Data, Analytics and Interoperability between Systems (IoT) is Incongruous with the Economics of Technology: Evolution of Porous Pareto Partition (P3)

Shoumen Palit Austin Datta^{1, 2, 3}

¹MIT Auto-ID Labs, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA (<u>shoumen@mit.edu</u>)

² MDPnP Interoperability and Cybersecurity Labs, Biomedical Engineering Program,

Department of Anesthesiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 65 Landsdowne Street, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA (<u>sdatta8@mgh.harvard.edu</u>)

³NSF Center for Robots and Sensors for Human Well-Being (RoSeHuB), Collaborative Robotics Lab,

Purdue Polytechnic, Purdue University, 193 Knoy Hall, W. Lafayette, IN 47907, USA (shoumendatta@gmail.com)

Data, Analytics and Interoperability between Systems (IoT) is Incongruous with the Economics of Technology: Evolution of Porous Pareto Partition (P3)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	3
CONTEXT	3
MODELS IN THE BACKGROUND	5
PROBLEM SPACE: ARE WE ASKING THE CORRECT QUESTIONS?	8
SOLUTIONS APPROACH: ELUSIVE QUEST TO BUILD BRIDGES BETWEEN DATA & DECISIONS	9
AVOID THIS SPACE – THE DECEPTION SPACE	11
SOLUTION SPACE – NECESSARY TO ASK QUESTIONS THAT MAY NOT HAVE ANSWERS, YET	11
SOLUTION ECONOMY – WILL WE EVER GET THERE?	13
IS THIS FAUX NAÏVETÉ IN ITS PUREST DISTILLATE?	14
REALITY CHECK – DATA FUSION	15
"DOUBLE A" PERSPECTIVE OF DATA AND TOOLS vs POROUS PARETO (80/20) PARTITION	19
CONUNDRUMS	23
STIGMA OF PARTITION VERSUS ASTIGMATISM OF VISION	28
ILLUSION OF DATA, DELUSION OF BIG DATA AND THE ABSENCE OF INTELLIGENCE IN AI	30
IN SERVICE OF SOCIETY	40
DATA SCIENCE IN SERVICE OF SOCIETY – KNOWLEDGE AND PERFORMANCE FROM PEAS	40
CONCLUDING COMMENTS	48
REFERENCES	50
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	72

PREFACE

P3 is a petri dish brimming with questions, not answers, but suggestions, to explore. The aim is not to teach or pontificate but may swing the proverbial pendulum between science and engineering in the context of commercial and consumer services. The reader may ponder about the amorphous questions or wonder in confusion. We disrupt the *status quo* and indulge in orthogonal, non-linear and asymmetric information arbitrage which may not be correct. This is a seed, sterile unless cultivated. We aspire to inform that tools and data related to the affluent world are *not* a template to be "copied" or applied to systems in the remaining (80%) parts of the world which suffer from economic constraints. We need different thinking that resists the inclination of the affluent 20% of the world to treat the rest of the world (80% of the population) as a market. The 80/20 concept evokes the Pareto¹ theme in P3 and the implication is that ideas may float between (porous) the 80/20 domains (partition).

CONTEXT

Since 1999, the concept of the internet of things (IoT) was nurtured as a marketing term² which may have succinctly captured the idea of data about objects stored on the internet³ in the networked physical world. The idea evolved while transforming the use of RFID (radio frequency identification) where an alphanumeric unique identifier (64-bit EPC⁴ or electronic product code) was stored on the chip (tag⁵) but the voluminous raw data was stored on the internet, yet inextricably and uniquely linked via the EPC, in a manner resembling the structure of internet protocols⁶ (64-bit IPv4 and 128-bit IPv6⁷). IoT and later, *cloud of data*⁸, were metaphors for ubiquitous connectivity and concepts originating from ubiquitous computing, a term introduced by Mark Weiser⁹ in 1998. The underlying importance of data from connected objects and processes usurped the term big data¹⁰ and then twisted the sound bites to create the artificial myth of "Big Data" sponsored and accelerated by consulting companies. The global drive to get ahead of the "Big Data" tsunami, flooded both businesses and governments, big and small. The chatter about big data garnished with dollops of fake AI became parlor talk among fish mongers¹¹ and gold miners, inviting the sardonicism of doublespeak, which is peppered throughout this essay.

Much to the chagrin of the thinkers, the laissez-faire approach to IoT percolated by the tinkerers over-shadowed hard facts. The "quick & dirty" anti-intellectual chaos adumbrated the artefact-fueled exploding frenzy for new revenue from "IoT Practice" which spawned greed in the consulting¹² world. The cacophony of IoT in the market¹³ is a result of that unstoppable transmutation of disingenuous tabloid fodder to veritable truth, catalyzed by pseudo-science hacks, social gurus and glib publicity campaigns to drum up draconian "dollar-sign-dangling" predictions¹⁴ about "trillions of things connected to the internet" to feed mass hysteria, to bolster consumption. Few ventured to correct the facts and point out that *connectivity without discovery* is a diabolical tragedy of egregious errors. Even fewer recognized that the idea of IoT is *not a point* but an *ecosystem*, where collaboration adds value.

The corporate orchestration of the *digital by design* metaphor of IoT was warped solely to create demand for sales by falsely amplifying the lure of increasing performance, productivity and profit, far beyond the potential digital transformation could deliver by embracing the rational principles of IoT.

Ubiquitous connectivity is associated with high cost of products (capex or capital expense) but extraction of "value" to generate ROI (return on investment) rests on the ability to implement SARA, a derivative of the PEAS paradigm (see Fig 6 and 7). SARA - Sense, Analyze, Respond, Actuate – is not a linear concept. Data and decisions necessary for SARA makes the conceptual illustration more akin to The Sara Cycle, perhaps best illustrated by the analogy to the Krebs¹⁵ Cycle, an instance of bio-mimicry. Data and decisions constantly influence, optimize, re-configure, and change the parameters associated with, *when* to sense, *what* to analyze, *how* to respond and *where* to actuate or auto-actuate. Combining SARA with the metaphor of IoT by design may help to ask these questions, with precision and accuracy.

It is hardly necessary to over-emphasize the value of the correct questions for each element of SARA in a matrix of connected objects, relevant entities which can be discovered, distributed nodes, related processes and desired outcomes. Strategic inclusion of SARA guides key performance indicators. Lucidity and clarity of thoughtful integration of digital by design idea is key to reconfiguring operations management. Execution and embedding SARA is not a systems integration task but rather a fine-tuned *synergistic* integration based on the *weighted combination of dependencies* in the SARA matrix. Failure to grasp the role of data and semantics of queries, in the context of KPI (key performance indicators) may increase transaction costs, reduce the value proposition for customers and obliterate ROI or profitability.



Figure 0 - From the annals¹⁶ of the march of unreason: *Internet of things: \$8.9 trillion market in 2020, 212 billion connected things.* It is blasphemous and heretical to suggest that this a *research*¹⁷ outcome.

This essay meanders, not always aimlessly, around discussions involving data and decision. It also oscillates, albeit asynchronously, between a broad spectrum of haphazard realities or "dots" which may be more about esoteric analysis rather than focusing on delivering real-world value. In part, this discussion questions the barriers to the rate of diffusion of technologies in underserved communities. Can implementing *simple* tools act as affordable catalysts? Can it lift the quality of life, in less affluent societies, by enabling meaningful use of data, perhaps small data, at the right time, at the lowest cost?

The extremely non-linear business of delivering tools and technologies makes it imperative to consider the trinity of systems integration, standards and interoperability. We advocate that businesses may wish to gradually dis-engage with the product mind-set (sensors, hardware, software) and engage in the *ecosystem* necessary to deliver *services* to communities. The delivery of service to the end-user must be synergized. Hence, system integration may be a subset of synergistic integration. But, before we can view this "whole" it is better to understand the coalition of cyber (data) with the physical (parts). In many ways, this discussion is about cyberphysical systems (CPS) but not for lofty purposes, such as landing on Mars, but for simple living, on Earth.

MODELS IN THE BACKGROUND

Because it may be difficult to grasp the whole, we tend to focus on the part, and parts, closest to our comfort zone, in our area of interest. This reductionist approach may be necessary *ab initio* but rarely yields a solution, *per se*. Reconstruction requires synthesis and synergy, the global glue which underlies mass adoption and diffusion, of tools, in an age of integration, which, itself, is a khichuri¹⁸ of parts, some known (industrial age, information age, systems age) and others, parts unknown.

Divide and conquer still remains a robust adage. It may be the philosophical foundation of reductionism. The latter has rewarded us with immense gains in knowledge and the wisdom as to why this *modus operandi* is *sine qua non*. For example, the pea plant (*Pisum sativum*) unleashed the cryptic principles of genetics¹⁹ and unicellular bacteria shed light on normal physiological underpinnings of feedback control²⁰ common in genetic circuits as well as regulatory networks for maintenance and optimization of biological homeostasis, quintessential for health and healthcare in humans and animals. Cancer biology was transformed by Renato Dulbecco²¹ by *reducing* the multi-factorial complexity of human cancer research to focus on a *single gene* (the SV40 large T-antigen) from Papova viruses.

Biomimicry also inspired the creation of better machines and systems²², using the principles and practice of control theory borrowed from science, strengthened by mathematics and successfully integrated with design and manufacturing, by engineers. An early convergence²³ of control theory with communication may be found in the 1948 treatise "Cybernetics" by Norbert Wiener²⁴ (who may have borrowed²⁵ the word "cybernétique" proposed by the French physicist and mathematician André-Marie Ampère²⁶ to design the then non-existent science of process control).

In other examples of 'divide and conquer' the theoretical duo "Alice and Bob" is at the core²⁷ of cryptography²⁸ as well as the game theoretic²⁹ approach³⁰ to "prisoner's dilemma" which has influenced business strategies³¹ and now it is spilling over to knowledge graph³² databases. The simple concept of a lone travelling salesman proposed by Euler in 1759 appears to have evolved³³ as the bread and butter of most optimization engines, which, when considered together with data and information, continues to improve decision support systems in manufacturing, retail, transportation, logistics³⁴ and omnipresent supply chain³⁵ networks, almost in every vertical which uses decision support systems (DSS).



Figure 1 - A Century of Convergence \blacklozenge The Composition and Structure of Cybernetics [22]

The purpose of these disparate examples are to emphasize the notion that there are fundamental units of activity or models or set(s) of patterns or certain basic behavioral criteria (for lack of a better descriptive term) that underlie most actions and reactions. When taken apart or sufficiently reduced, we may observe these as isolated units or patterns or models of rudimentary entities. When combined, these simple models/units/patterns/elements can generate an almost unlimited variety of system behaviors observed on grand scales. When viewing the massive scale of systems from the "top" it may be quite counter-intuitive to imagine that the observed manifestations are due to a few or a relatively small group of universal 'truths' which we refer to as models, units, rules, logic, patterns, elements or behaviors. To further illustrate this perspective, consider petals (flowers), pineapple (fruit) and pyramids. The variation between and within these three very different examples may boil down to Fibonacci³⁶ numbers, fractal³⁷ dimensions and the Golden³⁸ Ratio³⁹ in some form, or the other. In another vein, the number, eight, seems to be central to atoms (octet) and an integral part of the Standard Model in physics (octonions⁴⁰). Number 8 is revered by the Chinese due to its link with words synonymous with wealth and fortune (fa).

Parallel examples can be drawn from physical sciences. Large scale system behaviors can be reduced and mapped to simple models. Combination of these simple models, with widely different microscopic details, applies to, and generates, large set of possible systems⁴⁵ and system of systems. Another example of "hidden complementarities" emerged from cryptic mathematical bridge embedded in natural sciences. It is now established that eigenvectors may be computed⁴⁶ using information about eigenvalues. Students are still taught that eigenvectors and eigenvalues are independent and must be calculated separately starting from rows and columns of the matrix. Mathematicians authored papers in related fields⁴⁷ yet none "connected the dots" between eigenvectors and eigenvalues. The insight that eigenvalues of the minor matrix encode hidden information may not be entirely new⁴⁸ but was neither understood nor articulated. The relationship of centuries-old mathematical objects⁴⁹ ultimately came from physicists. Nature inspires mathematical thinking because mathematics thrives when connected to nature. Grasping these connections enables humans to create tools to mimic nature (bio-mimicry).



Figure 2 – Only a few models may capture the behavior of a wide range of systems, underlies the idea of universality²⁶ (models illustrated in this figure: Gaussian distribution, wave motion, order to disorder transitions, Turing patterns, fluid flow described by Navier-Stokes equations, and attractor dynamics).

PROBLEM SPACE: ARE WE ASKING THE CORRECT QUESTIONS?

The lengthy and winding preface is presented to substantiate the opinion that there may be a disconnect between the volume of data we have generated as a result of the "information age" versus the lack lustre gains in performance, as estimated by the productivity⁵⁰ index. We may have 2.7 zettabytes⁵¹ (2.7 billion terabytes) of data, but some estimates claim as much as 33 zettabytes⁵² of data, at hand (2018). It is projected to reach 175 zettabytes circa 2025.

The deluge of data as a result of "information technology" is far greater in magnitude than the diffusion of electricity⁵³ a century ago. Productivity increases due to the introduction of electricity and IT offer economic parallels⁵⁴ but based on the magnitude of change, the short fall (in productivity) cannot be brushed aside by attributing the blame to mismeasurement explanations⁵⁵ for the sluggish⁵⁶ pace. Extrapolating measurements using the tools of classical productivity⁵⁷ to determine the impact of IT and influence of data is certainly fraught with problems⁵⁸ yet the incongruencies alone cannot explain the shrinkage. In socio-economic terms, there is a growing chasm between IT and data/information versus productivity, improvement in quality of life, labor, compensation⁵⁹ and standard of living.



Figure 3 – (LEFT) Labor-Productivity Index [⁶⁰]: Has data failed to deliver? IT was billed as the bridge between *the haves* and *the have-nots*. General process technologies takes ~25 years to reach market adoption [⁵¹]. (RIGHT) Labor Productivity⁶¹ (OECD, 2018) chart illustrates how the arithmetic of productivity (ratio between volume of output vs input) is misguided, misdiagnosed, mismeasured and misused as a metric of economic realities. Making Mexico (MEX, 22.4) appear one fifth as productive as Ireland (IRL, 104.1) suggests formulaic manipulations⁶² (GDP per hour worked, current prices, PPP).

Despite trillions of dollars invested in data, digital transformation and other IT tools⁶³ (big data, AI, blockchain) the perforated return on investment⁶⁴ increasingly points to massive⁶⁵ waste. One reason for this "waste" may be due to use of models of data where errors are aggregated under a generalized⁶⁶ form or variations⁶⁷ of the normal (homoskedastic) distribution. Heteroskedasticity was addressed⁶⁸ using ARCH⁶⁹ (autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity⁷⁰) and GARCH⁷¹ models⁷² (generalized ARCH). The use⁷³ of these proven techniques⁷⁴ for time series data (for example, sensor data showing water temperature in marine aquaponics⁷⁵ or cold chain⁷⁶ temperature log of vaccine package during transportation) in financial⁷⁷ econometrics⁷⁸ may be extended. Applications in predictive⁷⁹ modeling and forecasting⁸⁰ techniques may wish to adopt these econometric tools (GARCH) as a standard, whenever time series data is used (for example, supply chain⁸¹ management, sensor data in health), but only *if* there is sufficient data (volume) to meet the statistical rigor necessary for successful error correction.

Perhaps it is best to limit the post-mortem analysis of IT failures, snake-oil sales of AI⁸² and other debacles. Let us observe from this discussion that in the domain of data, and extraction of value from data to inform decisions and the tools necessary for *meaningful* transformation of data to inform decisions may benefit from **re**-viewing the processes and technologies with "new" eyes. We must ask, often, if we are pursuing the correct questions, if the tools are appropriate and rigorous. The productivity gap and reports of corporate waste are "sign-posts" on the road ahead, except that the signage is in the incorrect direction, with respect to the intended destination, that is, profit and performance.

SOLUTIONS APPROACH - THE ELUSIVE QUEST TO BUILD BRIDGES BETWEEN DATA AND DECISIONS

There are no novel proposed solutions in this essay, only new commentary about *approaches* to solutions. The violent discord between volume of data versus veracity of decisions appears to be one prominent reason why the productivity gap may widen to form a chasm. The "background" section discussed how the reductionist approach points to simple models or underlying units or key elements, which, when combined, in some form, by some rules or logic, may generate large scale systems.

Data models⁸³ for DBMS are very different from *models in data*. Pattern mining⁸⁴ from data⁸⁵ is a time-tested tool. What new features can we uncover or learn about data, from patterns? What simpler models or elements are cryptic in data? Are these the correct questions? *If* there are simpler models or patterns in some types of data, can we justify extrapolating these models and *patterns* as a *general feature* of the data? The failure that to accept and curate data which may be void of information is of critical importance. The contextual understanding of this issue appears to be uncommon and tools for semantic data curation are non-existent. Although we have been mining for patterns and models (clustering, classification, categorization, principal component analysis) for decades, why haven't we found simpler models or patterns, yet? Are we using the wrong tools or wrong approaches or looking at wrong places? How rational are we in our search for these general/simple models in view of the fact that models of data from retail or manufacturing or health clinics *should* be quite different? Is model building by humans an irrational approach since humans are innate, irrational organisms endowed with sweeping bias?

Thus, the lowest common denominator of general models/patterns may not be an ingredient for building that experimental "thought" bridge. Increasing volume of data could help GARCH tools but it is a slippery slope in terms of data quality with respect to *informing* decision support systems and/or the veracity of decisions (output). Data models/patterns as denominators from grocery shopping or dry wall manufacturing or mental health clinics *are* different. In lieu of "universal" common denominators, we may create repertoires of domain-specific common denominators. A comparative analysis between common denominators of retail grocery shopping model from Boston versus Beijing may reveal the spectrum of nutritional behaviors. If linked to eating habits, perhaps we can extrapolate its *influence* on health/mental health. As this suggestion reveals, we may be able to explore very tiny subsets of models.

Domain-specific denominator models (DSDM) are not new. It requires an infrastructure approach to data analytics which needs multi-talented teams to explore almost every cross-section and combination of very large volumes of data, from specific domains, to identify obvious correlations as well as unknown/non-obvious relationships. If there is any doubt about the quality of the raw data, then quality control may mandate data curation. The latter alone, makes the task exponentially complex. Curation may introduce reasonable doubt in evaluating any outcome because the possibility exists that curation algorithms and associated processes were error-prone or untrustworthy (post-curation jitters).

Another demerit for DSDM and the idea of denominator models, in general, may be rooted in the "apples vs oranges" dilemma. Denominator models that underlie science and engineering systems are guided by natural laws, deemed *rational*. The quest for denominator models in data (retail, finance, supply chain, health, agriculture) are influenced, infected and corrupted by irrational⁸⁶ human behavior. Rational models of irrational behavior⁸⁷ may co-exist elsewhere but remains elusive for data science due to volatility and the vast *spectrum of irrationality* that may be introduced in data by human interference.



Figure 4 – It appears that we have been mining for patterns and other simpler models (such as clustering, classification, categorization, regression, principal component analysis). But, have we found a set(s) of simpler models or patterns, yet, to test the concept of domainspecific denominator models (DSDM)?

Perhaps the concept of DSDM, ignoring its obvious caveats, may be applied to select domains for specific purposes, for example, healthcare, where deliberate human interference to introduce errors in data is a criminal offense. Case-specific model building, and pattern recognition, may benefit from machine learning (ML) approaches. The latter fueled a plethora of false⁸⁸ claims but real success is still a *work in progress* because the bridge between data and decisions will be perpetually *under construction*. Productivity gap and corporate waste are indicators that existing approaches (see Figure 4) are flawed, failing or have⁸⁹ failed. We need new roads. The boundary of our thought horizon 'map' is in Figure 4. The tools are incremental variations⁹⁰ garnished with gobbledygook alphabet soup. Unable⁹¹ to create any breakthrough, the return of seasonal "winters of AI" indicates the struggle to shed new light in this field since the grand edification⁹² during the 1950's. Unable to cope with data challenges, hard facts⁹³ and difficult progress, the field offered a perfect segue for con artists and hustlers to inculcate falsehoods and deceive⁹⁴ the market. Machine learning was substituted⁹⁵ by mindless drivel from ephemeral captains of industry and generated hype⁹⁶ from corporate⁹⁷ marketing machines as well as greedy academics.

AVOID THIS SPACE – THE DECEPTION SPACE

Data consumers have been led astray by vacuous buzz words manufactured mostly by consulting groups. Part of the productivity gap may be due to fake news, propaganda⁹⁸ and glib strategy from smug consultants to coerce large contracts with cryptic "billable hours" to help "monetize" false promises due to "big" data, fabricated⁹⁹ claims¹⁰⁰ of "intelligence" in artificial intelligence¹⁰¹ and deliberately conniving misrepresentations¹⁰² of "blockchain" as a panacea¹⁰³ for all problems¹⁰⁴ including basic food safety and security. Callous and myopic funding agencies invested billions in academic¹⁰⁵ industry partnerships to fuel banal R&D efforts orchestrated by corporate collusion¹⁰⁶ and perhaps¹⁰⁷ criminal¹⁰⁸ practices. Abominable predatory practices on display in Africa are disguised under the "smart cities" marketing campaign to mayors of *African cities, which cannot even provide clean drinking water to its residents.* Vultures from the industry¹⁰⁹ are selling mayors of African cities surveillance technology and AI in the name of cameras for smart city safety and security. These behemoths are cognizant as to how autocrats use data as an ammunition to plan and justify abuse of its citizens, through algorithms of repression.

EXPLORE THE SOLUTION SPACE – NECESSARY TO ASK QUESTIONS THAT MAY NOT HAVE ANSWERS, YET

Uploading data from nodes along a variety of supply chains is an enormous undertaking given trillions of interconnected processes and billions of nodes with extraordinarily diverse categories of potential data streams, with different security mandates, for example, [a] sensor data about heavy metal (mercury) contamination in water used for irrigation, [b] near real-time respiratory rate of patient with COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) under remote monitoring telemedicine in rural nursing home, and [c] automated check-out scan data from retail grocery store sales, of fast moving consumer goods, contracted for replenishment (penalty for out of stock) under vendor managed inventory (VMI). The *e-tail* revolution is creative supply chain optimization and reducing retail information asymmetry.

Transforming data and data analytics to inform decision support for *small* cross-sections of examples cited, here, may be theoretically easy in "*power point*" diagrams which "connects" nodes and integrate decision feedback to optimize processes, *using pixels*. The reality may be different. Aggregating data from various nodes, sub-nodes, devices and processes, on a platform, to enable collective evaluation of dependencies, which could influence outcomes/decisions, may be beneficial, or germane for certain domains, for example, healthcare¹¹⁰ and clinical¹¹¹ environments where patient safety¹¹² must be of paramount importance.

Agreement on any *one* standard platform is unlikely to succeed. But an anastomosis of platforms is probably rational, if interoperable. An open platform of platforms with secure, selective, interoperable data exchange, between platforms, may be valuable. Synthesis and convergence of data acquisition and analytics begins to catalyze information flow, decision support and *meaningful*¹¹³ use of data¹¹⁴. This suggestion is a few decades old, but still far from practice. The drive to connect data was accelerated by the introduction of the concept of the internet of things¹¹⁵ (IoT). Platform¹¹⁶ efforts¹¹⁷ are addressing data¹¹⁸ upload from devices and sensors¹¹⁹ but nowhere near a turn-key implementation (Figure 5).



Figure 5 – National and international consortiums, in partnership with large and small software companies, are addressing data acquisition and aggregation. In this example, Tangle appears to be a data aggregation platform (example shows data from a temperature sensor) which can serve data analytics engines to extract information (if data contains information). Replication of "Tangle" for various verticals (retail, health, logistics) and the ability to use open data distribution services¹²⁰ may facilitate interoperability between data "holding" services like Tangle. When coupled with supply chain track and trace systems, a retail store (Target, Tesco, Metro, Ahold) can use Tangle data to inform a customer that the One-Touch blood glucose testing strip (healthcare product manufactured by J&J) will arrive at the store (3rd party logistics provider and distribution transportation service) on Monday by 2am and placed on store shelf by 530am (retail store replenishment planning) or delivered to the customer on Tuesday before 9am (online fulfillment services). Any data can be uploaded/downloaded from Tangle.

SOLUTION ECONOMY - WILL WE EVER GET THERE?

There aren't any silver bullets and one shoe doesn't fit¹²¹ all. If we focus on the data to decision process, alone, in any vertical or domain, the variations of analysis and analytics may be astronomical. Initial investments necessary for these endeavors almost guarantees that the extracted value from data (and relevant information) may not be democratized or made functionally available to those who cannot pay the high cost. In principle the outcome from data to decisions, when appropriate, may be sufficiently distributed and democratized to provide value for communities under economic constraints. Any *meaningful* solution, therefore, is not a scientific or engineering outcome, alone, but must be combined with the economics of technology¹²² which must be a catalyst for implementation and adoption by the masses, if transaction costs¹²³ can be sustained by the community of users, in less affluent geographies.

The economic principle for impoverished environments may be rooted in micro-finance¹²⁴ and micro-payments¹²⁵ with *low* transaction costs (*the downside*: misinformation¹²⁶ can be propagated and disseminated at *low* cost, too). By eliminating classical "product sales" the focus shifts to delivery of "service" which is a *package* of the product plus other resources (retail mobile banking, infrastructure, telecommunications, cybersecurity¹²⁷, security¹²⁸, customer service). Users pay (pennies) *only when they use the service*. PAPPU¹²⁹ (pay-a-penny-per-use or pay-a-price-per-unit) is a *metaphor* for economic instruments which may lower the barrier to entry into markets with billions of users.

The economic incentive for democratization of data is the potential to unleash and create new markets for data, information and decision support, for billions of new consumers (users). The reward in the lucrative service economy model depends on harvesting the economies of scale where each user (market of billions) may pay one or more "pennies" (micro-payment for pay-per-use services). The risk in the service economy is the collection of that "penny" (per use) at the last step of the seamless service delivery process, if the user is satisfied with the quality of service (QoS) metrics. The plethora of partners necessary to create and sustain the ecosystem to deliver the seamless service is a herculean task. Sharing a fraction of that "penny" with the partners in the ecosystem is not a trivial challenge. If the QoS delivery metrics suffer due to poor performance of any one partner (component), the end-user "penny" may be unpaid if the QoS metric fails to reach a pre-determined value (time, duration, speed, rate, volume). The inability of one provider (weakest link) in the service supply chain can be financially detrimental to all other supply chain partners due to loss of that penny, albeit, only for that transaction (unless the partner has a chronic problem, then, it must be excluded from the ecosystem and the entire value network¹³⁰). Delivery of service is a real-time convergence of operations management which includes (but is not limited to) multiple value chains which must integrate¹³¹ the physical supply chain and the financial supply chain with the service supply chain and customer relationship management (brand expectation).

Determining the cost of execution, to deploy the example in Figure 5, may be one way to study feasibility. Simulating models to explore financial engineering of "what if" scenarios, may project the potential for adoption of services in the context of various economies of scale and PAPPU models. The reward for unchaining the economics of technology is in adoption, by the next billion users.

IS THIS FAUX NAÏVETÉ IN ITS PUREST DISTILLATE?

Decision scientists must build a compass to help extract value from data. One compass will not suffice to guide domain-specificity. Existing tools may limp along with *snail-ish* advances (Figure 4) yet it may remain inaccessible to the masses because the tools may not be feasible for mass adoption. The struggle to transform data into information is still in quest of a Renaissance.

The path from *data-informed* to *information-informed* to *knowledge-informed* decision remains amorphous. Transforming information to knowledge is in the realm of unknown unknowns. Making sense of data is handicapped due to [i] an apparently insurmountable semantic barrier, [ii] scarcity of tools to facilitate location-aware and context-aware discovery of data at the edge or point of use, [iii] lack of standards and interoperability between objects, platforms and devices for data and analytics sharing.

Users in less affluent nations may not want to idle away while the architects of Renaissance are still in short supply. In the near term, it is necessary that we continue to work on dissemination of data which can deliver at least some value, sooner, rather than later. Decision support based on sensor data analytics may provide economic benefits¹³² and incentives, if we can share the digital dividends with the masses, for example, in health¹³³ and agriculture, including every facet of food, required daily, globally.

Tangle, a tool¹³⁴ to share sensor data using MAM¹³⁵ (masked authenticated messaging) may offer hope. Can nano-payments for sensor data address some of the feasibility challenges¹³⁶ and pave the way for human-centric economy of things¹³⁷ using IoT as a design metaphor? SNAPS¹³⁸ is a tiny step in that general direction: distributing low cost tools to enable data-informed decision support for less complex problems. Assuming the Pareto principle holds true, perhaps 80% of the problems may be addressed, and even resolved, with simple tools to deliver solutions as a *service*, at the right-time, at the point of use.



Figure 6 - SNAPS is one layer in a proposed analytics platform (layer cake) which consists of a portfolio of tools aligned with the concept of PEAS¹³⁹, a mnemonic borrowed from agent-based systems (**R**), to address systems performance through convergence of percepts, environment, actuators, and sensors. (**L**) Reinforcement Learning¹⁴⁰ (Figure 4), a machine learning technique, compared with PEAS.

REALITY CHECK – DATA FUSION

The inflated view of the sensor-based economy¹⁴¹ is carefully¹⁴² crafted¹⁴³ to create¹⁴⁴ new markets¹⁴⁵ and momentum¹⁴⁶ for sales¹⁴⁷ of sensors and data services¹⁴⁸ aimed to amplify the IoT¹⁴⁹ hype to fortify the deception game. It is promoting the desired effect by spawning mass hysteria and skillfully obfuscating the hard facts which then paves the ground for hordes of consultants to act as "trusted advisors" to make sense of this "revolution" which is supposedly going to change the future of work, life and living. One glaring outcome of delusional¹⁵⁰ propaganda¹⁵¹ is the near trillion dollar³⁹ waste related to investment in technology with a failed ROI. Trillions of sensors and devices that *could* connect to the internet (basis for the cosmic scale of IoT) is due to the scale of unique identification¹⁵² made possible by adopting a 128-bit structure in the internet protocol. The unique address spaces in IPv6¹⁵³ is *29 orders of magnitude higher* than IPv4 if one compares¹⁵⁴ 4.3x10⁹ address spaces for the 64-bit IPv4 versus 3.4x10³⁸ unique address spaces for the 128-bit IPv6. New possibilities¹⁵⁵ and applications¹⁵⁶ may arise due to the flexibility of IPv6 to directly connect to the internet (rather than sub-nesting under/via gateway nodes).

The difference between promise and perils in deploying the concept of IoT as a design metaphor is rooted in grasping the difference between connectivity, discovery and actionable insight. Just because something is *connected* does not mean value emerges, automatically, without a *connected ecosystem*. If a visitor's tablet can discover the printer in an office and use it to print a meeting agenda, then we have extracted some type of value between the connectivity of the tablet and the printer, which were able to "discover" each other, and that discovery enabled the gain in efficiency (printing the agenda). In its basic form, this is an example of very simple data fusion which leads to an actionable output and provides "information" for the meeting attendees in terms of the printed agenda. Connecting trillions of entities to the internet is futile unless discovery and data fusion enables semantically meaningful extraction of data to move up the DIKW¹⁵⁷ value chain¹⁵⁸ where data precedes information, knowledge and wisdom.



Figure 7 - PEAS, a mnemonic borrowed from agent-based systems (**R**), addresses systems performance through convergence of percepts, environment, actuators, sensors. The OODA¹⁵⁹ loop (**L**) and PEAS¹³³ contribute to advance DIKW (data, information, knowledge, wisdom), which begins with data fusion¹⁶⁰.

The PEAS paradigm resembles OODA (Figure 7) because "observations" refer to scanning (sensing) the environment and "orientation" informs the image of the environment by encapsulating both descriptive and predictive analytics ("decide" includes prescriptive analytics). The integration of data fusion and analytics with agent-based systems is critical in the era of IoT. The networked society faces a deluge¹⁶¹ of data yet the human ability to deal with data, analytics, and synthesis of information may be inefficient. How can devices discover data and facilitate processes without intervention by humans? Automated on/off action taken by a domestic thermostat and HVAC based on temperature sensors may be quite primitive when considering autonomous objects in air (UAV), land and water.

Raw sensor data unless discovered and combined with "perceptions" from the environment, may be context-deprived and over/under utilized, which lowers the value of the data with respect to the desired goals. The perception from the environment is not unique but a "learning" task for the system. It may re-use the experience (learning), when relevant and appropriate, at a different instance (Figure 6). Can this "learning" become mobility-enabled and "teach" other devices, for example, by transmitting a *tutor* virion to another computer or drive or system? Can this device communicate in natural language and/or respond/understand the semantics in human queries?

Taken together, unleashing the value of data may require coordination of ABS (agent-based systems) in every facet of our interaction with machines, objects, and processes which may benefit from feedback. ABS is an old¹⁶² concept¹⁶³ but resistant to succinct definition¹⁶⁴ because agent activity must remain agile and adapt to the operating objective (PEAS) and problem context (OODA). Equation-based models EBM) create rigid, hard-coded software. Agent-based system design induces agility, may enable "drag & drop" variant configuration to adjust (on-demand) to volatility, uncertainty and ambiguity, inherent in most environments. In the context of democratization of data and benefits for the masses, agents can be highly personalized and "belong" to people, for example, personal agents, as discussed¹⁶⁵ elsewhere, with respect to cybersecurity. A similar modus operandi can be adopted for other use cases where data fusion¹⁶⁶ can be dynamic and composable (composed when necessary, depends on context) not only for use-cases but also for individual user-specific case/application (healthcare treatment plan).

Agent Characteristics	Definition	
Autonomy	Operates without the direct intervention of humans or others	
Sociability	Interacts with other agents, that is, communicates with external environment such as sensors, fusion systems and human operators	
Reactivity	Perceives its environment and responds in a timely fashion	
Pro-activity	Exhibits goal-directed behavior by taking the initiative	
Learnability	Learns from the environment over time to adjust knowledge and beliefs	
Mobility	Moves with code to a node where data resides	
Anthromorphicity	Externally behaves like human	

Table 1 – Generally, Agents are computational entities (software) designed to perform specific tasks, autonomously. Agents embedded in devices (sensors) may have logic capabilities to perform artificial reasoning tasks (ART) and/or optimization¹⁶⁷ in multi-agent systems (MAS).

The role of software agents to "discover" and then determine which data and/or data fusion may be meaningful or relevant (user-specific), is an old idea, still waiting to be effectively applied. Connecting data must be contextual. The *established* contextual relationship must be discovered and "understood" by agents or group of agents. Another old idea is to *pre-establish* the context based on knowledge graphs. The thinking that W3C standard RDF¹⁶⁸ (resource description framework) triples are the solution for knowledge graphs is incomplete. This myopia, is, in part, one reason why the semantic web¹⁶⁹ failed to flourish. The brilliant idea of representing subject-predicate-object (SPO) as a relational RDF graph is certainly useful and applicable in many instances but the approach *bites the dust* when the reductionist 1:1 granular relationship fails to represent reality. The latter is painfully obvious, especially in medicine and healthcare, where the rigidity of the RDF standard structure and RDF schema may be an anathema. The "force-fitting" of RDF to healthcare applications¹⁷⁰ oversimplifies scenarios to the point where it may, inadvertently, introduce errors, simply due to exclusion, which may prove to be fatal.

One proposal suggests adapting¹⁷¹ RDF by creating relationships between sets/subsets (rather than points and vertices as in classical SPO) using the set theoretic¹⁷² approach. It is easy to grasp why "set" of symptoms and potential set of causes may make more sense in medicine and healthcare. The overlapping (Venn diagram) subset of relationships may be indicative of likely causes for symptoms. Generic symptoms, for example, fever, can be due to a plethora of causes and why a rigid 1:1 relationship in RDF could turn lethal in healthcare applications. The finer granularity of RDF is a disadvantage yet it is key to merging attribute lists about an entity sourced from different data sources. The latter enables better search and discovery across diverse domains, the hallmark of globalization of enterprise systems.

An even older idea¹⁷³ which is recently¹⁷⁴ enjoying scientific¹⁷⁵ as well as public attention¹⁷⁶ is the labeled property graph (LPG). It is suitable for use cases which may be focused on providing stores for single applications and single organizations, such as, domain-specific denominator models (DSDM). LPG proponents are less committed to standardization, interoperability and sharing. It is in contrast to the W3C ethos and RDF which favors standardization, interoperability and sharing, which makes it useful in discovery using graph pattern searches. Optimization of local (domain specific) searches¹⁷⁷ using graph-traversal algorithms¹⁷⁸ are better suited for property graph (PG) databases. Knowledge graph networks embedded between sets/subsets may give rise to amorphous "linked" clouds, which could be industry¹⁷⁹ specific and may be domain specific¹⁸⁰ as well as user specific. Imagine if data from each patient could be used by an *automated knowledge graph engine* to create precision, patient-specific, personalized knowledge graphs. Extracting relationships and contextualizing the relevance of symptoms may improve the accuracy of diagnosis. When viewing knowledge graphs in a population study (epidemiology), it may be easier to detect outlier events or cases that did not fit the expected patterns.

Therefore, domain specific denominator models (DSDM) may be represented as domain/user specific knowledge graph networks. Agents may be invaluable in working within this environment to discover relationships and contexts (specificity reduces search space), as well as discover data sources, and perhaps, based on embedded logic, decide whether the features or attributes calls for data fusion.

For any agent-based approach to succeed, it is critical that the agent framework and standards are interoperable with the knowledge graph network and the data domains where the agent is searching. The opposing tendencies of RDF vs LPG in terms of standardization, interoperability and sharing may limit agent mediated "cross-investigation" of domains, discovery and data. Therefore, it begs to question the expectation that one agent must perform in all domains. Perhaps, the success of agent search and discovery depends on semantically annotated structured data. The latter depends on ontological structure. W3C proposed¹⁸¹ OWL standard web ontology language ¹⁸² and recent variations (VOWL¹⁸³) may contribute to interoperability. The old idea of Internationalized Resource Identifier (IRI), as a complement to the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI)¹⁸⁴ to identify resources (to facilitate discovery) is a valid principle but yet to be adopted in practice. The plethora of old ideas (referred here) suggests that the value of these ideas may have to be revisited. We need new "blood" and new "eyes" to re-imagine new ways to address interoperability. However, in reality, today, on top of this wobbly incompatible infrastructure, we are layering the "snake oil AI" and unleashing an incorrigible torrent of half-truths.







Timeline 2: EU's Elusive¹⁸⁶ Quest for Interoperability: Is 30 years not enough?

"DOUBLE A" PERSPECTIVE OF DATA AND TOOLS vs THE HYPOTHETICAL POROUS PARETO (80/20) PARTITION

Africa (>1 billion) and Asia (>4 billion), if combined, may soon represent 80% of the world's population. Global corporations view this "80%" world as a "market" which promises new markets, new customers and new wave of consumerism. It has little to do with lifting the lives of people. Discussion about the physics and mathematics of data, therefore, is *a tempest in a tea cup*. For ~7 billion people, the trials and tribulations of data and data analytics, we have discussed here, can be dismissed with an eye roll. It is useless for pressing daily applications for ~7 billion of the ~7.8 billion people in the world.

Thus far, what we have discussed, on one hand, may be an exploration of the tessellated facets in our search for meaning, and on the other hand, it is a discussion which may find parallels with the "six blind men and the elephant" syndrome¹⁸⁷ apparently divorced from complementarity¹⁸⁸ or synergy. It is as if the "commerce" from 20% of the global population, relevant or not, is thrust upon the remainder of the world market. In 80% of the cases for 80% of the global population the daily decisions about FEWSH (bare necessities of life: food, energy, water, sanitation, healthcare) do not require artificial intelligence, machine learning algorithms or optimization of 'state space' for hundreds of variables.



Figure 8 - Blind men and elephant. Each man guesses his own part of the elephant but blinded by hype¹⁸⁹ they cannot perceive the "whole" elephant. A metaphor for focus on parts, which occludes the system. Cartoon (bottom): penchant for decision trees by *power-point* rather than search for low hanging fruits.

In 80% of the cases for 80% of the global population the daily decisions about FEWSH require data, *small data*, data in near real time and data that impacts and enhances the user experience. In that context, the clamor about *democratization of data* is tantamount to chest-thumping. The data in these use cases may be related to a subset of FEWSH (food, farm, agriculture, water, healthcare). If the tools are there to acquire this data, then the data is available. Therefore, is democratization really an issue? Is it a politically correct word that the 20% world prefers to use as a hand-waving advocacy of problems that are divorced from reality on the ground? Is "democratization" a "theme" song for advocacy groups in OECD nations who are displaying the symptoms of the *six blind men and elephant* syndrome?



Figure 9 – Digital Duplicate (left), Digital Shadow¹⁹⁰ (center), Digital Proxy (right) and Digital Twin (bottom) are variations of digital models of physical objects, integrated with data flow. But, do we know if it is *meaningful* for data related needs for 80% of the world? It is unlikely to be solved by Digital Twins¹⁹¹ or flamboyant gimmicks peddled by fake pundits on the pages¹⁹² of *Forbes*. However, the R&D related to these tools may trickle through the "pores" from the 20% side of the partition to the other side (80%) of the *porous* Pareto¹⁹³ partition and occasionally¹⁹⁴ may be helpful.



	DXA units/million	Waiting time (d)	Cost (€)	Reimbursement
Austria	28.7	14	30 [11]	yes
Belgium	53	14	34 [12]	partial
Bulgaria	1.2	0	59 [9]	none
Cyprus	23.9	20	75 [9]	yes (depending on income)
Czech Republic	5.2	40*	32 [9]	yes
Denmark	14.6	30	187 [13]	yes
Estonia	8.9	14	14 [14]	yes
Finland	16.8	1	146 [15]	yes
France	29.1	14	41 [9]	yes (conditional)
Germany	21.1	0	36 [9]	yes
Greece	37.5	11*	115 [9]	yes
Hungary	6.0	15*	7 [16]	yes
Ireland	10.0	140* ^ª	99 [17]	yes (conditional)
Italy	18.6	83*	81 [9]	yes (conditional)
Latvia	4.9	10*	18 [18]	yes
Lithuania	3.4	6*	28 [19]	no
Luxembourg	2.0	30	59 [20]	yes
Malta	9.7	105*	184 [21]	yes
Netherlands	10.7	14*	84 [22]	yes
Poland	4.3	1	10 [9]	yes (conditional)
Portugal	26.9	8	5 [23]	yes
Romania	2.4	7	5[24]	yes
Slovakia	10.7	18*	32 [9]	yes
Slovenia	27.1	11*	29 [25]	yes (conditional)
Spain	8.4	105*	109 [9]	yes
Sweden	10.0	60	152 [26]	yes
UK	8.2	11*	51 [27]	yes ^d

The number and provision of central DXA units available in the EU27 (Data on reimbursement and waiting time [10])

Table 2 – Availability¹⁹⁵ of DEXA (dual energy x-ray absorptiometry) scan machines to measure bone mineral density (BMD), a fair prognosticator for osteoporosis. The European standard¹⁹⁶ is 11 (DEXA) DXA units/million. In an updated estimate, the poorest country in EU27 offers 4 machines/million¹⁹⁷ whereas Bulgaria's neighbor Greece boasts 37.5 DXA units/million. In comparison, Indonesia¹⁹⁸ has only 0.13, India¹⁹⁹ 0.18 and Morocco²⁰⁰ 0.6 DXA units/million. For the health of the people in these nations, how can democratization of data lower their risk of osteoporosis? Are we asking the correct questions? Are we pursuing the wrong reasons? Are we arm-chair analysts helping the (BMD) medical device industry²⁰¹ accelerate their sales campaigns to AA nations? Can data provide relevant answers?

Table 2 offers a glimpse of one problem in healthcare. The tools to acquire the data are in short supply. Measuring the risk of osteoporosis is a prerequisite for prevention and treatment, if affordable. Arm-chair "scenarios" of medical IoT will want to connect DEXA (DXA) scan data with sales of milk and exposure to sunlight as a "wellness" indicator. From the "double A" perspective, it may be a futile "power point" exercise because milk *may not be available* for the age group²⁰² generally at high risk of osteoporosis in the AA nations. In most parts of Africa and Asia there is an opulence of sunlight.

Just because there is an "IoT" scenario, does not mean it is worthwhile or valid for users in "double A" nations. Just because there is data, does not mean there is information. Can we reduce incidence rates of osteoporosis simply by adding more DXA machines per capita? A recent (2013) study using seven national electronic healthcare records (EHR) databases revealed that Denmark (14.2 DXA units per million) showed age- and sex-standardized incidence rates (IRs) of hip/ femur fractures 2X higher than those observed in UK (8.2 DXA units/million), Netherlands (10.7 DXA units/million), and Spain (8.4 DXA units/million), while Germany (21.1 DXA units/million) yielded IRs in the middle range.

DRUG	PRESCRIBED FOR		US PRICE	PRICE
NEXIUM per 20mg tabl	etAcid reflux	£0.66	£7.40	
ACTIMMUNE, 12 vials	Genetic diseases, osteopetrosis	£5,400	£42,990.	800%
DARAPRIM per tab	HIV, cancer, malaria patients	£2.30	£619	26,900%
NASONEX, 50mg	Nasal allergies	£7.68	£224	
CINRYZE, 2 vials	HAE, genetic disorder	£1,336	£3,645	
HARVONI per tab		£464	£928	
SOVALDI per 400mg ta	bHep C in children under 12	£416	£855	
DIAZEPAM, per tab	anxiety, relaxation, muscle spasms.	£0.02	£3.05	15,200%
OVEX, 100mg tablet		£2.54	£300	11,800%
LIPITOR, per 10mg tab	Statin	£0.46	£4.50	980%
VIAGRA, per 25mg tab	Male impotency	£4	£61	1,500%
ZOCOR per 10mg tab	Statin	£0.64	£4.20	
CYMBALTA per 30mg o	capsule Anti-depressant	£0.80	£9.48	1,200%
EPIPEN, 300mg	Allergies	£52.90	£523	1000%
HUMALOG INSULIN	Diabetes	£16.61	£215.30	1300%
HIP REPLACEMENT	OPERATION	£7,313	E26k-E37	
KNEE REPLACEMENT	OPERATION	£6,315	£24,801	
CATARACT OPERATI	ON	£803	£5,780	

Table 3 – Plague of unethical profitability makes US pharmaceutical²⁰³ business model in healthcare an abomination which is inappropriate for mimicry in any part of the world. Source: Dr James Nolan²⁰⁴

CONUNDRUMS

On one extreme we have presented sophisticated ideas for making sense of data. On the other hand, we doubt whether the toothless call for *democratization of data* from the affluent 20% of the world can help to lift the lives of people on the other side of the porous Pareto partition (80% of the world). It is not a true "Pareto" scenario, but the 80/20 nature of this problem evokes the Pareto principle as an analogy, hence, Pareto partition. The R&D outcome of the 20% may contribute certain elements to the 80% side. Thus, the "partition" is a metaphorical porous membrane, with bidirectional porosity.

But operations must be contextual. For example, is it necessary to deal with data and data models in this scholastic²⁰⁵ manner (Figure 10) for all problems? The 80/20 global partition may be prominent in agriculture, healthcare and energy. In case of the latter, what is the value of smart metering or load balancing algorithms when there isn't enough energy, at an affordable cost, to supply the basic tenets of economic growth? How many farmers in "80%" world can afford to use drone-on-demand²⁰⁶ systems? Why should people from the majority sector (80%) need useless marketing tools²⁰⁷ when daily healthcare for the less fortunate can solve a myriad of problems with *just-in-time little bits of data*, for example, daily blood glucose level from a diabetic (versus the *always-on* real-time monitoring of blood glucose) or monitoring individuals for silent myocardial ischemia²⁰⁸, a leading contributor to death. This is the debate where the economics of technology and its *relevance to the community* are crucial issues which may *enable* adoption or *disable* the dissemination of technology, which could have contributed to economic growth, workforce development and sustainable job creation.



Figure 10 – From monitoring an event to using the data to inform a decision, there are a plethora of steps²⁰⁹ in the standard operating procedure (SOP) for the "20%" deploying data to drive decisions. However, irrespective of socio-economic issues, in future, all aspects of feature selection and feature engineering may emerge as a pivotal or rate limiting step in dealing with diverse data sources. In this context, automated feature extraction and other feature related steps may be a very significant step. *In combination, automated feature engineering and automated knowledge graph engines may usher new dimensions in data and data analytics, if automated data curation could improve data quality.*



Figure 11 – Share of deaths, by cause (2017) percent of total deaths²¹⁰. Data refers to specific cause of death, which is distinguished from risk²¹¹ factors for death (water and air pollution, diet, sanitation).



Figure 12 - Shifts²¹² in leading causes of DALYs for females, Ghana (1990-2010). The leading 20 causes of DALYs are ranked from top to bottom in order of the number of DALYs they contributed in 2010. DALYs (Disability-adjusted life years): The sum of years lost due to premature death (YLLs, Years of life lost due to premature mortality) and years lived with disability (YLDs, Years of life lived with any short-term or long-term health loss causing disability.). DALYs are also defined as years of healthy life lost.

Worldwide, Africa²¹³ accounts for 9 out of every 10 child deaths due to malaria, for 9 out of every 10 child deaths due to AIDS, and for half of the world's child deaths due to diarrhoeal disease and pneumonia. More than one billion children are severely deprived of at least one of the essential goods and services they require to survive, grow and develop²¹⁴ - these include nutrition, water, sanitation facilities, access to basic health-care services, adequate shelter, education and information. As a result, almost 9.2 million children under-five die every year and 3.3 million babies are stillborn. Most of the 25,000 children under five that die each day are concentrated in the world's poorest countries in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. There, the child mortality rate is 29 times greater than in industrialized countries: 175 deaths per 1,000 children compared with 6 per 1,000 in industrialized countries.

These facts (paragraph above) and Figure 12 offers a vastly contrasting view to that of data tools and democratization of data as essential for lifting the lives of the people living on the majority side of the porous Pareto partition. Simple forms of small amount of data, sufficiently informing ordinary tasks, may be suitable for delivery of global public goods and services to the majority of the 80% world. It is absolutely ludicrous to think that "big" data, AI/ML, blockchain or smarmy publicity²¹⁵ stunts may help, in this context. What we need is the concept²¹⁶ of "bit dribbling" perhaps coupled with pay-a-penny-per-use (PAPPU) systems to help people improve their quality of life without the constant quest for charity.

Technology may play a central role to reach the billions who need services but not in the form of business²¹⁷ which is staple in the West and copied by the thoughtless Eastern schools, especially in India. Technical tools will generate data. The ability to use that data, judiciously, may be key to the value of data, for impoverished nations. Coupling social need with technical catalysts must be optimized in the context of the community and not according to *Wired* or *MIT Tech Review* or *HBR*. Advanced R&D is the bread and butter of progress, but the application of advanced tools must be contextual to the services that the community can sustain. Just because auto maker Koenigsegg claims the *Agera* model was built with a "less is more" philosophy does not mean it is a pragmatic standard of transportation suitable for Calcutta, India. In the realm of systems engineering courses and education, dynamic optimization (DO, Figure 13) illustrates a similar perspective. The principle is worth teaching, worldwide, but the practice must be relevant to the case. Do we all need DO in everyday life and living? Is it necessary for all types of edge analytics to process data using convolutional neural networks (CNN) on a mobile device or phone?

The conundrum of *not* applying the tools we think we have mastered is counterintuitive to the problem-solving ethos in the 20% world. We are ever ready to use the latest and greatest gadgets from the bleeding edge to derive and drive the best possible perfection and performance. The quagmire of lies aside, we do have real tools which offers notable advantages. But, the volume of the 80% of the world and the economic handicap in these communities must be assimilated in order to change our thinking. The acronym KPI (key performance indicator) is for "performance" which is euphemistic for profit in the affluent world. It may not be in the best interest of the people. For 80% of the world, perhaps KPI should stand for "key people indicator" and ascertain whether a tool or the service improves the life of people.

Improving lives, however, is relative to the life you aim to improve, a life with disabilities²¹⁸ versus life with social²¹⁹ void are active domains in robotics. Robotics is useful but the robot propaganda, written mostly by hacks²²⁰ and driven by media²²¹ sales, is a sign of the times. Essential robotics and robots for tasks that are dangerous, dirty and dull (repetitive) are a welcome relief, for all involved.

The idea of the automated robotic factory was popularized by Philip K. Dick's fiction "Autofac" published²²² in 1955 (*Galaxy* magazine). The "lights-out" automated manufacturing facility FANUC²²³ (factor automated numerical control) has been in operation since 2001, in Japan, but it is an exception. Even though "lights-out" robotics made significant strides in heavy industry, it is far from the Orwellian scenarios promoted through chicanery²²⁴ and buffoonery²²⁵ by discombobulating the masses. The promise of robotics must be balanced with the degree of *trust²²⁶ in automated execution* and the system must be *acutely aware* of the perils due to cyberthreats. Cybersecurity is quintessential for automation.

In other instances where human life is at risk (for example, transportation, manufacturing, mining) the trust in automated action (robot) is as good as the planning for "what ifs" *when* the auto execution goes awry. But, that is a deterministic perspective where what could go wrong is anticipated, albeit with some degree of uncertainty. However, if a mobile robot crashes with a holonic manufacturing podium, it may generate a cascade of events where the outcome may be non-deterministic. The critical question in such a scenario is the extent to which a non-deterministic outcome can be tolerated and the *acceptable* cost of risk despite the 'open-ended' uncertainty. Few can even approach to answer this/these questions²²⁷ because it verges on the domain of unknown unknowns.

But, that may not deter simulation aficionados from pursuing stochastic (*what if*) models to capture distribution of randomness in non-deterministic outcomes. Heuristics approaches may surface to suggest contingency measures. This is "video gaming" of automation²²⁸ which could turn deadly in reality. The *executive* robot may be suited for "3D" tasks (dull, dirty, dangerous) but unsuitable for relinquishing human oversight and control if lives are at risk. However, even worse are evil acts perpetrated by humans to bury²²⁹ and ignore²³⁰ the failure of automation, in the pursuit of profit.

Robotic tools in the 20% world are engaged in sophisticated activity which may be subjected to high oversight. In general, the 80% world is not a customer for such implementations in terms of mass consumption. Automation replacing or reorganizing jobs is not a new event (for example, auto industry) because technology²³¹ shifts the cycle of jobs and with it, the economy. Rapid changes in skill sets and the volatility of job categories influence other domains, namely, K-16 education, training, skills development (capital, labor market, employment) and communication (hopefully, the truthful variety). The rate of change in certain ecosystems are dreadfully slow (for example, education system) whereas the evolution of the job market may resemble the rapid pace of bacterial growth, albeit slower than viral growth rates. The diffusion of robotics will take time and only if the building blocks of automation can be popularized, globally, in a manner that Lego blocks may have inspired young minds to compose, create and construct.

The 80% world can benefit from robotics, for example, by reducing global disease²³² burden in emerging economies. In India, children are still used to clean sewers (flexible enough to reach cramped spaces, similar to chimney sweepers²³³ in 17th-18th century UK). Can robotic tools replace the children? Robotics can improve lives and public health²³⁴ rather than fear-mongering and flagrant deception²³⁵ how robots will replace human jobs. Similar to enantiomeric profiling of chiral drugs²³⁶ and opioids excreted in sewer water²³⁷, the post-pandemic world must monitor wastewater for pathogens²³⁸ as a surveillance strategy (prevention tool) or an early warning system prior to the onslaught of detectable clinical²³⁹ symptoms in the general population.



Figure 13 – Dynamic Optimization²⁴⁰ is a central component of systems engineering where applications of numerical methods for solution of time-varying systems are used to improve performance and precision of engineering design and real-time control applications, which may have a broad spectrum of use, for example, from optimizing the artificial pancreas to fuel cells. Principles of DO may be taught²⁴¹ worldwide but DO, systems level data science²⁴² and Bayesian²⁴³ statistics are excellent tools yet, often, less useful for 80% of the tasks for 80% of the world on the other side of the porous Pareto partition.

STIGMA OF PARTITION VERSUS ASTIGMATISM OF VISION

The "partition" suggestion does not disguise the reality of the "ours" vs "theirs" view of a divided world. It is unfortunate but necessary to serve as a constant thorn in our conscience and sow discomfort. The "partition" thinking originates from the corporate pursuit of developing a smörgåsbord of bleeding edge tools and then coerce 80% of the world to buy such products and services ("next billion users"). To add insult to injury, corporations from the 80% world are salivating to acquire rights to these products and bring it to their market (for example, Tata (TCS), TechMahindra, Wipro, Infosys, and other "body-shops" in India²⁴⁴). There exists a *nano-cosm* of people in the 80% world who could be a part of the 20% world. Because they are an influential minority and holds the financial power in the 80% world, they are aligning their astigmatic vision, greed and "profit" objectives with the 20% world.

This mismatch may be at the heart of this global dilemma and creates the necessity to consider the porous Pareto partition in terms of people and service for the end-user. People in AA nations are not buying facial recognition software systems. The abuse²⁴⁵ is perpetrated by governments. People in the 80% world are not seeking quantum computing to process exabytes of data. People are seeking simple information, for example, for their health (data for blood cholesterol level) or from their farm (data about concentration of heavy metal contaminants in irrigation water used for fresh produce, such as, tomatoes). These services help people, the end user, the consumer. This discussion is about what science, engineering and technology businesses can do for people where the key performance indicator is usercentricity and human-centric²⁴⁶ well-being, a fact which is immensely clear in the post-pandemic world.

This mismatch between the business to consumer (B2C) services versus the business to business (B2B) services is not new. The 80% world is always looking to the 20% world when planning strategic moves for climbing "up" the supply chain. The fact that the tools from the 80% of the world may not fit in the 20% world is obvious from "frugal innovation" calls²⁴⁷ by others. Yet, imagination, invention²⁴⁸ and innovation²⁴⁹ from R&D in the 20% world are often helpful in the lives of the 80% world. What may be often lost, is the *translation* of the advances from the 20% world, for people-centric applications, in the 80% world. This discussion is not singing the praises about the investment in research that only the 20% of the world can afford to push forward because we know²⁵⁰ the facts. The world is indebted for the strides made possible due to entrepreneurial innovation in such havens such as Massachusetts and California. This discussion is about exposing the lies²⁵¹ but not slowing the leaps of vision from the 20% world, hence, "porous" partition may facilitate the flow of innovation. Not "as is" but with contextual modifications to better serve communities in the 80% world, at a self-sustaining cost (for example, the PAPPU model, pay-a-penny-per-use or pay-a-price-per-unit).

Mental health is one problem where "porosity" is most welcome because most of the world are affected by generic²⁵³ as well as specific issues, which contributes to economic²⁵⁴ drain. Inherited bipolar and unipolar disorders²⁵⁵ do not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion or national origin. The neurochemical, neuroendocrine and autonomic abnormalities associated with these disorders need biomedical research to elucidate the neurobiological basis of these diseases. The latter is not feasible for the 80% world. Harvesting data²⁵⁶ from external symptoms and pattern analysis²⁵⁷ may offer a low-cost substitute, to inform the nature of treatment required. People in the 80% world may find it useful.

However, this discussion is not a *to-do* list. It is not a roadmap. It may be a compass, oscillating asynchronously from esoteric thoughts to bare necessities. We are immersed in this duality. One cannot exist without the other. The role of the "partition" is to help focus on the issues that are unique to the environment and community that we wish to serve. It is not a partition of R&D or people or products but a partition for *delivery of service*.

The idea of democratization of data is a bit buzzy but gimmicks are key to marketing. August institutions, including MIT, are complicit in sponsoring potentially puffy pieces to keep the hype²⁵⁸ alive. But, the fact remains that enabling data to inform decision is a bedrock of measurement, central to all, irrespective of economic status. The porous Pareto partition is a catalyst to focus on services for the 80% world, where less could be more and serves our sense of égalité.

Dribbling bits of data to inform a person that her respiratory rate (RR) is fluctuating, too often, may be a preventative measure (think of the proverb *a stich in time saves nine*). Informing the person that her RR data is not copasetic, may reduce future morbidity due to COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). Providing data and information may be *without* impact on the quality of life in the absence of follow-up (clinic). In terms of data and information, alone, by enabling something simple and even mundane, the people-centric application of technology and data, preferably at the edge²⁵⁹ (point of use), may help to do more with less. Unbeknownst to us, we are attempting to use the pillars of science, engineering, data, information and knowledge to build bridges which may serve as a platform to provide service to billions of users. Rather than *gilding the lily* we are offering a "bare bones" bridge which serves a rudimentary purpose and still may exclude a few. The volume and demand for such low-cost services [pay-a-penny-per-use (**PAPPU**) services] may be, eventually, profitable for the business ecosystem.

Supporting a sustainable effort, to lift the quality of life, will depend on the extent of the product *ecosystem* and many other "things" in addition to technical and sensor data as well as the *cohesion of the service supply chain*. Socio-economic data²⁶⁰ and related factors are equally significant. Core elements are education of women²⁶¹ and trust²⁶² in women, followed by civic honesty²⁶³, social value²⁶⁴ as well as inculcating the practice of ethical profitability in social business and entrepreneurial innovation to accelerate the pace of creating pragmatic tools and solutions for remediable²⁶⁵ injustices.

THE ILLUSION OF DATA, DELUSION OF BIG DATA AND THE ABSENCE OF INTELLIGENCE IN AI

Neither data nor artificial intelligence (AI)²⁶⁶ is a panacea. Acquisition of data and analysis of data is not a guarantee that there is information in the data or that the information is actionable in terms of delivering value for the user, at an affordable cost. The COVID-19 pandemic has made it clear that the global public goods that define "life-blood" are food, energy, water, sanitation and healthcare (FEWSH). The 80% world needs contextual, advanced and affordable array of tools and technologies to leapfrog the conventional practices of FEWSH in the 20% world to vastly improve their crisis response systems.

In this context, energy is one rate limiting entity and in a "tie" with food and water, in terms of human existence and life. The "hand-me-downs" from the 20% world of energy may not be sustainable. Perhaps the Sahara Desert may be a source of energy for creating a global "battery" field, an idea²⁶⁷ triggered by an 1877²⁶⁸ proposal, in a different context (it was, too, subjected to misrepresentation²⁶⁹ and mockery²⁷⁰). Whether this is a "good" idea or not is *not exclusively* a matter of technological feasibility of implementation or transaction cost of service delivery. The question is, if it is *good for the people*. Global public goods are a matter of context for the community as well as the continent. Exploring the cleavage between entrepreneurial engineering innovation and complexities of social egalitarianism requires willingness to recognize, and adapt, among many *different conceptions of a sense of the future*. It may not be the future deemed appropriate by the 20% world experts. The future is asynchronous and non-linear.



Figure 14 – The trinity of imagination, invention and innovation is central for the 80% world to leapfrog the dead weight of old technology and conventional wisdom from the 20% world. *Nanoenzyme-microbe interaction for clean and affordable bio-electrofuel production (Fig 1 from Singh et al [reference 277])*.

It may be a non-binary future with multiple paths and unequal connectivity between amorphous nexus of networks representing non-linear choices, aspirations and outcomes. "Good" decisions are relative to *that mix* which defies definition yet works as a catalyst for economic rejuvenation. Even this *type* of "good" will (must) change with time and culture because no one version of good can fit all the world²⁷¹. A binary *outcome*, with exceptions, must not be confused with binary *decision making* because a plethora of non-binary factors can influence the outcome, which may *appear* as a binary output.

An oversimplified and cherubic example of the latter may resonate with residents of the Boston area. The choice between Mike's²⁷² and Modern²⁷³, famed confectioners located almost opposite each other on Hanover Street in Boston's North End, is far from binary. The filling in the cannoli, taste of java and the length of the queue, are factored in the decision-making process, which generally presents itself cloaked in a binary-esque outcome. The choice masquerades a slew of non-binary experiences.

Thus, creativity, imagination and knowledge (from science, engineering, technology, medicine and mathematics) may need to connect a few or many 'dots' to inform solution development and delivery. It is true that "porosity" may contribute to solutions in the 80% world, and perhaps, less is more, but it will be remiss to leave the reader with the impression that invention/innovation may have to take a second place in the 80% world. In some cases, we must seek *out-of-the-world* or counterintuitive ideas²⁷⁴ and blend it with incisive insight which may be non-traditional. Far reaching *convergence* of bio, nano, info and eco²⁷⁵ is not an alternative but an imperative to stitch practical solutions, to satisfy, survive and surpass the criteria dictated by the economics of technology and technology policy²⁷⁶, which may be necessary to transform grand visions²⁷⁷ into reality (and uncover new²⁷⁸ tools, in the process).

But "grand visions" are often manufactured²⁷⁹ as incremental mediocrity. Patents for using quantum²⁸⁰ computing²⁸¹ are as absurd as the misuse of the term "cognitive" and the accompanying belligerence²⁸² in marketing. Those who throw around the term "cognition" may not have consulted a credible expert²⁸³ or explored its meaning/definition {*cognition* [*n*] *mental action or process of acquiring knowledge and understanding through thought, experience, and the senses*}. Neither neurology nor modern computational neuroscience comprehends the *combined* electrochemical, cellular and molecular nature of what it may mean to "acquire knowledge" by animals or humans. Any model, equation, algorithm or hand-waving "AI" is simply false²⁸⁴ because it is far beyond our grasp, at this point, to claim anything more than a vague impression of what "*acquire knowledge*" may mean. The other key words in the definition (*thought, experience*) are at depths we do not even dare to know how to measure. Deciphering "processes" based on functional nuclear magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI "activation" maps of real time blood flow) is mindless drivel due to constraints in spatio-temporal resolution and limited ability of fMRI²⁸⁵ to reliably detect functional activation. At the current state of instrumentation, resolution is inversely proportional to the ability to detect functional activation. Optimizing both is essential before fMRI data may be even considered precise.

The absurdity cryptic in the claims about cognition, learning, experience and thought is neither coloured by the author's cognitive dissonance nor a figment of our uninformed imagination. Table 4²⁸⁶ captures the duration of so-called "deep learning" training over "days" on a TPU²⁸⁷ (tensor processing unit) scale with vast amounts of data (GB) which generated undifferentiated²⁸⁸ rubbish. By comparing row 1 vs 10 (bottom), the scores of the relevant match (#1, 0.892) between learning (saved query) vs challenge (new query) is unimpressively different (#10, 0.765). According to Google BERT, after several days of "deep learning" "*Blah blah blah blah*" it was challenged with the query "*Does this integrate with gmail?*" which generated value of 0.765, suggesting 76.5% similarity between the two.

	BERT	RoBERTa	DistilBERT	XLNet
Size (millions)	Base: 110 Large: 340	Base: 110 Large: 340	Base: 66	Base: ~110 Large: ~340
Training Time	Base: 8 x V100 x 12 days* Large: 64 TPU Chips x 4 days (or 280 x V100 x 1 days*)	Large: 1024 x V100 x 1 day; 4-5 times more than BERT.	Base: 8 x V100 x 3.5 days; 4 times less than BERT.	Large: 512 TPU Chips x 2.5 days; 5 times more than BERT.
Performance	Outperforms state-of- the-art in Oct 2018	2-20% improvement over BERT	3% degradation from BERT	2-15% improvement over BERT
Data	16 GB BERT data (Books Corpus + Wikipedia). 3.3 Billion words.	160 GB (16 GB BERT data + 144 GB additional)	16 GB BERT data. 3.3 Billion words.	Base: 16 GB BERT data Large: 113 GB (16 GB BERT data + 97 GB additional). 33 Billion words.
Method	BERT (Bidirectional Transformer with MLM and NSP)	BERT without NSP**	BERT Distillation	Bidirectional Transformer with Permutation based modeling

Table 4A – Assorted BERT tools and duration of so-called "deep learning" training (reference 286)

	Saved Query	New Query	BERT Score	USE Score	ELMO Score	XLNet Score
1	How much will this cost?	Is this expensive?	0.892	0.803	0.742	0.720
2	Where is your data stored?	How secure is your product	0.890	0.625	0.765	0.705
3	What temperature is it today?	What time is the game on?	0.880	0.677	0.746	0.730
4	How do I change my password	I can't find the settings page	0.868	0.671	0.717	0.706
5	Can I sign up for a free trial	Do I need a credit card to get started?	0.868	0.736	0.753	0.759
6	Where can I view my settings	Does this integrate with gmail?	0.866	0.620	0.717	0.691
7	I really do not like this product	I really like this product	0.865	0.747	0.864	0.870
8	What is the Capital of Ireland?	What time is the film in the cinema	0.865	0.578	0.663	0.778
9	Hello, is there anyone there?	What time is the game on?	0.832	0.594	0.680	0.723
10	Blah blah blah blah	Does this integrate with gmail?	0.765	0.519	0.585	0.688

Table 4B – Google BERT thinks "*blah blah blah*" is **76.5% similar** to "*Does this integrate with gmail*?" The laughable outcome is not at all surprising despite the hordes of brilliant scientists working to create tools (RNet, XLNet, ELMo, BERT, ALBERT, DILBERT, ROBERTA) over the past 20 years because it is gnarly to capture *semantics* of language which has evolved over the past 200,000 years.

The fanfare of GPT-3²⁸⁹ and ballyhooed *context-awareness* of ELMo²⁹⁰, BERT²⁹¹, and its cousin ALBERT²⁹², due to permutations and combinations of including masked language model (MLM) and next sentence prediction (NSP), is utterly devoid of intelligence. Except for fanatics feigning ignorance any rational observer may not be incorrect in thinking that throwing data (please see "Data" row in the upper part of Table 4) or using generic high volume of data for training (BERT claims to use "Wikipedia" in column 1 row 4) is ineffective. To be effective, these tools (ELMo, BERT, ALBERT, DILBERT, ROBERTA, GPT-3) must use training data relevant to the context of the target (search). Context-awareness in the absence of data curation is as fake as claiming that a marble bowl is made of gold. Nevertheless, these advances in search techniques are immense strides²⁹³ but the tools still aren't "intelligent" but dumb as doorknobs. The doorknob does not turn unless one turns it or actuates it, manually or mechanically. Wikipedia as an experimental control is a plausible idea. Using curated data for training (ANN) may improve accuracy of search and better guide informed users to extract notions of connections and relationships with BERT-esque tools as *supplementary aids* (Tshitoyan *et al*²⁹⁴) provides supporting evidence, Table 5). These tools are of limited value for non-mission critical applications, for example, recommendations (movies, books, restaurants), weather for entertainment (IBM's Weather Channel) and fault tolerant uses (open garage door, on/off sprinklers). Non-essential human-centric uses (congestion routing, temperature control, voice message to email) may qualify if the outcome is almost correct in 80% of the cases. Actual use with humans-in-the-loop (healthcare, emergency response, security) may be scuppered if based on any credible risk versus reward analytics, except for offering *non-binding and non-executable* suggestions or alerts for human decision makers.

Text corpus	Materials	Grammar	All	Corpus size
Wikipedia	2.6	72.8	51.0	2.81B words
Wikipedia elements	2.7	72.1	41.4	1.08B words
Wikipedia materials	2.2	72.8	41.3	781M words
All abstracts	43.3	58.3	51.0	643M words
Relevant abstracts	48.9	54.9	52.0	290M words
Pre-trained model	10.4	47.1	30.8	640k papers

Table 5 (from Tshitoyan *et al* Extended Data Table 4) – Analogy scores (%) for materials science versus 'grammar' from different sources. Training using Wikipedia for – metals – is grammatically rich (>72%) but content poor even when using select Wikipedia for materials (2.2% analogy). The smallest corpus (290M words) used for training using continuous bag of words (CBOW) offers the best performance (48.9%) on materials-related analogies when *curated* for "relevant" abstracts. The best performance for grammar may turn out to be profitable by enabling ELMo, BERT, ALBERT, ROBERTA and DILBERT (DistilBERT) to be the voices of artificial trainers for the standardized twaddle marketed with impunity and known as *Test of English as a Foreign Language* (TOEFL). The contextual enrichment in this table is similar to the example of enrichment shown in Figure 16, suggesting a need for relevance and curation.

The paramount significance of curated contextual data in training any model (including ANNs, artificial neural networks) cannot be overemphasized. Individuals and institutions in possession of less than lofty ideals may revert to trickery in an attempt to sow doubt or discombobulate or disqualify the type of outcomes, for example, presented in Table 5. It is the age-old deception due to over-fitting²⁹⁵ which can be also applied to ANN during training and the "fit" may be driven to precision using tools such as recursive feature addition²⁹⁶ (RFA). For readers seeking a simpler analogy may wish to re-visit what we discussed as the "force-fitting" of RDF to healthcare applications (reference 170). The erudition necessary to train ANN with curated data is not easily gleaned from a cursory review. Extensive perusal of scholastic research²⁹⁷ begins to reveal the minutiae with respect to the nature of the domain specific data and the context of data curation (see sections 3 and 4 in Nandy *et al*, reference 297) that forms the bulk of the *preparatory* work based on rigor and strength of broad spectrum²⁹⁸ knowledge. In an earlier section we referred to *domain specific* models in a "macro" sense whereas the domain specificity of this example (Nandy *et al*) is at the molecular (atomic and/or sub-atomic) scale.



	[Co(NH ₂ CH ₃) ₆] ³⁺	[Mn(HNNH) ₆] ³⁺
DFT	-20.00 eV	-18.64 eV
ANN	-19.91 eV	-23.55 eV

Table 6 – Even after extensive training using precision data enriched for features using RFA, it is not surprising when gross errors are found in the outcome (analysis). Figure 7 (from page 13981 in Nandy *et al*) is one example how artificial neural networks (ANN) used in machine learning (ML) exercises and analytics generate erroneous results. ΔE_g data (LEFT) shows ANN error (0.09 eV) with respect to DFT (density functional theory²⁹⁹) in a singlet [Co(NH2CH3)6]3+ transition metal complex.

(Right) Data shows large ANN error (-4.91 eV) with respect to DFT for a quintet [Mn(HNNH)6]3+ transition metal complex. The quintet [Mn(HNNH)6]3+ complex highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level is underestimated by 4.9 eV, which is almost *double* the mean absolute error (MAE). This ANN was specifically trained using ΔE_g data models on a set of 64 octahedral homoleptic complexes (OH64). The discrepancy (ANN error) is significant because frontier molecular orbital energetics provide essential insight into chemical reactivity and dictate optical and electronic properties. Small errors could make an immense difference in terms of chemistry of the transition metal complex. In this illustration, the metals are shown as spheres and coordinating atoms as sticks (C atoms, gray; N atoms, blue; H atoms, white). If your healthcare diagnosis and treatment was based on such an ANN outcome, would you trust, accept and abide by the direction of the treatment suggested by such results? If this outcome is based on data from your electronic health records (EHR) which is known to be erroneous, would you trust poor data quality to inform a poorly performing ANN engine to design your healthcare?

The third piece of evidence that also dispels the marketing myths of AI in favor of viewing through the lens of *artificial reasoning* tools (ART, referring to ANN, CNN, RNN, DL, RL), is another variety of neural network³⁰⁰ with credible capabilities. MPNN³⁰¹ (message passing neural network) for molecules³⁰² is a tool³⁰³ to unleash data³⁰⁴ for human-centric applications in health and medicine. This example centers on uncovering and repurposing a previously known molecule as an antibiotic³⁰⁵ using a plethora of tools including MPNN and collectively referred to as deep learning (DL). Stokes *et al* and the two other papers (Tshitoyan, Nandy) emphasize data curation and learning, without mentioning the term AI or "artificial intelligence" in the scientific papers. Unfortunately, the marketing and MIT news item³⁰⁶, as expected, did not shy away from fake sensationalism to bolster the false appeal of AI.

The *learning* that generated the antibiotic (renamed Halicin), is nauseatingly detailed and the *training* (MPNN) was excruciatingly structured, optimized and re-optimized (using hyperparameter³⁰⁷ optimization). The old idea of ensembling³⁰⁸ was applied to improve outcomes *in silico* but predictions were *biologically* tested through rigorous experiments. Even after repeated steps to minimize errors, the authors remain cognizant of the pitfalls: "*It is important to emphasize that machine learning is imperfect. Therefore, the success of deep neural network model-guided antibiotic discovery rests heavily on coupling these approaches to appropriate experimental designs.*" (Stokes *et al*, page 698)



Figure 15 – Training a neural network to recognize molecules relies on the fact that every molecule may be represented as a *graph* (or a collage of connected graphs, eliciting the idea of a *knowledge graph*). The water molecule may be viewed as a graph with oxygen (O) as the node (vertex). Bonds between oxygen and hydrogen (O–H) serves as the "side" or edge. Most molecule (within reason) may be transformed to a molecular graph and is at the heart of MPNN training to recognize different types of molecules. Then, the *trained* neural network, MPNN, is used to search for similar or *dissimilar* molecules in a repository.

A curated set of 2335 molecules were used as the training set for new antibiotic molecules. The 2335 training data set included a FDA library of 1,760 molecules *pre-selected* (curated) based on their ability to inhibit microbial (*E. coli* BW25113) growth. In other words, molecules with structure and function *known* to possess anti-microbial activity. Training MPNN with this data set enables the neural network to *learn* the structures in order to select similar (or dissimilar) structures from a larger library of structures. The expectation is that when a "challenge" library is presented to the MPNN, the degree of similarity or dissimilarity, in terms of the output from the MPNN, can be *tuned* by modifying selection parameters. For example, using prediction scores (PS) to categorize molecules from a larger library (in this case, the ZINC database with ~1.5 billion molecules). By selecting higher PS value (>0.7, >0.8, >0.9), the outcome is "enriched" and a sub-set of molecules (in this case, 107,347,223, reductionism at work) is further subjected to other selection criteria, for example, nearest neighbor analysis (Tanimoto score). Finally, potential molecules (in this case, 23) are biologically screened (microbial assay) to identify the "new" antibiotic candidate(s). One such candidate is Halicin (Stokes *et al*), previously identified as the c-Jun N-terminal kinase inhibitor SU3327 and re-discovered as a broad-spectrum antibiotic, re-named Halicin, but still the same molecule as SU3227, albeit repurposed, based on function.



Figure 16 – Potential candidates (8 molecules) from ZINC database (structures on the left) were scored using nearest neighbor (NN) analysis (yellow circles, bottom right). NN is based on principles derived a thousand years ago³⁰⁹ (circa 1030). Data is curated at successive steps by enriching for *context* (selecting higher prediction scores, PS, top right) in a manner similar to Table 5 (wikipedia vs relevant abstracts).
In combination, these three examples offers preliminary evidence that artificial reasoning tools (ART) such as ANN, MPNN, DL (deep learning), RL (reinforcement learning), etc. are *excellent* tools. However, ART and related tools are not intelligent, they do not self-operate and the outcome is solely due to the skill and sophistication of the human operators. The steps must be designed with cautious intellectual strategy embracing the *breadth* of diverse knowledge, often dismissed by many institutions. Execution demands *depth* of erudition and incisive foresight to weigh the pros and cons of the criteria used to assess the *quality of curated data* prior to training neural networks with such data.

It is essential to learn the *meaning of context* in order to sufficiently inform the "artificial" part of ART. Models and patterns are like chicken playing tic-tac-toe³¹⁰ without context and semantics. Human *knowledge* to equip ART is almost impossible to transfer because we do not have a clue how to abstract *continuous* knowledge and use *discrete* processes to *build* it into an artificial system. Hence, ART may not "possess" an internal model of the external world. The immense variability in terms of features and which features may be *relevant* in which environment makes it difficult to model a state by claiming that feature selection will address all relevant and discrete contexts that the item or object may experience. Even if feature engineering was automated to levels of precision and continuity that could encapsulate all possible permutations and combinations of the behavior of an entity or object, the model may be still inadequate in the hands of different users due to inherent bias. It is not trivial but may not be impossible to model behavior and optimize for some features within a narrow cross-section in a retail environment (for example, who may shop at Whole Foods, who may return to Andronico's versus Mollie Stone's).

If reason could inform common sense then one may prefer ART over AI and champion the value of reasoning in machine learning techniques using neural networks. Neural networks and machine learning tools are amplifying, modifying and regurgitating whatever humans have programmed into the tool. It cannot *learn* beyond the range of data or information provided, until humans decide to change, adjust or add/subtract parameters/attributes which will influence the *learning* and the output from ART. The obstreperous zeal to move away from the misnomer of modern³¹¹ AI³¹² and adopt ART as a generic term may be a *back to the future* moment for rule-based³¹³ expert systems³¹⁴ and principles³¹⁵ but coupled with new ML tools³¹⁶. Marketing panders to creators of unstructured data but zettabytes of data anarchy occasionally may offer value irrespective of the clamor for general AI or ambient AI or intuitive AI or cognitive AI. Isn't it possible to deliver value using ART?

Does the acronym matter? AI is a false trigger for technology transitions³¹⁷ but it is cheaper³¹⁸ and cheaper³¹⁹ to promote. It is profitable for conference organizers, narcissistic speakers, greedy social gurus and other forms of eejits. Irresponsible computation may be draining the energy³²⁰ economy yet the marketing world is oblivious of the socio-economic incongruencies in terms of thermodynamics³²¹ of computation, which is absent from daily discussions. Perpetrating the myth of intelligence in AI may be a moral anathema. ART lacks the cachet and panache, but promotes the rational idea of *learning* tools, which are, and will be, helpful for society.

The state of artificial learning is analogous to receiving a map of the world on a postage stamp and expect the bearer of the map (stamp) to arrive at 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA, using that postage-stamp-sized map as the only guide. Neurologists shudder³²² at AI, the public are ignorant of the evidence of sham (Tables 4-6 and Figure 16) while marketing accelerates the "show" over substance. Sensationalism amplifies attention and siphon funds away from real world issues, making it harder for elements of FEWSH³²³ to move forward, for the 80% world. The task ahead is to be creative, more than expected, and avoid the oxymoronic implementation of *innovation as usual*. Dynamic combinations³²⁴ and cross-pollination of counterintuitive connections may be worth exploring³²⁵ to find many *different* ways to lift billions of boats, not just a few yachts. Future needs égalitarian resistance to our "default"



Figure 17 – Is GINI coefficient³²⁷ a non-stationary end-goal for economic redistribution through ethical social entrepreneurial innovation? A billion³²⁸ people³²⁹ defecate outdoors (figure shows percent of population who are forced to defecate outdoors). The pay-a-penny-per-unit (PAPPU) model could rake in billions if managed sanitation services were developed as a business. If a billion people paid one penny (US) per use per day for their "leased" sanitation service (at home) then the global gross earning for pay-per-use sanitation may be US\$3.65 billion annually, an indication of earnings potential and wealth from the business of the poor. The primary assumption is that the individual will choose to pay one penny per day even if their income is only \$2 per day (lowest per capita average earnings). This business model depends on availability of many different domains³³⁰ of infrastructure necessary to offer home sanitation as an e-commerce³³¹ service. The return on investment will be realized gradually because earnings will not be US\$3.65 billion in the first year. Is the inclination to invest, and wait longer for a ROI, too much to expect from global organizations which could help facilitate delivery of global public goods?



Figure 18 – Publications in peer-reviewed journals (2018). Face-saving feel-good false positives? Propaganda³³² (does *not* exclude scientists³³³) masks facts³³⁴ and analyses, by ignoring the quality of publications, citations and investment in R&D (% GDP). Dubious research³³⁵ output tarnishes the image and publications. Are we pointing fingers at a quarter (25.98% = 20.67% + 5.31%) of the global share?



Figure 19 – GINI coefficient gone awry? Vast slums, adjacent to high rise residential buildings in a section of Mumbai (photograph by Prashant Waydande³³⁶), contradicts the notion that India may be an emerging leader in credible scientific research³³⁷ (Figure 18). Are these a few symptoms stemming from grave gender bias, discrimination³³⁸ against females and inequity of women in science and society?

IN SERVICE OF SOCIETY

The tapestry of this discussion touches upon models of data, fake propaganda about AI, use of artificial reasoning tools (ART) and the lack of managed sanitation services for at least a billion people in the world ravaged by a pandemic. It presents a tortuously complex series of challenges each with its own bewildering breadth.

More than ever, it is thorny to grasp how to balance efforts to continue creativity of thought considering the grim fact that more than 15 million people are infected by SARS-CoV-2 virus and deaths may soon exceed a million³³⁹ people. The pandemic³⁴⁰ may continue for another few years (2021-2025) and fluctuate in severity (acuity) due to antigenic drift³⁴¹ naturally caused by mutations. Lofty, esoteric ideas from any affluent³⁴² oasis poses moral and ethical dilemma when billions are facing a mirage in their effort to obtain the essentials for survival (food, energy, water, sanitation and healthcare, FEWSH).

Yet, the pandemic has made molecular epidemiology the third most important job in the world (medical professionals and essential workers are first and second, respectively). Epidemiology is at the heart of public health *data*. Without metrics to measure performance, we may be forced to rely merely on anecdotes. The plural of anecdotes is not evidence.

DATA SCIENCE IN SERVICE OF SOCIETY - KNOWLEDGE AND PERFORMANCE FROM PEAS

We continue exploring the many facets of data but begin to ascend the pyramid how data may optimize the output or performance, an enormously complicated topic with vast gaps of knowledge.

The idea that tools must perform adequately is obvious and simple to grasp. Yet performance as a scientific and engineering metric is a product of a fabric of dependencies and parameters which must be combined to deliver service, hopefully, useful for the community, for example, public health. In the digital domain, data is the common denominator, for example, data is the central force in epidemiology. The use of data to extract information must be a part of the discussion with respect to science in the service of society. These tools must be ubiquitous, accessible, composable and modifiable, on demand.

Information depends on raw data but data may not, always, contain information. Even though data is driving the granularity, the *curation* of the contextual from the granular (data) to extract relevant meaningful information is essential for decision systems including digital transformation. A plethora of real and fake tools and technologies are peddled on this pilgrimage from data to information. On this route one often finds the snake-oil of AI³⁴³ which is a fake tool and a marketing gimmick. But, under the bonnet of fake AI lies the very valuable techniques from ML (machine learning) and key statistical tools which are the "bread and butter" for many instances, applications and information-informed outcomes.

We return (please re-review Figures 6 and 7) to the systems world to re-visit PEAS, a mnemonic borrowed from agent systems which consists of percepts (P), environment (E), actuators (A) and sensors (S). Performance is the informed outcome from the PEAS process which is a superset of SARA³⁴⁴, which we mentioned in the beginning of this essay (see "CONTEXT" section). Performance is an over-arching goal of PEAS because solutions evolving from science and engineering in the service of society are not only "point solutions" or "tokens" but a fabric or tapestry, which must integrate, analyze, synthesize and synergize data and information from various inter-dependent domains to arrive at recommendations or actions that can deliver value to non-expert users. Point solutions (SNAPS) are useful but "fabrics" may better suit complex cases. PEAS must combine data/information from sub-systems (P, E, A, S) for datainformed decision support (DIDAS) and in future, knowledge-informed decision support (KIDS). Data may be inextricably linked to sub-domains and outcomes may be influenced by interrelationships which are pre-dominantly non-linear. For specific instances we may need select cross-sections of data domains pertinent to specific applications. Once we determine which segments of data are required, the process of "discovery" is key to link the (contextual) data. Logic tools, agents and ART (in combination) may extract the information. Metrics must evaluate the (semantically viable) outcome, if we wish to certify it as rigorous, reproducible and credible for data and/or information-informed decision support (DIDAS).



Figure 20 – Combined sub-set of P, E, A, S is also a "performance" platform to aggregate tools. Data enables performance (using data from sensor search engines, SENSEE), other databases, logic functions (for example: if this, then that³⁴⁵ type of operations) and/or ART to deliver near real-time output (mobile app) for end-users (SNAPS, sensor analytics point solution). At the next level, "point" data may auto-actuate to reach SARA (sense, analyze, response, actuate), a part of being data-informed (DIDAS).

Digital transformation depends on making sense of these relationships and the granularity of nearly noise-free data to support the claim that the relationships in question indeed have dependencies (as opposed to correlation without causation). The ability to discover and connect these interrelated data domains are challenging and yet is the heart of "knowledge" systems. Only those who do not dread the fatiguing climb of the steep path from data to information, may reap the harvest of what it is to be information-informed. Ascension to knowledge is difficult by orders of magnitude due to the necessity to discover the relationships/dependencies and then validate the connectivity with credible (curated) data which may be globally distributed in unstructured or structured (databases) forms.

One "connectivity" tool from graph theory is referred to as knowledge graph (KG) which is a connected graph of data and associated metadata. KG represents real-world entities, facts, concepts and events as well as relationships between them, yielding a comprehensive representation of relationships between data (healthcare data, financial data, company data). The metadata about the data may catalyze feature integration, access to models, information assets and data stores. Interoperability between KG tools are quintessential for data discovery and growth of knowledge networks. Although "knowledge" is the term of choice, the outcome of knowledge graphs are still far from knowledge but efficient in establishing connectivity between entities. The term "connectivity graph" is most appropriate. But in the data science parlance it is exaggerated to convey the impression that graph theoretic tools may approach the "knowledge" level in the DIKW pyramid. It does not. Knowledge graphs are excellent tools for better connected data-informed decision support systems (DIDAS) but not knowledge-informed (KIDS).

The cartoon in figure 21 is a hypothetical example of how knowledge graphs and graph networks may serve as a backend for point of care (circle in square) applications, for example, a patient suffering from coronavirus infection who is treated by one or more medical professionals. The physician or nurse may create an *ad hoc* medical profile of the patient to prepare a treatment plan by combining data and/or information, for example, [1] stored data (medical history) from electronic health record (EHR) about patient's blood group [2] patient's blood analysis results from pathology lab to determine antibodies (mAbs) in serum [3] available drugs (remdesivir, dexamethasone) for treatment and [4] whether the ventilator (device) is available, if necessary. Accessing the strands of data in real time at the point of care (on a secure mobile device) is typical of medical decision support. The data/information at the point of care must be relevant to the context of the patient (age, height, weight, symptoms, existing conditions, allergies). Relationships between data and their dependencies with respect to this specific patient must be "understood" by the data discovery process (the importance of semantic metadata) when the medical professional queries the system (technical metadata). Logic, limits, rates, flows, policies, exclusions, etc., which determines "where/when/how/if this then that" must be a part of this system. Data discovery may be a combination of standard data (for example, the range of values for normal blood count, such as, platelets, hemoglobin, etc.) as well as data discovered specifically for the patient's treatment plan.



Figure 21 – Knowledge graphs (KG) may play an increasingly important role if standards may endow KGs with the ability to access, connect and catalyze data fusion using relevant data (sourced using data discovery agents/tools) followed by reasonable convergence, curation and analytics of contextual data from distributed databases, based on query or problem specificity. The ability for search and discovery tools to semantically understand the query (language) is one caveat in using KG tools as a backend layer for applications at the point of use. Agents and algorithms for search and discovery of graph networks to access data and information assets may be handicapped by the lack of standards and interoperability between standards in this developing field. Global standards may not be easy to formalize but domain specific standards developed through agreement between associations may be one mechanism for enabling the dissemination of graph tools for use at the edge (customer, user) in select domains, for example, in a medical sub-field (otolaryngology) or water resource optimization (management of re-using wastewater for irrigation) or prevention of food waste (predictive perishability, shelf-life).



Figure 22 – Generalized approach to use of knowledge graph networks for application specific use which assumes interoperability between standards, tools, data, information (but systems may be incompatible).

Knowledge graphs are useful for relationship mapping and mining. KG maps are useful for discovery of data assets using agents, algorithms and search engines in knowledge graph networks (KGN) which can be accessed and triggered by external queries. KG is just one element of digital transformation. It is *not a panacea* or a general solution. KGN requires pre-created KG and generating KG requires deep understanding of the nodes that the graph will connect. Individuals creating KGs for domain specific use must know computational aspects as well domain knowledge. Introducing bias by connecting select domains may introduce errors and cause harm. On-demand *ad hoc* tools to automate creation of KG and KGNs may suffer from semantic ambiguity of natural language (NL) driven bots.

Knowledge graph abstractions in the PEAS context suggests an overlap between 2 strategies, both grounded in a multi-factorial approach to decision support based on links, relationships and dependencies. The difference between cartoons in Figure 21 and 22 versus illustration in Figure 23 is one of domain specificity (healthcare, Figure 21 or generic, Figure 22) compared to the big picture of PEAS (Figure 23). In the context of domains, knowledge graphs are connecting sub-domains and even granular data/information assets which lie far below the surface (for example, A, B, C, D, Fig 22).



Figure 23 – Connectivity permeates KG which create relationships between nodes (granular data and information). PEAS is a view from the top of MANY layers of knowledge graphs which may be broadly grouped under percept, environment, actuate and sensors, representing trillions of use cases where specific elements within these groups may be involved/weighted/combined in delivering decisions.

Taken together, meaningful use of data and selected types of digital transformation tools are applicable to many domains which can help society. The financial instruments based on the paradigm of PAPPU may be key for ethical profitability if we wish to democratize the benefits and dividends from digital transformation for billions of users. Social business ideas to provide services to help reduce food waste and provide access to primary healthcare may use some version of PAPPU to collect micro-revenue. Suggestions (see items 00, 01, 02, 03 and 04³⁴⁶) about entrepreneurial innovation related to food, energy, water, sanitation and healthcare (FEWSH) may be essential as global public goods but they must work "in concert" to deliver the performance – survival in the post-pandemic world and improving the quality of life. Performance as the outcome of the PEAS paradigm (Figure 23) works by connecting *ideas of domains* (percept, environment, actuate, sensors) using knowledge graphs. Comparing figures 20-23 may help to visualize the big picture as an *abstraction of connectivity* between PEAS and knowledge graphs (KG).



Figure 24 – PEAS and knowledge graph (KG) networks are elements of the DIKW paradigm which must work in conjunction with cyber-physical systems (CPS), a superset of IoT (internet of things) activities. Actuation (A) could induce commencement of activities limited to a few "point" outcomes ("green" circles in cartoon) or potentially trigger network effects ("yellow" knobs illustrate control elements which may be in a farm, irrigation system, field sensors or oil/gas pipeline) to modify rate, flow, or simply turn on/off. The actuation may be automatic (if this, then, that) or machine-to-machine (M2M) or some variation of machines and humans in the loop. The *wire frame* layer connecting the lattice represents ecosystems affected directly or indirectly by actuation. The elements may be "cyber" (workflow, cybersecurity, data exchange, information arbitrage, decision support) as well as "physical" (involving objects – for example – flow of water, detection of molecules and physical in terms of the supply chain of products and/or services influenced by actuation – for example – recall select batches of lettuce if pathogen is detected by sensor). Actuation is a "performance" outcome, which may engage with and affect multiple nodes, data and IoT domains.

These system of systems and multi-layer convergence suggested in Figure 24 embrace a dynamic broad-spectrum of options and opportunities which may be beyond the grasp of end users, for decades. It is useful to sketch the "big picture" but implementation of these systems and extracting the synergies are beyond civilian reach. The complexity in the cartoon may be analogous to the problems faced by the "omics" tools in medicine and healthcare (metabolomics, genomics, proteomics). Physiological changes prior to and during a disease state are almost never a binary outcome. The network view in Figure 24 is even more intensified in humans and animals because changes in physiology and metabolism are almost always a network effect and genome-wide associations are only too common. Dissecting data to extract useful information may not be achieved by focusing on one or two aspects. The sluggish pace of progress in harvesting the value of genomics in precision medicine is one example. The herculean task of protein profiling³⁴⁷ is still a work in progress. Hence, field applications may still need point solutions (SNAPS).



Figure 25 – Complexity <u>seems</u> erudite (red border) but less useful in the real world. Probably 80% of users may benefit from point solutions (SNAPS) generating *usable information* from a cross-section of "small" data (time series) which may assist in addressing local point of use problems in near real-time.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Digital transformation is a buzz word unless contextual data is meaningfully used to deliver information of value. Graph structures (KG) are an infrastructure of relationships to connect relevant granular data to improve total performance. The choice of tools from graph theory is based on their mathematical credibility. The combination of KG with PEAS may offer the rigor we seek for measurable progress of data-informed digital transformation to help the 80% and the 20% world. We are still in the early stages and changes will accompany digital transformation (for at least another century) not because it is *due to* digital transformation but because change is a gift of periodicity. Focus, applications and our demands change. Analyzing, predicting and understanding these changes may be challenging. Tools in the process of change must evolve, adapt and serve what is best for our society, industry and commerce, at that time. With the progress of time events evolve and unleash their influence on the global ecosystem.



Figure 26 – A sinusoidal wave illustrates the periodicity of change. We are currently immersed in a social coronary³⁴⁸ due to the coronavirus pandemic. The Great Transformation³⁴⁹ of 2021 is expected, soon.

If data cannot deliver performance then the value of such data is negligible. Performance is linked to use of data to inform real-time models. However, data must not be "smoothed" or "fitted" to a static model. The model may be real-time composable/configurable based on information derived from data, which could easily reconfigure if shape-shifting patterns in data evolve due to dynamic changes in events. For example, the first use case for DARPA World Modelers³⁵⁰ is food insecurity resulting from domain-specific data fusion based on interactions between multiple factors, including climate, water availability, soil viability, market instability and physical security. The result from "world modeling" has to be about the "outcome economy" which is not about products, silos or domains but the combined meaningful service (which may of course include products and domains). In an oversimplified form of an example, consider the trainer or sneaker as a "service" where the consumer pays for duration of the service (life cycle) provided by the trainer or sneaker.

Models must address data cross-pollination in the interdisciplinary world if we wish to meaningfully translate science and engineering R&D to real life solutions that can offer measurable value for 80% of the global population. To highlight the fundamental nature of data cross-pollination salient to appreciating the significance of the cleavage between data versus contextual value of information, we have deliberately replaced the "data driven" phrase with "data informed" throughout the article to trigger a change of perspective. It is true that data guides our decision-making process but is that process free of intuition and prior knowledge? Data *cannot drive* the decision because we have not and we do not know how to capture and meaningfully embed experience, knowledge and intuition within the logic layer of process. Hence, data *informs* the process but other data (data fusion) and humans-in-the-loop structure, curate, configure and may even modify the data in order to use it in a manner that fits the purpose, context and anticipated value. Of course, we have left room for introducing bias which could color the outcome but this is the real-world scenario which is, therefore, best described as *data informed*.

Perhaps we may not acknowledge often but we use data to act as a check on our intuition, which could introduce socio-economic and cultural bias. One way to escape this bias is to claim that data *drives* the outcome, hence eliminating humans-in-the-loop and trigger the process to auto-actuate using data. In reality, most decision systems are super-sets of decision processes. One exception may be found in true point solutions where semantic purity indicates that any one piece of data (if pre-configured) could *drive* the process to the next step. In the real world, it is not the data alone but *information* and outcome of data fusion (information layers) which must converge, meaningfully, to guide the decision systems.

Thus, data-informed upholds the importance of data but reserves the role of humans-in-theloop (intuition, knowledge, wisdom) and acknowledges convergence of information from sub-systems. Data informed auto-actuation is not a point solution because data alone may be insufficient and there must be a system check to determine that the data contains information which is contextually relevant.

Data is one element in the forthcoming global transformation, which will test our knowledge and greed. Compassion without knowledge is ineffective. Knowledge without compassion is inhuman³⁵¹. In our pursuit of life, we must think about our duties and responsibilities. In our pursuit of ideas, we must strive to think about the correct questions. Wrong questions will generate wrong answers³⁵².

It may seem tad obsequious but the service economy is here to stay, especially for the 80% world. The *pay-a-penny-per-use* (PAPPU) model is an imperfect economic instrument and will have its share of problems yet it may unlock markets of billions who may have non-zero amounts of disposable income. The combination of the wealth of the poor, practice of ethical profitability and social businesses may unleash markets of billions. The 'western' business ethos, roles and models are unsuitable for the future of service economies. Expect resistance from *western* behemoths if they fail to control the 80% world.

Humanity needs compassionate dreamers³⁵³ and an ethical sense of higher purpose guided by humility. Leaders with a higher moral fabric are few and far between. Humanity seeks those who can rise above their personal greed and narcissistic needs. To believe in greater good for the greatest number is that pursuit of "what life expects of me"³⁵⁴ which presents itself as a braided lifeline of chance, choice, and character.

¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pareto_principle

² https://www.postscapes.com/iot-history/

³ Sanjay Sarma, David Brock and Kevin Ashton (1999) "*The Networked Physical World - Proposals for Engineering the Next Generation of Computing, Commerce, and Automatic-Identification,*" MIT Auto-ID Center White Paper. MIT-AUTOID-WH001, 1999. <u>https://autoid.mit.edu/publications-0</u> <u>https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/88b4/a255082d91b3c88261976c85a24f2f92c5c3.pdf</u>

⁴ Sarma, S., Brock, D. and Engels, D. (2001) "Radio Frequency Identification and the Electronic Product Code," *IEEE Micro*, vol. 21, no. 6, Dec. 2001, pp. 50–54. doi:10.1109/40.977758.

⁵ Sarma, Sanjay (2001) "Towards the 5 cents tag," Auto-ID Center. MIT-AUTOID-WH-006, 2001 ⁶ https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/pdfrfc/rfc791.txt.pdf

⁷ Deering, S. and Hinden, R (2017) Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) <u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/pdfrfc/rfc8200.txt.pdf</u>

⁸ https://www.bizjournals.com/boston/blog/mass-high-tech/2012/11/mit-aims-to-harness-cloud-dataon-consumer.html

⁹ Max Mühlhäuser and Iryna Gurevych (2008) Introduction to Ubiquitous Computing. IGI Global. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ab0e/b44c7c81a1af3fc2d23fa03f8f04f9e4ca2d.pdf

¹⁰ https://www.bigdataframework.org/short-history-of-big-data/

¹¹ Melina Kourantidou (2019) *Artificial intelligence makes fishing more sustainable by tracking illegal activity.* <u>https://theconversation.com/artificial-intelligence-makes-fishing-more-sustainable-by-tracking-illegal-activity-115883</u>

¹² https://www.postscapes.com/iot-consulting-research-companies/

¹³ Which market view? The imperfect categories are consumer and industrial IoT. Definitions proposed by domestic and foreign governments (US DHS, US FTC, EU ENISA) are (not surprisingly) neither systematic nor in sync. According to DHS, IoT is defined as "connection of systems and devices (with primarily physical purposes e.g. sensing, heating/cooling, lighting, motor actuation, transportation) to information networks (internet) via interoperable protocols, often built into embedded systems." (pg 2 in "Strategic Principles for Securing the Internet of Things (IoT)", Version 1.0, November 15, 2016. https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/Strategic_Principles_for_Securing_the_Internet_of Things-2016-1115-FINAL_v2-dg11.pdf). ENISA defines IoT as "a cyber-physical ecosystem of interconnected sensors and actuators, which enable intelligent decision making." (Pg 18 in "Baseline Security Recommendations for IoT" www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/baseline-security-recommendations-foriot/at_download/fullReport). One version of IoT is in H.R.1668 "Internet of Things Cybersecurity Improvement Act of 2019" (https://www.congress.gov/116/bills/hr1668/BILLS-116hr1668ih.pdf). H.R. 4792 "U.S. Cyber Shield Act of 2019" mentions "internet-connected products" and stipulates that the term 'covered product' means a consumer-facing physical object that can (a) connect to the internet or other network; and (b) (i) collect, send, or receive data; or (ii) control the actions of a physical object or system (https://www.congress.gov/116/bills/hr4792/BILLS-116hr4792ih.pdf).

¹⁴ Cisco CEO at CES 2014: *Internet of Things is a \$19 trillion opportunity*.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/on-it/cisco-ceo-at-ces-2014-internet-of-things-is-a-19-

trillion-opportunity/2014/01/08/8d456fba-789b-11e3-8963-b4b654bcc9b2_story.html

¹⁵ https://www.the-scientist.com/uncategorized/nature-rejects-krebss-paper-1937-43452

¹⁶ www.zdnet.com/article/internet-of-things-8-9-trillion-market-in-2020-212-billion-connected-things/

¹⁷ https://machinaresearch.com/static/media/uploads/machina_research_press_release_-_m2m_global_forecast_&_analysis_2012-22_dec13.pdf

¹⁸ https://www.npr.org/sections/thesalt/2017/07/20/527945413/khichuri-an-ancient-indian-comfortdish-with-a-global-influence

¹⁹ Mendel, Gregor. 1866. Versuche über Plflanzenhybriden. Verhandlungen des naturforschenden Vereines in Brünn, Bd. IV für das Jahr 1865, Abhandlungen, 3–47.

http://www.esp.org/foundations/genetics/classical/gm-65.pdf

²⁰ F. Jacob and J. Monod, "Genetic regulatory mechanisms in the synthesis of proteins," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 318–356, 1961. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(61)80072-7</u>

²¹ Dulbecco, Renato. "The Induction of Cancer by Viruses." *Scientific American*, vol. 216, no. 4, Apr. 1967, pp. 28–37 doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0467-28

http://calteches.library.caltech.edu/230/1/cancer.pdf

²²Novikov, D. A. "Systems Theory and Systems Analysis. Systems Engineering." *Cybernetics*, by D.A Novikov, vol. 47, Springer International Publishing, 2016, pp. 39–44. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-27397-6_4 www.researchgate.net/publication/300131568_Systems_Theory_and_Systems_Analysis_Systems_Engin eering

²³ http://web.mit.edu/esd.83/www/notebook/Cybernetics.PDF

²⁴ Wiener, Norbert. *Cybernetics, or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine (2nd Ed.).* MIT Press, 1961. doi:10.1037/13140-000.

https://uberty.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Norbert_Wiener_Cybernetics.pdf

²⁵ https://www.sissa.it/fa/workshop_old/DCS2003/reading_mat/zuazuaDivSEMA.pdf

²⁶ Williams, L. Pearce. "André-Marie Ampère." *Scientific American*, vol. 260, no. 1, 1989, pp. 90–97. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24987112

²⁷ https://www.americanscientist.org/article/alice-and-bob-in-cipherspace

²⁸ Rivest, R. L., et al "A Method for Obtaining Digital Signatures and Public-Key

Cryptosystems." Communications of the ACM, vol. 21, no. 2, Feb. 1978, pp. 120-26

doi:10.1145/359340.359342. https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=359342

²⁹ Nash, J. F. (1950) "Equilibrium Points in N-Person Games." Proc Natl Academy of Sci (USA), vol. 36,

no. 1, Jan. 1950, pp. 48–49. doi:10.1073/pnas.36.1.48 • <u>www.pnas.org/content/pnas/36/1/48.full.pdf</u>

³⁰ Axelrod, Robert M. *The Evolution of Cooperation*. Rev. ed, Basic Books, 2006.

http://www.eleutera.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/The-Evolution-of-Cooperation.pdf

³¹ Kreps, David M., et al. "Rational Cooperation in the Finitely Repeated Prisoners' Dilemma." *Journal of Economic Theory*, vol. 27, no. 2, Aug. 1982, pp. 245–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0531(82)90029-1</u>

³² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graph_database#/media/File:GraphDatabase_PropertyGraph.png

³³ Little, John D. C., et al. "An Algorithm for the Traveling Salesman Problem." *Operations Research*, vol. 11, no. 6, Dec. 1963, pp. 972–89. doi:10.1287/opre.11.6.972.

https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/46828/algorithmfortrav00litt.pdf

³⁴ Schrader, Charles R. (1997) United States Army Logistics, 1775-1992: An Anthology, Volume 1 https://history.army.mil/html/books/068/68-1/cmhPub_68-1.pdf

³⁵ Datta, Shoumen, et al. "Adaptive Value Networks." *Evolution of Supply Chain Management: Symbiosis of Adaptive Value Networks and ICT*, edited by Yoon S. Chang et al., Springer US, 2004, pp. 3–

67. doi:10.1007/0-306-48696-2_1. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/0-306-48696-2_1

³⁶www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/academic/class/15251/Site/current/Materials/Lectures/Lecture13/lecture13.pdf
 ³⁷ https://kluge.in-chemnitz.de/documents/fractal/node2.html

³⁸ https://trove.nla.gov.au/work/21161891?q&versionId=25229969

³⁹ Sterling, Mary Jane (2015) Mathematics and Art. <u>https://www.bradley.edu/dotAsset/d62c7fce-87ed-</u> <u>4b61-9ce7-23a78b70144d.pdf</u>

⁴⁰ Carmody, Kevin. "Circular and Hyperbolic Quaternions, Octonions, and Sedenions." *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 28, no. 1, Oct. 1988, pp. 47–72. doi:10.1016/0096-3003(88)90133-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/0096-3003(88)90133-6

⁴¹ Pennisi, Elizabeth, et al. "The Momentous Transition to Multicellular Life May Not Have Been so Hard after All." *Science*, 28 June 2018. <u>https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2018/06/momentous-</u> <u>transition-multicellular-life-may-not-have-been-so-hard-after-all</u>

⁴² "How Many Bacteria Live on Earth?" *Sciencing*. https://sciencing.com/how-many-bacteria-live-earth-4674401.html

⁴³ Prusiner, S. B. "Novel Proteinaceous Infectious Particles Cause Scrapie." *Science*, vol. 216, no. 4542, Apr. 1982, pp. 136–44. doi:10.1126/science.6801762.

https://science.sciencemag.org/content/216/4542/136.long

⁴⁴ Pattison, I. H., and K. M. Jones. "The Possible Nature of the Transmissible Agent of Scrapie." *Veterinary Record*, vol. 80, no. 1, Jan. 1967, pp. 2–9. doi:10.1136/vr.80.1.2. https://veterinaryrecord.bmj.com/content/80/1/2

⁴⁵ "1.4 Universality." New England Complex Systems Institute. <u>https://necsi.edu/14-universality</u>
⁴⁶ Denton, Peter B., et al. "Eigenvectors from Eigenvalues." ArXiv:1908.03795 (August 2019) <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1908.03795.pdf</u>

⁴⁷ Tao, Terence, and Van Vu. "Random Matrices: Universality of Local Eigenvalue Statistics." *ArXiv:0906.0510* (June 2010) <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/0906.0510.pdf</u>

⁴⁸ Wu, Leting, et al. "A Spectral Approach to Detecting Subtle Anomalies in Graphs." *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, vol. 41, no. 2, Oct. 2013, pp. 313–37. doi:10.1007/s10844-013-0246-7 http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.499.3228&rep=rep1&type=pdf

⁴⁹ Denton, Peter B., et al. "Eigenvalues: The Rosetta Stone for Neutrino Oscillations in Matter." *ArXiv:1907.02534* (July 2019) <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.02534.pdf</u>

⁵⁰ Committee on Information Technology, Automation, and the U.S. Workforce, et al. *Information Technology and the U.S. Workforce: Where Are We and Where Do We Go from Here*? National Academies Press, 2017. doi:10.17226/24649. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2017. https://doi.org/10.17226/24649 and https://www.nap.edu/download/24649
 ⁵¹ Wassén, Olivia. "Big Data Facts - How Much Data Is out There?" *NodeGraph*, 2 Sept. 2019. https://www.nodegraph.se/big-data-facts/

⁵² www.seagate.com/files/www-content/our-story/trends/files/idc-seagate-dataage-whitepaper.pdf

⁵³ David, Paul A. "The Dynamo and the Computer: An Historical Perspective on the Modern Productivity Paradox." *The American Economic Review* 80, no. 2 (1990): 355-61. www.jstor.org/stable/2006600.

(https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/dff7/9b2f28cbb79da91becaab803667f30394233.pdf?_ga=2.215911511. 1520557695.1570682035-1238830782.1562127126)

⁵⁴ Syverson, C. (2013) Will History Repeat Itself? Comments on "Is the Information Technology Revolution Over?" Intl Productivity Monitor 25 37-40 www.csls.ca/ipm/25/IPM-25-Syverson.pdf
⁵⁵ Syverson, Chad. *Challenges to Mismeasurement Explanations for the U.S. Productivity Slowdown*. Working Paper, 21974, National Bureau of Economic Research, Feb. 2016. *National Bureau of Economic Research*, doi:10.3386/w21974. https://www.nber.org/papers/w21974.pdf

 ⁵⁶ Gordon, R (2016) The Rise and Fall of American Growth: U.S. Standard of Living since the Civil War https://press.princeton.edu/books/hardcover/9780691147727/the-rise-and-fall-of-american-growth
 ⁵⁷ Solow, Robert M. "A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth." The Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol. 70, no. 1, Feb. 1956, p. 65. doi:10.2307/1884513

⁵⁸ "Information Technology and the U.S. Workforce: Where Are We and Where Do We Go from Here?"

doi:10.17226/24649 https://www.nap.edu/read/24649/chapter/5#55

⁵⁹ Bivens, Josh and Mishel, Lawrence (2015) Understanding the Historic Divergence Between Productivity and a Typical Worker's Pay: Why It Matters and Why It's Real. Economic Policy Institute. https://www.epi.org/files/2015/understanding-productivity-pay-divergence-final.pdf

⁶⁰ "Why Hasn't Technology Sped up Productivity?" *Chicago Booth Review*.

https://review.chicagobooth.edu/economics/2018/article/why-hasn-t-technology-sped-productivity

⁶¹ OECD. *OECD Compendium of Productivity Indicators 2019*. OECD, 2019. doi:10.1787/b2774f97-en ⁶² Huff, Darrell (1954) *How to Lie with Statistics*. Norton, 1954.

http://faculty.neu.edu.cn/cc/zhangyf/papers/How-to-Lie-with-Statistics.pdf

⁶³ Blum, Avrim, et al. *Foundations of Data Science*. First edition, Cambridge University Press, 2020. https://www.cs.cornell.edu/jeh/book.pdf

⁶⁴ David, Javier. "Study: Nearly 70 Percent of Tech Spending Is Wasted." Vox, 31 Oct. 2015,

https://www.vox.com/2015/10/31/11620222/study-nearly-70-percent-of-tech-spending-is-wasted

⁶⁵ Zobell, Steven. "Why Digital Transformations Fail: Closing The \$900 Billion Hole In Enterprise Strategy." *Forbes*. <u>https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2018/03/13/why-digital-</u> transformations-fail-closing-the-900-billion-hole-in-enterprise-strategy/

⁶⁶ Giller, Graham L. "A Generalized Error Distribution." *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2005. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2265027.

http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.542.879&rep=rep1&type=pdf ⁶⁷ McDonald, James B., and Yexiao J. Xu. "A Generalization of the Beta Distribution with Applications." *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 66, no. 1–2, Mar. 1995, pp. 133–52. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(94)01612-4. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0304407694016124 ⁶⁸ Granger, Clive W.J. (1983) *Co-integrated variables and error-correcting models*. UCSD Discussion

Paper 83-13.

 ⁶⁹ Engle, Robert F. "Interpreting Spectral Analyses in Terms of Time-Domain Models." *Annals of Economic & Social Measurement*. Vol 5, no 1, Jan. 1976, pp. 89–109. www.nber.org/chapters/c10429.pdf
 ⁷⁰ Engle, Robert F. "Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation." *Econometrica*, vol. 50, no. 4, July 1982, p. 987. doi:10.2307/1912773. http://www.econ.uiuc.edu/~econ508/Papers/engle82.pdf

⁷¹ Granger, Clive W. J., et al. *Essays in Econometrics: Collected Papers of Clive W.J. Granger*. Cambridge University Press, 2001. <u>https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=781849</u>

⁷² Granger, Clive W. J. *Time Series Analysis, Cointegration, and Applications.* 2004. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2nb9f668

⁷³ Engle, Robert. "GARCH 101: The Use of ARCH/GARCH Models in Applied Econometrics." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 15, no. 4, Nov. 2001, pp. 157–68. doi:10.1257/jep.15.4.157. http://www.cmat.edu.uy/~mordecki/hk/engle.pdf

⁷⁴ https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/economic-sciences/2003/summary/

⁷⁵ Baldassare Fronte, Greta Galliano and Carlo Bibbiani (2016) From freshwater to marine aquaponic: new opportunities for marine fish species production. Conference VIVUS, 20th and 21st April 2016, Biotechnical Centre Naklo, Strahinj 99, Naklo, Slovenia.

https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f8b2/fad3fe3f6f92a7b1c8276ad57876d8fd0a70.pdf

⁷⁶ Matthias, Dipika M., et al. "Freezing Temperatures in the Vaccine Cold Chain: A Systematic Literature Review." *Vaccine*, vol. 25, no. 20, May 2007, pp. 3980–86. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.02.052.

https://www.nist.gov/sites/default/files/documents/2017/05/09/FreezingReviewArticle-Vaccine.pdf

⁷⁷ D. Ruppert (2011) *Statistics and Data Analysis for Financial Engineering*. Pages 477-504. Springer Texts in Statistics. DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-7787-8_18

https://faculty.washington.edu/ezivot/econ589/ch18-garch.pdf

⁷⁸ Duan, Jin-Chuan (2001) GARCH Model and Its Application.

http://jupiter.math.nctu.edu.tw/~weng/seminar/GarchApplication.pdf

⁷⁹ Diebold, F.X. (2019) Econometric Data Science: A Predictive Modeling Approach

http://www.ssc.upenn.edu/~fdiebold/Textbooks.html

https://www.sas.upenn.edu/~fdiebold/Teaching104/Econometrics.pdf

⁸⁰ Datta, Shoumen and Granger Clive (2006) Potential to Improve Forecasting Accuracy: Advances in Supply Chain Management. <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/41905</u>

⁸¹ Datta, S., et al. "Management of Supply Chain: An Alternative Modelling Technique for Forecasting." *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 58, no. 11, Nov. 2007, pp. 1459–69. doi:10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602419. https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/41906

⁸² Narayanan, Arvind (2019) How to recognize AI snake oil

https://www.cs.princeton.edu/~arvindn/talks/MIT-STS-AI-snakeoil.pdf

⁸³ Li, Lingli, et al. "A Survey of Uncertain Data Management." *Frontiers of Computer Science*, vol. 14, no. 1, Feb. 2020, pp. 162–90. doi:10.1007/s11704-017-7063-z.

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11704-017-7063-z

⁸⁴ Agrawal, R., Imielinski, T., Swami, A. (1993) "Mining Association Rules between Sets of Items in Large Databases." *Proceedings of the 1993 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data - SIGMOD '93*, ACM Press, 1993, pp. 207–16. doi:10.1145/170035.170072

⁸⁵ Coenen, Frans. "Data Mining: Past, Present and Future." The Knowledge Engineering Review, vol. 26, no. 1, Feb. 2011, pp. 25–29. doi:10.1017/S0269888910000378.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220254364_Data_mining_Past_present_and_future

⁸⁶ Kahneman, Daniel. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Penguin Books, 2012.

http://sysengr.engr.arizona.edu/OLLI/lousyDecisionMaking/KahnemanThinkingFast&Slow.pdf

⁸⁷ Akerlof, George, and Janet Yellen. "Rational Models of Irrational Behavior." American Economic

Review, vol. 77, no. 2, 1987, pp. 137–42. <u>https://notendur.hi.is/ajonsson/kennsla2003/Akerlof_Yellen.pdf</u> ⁸⁸ <u>https://spectrum.ieee.org/biomedical/diagnostics/how-ibm-watson-overpromised-and-underdelivered-on-ai-health-care</u>

⁸⁹ Minds and Machines Postponed / Canceled - Post Regarding GE Digital Layoffs.

https://www.thelayoff.com/t/VtbKYAf

⁹⁰ <u>http://bit.ly/MRC-BERT-HAN</u>

⁹¹ Rumelhart, David E., et al. "Learning Representations by Back-Propagating Errors." *Nature*, vol. 323, no. 6088, Oct. 1986, pp. 533–36. doi:10.1038/323533a0.

https://www.iro.umontreal.ca/~vincentp/ift3395/lectures/backprop_old.pdf

⁹² McCarthy, John, Minsky, Marvin L., Rochester, Nathaniel and Shannon, Claude E. (1955) A Proposal for the Dartmouth Summer Research Project on Artificial Intelligence (31 August 1955) <u>http://www-formal.stanford.edu/jmc/history/dartmouth.pdf</u>

⁹³ Datta, Shoumen and Granger Clive (2006) Potential to Improve Forecasting Accuracy: Advances in Supply Chain Management. <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/41905</u>

⁹⁴ Levy, Frank. "Computers and Populism: Artificial Intelligence, Jobs, and Politics in the near

Term." Oxford Review of Economic Policy, vol. 34, no. 3, July 2018, pp. 393-417.

doi:10.1093/oxrep/gry004. https://www.russellsage.org/sites/default/files/gry004.pdf

⁹⁵ GE Minds + Machines (November 15, 2016) <u>www.youtube.com/watch?v=OYn9ZtpWCUw</u>

⁹⁶ Why Robots Won't Take over the World. <u>https://phys.org/news/2018-04-robots-wont-world.html</u>

⁹⁷ Freedman, David H. "What Will It Take for IBM's Watson Technology to Stop Being a Dud in Health Care?" *MIT Tech Review* <u>www.technologyreview.com/s/607965/a-reality-check-for-ibms-ai-ambitions/</u>

⁹⁸ Minds and Machines Postponed / Canceled - Post Regarding GE Digital Layoffs. https://www.thelayoff.com/t/VtbKYAf

⁹⁹ Salmon, Felix. "IBM's Watson Was Supposed to Change the Way We Treat Cancer. Here's What Happened Instead." *Slate Magazine*, 18 Aug 2018. <u>https://slate.com/business/2018/08/ibms-watson-how-the-ai-project-to-improve-cancer-treatment-went-wrong.html</u>

¹⁰⁰ Perez, Carlos E. "Why We Should Be Deeply Suspicious of BackPropagation." *Medium*, 13 Oct 2017.
 <u>https://medium.com/intuitionmachine/the-deeply-suspicious-nature-of-backpropagation-</u>
 <u>9bed5e2b085e</u>

¹⁰¹ Datta, Shoumen. *Intelligence in Artificial Intelligence*. Oct 2016. <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07862</u>
 ¹⁰² "There's No Good Reason to Trust Blockchain Technology." *Wired*.

https://www.wired.com/story/theres-no-good-reason-to-trust-blockchain-technology/

¹⁰³ Stinchcombe, Kai. "Blockchain Is Not Only Crappy Technology but a Bad Vision for the Future." *Medium*. 9 April 2018. <u>https://medium.com/@kaistinchcombe/decentralized-and-trustless-</u> crypto-paradise-is-actually-a-medieval-hellhole-c1ca122efdec

 ¹⁰⁴ "RuuviLab - IOTA Masked Authentication Messaging." *RuuviLab*. <u>https://lab.ruuvi.com/iota</u>
 ¹⁰⁵ Andreas Kamilaris, Agusti Fonts and Francesc X. Prenafeta-Boldú (2019) The Rise of Blockchain Tech in Agriculture and Food Supply Chains. <u>https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1908/1908.07391.pdf</u>
 ¹⁰⁶ Press, Gil. "Big Data Is Dead. Long Live Big Data AI." *Forbes*.

www.forbes.com/sites/gilpress/2019/07/01/big-data-is-dead-long-live-big-data-ai/#5a262cf71b05 ¹⁰⁷ Walsh, Mary Williams, and Emily Flitter. "McKinsey Faces Criminal Inquiry Over Bankruptcy Case Conduct." *The New York Times*, 8 Nov 2019. <u>https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/08/business/mckinsey-</u> criminal-investigation-bankruptcy.html

¹⁰⁸ Black, Edwin. *IBM and the Holocaust: The Strategic Alliance between Nazi Germany and America's Most Powerful Corporation.* 1st ed, Crown Publishers, 2001. <u>http://posoh.ru/book/htm/ibm.pdf</u>
 ¹⁰⁹ Allison, Simon. "Huawei's Pitch to African Mayors: 'Our Cameras Will Make You Safe.'" *The M&G Online.* <u>https://mg.co.za/article/2019-11-15-00-our-cameras-will-make-you-safe</u>

¹¹⁰ 2007 Joint Workshop on High Confidence Medical Devices, Software, and Systems and Medical Device Plug-and-Play Interoperability: HCMDSS//MD PnP 2007: *Improving Patient Safety through Medical Device Interoperability and High Confidence Software*: Proceedings: 25-27 June 2007,

Cambridge, MA. https://nam.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/3.1-Goldman-Jan-2018-002.pdf

 ¹¹¹ Hatcliff, John et al (2011) Medical Application Platforms – Rationale, Architectural Principles, and Certification Challenges. www.nitrd.gov/nitrdgroups/images/8/8b/MedicalDeviceInnovationCPS.pdf
 ¹¹² Makary, Martin A., and Michael Daniel. "Medical Error—the Third Leading Cause of Death in the US." *BMJ*, vol. 353, May 2016. doi:10.1136/bmj.i2139 https://www.bmj.com/content/353/bmj.i2139
 ¹¹³ Slight, Sarah Patricia, et al. "Meaningful Use of Electronic Health Records: Experiences From the Field and Future Opportunities." *JMIR Medical Informatics*, vol. 3, no. 3, 2015, p. e30. doi:10.2196/medinform.4457 https://medinform.jmir.org/2015/3/e30/

¹¹⁴ Meaningful Use. CDC. 10 Sept. 2019 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/ehrmeaningfuluse/introduction.html</u>

¹¹⁵ MIT AUTO-ID LABORATORY <u>https://autoid.mit.edu/about-lab</u>

¹¹⁶ Shabandri, Bilal, and Piyush Maheshwari. "Enhancing IoT Security and Privacy Using Distributed Ledgers with IOTA and the Tangle." *2019 6th International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks (SPIN)*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 1069–75. doi:10.1109/SPIN.2019.8711591

https://assets.ctfassets.net/r1dr6vzfxhev/2t4uxvsIqk0EUau6g2sw0g/45eae33637ca92f85dd9f4a3a218e1e c/iota1_4_3.pdf

¹¹⁷ The Coordicide <u>https://files.iota.org/papers/Coordicide_WP.pdf</u>

¹¹⁸ <u>https://www.iota.org/</u>

¹¹⁹ Send IoT Data to the IOTA Tangle with SAP HANA XSA and Analytics Cloud.

https://blogs.sap.com/2019/10/08/send-iot-data-to-the-iota-tangle-with-sap-hana-xsa-and-analyticscloud/

¹²⁰ https://www.dds-foundation.org/

¹²¹ Mark Shepard, Katherine Baicker and Jonathan S. Skinner (2019). *Does One Medicare Fit All? The Economics of Uniform Health Insurance Benefits* in Tax Policy and the Economy, Volume 34, Moffitt. DOI 10.3386/w26472 <u>https://www.nber.org/papers/w26472.pdf</u>

¹²² http://bit.ly/Economics-of-Technology

123 http://bit.ly/COASE5PAPERS

¹²⁴ Merelli, Annalisa. "The Inventor of Microfinance Has an Idea for Fixing Capitalism." *Quartz*. <u>https://qz.com/1089266/the-inventor-of-microfinance-has-an-idea-for-fixing-capitalism/</u>

¹²⁵ Georgescu, Cristian. "Simulating Micropayments in Local Area Networks." *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 62, Oct. 2012, pp. 30–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.007</u>
 ¹²⁶ Spence, Michael (2011) *The Next Convergence: The Future of Economic Growth in a Multispeed World.* Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011. <u>http://pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/515861447787792966/DEC-Lecture-Series-Michael-Spence-Presentation.pdf
</u>

¹²⁷ Tabassi, Elham, et al. *A Taxonomy and Terminology of Adversarial Machine Learning*. NIST IR 8269draft. National Institute of Standards and Technology, October 2019. doi:10.6028/NIST.IR.8269-draft https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ir/2019/NIST.IR.8269-draft.pdf

¹²⁸ Duddu, Vasisht. "A Survey of Adversarial Machine Learning in Cyber Warfare." *Defence Science Journal*, vol. 68, no. 4, June 2018, p. 356. doi:10.14429/dsj.68.12371

¹²⁹ Victoria Morgan, Lisseth Casso-Hartman, David Bahamon-Pinzon, Kelli McCourt, Robert G. Hjort, Sahar Bahramzadeh, Irene Velez-Torres, Eric McLamore, Carmen Gomes, Evangelyn C. Alocilja, Nirajan Bhusal, Sunaina Shrestha, Nisha Pote, Ruben Kenny Briceno, Shoumen Palit Austin Datta, and Diana C. Vanegas (2020) *Sensor-as-a-Service: Convergence of Sensor Analytic Point Solutions (SNAPS) and Pay-A-Penny-Per-Use (PAPPU) Paradigm as a Catalyst for Democratization of Healthcare in Underserved Communities*. Diagnostics 2020, 10, 22; doi:10.3390/diagnostics10010022 • MIT Library SNAPS - https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/123983 • https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/11021

 ¹³⁰ Datta, Shoumen et al (2004) ADAPTIVE VALUE NETWORKS: Convergence of Emerging Tools, Technologies and Standards as Catalytic Drivers in Chang, Yoon Seok, et al., editors. Evolution of Supply Chain Management: Symbiosis of Adaptive Value Networks and ICT. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004. https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/41908

¹³¹ Silvestro, Rhian, and Paola Lustrato. "Integrating Financial and Physical Supply Chains: The Role of Banks in Enabling Supply Chain Integration." *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, vol. 34, no. 3, Jan. 2014, pp. 298–324. doi:10.1108/IJOPM-04-2012-0131.

https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJOPM-04-2012-0131/full/html

¹³² Banerjee, Abhijit V., and Esther Duflo. *Poor Economics: A Radical Rethinking of the Way to Fight Global Poverty*. 1st ed, PublicAffairs, 2011. <u>https://warwick.ac.uk/about/london/study/warwick-summer-school/courses/macroeconomics/poor_economics.pdf</u>

¹³³ Kamenetz, Anya. "Esther Duflo Bribes India's Poor To Health." *Fast Company*, 8 August 2011. https://www.fastcompany.com/1768537/esther-duflo-bribes-indias-poor-health

¹³⁴ Lie, Robert. Robertlie/Dht11-Raspi3. 2018. 2019. GitHub https://github.com/robertlie/dht11-raspi3

¹³⁵ Handy, Paul. "Introducing Masked Authenticated Messaging." *Medium*, 9 April 2018.

https://blog.iota.org/introducing-masked-authenticated-messaging-e55c1822d50e

¹³⁶ Ekaterina D. Kazimirova (2017) Human-Centric Internet of Things. Problems and Challenges https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319059870_Human-

<u>Centric_Internet_of_Things_Problems_and_Challenges</u>

¹³⁷ Calderon, Marco A., et al. "A More Human-Centric Internet of Things with Temporal and Spatial Context." *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 83, 2016, pp. 553–559 doi:10.1016/j.procs.2016.04.263 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050916302964

¹³⁸ McLamore, E.S., S.P.A. Datta, V. Morgan, N. Cavallaro, G. Kiker, D.M. Jenkins, Y. Rong, C. Gomes, J. Claussen, D. Vanegas, E.C. Alocilja (2019) SNAPS: Sensor Analytics Point Solutions for Detection and Decision Support. *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 22, p. 4935 • https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/19/22/4935/pdf
 ¹³⁹ Artificial Intelligence - Intelligent Agents (2017) https://courses.edx.org/asset-

v1:ColumbiaX+CSMM.101x+1T2017+type@asset+block@AI_edx_intelligent_agents_new_1_.pdf ¹⁴⁰ Sutton, Richard S., and Andrew G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. Second edition, MIT Press, 2018. <u>https://web.stanford.edu/class/psych209/Readings/SuttonBartoIPRLBook2ndEd.pdf</u> ¹⁴¹ "The Sensor-Based Economy." *Wired*, January 2017.

https://www.wired.com/brandlab/2017/01/sensor-based-economy/

¹⁴² Pont, Simon, editor. *Digital State: How the Internet Is Changing Everything*. Kogan Page, 2013.

¹⁴³ Leading the IoT: Gartner Insights on How to Lead in a Connected World https://www.gartner.com/imagesrv/books/iot/iotEbook_digital.pdf

¹⁴⁴ Dave Evans (2011) The Internet of Things: How the Next Evolution of the Internet Is Changing Everything. <u>https://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoT_IBSG_0411FINAL.pdf</u>
 ¹⁴⁵ David Puglia. "Are Enterprises Ready for Billions of Devices to Join the Internet?" *Wired*, December 2014. <u>https://www.wired.com/insights/2014/12/enterprises-billions-of-devices-internet/</u>

¹⁴⁶ "Gartner Says 5.8 Billion Enterprise and Automotive IoT Endpoints Will Be in Use in 2020." https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/2019-08-29-gartner-says-5-8-billion-enterpriseand-automotive-io

¹⁴⁷ www.cisco.com/c/dam/en/us/products/collateral/se/internet-of-things/at-a-glance-c45-731471.pdf
 ¹⁴⁸ The Internet of Things: Sizing up the Opportunity. McKinsey.

https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/semiconductors/our-insights/the-internet-of-things-sizing-up-the-opportunity

¹⁴⁹ "IoT Overview Handbook: 2019 Background Primer on The Topics & Technologies Driving the Internet of Things." *Postscapes*. <u>https://www.postscapes.com/iot/</u>

¹⁵⁰ Martin Fleming, Wyatt Clarke, Subhro Das, Phai Phongthiengtham, and Prabhat Reddy (2019) The Future of Work: How New Technologies Are Transforming Tasks (October 31, 2019)

https://mitibmwatsonailab.mit.edu/research/publications/paper/download/The-Future-of-Work-How-New-Technologies-Are-Transforming-Tasks.pdf

¹⁵¹ Andrew Ng "Why AI Is the New Electricity" <u>https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/andrew-ng-why-ai-new-electricity</u>

¹⁵² Cheekiralla, Sivaram, and Daniel W. Engels. "An IPv6-Based Identification Scheme." *2006 IEEE International Conference on Communications*, vol. 1, 2006, pp. 281–86. doi:10.1109/ICC.2006.254741 https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4024131

¹⁵³ Stallings, W. "IPv6: The New Internet Protocol." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 34, no. 7, July 1996, pp. 96–108. doi:10.1109/35.526895. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/526895</u>

¹⁵⁴ "Difference Between IPv4 and IPv6" *Tech Differences*, 4 August 2017.

https://techdifferences.com/difference-between-ipv4-and-ipv6.html

¹⁵⁵ Datta, Shoumen Palit Austin "An Unified Theory of Relativistic Identification of Information in the Systems Age: Proposed Convergence of Unique Identification with Syntax and Semantics through Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6)." *International Journal of Advanced Logistics*, vol. 1, no. 1, July 2012, pp. 66–82. doi:10.1080/2287108X.2012.11006070. https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/41902

¹⁵⁶ Datta, Shoumen. "Mobile eVote as an IPv6 App." 23 May 2011.

https://shoumendatta.wordpress.com/2011/05/23/mobile-e-vote-ipv6-app/.

https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/41902/IPv6%20Apps%20and%20SaaS.pdf?sequence=1 1&isAllowed=y

¹⁵⁷ https://bentley.umich.edu/elecrec/d/duderstadt/Speeches/JJDS6/jjd1341.pdf

¹⁵⁸ Figueroa, Anthony. "Data Demystified — DIKW Model." *Medium*, 24 May 2019.

https://towardsdatascience.com/rootstrap-dikw-model-32cef9ae6dfb

 ¹⁵⁹ William S. Angerman (2004) Coming Full Circle With Boyd's OODA Loop Ideas: An Analysis Of Innovation Diffusion And Evolution (Thesis). <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a425228.pdf</u>
 ¹⁶⁰ Castanedo, Federico. "A Review of Data Fusion Techniques." *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013, doi:10.1155/2013/704504. <u>http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/tswj/2013/704504.pdf</u>

¹⁶¹ <u>https://www.wur.nl/en/Education-Programmes/wageningen-academy-1/What-we-offer-you/Courses/show-1/Course-Towards-Data-driven-Agri-Food-Business-1.htm</u>

¹⁶² CS 540 Lecture Notes: Intelligent Agents. <u>http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~dyer/cs540/notes/agents.html</u>

¹⁶³ Maes, Pattie. "Intelligent Software." *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Intelligent*

User Interfaces - IUI '97, ACM Press, 1997, pp. 41–43 • doi:10.1145/238218.238283

¹⁶⁴ Paolucci, Massimo, and Roberto Sacile. *Agent-Based Manufacturing and Control Systems: New Agile Manufacturing Solutions for Achieving Peak Performance*. CRC Press, 2005.

http://jmvidal.cse.sc.edu/library/paolucci05a.pdf

¹⁶⁵ Datta, S (2017) Cybersecurity: Agents based Approach? <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/107988</u>
¹⁶⁶ White, Franklin E. (1997) Data Fusion Group. <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a394662.pdf</u>
¹⁶⁷ Rafferty, Ellen R. S., et al. "Seeking the Optimal Schedule for Chickenpox Vaccination in Canada:

Using an Agent-Based Model to Explore the Impact of Dose Timing, Coverage and Waning of Immunity on Disease Outcomes." *Vaccine*, November 2019. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.10.065 ¹⁶⁸ O. Lassila and R.R. Swick. Resource Description Framework (RDF) Model and Syntax Specification. W3C working draft, February 1999. <u>www.w3.org/TR/REC-rdf-syntax/</u>

¹⁶⁹ T. Berners-Lee, J. Hendler, and O. Lassila. The Semantic Web. Scientific American, March 2001.
 ¹⁷⁰ Shi, Longxiang, et al. "Semantic Health Knowledge Graph: Semantic Integration of Heterogeneous Medical Knowledge and Services." *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2017, 2017, pp. 1–12 doi:10.1155/2017/2858423 http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2017/2858423.pdf

 ¹⁷¹ Ayan Chakraborty, Shiladitya Munshi and Debajyoti Mukhopadhyay (2013) Searching and Establishment of S-P-O Relationships for Linked RDF Graphs : An Adaptive Approach. 2013 Int Conf on Cloud & Ubiquitous Comp & Emerging Tech <u>https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1311/1311.7200.pdf</u>
 ¹⁷² Ayan Chakraborty, Shiladitya Munshi and Debajyoti Mukhopadhyay (2013) A Proposal for the Characterization of MultiDimensional Inter-relationships of RDF Graphs Based on Set Theoretic Approach. <u>https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1312/1312.0001.pdf</u>

¹⁷³ Buneman, Peter. "A Characterisation of Rigid Circuit Graphs." *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, Sept. 1974, pp. 205–212 doi:10.1016/0012-365X(74)90002-8.

http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/opb/homepagefiles/phylogeny-scans/rigidcircuitgraphs.pdf

¹⁷⁴ Sharma, Chandan, and Roopak Sinha. (2019) "A Schema-First Formalism for Labeled Property Graph Databases: Enabling Structured Data Loading and Analytics." *Proceedings of the 6th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Big Data Computing, Applications and Technologies - BDCAT '19*, ACM Press, 2019, pp. 71–80 doi:10.1145/3365109.3368782

¹⁷⁵ Wang, Da-Wei, et al. (2019) "CK-Modes Clustering Algorithm Based on Node Cohesion in Labeled Property Graph." *J of Computer Science and Technology*, vol. 34, no. 5, September 2019, pp. 1152-1166 doi:10.1007/s11390-019-1966-0

¹⁷⁶ <u>https://aibusiness.com/ending-the-rdf-vs-property-graph-debate-with-rdf/</u>

¹⁷⁷ Tarjan, Robert. "Depth-First Search and Linear Graph Algorithms." *SIAM Journal on Computing*, vol. 1, no. 2, June 1972, pp. 146–160 doi:10.1137/0201010.

¹⁷⁸ Fleischer, Rudolf, and Gerhard Trippen. (2003) "Experimental Studies of Graph Traversal

Algorithms." *Experimental and Efficient Algorithms*, edited by Klaus Jansen et al., vol. 2647, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 120–133 doi:10.1007/3-540-44867-5_10

¹⁷⁹ Noy, Natasha, et al. "Industry-Scale Knowledge Graphs: Lessons and Challenges." *Com of the ACM*, vol. 62, no. 8, July 2019, pp. 36–43 doi:10.1145/3331166 <u>https://queue.acm.org/detail.cfm?id=3332266</u>

¹⁸⁰ <u>https://tech.ebayinc.com/engineering/akutan-a-distributed-knowledge-graph-store/</u>

¹⁸¹ https://www.w3.org/TR/owl-guide/

¹⁸² Sengupta K., Hitzler P. (2014) Web Ontology Language (OWL). In: Alhajj, Reda, and Jon Rokne, eds. *Encyclopedia of Social Network Analysis & Mining*. Springer, NY. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-6170-8
 ¹⁸³ http://vowl.visualdataweb.org/v2/

184 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3987

¹⁸⁵ www.eads-iw.net/web/nfigay

¹⁸⁶ Carbone, Lorenzo, et al. *State of Play of Interoperability: Report 2016.* 2017. *Open WorldCat.* http://dx.publications.europa.eu/10.2799/969314

https://ec.europa.eu/isa2/sites/isa/files/docs/publications/report_2016_rev9_single_pages.pdf ¹⁸⁷ "Blind Men and the Elephant." <u>www.allaboutphilosophy.org/blind-men-and-the-elephant.htm</u> ¹⁸⁸ Bohr, N. (1950) "On the Notions of Causality and Complementarity." *Science*, vol. 111, no. 2873, January 1950, pages 51–54 doi:10.1126/science.111.2873.51

¹⁸⁹ Morrison, Alan (2019) *Is data science/machine learning/AI overhyped right now*?
www.quora.com/Is-data-science-machine-learning-AI-overhyped-right-now/answer/Alan-Morrison
¹⁹⁰ Fuller, Aidan, et al. "Digital Twin: Enabling Technology, Challenges and Open Research." Oct.
2019. http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.01276 • https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1911/1911.01276.pdf
¹⁹¹ https://newsstand.joomag.com/en/iic-journal-of-innovation-12th-edition/0994713001573661267

¹⁹² https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2017/03/06/what-is-digital-twin-technology-and-why-isit-so-important

¹⁹³ Pareto, Vilfredo. *Manual of political economy*. Translated by A. M. Kelley, 1971. MIT Press.
¹⁹⁴ Eric S. McLamore, R. Huffaker, Matthew Shupler, Katelyn Ward, Shoumen Palit Austin Datta, M. Katherine Banks, Giorgio Casaburi, Joany Babilonia, Jamie S. Foster (2019) "Digital Proxy of a Bio-Reactor (DIYBOT) Combines Sensor Data and Data Analytics for Wastewater Treatment and Wastewater Management Systems." (*Nature Scientific Reports, in press*) Draft copy of "DIYBOT" available from MIT Libraries <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/123983</u>

¹⁹⁵ Hernlund, E., et al. "Osteoporosis in the European Union: Medical Management, Epidemiology and Economic Burden: A Report Prepared in Collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA)." *Archives of Osteoporosis*, vol. 8, no. 1–2, December 2013, p. 136. doi:10.1007/s11657-013-0136-1 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3880487/pdf/11657_2013_Article_136.pdf

¹⁹⁶ Mithal, Ambrish, Dhingra, Vibha and Lau, Edith (2009) .The Asian Audit Epidemiology, costs and burden of osteoporosis in Asia 2009. <u>http://www.iofbonehealth.org/</u>

¹⁹⁷www.iofbonehealth.org/sites/default/files/PDFs/Audit%20Eastern%20Europe_Central%20Asia/Russi an_Audit-Bulgaria.pdf

¹⁹⁸ www.iofbonehealth.org/sites/default/files/PDFs/Audit%20Asia/Asian_regional_audit_Indonesia.pdf

¹⁹⁹ www.iofbonehealth.org/sites/default/files/PDFs/Audit%20Asia/Asian_regional_audit_India.pdf
 ²⁰⁰ https://www.iofbonehealth.org/facts-statistics

²⁰¹ Global Bone Density Test Market Grows Substantially by 2023, Asserts MRFR Unleashing the Forecast for 2017-2023. *Reuters*. <u>www.reuters.com/brandfeatures/venture-capital/article?id=57229</u>

²⁰² El Maghraoui, A., et al. "Bone Mineral Density of the Spine and Femur in a Group of Healthy Moroccan Men." *Bone*, vol. 44, no. 5, May 2009, pp. 965–969 doi:10.1016/j.bone.2008.12.025

²⁰³ Angell, Marcia. (2005) *The Truth about the Drug Companies: How They Deceive Us and What to Do about It.* Random House Trade Paperbacks, 2005.

https://cyber.harvard.edu/cyberlaw2005/sites/cyberlaw2005/images/NYReviewBooksAngell.pdf ²⁰⁴ https://www.keele.ac.uk/pharmacy-bioengineering/ourpeople/jamesnolan/

²⁰⁵ https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~pabbeel/

²⁰⁶ <u>http://bit.ly/Farm-IoT-Ranveer</u>

²⁰⁷ https://www.theaaih.org/

²⁰⁸ Gutterman, D.J. (2009) Silent Myocardial Ischemia. May 2009. Circulation Journal 2009 **73** 785–797. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/circj/73/5/73_CJ-08-1209/_pdf

²⁰⁹ https://github.com/alirezadir/Production-Level-Deep-Learning

²¹⁰ Hannah Ritchie (2018) *What do people die from* ? <u>https://ourworldindata.org/what-does-the-world-die-from</u>

²¹¹ https://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd_report_chapter1.pdf

²¹² Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Human Development Network, The World Bank. The Global Burden of Disease: Generating Evidence, Guiding Policy — Sub-Saharan Africa Regional Edition. Seattle, WA: IHME, 2013.

http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/831161468191672519/pdf/808520PUB0ENGL0Box037982 0B00PUBLIC0.pdf

²¹³ Global health risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks. World Health Organization (2009). ISBN 978 92 4 156387 1

https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GlobalHealthRisks_report_full.pdf

²¹⁴ https://www.who.int/pmnch/media/press_materials/fs/fs_mdg4_childmortality/en/

²¹⁵ https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/magazines/panache/jack-dorsey-has-fallen-in-love-withafrica-plans-to-shift-there-for-3-6-months-next-year/articleshow/72275949.cms

²¹⁶ The concept of "bit dribbling" may not be new but may be attributed to Neil Gershenfeld (MIT) circa 1999 (*personal communication*). The notion is that very small amounts of data and information (bits) transmitted (dribbled) at the right time, are often enough to serve 80% of cases, in several circumstances.
²¹⁷ Parker, Martin (2018) Shut Down the Business School.

https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/apr/27/bulldoze-the-business-school

²¹⁸ Eid, Mohamad A., et al. "A Novel Eye-Gaze-Controlled Wheelchair System for Navigating Unknown Environments: Case Study With a Person With ALS." *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, 2016, pages 558 - 573. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2520093.

²¹⁹ Robots for Lonely Hearts – Asian Robotics Review. <u>https://asianroboticsreview.com/home29-html</u>

²²⁰ Oppenheimer, Andres, and Ezra E. Fitz. *The Robots Are Coming! The Future of Jobs in the Age of Automation*. Vintage Books, a division of Penguin Random House, 2019. ISBN-13 978-0525565000

²²¹ Deming, David. "The Robots Are Coming. Prepare for Trouble." *The New York Times*, 30 January

2020. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/30/business/artificial-intelligence-robots-retail.html

²²² <u>http://www.philipkdickfans.com/mirror/websites/pkdweb/short_stories/Autofac.htm</u>

²²³ https://www.bloomberg.com/news/features/2017-10-18/this-company-s-robots-are-makingeverything-and-reshaping-the-world

²²⁴ Ford, Martin. *Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future*. Basic Books, 2016.
²²⁵ Pugliano, John. *The Robots Are Coming: A Human's Survival Guide to Profiting in the Age of Automation*. Ulysses Press, 2017.

²²⁶ The Dutch Safety Board (2009) *Crashed during approach, Boeing 737-800, near Amsterdam Schiphol Airport, 25 February 2009.* <u>https://catsr.vse.gmu.edu/SYST460/TA1951_AccidentReport.pdf</u>

²²⁷ Pacaux-Lemoine, Marie-Pierre, and Frank Flemisch. "Layers of Shared and Cooperative Control, Assistance and Automation." *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 49, no. 19, 2016, pp. 159 - 164 doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.10.479

²²⁸ Wickens, Christopher D., et al. "Stages and Levels of Automation: An Integrated Meta-

Analysis." *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, vol. 54, no. 4, Sept. 2010, pp. 389 - 393 doi:10.1177/154193121005400425

²²⁹ Hamby, Chris. "How Boeing's Responsibility in a Deadly Crash 'Got Buried." *The New York Times*,
20 January 2020. <u>https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/20/business/boeing-737-accidents.html</u>

²³⁰ Hamby, C. and Moses, C. "Boeing Refuses to Cooperate With New Inquiry Into Deadly Crash." *The New York Times*, 6 February 2020. <u>www.nytimes.com/2020/02/06/business/boeing-737-inquiry.html</u>

²³¹ Solow, Robert M. (1957) "Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function." *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 39, no. 3, Aug. 1957, p. 312. doi:10.2307/1926047

http://www.piketty.pse.ens.fr/files/Solow1957.pdf

²³² http://ghdx.healthdata.org/

²³³ Howes, Anton. *Arts and Minds: How the Royal Society of Arts Changed a Nation*. Princeton University Press, 2020. ISBN 13: 9780691182643

²³⁴ Endo, N., Ghaeli, N., Duvallet, C. *et al.* Rapid Assessment of Opioid Exposure and Treatment in Cities Through Robotic Collection and Chemical Analysis of Wastewater. *J. Med. Toxicol.* 16, 195–203 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13181-019-00756-5

²³⁵ <u>https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/recent-releases/how-robots-change-the-world</u>

²³⁶ Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. and Baker, D.R. (2012) *Enantiomeric profiling of chiral drugs in wastewater and receiving waters*. Environmental Science & Technology, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 1681-1691

https://doi.org/10.1021/es203113y • https://core.ac.uk/reader/161908112

²³⁷ Matus, Mariana, et al. 24-Hour Multi-Omics Analysis of Residential Sewage Reflects Human Activity and Informs Public Health. preprint, Genomics, 7 Aug. 2019. doi:10.1101/728022

²³⁸ Wu, Fuqing, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Titers in Wastewater Are Higher than Expected from Clinically

Confirmed Cases. preprint, Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS), 7 April 2020

doi:10.1101/2020.04.05.20051540

²³⁹ Wu, Fuqing, et al. *SARS-CoV-2 Titers in Wastewater Foreshadow Dynamics and Clinical Presentation of New COVID-19 Cases.* preprint, Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS), 23 June 2020.

doi:10.1101/2020.06.15.20117747

²⁴⁰ <u>https://apm.byu.edu/prism/index.php/Members/JohnHedengren</u>

²⁴¹ <u>https://apmonitor.com/do/index.php/Main/ShortCourse</u>

²⁴² Osgood, Nathaniel. (2019) Systems Data Science.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CPUOyqs9G3Q&feature=youtu.be

²⁴³ Bayes, Thomas. (1763) An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London 53(0):370-418, 1763 http://www.rssb.be/bsn57/bsn57-6.pdf
²⁴⁴ Exceptions prove the rule. Not all Indians espouse the "body shop" mantra. Global business and tech leaders (2020) of Indian origin include Mrs Jayashree Ullal (CEO, Arista Networks), Mr Arvind Krishna (CEO, IBM, incoming), Mr Sundar Pichai (CEO, Alphabet/Google), Mr Satya Nadella (CEO, Microsoft), Mr Shantanu Narayen (CEO, Adobe), Mr Rajeev Suri (CEO, Nokia, outgoing), Mr V K Narasimhan (CEO, Novartis) and Mr Ajaypal Singh Banga (CEO, MasterCard). The market cap of these 8 companies (~\$3T) may be 20% of the total market cap of the top 50 US companies (~\$15T). www.iweblists.com/us
²⁴⁵ Sabrie, Gilles (2019) Behind the Rise of China's Facial-Recognition Giants (09.03.2019) https://www.wired.com/story/behind-rise-chinas-facial-recognition-giants/

²⁴⁶ https://www.purdue.edu/rosehub/

²⁴⁷ Navi Radjou & Jaideep Prabhu (2016) *Frugal Innovation: How to do More With Less.* http://naviradjou.com/book/frugal-innovation-how-to-do-more-with-less/

²⁴⁸ Creighton, Jean (2019) 5 Moon-landing innovations that changed life on Earth

https://theconversation.com/5-moon-landing-innovations-that-changed-life-on-earth-102700

²⁴⁹ https://www.inc.com/bill-murphy-jr/27-innovations-we-use-constantly-but-that-you-probably-

didnt-know-were-from-nasa-space-program.html

²⁵⁰ <u>https://spinoff.nasa.gov/</u>

²⁵¹ Marcus, Gary (2019) *An Epidemic of AI Misinformation*.

https://thegradient.pub/an-epidemic-of-ai-misinformation/

²⁵² Vanegas, D. C., L. Patiño, C. Mendez, D. A. Oliveira, A. M. Torres, C. L. Gomes, and E. S. McLamore.
"Laser Scribed Graphene Biosensor for Detection of Biogenic Amines in Food Samples Using Locally Sourced Materials." *Biosensors*, vol. 8, no. 2, Apr. 2018, p. 42. doi:10.3390/bios8020042

https://www.mdpi.com/2079-6374/8/2/42

²⁵³ Holmes, E. A., et al. "Applications of Time-Series Analysis to Mood Fluctuations in Bipolar Disorder to Promote Treatment Innovation: A Case Series." *Translational Psychiatry*, vol. 6, no. 1, Jan. 2016, pp. e720–e720. doi:10.1038/tp.2015.207

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5068881/pdf/tp2015207a.pdf

²⁵⁴ Trautmann, Sebastian, et al. "*The Economic Costs of Mental Disorders: Do Our Societies React Appropriately to the Burden of Mental Disorders?*" *EMBO Reports*, vol. 17, no. 9, Sept. 2016, pp. 1245– 1249. doi:10.15252/embr.201642951

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5007565/pdf/EMBR-17-1245.pdf

²⁵⁵ Drevets, Wayne C., et al. "Subgenual Prefrontal Cortex Abnormalities in Mood Disorders." *Nature*, vol. 386, no. 6627, Apr. 1997, pp. 824–827 • doi:10.1038/386824a0

²⁵⁶ P. Llamocca, A. Junestrand, M. Cukic, D. Urgelés, V. López. (2018) *Data source analysis in mood disorder research*. XVIII Proceedings of the XVIII Conference of the Spanish Association for Artificial Intelligence, CAEPIA, ISBN: 978-84-09-05643-9 F. Herrera et al. (Eds.), pp. 893-900, Granada, Spain.
 ²⁵⁷ P. Llamocca, D. Urgelés, M. Cukic, V. Lopez. (2019) *Bip4Cast: Some advances in mood disorders data analysis*. Proceedings of the 1st International Alan Turing Conference on Decision Support and Recommender Systems, London 2019.

²⁵⁸ Raymond Perrault, Yoav Shoham, Erik Brynjolfsson, Jack Clark, John Etchemendy, Barbara Grosz, Terah Lyons, James Manyika, Saurabh Mishra, and Juan Carlos Niebles, "The AI Index 2019 Annual Report", AI Index Steering Committee, Human-Centered AI Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, December 2019. <u>https://hai.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbiybj10986/f/ai_index_2019_report.pdf</u> ²⁵⁹ Chen, Yu-Hsin, et al. "Eyeriss v2: A Flexible Accelerator for Emerging Deep Neural Networks on

Mobile Devices." May 2019. http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.07928 • https://arxiv.org/pdf/1807.07928.pdf https://www.rle.mit.edu/eems/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/2019_jetcas_eyerissv2.pdf

²⁶⁰ Jo, Eun Seo, and Timnit Gebru. "Lessons from Archives: Strategies for Collecting Sociocultural Data in Machine Learning." Dec. 2019 doi:10.1145/3351095.3372829 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.10389.pdf
 ²⁶¹ Tembon, Mercy Miyang, and Lucia Fort, editors. *Girl's Education in the 21st Century: Gender Equality, Empowerment and Growth*. The World Bank, 2008. doi:10.1596/978-0-8213-7474-0
 ²⁶² https://www.nytimes.com/2006/10/14/world/asia/14nobel.html

²⁶³ Cohn, Alain, et al. "Civic Honesty around the Globe." *Science*, vol. 365, no. 6448, July 2019, pp. 70–73. doi:10.1126/science.aau8712

²⁶⁴ Grosch, Kerstin; Rau, Holger (2017) : Gender differences in honesty: The role of social value orientation. Discussion Papers, No. 308, University of Göttingen, Center for European, Governance and Economic Development Research (CEGE), Göttingen, Germany.

https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/156226/1/882555200.pdf

²⁶⁵ Sen, Amartya. *The Idea of Justice*. Harvard University Press, 2009.

https://dutraeconomicus.files.wordpress.com/2014/02/amartya-sen-the-idea-of-justice-2009.pdf

²⁶⁶ "Artificial Intelligence Makes Bad Medicine Even Worse." *Wired. www.wired.com*,

https://www.wired.com/story/artificial-intelligence-makes-bad-medicine-even-worse/

²⁶⁷ Datta, Shoumen (2008) Arm Chair Essays in Energy. <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/45512</u>

²⁶⁸ Donald Mackenzie (1877) The Flooding of the Sahara: An Account of the Proposed Plan for Opening Central Africa to Commerce and Civilization. 1877. S. Low, Marston, Searle, & Rivington, Publishers. https://ia902205.us.archive.org/23/items/floodingsaharaa01mackgoog/floodingsaharaa01mackgoog.pdf

²⁶⁹ <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/1761255?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents</u>

²⁷⁰ https://www.nature.com/articles/019509a0.pdf

²⁷¹ Rothman, Joshua. *The Equality Conundrum* 13 January 2020. <u>www.newyorker.com/magazine/annals-</u>

of-inquiry \blacklozenge https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2020/01/13/the-equality-conundrum

²⁷² https://www.mikespastry.com/

²⁷³ <u>https://www.modernpastry.com/</u>

²⁷⁴ Ilić, Suzana, et al. (2018) "Deep Contextualized Word Representations for Detecting Sarcasm and Irony." *Proceedings of the 9th Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjectivity, Sentiment and Social Media Analysis*, Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018, pp. 2–7 doi:10.18653/v1/W18-6202 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1809.09795.pdf

²⁷⁵ Datta, Shoumen (2008) Convergence of Bio, Info, Nano, Eco: Global Public Goods and Economic Growth. <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/41909</u>

²⁷⁶ Steinmueller, W. Edward, 2010. "Economics of Technology Policy" Handbook of the Economics of Innovation, in: Bronwyn H. Hall & Nathan Rosenberg (ed.), Handbook of the Economics of Innovation, edition 1, volume 2, pages 1181-1218, Elsevier • https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7218(10)02012-5
²⁷⁷ Singh, Lakhveer, et al. "Bioelectrofuel Synthesis by Nanoenzymes: Novel Alternatives to Conventional Enzymes." *Trends in Biotechnology*, Jan. 2020, p. S0167779919303129 doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2019.12.017
²⁷⁸ L. Wang, Y. Chen, F. Long, L. Singh, S. Trujillo, X. Xiao, H. Liu (2020) *Breaking the Loop: Tackling Homoacetogenesis by Chloroform to Halt Hydrogen Production-Consumption Loop in Single Chamber Microbial Electrolysis Cells*. Chemical Engineering Journal. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej. 2020.124436

²⁷⁹ https://patents.justia.com/inventor/andrew-e-fano

²⁸⁰ https://patents.justia.com/patent/10095981

²⁸¹ <u>https://claimparse.com/patent.php?patent_num=10275721</u>

²⁸² https://www.ibm.com/downloads/cas/KMPVGB4W

²⁸³ <u>https://mcgovern.mit.edu/profile/tomaso-poggio/</u>

²⁸⁴ Fjelland, R. Why general artificial intelligence will not be realized. *Humanit Soc Sci Commun* 7, 10 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-0494-4 www.nature.com/articles/s41599-020-0494-4.pdf
 ²⁸⁵ Faro, Scott H., and Feroze B. Mohamed, editors. *Functional MRI: Basic Principles and Clinical Applications*. Springer, 2006. ISBN 978-0-387-23046-7

²⁸⁶ https://towardsdatascience.com/bert-roberta-distilbert-xlnet-which-one-to-use-3d5ab82ba5f8
 ²⁸⁷ https://cloud.google.com/tpu/

²⁸⁸ <u>https://blog.floydhub.com/when-the-best-nlp-model-is-not-the-best-choice/</u>

²⁸⁹ Brown, Tom B., et al. "Language Models Are Few-Shot Learners." *ArXiv:2005.14165 [Cs]*, June 2020. http://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.14165.

²⁹⁰ Matthew E. Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, Luke Zettlemoyer (2018) *Deep contextualized word representations*. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.05365.pdf</u>
 ²⁹¹ Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K. and Toutanova, K. (2018) *BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding*. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf</u>

²⁹² Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma and Radu Soricut
 (2020) Albert: A Lite Bert for Self-Supervised Learning of Language Representations. 8th International
 Conference on Learning Representations (2020) <u>https://openreview.net/pdf?id=H1eA7AEtvS</u>

²⁹³ Sun, Cong, et al. "A Deep Learning Approach With Deep Contextualized Word Representations for Chemical–Protein Interaction Extraction From Biomedical Literature." *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, 2019, pp. 151034–151046 • doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2948155

²⁹⁴ Vahe Tshitoyan, John Dagdelen, Leigh Weston, Alexander Dunn, Ziqin Rong, Olga Kononova, Kristin A. Persson, Gerbrand Ceder and Anubhav Jain (2019) *Unsupervised word embeddings capture latent knowledge from materials science literature. Nature* **571**, 95–98 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1335-8

²⁹⁵ Babyak, M. A. (2004) "What You See May Not Be What You Get: A Brief, Nontechnical Introduction to Overfitting in Regression-Type Models." *Psychosomatic Med*, vol. 66, no. 3, May 2004, pp 411-21 DOI 10.1097/01.psy.0000127692.23278.a9 https://people.duke.edu/~mababyak/papers/babyakregression.pdf
²⁹⁶ Tarfa Hamed (2017) *Recursive Feature Addition: A Novel Feature Selection Technique, Including a Proof of Concept in Network Security.* PhD thesis submitted to University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada. https://atrium.lib.uoguelph.ca/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10214/10315/Hamed_Tarfa_201704_PhD.pdf?s equence=1&isAllowed=y

²⁹⁷ Aditya Nandy, Chenru Duan, Jon Paul Janet, Stefan Gugler, and Heather J. Kulik (2018)

"Strategies and Software for Machine Learning Accelerated Discovery in Transition Metal Chemistry." *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, volume 57, number 42, October 2018, pages 13973 - 13986 doi:10.1021/acs.iecr.8b04015

²⁹⁸ Virshup, Aaron M., et al. "Stochastic Voyages into Uncharted Chemical Space Produce a Representative Library of All Possible Drug-Like Compounds." *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 135, no. 19, May 2013, pp. 7296 -7303 • doi:10.1021/ja401184g

²⁹⁹ Sam Lemonick (2019) As DFT Matures, Will It Become a Push-Button Technology? *Chemical & Engineering News*, volume 97, issue 35 <u>https://cen.acs.org/physical-chemistry/computational-</u> chemistry/DFT-matures-become-push-button/97/i35

³⁰⁰ Joan Bruna, Wojciech Zaremba, Arthur Szlam & Yann LeCun (2014) "Spectral Networks and Locally Connected Networks on Graphs." <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6203</u> • <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1312.6203.pdf</u>
 ³⁰¹ Justin Gilmer, Samuel S. Schoenholz, Patrick F. Riley, Oriol Vinyals and George E. Dahl (2017) *Neural Message Passing for Quantum Chemistry*. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1704.01212.pdf</u>

³⁰² Kyle Swanson (2019) Message Passing Neural Networks for Molecular Property Prediction. Master's Thesis. EECS, MIT. <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/123133/1128814048-MIT.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y</u>

³⁰³ <u>http://chemprop.csail.mit.edu/</u>

³⁰⁴ Lars Ruddigkeit, Ruud van Deursen, Lorenz C. Blum and Jean-Louis Reymond (2012) "Enumeration of 166 Billion Organic Small Molecules in the Chemical Universe Database GDB-17." *J of Chemical Information and Modeling*, vol. 52, no. 11, November 2012, pp. 2864–2875 doi:10.1021/ci300415d ³⁰⁵ Jonathan M. Stokes, Kevin Yang, Kyle Swanson, Wengong Jin, Andres Cubillos-Ruiz, Nina M. Donghia, Craig R. MacNair, Shawn French, Lindsey A. Carfrae, Zohar Bloom-Ackerman, Victoria M. Tran, Anush Chiappino-Pepe, Ahmed H. Badran, Ian W. Andrews, Emma J. Chory, George M. Church, Eric D. Brown, Tommi S. Jaakkola, Regina Barzilay and James J. Collins (2020) "A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery." *Cell*, vol. 180, no. 4, February 2020, pp. 688-702.e13 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.021 ◆ https://www.cell.com/cell/pdf/S0092-8674(20)30102-1.pdf

³⁰⁶ <u>http://news.mit.edu/2020/artificial-intelligence-identifies-new-antibiotic-0220</u>

³⁰⁷ Zhang, Xiang, et al. (2019) "Deep Neural Network Hyperparameter Optimization with Orthogonal Array Tuning." July 2019. <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.13359</u> ◆ <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.13359.pdf</u>

³⁰⁸ Lars Kai Hansen and Peter Salamon (1990) *Neural Network Ensembles*. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 12, No. 10, October 1990.

https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/257d/c8ae2a8353bb2e86c1b7186e7d989fb433d3.pdf

³⁰⁹ Marcello Pelillo (2014) "Alhazen and the nearest neighbor rule." *Pattern Recognition Letters* 38 (2014) 34–37 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2013.10.022

³¹⁰ https://thenextweb.com/neural/2020/02/19/study-ai-expert-gary-marcus-explains-how-to-take-ai-tothe-next-level/

³¹¹ Russell, Stuart and Norvig, Peter (2010) *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. 3rd ed, Prentice Hall. <u>http://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/</u>

³¹² Winston, Patrick Henry and Richard Henry Brown, editors. *Artificial Intelligence, an MIT Perspective*.
 MIT Press, 1979. <u>https://courses.csail.mit.edu/6.034f/ai3/rest.pdf</u>

³¹³ Buchanan, Bruce G., and Edward Hance Shortliffe, editors. (1984) *Rule-Based Expert Systems: The MYCIN Experiments of the Stanford Heuristic Programming Project*. Addison-Wesley. 1984. http://digilib.stmik-banjarbaru.ac.id/data.bc/2.%20AI/2.%20AI/1984%20Rule-Based%20Expert%20Systems.pdf

³¹⁴ Quinlan, J. R., editor. (1987) Applications of Expert Systems: Based on the Proceedings of the Second Australian Conference. Turing Institute Press in association with Addison-Wesley Pub. Co, 1987.
 ³¹⁵ Giarratano, Joseph C. and Gary Riley. (1989) Expert Systems: Principles and Programming. 1st ed. PWS-Kent Publishing Company, Boston. ISBN 0-87835-335-6

³¹⁶ Buchanan, Bruce G. (1989) "Can Machine Learning Offer Anything to Expert Systems?" *Machine Learning*, vol. 4, no. 3–4, December 1989, pages 251–254 doi:10.1007/BF00130712.

https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF00130712.pdf

³¹⁷ Valverde, S. (2016) Major transitions in information technology. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society. B 371: 20150450 \blacklozenge http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0450

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4958943/pdf/rstb20150450.pdf

³¹⁸ Mearian, Lucas. (2017) "CW@50: Data Storage Goes from \$1M to 2 Cents per Gigabyte (+video)." *Computerworld*, 23 March 2017 \blacklozenge https://www.computerworld.com/article/3182207/cw50-data-storage-goes-from-1m-to-2-cents-per-gigabyte.html

³¹⁹ Supernor, Bill (2018) "Why the Cost of Cloud Computing Is Dropping Dramatically." *App Developer Magazine*, https://appdevelopermagazine.com/why-the-cost-of-cloud-computing-is-dropping-dramatically/

 ³²⁰ Wolpert, H David (2018). "Why Do Computers Use So Much Energy?" *Scientific American Blog Network* • https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/why-do-computers-use-so-much-energy/
 ³²¹ David H Wolpert. (2019) "The stochastic thermodynamics of computation." *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 2019* https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1751-8121/ab0850/pdf
 ³²² Panesar, S.S., Kliot, M., Parrish, R., Fernandez-Miranda, J., Cagle, Y., Britz, G.W. (2019) Promises and Perils of Artificial Intelligence in Neurosurgery. *Neurosurgery* • https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyz471
 ³³³ Emily Hanhauser, Michael S. Bono, Jr., Chintan Vaishnav, A. John Hart, and Rohit Karnik (2020) Solid-Phase Extraction, Preservation, Storage, Transport, and Analysis of Trace Contaminants for Water Quality Monitoring of Heavy Metals, *Environ Science & Tech* (2020). DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.9b04695
 ³²⁴ Rohit Sharma , Sachin S. Kamble , Angappa Gunasekaran , Vikas Kumar , Anil Kumar (2020) A Systematic Literature Review on Machine Learning Applications for Sustainable Agriculture Supply Chain Performance, Computers and Operations Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2020.104926
 ³²⁵ Amelie Gyrard, Manas Gaur, Saeedeh Shekarpour, Krishnaprasad Thirunarayan and Amit Sheth (2018) *Personalized Health Knowledge Graph*. Contextualized Knowledge Graph Workshop, International Semantic Web Conference, 2018.

https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1005&context=aii_fac_pub

³²⁶ "Apple Agrees to Settlement of up to \$500 Million from Lawsuit Alleging It Throttled Older Phones." *TechCrunch*, <u>http://social.techcrunch.com/2020/03/02/apple-agrees-to-settlement-of-up-to-500-million-from-lawsuit-alleging-it-throttled-older-phones/</u>

³²⁷ Gini, Corrado (1909) "Concentration and dependency ratios" (in Italian). English translation in *Rivista di Politica Economica* (1997) 87: 769–789

www.reddit.com/r/MapPorn/comments/88mw3q/open_defecation_around_the_world_2015_960_684/ ³²⁹ "Nearly a Billion People Still Defecate Outdoors. Here's Why." *Magazine*, 25 July 2017, https://www.nationalgeographic.com/magazine/2017/08/toilet-defecate-outdoors-stunting-sanitation/ ³³⁰ Sadhu, Bodhisatwa, et al. "The More (Antennas), the Merrier: A Survey of Silicon-Based Mm-Wave Phased Arrays Using Multi-IC Scaling." *IEEE Microwave Magazine*, vol. 20, no. 12, Dec 2019, pp 32–50 ³³¹ Wang, Tengfei and Kang, Jong Woo (2020). *An integrated approach for assessing national e-commerce performance*. Trade, Investment and Innovation Working Paper Series, No. 01/20, ESCAP Trade, Investment and Innovation Division, United Nations (UN). January 2020. Bangkok, Thailand. https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/files/publications/Working%20Paper%20No.1_2020.pdf ³³² http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Global_Risk_Report_2020.pdf

³³³ Propaganda by erudite, credible and respectable scientists, are disturbing, devastating, desacralizing. Time, events and publications suggest that "giants" who are *good* are occasionally hypnotized by the slippery slope of metamorphosis from *good* to self-anointed "God" particles. Knowledge, which was once regarded as an oak tree, and supposed to usher in self-deprecation, modesty and humility, now, frequently suffers from bloating, sufficient to spill over the *black hole* of hubris. For example, a trio of brilliant (see ref 91) male scientists, in the upper latitudes of North America, are acting as *Nostradamus*, stoked by greed and the quest for immortality, buoyed by corporate largesse, exclusively driven by the desire for wealth creation. A group of complicit organizations and ill-informed media are ever ready to quench the drab voices of reason and restraint, in favour of sensationalizing and amplifying this inane *Nostradamus Effect*. This harms society by generating derelict reports and reduces the credibility of august institutions and organizations which appear as pawns for corporate business development (https://knowledgegraphsocialgood.pubpub.org) often under a camouflage of so-called knowledge for social good. https://knowledgegraphsocialgood.pubpub.org/programcomittee [This statement is the personal opinion of the author].

³³⁴ Boroush, Mark (2020) National Science Board, National Science Foundation. 2020. Research and Development: U.S. Trends and International Comparisons. Science and Engineering Indicators 2020. NSB-2020-3. Alexandria, VA. <u>https://ncses.nsf.gov/pubs/nsb20203/assets/nsb20203.pdf</u>

³³⁵ Cyranoski, David. "China Awaits Controversial Blacklist of 'Poor Quality' Journals." *Nature*, vol. 562, Oct. 2018, pp. 471–72. *www.nature.com*, doi:10.1038/d41586-018-07025-5

³³⁶ <u>https://www.thehindu.com/profile/photographers/Prashant-Waydande/</u>

³³⁷ "Indian Research Quality Lags Quantity." *Economic Times Blog*, 24 Dec. 2019, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/blogs/et-editorials/indian-research-quality-lags-quantity/

³³⁸ Jaishankar, Dhruva. "The Huge Cost of India's Discrimination Against Women." *The Atlantic*, 18 Mar. 2013. <u>https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2013/03/the-huge-cost-of-indias-</u> <u>discrimination-against-women/274115/</u>

³³⁹ <u>https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html</u>

³⁴⁰ Datta, S. (2020) CITCOM (unpublished) MIT Library <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/111021</u>
³⁴¹ Cai, Yongfei, et al. "Distinct Conformational States of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein." *Science*, July 2020. doi:10.1126/science.abd4251

³⁴² Wiedmann, Thomas, et al. "Scientists' Warning on Affluence." *Nature Comms*, vol. 11, no. 1, Dec.
2020, p. 3107. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16941-y www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-16941-y.pdf
³⁴³ Fjelland, R. Why general artificial intelligence will not be realized. *Humanit Soc Sci Commun* 7, 10

(2020). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-0494-4 • www.nature.com/articles/s41599-020-0494-4.pdf ³⁴⁴ Cassie A. Giacobassi, Daniela A. Oliveira, Cicero C. Pola, Dong Xiang, Yifan Tang, Shoumen Palit Austin Datta, Eric S. McLamore and Carmen Gomes (2020) *Sense-Analyze-Respond-Actuate (SARA) Paradigm: Proof of concept systems spanning nanoscale and macroscale actuation for detection of Escherichia coli in water* (in press)

³⁴⁵ Surbatovich, Milijana, et al. "Some Recipes Can Do More Than Spoil Your Appetite: Analyzing the Security and Privacy Risks of IFTTT Recipes." *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web*, Intl WWW Conferences Steering Com, 2017, pp. 1501–10. doi:10.1145/3038912.3052709 https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/public_comments/2017/11/00026-141804.pdf

³⁴⁶ PDFs numbered 00, 01, 02, 03 and 04 in <u>https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/123984</u>

³⁴⁷ Yu, Li-Rong, et al. "Aptamer-Based Proteomics Identifies Mortality-Associated Serum Biomarkers in Dialysis-Dependent AKI Patients." *Kidney Intl Reports*, vol. 3, no. 5, September 2018, pp. 1202–1213. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2018.04.012

³⁴⁸ Datta, S. (2020) CORONA: A Social Coronary. https://bit.ly/CORONARY

³⁴⁹ Poire, Norman P. (2011) The Great Transformation of 2021. <u>http://www.lulu.com/shop/norman-poire/the-great-transformation-of-2021/paperback/product-14729057.html</u> ISBN-13 978-0557948901

³⁵⁰ Elliott, Joshua. *World Modelers*. <u>https://www.darpa.mil/program/world-modelers</u>

³⁵¹ Victor Weisskopf, MIT. <u>http://web.mit.edu/dikaiser/www/Kaiser.Weisskopf.pdf</u>

³⁵² Taubes, Gary. "What If Sugar Is Worse than Just Empty Calories? An Essay by Gary Taubes." British Medical Journal, Jan. 2018, p. j5808 • www.bmj.com/content/bmj/360/bmj.j5808.full.pdf

³⁵³ Curie, Marie. <u>https://www.iupac.org/publications/ci/2011/3301/jan11.pdf</u>

³⁵⁴ Frankl, Viktor E., et al. *Yes to Life: In Spite of Everything*. Beacon Press, published March 23, 2020. ISBN-13 978-0807005552

Opinions are due to the corresponding author and may not reflect the views of the institutions with which the author is affiliated. Listed co-authors are not responsible and may not endorse any or all comments and/or criticisms.

ACNOWLEDGEMENTS

Critical review by Robert F Curl (https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/chemistry/1996/curl/biographical/) and editorial comments by Alain Louchez (http://ipat.gatech.edu/people/alain-louchez) are gratefully acknowledged. Unvarnished opinions and unconventional commentary by the corresponding author may have influenced the timbre of this essay. Some of the opinions of the corresponding author were supported and strengthened by Rodney Brooks (https://www.csail.mit.edu/person/rodney-brooks) based on an earlier and related essay by the corresponding author (https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07862). Thank you.

Les savants des autres nations, à qui nous avons donné l'exemple, ont cru avec raison qu'ils écriraient encore mieux dans leur langue que dans la nôtre. L'Angleterre nous a donc imités; l'Allemagne, où le latin semblait s'être réfugié, commence insensiblement à en perdre l'usage; je ne doute pas qu'elle ne soit bientôt suivie par les Suédois, les Danois et les Russes. Ainsi, avant la fin du dix-huitième siècle, un philosophe qui voudra s'instruire à fond des découvertes de ses prédécesseurs, sera contraint île charger sa mémoire de sept à huit langues différentes, et. après avoir consume à les apprendre le temps le plus précieux de sa vie, il mourra avant de commencer à s'instruire.³⁵⁴ The scholars of other nations, to whom we have provided an example, believed with reason that they would write even better in their language than in ours. England has thus imitated us; Germany, where Latin seems to have taken refuge, begins insensibly to lose the use of it: I do not doubt that it will soon be followed by the Swedes, the Danes and the Russians. Thus, before the end of the 18th century, a philosopher who would like to instruct himself about his predecessor's discoveries will be required to load his memory with 7 to 8 different languages; and after having consumed the most precious time of his life in acquiring them, he will die before having begun to instruct himself.³⁵⁴

Market proponents of AI are individuals who blather about neural networks of which they know little, to solve problems using learning tools which they know less, for the society of human beings of whom they know nothing.

(Adapted from "Les médecins administrent des médicaments dont ils savent très peu, à des malades dont ils savent moins, pour guérir des maladies dont ils ne savent rien" – Voltaire³³⁷)

(Doctors are men who prescribe medicines of which they know little, to cure diseases of which they know less, in human beings of whom they know nothing.)

Tweet



Suppose you have cancer and you have to choose between a black box AI surgeon that cannot explain how it works but has a 90% cure rate and a human surgeon with an 80% cure rate. Do you want the AI surgeon to be illegal?

3:37 PM · Feb 20, 2020 · Twitter Web App