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Delivering safer immunotherapies for cancer
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ABSTRACT

Cancer immunotherapy is now a powerful clinical reality, with a steady progression of new drug approvals and a massive pipeline of additional treatments in clinical and preclinical development. However, modulation of the immune system can be a double-edged sword: Drugs that activate immune effectors are prone to serious nonspecific systemic inflammation and autoimmune side effects. Drug delivery technologies have an important role to play in harnessing the power of immune therapeutics while avoiding on-target/off-tumor toxicities. Here we review mechanisms of toxicity for clinically-relevant immunotherapeutics, and discuss approaches based in drug delivery technology to enhance the safety and potency of these treatments. These include strategies to merge drug delivery with adoptive cellular therapies, targeting immunotherapies to tumors or select immune cells, and localizing therapeutic intratumorally. Rational design employing lessons learned from the drug delivery and nanomedicine fields has the potential to facilitate immunotherapy reaching its full potential.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Immunotherapies, treatments that modulate the immune system, have long been proposed as a potentially powerful approach to “functional” or actual cures of disease, based on the natural function of the immune system in protecting the host and its cardinal features of potency, specificity, and memory [1]. Motivated by these features, immunotherapies are now in preclinical and clinical development for treatment of diverse infectious diseases, autoimmune, allergies, transplant rejection, graft vs. host disease, and cancer. Among these therapeutic areas, cancer immunotherapy in particular has experienced dramatic recent progress in the clinic [2,3]. For many years, cancer immunotherapies were plagued by high toxicity, low to negligible efficacy, or both. However, steady advances in fundamental cancer immunology and translational immunotherapy have now led to two classes of treatment with significant impact in advanced cancer patients – adoptive cell therapy (ACT), based on the injection of autologous tumor-directed T cells [4,5]; and checkpoint blockade, treatment with antibodies that block the inhibitory receptors cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) or programmed death-1 (PD-1, or its counter-receptors PD-L1/PD-L2) [6,7]. ACT therapy in patients with advanced metastatic melanoma and several hematologic cancers has shown a high proportion of complete responses (complete elimination of detectable tumor burden), some of which are durable responses lasting many years [8]. Treatment with ipilimumab, a fullyhuman anti-CTLA-4 antibody, has led to complete responses in approximately 20% of advanced melanoma patients, with durations lasting >10 years [9]. Treatment with PD-1 blocking antibodies has elicited objective responses in a variety of solid tumors including melanoma, lung cancer, prostate cancer, breast cancer, ovarian cancer, head and neck cancer, and a subset of colorectal cancers [6]. Reflecting their complementary modes of action, combination therapy with anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD1 has led to even greater response rates in melanoma patients, where a significant fraction of patients exhibit complete tumor regressions in a space of ~10 weeks [10,11].

These findings have energized the field and motivated a massive effort to further explore combination immunotherapies that optimally arm the immune system against metastatic disease, but the power of the immune system creates the potential for not only a dramatic attack on tumors but also a significant danger to healthy tissues. For example, monotherapy with anti-CTLA-4, which both blocks a negative regulatory signal during T cell activation and inhibits the function of regulatory T cells, leads to a series of autoimmune side effects, including gastrointestinal toxicity, pruritis, and fatigue, side effects which become grade 3 or 4 severe adverse events in ~23% of patients [12]. When anti-CTLA-4 is combined with anti-PD-1, enhanced anti-tumor activity comes at the cost of synergistically exacerbated toxicity; ~55% of previously untreated melanoma patients given the combination experienced grade 3 or 4 adverse events [11,12]. As discussed in detail in this review, serious toxicities are characteristic of a broad range of immunomodulatory drugs. Thus, a looming challenge in the field is the development of effective strategies to harness the potential of combination treatments while avoiding debilitating toxicities that prevent immunotherapies from reaching their full curative potential. Clinical studies are already under way seeking to optimize timing and dosing to limit the toxicity of these promising immunotherapy drugs, but in the setting of intravenous administration – believed to be key for systemically modulating the immune response against disseminated tumors – dosing schedules with high safety and high efficacy are often diametrically opposed.

In this review, we discuss the potential for drug delivery technologies spanning a range of approaches to enhance immunotherapies, with a particular emphasis on the potential for enhancing the safety of immunomodulatory drugs. We first review representative mechanisms of immune toxicity from immunotherapy agents of both clinical and preclinical interest, separating systemic and local (i.e. intratumoral) drug administration issues. We then discuss approaches to ameliorate these toxicities based in concepts from the field of drug delivery, employing technologies ranging from nanoparticles to synthetic biology. The immune system as a target for therapy presents several challenges and opportunities relative to somatic tissues: Immune cells circulate through the blood, creating the potential for efficient direct targeting of therapeutics to these cells (relative to, for example, targeting drugs to tumor cells); and immune cells proliferate, providing a source for self-amplification of small doses of appropriately-targeted drugs. However, there is a need to direct immunomodulatory drugs to tumor-specific cells rather than stimulating the entire leukocyte compartment non-specifically, and these cells may be preferentially enriched at tumor sites and tumor-draining lymph nodes. There are thus both challenges and opportunities for the field of drug delivery to impact cancer immunotherapy.

2. Mechanisms of toxicity elicited by immunotherapies

To rationally approach strategies for increasing the safety of systemic immunotherapies, an understanding of mechanisms underlying the toxicity of systemically-administered immunoregulatory drugs is needed. In this section, we review the mechanisms of toxicity underlying several important classes of immunotherapy agents: interleukin-2, representative of several important γ‐chain cytokines that promote lymphocyte proliferation and effector function; agonistic antibodies against the costimulatory receptors CD137 (also known as 41BB) and CD28, representative of agonistic antibodies against lymphocyte costimulatory molecules; and the checkpoint blockade agents anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1. A discussion of all immunoregulatory agents in preclinical and clinical testing for cancer immunotherapy is beyond the scope of any single review, but these example biologics represent 3 important distinct mechanisms of immunomodulation relevant to much of the ongoing clinical development of immunotherapy.

2.1. Interleukin-2 as a paradigm for approved but toxic immunotherapy

Systemic high-dose interleukin-2 (IL-2) was one of the first immunotherapy agents to be licensed for cancer therapy, approved by the FDA for metastatic melanoma and renal cell carcinoma (RCC) treatment in 1992. IL-2 was first isolated as a factor promoting the growth of activated T cells, but also stimulates natural killer (NK) cells, both of which motivated its use as a cancer therapeutic. However, it is now also conversely known to also promote activation-induced cell death of stimulated T cells and maintains the survival and function of regulatory T-cells, which restrain the effector arms of the immune system to maintain tolerance and protect healthy tissues from autoimmune attack [13]. Interleukin-2 biology is further complicated by the nature of its tripartite receptor, which is comprised of the IL-2R α chain (CD25), β chain (CD122), and common γ chain (CD132) [13]. Differential expression of the three components of the IL-2R leads to different signaling and functional outcomes on different cell types at different stages of activation.

Based on dosing schedules established clinically in the 1980s, IL-2 is approved as a “high dose” (HD) IL-2 therapy for melanoma and RCC administered intravenously every 8 h for up to 14 total doses [14]. Although much is made in the current renaissance of cancer immunotherapy around the “tail of the curve” effect, where a small proportion of patients treated with checkpoint blockade become long-term survivors [9], such durable increases in survival were already seen in the early 1990s in patients treated with IL-2, where ~12% of patients treated with HDIL-2 at the National Cancer Institute had survival of at least 10 years [14]. Although HDIL-2 elicits objective responses in ~18% of patients, it is also extremely toxic. The very short half-life of IL-2 (~12 min [15]) leads to a requirement for high doses to be administered in order for functional levels to be maintained for a sufficient timespan. High level dosing in turn leads to dose-related toxicities including vascular leak syndrome (VLS) and cytokine release syndrome, a massive systemic cytokine release and inflammatory reaction caused by IL-2 immune stimulation [16]; lethal adverse events were found in 2% of patients [14]. These issues
mean that IL-2 therapy requires careful selection of patients with analyses of baseline cardiac risk factors, performance status, and biomarker analysis. IL-2’s modes of action in expanding T-cells and NK cells make it a logical candidate for combination immunotherapies, but lethal toxicities were seen when HDIL-2 was administered after checkpoint blockade [17] or tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy [18].

The toxicity of IL-2 is multifactorial and involves a complex set of interactions, most notably between immune cells and the vascular endothelium. IL-2 therapy has an acute impact on circulating effector lymphocytes, with a rapid transient induction of lymphopenia. IL-2-activated cells strongly bind to endothelial cells (ECs), leading to endothelial cell cytotoxicity by NK cells and granulocytes [19–21]. In addition, IL-2-induced pulmonary edema is promoted by the interaction of IL-2 with functional IL-2 receptors (IL-2R) expressed on lung endothelial cells; blocking IL-2 interactions with IL-2Rα (CD25) abrogates IL-2-mediated pulmonary edema [22–24]. The endothelial cell damage caused by IL-2-activated host effector cells and/or cytokines and chemokines released in response to IL-2 (e.g., IFN-γ and TNF-α) contribute to VLS and systemic toxicity [25–30]. The role of cytokines in the development of VLS is related to both their direct effect on increasing the permeability of the vascular endothelium and their effects on leukocyte and EC activation [31]. Activation of both ECs and monocytes/neutrophils by cytokines results in the release of large amounts of nitric oxide (NO) [32,33], which further damage ECs and enhance the adhesion of neutrophils through upregulation of adhesion receptors [34]. Administration of NO inhibitors has been reported to decrease IL-2-induced vascular toxicity in mice [30], and it has been shown that NK cell depletion protects mice from IL-2-induced VLS [35,36]. Neutrophils also play a critical role in VLS by adhering to ECs and inducing lysis via reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) and proteases [32,37,38]. IL-2 also leads to complement activation which induces mast cell degranulation, resulting in the release of vasoactive mediators and an increase in vascular permeability [39].

The efficacy of IL-2 has fueled much interest in strategies to mitigate its toxicity, as discussed in the subsequent sections below. Continuous intravenous infusion of low dose IL-2 is less toxic than bolus injection of high dose IL-2, but the therapeutic efficacy is also compromised [40,41]. Strategies strictly localizing IL-2 to tumors or tumor-draining lymph nodes (discussed further below) lack the toxicity of i.v. administration [42–44], suggesting that IL-2’s toxicity is linked to systemic exposure. Thus, approaches to target IL-2 to specific cell types, engineer its interaction with different cell subsets, or localize it to tumors are all strategies of interest to improve its therapeutic index.

2.2. Checkpoint blockade

For many in the general clinical oncology world, the real breakthrough in cancer immunotherapy came with the results from recent clinical trials of so-called “checkpoint blockade” antibodies – antibodies that block negative regulatory signals restraining the host T-cell response against tumors. Two well studied checkpoint receptors, cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and programmed death-1 (PD-1), are expressed on T-cells and act to diminish responses in early activated and mature peripherally disseminated T-cells, respectively. T-cells are activated when the T-cell receptor binds peptide presented in the major histocompatibility complex on antigen presenting cells (APCs, especially dendritic cells) and costimulatory receptors on the T-cell and APC are co-ligated (e.g., CD28 on the T-cell binding to CD80 and CD86 on APCs). CTLA-4 is upregulated on T-cells during early stages of activation, with expression increasing around 2 days after encounter with cognate peptide presented on APCs in lymphoid organs [12]. As a high

![Fig. 1. Mechanisms of action for immunomodulatory antibodies. (A) T-cells are activated by APCs presenting specific peptide-MHC complexes in tandem with signals from both positive (e.g., CD28, CD137) and negative (e.g., CTLA-4) costimulatory receptors binding cognate partners on the APC surface. Anti-CTLA-4 blocks receipt of negative regulatory signals from CTLA-4 engagement to boost T-cell priming by APCs. (B) Anti-PD-1 augments T-cell function in the effector phase by blocking negative regulatory signals delivered by PD-L1 expressed on tumor cells or PD-L2 expressed by APCs and other cells. Anti-CD137 can also boost T-cell effector function through crosslinking of the CD137 costimulatory receptor and/or clustering receptors by antibody displayed on APCs through their Fcγ receptors. (C) In murine models antibodies have been utilized to bind cells and initiate their depletion/killing through complement mediated cytotoxicity and antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). This mechanism can be applied directly to tumor cells. Anti-CTLA-4 antibodies also act to boost the immune response by triggering ADCC-mediated depletion of intratumoral Tregs that express high levels of CTLA-4 receptor.](image-url)
affinity homologue of CD28, CTLA-4 serves to interrupt costimulation signals from CD28 to the newly activated T-cells by competition for CD80/CD86 ligands, and thereby dampens the immune response [Fig. 1A] [45]. Inhibition of CTLA-4 using monoclonal antibodies lifts the inhibitory effects of CTLA-4, but only on activated T-cells [46]. In addition, CTLA-4 is highly expressed on regulatory T cells (Tregs); therefore, in mice, administration of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies has been shown to deplete Tregs specifically from the tumor microenvironment where CTLA-4 is most highly expressed by these cells [(47–49). In contrast to CTLA-4, PD-1 is primarily expressed on T cells in the periphery and in the tumor microenvironment [(Fig. 1B) [12]. Interaction with one of its two ligands (PD-L1 or PD-L2) downregulates antigen receptor signaling in mature T-cells and decreases the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines. PD-1 expression is present during T-cell effector phases and on re-exposure to antigen [50]. Blockade of the PD-1 interaction with its ligand can transiently reverse exhaustion of T-cells in the periphery [51].

These distinct mechanisms of action have led to therapeutic blocking antibodies targeting CTLA-4, PD-1, or PD-1’s ligands. Ipilimumab, an antibody that blocks CTLA-4 was approved in 2011 following a pivotal trial demonstrating its ability to improve long term overall survival in advanced metastatic melanoma - the first new drug for advanced melanoma approved in >30 years [52]. Ipilimumab therapy leads to complete tumor regressions lasting at least 10 years in ~20% of treated melanoma patients [9]. Following on the heels of ipilimumab’s approval, several antibodies blocking PD-1 (expressed by T-cells) binding to its ligands PD-L1 or PD-L2 (expressed by many cells, including tumor cells) – entered the clinic and have shown even more impressive initial responses, with 30–50% of patients in diverse diseases ranging from melanoma to lung cancer exhibiting objective tumor regressions [53–55]. The ability of PD-1 blockade to elicit responses in solid tumors not previously viewed as “immunogenic” (e.g., lung cancer) heralded a new era of promise for immunotherapies. However, “taking the brakes off” of the immune system with systemic checkpoint blockade, leads (not unexpectedly) to toxicities, which are amplified when these drugs are employed in combination.

Ipilimumab therapy elicits adverse events, with 60–65% of patients experiencing immune related adverse events (irAEs) at a moderate dose of 3 mg/kg every three weeks [52,56]. In two large clinical trials, the most common adverse events included pruritus (25–35%), diarrhea (23–33%), rash (15–33%), and fatigue (15–28%) [11,57]. Serious Grade 3 and 4 adverse events occur in 20–27% of patients, the most frequent being gastrointestinal toxicities resulting in enterocolitis and diarrhea (6–8% of grade 3–4 events) [11]. Of the 14 patients who died in the phase III clinical trial mentioned above, 7 died due to immune-related adverse events [11,52,56,57]. Overall, ipilimumab elicits broad irAEs of the skin, gastrointestinal tract, and endocrine system.

Anti-CTLA-4 irAEs may manifest from depletion of regulatory T cells, as evidenced by the need for depleting antibody isoforms (such as IgG2a) capable of engaging Fcy receptors to mediate antitumor activity in mouse models [48,58,59]. CTLA-4 blocking antibodies of IgG2a isotype yielded depletion of regulatory T-cells at tumor sites (Fig. 1C) and an increase in CD8+ T effector cells in the periphery, while other isoforms expanded both regulatory and effector T-cells in the periphery [59]. Some evidence however demonstrates that the depletion of regulatory T-cells is context specific and limited to the tumor microenvironment, due to the high frequency of Fcy receptor-expressing tumor associated macrophages, though depletion of regulatory T-cells in the periphery remains conceivable [48]. Regulatory T-cells are known to maintain tolerance and restrict lymphocytic infiltration to mucosal linings of organs including the lungs and gastrointestinal tract [60]. Depletion of such regulatory T-cells in the intestines may account for increased intraepithelial lymphocytes and leukocyte infiltration in the lamina propria of ipilimumab-treated patients as revealed by endoscopic analysis, thus causing irAEs such as diarrhea [61,62].

PD-1 blockade antibodies have in general shown less serious side effects compared to anti-CTLA-4 in humans, with only ~15% of patients experiencing serious adverse events, primarily pneumonitis. For comparison, in phase I dose escalation studies, administration of nivolumab (one of the approved anti-PD-1 antibodies) resulted in grade 3–4 adverse events in only 14% of patients compared to the 20–27% in early ipilimumab trials. Lastly, irAEs of any grade affected only 41% of patients compared to ipilimumab’s 60–65% [63]. Consistent with their distinct mechanisms of action, the immune related adverse events differ between anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapeutics: anti-PD-1 irAEs include rash, hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, pneumonitis, diarrhea, and elevated aminotransferase levels [12]. A large phase III trial confirmed that both nivolumab and pembrolizumab (both now clinically approved anti-PD-1 antibodies) induce fewer adverse events than ipilimumab [64].

Mechanistic differences between PD-1 and CTLA-4 receptors are likely responsible for the differences in adverse outcomes and better tolerability of PD-1 checkpoint blockade. Given that CTLA-4 is expressed on all T cells around the time of activation in lymphoid tissue, broad spectrum autoimmunity can result from blockade of CTLA-4. Additionally, as noted above administration of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies in mice depletes regulatory T cells, which play an important role in restraining autoimmune attack of host tissue [12], and systemic depletion of Tregs leads to fatal autoimmune pathology. Such a mechanism would motivate strategies to localize the action of anti-CTLA-4 in vivo, but this phenomenon remains unconfirmed in humans so far. Unlike CTLA-4, the PD-1 receptor is largely expressed on antigen re-exposed cells in the periphery; therefore, a smaller pool of T-cells are likely affected by treatment against anti-PD-1 antibodies. Because expression of CTLA-4 is dependent on initial priming of T-cells, the onset of irAEs and therapeutic efficacy from anti-CTLA-4 antibodies is often delayed compared to PD-1 blockade, which reactivates T-cells already present in the tumor microenvironment [12]. The varying populations of T-cells targeted by checkpoint blockade antibodies may explain the severity and time to onset of immune-related adverse events.

CTLA-4 and PD-1 are just the first successfully targeted inhibitory receptors on lymphocytes, two members of a large collection of negative regulatory receptors expressed by T-cells. A non-exhaustive list of T-cell-expressed negative regulatory receptors explored in preclinical studies and clinical trials includes Lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG-3), T cell membrane protein 3 (TIM-3), T-cell immunoreceptor with immunoglobulin and ITIM domains (TIGIT), V-domain Ig suppressor of T-regulatory T-cells (VISTA), adenosine A2a receptor (A2aR), and B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA) [65–68]. In addition, because of their distinct mechanisms of action (despite their classification together in the immune-oncology world as checkpoint blockade agents), therapies combining anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 were obvious to explore, and showed promising synergy in preclinical mouse models [69]. Recently, the first trials of ipilimumab combined with the PD-1 blocking antibody nivolumab in melanoma were completed, and showed that while the combination achieves a striking increase in efficacy – with 75% of patients experiencing objective responses – this enhanced efficacy was accompanied by a concomitant increase in serious toxicities, with 53% of patients experiencing grade 3 or 4 serious adverse events [10,11,70]. Grade 3–4 toxicities were commonly characterized by elevated lipase, aspartate aminotransferase, and alanine aminotransferase levels, indicating pancreas and liver toxicities. Unexpected side effects including acute onset diabetes and diabetic ketoacidosis were noted in 17 patients co-treated with ipilimumab and nivolumab [72]. Often these autoimmune adverse effects do not present until weeks to months after treatment. Similar toxicities appear to be prevalent in a phase I study of a different anti-CTLA-4 antibody (tremelimunab) in combination with an anti-PD-L1 antibody (durvalumab) tested in NSCLC patients [73]. Recently reported interim results of an ongoing phase II trial of ipilimumab combined with nivolumab in recurrent small cell lung cancer reported a lower incidence rate of serious side effects but also lower levels of overall response [74]. Adjustment of dosing schedules in a phase I study in non-small cell lung cancer patients (nivolumab
23. Agonist antibodies against immune costimulatory receptors

CTLA-4 and PD-1 represent important inhibitory receptors that restrain T-cell priming and effector functions. These negative regulatory receptors are counter-balanced by a suite of positive costimulatory receptors that support T-cell activation. Canonical examples include CD28, CD137 (also known as 4-1BB), and CD134 (also known as OX40 or Tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) superfamily member 4), but like the negative regulatory receptors, a large collection of these receptors (the TNFR superfamily) has been discovered. These proteins are expressed by T-cells during activation by antigen presenting cells, and bind to counter receptors expressed on the APC surface, providing signaling synergistic to triggering of the T-cell receptor promoting T-cell expansion, survival, and effector functions [77]. These receptors are also expressed by other immune cells, such as natural killer cells. The natural mode of costimulation from these receptors occurs at cell-cell contacts, but this signaling can alternatively be induced by cross-linking of costimulatory receptors on T-cells by agonistic antibodies. This represents an additional strategy for immunomodulation, and antibodies directed against costimulatory molecules such as CD137, OX40, and CD28, represent another class of promising cancer immunotherapies limited clinically by systemic toxicity. Though capable of amplifying tumor-specific cytotoxic T cells, agonistic antibodies to T-cell costimulatory molecules have on-target off-tumor effects due to the presence of their ligands on non-tumor-specific T-cells and other immune subtypes, as well as in some cases expression by other non-immune cell populations. Off-tumor effects and deregulated production of proinflammatory cytokines have led to dose limiting toxicities of both anti-CD137 and anti-CD28 antibodies. Their mode of action—actively triggering intracellular signaling rather than simply blocking a ligand-receptor interaction, means that such antibodies function distinctly from the checkpoint blockade antibodies described above. Antibodies are comprised of two antigen-binding Fab domains and a rear Fc domain that can be bound by Fc receptors (FCRs). Agonist antibodies against the TNFR superfamily member CD40 have formally been shown to require an ability for their Fc regions to bind Fcγ receptors for their activity in mice [78,79], suggesting that the antibodies are “presented” from the surface of FCR-expressing cells and crosslink CD40 receptors on a neighboring cell in a manner mimicking the natural cell-cell engagement in T-cell/APC contacts (Fig. 1B). Similarly, agonist antibodies against OX40, CD137, and CD27 require or show greatly enhanced activity if they are competent for FcR binding [80,81].

CD137-targeting antibodies provide a useful case study of this class of agonist antibody therapeutics. CD137 is expressed on the surface of activated CD8+ T cells, and to a lesser extent on CD4+ T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, NKT cells, regulatory T cells, dendritic cells, macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils [82]. In addition, this receptor has been found to be expressed on vascular and lymphoid endothelial cells at sites of inflammation and tumor vasculature [83–85]. Its natural ligand, CD137L, is present on antigen presenting cells. As described above, engagement of CD137 on T-cells by CD137L results in enhanced T-cell proliferation, production of proinflammatory cytokines, and protection from activation-induced apoptosis [86]. Due to expansion of memory T-cells directed against tumor antigen, administration of anti-CD137 agonistic antibodies in preclinical mouse models has significant anti-tumor activity [87,88]. For example, mice treated solely with anti-CD137 showed complete regression of tumors in a mastocytoma model [89]. As a monotherapy anti-CD137 activates endogenous tumor-reactive T-cells, but in combination with adoptive T-cell transfer yielded 80% survival in a thymoma model [87]. Similarly, combinations of anti-CD137 with chemotherapy, irradiation, and tumor lysate pulsed dendritic cells are also effective [90–92]. NK cell function is also enhanced by anti-CD137 therapy, though NK cells play an auxiliary role to T-cells in the anti-tumor activity of anti-CD137 agonists [86,93]. Efficacy in most examined syngeneic mouse models is dependent on CD8+ T-cells though CD4+ T-cells, NK cells, cross-presenting dendritic cells, and IFN-γ production were proven to contribute in some tumor models [87,88,94].

Despite these promising therapeutic outcomes, anti-CD137 treatment was noted to induce dysregulated hematopoiesis and liver dysfunction in preclinical murine studies. Increased T-cell infiltrates in the liver, hepatitis, lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia, splenomegaly, hepato-megaly, and lymphadenopathy have been reported [94]. Additional side effects — namely alopecia, scaly skin, and increased AST and ALT levels — appear more characteristic of systemic inflammation [95]. Systemic cytokine release syndrome is also observed with elevated systemic levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-12, and type I interferons in mice treated with anti-CD137 monotherapy [93,96]. TNF-α produced by CD8+ T-cells was shown to be critical in the development of splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, hepatomegaly, and hepatitis. Conversely, IFN-γ and type I interferons contributed to the expansion of blood cells and mislocalization of T-cells, but were non-essential to the overall development of toxicity. These toxicities are T-cell- and CD137-dependent, as they are alleviated in Rag-/- and CD137-/- mice [94]. Of note, the increased mononuclear cell accumulation in the portal areas of the liver was dependent on polyclonal T-cell expansion: Due to the lack of oligoclonal T-cells in the liver, it is presumed that intra-liver T-cells are not directed against self-antigens [96]. Localization of these cytotoxic cells to the liver contributes to apotosis of hepatocytes and subsequent hepatitis [94,96]. The mechanisms governing anti-CD137 induced hepatotoxicity remain ill defined; however, preliminary evidence suggests IL-27 produced by anti-CD137 stimulated myeloid subsets mediates the recruitment and activation of liver damaging T cells. Additionally, depletion of FoxP3+ regulatory T-cells aggravates liver toxicity, suggesting a role for Tregs in restraint of anti-CD137 initiated immune responses [97].

In spite of the adverse events in mice, studies by Bristol Myers Squibb in cynomolgus monkeys demonstrated tolerability of the anti-CD137 agonistic antibody urelumab, with no hepatic side effects at doses up to 100 mg/kg, warranting a transition to human clinical trials [98]. Urelumab was first tested in melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, and other advanced solid tumors [99]. During dose escalation of urelumab, dose limiting grades 3 and 4 neutropenia were accompanied by frequent yet mild adverse outcomes including leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and hyperbilirubinemia, mirroring toxicity results in mice. In this study, partial remissions and stabilized tumor growth justified further study of urelumab. Several clinical studies of urelumab in combination with checkpoint blockade and other cancer treatments such as chemotherapy and adoptive cell transfer have subsequently moved forward, despite urelumab toxicities resulting from broad expression of CD137 on many leukocyte populations (see clinicaltrials.gov). Most adverse events from urelumab have been managed with corticosteroids and anti-TNF-α antibodies; however, further clinical progress with anti-CD137 will require a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of toxicity [100].
A more dramatic cautionary tale for agonistic antibodies can be found in CD28 superagonists (CD28SAs). Preclinical studies in rats, non-human primates, and cultures of human cells failed to predict nearly lethal cytokine release syndrome in the six healthy volunteers first dosed with TeGenero’s CD28SA TGN1412 [101]. Anti-CD28 superagonist antibodies are capable of activating T-cells through the CD28 costimulatory receptor in the absence of the classical “signal one” stimulus from peptide-MHC binding the T cell receptor [102]. Crosslinking of CD28 using superagonist antibodies led to proliferation of all subsets T-cells in mouse and rat models [103]. In rodents CD28SAs also trigger the rapid expansion of Tregs, allowing for potential applications in autoimmune disease in addition to cancer [104]. However, when applied in healthy human volunteers, the release of proinflammatory cytokines was so significant that all six patients were hospitalized, indicating major shortcomings in the extensive preclinical research [105].

Six years of investigation were required to uncover the underlying causes of the toxicity and why the adverse outcomes weren’t predicted preclinically. Ultimately, discrepancies between the clinical and preclinical data were ascribed to 1) differences in the balance of Treg and T effector memory cells in humans and rodents, 2) loss of CD28 in T effector memory cells during CD4+ cell differentiation in primates but not humans, and 3) failure of human PBMC culture conditions to adequately recapitulate the tonic TCR signals found when T-cells are present at high densities promoting extensive cell-cell contacts as in lymphoid tissues [105]. In mice, two waves of T-cell expansion arise after administration of CD28SAs – the first a rise in conventional T cells and Tregs, the second a Treg-exclusive expansion [106]. The limited number of expanded conventional CD4+ T-cells and high percentage of Treg cells restrains an inflammatory immune response in rodents. The two wave model does not hold true in humans [107–109]. In humans, primarily CD4+ T effector memory cells are activated upon CD28SA administration [110]. These cells are tissue resident T-cells which accumulate over time to quickly respond to antigen rechallenge. The activation of T effector memory cells in humans without suppression by Tregs was one leading cause of cytokine release syndrome. Accumulation of T effector memory cells causes loss of CD28 expression in macaque but not humans, explaining the inadequacy of the non-human primate studies [110]. Lastly, testing of CD28SAs on human PBMC in culture did not predict cytokine storm because PBMC grown in low density non-adherent culture fail to recapitulate the tonic TCR signal present in T-cell populations [111]. Treatment of high density human PBMC culture with CD28SAs revealed enhanced proliferation of T-cells and proinflammatory cytokine production lacking in earlier studies. Cell-cell contacts in these altered culture conditions allowed for minimal residual TCR activation in T-cells required for the function of CD28 superagonists [111]. The development of CD28SAs demonstrates the difficulty is translating safe immunotherapies from preclinical models to human trials.

Currently CD28SAs are not being clinically explored for cancer treatment, however, the agonist described above (TGN1412) is undergoing testing at lower doses for use in autoimmune diseases [112]. Newy developed human PBMC culture assays are utilized to test the compound, now named TAB08. At 1000-fold lower concentrations than used in the disastrous phase I clinical trial in 2006, TAB08 was well tolerated. At these low doses, TAB08 is expected to induce expansion of Treg populations and production of anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL-10 for use in autoimmune disease indications [112].

Altogether, similar to the checkpoint blockade antibodies, a general conclusion in the development of agonist immunomodulatory antibodies (and related recombinant agonist ligands) is that broad nonspecific stimulation of all leukocytes (or other cell types) expressing any given regulatory receptor is liable to be fraught with systemic toxicities arising from on-target, off-tumor stimulation of cells in the blood or healthy tissues. These observations motivate strategies to engineer control over multiple facets of immune stimulation: what cellular subsets are stimulated, where are they stimulated, and for what duration does stimulation last.

2.4. Tumor targeting antibodies

Antibodies recognizing tumor antigens can also be utilized as immunologic agents to promote tumor cell death. When directed against tumors, antibodies can facilitate a host of effects both immune system-dependent and –independent, including direct blockade of intracellular signaling, induction of signaling-based apoptosis, enhanced sensitivity to chemotherapy, complement mediated cytotoxicity (CMC), and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) often mediated by NK cells [113]. In many cases, evidence suggests antibodies originally developed to block oncogenic receptor signaling also act through immune-dependent mechanisms. For example, trastuzumab is an approved anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2) monoclonal antibody; adjuvant administration of trastuzumab in breast cancer patients results in a 23–35% increase overall survival [119]. HER2 is a transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor hosting a host of cellular functions including proliferation through activation of the MAPK pathway [114]. HER2 amplification is detectable in approximately 30% of human breast cancers; overexpression and mutations induce receptor dimerization and near-constitutive activation of proliferation and anti-apoptotic pathways [115,116]. Trastuzumab binds to the extracellular portion of HER2, decreasing receptor dimerization and therefore intracellular signaling, increasing endocytosis of HER2, and inhibiting shedding of the extracellular domain of HER2 [117]. However, studies regarding Fc receptor polymorphisms in cancer patients also suggest that trastuzumab therapy may rely on immune effectors and ADCC by NK cells and monocytes for efficacy [118].

Many tumor targeting antibodies, trastuzumab included, have quite favorable safety profiles, though rare incidences of grade 3 and 4 toxicities have been noted. Trastuzumab, for example, is well-tolerated; however, cardiotoxicity remains a concern due to on-target off-tumor effects on HER2 expressing cardiomyocytes and cardiac stem cells [120]. Cardiac dysfunction is prevalent in 8% of patients treated with trastuzumab alone and increases to 30% in patients on concurrent anthracyclines [121,122]. HER2 has been implicated in repair of cardiomyocytes following anthracycline-induced and reactive oxygen species induced damage, suggesting that combination of trastuzumab with anthracyclines leads to on-target cardiac damage [123,124]. Cardiotoxicity ranges from decreased left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) to congestive heart failure. Comparison of a short 6 month trastuzumab regimen to a 12 month regimen reveals increased risk of LVEF decline with longer exposure to trastuzumab, though more study is needed to confirm these results [125]. Meta-analysis of 10,000 patients determined a risk ratio of 5.11 (p ≤ 0.0001) for congestive heart failure with trastuzumab compared to control populations [125]. While cardiotoxicity poses a serious threat to trastuzumab treated patients, identification of risk factors and patient surveillance are likely to limit treatment related deaths and hospitalizations [120].

Similarly, in the case of rituximab, an anti-CD20 human-mouse chimeric antibody developed for its ability to deplete CD20-expressing B cells via CMC and ADCC in patients with B-cell lymphoma, toxicities are typically mild, though serious adverse events are noted in a portion of the patient population. Common grade 1 and 2 events include pruritus, nausea, dizziness, and fevers. Serious infusion-related events such as anaphylaxis and myocardial infarction have occurred after initial rituximab administration, though these side effects are rarely fatal and can be managed with acetaminophen and antihistamines [126]. Additionally, increased rates of infection (8.1% of patients receiving rituximab, 3.9% in control arm) and neutropenia (13.4% of patients receiving rituximab, 8.3% in control arm) were noted [127], with the former likely related to loss of normal B cells during rituximab treatment.
Patients on a rituximab maintenance regimen also experienced more infection-related adverse events compared to patients on observation alone (Risk ratio 1.99) [128]. Grade 3 and 4 infection-related adverse events all required hospitalization; patients on rituximab had more of these severe events than the control population (Risk ratio 2.90) [128]. Non-infection related respiratory adverse events including cough, dyspnea, and sinusitis afflict 38% of patients receiving rituximab. Meta-analysis of clinical studies up to June 2010 report 121 cases of rituximab-associated interstitial lung disease (ILD) characterized by diffuse bilateral lung infiltrates and hypoxaemia with ILD fatalities occurring in 18 patients [129]. Altogether, the side effects from tumor targeting antibodies are generally mild and grade 3/4 adverse events are rare, but the ability of antibodies to engage cellular components of the immune system remains an issue that requires careful consideration during antibody development, especially when overexpressed self-antigens present in healthy tissues are targeted.

2.5. Local administration of immunotherapy agents

One simple approach to mitigate immune toxicity has been the local injection of immunomodulatory drugs directly into accessible lesions, either primary tumors or metastases. Such an approach is predicated on the expectation that locally-administered drugs will be preferentially retained at the injected tumor site, and that such retention might be favored if concentrated local delivery allows the drug to be given at lower doses than used systemically. Local injections have thus been explored both preclinically and clinically for a variety of immunotherapy drugs. Local therapy can be considered in any cancer where primary or metastatic lesions are accessible either directly or through surgery, and thus a great variety of tumors have been treated clinically through local therapy administration, for example melanoma, breast cancer, ovarian cancer, bladder cancer, lymphoma, and lung metastases in multiple diseases [130–135].

Local administration of immunotherapy is motivated by the hypothesis that the immune system, if stimulated locally, can disseminate from the treatment site to attack other tumors which did not directly receive any of the immunotherapy drug – if correct, this idea formalizes one of the cardinal distinctions between immunotherapy and traditional tumor-directed chemotherapy. Presently, several clinical and preclinical studies provide evidence in favor of this hypothesis. For many years, it has been known that some patients who receive radiation therapy at one selected tumor exhibit regressions of distal untreated lesions; this phenomenon was termed the abscopal effect in the 1950s by Robert Mole [136]. Only recently, as the role of the immune system in the response to many traditional cancer therapies has become more clear, was it demonstrated in preclinical mouse models that the abscopal effect is dependent on the host immune system [137], and that in fact radiation treatment of tumors acts through the innate immune system to amplify the adaptive immune response [138–140]. Similar abscopal-like responses have now been reported in preclinical studies and clinical trials of several types of immunotherapy: For example, local intratumoral injection of an oncolytic virus combined with systemic anti-CTLA-4 led to rejection of both treated and untreated tumors [141]. Intratumoral injection of anti-CTLA-4 with the immune-agonist antibody anti-OX40 and the Toll like receptor agonist CpG led to depletion of regulatory T-cells in the injected tumors, followed by systemic tumor regression [142]. Phase I studies of intratumoral CpG combined with local radiation therapy elicited partial responses in un.injected lesions of both lymphoma and mycosis fungoides patients [134,143]. Seung et al. found that a combination of localized radiation treatment with systemic IL-2 in melanoma patients led to a high proportion of complete or partial responses (74%), correlating with expanded CD4+ T-cell responses in the peripheral blood [144]. These are just a few examples of systemic responses to local immunotherapy, which has also been termed intratumoral vaccination or in situ vaccination based on the concept of the treated tumor itself serving as a source of antigens for priming new T-cell responses in draining lymph nodes [145–148].

Local immunotherapy thus has clinical relevancy for both primary and metastatic disease; however, intratumoral injection of free therapeutics does not necessarily limit systemic exposure to toxic immunotherapies. Compounds injected into the intratumoral/peritumoral space may reach systemic circulation via lymphatic drainage or by direct access through leaky tumor vasculature. By definition, such systemic dissemination raises the potential for systemic toxicity mirroring direct intravenous administration. For example, intratumoral injections of agonist antibodies or cytokines in mouse models of solid tumors has resulted in the rapid appearance of high serum concentrations of these agents [43,149,150]. The dissemination of these compounds into the systemic circulation can result in significant weight loss, systemic cytokine storms, and even lethality from systemic immunotoxicity [43]. Intratumoral administration also does not provide persistent stimulation at the tumor site; for example 48 h after intratumoral injection of an agonistic anti-CD40, the antibody was nearly undetectable in tumors by immunohistochemistry [149]. Similarly, intratumoral or peritumoral injections of other cytokines, antibodies, and TLR agonists have all been shown to lead to systemic dissemination of these agents and often, systemic toxicity in mouse models [149–152]. These preclinical results echo findings in the clinic: In phase I studies of recombinant IL-12 and TNF-α, patients receiving intratumoral injections showed the recombinant cytokines at high levels in plasma within 30 min after injection, indicating a lack of local retention [153,154]; systemic levels of IFN-γ and IL-10 and fever-like systems were elevated within 4–8 hours post-injection and did not return to background levels for 48 h [154]. Other studies of intratumorally-injected cytokines where dissemination of the drug was not characterized reported toxicities equivalent to systemic injections, suggesting systemic exposure [155]. Trials of low doses of IFN-γ injected intratumorally have shown good safety profiles, but also lacked efficacy, which may reflect the low doses and/or poor retention of the therapeutic in the injected lesions [156]. Thus, local injection is a well characterized strategy to alter the pharmacokinetics of drug treatments, but this simple approach does not fully isolate immunotherapies from the systemic circulation. Taking full advantage of abscopal-like effects of immunotherapies while mitigating systemic toxicities requires strategies to locally target and retain drugs in the tumor microenvironment.

3. Engineering safer local therapies

The previous two sections highlight a variety of challenges associated with the yin and yang of efficacy vs. toxicity in both systemic and local immunotherapy. Though it is clear that dosing parameters have a significant impact on safety and therapeutic outcome [157], these challenges often cannot be solved by optimizing dosing and timing alone (e.g., lowering dose increases safety but lowers efficacy). Drug delivery technologies provide many potential solutions to these issues. While enhancing the safety of systemic immunotherapies is important, we first discuss the conceptually simpler problem of enhancing the safety and efficacy of local immunotherapy. A key objective is promoting better local retention of immunotherapeutics and blocking their dissemination into the circulation. Approaches include the use of local drug depots that match release rates of drugs to their uptake by target immune/tumor cells, blocking therapeutic diffusion through locally-injected biomaterial anchors, and confining therapeutics to tumors through localized intratumoral gene delivery (e.g., using oncolytic viral vectors). We discuss in turn examples of each of these approaches applied to immunotherapy. The use of drug delivery technologies to enhance the safety of cancer vaccine formulations, e.g., through enhanced delivery to lymph nodes or targeting of specific APC populations, is a subject of much research effort, but as this topic could fill a review on its own, we have chosen to focus on treatments focused on the tumor.
or tumor-draining lymph nodes, and refer interested readers to other recent reviews on the subject of vaccine technologies [158–162].

3.1. Intratumoral drug depots

Because many immunotherapy toxicities (e.g., vascular leak syndrome) are linked to systemic stimulation of circulating leukocytes and/or direct action of immunomodulators on endothelial cells, an obvious strategy to enhance local therapy is to better confine therapeutics to a chosen target lesion. One way to achieve this is through local controlled release of drug from intra- or peritumorally injected drug delivery matrices (Fig. 2A). One of the first demonstrations of this approach was work by Egilmez et al. seeking to improve on the safety and efficacy of IL-12 as an immunotherapeutic [163,164]. IL-12’s potent anticancer effects are limited by dose- and temporal regimen-dependent toxicity when administered systemically [165,166], Egilmez showed that a single intratumoral (i.t.) injection of biodegradable polyacetic acid microspheres exhibiting controlled release of IL-12 was safe and led to complete regression of transplanted lung tumors, prevented metastatic spread to the lung, and enabled animals to reject a subsequent re-challenge with live tumor cells, indicating the development of systemic antitumor immunity [163]. More recently, chitosan matrices have been similarly used to provide sustained localized dosing of IL-12 in several tumor models, including as a neoadjuvant treatment in a breast cancer model prior to surgical resection of the primary tumor [167–169].

Hanes et al. used crosslinked gelatin/chondroitin sulfate microspheres as delivery vehicles for intratumoral delivery of IL-2 in models of brain or hepatic melanoma metastases [151]. IL-2 delivered by these microspheres persisted in tumors for 3 weeks, compared to only 24–48 h for bolus-injected drug. At the same time, bolus-injected IL-2 was found in the blood, spleen, and other organs minutes after bolus i.t. injection of IL-2, while microsphere-delivered cytokine led to very low or undetectable cytokine outside of the tumor microenvironment.

Hori et al. designed self-crosslinking alginate gels [170] and used these to provide sustained local release of IL-15 following peritumoral injection [150]. This strategy lowered the systemic exposure to the cytokine by ~2-fold and increased the dosing within the tumor 40-fold relative to a bolus injection of IL-15.

Checkpoint blockade antibodies have also been shown to benefit from localized slow-release delivery at tumors. Peritumoral injection of water-in-oil emulsions (Montanide) containing anti-CTLA-4 allowed a low dose of the checkpoint blockade antibody to effectively drive antitumor immunity while providing greatly decreased systemic exposure and reduced liver toxicity compared to traditional systemic anti-CTLA-4 dosing [152]. Recently, Wang et al. developed dissolving microneedles that deposited slow-release dextran nanoparticles into melanoma lesions in the skin [171]. These particles dissolved in response to local tissue glucose mediated by incorporated glucose oxidase, releasing anti-PD-1 in a sustained manner that enhanced survival in treatment of B16F10 tumors. A similar microneedle-based transdermal patch was applied to synergistically co-deliver anti-PD-1 and an inhibitor of the immunosuppressive enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxxygenase (IDO) to mouse melanoma, achieving effective T cell immunity and reduced immunosuppression in the local site (Fig. 3A i–iii) [172].

Intratumoral depots of cytokines or checkpoint blockade antibodies primarily act to enhance the action of a pre-existing immune response against tumors. Another approach is to create intratumoral depots of agents aiming to promote de novo tumor killing, antigen capture and priming of new T-cell responses by tumor-localized immune cells using innate immune stimulating “danger signals”. Imidazoquinolines are a class of small molecule drugs that bind to Toll like receptors (TLRs) 7 and 8, promoting dendritic cell and macrophage activation. Injection of unformulated forms of these compounds leads to rapid dissemination into the blood and systemic cytokine storm signatures [173,174]. However, acylated forms of these TLR agonists can be formulated into liposomes or oil/water emulsions for localized retention in tissue [173,175]. Singh et al. demonstrated that an acylated TLR7 agonist delivered in an oil emulsion into solid tumors could both arrest the growth of the treated tumor and prime a disseminated immune response that attacked distal, untreated tumors [175].

Related to these intratumoral treatments, another strategy is to introduce controlled-release biomaterials into a tumor resection site, with the goal of stimulating local immunity to residual tumor cells in the absence of the bulk immunosuppressive factors derived from a large primary tumor: Stephan et al. used alginate gels as a matrix for co-delivery of tumor-specific T-cells and mesoporous silicon microparticles to resection sites of primary breast tumors. The microparticles
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Fig. 3. Strategies for enhancing local delivery of immunotherapy. (A)(i) Microneedle-based delivery platform containing self-assembled nanocarriers (m-HA or NP) loaded with IDO inhibitor 1-MT and anti-PD-1. (ii) Immunofluorescence comparison of anti-PD-1/1-MT nanocarrier-loaded microneedles and free anti-PD-1/1-MT loaded microneedles in tumors days post drug administration. (iii) B16F10 tumor growth versus time for 1-MT and anti-PD-1 delivered from microneedles (MN) as free drugs or encapsulated within nanocarriers (NP). Reproduced with permission from [172]. (B)(i) Retention of anti-CD137 and IL-2Fc-conjugated liposomes (white) within subcutaneous B16F10 tumors 24 h after intratumoral injection. (ii–iv) Serum levels of anti-CD137 and IL-2Fc 18 h following intratumoral injection in soluble or liposome-bound form. Reproduced from [43] with permission. (C) Conjugation of CpG and antigen to PPS nanoparticles improves the efficacy of a TDLN-targeted cancer vaccine. (i) 7 days after E.G7-OVA tumor inoculation, fluorescently labeled nanoparticle-conjugated OVA and CpG were delivered intradermally ipsilateral or contralateral (ii) to the tumor and presence of signal was analyzed 24 h later in the brachial lymph node. (iii) Tumor volume versus time with nanoparticle delivery of antigen and adjuvant to the tumor draining lymph node. (iv) Resulting antigen-specific T-cell frequency following lymph node draining vaccine. Reproduced from [198] with permission.
carried anti-CD3/CD28 stimulators for T-cells and slowly released IL-15, providing localized TCR and cytokine support for the T-cells and leading to elimination of tumor recurrence that was not achieved if T-cells were administered systemically or lacking the matrix of supporting factors [176]. Thus, a number of approaches can be used to augment local immunotherapy while improving safety profiles of immunoregulatory drugs through local controlled release materials.

3.2. Intratumoral gene delivery

An alternative approach to slow-release depots of immunotherapy drugs is to locally produce the agent of interest through gene transfection in the tumor microenvironment. This is perhaps best exemplified by oncolytic viral vectors, viruses which specifically replicate within tumor cells and promote tumor cell death, often in tandem with expression of immunomodulatory proteins delivered in the viral genome. Talimogene Laherparepvec (T-Vec), a granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF)-encoding oncolytic herpes simplex virus, is the first example of this strategy to receive FDA approval, in the setting of metastatic melanoma. T-Vec is administered by direct intratumoral injection, and was shown to trigger complete regression of both injected and uninjected lesions in 16% of treated patients, suggesting intratumoral administration of an oncolytic virus can effectively cross-prime and amplify antitumor immunity [177,178]. In this setting, GM-CSF expression from infected tumor cells is thought to promote the chemotaxis and differentiation of dendritic cell precursors to the tumor site, combined with immunogenic tumor cell death leading to enhanced presentation of antigen to prime new T-cell responses against the tumor. Similarly, intratumoral injections of escalating doses of a GM-CSF-expressing vaccinia virus to patients with cutaneous melanoma or non-hepatocellular carcinoma, result in favorable immune responses and tumor regression [179,180]. These intratumorally injected viruses only spread locally within the tumor microenvironment due to their large size, and do not spread systemically to distant sites of tumor growth. Thus, the systemic toxicity observed has been infrequent and rapidly resolving [179].

Therapeutic efficacy can be achieved through intratumoral injection of viruses, DNA, or RNA expressing immunoregulatory factors even without direct oncolytic activity of the nucleic acid vector. For example, i.t. injection of an adenovirus or alphavirus expressing IL-12 induced highly localized cytokine expression in tumors, leading to tumor regression and long-term immunity [181,182]. Intralesional injection of adenovirus encoding human CC chemokine ligand (CCL) 16 inhibited mammary tumor growth and prevented metastatic spread in mice bearing 4T1 mammary adenocarcinoma [183]. Polypelexes of DNA plasmids encoding IL-2 and folate-targeted polyethyleneimine-cyclodextrin were shown to be an effective and safe therapy for melanoma in mice, with an efficacy comparable to that of recombinant adeno viruses expressing IL-2 (rAdv-IL2) [184]. In clinical studies, intratumoral injection of naked DNA encoding cytokines (IL-2, IL-12) has shown some clinical benefits for melanoma patients [185,186]. A particularly promising approach is to combine localized tumor microenvironment modulation through intralesional gene or oncolytic virus delivery with systemic administration of checkpoint blockade antibodies or other immune modulators with known/acceptable systemic toxicities, enabling an immune response primed by local therapy to be protected as it disseminates to attack untreated lesions [141]. Thus, a variety of vectors and approaches are being explored for local expression of immunostimulatory cytokines and chemokines in tumors.

3.3. Anchored drugs

The examples above are based on releasing immunomodulators at controlled rates within tumor sites, which can only avoid systemic exposure if release rates are in careful balance with drug consumption/degradation rates in the tumor. An alternative is to deliver immunotherapy agents into the tumor microenvironment bound to particles, synthetic matrices, or extracellular components of the tumor microenvironment itself that present these molecules to surrounding immune cells but physically prevent their free diffusion out of the tumor site. An early example of this strategy utilized the injection of lipidad recombinant costimulatory receptors to “paint” tumors with a costimulatory ligand that would promote T-cell recognition of tumor cells [187,188]. Insertion of the lipid tail of these recombinant proteins into the membranes of tumor cells following intratumoral administration led to retention of these proteins at the tumor site, enabling engineering of tumor cell recognition by immune cells or induction of chemotactic signals to recruit more immune effectors to the tumor microenvironment. Lipid conjugation to DNA oligonucleotides has similarly been used to anchor immunostimulatory CpG DNA (a TLR9 agonist) in tumors, promoting retention in the microenvironment that improved the safety profile and efficacy of intratumoral CpG therapy [189].

A second approach to “anchoring” therapeutics in the tumor is to utilize nanoparticles not for their capacity to home to tumors spontaneously but rather for their tendency to become entrapped in the tumor ECM following intratumoral injection. This approach has been demonstrated with combinations of potent cytokines and innate immune stimulators. As noted in Section 2, both IL-2 and anti-CD137 elicit potent antitumor immune responses, but their clinical use is limited by inflammatory toxicities upon systemic administration. These toxicities are further amplified in combination treatment with these drugs, which elicits lethal systemic toxicities even following intratumoral administration at therapeutic doses [43]. To block the systemic dissemination that drives this toxicity, Kwong et al. conjugated anti-CD137 and an engineered IL-2-Fc fusion protein to the surface of PEGylated liposomes. Intratumoral injection of these immunoliposomes restricted the immunotherapeutics to the tumor and tumor-draining lymph nodes, but completely blocked their entry into the systemic circulation by virtue of physical trapping of the liposomes in the tumor extracellular matrix (Fig. 3B i–iv). Treatment with these particles eliminated injected primary tumors, elicited systemic antitumor immunity, and eliminated systemic inflammatory toxicity compared to equivalent intratumoral doses of soluble immunotherapy. A similar approach was used to deliver liposome-anchored anti-CD40 and CpG intratumorally, leading to significant tumor growth inhibition and enhanced survival similar to their soluble counterparts after intratumoral injection, while avoiding systemic exposure [149]. Immunotherapy agents may also be intrinsically nanoparticulate in nature, promoting their local retention following intratumoral injection. For example, Lizotte et al. used cowpea mosaic viruses for in situ vaccination of tumors [190]. Although the precise mechanism remains to be defined, these plant virus-derived nanoparticles stimulated intratumoral inflammation that led to a systemic anti-tumor immune response. Thus, particulate immunostimulatory therapies administered intratumorally can significantly decrease or eliminate systemic inflammatory side effects, while retaining the anti-tumor efficacy of free soluble drugs.

3.4. Tumor draining lymph node-targeted drugs

Besides the tumor itself, tumor-draining lymph nodes (TDLNs, or sentinel lymph nodes) are of interest as a target for localized immunomodulatory drugs, because despite evidence for lymphatic dysfunction in some tumor models, TDLNs are known to accumulate antigens from dying tumor cells that could be used to prime de novo anti-tumor T-cell responses. However, tumor-induced dendritic cell dysfunction within TDLNs is a known mechanism of immune evasion [191,192]. Concentration of innate immune-stimulatory adjuvants within sentinel lymph nodes allows for the activation and maturation of dendritic cells exposed to tumor-associated antigen while preventing cytokine storm-like symptoms which occurs from systemic administration of these agents [193,194]. Local injections near a tumor can be used to target
been extensively studied for applications in both prophylactic and node targeting by physical, chemical, and molecular properties has via DEC205, CD11c, and CD40 dendritic cell receptors[162]. Lymph immunotherapies. Cruz et al. describe in detail dendritic cell targeting side within lymph nodes has improved the safety and efficacy of concentrating within TDLNs following intradermal injection[198]. Therefore, favorable lymphatic localization is reliant upon a balance of drainage from the injection site and capture within local lymph nodes.

Exploiting these principles, Jeanbart et al. synthesized 25 nm diam. pluronic-stabilized poly(propylene sulfide) (PPS) nanoparticles capable of concentrating within TDLNs following intradermal injection[198]. These nanoparticles are carried via interstitial flow from the injection site into lymphatic capillary beds and from there to TDLN-resident dendritic cells. Conjugation of the TLR9 agonist CpG DNA to these particles elicited activation of dendritic cells in tumor draining lymph nodes, and primed anti-tumor adaptive immune responses in murine thymoma and melanoma models, while limiting systemic pro-inflammatory responses (Fig. 3C i–iv)[198]. CpG has also been targeted to tumor draining lymph nodes through association with cationic gelatin nanoparticles and cationic polyethyleneimine (PEI) coated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) nanoparticles, alone and in combination with IL-10 siRNA[199,200]. TLR7/8 agonists have also been successfully delivered to tumor draining lymph nodes in nanoparticle format to focus the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines within the site of T cell priming and prevent systemic inflammation[173,202].

In addition to size-dependent trafficking of drug through lymphatics, molecular targeting to dendritic cells which migrate to and reside within lymph nodes has improved the safety and efficacy of immunotherapies. Cruz et al. describe in detail dendritic cell targeting via DEC205, CD11c, and CD40 dendritic cell receptors[162]. Lymph node targeting by physical, chemical, and molecular properties has been extensively studied for applications in both prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines as vaccination depends on the delivery of antigen and adjuvant to these sites of immune cell education[161].

4. Engineering safer systemic immunotherapies

The administration of immunotherapy agents systemically is desired for treatment of metastatic disease, but faces limitations due to non-tumor-targeted stimulation of leukocytes and other cell types expressing immunoregulatory receptors. An ongoing challenge is the design of strategies to deliver immune-modulating drugs to appropriate immune cells in target tissue sites (e.g., tumors and tumor-draining lymph nodes) while minimizing non-specific systemic stimulation.

4.1. Molecularly-targeted immunotherapy

A common strategy to target therapeutics to tumors employs conjugation of drug to a tumor-antigen specific ligand, antibody, or other engineered binding molecule to achieve local accumulation of the drug following systemic delivery. The fusion of pro-inflammatory cytokines to tumor-associated antigen specific antibodies, known as immunocytokines, represents a common approach to direct the delivery of cytokines to the tumor microenvironment. Cytokines can be fused to either the N or C termini of heavy or light chains of IgG molecules (Fig. 4A and B)[203]. In these formats, functions of the antibody such as antigen binding, interaction with Fc receptors, and participation in the complement cascade can be maintained. Alternatively, cytokines can be fused to diabodies or single chain variable fragment (scFv) antibodies to solely maintain the antigen binding property of the antibody (Fig. 4C–E). One proposed mechanism of action of immunocytokines is the bridging of tumor cells to leukocytes[204–207]. In the case of IL-2 immunocytokines, the antibody interacts with tumor surface antigens while the IL-2 binds to the IL-2 receptor (IL-2R) on T-cells and NK cells, thereby promoting their proliferation and effector function in the tumor microenvironment. Antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) afforded by interaction with the Fc domain of the antibody component has also been shown to be important for the efficacy of immunocytokines[208]. Finally, immunocytokines have longer blood
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**Fig. 4.** Tumor targeting with immunocytokines. (A–E) Immunocytokine formats based on IL-2, IL-12, and TNF-α. (A) IgG format with IL-2 cytokine covalently linked at the c-terminus of the heavy or (B) light chains. (C) Diabody fusion protein featuring IL-2. (D) Homotrimeric scFv-TNF fusion protein. (E) Heterodimer featuring scFv fused to either the N or C termini of heavy or light chains of IgG molecules. Reproduced from[203] with permission. (F) Biodistribution of TA99-IL-2 immunocytokine of format (A) targeting Trp-1 melanoma antigen in mice bearing subcutaneous B16F10 tumors. 24 hours post injection of Alexa Fluor 647-labeled proteins, organs were dissociated into single cell suspensions and stained for immune lineage markers. D265A indicates a mutation in the α-chain heavy or (B) light chains. (C) Diabody fusion protein featuring IL-2. (D) Homotrimeric scFv-TNF fusion protein. (E) Heterodimer featuring scFv fused to either the N or C termini of heavy or light chains of IgG molecules. Reproduced from[203] with permission. (F) Biodistribution of TA99-IL-2 immunocytokine of format (A) targeting Trp-1 melanoma antigen in mice bearing subcutaneous B16F10 tumors. 24 hours post injection of Alexa Fluor 647-labeled proteins, organs were dissociated into single cell suspensions and stained for immune lineage markers. D265A indicates a mutation in the α-chain.
half-lives compared to the parent cytokine molecules due to their increased size and endocytic recycling of the fusion protein through the Fc neonatal receptor [209]. This enhanced half-life enables immunocytokines to be administered at decreased doses, which in some cases enhances their safety profile [210].

Antibody-targeted cytokines have shown promising results in preclinical mouse models of cancer. The immunocytokine hu14.18-IL2, a fusion of two molecules of IL-2 with an antibody (14.18) recognizing the GD2 disialoganglioside expressed on the surface of melanomas and neuroblastomas [211], has shown enhanced anti-tumor activity in preclinical melanoma models than equivalent amounts of un-fused 14.18 antibody and IL2 [212]. In a study of 33 melanoma patients, hu14.18-IL2 given as 4-hour intravenous infusion daily for three days resulted in an increase in lymphocyte counts, NK lysis, and ADCC when peripheral blood samples were monitored. Immunocytokines have also been targeted to components of the extracellular matrix overexpressed in the tumor microenvironment. For example, F8-IL2, an immunocytokine based on the F8 antibody in diabody format allows for targeting of IL-2 to the alternatively spliced extra-domain A of fibronectin in the lung tumor microenvironment [213]. F8-IL2 was shown to selectively localize at the tumor site in vivo following intravenous administration, and to mediate tumor growth retardation of non-small cell lung cancer [213]. Other common tumor environment targets include fibronectin extra-domain B, which is highly expressed in tumor vasculature, tenasin C A1 domain, an alternatively spliced form of the tenasin glycoprotein in angiogenic vasculature, and extracellular DNA, found frequently as a result of cell death in the necrotic cores of tumor [211,214-219].

Clinically, immunocytokines have shown improved efficacy and reduced toxicity compared to soluble pro-inflammatory cytokines; however, the fusion protein format does not abrogate systemic toxicity. Following IL-2 as a model case, hu14.18-IL2 elicits dose limiting toxicities of hypoxia, hypotension, hyperglycemia, and elevated ALT and AST levels [220]. While toxicity was reduced relative to parental IL-2 therapy, patients treated with the hu14.18-IL2 immunocytokine still experienced dose limiting toxicities [221]. Recently, Tzeng et al. demonstrated that a major factor limiting the efficacy and safety of immunocytokines is the dominant role played by binding of the fusion protein to circulating cytokine receptor-expressing leukocytes in the blood, prior to arrival in tumors [210]: A single injection of a fusion of the melanoma-targeting monoclonal antibody (mAb) TA99 with IL-2 labeled 2% of tumor cells, while an equimolar dose of the parental antibody labeled 40% of tumor cells. Further, replacing TA99 with another antibody (sm3E) targeting carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), an onc-fetal antigen not present in the tumor line used, revealed that antigen specificity was dispensable for the limited tumor targeting observed for the immunocytokine. Loss of tumor targeting by the mAb-IL2 fusion was due to dominant uptake of the fusion protein by circulating IL-2 receptor-expressing immune cells including DCs, NK cells, NKT cells, CD8+ T-cells, and Tregs, indicating that the cytokine rather than the tumor antigen-specific antibody component of the immunocytokines dictated its in vivo cellular biodistribution (Fig. 4F) [210]. From this case study, it is clear that the immunocytokine format can be important for reducing the toxicity of proinflammatory cytokines, but the “targeting” behavior of both components of the fusion must be considered to understand the ultimate biodistribution. An alternative is to administer immunocytokines directly into the tumor microenvironment through intralesional injection, using the tumor-binding antibody component to enhance retention of the cytokine in the local site. This approach has shown promise in melanoma, and allowed high doses of a tumor matrix-binding IL-2 immunocytokine to be administered intratumorally with minimal systemic toxicity [133].

In addition to directing cytokine to the tumor microenvironment, tumor-targeted antibodies have also been used to home innate immune stimulatory danger signals to tumors. In a mouse model of pancreatic cancer, CpG DNA (TLR9 agonist) conjugated to an antibody directed against the tumor antigen mucin-1 reduced tumor burden via activation of Natural Killer (NK) cells and promotion of ADCC [222]. CpG-antibody conjugates have also been formulated to target CD20 on B cells for applications in non-Hodgkin lymphoma and the Her2/neu receptor found in Her2 positive breast cancers [223]. This approach has also been used with other danger signals including polyinosine/polyctytosine (pIC, a TLR3 agonist), which was successfully targeted to EGFR- and HER2-overexpressing tumors. Schrand et al. generated bispecific aptamers that bound vascular endothelial growth factor, a product of tumor stroma, and agonized CD137 [224]. Systemic administration of these aptamers elicited tumor regression in multiple tumor models with lower toxicity than untargeted CD137-binding aptamers or anti-CD137 antibodies. Natural ligands for receptors overexpressed by tumor cells can also be used to guide immunomodulators to tumors. For example, a ternary conjugate of epidermal growth factor, melittin (a peptide promoting cytosolic delivery), and PEG coupled to a polyethyleneimine backbone was complexed with pIC to deliver the TLR agonist to EGFR-overexpressing cells, resulting in apoptosis and inflammation in the tumor site [225,226].

4.2. Nanoparticle delivery of immunotherapeutic agents to tumors

Targeting toxic compounds to tumors has historically been pursued as a strategy to increase the efficacy and limit systemic toxicity of cancer therapeutics. To this end, much effort has been invested in the development of nanoparticles that passively promote the accumulation of small molecule chemotherapies and targeted drugs in tumors [227,228]. This nanomedicine approach is based on the concept of the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, which predicts that particles of a suitable size (large enough to avoid clearance from the blood through the kidneys but small enough to avoid rapid filtration by the reticuloendothelial system) can enter tumors through their leaky vasculature, and accumulate due to defective lymphatic drainage [229,230]. The efficiency of the EPR effect in heterogeneous human tumors has been debated [231], but nanomedicine-based immunotherapy approaches remain of significant interest because potent immunoregulatory drugs can be active at doses much lower than chemotherapy or anti-tumor targeted drugs (e.g., kinase inhibitors), and do not need to accumulate in every tumor cell for their mode of action.

A number of studies have explored the use of nanoparticles to concentrate immunotherapeutic drugs in tumors. PLGA-PEG block copolymer nanoparticles ~80 nm in diameter were used to promote accumulation of the kinase inhibitor sunitinib in tumors, which among other activities inhibits the key immunosuppressive transcription factor STAT3 [232]. Nanoparticle delivery of the inhibitor led to a pro-immune remodeling of the tumor microenvironment that synergized with therapeutic vaccination in a murine melanoma model [233]. With similar goals in mind, it has also been shown that delivery of siRNA targeting the immunosuppressive cytokine TGF-β to tumors using lipid/protamine/hyaluronic acid nanoparticles led to knockdown of TGF-β in tumors but not lymph nodes, and reversed accumulation of Tregs and MDSCs in tumors following therapeutic vaccination [234]. To mitigate the systemic side effects of intravenously administered checkpoint blockade antibodies, cationic polymeric nanoparticles have been used to deliver siRNA targeting CTLA-4 to tumors, thus targeting the checkpoint blockade inhibition to tumor infiltrating lymphocytes [235]. Here, siRNA was complexed with a cationic surfactant and encapsulated in poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(α,ω-lactide) nanoparticles, providing the size requirements for tumor accumulation, and leading to reduced CTLA-4 expression by tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.

Another advantage of nanoparticle drug delivery is the capacity to co-deliver multiple factors to the same cell/tissue site, and this feature has been demonstrated to be particularly useful for immunotherapy. To exploit the synergy of providing immune-stimulatory signals together with blockade of immunosuppressive pathways, Fahmy and colleagues generated liposome-encapsulated nanogels capable of co-
(A)(i) Photoinduced polymerization of nanoscale liposomal polymeric gels forms a core-shell structure of biodegradable polymer (red) with a PEGylated liposomal coating (grey) capable of co-encapsulating IL-2 cytokine (green) and coated TGF-β inhibitor, SB505 (blue). (ii) Biodistribution of rhodamine loaded nanoscale liposomal gels and soluble rhodamine following a single injection into mice with euthanasia time points of 1, 24, 48, and 72 hours post-injection. (iii) Accumulation of rhodamine following peritumoral injection of rhodamine loaded nanoscale liposomal gels in B6 mice. (iv) Subcutaneous tumor area versus time. Used with permission of [236].

(B)(i) Pluronic-stabilized poly(propylene sulfide) (PPS) nanoparticles of 25 nm but not 100 nm are detectable in lymph nodes 24 h after injection. (ii) Quantified flow cytometric analysis of CD11c+ dendritic cells that have internalized fluorescently labeled PPS nanoparticles. (iii) Nanoparticle-positive percentages of cells found in organs and lymph nodes 12 h after intradermal injection. Ax: axillary, Br: brachial, In: inguinal, Po: popliteal, Sp: spleen, Bl: blood, Kd: kidneys, Li: liver, Lu: lungs. (iv) Flow cytometry of monocytic (MO) and polymorphonuclear (PMN) MDSCs from E.G7-OVA tumor bearing mice injected with Dy649-labeled PPS nanoparticles. (v) Quantification of nanoparticle accumulation in MO-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs of the tumor draining lymph node (TDLN), non-TDLN, spleen, and tumor. Used with permission from [195,197].

(C)(i) Schematic of T cell functionalization with SN-38 chemotherapy loaded nanocapsules and homing to sites of lymphoma. (ii) Retention of SN-38 chemotherapeutic in tumor draining lymph nodes. Free SN-38 was given at a 10-fold lower dose than in the nanocapsule conditions. Used from [250] with permission.
delivering both a small molecule hydrophobic TGF-β inhibitor and water-soluble IL-2 (Fig. 5A i) [236]. These nanoparticles enhanced the efficacy of IL-2/TGF-β inhibitor co-therapy both as intratumoral agents and administered i.v. for direct targeting of melanoma metastases, increasing NK cell activity and intratumoral-activated CD8+ T-cell infiltration [236]. Enhanced anti-tumor activity and survival was achieved by these particles without eliciting evidence for systemic toxicity or lung tissue damage (in the case of treating lung metastases) (Fig. 5A i–iv). Micellar nanocarriers comprised of PEG and stabilizing Fmoc chemical moieties were used to co-deliver the chemotherapeutic paclitaxel and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) inhibitor to the tumor microenvironment in both breast cancer and melanoma models. Co-delivery resulted in cytotoxic effects in the tumor by paclitaxel and a reversal of tumor immune suppression by the IDO inhibitor yielding a significant survival benefit [237].

It has recently become appreciated that nanocarrier accumulation in tumors is often mediated by uptake of particles in phagocytic myeloid cells [197,238,239]. These myeloid populations include tumor-associated macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells, which support tumor growth and have numerous mechanisms of promoting immunosuppression [240]. Particle accumulation in these tumor-associated populations provides another strategy for nanomedicine-mediated immunotherapy. For example, sub-100 nm sized pluronic-stabilized poly(propylene sulfide) (PPS) micelles administered intradermally drain through the lymphatic system to tumors, tumor draining lymph nodes, and the spleen (Fig. 5B i and ii). These polymeric nanoparticles are efficiently taken up by myeloid-derived suppressor cells in lymphoid organs and tumors (Fig. 5B iii–v) [197]. Loading of PPS particles with 6-thioguanine, a purine analog capable of depleting MDSCs, enhanced the efficacy of adoptive T-cell immunotherapy in two mouse models through reprogramming of the immunosuppressive cellular tumor microenvironment [239]. Some nanomaterials may also have intrinsic immunomodulatory effects on myeloid cells: Dextran-coated iron oxide nanoparticles are FDA-approved therapeutics for treatment of iron deficiency [241]. Recently, it has been shown that such nanoparticles, which accumulate in tumors, are capable of repolarizing macrophages from an immunosuppressive M2 phenotype to M1 subtypes, leading to TNF-α production and inducing formation of reactive oxygen species through Fenton reactions with the iron oxide [242–244]. Huang et al. exploited elevated expression of macrophage galactose-type lectin receptor to target galactosylated polyplexes of cationic dextran complexed with alginate, CpG DNA, and anti-sense oligonucleotides against IL-10 and IL-10 receptor to tumor-associated macrophages [245]. To overcome the problem of promiscuous expression of target receptors by myeloid cells in other tissue compartments, Zhe et al. designed PLGA nanoparticles carrying both mannos (to target the mannos receptor expressed broadly by macrophages) and acid-cleavable PEG chains [246]. Shedding of the acid-labile PEG chains in the acidic tumor microenvironment was proposed to promote enhanced accumulation in tumors followed by mannos-mediated targeting to TAMs; enhanced colocalization of particles functionalized with both sheddable PEG and mannos was demonstrated in B16F10 melanomas.

The safety of immune-stimulating nanomedicine can be augmented by following nanoparticle delivery with exogenous localized activation of a therapeutic at the tumor site. Examples of “remote” activation of therapeutics include photodynamic and photothermal therapies, where photosensitive compounds are activated by tissue-penetrating light to produce local radical species or heating that cause damage to tumor tissues. For example, PLGA nanoparticles carrying the photothermally-active dye indocyanine green were delivered systemically, followed by localized near-infrared light of a tumor to stimulate localized energy absorption by the dye, subsequent heating, and tumor ablation [247]. This photothermal therapy generated a local depot of tumor antigen, which functioned as an in situ vaccine when combined with co-delivery of a TLR agonist adjuvant and checkpoint blockade inhibitors.

The EPR effect is mediated by particle size, and thus macromolecules can also exhibit EPR-mediated accumulation in tumors. Nektar is developing an immunotherapy prodruk, NKTR-214, which uses sheddable PEG chains linked to IL-2 to promote tumor accumulation and limit early “bolus” activation of systemic IL-2 receptors following infusion of the PEGylated cytokine [248]. NKTR-214 is IL-2 linked to releasable PEG chains conjugated near residues in the IL-2:IL-2Rα binding pocket, which initially prevent interaction of the IL-2 molecule with the high-affinity IL-2 receptor-α chain (CD25). NKTR-214 accumulates in tumors and sites and serves an IL-2 reservoir as the PEG chains hydrolyze with half-lives of 20 h per chain. In the B16F10 mouse melanoma model, NKTR-214 provided a 500-fold greater tumor exposure to IL-2 as well as dose sparing compared to soluble IL-2. This cytokine prodruk was well tolerated in mice and non-human primates, with no vascular leak syndrome detected at maximum tolerated doses, unlike soluble IL-2 [248]. In a related approach, Puskas et al. generated masked IL-2 molecules formed by fusing IL-2 to a soluble form of the IL-2Rα chain through a peptide linker containing a motif recognized by tumor-associated proteases [249]. Similar to PEGylated IL-2, this fusion protein is designed to limit systemic stimulation and localized active IL-2 to the tumor site. This fusion had some anti-tumor activity but was not compared to systemic free IL-2.

4.3. Systemic gene delivery of immunomodulators to tumors

An alternative to physical targeting of immunomodulators to the tumor microenvironment is to deliver genes encoding these factors. As noted in Section 3.2, oncolytic viruses that preferentially replicate in tumor cells and co-express immunoregulatory cytokines are under intense study, and the first example of this class of immunotherapies recently received FDA approval [251]. However, expression of immunocytokines by viruses administered systemically has been found to lead to significant toxicity in animal models, due to early transfection events occurring outside of tumors (e.g., in the spleen), which lead to transient but highly toxic systemic cytokine expression outside of tumors [42,44]. Banaszyński et al. developed a promising strategy to overcome this issue: these investigators fused a destabilizing domain, a mutant of the FK506- and rapamycin-binding protein, to a cytokine of interest [252]. When transfected into cells this intrinsically unstable domain leads to constitutive degradation of the fusion protein by the proteasome. However, in the presence of a small molecule drug (termed Shld-1) that binds to the peptide, the fusion protein is stabilized, secreted, and has bioactivity [252]. Using this approach, it was shown that an oncolytic virus encoding either tumor necrosis factor-α or IL-2 could be safely delivered intravenously, with immunocytokine expression activated by administration of Shld-1 a few days later, when viral expression is confined to transfected tumors [42,44]. This approach is an elegant and general strategy that should be compatible with many types of oncolytic viruses and synthetic nucleic acid delivery vectors under study for cancer immunotherapy.

4.4. Immune cells as drug carriers

A variety of cellular therapies based on the injection of autologous immune cells primed for anti-tumor activity are in preclinical and clinical development. Immunotherapies based on the adoptive transfer of autologous tumor-specific T cells (adoptive cell therapy, or ACT) have been shown to be effective in hematologic cancers and a subset of solid tumors [4,253]. Clinical trials are also underway using adoptively transferred natural killer cells [254]. In preclinical studies, adoptively transferred macrophages have been shown to migrate to and accumulate in tumors [255]. These findings have motivated studies of a second strategy for focusing immunotherapies on specific sites or immune cells, using transferred immune cells themselves as living drug carriers [256]. These approaches, while clinically much more complex to implement
than the simple injection of a drug or nanoparticle construct, have a significant advantage over drug delivery mediated by convective diffusion or the EPR effect: while traditional drug targeting is hampered by variation in tumor vasculature, lymphatics, ECM content and interstitial pressures both within a single tumor and between different tumor types [229,257], cell-mediated targeting of therapy has the potential to overcome these heterogeneities and disseminate drugs throughout tumor tissue through the active processes of cellular extravasation from blood vessels and migration.

A first approach is based on the concept of employing immune cells as active chaperones to deliver immunotherapeutics to tumors based on their intrinsic tissue homing patterns. For example, MDSCs are actively recruited from the circulation by tumors to promote active suppression of anti-tumor immunity [240,258,259]. Eisenstein et al. demonstrated that MDSCs loaded with an oncolytic vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (using a non-neutralizing anti-VSV antibody to link the viral particles to the cells through MDSC Fc receptors) efficiently home to hepatic lung tumor metastases following adoptive transfer into tumor-bearing mice [258]. MDSC-mediated delivery enabled the VSV vector, which had no therapeutic efficacy as a systemically-administered agent alone, to cure 80% of treated animals. This approach has also been employed with adaptive immune cells: T-cells expressing CD62L and CCR7 naturally home to lymph nodes, a primary site of lymphoma/leukemia accumulation and a common site for metastasis of many solid tumors. Exploiting this natural trafficking pattern, Qiao et al. used naïve T-cells with surface-adsorbed VSV to chaperone the oncolytic virus into lymphoid organs harboring metastatic tumor cells. Adoptive transfer of virus-loaded T-cells effectively reduced metastatic tumor burden and vaccinated the affected individual against micrometastatic disease via the release of immunostimulatory tumor antigens in situ [260]. Using synthetic nanoparticles as the therapeutic payload, Huang et al. conjugated T-cells with drug-loaded lipid nanocapsules as vehicles to ferry the chemotherapy agent SN-38 to tumor-ridden lymph nodes in a murine model of disseminated lymphoma. These “backpack” T-cells significantly re-directed the biodistribution and pharmacokinetic profiles of SN-38 in vivo, enabling anti-tumor efficacy at doses of drug that showed no systemic toxicity. T-cell-mediated delivery conferred a 90-fold greater SN-38 accumulation in lymph nodes comparing to free drug systemically administered at 10-fold higher doses, leading to significantly reduced tumor burden and enhanced survival; while free SN-38 and SN-38-loaded nanocapsules alone were ineffective (Fig. 5C i and ii) [250].

A variation on the use of cell-intrinsic homing programs is to load immune cells with particles that permit external guidance, e.g., using magnetic fields: Muthana et al. recently described a strategy to direct cells carrying an oncolytic virus to tumors using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based magnetic guidance: Exploiting their phagocytic capacity, macrophages were loaded ex vivo with magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, followed by infection with an oncolytic HSV vector. Following i.v. injection, a pulsed magnetic field gradient was applied by MRI to provide a magnetic force promoting accumulation of the iron-loaded macrophages at primary or metastatic tumor sites, leading to a ~6-fold increase in donor cell accumulation throughout tumors. While injection of free oncolytic virus led to only minor inhibition of tumor growth, substantial sustained tumor growth blockade was achieved through magnetic field-mediated targeting of iron oxide-loaded infected macrophages to tumors [261].

A third strategy is to payload immunotherapy agents on tumor-specific T-cells, which instead of relying on an intrinsic tissue-homing program accumulate in tumors following recognition of tumor antigen with their T-cell receptors. In adoptive cell therapy (ACT), the effector function of infused activated T-cells often undergoes rapid decay due to the highly immunosuppressive environment in tumors. Systemic administration of supporting adjuvant immunomodulators together with ACT T-cells has been used to boost the function of infused ACT T-cells, but these simultaneous adjuvant treatments are often accompanied by serious toxicities, especially cytokine storms that have caused patient deaths in multiple clinical trials [262,263]. As an alternative to systemic adjuvant drug therapy, Stephan et al. conjugated nanoparticles loaded with interleukin cytokines or immunosuppression-blocking drugs to the surface of ACT T-cells ex vivo prior to transfer into tumor-bearing recipients (Fig. 6). These T-cell-bound particles provided autocrine drug delivery to the transferred T-cells while minimizing systemic exposure to these inflammatory signals, leading to enhanced efficacy of drug-modified ACT T-cells and reduced systemic toxicity in multiple cancer models [264,265]. This strategy is currently in commercial/clinical

![Fig. 6. Targeting immunomodulators to tumors or immune cells. Overview of strategies for targeted delivery of immunotherapy: Molecular or nanoparticle targeting can be directed to circulating immune cells or directly to the tumor; alternatively, nanoparticles can be payloaded ex vivo on immune cells for adoptive transfer.](image-url)
translation by Torque Pharmaceuticals. In a similar vein, Prussian blue nanoparticles (PBNPs) that exhibit photothermal responsiveness were conjugated to Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-specific CD8+ T-cells, to enable homing of these photothermal agents to EBV-associated tumor malignancies [266]. Wayteke et al. designed a reversible coupling strategy linking siRNA-carrying liposomes to the surface of CD8+ T cells via disulfide bonds [267]. Levels of reductants such as glutathione are low in the circulation, but tumors are thought to be a thiolic milieu [268], providing the potential for specific release of these cell-bound particles in the tumor microenvironment. To enhance the delivery of viral vectors for immunotherapy, Cole et al. adsorbed viruses encoding IL-12 or Herpes Simplex Virus thymidine kinase (HSVtk) to the cell surface glycaminoglycans of tumor antigen-specific T-cells. These retroviral vectors could release from T-cell surfaces at the tumor site after in vivo cell transfer, leading to productive infection of tumor cells. This strategy protected the therapeutic viral particles from neutralization in circulation, and allowed virus to specifically accumulate at the sites of antigen expression, providing high levels of selectivity for viral transfer to metastatic tumors [269]. Altogether, these various approaches to surface engineering of T-cells show much promise for enhancing adoptive cell therapy of cancer.

4.5. Targeting immunotherapy to immune cells

Payloading immunotherapy agents on leukocytes during adoptive cell therapy provides exquisite control over the initial state of the cells and their cargo, but does not allow for repeated or serial stimulation of the transferred cells. An alternative is to target immunotherapy agents directly to immune cells in vivo. Immune cell targeting is motivated not only by the requirement for many immunomodulatory drugs to act directly on leukocytes, but also by the fact that many immune cell populations recirculate through the blood or are present at high densities in the spleen, sites efficiently accessed by intravenous administration that avoid the multiple and heterogeneous barriers to accessing cells deep within tumors. Careful selection of targeting strategies can enable such systemic immune cell targeting to both enhance efficacy and improve the safety profile of immunotherapy drugs.

As already discussed, immunoregulatory cytokines naturally target their cognate receptors on lymphocytes but often stimulate a broad range of immune cell subsets and may stimulate non-immune cells, complicating their safe therapeutic use. One approach to this challenge is to engineer cytokines to confer narrowed target cell specificities, altering the intensity and/or duration of signaling to mitigate toxicity [270]. This strategy has been extensively studied with IL-2: T-cells, NK cells, endothelial cells, and Tregs each have distinct expression patterns for the 3 chains (α, β, and γ) of the IL-2 receptor. Complexation of IL-2 with an anti-IL-2 antibody that blocks the IL-2Rβx binding site but leaves the β and γ chain epitopes accessible allowed IL-2 to be redirected away from stimulating endothelial cells and Tregs, focusing its action on effector T-cells and NK cells and greatly reducing vascular leak syndrome in mice [22,271]. Protein engineering has also been used to redesign IL-2 for enhanced binding to the IL-2Rβ chain, creating an IL-2 “superkine” exhibiting greatly enhanced stimulation of cytotoxic T-cells, while unexpectedly also achieving lower induction of pulmonary edema in vivo [272]. This latter beneficial side effect might reflect preferential uptake of the superkine by circulating CD8+ T-cells. Other lymphocyte targeting modalities are in development, including aptamers that target surface molecules to directly modulate T-cell function. Berezhnoy et al. generated aptamer-siRNA conjugates where the aptamer binds to CD137 on activated T-cells and delivers siRNA to knock down expression of the key metabolic regulator mTOR, leading to enhanced memory generation during therapeutic cancer vaccination against tumors [273]. Another approach to controllably target lymphocyte subpopulations is to combine molecular targeting with extrinsic therapeutic activation. This approach was impressively demonstrated by Sato et al., who targeted a near infrared-photoactivated radical-generating photodynamic drug to regulatory T-cells via an anti-CD25 targeting antibody [274]. Although this targeting moiety systemically labels Tregs and activated T-cells, within immunosuppressive tumors the only cells expressing high levels of CD25 are Tregs. By applying NIR light to an accessible tumor, depletion of intratumoral Tregs and induction of systemic immunity was demonstrated, with only brief systemic inflammation—in stark contrast to the lethal autoimmunity that ensues when Tregs are systemically depleted [275].

Bispecific antibodies bearing variable domains that bind to a tumor antigen and CD3, a key signaling component of the T-cell receptor complex, have been developed to redirect endogenous T-cells against tumors enabling in theory T-cells of any antigen specificity to be stimulated on contact with a bispecific-decorated tumor cell. The first such Bispecific T-cell Engager (BiTE), an anti-CD19/anti-CD3 bispecific called Blinatumomab, was recently approved for treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia [276]. Similar BiTE molecules are in preclinical and clinical development targeting antigens expressed by a variety of solid tumors, leukemias, and lymphomas [277]. Shen et al. reported on a strategy to create a modular and drug-regulatable form of multispecific T-cell engager based on self-assembled nanorings [278]. In this system, eight copies of a dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) dimer fused to a single-chain antibody are assembled into a nanoscale ring structure through DHFR binding to bismethotrexate. By self-assembling DHFR dimers fused to an anti-CD3 and an anti-tumor antibody, bi- or multi-specific constructs are obtained that can bind to T-cells and prime them for rapid and high level effector responses on recognition of tumor cells [278].

Bispecific antibodies bearing variable domains that bind to a tumor antigen and CD3, a key signaling component of the T-cell receptor complex, have been developed to redirect endogenous T-cells against tumors enabling in theory T-cells of any antigen specificity to be stimulated on contact with a bispecific-decorated tumor cell. The first such Bispecific T-cell Engager (BiTE), an anti-CD19/anti-CD3 bispecific called Blinatumomab, was recently approved for treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia [276]. Similar BiTE molecules are in preclinical and clinical development targeting antigens expressed by a variety of solid tumors, leukemias, and lymphomas [277]. Shen et al. reported on a strategy to create a modular and drug-regulatable form of multispecific T-cell engager based on self-assembled nanorings [278]. In this system, eight copies of a dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) dimer fused to a single-chain antibody are assembled into a nanoscale ring structure through DHFR binding to bismethotrexate. By self-assembling DHFR dimers fused to an anti-CD3 and an anti-tumor antibody, bi- or multi-specific constructs are obtained that can bind to T-cells and prime them for rapid and high level effector responses on recognition of tumor cells [278].

Novel approaches to redirect endogenous T-cells against tumors have lately taken the form of aptamer-decorated targeted nanoparticles. In a humanized mouse model [279], this approach may have advantages relative to CD3-targeting BiTEs, by allowing specific memory T-cell populations to be targeted that could be selected for known favorable effector profiles (e.g., flu or CMV-specific T-cell populations). Zheng et al. functionalized PEGylated liposomes with IL-2 or antibody fragments targeting an isoform of Thy1 uniquely expressed by adoptively transferred T-cells, to assess the ability of immunoliposomes to be targeted to ACT T-cells [280]. A single injection of immunoliposomes labeled nearly 100% of circulating target T-cells, with low off-target binding. IL-2–functionalized liposomes served not only to target the vessels to transferred T-cells but also could be repeatedly injected to directly stimulate the transferred cells following transfer. Frick et al. [281]. Use of Thy1-targeting to direct liposomes carrying a small molecule TGF-β inhibitor to ACT T-cells allowed doses of the drug that had no effect when administered systemically as a free drug to enhance the T-cells’ anti-tumor activity [282]. Recently, single-walled carbon nanotubes functionalized with antibodies targeting the GITR receptor (expressed at particularly high levels on intratumoral Tregs) were used to target intratumoral Tregs preferentially over peripheral Tregs in the spleen, relying on a combination of the EPR effect and ligand-mediated targeting [283]. These materials might serve as the basis for targeting specific depletion of intratumoral Tregs, with the goal of achieving therapeutic effects similar to anti-CTLA-4 therapy without systemic autoimmunity.

Immunotherapies have also been targeted to innate immune cells to enhance anti-tumor activity. The strategy of piggybacking oncolytic viruses on immune cells described in the previous section has recently been extended to direct in vivo loading of antibody-opsonized oncolytic viruses onto myeloid cells that home to tumors. Monocytes and macrophages mobilized from the bone marrow by a systemic injection of GM-
CSF [an approved cytokine therapy [284,285]] were found to bind opsonized virus injected after the cytokine, and subsequently homed into tumors and transfer virus to the tumor cells [286]. Neutrophils can also home to tumors in some settings. Chu et al. targeted photodynamic drugs and other therapeutics to circulating neutrophils using nanoparticles formed from denatured albumin. By administering a monoclonal antibody against gp75 antigen expressed in melanoma tumors, neutrophils were recruited to the tumors, bringing the albumin nanoparticle-associated drugs to the tumor site [287]. Smith et al. recently reported an unexpected strong tropism of i.v.-injected single-walled carbon nanotubes for circulating Ly-6C\(^{hi}\) cells, which subsequently transported the internalized SWNTs to tumors [288]. This tumor homing by monocytes cells was further enhanced when SWNTs were functionalized with the integrin-binding peptide RGD, which perhaps may reflect selective targeting of the SWNTs to cells primed for tumor homing. Such an innate cell-selective nanomaterial could be well suited to delivery of immunomodulatory drugs to the tumor microenvironment. Exploiting the fact that tumors attract myeloid-derived suppressor cells to promote immunosuppression, Kullberg et al. developed a method to target MDSCs using endogenous activated complement C3 of tumor bearing animals [289]. PEGylated liposomes bearing an orthopyridyl disulfide were injected intravenously, which will react with the exposed sulhydryl of activated C3, leading to binding and uptake by C3 receptor-expressing granulocytic MDSCs present at high levels in the blood of tumor-bearing animals and patients [289]. Use of an endogenous molecule to mediate targeting avoids issues of immunogenicity and stability that can occur using antibodies and other engineered targeting molecules. These examples illustrate the potential for fine-tuned targeting of circulating immune cell populations as a potentially very powerful approach to obtain potent anti-tumor activity of immunotherapeutics while mitigating immunotoxicity.

5. Conclusions and future perspectives

As summarized here, many approaches founded in rational controlled drug delivery technologies offer promise for simultaneously enhancing the efficacy and safety of immunotherapies for cancer. This includes strategies based on protein and genetic engineering, controlled drug delivery materials, and nanomedicine. As with any cancer therapy, a key to achieving optimal results will be finding the right marriage of these approaches to achieve robust activity of immunoregulatory drugs while avoiding on-target/off-tumor toxicities. There remain a number of challenges/opportunities in the field—two examples being limitations of animal models and further improving on strategies to control the targeting of immunotherapy drugs. For example, a limitation of current animal models is their limited capacity to reliably predict the toxicity profile that will be observed in human patients. Mice are the predominant preclinical species used to evaluate candidate cancer immunotherapies, and while mouse models have been successfully used to guide the development of all of the currently approved immunotherapy drugs, the immune toxicity profiles of many agents in mice are clearly distinct from humans. For example, anti-CTLA-4 in mice does not elicit the same level of gastrointestinal toxicity observed in humans, and mice tolerate a number of immune agonist compounds better than humans. One solution to this issue could be increased use of humanized mouse models to study immunotherapy, but humanized mouse models do not yet fully recapitulate the complete range of functions of an intact healthy immune system [290] and have largely been used only for testing adoptive cell therapy treatments where engineered T-cells are infused into animals lacking any other human immune system components. Other groups are seeking to improve the predictive power of murine immunotherapy models by using partial regulatory T-cell depletion to make animals more susceptible to autoimmune side effects observed in patients [291]. Improvements in animal models will be a key part for future success in the development of treatments that maximize the potential of immunotherapy.

A second challenge/opportunity is the potential for immunotherapies to be enhanced by leveraging promising strategies developed in other areas of drug delivery to the problems of immune-modulatory drugs. As one example, the systemic exposure and/or limited efficacy often observed following local bolus injection of immunotherapies is a characteristic of many biologic therapeutics that have minimal interactions with the extracellular matrix, which exhibit rapid tissue diffusion and/or proteolysis. However, other signaling proteins such as cytokines and chemokines have the ability to interact with binding sites presented by ECM proteins and cell surface proteoglycans, providing a means to sequester or retain these factors following secretion in tissue [292]. Biomaterial matrices are being developed to mimic these key characteristics of the ECM, including those that are derived from naturally occurring molecules and those that recapitulate key motifs of biomolecules. For instance, the 12th–14th fibronectin type III region (FN III12–14) can bind a variety of cytokines with high affinity, without blocking their activity [293]. Matrix-binding variants of FN III12–14 have been designed as universal binding anchors for cytokine retention in matrices for the application of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, improving efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness for growth factor delivery [292]—such approaches should be of interest for modulating local immunotherapies. Conversely, immunotherapy agents are being designed to bind efficiently to tumor microenvironment ECM components to retain therapeutics locally, as demonstrated recently for engineered growth factors designed to be retained locally in tissue regeneration sites [294]. The advent of controlled delivery properties in a single molecular entity is attractive for clinical translation.

Altogether, there is reason to hope that with the foreseeable future, immunotherapy-based treatments will lead to the transition of cancer from a fatal diagnosis to a chronic, manageable disease, if not curable condition for a large number of patients. Strategies as discussed in this review will likely play a major role in helping the field attain this goal of enhanced survival with a high quality of life for cancer patients worldwide.
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