{\dagger})_v)$$
$$= v^{2n_E} f_{(E^{\dagger})_v} \dim(E^{\dagger}).$$

(The last step uses 1.5(c) for  $E^{\dagger}$  instead of E.) Thus we have  $f_{E_v} = v^{2n_E} f_{(E^{\dagger})_v}$ . The left hand side is as in 1.5(b) and similarly the right hand side of the form

$$f'_0 v^{2n_E - 2\mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}} + \text{strictly higher powers of } v$$

where  $f_0, f'_0 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . It follows that  $-2\mathbf{a}_E = 2n_E - 2\mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 1.9.** Let  $E \in IrrW$  and let  $x \in W$ . We have

(a)  $\operatorname{tr}(T_x, E_v) = (-1)^{l(x)} v^{-\mathbf{a}_E} \operatorname{tr}(t_x, E_{\bigstar}) \mod v^{-\mathbf{a}_E+1} \mathbf{C}[v],$ 

(b) 
$$\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = (-1)^{l(x)} v^{-\mathbf{a}_E} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_x, E_{\bigstar}) \mod v^{-\mathbf{a}_E+1} \mathbf{C}[v].$$

For a proof of (a), see [L3, 20.6(b)]. We now give a proof of (b) along the same lines as that of (a). There is a unique two sided cell **c** such that  $t_z|_{E_{\bigstar}} = 0$  for  $z \in W - \mathbf{c}$ . Let  $a = \mathbf{a}(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{c}$ . By [L3, 20.6(c)] we have  $a = \mathbf{a}_E$ . From the definition of  $c_x$  we see that  $T_x = \sum_{y \in W} f_y c_y$  where  $f_x = 1$  and  $f_y \in v^{-1} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$ for  $y \neq x$ . Applying <sup>†</sup> we obtain  $(-1)^{l(x)} T_{x^{-1}}^{-1} = \sum_{y \in W} f_y c_y^{\dagger}$ ; applying <sup>-</sup> we obtain  $(-1)^{l(x)} T_x = \sum_{y \in W} \bar{f}_y c_y^{\dagger}$ . Thus we have

$$(-1)^{l(x)} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = \sum_{y \in W} \bar{f}_y \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E c_y^{\dagger}, E_v)$$
$$= \sum_{y, z \in W, d \in \mathcal{D}; d = d_z} \bar{f}_y h_{y, d, z} n_d \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_{\bigstar}).$$

In the last sum we can assume that  $z \in \mathbf{c}$  and  $d \in \mathbf{c}$  so that  $h_{y,d,z} = \gamma_{y,d,z^{-1}} v^{-a}$ mod  $v^{-a+1}\mathbf{Z}[v]$ . Since  $\bar{f}_x = 1$  and  $\bar{f}_y \in v\mathbf{Z}[v]$  for all  $y \neq x$  we see that

$$(-1)^{l(x)}\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}, d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \gamma_{x, d, z^{-1}} n_d v^{-a} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_z, E_{\bigstar}) \mod v^{-a+1} \mathbf{C}[v].$$

If  $x \notin \mathbf{c}$  then  $\gamma_{x,d,z^{-1}} = 0$  for all d, z in the sum so that  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_x, E_v) = 0$ ; we have also  $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E t_x, E_{\bigstar}) = 0$  and the desired formula follows. We now assume that  $x \in \mathbf{c}$ . Then for d, z as above we have  $\gamma_{x,d,z^{-1}} = 0$  unless x = z and  $d = d_x$  in which case  $\gamma_{x,d,z^{-1}}n_d = 1$ . Thus (b) holds again. The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 1.10.** Let  $E \in \text{Irr}W$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}$  be the unique two sided cell such that  $t_z|_{E_{\bigstar}} = 0$  for  $z \in W - \mathbf{c}$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}'$  be the unique two sided cell such that  $t_z|_{(E^{\dagger})_{\bigstar}} = 0$  for  $z \in W - \mathbf{c}'$ . We have  $\mathbf{c}' = w_0 \mathbf{c}$ .

Using 1.8(a) and 1.7(a) we have

(a) 
$$\operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0x}, E_v) = v^{n_E} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_E T_{x^{-1}}^{-1}, E_v) = v^{n_E} \epsilon_E (-1)^{l(x)} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} T_x, (E^{\dagger})_v).$$

Using 1.9(a) for E and 1.9(b) for  $E^{\dagger}$  we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_{w_0x}, E_v) = (-1)^{l(w_0x)} v^{-\mathbf{a}_E} \operatorname{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_{\bigstar}) \mod v^{-\mathbf{a}_E+1} \mathbf{C}[v],$$
$$\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} T_x, (E^{\dagger})_v) = (-1)^{l(x)} v^{-\mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} t_x, E_{\bigstar}^{\dagger}) \mod v^{-\mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}+1} \mathbf{C}[v].$$

Combining with (a) we obtain

 $(-1)^{l(w_0x)}v^{-\mathbf{a}_E}\operatorname{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_{\spadesuit}) + \text{strictly higher powers of } v$  $= v^{n_E}\epsilon_E v^{-\mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}}\operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}}t_x, E_{\spadesuit}^{\dagger}) + \text{strictly higher powers of } v.$ 

Using the equality  $n_E = -\mathbf{a}_E + \mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}$  (see 1.8) we deduce

$$(-1)^{l(w_0x)}\operatorname{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_{\spadesuit}) = \epsilon_E \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{E^{\dagger}} t_x, E_{\spadesuit}^{\dagger}).$$

Now we can find  $x \in W$  such that  $\operatorname{tr}(t_{w_0x}, E_{\bigstar}) \neq 0$  and the previous equality shows that  $t_x|_{(E^{\dagger})_{\bigstar}} \neq 0$ . Moreover from the definition we have  $w_0x \in \mathbf{c}$  and  $x \in \mathbf{c'}$ so that  $w_0\mathbf{c} \cap \mathbf{c'} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $w_0\mathbf{c}$  is a two-sided cell (see [L3, 11.7(d)]) it follows that  $w_0\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c'}$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 1.11.** Let  $\mathbf{c}$  be a two-sided cell of W. Let  $\mathbf{c'}$  be the two-sided cell  $w_0\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}w_0$  (see Lemma 1.2). Let  $a = \mathbf{a}(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbf{c}$ ; let  $a' = \mathbf{a}(x')$  for any  $x' \in \mathbf{c'}$ . The K-linear map  $J_K^{\mathbf{c}} \to J_K^{\mathbf{c}}$  given by  $\xi \mapsto \phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0})\xi$  (left multiplication in  $J_K$ ) is obtained from a  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear map  $J_{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathbf{c}} \to J_{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathbf{c}}$  (with square 1) by extension of scalars from  $\mathbf{C}$  to K.

We can find a direct sum decomposition  $J_{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathbf{c}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} E^{i}$  where  $E^{i}$  are simple left ideals of  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$  contained in  $J_{\mathbf{C}}^{\mathbf{c}}$ . We have  $J_{K}^{\mathbf{c}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} K \otimes E^{i}$ . It is enough to show that for any *i*, the *K*-linear map  $K \otimes E^{i} \to K \otimes E^{i}$  given by the action of  $\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_{0}})$  in the left  $J_{K}$ -module structure of  $K \otimes E^{i}$  is obtained from a **C**-linear map  $E^{i} \to E^{i}$  (with square 1) by extension of scalars from **C** to *K*. We can find  $E \in \operatorname{Irr} W$  such that  $E^{i}$  is isomorphic to  $E_{\mathbf{A}}$  as a  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -module. It is then enough to show that the action of  $v^{a-a'}T_{w_{0}}$  in the left  $\mathcal{H}_{K}$ -module structure of  $E_{v}$  is obtained from the map  $\sigma_{E} : E \to E$  by extension of scalars from **C** to *K*. This follows from the equality  $v^{a-a'}T_{w_{0}} = \sigma_{E} : E_{v} \to E_{v}$  (since  $\sigma_{E}$  is obtained by extension of scalars from a **C**-linear map  $E \to E$  with square 1) provided that we show that  $-n_{E} = a - a'$ . Since  $n_{E} = -\mathbf{a}_{E} + \mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}$  (see Lemma 1.8) it is enough to show that  $a = \mathbf{a}_{E}$  and  $a' = \mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}$ . The equality  $a = \mathbf{a}_{E}$  follows from [L3, 20.6(c)]. The equality  $a' = \mathbf{a}_{E^{\dagger}}$  also follows from [L3, 20.6(c)] applied to  $E^{\dagger}, \mathbf{c}' = w_{0}\mathbf{c}$  instead of  $E, \mathbf{c}$  (see Lemma 1.10). The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 1.12.** In the setup of Lemma 1.11 we have for any  $x \in \mathbf{c}$ :

(a) 
$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0})t_x = \sum_{x' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{x',x} t_{x'}$$

(b) 
$$\phi(v^{2a-2a'}T^2_{w_0})t_x = t_x$$

where  $m_{x',x} \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Now (b) and the fact that (a) holds with  $m_{x',x} \in \mathbf{C}$  is just a restatement of Lemma 1.11. Since  $\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) \in J_{\mathcal{A}}$  we have also  $m_{x',x} \in \mathcal{A}$ . We now use that  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Z}$  and the lemma follows.

**Lemma 1.13.** In the setup of Lemma 1.11 we have for any  $x \in \mathbf{c}$  the following equalities in  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$ :

(a) 
$$v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c_x^{\dagger} = \sum_{x'\in\mathbf{c}} m_{x',x}c_{x'}^{\dagger},$$

(b) 
$$v^{2a-2a'}T^2_{w_0}c^{\dagger}_x = c^{\dagger}_x$$

where  $m_{x',x} \in \mathbf{Z}$  are the same as in Lemma 1.12. Moreover, if  $m_{x',x} \neq 0$  then  $x' \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x$ .

The first sentence follows from Lemma 1.12 using [L3, 18.10(a)]. Clearly, if  $m_{x',x} \neq 0$  then  $x' \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$  which together with  $x' \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} x$  implies  $x' \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x$ .

### 2. The main results

**2.1.** In this section we fix a two-sided cell **c** of W; a, a' are as in 1.11. We define an  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map  $\theta : \mathcal{H}^{\leq \mathbf{c}} \to \mathcal{A}$  by  $\theta(c_x^{\dagger}) = 1$  if  $x \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$ ,  $\theta(c_x^{\dagger}) = 0$  if  $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} x'$  for some  $x' \in \mathbf{c}$  and  $x \notin \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$ . Note that  $\theta$  is zero on  $\mathcal{H}^{<\mathbf{c}}$  hence it can be viewed as an  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}} \to \mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $x, x' \in \mathbf{c}$ . We have

(a) 
$$\theta(c_{x^{-1}}^{\dagger}c_{x'}^{\dagger}) = n_{d_x}\delta_{x,x'}v^a + strictly lower powers of v.$$

The left hand side of (a) is

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} h_{x^{-1}, x', d} = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \gamma_{x^{-1}, x', d} v^a + \text{ strictly lower powers of } v$$
$$= n_{d_x} \delta_{x, x'} v^a + \text{ strictly lower powers of } v.$$

The lemma is proved.

We now state one of the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 2.3.** There exists a unique permutation  $u \mapsto u^*$  of **c** (with square 1) such that for any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$  we have

(a) 
$$v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c_u^{\dagger} = \epsilon_u c_{u^*}^{\dagger} \mod \mathcal{H}^{<\mathbf{c}}$$

where  $\epsilon_u = \pm 1$ . For any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$  we have  $\epsilon_{u^{-1}} = \epsilon_u = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)} = \epsilon_{u^*}$  and  $\sigma(u^*) = (\sigma(u))^* = ((u^{-1})^*)^{-1}$ .

Let  $u \in \mathbf{c}$ . We set  $Z = \theta((v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c_u^{\dagger})^{\flat}v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c_u^{\dagger})$ . We compute Z in two ways, using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 1.13. We have

$$Z = \theta(c_{u^{-1}}^{\dagger}v^{2a-2a'}T_{w_0}^2c_u^{\dagger}) = \theta(c_{u^{-1}}^{\dagger}c_u^{\dagger}) = n_{d_u}v^a + \text{ strictly lower powers of } v,$$

$$Z = \theta((\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u} c_y^{\dagger})^{\flat} (\sum_{y' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y',u} c_{y'}^{\dagger})) = \sum_{y,y' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u} m_{y',u} \theta(c_{y^{-1}}^{\dagger} c_{y'}^{\dagger})$$
$$= \sum_{y,y' \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u} m_{y',u} n_{d_y} \delta_{y,y'} v^a + \text{ strictly lower powers of } v$$
$$= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} n_{d_y} m_{y,u}^2 v^a + \text{ strictly lower powers of } v$$
$$= \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} n_{d_u} m_{y,u}^2 v^a + \text{ strictly lower powers of } v$$

where  $m_{y,u} \in \mathbf{Z}$  is zero unless  $y \sim_{\mathcal{L}} u$  (see 1.13), in which case we have  $d_y = d_u$ . We deduce that  $\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} m_{y,u}^2 = 1$ , so that we have  $m_{y,u} = \pm 1$  for a unique  $y \in \mathbf{c}$  (denoted by  $u^*$ ) and  $m_{y,u} = 0$  for all  $y \in \mathbf{c} - \{u^*\}$ . Then (a) holds. Using (a) and Lemma 1.13(b) we see that  $u \mapsto u^*$  has square 1 and that  $\epsilon_u \epsilon_{u^*} = 1$ .

The automorphism  $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  (see 1.1) satisfies the equality  $\sigma(c_u^{\dagger}) = c_{\sigma(u)}^{\dagger}$  for any  $u \in W$ ; note also that  $w \in \mathbf{c} \leftrightarrow \sigma(w) \in \mathbf{c}$  (see Lemma 1.2). Applying  $\sigma$  to (a) we obtain

$$v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c^{\dagger}_{\sigma(u)} = \epsilon_u c^{\dagger}_{\sigma(u^*)}$$

in  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$ . By (a) we have also  $v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c^{\dagger}_{\sigma(u)} = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)}c^{\dagger}_{(\sigma(u))^*}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$ . It follows that  $\epsilon_u c^{\dagger}_{\sigma(u^*)} = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)}c^{\dagger}_{(\sigma(u))^*}$  hence  $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_{\sigma(u)}$  and  $\sigma(u^*) = (\sigma(u))^*$ .

Applying  $h \mapsto h^{\flat}$  to (a) we obtain

$$v^{a-a'}c_{u^{-1}}^{\dagger}T_{w_0} = \epsilon_u c_{(u^*)^{-1}}^{\dagger}$$

in  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$ . By (a) we have also

$$v^{a-a'}c_{u^{-1}}^{\dagger}T_{w_0} = v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}c_{\sigma(u^{-1})}^{\dagger} = \epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})}c_{(\sigma(u^{-1}))^*}^{\dagger}$$

in  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$ . It follows that  $\epsilon_u c_{(u^*)^{-1}}^{\dagger} = \epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})} c_{(\sigma(u^{-1}))^*}^{\dagger}$  hence  $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})}$  and  $(u^*)^{-1} = (\sigma(u^{-1}))^*$ . Since  $\epsilon_{\sigma(u^{-1})} = \epsilon_{u^{-1}}$ , we see that  $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_{u^{-1}}$ . Replacing u by  $u^{-1}$  in  $(u^*)^{-1} = (\sigma(u^{-1}))^*$  we obtain  $((u^{-1})^*)^{-1} = (\sigma(u))^*$  as required. The theorem is proved.

**2.4.** For  $u \in \mathbf{c}$  we have

(a) 
$$u \sim_{\mathcal{L}} u^*,$$

(b) 
$$\sigma(u) \sim_{\mathcal{R}} u^*$$

Indeed, (a) follows from 1.13. To prove (b) it is enough to show that  $\sigma(u)^{-1} \sim_{\mathcal{L}} (u^*)^{-1}$ . Using (a) for  $\sigma(u)^{-1}$  instead of u we see that it is enough to show that  $(\sigma(u^{-1}))^* = (u^*)^{-1}$ ; this follows from 2.3.

If we assume that

(c) any left cell in **c** intersects any right cell in **c** in exactly one element then by (a),(b), for any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$ ,

(d)  $u^*$  is the unique element of **c** in the intersection of the left cell of *u* with right cell of  $\sigma(u)$ .

Note that condition (c) is satisfied for any **c** if W is of type  $A_n$  or if W is of type  $B_n$   $(n \ge 2)$  with L(s) = 2 for all but one  $s \in S$  and L(s) = 1 or 3 for the remaining  $s \in S$ . (In this last case we are in the quasisplit case and we have  $\sigma = 1$  hence  $u^* = u$  for all u.)

**Theorem 2.5.** For any  $x \in W$  we set  $\vartheta(x) = \gamma_{w_0 d_{w_0 x^{-1}}, x, (x^*)^{-1}}$ .

(a) If  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$  satisfy  $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, y} \neq 0$  then  $y = (x^*)^{-1}$ .

(b) If  $x \in \mathbf{c}$  then there is a unique  $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}$  such that  $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, (x^*)^{-1}} \neq 0$ , namely  $d = d_{w_0 x^{-1}}$ . Moreover we have  $\vartheta(x) = \pm 1$ .

(c) For  $u \in \mathbf{c}$  we have  $\epsilon_u = (-1)^{l(w_0 d)} n_d \vartheta(u)$  where  $d = d_{w_0 u^{-1}}$ .

Appplying  $h \mapsto h^{\dagger}$  to 2.3(a) we obtain for any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$ :

(d) 
$$v^{a-a'}(-1)^{l(w_0)}\overline{T_{w_0}}c_u = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \delta_{z,u^*}\epsilon_u c_z \mod \sum_{z' \in W-\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A}c_{z'}$$

We have  $T_{w_0} = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(w_0 y)} p_{1,w_0 y} c_y$  hence  $\overline{T_{w_0}} = \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(w_0 y)} \overline{p_{1,w_0 y}} c_y$ . Introducing this in (d) we obtain

$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(y)} \overline{p_{1,w_0 y}} c_y c_u = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u c_z \mod \sum_{z' \in W-\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A} c_{z'}$$

that is,

$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y,z \in W} (-1)^{l(y)} \overline{p_{1,w_0 y}} h_{y,u,z} c_z = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u c_z \mod \sum_{z' \in W-\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A} c_{z'}.$$

Thus, for  $z \in \mathbf{c}$  we have

(e) 
$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y \in W} (-1)^{l(y)} \overline{p_{1,w_0y}} h_{y,u,z} = \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u.$$

Here we have  $h_{y,u,z} = \gamma_{y,u,z^{-1}} v^{-a} \mod v^{-a+1} \mathbf{Z}[v]$  and we can assume than  $z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  so that  $w_0 y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w_0 z$  and  $\mathbf{a}(w_0 y) \geq \mathbf{a}(w_0 z) = a'$ .

For  $w \in W$  we set  $s_w = n_w$  if  $w \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $s_w = 0$  if  $w \notin \mathcal{D}$ . By [L3, 14.1] we have  $p_{1,w} = s_w v^{-\mathbf{a}(w)} \mod v^{-\mathbf{a}(w)-1} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$  hence  $\overline{p_{1,w}} = s_w v^{\mathbf{a}(w)} \mod v^{\mathbf{a}(w)+1} \mathbf{Z}[v]$ . Hence for y in the sum above we have  $\overline{p_{1,w_0y}} = s_{w_0y} v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y)} \mod v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y)+1} \mathbf{Z}[v]$ . Thus (e) gives

$$v^{a-a'} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} (-1)^{l(y)} s_{w_0 y} \gamma_{y,u,z^{-1}} v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0 y)-a} - \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u \in v \mathbf{Z}[v]$$

and using  $\mathbf{a}(w_0 y) = a'$  for  $y \in \mathbf{c}$  we obtain

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} (-1)^{l(y)} s_{w_0 y} \gamma_{y, u, z^{-1}} = \delta_{z, u^*} \epsilon_u.$$

Using the definition of  $s_{w_0y}$  we obtain

(f) 
$$\sum_{d\in\mathcal{D}\cap w_0\mathbf{c}} (-1)^{l(w_0d)} n_d \gamma_{w_0d,u,z^{-1}} = \delta_{z,u^*} \epsilon_u.$$

Next we note that

(g) if  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$  satisfy  $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, y} \neq 0$  then  $d = d_{w_0 x^{-1}}$ . Indeed from [L3,§14, P8] we deduce  $w_0 d \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x^{-1}$ . Using [L3, 11.7] we deduce  $d \sim_{\mathcal{L}} w_0 x^{-1}$  so that  $d = d_{w_0^{-1} x^{-1}}$ . This proves (g).

Using (g) we can rewrite (f) as follows.

(h) 
$$(-1)^{l(w_0)}(-1)^{l(d)}n_d\gamma_{w_0d,u,z^{-1}} = \delta_{z,u^*}\epsilon_u$$

where  $d = d_{w_0 u^{-1}}$ .

We prove (a). Assume that  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$  satisfy  $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, y} \neq 0, y \neq (x^*)^{-1}$ . Using (g) we have  $d = d_{w_0 x^{-1}}$ . Using (h) with  $u = x, z = y^{-1}$  we see that  $\gamma_{w_0 d, x, y} = 0$ , a contradiction. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Using (h) with  $u = x, z = x^*$  we see that

(i) 
$$(-1)^{l(w_0d)} n_d \gamma_{w_0d,x,(x^*)^{-1}} = \epsilon_u$$

where  $d = d_{w_0 x^{-1}}$ . Hence the existence of d in (b) and the equality  $\vartheta(x) = \pm 1$  follow; the uniqueness of d follows from (g).

Now (c) follows from (i). This completes the proof of the theorem.

**2.6.** In the case where L = l,  $\vartheta(u)$  (in 2.5(c)) is  $\geq 0$  and  $\pm 1$  hence 1; moreover,  $n_d = 1$ ,  $(-1)^{l(d)} = (-1)^{a'}$  for any  $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}$  (by the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$ ). Hence we have  $\epsilon_u = (-1)^{l(w_0)+a'}$  for any  $u \in \mathbf{c}$ , a result of [MA].

Now Theorem 2.5 also gives a characterization of  $u^*$  for  $u \in \mathbf{c}$ ; it is the unique element  $u' \in \mathbf{c}$  such that  $\gamma_{w_0 d, u, u'^{-1}} \neq 0$  for some  $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}$ .

We will show:

(a) The subsets  $X = \{d^*; d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}\}$  and  $X' = \{w_0 d'; d' \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}\}$  of  $\mathbf{c}$  coincide.

Let  $d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$ . By 2.5(b) we have  $\gamma_{w_0 d', d, (d^*)^{-1}} = \pm 1$  for some  $d' \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}$ . Hence  $\gamma_{(d^*)^{-1}, w_0 d', d} = \pm 1$ . Using [L3, 14.2, P2] we deduce  $d^* = w_0 d'$ . Thus  $X \subset X'$ . Let Y (resp. Y') be the set of left cells contained in  $\mathbf{c}$  (resp.  $w_0 \mathbf{c}$ ). We have  $\sharp(X) = \sharp(Y)$  and  $\sharp(X') = \sharp(Y')$ . By [L3, 11.7(c)] we have  $\sharp(Y) = \sharp(Y')$ . It follows that  $\sharp(X) = \sharp(X')$ . Since  $X \subset X'$ , we must have X = X'. This proves (a). Theorem 2.7. We have

$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \vartheta(d) \epsilon_d t_{d^*} \mod \sum_{u \in W - \mathbf{c}} \mathcal{A} t_u.$$

We set  $\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \sum_{u \in W} p_u t_u$  where  $p_u \in \mathcal{A}$ . Combining 1.12(a), 1.13(a), 2.3(a) we see that for any  $x \in \mathbf{c}$  we have

$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0})t_x = \epsilon_x t_{x^*},$$

hence

$$\epsilon_x t_{x^*} = \sum_{u \in \mathbf{c}} p_u t_u t_x = \sum_{u, y \in \mathbf{c}} p_u \gamma_{u, x, y^{-1}} t_y.$$

It follows that for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{c}$  we have

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{c}} p_u \gamma_{u,x,y^{-1}} = \delta_{y,x^*} \epsilon_x$$

Taking  $x = w_0 d$  where  $d = d_{w_0 y} \in \mathcal{D} \cap w_0 \mathbf{c}$  we obtain

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{c}} p_u \gamma_{w_0 d_{w_0 y}, y^{-1}, u} = \delta_{y, (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*} \epsilon_{w_0 d_{w_0 y}}$$

which, by 2.5, can be rewritten as

$$p_{((y^{-1})^*)^{-1}}\vartheta(y^{-1}) = \delta_{y,(w_0d_{w_0y})^*}\epsilon_{w_0d_{w_0y}}.$$

We see that for any  $y \in \mathbf{c}$  we have

$$p_{\sigma(y^*)} = \delta_{y,(w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*} \vartheta(y^{-1}) \epsilon_{w_0 d_{w_0 y}}.$$

In particular we have  $p_{\sigma(y^*)} = 0$  unless  $y = (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*$  in which case

$$p_{\sigma(y^*)} = p_{(\sigma(y))^*)} = \vartheta(y^{-1})\epsilon_y.$$

(We use that  $\epsilon_{y^*} = \epsilon_y$ .) If  $y = (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*$  then  $y^* \in X'$  hence by 2.6(a),  $y^* = d^*$  that is y = d for some  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Conversely, if  $y \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $w_0 y^* \in \mathcal{D}$  (by 2.6(a)) and  $w_0 y^* \sim_{\mathcal{L}} w_0 y$  (since  $y^* \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$ ) hence  $d_{w_0 y} = w_0 y^*$ . We see that  $y = (w_0 d_{w_0 y})^*$  if and only if  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ . We see that

$$\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \vartheta(d^{-1}) \epsilon_d t_{(\sigma(d))^*} + \sum_{u \in W - \mathbf{c}} p_u t_u.$$

Now  $d \mapsto \sigma(d)$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}$  and  $\vartheta(d^{-1}) = \vartheta(d) = \vartheta(\sigma(d)), \epsilon_{\sigma(d)} = \epsilon_d$ . The theorem follows. Corollary 2.8. We have

$$\phi(T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \vartheta(d) \epsilon_d v^{-\mathbf{a}(d) + \mathbf{a}(w_0 d)} t_{d^*} \in J_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

**2.9.** We set  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} \vartheta(d) \epsilon_d t_{d^*} \in J^{\mathbf{c}}$ . We show: (a)  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbf{c}} n_d t_d$ ; (b)  $t_x \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} t_{\sigma(x)}$  for any  $x \in W$ .

By 2.7 we have  $\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} + \xi$  where  $\xi \in J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}} := \sum_{u \in W-\mathbf{c}} Kt_u$ . Since  $J_K^{\mathbf{c}}, J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$  are two-sided ideals of  $J_K$  with intersection zero and  $\phi_K : \mathcal{H}_K \to J_K$  is an algebra homomorphism, it follows that

$$\phi(v^{2a-2a'}T_{w_0}^2) = (\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}))^2 = (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} + \xi)^2 = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 + \xi'$$

where  $\xi' \in J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$ . Hence, for any  $x \in \mathbf{c}$  we have  $\phi(v^{2a-2a'}T_{w_0}^2)t_x = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 t_x$  so that (using 1.12(b)):  $t_x = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2 t_x$ . We see that  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^2$  is the unit element of the ring  $J_K^{\mathbf{c}}$ . Thus (a) holds.

We prove (b). For any  $y \in W$  we have  $T_y T_{w_0} = T_{w_0} T_{\sigma(y)}$  hence, applying  $\phi_K$ ,

$$\phi(T_y)\phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0}) = \phi(v^{a-a'}T_{w_0})\phi(T_{\sigma(y)})$$

that is,  $\phi(T_y)(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}+\xi) = (\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}+\xi)\phi(T_{\sigma(y)})$ . Thus,  $\phi(T_y)\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}\phi(T_{\sigma(y)}) + \xi_1$  where  $\xi_1 \in J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$ . Since  $\phi_K$  is an isomorphism, it follows that for any  $x \in W$  we have  $t_x\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{c}}t_{\sigma(x)} \mod J_K^{W-\mathbf{c}}$ . Thus (b) holds.

**2.10.** In this subsection we assume that L = l. In this case 2.8 becomes

$$\phi(T_{w_0}) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} (-1)^{l(w_0) + \mathbf{a}(w_0 d)} v^{-\mathbf{a}(d) + \mathbf{a}(w_0 d)} t_{d^*} \in J_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

(We use that  $\vartheta(d) = 1$ .)

For any left cell  $\Gamma$  contained in **c** let  $n_{\Gamma}$  be the number of fixed points of the permutation  $u \mapsto u^*$  of  $\Gamma$ . Now  $\Gamma$  carries a representation  $[\Gamma]$  of W and from 2.3 we see that  $\operatorname{tr}(w_0, [\Gamma]) = \pm n_{\Gamma}$ . Thus  $n_{\Gamma}$  is the absolute value of the integer  $\operatorname{tr}(w_0, [\Gamma])$ . From this the number  $n_{\Gamma}$  can be computed for any  $\Gamma$ . In this way we see for example that if W is of type  $E_7$  or  $E_8$  and **c** is not an exceptional two-sided cell, then  $n_{\Gamma} > 0$ .

### References

- [BFO] R.Bezrukavnikov, M.Finkelberg and V.Ostrik, Character D-modules via Drinfeld center of Harish-Chandra bimodules, Invent.Math, 188 (2012), 589-620.
- [EW] B.Elias and G.Williamson, The Hodge theory of Soergel bimodules, arXiv:1212.0791.

- [KL] D.Kazhdan and G.Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), 165-184.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Ann.of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ.Press, 1984.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras II, Common trends in mathematics and quantum field theories, ed.T.Eguchi et al., Progr.of Theor. Phys. Suppl., vol. 102, 1990, pp. 175-201.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, CRM Monograph Ser.18, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [L4] G.Lusztig, Character sheaves on disconnected groups VII, Represent. Th. 9 (2005), 209-266.
- [MA] A.Mathas, On the left cell representations of Iwahori-Hecke algebras of finite Coxeter groups, J.London Math.Soc. 54 (1996), 475-488.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139