

# MIT Open Access Articles

*Correction to "Combinatorics and geometry of power ideals": Two counterexamples for power ideals of hyperplane arrangements* 

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Ardila, Federico, and Alexander Postnikov. "Correction to 'Combinatorics and Geometry of Power Ideals': Two Counterexamples for Power Ideals of Hyperplane Arrangements." Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 367.5 (2015): 3759–3762. © 2015 American Mathematical Society

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2015-06071-1

Publisher: American Mathematical Society (AMS)

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/106504

**Version:** Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

**Terms of Use:** Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



# CORRECTION TO "COMBINATORICS AND GEOMETRY OF POWER IDEALS": TWO COUNTEREXAMPLES FOR POWER IDEALS OF HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

#### FEDERICO ARDILA AND ALEXANDER POSTNIKOV

ABSTRACT. We disprove Holtz and Ron's conjecture that the power ideal  $C_{\mathcal{A},-2}$  of a hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  (also called the internal zonotopal space) is generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ -monomials. We also show that, in contrast with the case  $k \geq -2$ , the Hilbert series of  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$  is not determined by the matroid of  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $k \leq -6$ .

**Remark**. This note is a corrigendum to our article [1], and we follow the notation of that paper.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$  be a hyperplane arrangement in a vector space V; say  $H_i = \{x \mid l_i(x) = 0\}$  for some linear functions  $l_i \in V^*$ . Call a product of (possibly repeated)  $l_i$ 's an  $\mathcal{A}$ -monomial in the symmetric algebra  $\mathbb{C}[V^*]$ . Let Lines( $\mathcal{A}$ ) be the set of lines of intersection of the hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For each  $h \in V$  with  $h \neq 0$ , let  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)$  be the number of hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{A}$  not containing h. Let  $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{h \in V} (\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h))$ . For all integers  $k \geq -(\rho + 1)$ , consider the power ideals

$$I_{\mathcal{A},k} := \left\langle h^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)+k+1} \mid h \in V, h \neq 0 \right\rangle, \quad I'_{\mathcal{A},k} := \left\langle h^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)+k+1} \mid h \in \operatorname{Lines}(\mathcal{A}) \right\rangle$$

in the symmetric algebra  $\mathbb{C}[V]$ . It is convenient to regard the polynomials in  $I_{\mathcal{A},k}$  as differential operators, and to consider the space of solutions to the resulting system of differential equations:

$$C_{\mathcal{A},k} = I_{\mathcal{A},k}^{\perp} := \left\{ f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[V^*] \mid h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)+k+1} f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } h \neq 0 \right\}$$

which is known as the *inverse system* of  $I_{\mathcal{A},k}$ . Define  $C'_{\mathcal{A},k}$  similarly. These objects arise naturally in numerical analysis, algebra, geometry, and combinatorics. For references, see [1,3].

One important question is to compute the Hilbert series of these spaces of polynomials, graded by degree, as a function of combinatorial invariants of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Frequently, the answer is expressed in terms of the Tutte polynomial of  $\mathcal{A}$ . This has been done

©2015 American Mathematical Society Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Received by the editors November 7, 2012 and, in revised form, January 4, 2013.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05A15, 05B35, 13P99, 41A15, 52C35.

The first author was supported in part by NSF Award DMS-0801075 and CAREER Award DMS-0956178.

The second author was supported in part by NSF CAREER Award DMS-0504629.

successfully in many cases. One strategy used independently by different authors has been to prove the following:

- (i) There is a spanning set of  $\mathcal{A}$ -monomials for  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$ .
- (ii) There is an exact sequence  $0 \to C_{\mathcal{A}\setminus H,k}(-1) \to C_{\mathcal{A},k} \to C_{\mathcal{A}/H,k} \to 0$  of graded vector spaces.
- (iii) Therefore, the Hilbert series of  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$  is an evaluation of the Tutte polynomial of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Here  $\mathcal{A} \setminus H$  and  $\mathcal{A}/H$  are the deletion and contraction of H, respectively.

For  $k \geq -1$ , this method works very nicely. Dahmen and Micchelli [2] were the first ones to do this for  $C'_{\mathcal{A},-1}$ . Postnikov, Shapiro, and Shapiro [5] did it for  $C_{\mathcal{A},0}$ , while Holtz and Ron [3] did it for  $C'_{\mathcal{A},0}$ . In [1] we did it for  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$  for all  $k \geq -1$ , and showed that  $C'_{\mathcal{A},0} = C_{\mathcal{A},0}$  and  $C'_{\mathcal{A},-1} = C_{\mathcal{A},-1}$ .

For  $k \leq -3$  this approach does not work in full generality. In [1] we showed that (i) is false in general for  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$ , and left (ii) and (iii) open, suggesting the problem of measuring  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$ . For  $k \leq -6$ , (ii) and (iii) are false, as we will show in Propositions 4 and 5, respectively. In fact, we will see that the Hilbert series of  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$  is not even determined by the matroid of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The intermediate cases are interesting and subtle, and deserve further study; notably the case k = -2, which Holtz and Ron call the *internal zonotopal space*. In [3] they proved (ii) and (iii) and conjectured (i) for  $C'_{\mathcal{A},-2}$ . In [1, Proposition 4.5.3] – a restatement of Holtz and Ron's Conjecture 6.1 in [3] – we put forward an incorrect proof of this conjecture; the last sentence of our argument is false. In fact their conjecture is false, as we will see in Proposition 2.

## 2. The case k = -2: Internal zonotopal spaces

Before showing why Holtz and Ron's conjecture is false, let us point out that the remaining statements about  $C_{\mathcal{A},-2}$  that we made in [1] are true. The easiest way to derive them is to prove that  $C_{\mathcal{A},-2} = C'_{\mathcal{A},-2}$ , and simply note that Holtz and Ron already proved those statements for  $C'_{\mathcal{A},-2}$ :

**Lemma 1.** We have  $C_{\mathcal{A},k} = C'_{\mathcal{A},k}$  for any k with  $-(\rho+1) \leq k \leq 0$ .

Proof. By [1, Theorem 4.17] we have  $I_{\mathcal{A},0} = I'_{\mathcal{A},0}$ , so it suffices to show that  $I_{\mathcal{A},j} = I'_{\mathcal{A},j}$  implies that  $I_{\mathcal{A},j-1} = I'_{\mathcal{A},j-1}$  as long as these ideals are defined. If  $I_{\mathcal{A},j} = I'_{\mathcal{A},j}$ , then for any  $h \in V \setminus \{0\}$  we have  $h^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)+j+1} = \sum f_i h_i^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h_i)+j+1}$  for some polynomials  $f_i$ , where the  $h_i$ 's are the lines of the arrangement. As long as the exponents are positive, taking partial derivatives in the direction of h gives  $h^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)+j} = \sum g_i h_i^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h_i)+j}$  for some polynomials  $g_i$ .

The following result shows that (i) does not hold for  $C_{\mathcal{A},-2}$ .

**Proposition 2.** [3, Conjecture 6.1] is false: The "internal zonotopal space"  $C_{\mathcal{A},-2}$  is not necessarily spanned by  $\mathcal{A}$ -monomials.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  determined by the linear forms  $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_1 - y_4, y_2 - y_4, y_3 - y_4$ . We have

$$I'_{\mathcal{H},-2} = \langle x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1, (\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \epsilon_3 x_4 + x_4)^2 \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4^2 \rangle$$

as  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$  range over  $\{0, 1\}$ . The other generators of  $I_{\mathcal{H}, -2}$  are of degree at least 3, and are therefore in  $I'_{\mathcal{H}, -2}$  already, so

$$I_{\mathcal{H},-2} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4^2 \rangle, \qquad C_{\mathcal{H},-2} = \operatorname{span}(1, y_4).$$

Therefore  $C_{\mathcal{H},-2}$  is not spanned by  $\mathcal{H}$ -monomials.

As Holtz and Ron pointed out, if [3, Conjecture 6.1] had been true, it would have implied [3, Conjecture 1.8], an interesting spline-theoretic interpretation of  $C_{\mathcal{A},-2}$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  is unimodular. The arrangement above is unimodular, but it does not provide a counterexample to [3, Conjecture 1.8]. In fact, Matthias Lenz [4] has recently put forward a proof of this weaker conjecture.

3. The case 
$$k \leq -6$$

In this section we show that when  $k \leq -6$ , the Hilbert series of  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$  is not a function of the Tutte polynomial of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In fact, it is not even determined by the matroid of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Recall that  $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{A}) := \min_{h \in V} (\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h))$ . Say  $h \in V$  is *large* if it is on the maximum number of hyperplanes, so  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h) = \rho$ .

**Lemma 3.** The degree 1 component of  $C_{\mathcal{A},-\rho}$  is

$$(C_{\mathcal{A},-\rho})_1 = (\operatorname{span}\{h \in V : h \text{ is large}\})^{\perp}$$

in  $V^*$ .

*Proof.* An element f of  $C_{\mathcal{A},-\rho}$  needs to satisfy the differential equations  $h(\partial/\partial x)^{\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(h)-\rho+1}f(x) = 0$  for all non-zero vectors  $h \in V$ . If f is linear, then this condition is trivial unless h is large; and in that case it says that  $f \perp h$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.** For  $k \leq -6$ , the Hilbert series of  $C_{\mathcal{A},k}$  is not determined by the matroid of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* First assume k = -2m. Let  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  be three different lines through 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and consider an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of 3m (hyper)planes consisting of m generically chosen planes  $H_{i1}, \ldots, H_{im}$  passing through  $L_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Then  $\rho = 2m$  and the only large lines are  $L_1, L_2$ , and  $L_3$ . Therefore dim $(C_{\mathcal{A}, -2m})_1$  equals 1 if  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are coplanar, and 0 otherwise. However, the matroid of  $\mathcal{A}$  does not know whether  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are coplanar.

More precisely, consider two versions  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  of the above construction; in  $\mathcal{A}_1$  the lines  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are coplanar, and in  $\mathcal{A}_2$  they are not. Notice that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  have the same matroid: the rank 3 matroid whose non-bases are the triples  $\{H_{ia}, H_{ib}, H_{ic}\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq a < b < c \leq m$ . However,  $\dim(C_{\mathcal{A}_1, -2m})_1 \neq \dim(C_{\mathcal{A}_2, -2m})_1$ .

The case k = -2m-1 is similar. It suffices to add a generic plane to the previous arrangements.

**Proposition 5.** For  $k \leq -6$ , the sequence of graded vector spaces

 $0 \to C_{\mathcal{A} \setminus H, k}(-1) \to C_{\mathcal{A}, k} \to C_{\mathcal{A}/H, k} \to 0$ 

of [1, Proposition 4.4.1] is not necessarily exact, even if H is neither a loop nor a coloop.

3761

*Proof.* We will not need to recall the maps that define this sequence; we will simply show an example where right exactness is impossible because  $\dim(C_{\mathcal{A},k})_1 = 0$  and  $\dim(C_{\mathcal{A}/H,k})_1 = 1$ . We do this in the case k = -2m; the other one is similar.

Consider the arrangement  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_2$  of the proof of Proposition 4 and the plane  $H = H_{11}$ . We have  $\dim(C_{\mathcal{A},-2m})_1 = 0$ . In the contraction  $\mathcal{A}/H$ , the planes  $H_{12},\ldots,H_{1m}$  become the same line  $L_1$  in H, while the other 2m planes of  $\mathcal{A}$  become generic lines in H. Therefore  $\rho(\mathcal{A}\backslash H) = 2m$  and  $(C_{\mathcal{A}/H,-2m})_1 = L_1^{\perp}$  in  $H^*$ , which is one-dimensional.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are very thankful to Matthias Lenz for pointing out the error in [1], and to Andrew Berget and Amos Ron for their comments on a preliminary version of this note. We also thank the referee for a suggestion which improved the exposition.

#### References

- Federico Ardila and Alexander Postnikov, Combinatorics and geometry of power ideals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 8, 4357–4384, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-10-05018-X. MR2608410 (2011g:05322)
- [2] Wolfgang Dahmen and Charles A. Micchelli, On the local linear independence of translates of a box spline, Studia Math. 82 (1985), no. 3, 243–263. MR825481 (87k:41008)
- [3] Olga Holtz and Amos Ron, Zonotopal algebra, Adv. Math. 227 (2011), no. 2, 847–894, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2011.02.012. MR2793025 (2012e:52065)
- [4] Matthias Lenz, Interpolation, box splines, and lattice points in zonotopes, Preprint, 2012.
- [5] Alexander Postnikov, Boris Shapiro, and Mikhail Shapiro, Algebras of curvature forms on homogeneous manifolds, Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 194, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 227–235. MR1729365 (2001a:53079)

Department of Mathematics, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, California 94110

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{federico@sfsu.edu}$ 

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusett<br/>s02139

E-mail address: apost@math.mit.edu

3762