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Abstract

I augmented a 3D printer with software for a 3D scanning system in order to incorporate feedback into the printing process. After calibration of the scanning system and the printer, the 3D scanning system is capable of taking depth maps of the printing platform. The two main extensions of 3D printing enabled by the 3D scanning system are printing on auxiliary objects and corrective printing. Printing on auxiliary objects is accomplished by scanning an auxiliary object, then positioning the printer to print directly onto the object. Corrective printing is using the scanner during the printing process to correct any errors mid-print.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Today, 3D printers are being heavily researched and developed. There is research to print with metals, print solar cells, and even print successful human organs. However, in most current 3D printers, there is no feedback when printing. The 3D printer will usually print fairly accurately, but there is no way for the printer to receive feedback about whether the actual print successfully resembles the supposed print.

To add a feedback loop to the 3D printer, we augmented the 3D printer with a 3D scanner. The 3D scanner is able to scan whatever is on the printing platform, thus allowing for feedback during the printing process.

There are several use cases for this feedback. First, the printer can use the feedback to correct for any printing errors during printing by simply scanning the print in the middle of printing and correcting any found errors then and there. Second, the printer can print accurately on top of auxiliary objects by scanning the auxiliary object beforehand. Finally, the printer can align multiple printheads together by seeing where each prints and correcting for that in software.

1.1 Thesis Contributions

This thesis contributes software that interfaces with a 3D scanning system mounted on a 3D printer. The software builds on an existing 3D scanning system/3D printer combination. Specifically, the feedback from the 3D scanning system is used for cali-
brations for printing with multiple printheads, for combining 3D prints with existing, auxiliary objects, and for correcting errors in 3D prints during the printing process. In addition, several improvements to the scanning system were made, specifically by making automatic procedures for calibrating the scanning system.

1.2 Document Structure

This section is an outline of the rest of the document.

Chapter 2 discusses previous work done with 3D printing, 3D scanning, and the existing work on MultiFab.

Chapter 3 covers calibration done with the 3D scanning system, which includes both calibration done for the 3D scanning system and for other parts in the printer.

Chapter 4 describes the interpolation necessary to produce a full depth map from noisy data.

Chapter 5 describes how the 3D scanning system is used with a new user interface to allow users to print on top of existing, auxiliary objects.

Chapter 6 describes corrective printing, which scans during printing in order to immediately correct any potential blemishes that have built up.

Chapter 7 evaluates the system’s current strengths and weaknesses and discusses future work.
Chapter 2

Previous Work

There has not been previous work with machine vision specifically on a 3D printer. However, there has been much work done on machine vision with manufacturing in general and on just 3D scanning. We will cover previous work done in both of these areas in this section. In addition, we will briefly describe previous work done in parallel computing, since parallel computing will be used in order to speed up some parts of the processing here.

The Computational Fabrication Group has done previous work on the printer system, called MultiFab [19], that we will be working with. MultiFab is a multi-material 3D printer system, and this paper will be discussing machine vision-based augmentations to that system.

2.1 Machine Vision and Manufacturing

Machine vision has been used in a variety of other manufacturing and robotics contexts.

Commonly, machine vision has been used as a form of inspection. Kurada and Bradley [10] use machine vision to inspect tool conditions. Wu et al. [25] inspect printed circuit boards to find defects. Golnabi and Asadpour [9] present an overview of how machine vision can contribute to reliability and product quality in an industrial process.
Calibration problems have also been solved with machine vision. Tsai and Lenz \cite{21} \cite{22} examine calibrating a robot in 3D using machine vision. For self-calibration, Wang \cite{23} looks at extrinsically calibrating a camera mounted on a robot and Ma \cite{13} presents a self-calibration technique for wrist-mounted cameras.

There have also been attempts to assist processes using machine vision. Bulanon et al. \cite{2} and Subramanian et al. \cite{20} both describe using machine vision to guide automatic farming. Lu et al. \cite{12} uses machine vision to guide solder paste depositing, which is similar to a machine vision 3D printing process.

Machine vision has found uses in all varieties of applications, as described above. As with these previous uses, the addition of machine vision to 3D printing will enable easier calibration and precise guidance onto existing objects.

### 2.2 Optical Coherence Tomography

![Diagram of an OCT system](diagram.png)

Figure 2-1: A diagram of an OCT system. The arrows represent the direction of light. The rays are numbered in order of occurrence
We provide here a brief description of an optical coherence tomography (OCT) system. OCT is a method for taking a 3D scan of an object. The specific OCT system used is a Time Domain OCT (TDOCT) architecture \cite{8} \cite{24} \cite{7}. For TDOCT, the reference mirror is mechanically moved over time to provide depths at different points.

Figure 2-1 shows a diagram of an OCT system that we will refer to for our explanation. Light begins from the light source and moves toward the beam splitter in the middle (ray 1). The beam splitter splits the light, with one half reflected down towards the object to be scanned and the other half passing through to the mirror (rays 2). Both halves are reflected back towards the beam splitter by either the object or the mirror (rays 3), and some light from both sources make it into the camera lens (rays 4).

A phenomenon called "interference" occurs when the distance from the beam splitter to the object is the same as the distance from the beam splitter to the mirror. In the diagram, these are the distances denoted by the two rays labeled with a "3". Since the distance from the beam splitter to the mirror is held constant and can be measured, we can then figure out where on the object is that distance from the beam splitter by looking for interference.

Visually, interference looks like rapidly changing noise, which can be detected either manually or automatically, as detailed in section 3.1.2. We can then vary the height of the object in order to scan the total object and find the heights of all positions on the object.

However, since interference looks just like rapidly changing noise, real noise in the printer can look like interference. Reasons for this can include hitting the printer, hitting the table that the printer is on if the table is frail, vibrations in the building, etc. These are usually not problems, but if they do occur, then the interference detection will usually not work well.

We use an OCT system in our machine vision component in order to take 3D scans. The 3D scans are both of current prints, while they are printing, and of existing auxiliary components placed on the printer platform.
\section{2.3 GPGPU and CUDA}

This work will make use of a general-purpose graphics processing unit (GPGPU), which is simply using a GPU for general computing. As GPUs have gotten more and more powerful, the computer industry has come to recognize the usefulness of GPUs as general computing units that can process in many parallel threads. There has been much work on making the GPU useful and easy to code on, and this system will be taking advantage of that work to optimize some of the image processing code.

Specifically, we will be using Nvidia CUDA \cite{cuda}. CUDA is a general programming model invented and maintained by Nvidia. CUDA works well on Nvidia graphics cards, making many speed boosts possible via hardware and low-level software optimizations.

In addition to the general use CUDA, Nvidia also offers many other specific libraries. For example, cuSPARSE \cite{cusparselibrary} is a specific library for working with sparse matrices. Libraries such as these offer even more speed boosts than based on plain CUDA.

\section{2.4 MultiFab}

The work in this thesis describes software implemented on top of a previously published 3D printer/3D scanner combination known as MultiFab \cite{multifab}. MultiFab is a multi-material 3D printing platform that includes both hardware and software. The software described in this thesis augments and uses the previously existing software. This section will describe the previously existing software in more detail.

The already published material for MultiFab includes all of the firmware required to run the 3D printer. In addition, MultiFab includes software to make a complete 3D scan with the 3D scanning system. The 3D scans are used for manual print-head calibration, as described in section 3.2.2 and for corrective printing via height correction, as described in section 6.1.

This thesis refines and adds uses of the 3D scanning system. The significant ad-
ditions are adaptive voxelization, another method for corrective printing as described in section 6.2 and auxiliary printing, described in chapter 5.
Chapter 3

Calibration

In order to integrate the 3D scanning system into the 3D printer with any sort of accuracy, we must calibrate the systems together. The three main components that need to be calibrated are the scanner, the printheads, and the positioning system.

Figure 3-1: A simplified diagram of the 3D printer. The scanner and printheads are rigidly attached together, and move separately from the platform.

The following specifically enumerates what needs to be calibrated.

1. The intrinsic matrix of the camera in the scanner.
2. The homography between the camera image and the real world.

3. The distance at which interference occurs in the scanner.

4. The offset between the camera and the printheads.

5. Any misalignment of the printheads.

These calibrations are split into two main steps: the camera calibration and the printhead calibration. The first three calibrations are done in the camera calibration, while the last two calibrations are done in the printhead calibration.

3.1 Camera Calibration

Before doing any work with the scanning system at all, the camera that is in the scanner must be calibrated. As mentioned in the previous section, there are three components to this calibration: the camera intrinsic matrix, the homography to world coordinates, and the interference distance.

The intrinsic matrix describes properties of the camera so that a proper image can be outputted. The homography between the camera image and the real world produces an image in world coordinates of the image. With both of these, the camera can construct an image in world coordinates. Finding these are both standard functions implemented in OpenCV [1], so we will not cover finding these in detail.

The final component of the camera calibration is finding the distance at which interference occurs, or the "interference distance". Most of this section describes how to detect interference and the automation of detecting interference.

3.1.1 Qualitative Interference

The phenomenon of interference in the OCT system is described in detail in section 2.2. We will review it briefly here.
Interference occurs when, in the OCT system, the mirror and the scanned surface are equidistant from the beamsplitter. Figure 3-2 shows those distances in the context of the whole OCT system.

Usually, interference can be found in the general same area. However, many small factors compound to make interference vary slightly. These factors include the positioning of the OCT system, tilts in the platform, a misaligned mirror in the OCT system, and an imperfect positioning system. The OCT system is so precise, in the order of micrometers, that it is near impossible to position everything perfectly. Therefore, calibration of the interference distance is necessary.

Visually, an area with interference looks like highly fluctuating noise. Without processing, interference is sometimes difficult to detect, especially when only a small area has interference. To alleviate that, the image is processed with a filter that detects changes over time. Figure 3-3 shows multiple images of the same area over a
short period of time, and figure 3-4 shows a closeup of interference. The area with interference has lots of noise, fluctuates a lot over time, and is highlighted by the filter.

An experienced user can usually find interference within a few minutes by scrolling through the area where interference is expected. The general strategy is to start somewhere above where interference is expected, scroll down quickly, and stop when a lot of fluctuation shows up on the screen. The simplicity of this strategy implies that automation is possible.

3.1.2 Automatic Interference Detection

Here, we present an algorithm to find interference automatically. The rough plan is to scroll in z through an area where interference is expected, and stop whenever an area with high fluctuation is detected.

To detect interference quickly, the crucial part is to move on quickly from z values
with low amounts of fluctuation. Then, the challenge here is to have an algorithm that quickly detects low amounts of fluctuation and can reliably detect high amounts of fluctuation.

**Interference Detection Algorithm**

Treat the image in grayscale. At a constant distance, as time passes, take an exponential moving average of the image at that point. For a given distance and time, define the frame as having activity if more than a fraction $p$ of the points of the image is $d$ away from the average at that point. Accept the distance as having interference if at least a fraction $\tau_{\text{high}}$ of frames observed have activity or if more than $a$ frames
have activity. Reject the distance as having interference if less than a fraction $\tau_{\text{low}}$ of frames observed have activity.

Note that the maximum number of frames before a decision must be made is then $\frac{a}{\tau_{\text{low}}}$, since then either more than $a$ frames have activity or less than a fraction $\tau_{\text{low}}$ of frames have activity.

In addition, we have found that discarding $n_{\text{skip}}$ frames directly after moving to account for moving effects is beneficial, as well as requiring a minimum number of $n_{\text{min}}$ frames to be observed before making any decision and a minimum of $n_{\text{active}}$ active frames to be observed before accepting. These rules help to ignore false positives, such as the printer vibrating during moving.

Interference is found at the distance between the beam splitter and the mirror in the OCT system, which can be roughly approximated with a ruler. The user has to position the 3D printing platform at roughly that location, and then the algorithm automatically linearly scans the nearby distances with steps of size $s$, searching for interference.

We have experimentally found that the values $p = 0.005$, $d = 64$, $\tau_{\text{high}} = 0.4$, $a = 50$, and $\tau_{\text{low}} = 0.15$ with a step of $s = 6.25 \mu$m work well. For the minimum number of frames, the values $n_{\text{skip}} = 5$, $n_{\text{min}} = 5$, and $n_{\text{active}} = 10$ work well.

Algorithm 3.1 shows this algorithm in pseudocode.

Algorithm Analysis

This algorithm succeeds in failing quickly and correctly identifying interference. In practice, each $z$ height with no interference takes about a second to reject. Typically, if the initial position was close, then interference is found in a time on the order of seconds.

Theoretical analysis shows that the algorithm is guaranteed to stop. Let the fraction of active frames be denoted by $\tau$. The ending conditions are either that $\tau < \tau_{\text{low}}$, that $\tau > \tau_{\text{high}}$ and there are at least $n_{\text{min}}$ active frames, or that there are at least $n_{\text{active}}$ active frames.

To show that the algorithm is guaranteed to stop, let us assume that the algorithm
Algorithm 3.1: Automatically detect interference.

**Data:** A position above the interference distance

while interference has not been found or user does not quit do
  Stall for $n_{\text{skip}}$ frames for the positioning to stabilize;
  while this z position has not been accepted nor rejected do
    Take a frame from the camera;
    Take average with last average and new image;
    for each point in the image do
      if the point is $d$ away from the average at that point then
        Mark the point as active;
      if a fraction $p$ of the points are active then
        Mark the frame as active;
      if at least $n_{\text{min}}$ frames have been collected then
        if the fraction of active frames is less than $\tau_{\text{low}}$ then
          Reject this z position;
        if (the fraction of active frames is more than $\tau_{\text{high}}$ and
        there are at least $n_{\text{active}}$ active frames) or
        there are at least $a$ active frames then
          Accept this z position;
      Interference found!
  Move to the next z position;

doesn’t stop. If there are not at least $n_{\text{min}}$ active frames, then once there are $\frac{n_{\text{min}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}}$ frames collected, then the condition $\tau < \frac{n_{\text{min}}}{n_{\text{min}}/\tau_{\text{low}}} = \tau_{\text{low}}$ will cause the algorithm to stop. Therefore, we can assume there are at least $n_{\text{min}}$ active frames after $\frac{n_{\text{min}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}}$ frames. Furthermore, for all $n > \frac{n_{\text{min}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}}$, there must be at least $n \cdot \tau_{\text{low}}$ active frames after $n$ frames are collected, or the lower limit will be reached. This means that when $n = \frac{n_{\text{active}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}}$, we have that there are at least $n \cdot \tau_{\text{low}} = \frac{n_{\text{active}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}} \cdot \tau_{\text{low}} = n_{\text{active}}$ active frames, which means that the algorithm stops. Therefore, as long as $n = \frac{n_{\text{active}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}} > \frac{n_{\text{min}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}} \Rightarrow n_{\text{active}} > n_{\text{min}}$, then the algorithm is guaranteed to stop.

For the experimentally determined numbers mentioned above, this implies that the longest the algorithm can stall for is $\frac{n_{\text{active}}}{\tau_{\text{low}}} = \frac{10}{0.15} < 67$ frames. However, this is only the case when there is not quite enough fluctuations to declare interference and not too low fluctuation to reject the z position. Stalling before making a decision also makes this algorithm more robust to noise. In particular, unavoidable
vibrations in the printer or the surrounding building can cause fluctuations in the image. This is only given a false positive if the outside vibrations last longer than 67 frames, which would be very unusual. If there was actually an earthquake during the interference algorithm, then the user would probably have a larger problem than the false interference positive.

3.2 Printhead Calibration

The second major part of the calibration is the printhead calibration. Multiple printheads need to be calibrated with respect to each other and with respect to the camera. There are three main reasons for these calibrations.

First, the camera needs to know where the printheads are positioned in order to take scans of the resulting prints. Second, the printer needs to know the relative positions of the printheads in order to align them properly. Finally, the printheads may be slightly tilted, in which case the printer will need to adjust for that offset in the software.

The printhead calibrations can vary slightly based on where the camera and the printheads are mounted. Since we would like micrometer accuracy, this is very difficult to calibrate by hand. Both the printhead positions and the printhead rotations would be difficult to measure. Therefore, we use the OCT scanning system to obtain a more accurate measurement of the printhead positions and calibrate through those measurements.

The general method for calibrating these printheads is to print some patterns with different nozzles, label where the patterns were printed either manually or semi-automatically, and then fit the found locations to the expected format of the printhead. The next few sections cover this method in detail.

3.2.1 Printhead Calibration Background

Before delving into the details about the calibration, we should describe the printhead structure and our calibration pattern. All printheads used are the same, from the
Epson Workforce 30 printer [4]. The specific printhead is the F3-3 Mach Turbo2 type printhead, which is described in detail in [5].

In addition to describing the printhead used, this section also covers the calibration pattern used. This is a pattern that is printed at selected locations and is detected to obtain a measurement of the location of the printheads.

**Printhead Structure**

![Figure 3-5: A simplified diagram of the F3-3 Mach Turbo2 type printhead.](image)

A rough diagram of the F3-3 Mach Turbo2 type printhead is shown in Figure 3-5. The 540 nozzles on the printhead are split into 5 groups across 3 columns. Each column has 180 nozzles. The first and second groups correspond directly to the first and second columns, respectively. The third, fourth, and fifth groups are all in the third column. The first and second groups have 180 nozzles each, and the last three groups have 60 nozzles each. Each of the three columns are linear and parallel to each other.

The printhead specification [5] specifies a specific positioning of the nozzles that we use for our starting guess.
Calibration Pattern

In order to calibrate the nozzle positions, we print a particular, recognizable calibration pattern. This pattern should be compact, not require much material, and have an easily discernible center point. We use a pattern that we call a "cross".

![Figure 3-6: A diagram of a cross pattern.](image)

A cross is two axis-aligned squares touching at corners, so named because of the cross at the intersection. Figure 3-6 shows an example of the pattern.

![Figure 3-7: A diagram of the printed crosses for nozzle calibration.](image)
Figure 3-8: A grayscale picture of some printed crosses for nozzle calibration.

Each nozzle group is calibrated separately. Every 10th nozzle is selected to print a cross. Since every selected nozzle prints at the same time, the result is a pattern of crosses in the shape of the printhead. Figure 3-7 shows a diagram of what that printed calibration pattern would look like. Figure 3-8 shows a grayscale picture of what some printed crosses look like when actually printed.

Interpolation

After the cross positions are detected, every nozzle position must be interpolated. Only every 10th nozzle is used for printing crosses, so the other nozzles must be interpolated. This was implemented in MultiFab [19].

The printhead is assumed to conform to the specification, so the transformation from an arbitrary printhead position to the cross positions is assumed to be a linear transformation with no scaling. This can be calculated with a simple system of
Let $B$ be the matrix of detected locations of the crosses. Let $A$ be the matrix of arbitrary printhead positions, positioned correctly relative to each other. An example of an arbitrary position would be centered at $(0,0)$ and aligned with the axes. Then, solving the equation $A = B \cdot X$ for $X$, where $X$ is a transformation matrix, would produce a transformation $X$ from the arbitrary printhead positions to the detected locations. This transformation can then be applied to every printhead position to produce an interpolated set of locations.

### 3.2.2 Manual Cross Detection

After the crosses are printed, they need to be labeled with their location. One easy way of accomplishing this is by asking the user to label the locations of the crosses using a live camera feed. This was already implemented in MultiFab [19], but will be described here for comparison.

The user is provided with the interface depicted in Figure 3-9. After moving the camera to the rough location of the crosses, the user can click on the interface to move the camera exactly onto the crosses. The user then labels the crosses in order. Figure 3-9 shows several already labeled crosses.

While the user is labeling crosses, a prediction optionally shows up on the screen. Figure 3-10 shows the interface while the option to show predictions is turned on. This prediction shows where, given the current labeled data, the nozzles would be predicted to be. This allows the user to both diagnose whether the labeling so far is acceptable and have a hint at where the next crosses may be located.

### 3.2.3 Semi-Automated Cross Detection

Semi-automated printhead detection allows the user to only select a general area of the calibration pattern. After the user selects a general area, the system then detects all of the crosses automatically.

The user needs to guide the system to a rough estimate of the calibration pattern
Figure 3-9: The printhead calibration process in progress.

since otherwise the pattern would take an extremely long time to find the pattern. The search space is very large, and the system would have to scroll through the entire space. After the user selects a general area of the calibration pattern, the system can refine the estimation.

We define our problem as cross classification. Specifically, given a small image, which is the size of a cross, does the image contain a cross or not? Given this algorithm, the system can look at a rough estimation of where the crosses should be, based on previous detections, and then obtain a fine-tuned estimate based on this
cross classification algorithm.

These next two sections consider two approaches to cross classification. The first is vision-based, using only the camera. The second is depth-based, which uses the whole scanning system.

**Vision-based experiments**

The first approach to cross classification uses only the camera. The system takes an image of the area suspected to have a cross and tries to classify each area of the image as having a cross or not.

The specific classification method is via machine learning. Specifically, a binary classifier with a linear discriminant model is used. The input was a square of pixels
pre-processed into an array of numbers.

The library used for the classification was Classias [16]. Classias provides a binary classifier with a linear discriminant model, which is well studied, out of the box. Therefore, we focus on the other steps of the algorithm here.

**Algorithm**

This algorithm can be split up into three main parts. First, the image is sharpened and cleaned up. Second, each $n \times n$ area of the image is classified as either a cross or not a cross. Lastly, overlapping areas that were classified as crosses are merged together, since they likely are actually the same cross.

The algorithm is enumerated specifically in Algorithm 3.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 3.2: Classify an image as a cross or not a cross.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data:</strong> A grayscale image of the suspected cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform unsharp masking to sharpen the image;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Otsu thresholding [17] to detect holes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill holes using the depth map interpolation from chapter [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for every $n \times n$ pixel area in the image do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute average of the area;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalize by subtracting average from every pixel;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if the classifier classifies the area as a cross then</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark this area as a cross;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge overlapping areas to get rid of duplicate positives;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-11 shows the effect of the pre-processing. The sharpening phase makes the crosses easier to see and identify, but also sharpens whatever over-exposed areas there are. The thresholding then identifies whatever areas are over-exposed. The interpolation fills in the areas that were over-exposed, which results in the final image. This final image is then used as data for the classifier.

**Training Process**

In order for the classification to work well, the classifier needs to be trained with labeled sample images. We collected data as pictures of crosses under one set of conditions. The data was manually identified cross locations as positives and randomly selected locations as negatives. We also attempted to collect data at all locations that weren’t close to crosses, but that method did not work better than just selecting a
Figure 3-11: Pictures showing the effect of pre-processing. On the top left is the original image. On the top right is the sharpened image. On the bottom left is the image with holes removed. On the bottom right is the final image, sharpened with filled-in holes.

Figure 3-12 shows the interface for labeling crosses. After collecting several images of crosses from different positions, we manually identified crosses as positive data points. Negative data points were selected randomly from the area not close to any identified crosses.
Figure 3-12: The interface for manually labeling crosses for data collection. Clicking on a cross labels the area as a cross.
Results and Future Work

The results from training the classifier are shown in Table 3.1. As can be seen, the classifier does somewhat work on this set of data points. There are no false negatives detected at all, and any false positives can be eliminated fairly easily using RANSAC.

However, the classifier, when trained on one set of conditions, does not work well with another set of conditions. Given how variable the light and ink conditions may be when completing this calibration, we will have to conclude that, as the classifier is currently, that this will not be useful in the general case.

We theorize that if many samples across many sets of conditions were collected and the classifier was trained on those samples, then the classifier would work well. However, it is fairly impractical under these time-limited constraints to be able to collect samples from that many conditions. If future work were to be done on this, then collecting samples from other conditions would definitely be part of that work.

Depth-based experiments

The second approach to cross classification uses depth. After scanning the area where crosses are suspected to be, the system tries to find raised square areas that are the size of a cross.
Algorithm

This algorithm uses an "inner-outer difference" metric for detecting raised squares. The inner-outer difference is simply a measure of how raised the immediately adjacent area is compared to the surrounding area.

As depicted in Figure 3-13, the inner-outer difference for a point \( p \) is the average of the heights in square \( A \) minus the average of the heights in area \( B \). Note that area \( B \) does not include any points in square \( A \).

After all raised points are detected, then crosses are simply two raised points diagonally adjacent to each other. Finding crosses then just requires looping through the detected raised points.

The algorithm is enumerated specifically in Algorithm 3.3.

There are several parameters in this algorithm that need to be experimentally found. The following are the parameters for our setup. The width of the small square when computing the inner-outer difference is 16 pixels. The width of the large square is 24 pixels. The cutoff for the inner-outer difference being a raised point is 0.008mm.

Results

Depth-based cross classification seems to be very robust. Every cross was correctly classified as a cross with no errors. However, since a depth scan is required, much more time is required.

Figure 3-14 shows an example of cross classification using depth. The raised points
Algorithm 3.3: Classify a depth map as a cross or not a cross.

**Data:** A depth map of the suspected cross.

for every point in the depth map do
  Compute the inner-outer difference of the point;
  if the inner-outer difference is large enough then
    Mark the point as a raised point;
  end if
end for

Merge close raised points together;

for every raised point do
  Find the closest other raised point;
  if the raised points are close enough then
    if the raised points are aligned diagonally then
      Mark the average of the raised points as a cross;
    end if
  end if
end for

are outlined with squares and the identified crosses are marked with a small cross.

As shown in the picture, all raised areas near the center of the depth map are correctly identified. Furthermore, all complete crosses are correctly identified and the incomplete crosses are correctly ignored. This was the case throughout all of the testing.

**Vision vs. Depth**

Vision-based and depth-based cross classification both have their benefits and drawbacks, but depth-based cross classification is generally the better choice.

The benefits of using vision-based cross classification are that vision is quick, since only a few images need to be taken, and that vision only requires a camera. However, vision is not reliable enough in all conditions to be useful in the general case.

Depth-based cross classification is much more reliable. However, it requires an entire scanning system in order to function. Furthermore, scanning requires many images and can take a while. Nevertheless, the reliability of depth-based cross classification makes it a much better choice for a semi-automatic cross classification system.
Figure 3-14: An example of cross detection using the depth map. Raised points are outlined by squares. Crosses are marked with a small cross.
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Depth Map Interpolation

The scanning system outputs a depth map and a confidence map. However, as detailed in section 2.2, there are many reasons why some points may not have confident depths. In order to compensate for that lack of information, the final step of the scanning is to interpolate the known depths to obtain a reasonable estimate for the unknown depths. This section describes the methods for that depth map interpolation.

Figure 4-1: A depth map, left, and a confidence map, right, for part of a coin.

Figure 4-1 shows an example of a depth map and confidence map for a coin. Only the part with the laser that is within the height range for the coin has any confidence. As shown, the parts without any confidence is essentially just noise. If there were any
values without confidence within the coin, then interpolation would give reasonable values for that area of the coin.

4.1 Algebraic Multigrid

The interpolation is done with the algebraic multigrid method \[6\]. Since speed is an essential component of the interpolation, the interpolation has an option to be run using CUDA, the NVIDIA parallel computing platform.

The algebraic multigrid method is a method for solving sets of differential equations. In this case, algebraic multigrid is used for solving the discrete Poisson equation. Since both of these use cases are well studied, we will not describe here how multigrid works. Instead, we focus on the application of the method.

4.1.1 Interpolation with Algebraic Multigrid

The algebraic multigrid method here is used for interpolation. In order to do this, every point is assumed to have zero for the Laplacian. Simply said, every point is assumed to have a flat neighborhood. Since there are some points that are treated as givens, this is usually impossible to achieve. However, algebraic multigrid approximates the solution by interpolating between given points.

Specifically, a point is treated as given if it reaches a confidence threshold. Otherwise, the point is uncertain and is interpolated by algebraic multigrid.

The neighbors of a point are the four cardinal neighbors of the point. Therefore, for each uncertain point at \((i, j)\), the depth at that point, \(d_{i,j}\), is described by the equation

\[
4 \cdot d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j} - d_{i,j-1} - d_{i+1,j} - d_{i,j+1} = 0.
\]

If the point is at the edge of the map, then the corresponding points are treated as 0 and the coefficient of \(d_{i,j}\) is decreased correspondingly. In addition, if any of the neighbors of \((i, j)\) are given points, then the depth of that point is substituted
directly into the equation. The full form of the equation then is

\[(\# \text{ neighbors}) \cdot d_{i,j} - \sum_{n \in \{\text{uncertain neighbors}\}} d_n = \sum_{m \in \{\text{confident neighbors}\}} d_m.\]

Note that $d_n$ are variables to be solved for, and that $d_m$ are constants.

In the previous equations, each of the neighbors are weighted equally. We also experimented with weighting the neighbors by the given confidence. However, this did not result in substantial differences, so we just discuss the simpler, un-weighted version of the algorithm.

The algorithm for interpolation is enumerated specifically in Algorithm 4.1:

**Algorithm 4.1:** Interpolate in a depth map given the confidence map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data: A depth map and a confidence map of the same area.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for every point in the map do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if the point is greater than the threshold then</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark the point as given;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>else</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark the point as uncertain;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up a system of equations to be filled in;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for every point in the map do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set the number of neighbors;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark which of the neighbors are uncertain;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set the constant on the RHS as the sum of the confident neighbors;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use algebraic multigrid to solve for the uncertain points;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.2 Results

Figure 4-2 shows an example of the interpolation in action. Note how there are small holes in the depth map on the left. The interpolation fills in those spots with the surrounding data, presenting a finalized depth map with no holes.

Unfortunately, the scanning system can not easily be rid of these small holes for all possible scans. Correcting for the small holes in software proves to be very feasible, as shown here.

Figure 4-3 shows another example of interpolation. This surface was scanned
Figure 4-2: An image of a scanned quarter with interpolation. The left image is the depth map with filtered out uncertain points. The center image is the confidence map. The right image is the interpolated depth map.

Figure 4-3: Another example of interpolation with lots of noise. The top left image is the original depth map with noise. The top right image is the confidence map. The bottom left image is the depth map with only confident points. The bottom right image is the final interpolated depth map.

with a lot of noise in the printer, and so is fairly unusable. However, the depth map here shows how well the interpolation can work even under unfavorable conditions.
Even given the massive amounts of noise and small amounts of confident points, the interpolation was able to recover a fairly clean depth map.

### 4.2 Using Parallel Computing

Algebraic multigrid is an easily parallelizable method. Therefore, we use parallel computing when available. Again, this is a well-studied problem, readily available in third-party libraries.

The library we used was AMGCL [3], a library for algebraic multigrid with parallel computing capabilities. When available, AMGCL uses CUDA/cuSPARSE [15] [14]. CUDA is a parallel programming platform provided by NVIDIA. cuSPARSE is a platform for CUDA provided by NVIDIA that manipulates sparse matrices.

#### 4.2.1 Results

We compared the single-threaded algebraic multigrid implementation by AMGCL, the parallelized algebraic multigrid implementation by AMGCL, and a baseline filling filter that naively interpolates from confident neighbors.
Table 4.1 and figure 4-4 shows the timing data obtained from depth maps of varying fractions of confident points. Each depth map interpolated is 1200 by 1600 pixels.

As shown in the plot, the baseline always requires a constant amount of time, since every point is always processed. The single-threaded algebraic multigrid implementation always takes less time than the baseline, and the parallelized implementation always takes less time than either the baseline or the single-threaded implementation.

As expected, the single-threaded implementation takes about the same time as the baseline with very small fraction of confident points. In addition, the time taken by both multigrid implementations decreases as the fraction of confident points increases. This is expected, since the algorithm needs to solve a smaller system of equations with a smaller number of uncertain points. In this aspect, the multigrid implementations are better than the baseline.
As the fraction of confident points reaches 100%, both algebraic multigrid implementations approach zero time taken, implying that there is not much overhead. In the algorithm, the overhead is from assessing which of the points are confident. Although this technically would increase as the size of the image increases, the time taken is negligible compared to the time necessary to run algebraic multigrid. Therefore, as expected, the time taken for interpolation scales well with the fraction of confident points.
Chapter 5

Combining 3D Prints with Auxiliary Objects

One use of the scanning system is to enable printing on top of auxiliary objects. Specifically, a user could take an object from outside of the printer, place it on the printing platform, and have the printer print exactly on top of the object in the correct position.

There are many potential use cases for printing on auxiliary objects. Cases for PCBs could be printed directly on top of the PCB. Broken parts could be fixed with supplemental 3D printing. Parts that are not easily 3D printable, like glass, metal, or other such materials, could be augmented with this printing capability.

Without the scanning system, the printer would not be able to align the print correctly on top of the auxiliary object, since the printer would not be able to detect where the auxiliary object was placed. However, with the scanning system, the printer is able to scan with high accuracy where the auxiliary object is placed.

This chapter describes the interface for printing on auxiliary objects, examines the mechanics of the auto-alignment, and discusses how well the system works.
5.1 User Interface

This section describes the procedure and interface for printing on auxiliary objects. The user interacts with the interface to correctly align the print with the scan of the auxiliary object. The interface provides a graphical representation of what the final print aligned with the auxiliary object should look like.

5.1.1 Procedure

First, the user has to position the auxiliary object on the printing platform. The printer scans the object to produce a depth map. After the user loads the correct mesh, the interface displays both the depth map and the mesh together. This is described in section 5.1.2 in more detail. The user can then align the mesh with the depth map either manually or automatically. The automatic alignment is described in section 5.2 in more detail.

After the mesh is aligned with the depth map, the user triggers pre-processing. The mesh is first voxelized to produce a voxel grid. Each column of voxels is then compared to the depth detected at that point. Any voxels below the depth at that point are removed. Any empty space between the depth and the voxels is filled in with the latest material to prevent empty space. The printer then moves to the calibrated print location and prints the finalized voxel grid.

5.1.2 Interface

Figure 5-1 shows the interface seen by the user in order to print on auxiliary objects. There is a scan of the auxiliary object shown in Figures 5-2 and 5-3, shown in blue. The mesh of the object to be printed is shown in yellow. Controls are shown on the right.

As seen in the image, the user has options to scan the auxiliary object, move the mesh around, rotate the mesh, voxelize the mesh, and move to the print location.

The GUI also offers the user to move the mesh around in 3D space relative to the scan. Figure 5-4 shows how the user can look at the mesh from different angles, thus
Figure 5-1: An image of the GUI for printing on auxiliary objects. The scan of the auxiliary object is blue and the mesh to be printed is yellow. Controls are on the right.

Figure 5-2: An image of the auxiliary object that was scanned.

allowing them to carefully place their mesh in the desired location.
Figure 5-3: A depth scan of the same auxiliary object.

Figure 5-4: An image of the auxiliary positioning. The same depth scan as in figure 5-3 is used. A model of a coin is positioned on top of the depth scan.
5.2 Auto-Alignment

When printing on auxiliary objects, the user often wants to align perfectly to an existing mesh. An example use case is fixing a part, in which the auxiliary object is a broken part to be repaired by the printer. The printer would use a mesh of the part to fill in wherever is broken. To work well, the auxiliary object would have to be matched exactly with the mesh of the part.

Another use case is printing on top of an auxiliary object with a known mesh. In this case, the mesh of the auxiliary object would be positioned in software next to the mesh of the print. Then, the scan of the auxiliary object is aligned exactly with the mesh of the auxiliary object, thus allowing the mesh to be exactly aligned as in the software.

5.2.1 Algorithm

In order for the auto-alignment to work, the user needs to have a mesh of the auxiliary object. Otherwise, the system would have nothing to align the print against. Therefore, we assume here that a mesh of the auxiliary object is available.

To align the meshes, we use an algorithm called Iterative Closest Point (ICP) [18]. ICP minimizes the difference between two point clouds. For the mesh, just the vertices of the mesh can be used. The scan simply uses points at each location that was scanned. After the mesh and the scan are transformed into point clouds, ICP returns a transformation that aligns the mesh with the scan.

However, in order for ICP to work well, the resolution of the mesh must roughly match the resolution of the scan. Since the scan has a smaller resolution than the mesh, the mesh just needs to subdivide until the resolution of the mesh roughly matches the resolution of the scan. We have found that being within a factor of 2 of the resolution of the scan works well.

Therefore, the algorithm for alignment is simply to subdivide the print mesh, transform the mesh and the scan into point clouds, and then run ICP on the point clouds. That then returns a transform to align the mesh with the scan.
5.2.2 Results

For testing of the auto-alignment, an Arduino Uno case mesh was used. Figure shows the mesh used. The case fits over the Arduino Uno and exposes the pins.

Since the auto-alignment only works when a mesh of the auxiliary object is included, the actual mesh used is the combination of an Arduino mesh and the case mesh. The case is positioned as it should fit over the Arduino. Then, when the depth map of the Arduino is taken, the mesh of the Arduino will fit with the depth map of the Arduino.

Figure show the mesh of the case (yellow) next to the depth scan of the (cyan) in the correct orientation. Figures and show the alignment before and after the automatic alignment process. Figure shows a rough hand-done alignment of the case mesh and the Arduino depth map. A rough alignment is necessary for ICP to work well. Figure shows the result of ICP. As seen in the image, the meshes
Figure 5-6: The case mesh (yellow) with the depth scan of the arduino (cyan).

Figure 5-7: A rough alignment of the case mesh (yellow) with the depth scan of the arduino (cyan).

are aligned well.

Figure 5-9 shows a closeup of the alignment. As seen in the image, there is some
Figure 5-8: An exact alignment of the case mesh (yellow) with the depth scan of the arduino (cyan).

Figure 5-9: A closeup of the exact alignment of the case mesh (yellow) with the depth scan of the arduino (cyan). Note how z-fighting occurs on the pins, thus showing that the parts are very well aligned.
z-fighting in the pins of the Arduino. Since that is only possible when the meshes overlap very closely, we can conclude that the alignment works very well.

5.3 Results

Figure 5-10: A picture of a coin printed onto an auxiliary object. The positioning in the UI is shown in figure 5-4.

Figure 5-10 shows the result of printing on top of an auxiliary object. The interface that results in this print are shown in figure 5-4.

As shown, the printer aligns the print correctly with the auxiliary object, since
the upper portion of the coin is correctly not printed on top of the edge.

However, the height of each droplet when printing is not correct, as can be seen by the difference in height in the center hole. Combining auxiliary printing with corrective printing fixes this problem, as described in section 6.3.
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Corrective Printing

When printing with a normal 3D printer, the printer generally operates blindly. The printer moves to a location, drops material, and repeats. This generally works well. However, when printhead nozzles fail or do not completely jet in a pattern, there may be small, visible artifacts. The print will have to be re-printed, which wastes both time and material.

Usually, there is no way to detect that the print may not be perfect until the print is visually inspected after finishing. However, with a 3D scanner, a feedback loop exists between the printer and the print. The printer can use the feedback during the printing process, and any defects can be fixed during the printing.

We present two methods for corrective printing: height correction, where any deficiencies in height are printed again, and adaptive voxelization, where the mesh is revoxelized at the scanned height.

6.1 Height Correction

The first method for corrective printing is height correction. Height correction was already implemented for MultiFab [19], but will be described here again for the sake of comparison. The basic idea for height correction is to scan every few layers and fill in wherever the printer didn’t print up to the supposed height.

Figure 6.1 shows a simplified diagram of height correction. After obtaining a 3D
Figure 6-1: A simplified 2D diagram of height correction. The blue area represents the actual print, while the box represents the supposed print. Height correction would scan the actual print, then print to fill up to the supposed print.

scan of the actual print, the height correction "corrects" the height to the actual height. The filling in simply uses the latest material in that column.

6.1.1 Algorithm

The algorithm for printing with height correction is specifically enumerated in Algorithm 6.1.

Algorithm 6.1: Printing with height correction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data: Voxel grid of print.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>while there is more to print do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print n layers;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan the current print;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for every point in the x/y plane do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if the scanned height at this point is less than the supposed height then</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark this point as needing correction;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record the difference in height;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>while there are enough points needing correction do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for every point in the x/y plane do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if the difference in height is greater than the voxel height then</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select this point for corrective printing;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtract the voxel height from the difference in height;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print the correction layer;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of layers, $n$, that the printer prints at a time can be variable. Our experience has been that values of $n$ from 10 to 50 can work. Larger values of $n$ leads to faster prints with less accuracy.
6.1.2 Analysis

Height correction works well and quickly for relatively simple prints when the printer is functioning mostly properly. Any small errors are prevented from building up by the height correction.

As the complexity of the print increases, then the scanning frequency should also increase. The reason for that is because the correction layer uses the most recently used material. If the used material changes frequently in the print, then malfunctions with some materials may not be detected until too late. This is unavoidable with any corrective printing where scans are only completed every few layers, since it is impossible to distinguish where on the z-axis printing malfunctions occurred.

When the printer is not functioning well, then height correction has the potential to double the printing time. For example, if some nozzles are not working at all, then the positions where those nozzles print must be printed over twice. This may double the printing time. In this case, the tradeoff for a good print even while the printer is not functioning well is doubling the time required. This tradeoff may not be worth the time taken.

6.2 Adaptive Voxelization

Another method for corrective printing is adaptive voxelization. The general idea of adaptive voxelization is to scan every few layers and revoxelize the mesh to match wherever the current height is. Instead of enforcing an assumption about voxel height, as height correction does, adaptive voxelization adapts to the actual height.

Crucially, this revoxelization occurs independently for every column. Figure 6-2 shows a simplified two-dimensional example of this revoxelization. Since each column is voxelized separately, the printer does not need to wait to fill a fraction of the printing area to continue printing on the whole printing area.

While adaptive voxelization treats the z axis as continuous, the x and y axes are still discrete. Therefore, we will often refer to printing at (x, y) locations, which is a uniform grid of locations.
Figure 6-2: A simplified 2D diagram of adaptive voxelization. The top line represents the real world print. The second line is the scanned heights. The third line is then the result of the adaptive voxelization. Note how the voxels do not have to line up.

6.2.1 Algorithm

The algorithm starts with a set of meshes to print. Each mesh represents a material. The meshes need to be combined into a set of voxel layers, to be printed.

We will use the term "voxel column" for the ordered set of voxels to be printed at each (x, y) location. As mentioned, voxel columns do not need to be aligned with each other. The \( n \)th voxel layer will be the set of \( n \)th voxels from every voxel column. The voxels in each voxel layer will be printed at the same time by the printer. However, the voxels in each voxel layer do not need to be physically next to each other.

The algorithm first pre-processes the meshes, then loops through scanning, revoxelizing, and printing.

Intersection Grid

Before printing starts, the meshes are pre-processed into an "intersection grid" that contains "intersection columns". For each (x, y) location, the intersection grid con-
tains an intersection column, a ordered list of intersections with the meshes from bottom to top. Each intersection records the material of the mesh that was hit, the height of the intersection, and an ordered list of the materials present right above the intersection according to the possibly intersecting meshes. The list is ordered so that the mesh that intersected most recently is at the end of the list.

To generate this intersection grid, a slightly modified ray tracing is run on the meshes. The pseudocode for generating the intersection grid from the set of meshes is in Algorithm 6.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 6.2: Generate an intersection grid from a set of meshes with materials.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Data:** Meshes associated with materials  
Find the bounding box of the meshes;  
Overlay a grid over the x-y plane at the desired resolution;  
for each \((x, y)\) location do  
  Find intersections with all meshes;  
  for each mesh do  
    Trace a ray from the bottom of the mesh;  
    for each triangle in the mesh do  
      if there is an intersection then  
        Record the mesh material and the intersection height;  
    end  
  end  
  Sort the intersections in order of height;  
  for each intersection (in order) do  
    Copy the list of materials from the previous intersection;  
    if the material of the intersected mesh is in the previous list then  
      Remove the material from the list of materials;  
    else  
      Add the material to the end of the list of materials;  
    end  
    Record the new list of materials in the intersection;  
end |

**Print Generation**

After the intersection grid is generated in pre-processing, the printing loop submits voxel layers to the print queue to be printed.

To assist in revoxelizing, an array keeps track of the last intersection that the previous depth was above. Then, when searching for the correct location to revoxelize,
the last intersection is used as a starting point for the search.

The pseudocode for the print generation is enumerated specifically in Algorithm 6.3.

**Algorithm 6.3:** Generate voxel layers from a set of meshes with materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data: Meshes associated with materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generate the intersection grid from the meshes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>while</strong> there are more slices to print <strong>do</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.2.2 Results

Figure 6-3: The left image is the mesh of the printed star. The center star was printed with adaptive voxelization and the right star was printed without adaptive voxelization.

Figure 6-3 show two stars that were printed with and without adaptive voxelization. The star that was printed with adaptive voxelization has substantially more height, since the estimated height for each layer was higher than the actual printed height.
Figure 6-4: The left star was printed with adaptive voxelization and the right star was printed without adaptive voxelization.

Figure 6-4 show the same two stars under different lighting. The star that was printed without adaptive voxelization has very visible lines crossing across the star. This is an artifact of the way that the printer moves horizontally while depositing material.

6.2.3 Analysis

Adaptive voxelization works well for relatively simple prints. Since the mesh is re-voxelized every few layers, no errors can build up to a noticeable extent. Even though small errors can occur between scans, these errors usually don’t matter for simple prints.

6.3 Use for Printing on Auxiliary Objects

Since corrective printing scans the printing area and treats whatever is found there as part of the print, corrective printing can actually be used for printing on auxiliary objects. If the printer is aligned correctly through the procedure detailed in chapter then just printing the object with corrective printing will work well.
Figure 6-5: An image of the auxiliary object.

Figure 6-6: An image of the resulting print printed with corrective printing.
Figure 6-7: Six of the slices that were printed through corrective printing.

Figure 6-5 shows an auxiliary object and figure 6-6 shows a star printed on that object. As can be seen, the printer correctly ignored the top point, since that was higher than the top of the print. In addition, the printer filled in the hole in the middle of the print to the correct height. Figure 6-7 shows six of the slices printed during this sample printing process.

6.4 Height Correction vs. Adaptive Voxelization

Height correction and adaptive voxelization are both valid methods for corrective printing. However, although they are similar and do face some similar problems, each has distinct drawbacks and advantages in certain situations.

First, height correction and adaptive voxelization are similar in that they face difficulties with small errors with complex prints. Both methods only scan every few layers, which means that any errors within those layers will not be detected.
Furthermore, since we are working with additive printing, these errors cannot be fixed. The solution to this for both methods is to increase the scanning frequency. Although only scanning for every single layer will truly fix this problem, prints typically do not require that degree of accuracy.

Height correction is good for prints where an intermediate step needs to be flat, or if the material being printed with requires a flatter surface. Since height correction ensures that the actual height matches the supposed height, the top of the print is usually fairly flat. However, adaptive voxelization may have a rough surface that it is adapting to instead of fixing.

However, since height correction requires a flat surface, it may be slower than adaptive voxelization. The strength of adaptive voxelization is that no fixing is required for printing to continue. This means that the printer functions at its top printing speed, which may not be the predicted printing speed.
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Conclusion

We have developed several improvements to the 3D printing process by using an attached 3D scanner. Information from the 3D scanner gives the printer the ability to adapt to the existing environment while printing, whereas printers without 3D scanning would not be able to adapt.

The 3D scanner gives information to the 3D printer process both before and during printing. Before the printing, the printer can handle information from both the printer itself and any other auxiliary objects. During the printing, the printer reacts to information about the print being currently printed, thus creating a feedback loop of printing and scanning.

7.1 Overview

First, we described the various calibrations done with vision. The two main parts are the camera calibration and the printhead calibration. The camera calibration consists of standard camera calibrations, like intrinsic matrix calibrations, and finding interference in the OCT system. We also provided an automatic process for finding interference. The printhead calibration is for calibrating the printhead positions. The printhead calibration also has a depth-based automatic detection algorithm.

Second, we reviewed interpolation of depth maps with algebraic multigrid. This has both a single-threaded and multi-threaded algorithm, both of which completed
faster than the baseline algorithm. As expected, the multi-threaded algorithm was faster than the single-threaded algorithm.

Third, we covered a major use case of the scanning system in printing on auxiliary objects. We described the procedure taken by the user to initiate this, using the GUI provided. In addition, an automatic alignment feature for aligning scans and meshes was discussed.

Finally, we covered the second major use case of the scanning system in corrective printing, or printing corrections to a print while the printer is still printing. The two main approaches for corrective printing are height correction and adaptive voxelization. Both methods achieved results better than printing without corrective printing.

7.2 Future Work

There are several possible improvements to the scanning system and the accompanying improvements. Many of the possible improvements would require a large-scale change to the current system, thus preventing their implementation. The features to improve are the limitations of OCT as a scanning system and the flaws inherent in the corrective printing.

OCT is used as our 3D scanning system, but has several limitations inherent to how it works. OCT is a light-based scanning system. This means that specular objects, like mirrors, are almost impossible to scan if there is any tilt. Any dark or very diffuse objects are also difficult to scan, since there is not enough reflection into the camera to detect the interference. In order to fix these issues, either a completely new 3D scanning system would have to be built or severe limitations of OCT would have to be overcome.

The frequency of scanning in the corrective printing causes a tradeoff between speed and accuracy. A higher frequency of scanning leads to more accuracy, since errors can be fixed quicker, but takes more time with every scan. A lower frequency of scanning can be very quick, but high-frequency material changes would be ignored in the subsequent correction. Ideally, the system would have a correction method
that is both fast and accurate.

Finally, the scanning itself can take a long time. Depending on the frequency of scanning, just the scanning can cause the print to take twice as long. The scanning speed is unfortunately limited by the movement speed of the platform, since the platform needs to move a certain amount, the shutter speed of the camera, since many images need to be taken, and the amount of images necessary to scan.

For most of these improvements, the OCT system would have to be redesigned or replaced in order to deal with these flaws. However, there is no easy alternative, as the OCT system does appear to be the best option. Significant work can be done in this area to further improve the 3D scanning system.
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