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ABSTRACT

MIXED-INITIATIVE MAN-COMPUTER INSTRUCTIONAL DIALOGUES

by Jaime R. Carbonell

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering on June 3, 1970 in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The main purpose of the research reported in this document is to show that a new type of computer-assisted instruction (CAI), in many respects more powerful than existing ones, is feasible, and to demonstrate by example some of its major capabilities. In order to do that, a set of computer programs, the SCHOLAR system, was written. Both the conception and the implementation of this system is discussed in detail in the body of this work. Actual on-line protocols of the usage of SCHOLAR are included.

In what may be called conventional ad-hoc frame-oriented (AFO) CAI, the data base is formed by specific pieces of text and detailed questions with their predicted answers, errors, and anticipated branching, all of which must be entered in advance by the teacher. By contrast, the present approach to CAI can be defined as being information-structure-oriented (ISO) because it is based on the utilization of a symbolic information network of facts, concepts, and procedures. SCHOLAR is capable to generate out of its information network the material to be presented to the student, the questions to
be asked to him, and the corresponding expected answers. SCHOLAR can also utilize its information network to answer questions formulated by the student. As a consequence, SCHOLAR is capable of maintaining a mixed-initiative dialogue with the student, with questions asked by either side and answered by the other. Operational definitions of relevancy and context assure the continuity of a reasonably meaningful and instructionally useful dialogue. This dialogue takes place in a rather comfortable subset of English and, in the present implementation, has as its goal to review the student's knowledge in the context of geography of South America.

SCHOLAR is only the first prototype of an ISO CAI system, but being built with a large degree of modularity in both program and data base, it should generalize with little trouble to many other examples and fields of application.

Thesis Supervisor: Donald C. Carroll
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I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

The main purpose of the research reported in this document is to introduce a new type of computer-assisted instruction (CAI), in many respects more powerful than existing ones, to prove that it is feasible, and to demonstrate by example some of its major capabilities. In the course of this investigation, a set of computer programs, the SCHOLAR system, was written. SCHOLAR is capable of reviewing the knowledge of a student in a given context (e.g. geography of South America) by maintaining a mixed-initiative dialogue with him in a rather comfortable subset of English. Figure I.1(a to e) presents a fragment of a protocol, taken on-line, which demonstrates some of the basic capabilities of SCHOLAR. (Other SCHOLAR protocols will be presented later, see especially Appendix I). In this protocol, SCHOLAR starts typing after being called. The student's turn comes after the asterisk, and can be a response to a question by SCHOLAR, a question to SCHOLAR, or a command (like halting, or changing the mode of the interaction to either Q/A - for question-answering - or mixinit - for mixed-initiative - or test - for testing). The student returns control to SCHOLAR by typing another asterisk and a carriage return.

Observe that SCHOLAR can prompt the student, indicate when it does not understand him, detect misspellings, and answer the student's questions using acceptable English.
HELLO. MY NAME IS SCHOLAR. I WILL HELP YOU IN REVIEWING THE GEOGRAPHY OF SOUTH AMERICA. THIS IS ABC REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL. TODAY IS 04/29/70.

IF YOU HAVE CAREFULLY STUDIED YOUR INSTRUCTIONS, WE MAY BEGIN THE REVIEW NOW.

WHEN YOU ARE READY TO START, TYPE READY --- REMEMBER TO TERMINATE WITH AN ASTERISK * FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE RETURN.

*READY*

PLEASE TYPE YOUR NAME --- MR., MISS, OR MRS. FOLLOWED BY FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME OR MIDDLE INITIAL, AND LAST NAME.

*MR. JAMES R. JONES*ES*

NAME OF YOUR INSTRUCTOR, PLEASE:

*MRS. BROWN*

LET'S BEGIN OUR DISCUSSION NOW, MR. JONES.

WHAT IS CHILE?

*A COUNTRY*

THAT'S FINE.

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

214000*
800300*
49883*
63690*

FOR THE QUESTION:

APPROXIMATELY WHAT IS THE AREA OF CHILE?

Fig. I.1 (a) Fragment of an On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR
YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT.
THE CORRECT ANSWER IS: 214000.

THE POPULATION IN CHILE IS APPROX 8500000 PEOPLE.
TRUE OR FALSE?

*TRUE*

YOU ARE CORRECT.

USE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:
SUCRE
FRENCH
ARGENTINA
SPANISH

TO ANSWER THE QUESTION:
WHAT IS THE LANGUAGE IN CHILE?

* YOU ARE TAKING TOO MUCH TIME...

*SPANISH*

YOU SHOULD HAVE WRITTEN "SPANISH".
VERY GOOD.

THE CAPITAL IN CHILE IS SANTIAGO.
CORRECT OR INCORRECT?

*CORRECT*

THAT'S RIGHT.

APPROX WHAT IS THE AREA OF BRAZIL?

*2500000 SQUARE MILES*

WRONG.
PLEASE INDICATE IF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT IS CORRECT
OR INCORRECT:

THE AREA OF PARAGUAY IS APPROX 47432 SQUARE MILES.

*WHAT IS THE AREA OF BRAZIL?*

THE AREA OF BRAZIL IS APPROX 3600000 SQUARE MILES.
NOW ANSWER THE QUESTION YOU DIDN'T ANSWER BEFORE.

*FALSE*

Fig. I.1 (b) Fragment of an On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR (cont.)
REPHRASE YOUR STATEMENT. I CAN'T UNDERSTAND IT.

*INCORRECT*

VERY GOOD.

THE AREA OF PARAGUAY IS APPROX 157000 SQUARE MILES.

THE LANGUAGE IN BRAZIL IS FRENCH.
RIGHT OR WRONG?

*WRONG*

YOU ARE CORRECT.

THE LANGUAGE IN BRAZIL IS PORTUGUESE.
IS IT TRUE THAT THE CAPITAL IN BRAZIL IS BRAZILIA?

*YES*

THAT'S FINE.

APPROX WHAT IS THE POPULATION IN BRAZIL?

*60000000.*

APPROXIMATELY TRUE, BUT A MORE EXACT VALUE IS 70000000.

WHAT IS BRAZIL?

*TELL ME SOMETHING ABOUT PERU.*

WAIT A MINUTE. I'M CHECKING MY FILES.

LET'S PROCEED NOW.

PERU IS A COUNTRY.
IT IS IN SOUTH AMERICA.
THE POPULATION IS APPROX 7000000. PEOPLE.
THE CAPITAL IS LIMA.

NOW ANSWER THE QUESTION YOU DIDN'T ANSWER BEFORE.

*Q/A*

DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE GENERAL MODE OF THE INTERACTION TO Q/A?
PLEASE ANSWER Y OR N.

*Y*

OK, MR. JONES. LET'S GO AHEAD.

*PLEASE, TELL ME MORE ABOUT PERU.*

THE AREA OF PERU IS APPROX 480000 SQUARE MILES.
THE LANGUAGE IS SPANISH.

**Fig. I.1 (c) Fragment of an On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR (cont.)**
*HOW MANY SQUARE MILES ARE THERE IN BRAZIL?*

THE AREA IS APPROX 3600000 SQUARE MILES.

*WHAT IS THE PRINCIPAL RELIGION IN URUGUAY?*

THE PRINCIPAL RELIGION IN URUGUAY IS CATHOLICISM.

*WELL, HAVE YOU MADE UP YOUR MIND?*

*I'M AFRAID I CAN'T ANSWER THAT.*

*IS IT TRUE THAT THE AREA OF URUGUAY IS 70000?*

THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT. THE EXACT ANSWER IS 79000.

*COULD YOU PLEASE INDICATE THE PRINCIPAL RIVERS IN ARGENTINA?*

I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR WORD "INDICATE". I'LL GIVE YOU ANOTHER CHANCE.

*THE PRINCIPAL RIVERS IN ARGENTINA ARE ----.*


*MIXINIT*

DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE GENERAL MODE OF THE INTERACTION TO MIXINIT?

PLEASE ANSWER Y OR N.

*Y**

OK, MR. JONES. LET'S GO AHEAD.

WHERE IS BRAZIL?

PLEASE HURRY UP. I'M WAITING FOR YOUR STATEMENT.

*IN SOUTHAMERICA*

I BELIEVE YOU MEANT TO TYPE "SOUTH AMERICA".

THAT'S RIGHT.

Fig. 1.1 (d) Fragment of an On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR (cont.)
IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT THE CITIES IN BRAZIL ARE
SAO PAULO, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZILIA, PORTO ALEGRE,
BAHIA, RECIFE, SANTOS, MANAOs, AND BELEM?

*YES*

THAT'S FINE.

THE CITIES IN BOLIVIA ARE -----.

*LA PAZ, SUCRE, BOGOTA, AND ACONCAGUA*

AT LAST! ANYWAY, LET US SEE WHAT YOU HAVE JUST WRITTEN.

HAVEN'T YOU INCORRECTLY USED "BOGOTA" AND "ACONCAGUA"?
ON THE OTHER HAND "LA PAZ" AND "SUCRE" IS CORRECT.

THE COMPLETE ANSWER ALSO INCLUDES POTOSI, COCHABAMBA,
AND SANTA CRUZ.

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

845600.
1033500.
-8297216.
4000000.

TO FILL THE BLANK BELOW:

THE POPULATION IN BOLIVIA IS APPROX --- PEOPLE.

*40
00 YOU ARE TAKING TOO MUCH TIME...

*4000000.*

THAT'S RIGHT.

TYPE EITHER T OR F AFTER THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

THE LANGUAGE IN BOLIVIA IS SPANISH.

*WHAT IS CORDOBA?*

CORDOBA IS A CITY.
IT IS LOCATED IN ARGENTINA.
THE POPULATION IS 700000. PEOPLE.

NOW ANSWER THE QUESTION YOU DIDN'T ANSWER BEFORE.

******************************************************************************

TODAY'S REVIEW IS OVER.
IT HAS BEEN A PLEASURE TO WORK WITH YOU, MR. JONES.
******************************************************************************

Fig. I.1 (e) Fragment of an On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR (cont.)
SCHOLAR can also generate questions, and evaluate the student's answers, deciding when these are correct, wrong, or only approximately or partially correct, and then take some conditional actions. It keeps track of content, and changes it on the basis of relevancy and time considerations. SCHOLAR does all this without faithfully following specific and detailed directions, but rather by applying general criteria and procedures to a body of "knowledge" (see below) about the subject being discussed.

Conventional tutorial CAI systems depend for their operation on the utilization of blocks of material, usually called "frames," textually entered in advance by the teacher. The material in the frames usually includes paragraphs of English text to be presented, specific questions with their expected correct answers, perhaps some expected incorrect answers, keywords, and anticipated branching for a limited, closed set of predictable alternatives for each student's answer. Questions are more often than not of the multiple-choice type. The student has no initiative and can ask no questions. (In non-tutorial classic CAI, sometimes the student can rather freely use the computer, but then it is the latter which has no initiative). We like to call the CAI systems based on detailed frames as described above, ad-hoc frame-oriented (AFO) CAI systems.
In contrast to the AFO approach, the approach to CAI presented in this document can be defined as being information-structure-oriented (ISO), since it is based on the utilization as a data base of a complex but well-defined information structure in the form of a network of facts, concepts, and procedures. The elements of this network are units of information defining words and events in the form of multi-level tree-lists. The elements of those lists are other words which in turn point to their respective units, and so on. Fig. I.2 is a pictorial simplified representation of a portion of a network of this sort in the context of geography of South America. Each rectangle or plane is a unit with a name (Uruguay, Argentina, South America, country, latitude) and a set of symbolically coded properties.

When we look at the network from a given word pointing to the corresponding unit, with the elements in the properties pointing to other units, and so on, we are really representing the "meaning" of that word. In this sense, the network can be said to be a "semantic" network. Semantic networks were first introduced by the pioneering work of Quillian (1966, 1969). We will discuss them in further detail in Section III.1 below. SCHOLAR's semantic network will be specifically described in Section IV.2.a below. Fig. I.3 is a preview of the actual configuration of SCHOLAR's semantic network, presenting the unit for "latitude," and fragments of the unit for "Argentina." Observe that no specific pieces of
Fig. 1.2 Simplified Pictorial Representation of a Semantic Network on South America
(RPAQO Q LATITUDE (((CN LATITUDE)
(DET THE DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DISTANCE NIL ANGULAR (FROM NIL
EQUATOR))))
(SUPERP (I 2)
LOCATION)
(VALUE (I 2)
(RANGE NIL -90 90))
(UNIT (I 2)
DEGREES)))

(RPAQO Q ARGENTINA (((XN ARGENTINA)
(DET NIL DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \12\00000))
(LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (LATITUDE (I 2)
(RANGE NIL -22 -55))
(LONGITUDE (I 4)
(RANGE NIL -77 -71))
(BORDERING\COUNTRIES (I 1)
(NORTHERN (I 1)
BOLIVIA PARAGUAY)
(EASTERN (I 1)
((SL BRAZIL URUGUA
NIL
(BOUNDARY NIL URUGUAY\RIVER))))

(CAPITAL (I 1)
BUENOS\AIRES)
(CITIES (I 3)
(PRINCIPAL NIL ($L BUENOS\AIRES CORDOBA ROSARIO
MENDOZA LA\PLATA TUCUMAN))
(TOPOGRAPHY (I 1)
VARIED
(MOUNTAIN\CHAIN NIL (PRINCIPAL NIL ANDES
.LOCATION NIL (BOUNDARY NIL (WITH NIL
CHILE))))
(ALTIITUDE NIL (HIGHEST NIL ACONCAGUA
(APPROX NIL 22000)))
(SIERRAS NIL (LOCATION NIL ($L CORDOBA
BUENOS\AIRES))
(PLAINS NIL (#ERTILE NIL USUALLY)
((SL EASTERN CENTRAL
NIL PAMPA)
(NORTHERN NIL CHACO))

Fig. I.3 The Units for Latitude and Argentina (Fragments)
in SCHOLAR
text, or questions with their predicted answers, errors, and anticipated branching form part of this information structure, as is the case in the data bases of conventional AFO CAI systems.

In ISO systems, instead, an executive program, almost completely independent of the subject matter to which it applies, is now capable of probing the semantic network in order to generate out of it the material to be presented, and the questions to be asked to the student. As shown in Fig. I.1, this program is at the same time capable both of generating the corresponding answers to its own questions, and of a certain degree of branching conditional on the student's responses, while maintaining a continuity of contexts and sub-contexts.

Furthermore, the data base of ISO CAI systems reflects basic "knowledge" about the subject under discussion; therefore (as shown again in Fig. I.1), SCHOLAR can at any time accept questions from the student, thus using its semantic network for question-answering purposes.* This explains why mixed-initiative dialogues between man and computer are now possible. The use of a semantic network also facilitates the two-way communication in a rather large and free subset of English.

*In other words, a question-answering system is a component of SCHOLAR.
Because of what we said above, the research leading into the development of SCHOLAR can also be considered as an investigation on an aspect of man-computer interaction, namely the possibility of having mixed-initiative man-computer discourse. The interest of this mode of interaction for areas of application other than CAI is obvious. Some of these areas are information retrieval systems, computer-assisted design, and command-and-control systems.

SCHOLAR is the product of a systems-oriented effort in which we have balanced the development of the different components to achieve a demonstration of our approach within available resources in terms both of computer capabilities and development time. In future versions, the modular construction of SCHOLAR will permit extensions and even complete revisions of some portions with only minor effects on others.

The subject matter, geography of a given region, was selected as being representative of verbally oriented subjects with comparatively little inherent logical structure and contextual algorithms. This type of subject is the hardest to treat in a generative way, since its structure is represented by its descriptive semantics. Algorithmically formal subjects like algebra or analytic geometry present considerably fewer difficulties in terms of both their internal representation and their natural language input-output. Success in dealing with them would not have implied immediate translation to verbally oriented subjects (Uttal et al. 1969).
Changing the example to which geography is applied presents no problem to SCHOLAR since only part of the semantic network must be updated. Changing the application to, say, anatomy, would mean an almost complete revision of the content of the semantic network, but not of the program. Shifting to a more computational or algorithmic topic (like aspects of Spanish syntax, or analytic geometry) would still require practically no changes in the program but would imply a semantic network much richer in procedures than that of more descriptive subjects (procedures like, for example, "conjugate" for Spanish verbs, or "intersection" for analytic geometry). Some simple pencil-and-paper experiments performed during the current investigation support the above point of view.

Artificial intelligence techniques have played a major role in the development of SCHOLAR through its natural language processing, utilization of semantic networks, and question-answering procedures. These largely existing techniques have been combined with original procedures for question generation and for handling the problems of context and relevancy. All these together with other supporting procedures and heuristics, form a system, SCHOLAR, which represents, as we said before, the first prototype of an ISO CAI system.

What lies ahead beyond this attained goal? Firstly, we must follow the obvious path of extensions and refinements.
Next we contemplate the application of SCHOLAR to practical situations with large data bases. Thirdly, and because of the content-free nature of the executive program which forces a precise definition of procedures, SCHOLAR constitutes an ideal environment to investigate pedagogical questions like strategies for contextual question generation, and for what to do after certain classes of errors, as well as active study of what those classes are.

SCHOLAR is currently implemented in BBN-LISP in an XDS-940 time-sharing computer. This environment was principally selected because of its rather large virtual memory, obtained through paging. Conversion to a larger and faster Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-10 time-sharing system suitably modified to support paging is under way.

In the remainder of this document, Section II presents a critical discussion of motivation and background, including a brief description of LIBRO, and advanced but classic AFO CAI system, which we developed as an antecedent to SCHOLAR, and which can be used to illustrate the comparison between ISO and AFO CAI systems. Section III is a technical discussion of the most relevant problems faced in this investigation which begins by studying semantic networks and their relation to SCHOLAR. This is followed by consideration of the concepts of relevancy and context, of particular importance when a system like SCHOLAR is "on its own" and must
provide a continuous and meaningful dialogue. The problem of question-answering and question generation are discussed next, followed by natural-language generation (i.e. English text generation), response and error analysis, and some teaching problems and strategies. Finally, at the end of Section III we discuss teacher-SCHOLAR interaction. Section IV is devoted to a detailed specific discussion of the current version of SCHOLAR and its implementation, while Section V presents the conclusions and recommendations for further work. Appendix I presents some more SCHOLAR protocols, taken on-line. Appendix III presents the listings of the program classified by procedural groups of LISP functions, and data with the semantic net on South America used when generating the protocols.
II. MOTIVATION AND BACKGROUND

The research work reported here and leading to the development of SCHOLAR is not strictly a part of any previously existing field of research. It is a rather new effort of an interdisciplinary nature. In the following Subsections we shall briefly review the scientific areas most relevant for this work. Because of space constraints, we shall not attempt to give a comprehensive picture of each of these areas; only the references most directly related to this work will be given.

II.1 Man-Computer Interaction

It is both interesting and fruitful to study computer-assisted instruction (CAI) from the point of view of man-computer interaction. A better understanding of man-computer interaction problems and possibilities can help improve CAI. The urgency of achieving immediate practical applications without waiting for the results of badly needed, basic research has had a very negative impact on CAI. One of the neglected aspects has been man-computer interaction.

For us, this approach has special appeal since we were attracted into CAI research from investigation and modelling in man-computer interaction. As part of the latter work (Carbonell, Elkind, and Nickerson, 1968, and Carbonell 1969a), we developed a behavioral model of a man working on-line on
a problem-solving task (e.g., debugging a program). The essentials of this model are presented in Fig. II.1. The human operator, fully in control, decides what to do next on the basis of tests whose results he compares with those expected in terms of some internal model(s) of the situation. Ultimately, we have the confrontation between two information structures: that of man and that of the computer (either explicit or implicit in the problem). A situation that is the mirror image of the one just presented is conceivable. Now (in parentheses in Fig. II.1) a computer is fully in control and probes a man. This is precisely the motivation for the development of LIBRO, the on-line examination program to be discussed in Section II.4. Intermediate cases are possible and highly interesting. The idea of developing SCHOLAR was suggested in part by a sense of frustration with AFO CAI, but also in part as the result of looking for an environment in which mixed-initiative man-computer dialogues could take place.

The relative roles of man and computer in CAI are really more involved than indicated by Fig. II.1. Usually a teacher prepares on-line materials to be used later and/or in a different place as bases for the interaction between computer and student. This situation resembles very much the case, described by Sheridan and Ferrell (1969), of human control of remote computer-manipulation. In Fig. II.2 we see that the computer system is split by a time/space barrier through
Fig. II.1  Man-Computer Interaction With Man (Computer) in Full Control
Fig. II.2 Schematic Representation of CAI as Supervisory Control
which information can only be transmitted with considerable delay. On one side the computer faces the teacher; on the other, the student. On either side, decisions and control may either be shared between computer and human, or controlled by one of them. As Sheridan and Ferrell suggest for the case of remote computer-manipulation, the remote computer-tutor must be capable of executing complex programs and reaching decisions without the supervisor's intervention. The need is apparent in both cases, for sophisticated programming including heuristic techniques.

II.2 Traditional Approaches to CAI

Computer-assisted instruction efforts have in the past few years proceeded along several lines. Frye (1968) and Zinn (1968) have described existing systems and languages for CAI and attempted a taxonomy of these efforts. Bryan's (1969) similar classification distinguishes three broad categories. In the first, ad-lib CAI, the student is given access to a computer (including one or more languages and perhaps a library of routines), but he is in full control; his input is not controlled by the computer. LOGO, developed by Feurzeig and Papert (1968), is one of the interesting efforts of the ad-lib kind. The second category is games and simulation, where the student has some initiative but is
constrained by the rules of the game or the logic of the simulation. The Socratic system (Swets and Feurzeig, 1965) is a program where all possible branches in a huge tree of alternatives (with possible loops) must be specifically programmed. That tree refers to an example of some diagnostic process (medical or otherwise) which the student must perform. The third category in Bryan's taxonomy is called controlled learning and implies detailed anticipation and branching in a Crowderian sense. Drill-and-practice and, in general, classic efforts involve the construction of frames of questions with anticipated correct and wrong answers and perhaps keywords to be extracted from the student's answer. Sequencing is traditionally deterministic. We have called these systems "ad-hoc frame-oriented" (AFO) CAI systems. PLANIT, discussed by Feingold (1967), and ELIZA, described by Taylor (1968), are among the well-known best systems of this kind. We prefer not to mention any of the many poor examples.

If we examine traditional CAI tutoring systems, it is easy to detect some of their basic limitations. The student has little or no initiative; he cannot use natural language in his responses, and systems usually look fairly rigid to him. The teacher has a considerable burden in the preparation of questions, answers, keywords, and branching. From a systems point of view, the system controls the student but is in turn tightly ad-hoc programmed by the teacher; the
system has no real initiative or decision power of its own; and, of course, it has no real "knowledge."

In most CAI systems of the AFO type the computer does little more (if any) than what a programmed textbook can do, and one may wonder why the machine is used at all. Some systems allow some degree of processing of unanticipated answers, time can be measured, and statistics are collected in most cases, but not much more.

II.3 LIBRO: An Example of an Advanced AFO CAI System

In this Subsection we present a brief discussion of LIBRO (Carbonell and Klatt, 1968), an experimental CAI system which was designed as an attempt to explore some of the ultimate limitations of AFO systems. In a sense it is a logical antecedent of SCHOLAR; in another sense, it serves to illustrate some of the inherent characteristics and limitations of AFO systems, and can be used as a yardstick to compare ISO CAI with AFO CAI. In any case, LIBRO is not a trivial program though it is much less sophisticated than SCHOLAR. The decision mechanisms are quite complex; contrary to most AFO systems, LIBRO represents an acceptable degree of utilization of the computer power, since it is far from being a computerized programmed book. All the above considerations justify the presentation here of a brief de-

scription of LIBRO.
Two versions of LIBRO were implemented in BBN-LISP on the XDS-940 time-sharing computer. Both dealt with aspects of syntax and semantics of English taught as a second language to Spanish-speaking students. The teaching version of LIBRO is illustrated by its overall block diagram in Fig. II.3. This version, intended for drill-and-practice exercises, is more conventional and less interesting from the point of view of representing innovation than the testing version on which we will concentrate in the remaining of this Subsection.

In its testing version LIBRO can be considered as an experimental CAI system designed for preparing, conducting, and analyzing on-line oral-type examinations of college students. As said above, the specific application is testing Spanish-speaking students in aspects of English syntax and semantics.

In the European school, examinations generally include an oral part. It is not so much the fact of being oral that concerns us now, but the possibilities and characteristics of such examination. The examiner starts the examination with only a vague idea of the actual questions he is going to ask. The examination has no fixed length, neither in time nor in subject matter, but some rough limits in overall duration exist, as well as some minimum in the variety of topics covered. The exam is usually short if the result is a clear-cut success or failure. In intermediate cases the duration may be longer. The examiner usually tries to optimize the
Fig. II.3 Block-Diagram of Teaching Exercises in LIBRO
discriminatory power of his questions but tries to retain a measure of unpredictability so that different exams are really different in content and cannot be anticipated in detail by the students. To avoid a student's making repetitive mistakes, the examiner tries to mix some teaching with his testing. Particularly towards the end of the examination, the examiner asks himself: Will this question help me in determining the student's level? Should I dismiss the student right away, considering the information I have about him as sufficient, or shall I try another question?

In contrast to SCHOLAR, LIBRO still has conventional frames of questions (we call them blocks) as its data base. BLKINPUT, a conversational program, facilitates the teacher's task of entering the questions. In the fragmented protocol illustrated in Fig. II.4, the question is simply "either" because it is a transformation of an earlier answer. Apart from the question and one or more correct answers (these are necessary), the teacher can, at his option, enter anticipated wrong answers and either wanted or unwanted keywords and keyword groups. (If he does not exercise these options, errors and corresponding penalties and messages will automatically be handled by the executive program during the examination.)

A fragmented protocol taken during an examination is shown in Fig. II.5(a,b). Here, Roman numerals correspond to blocks of questions and Arabic numerals to individual
IF NO MORE QUESTIONS, TYPE: DONE - OTHERWISE, TYPE: MORE
*MORE*

++++ QUESTION 2.
*EITHER*

NUMBER OF CORRECT ANSWERS =
*2*

LIST OF CORRECT ANSWERS AND COMMENTS:

ANSWER =
*JOHN DIDN'T PLAY AND MARY DIDN'T EITHER.*

COMMENT =
*NIL*

ANSWER =
*JOHN DID NOT PLAY AND MARY DID NOT EITHER.*

COMMENT =
*NIL*

PROBABILITY OF ASKING FOR ALTERNATIVES IN PERCENT:
*0*

NUMBER OF PREDICTABLE WRONG ANSWERS:
*0*

NUMBER OF KEYWORD GROUPS AND KEYWORDS TO BE CONSIDERED:
*1*

KEYWORD LIST, KEYWORD GROUPS FIRST.

KEYWORD:
*DIDN'T DID NOT*

COMMENT =
*THIS IS CORRECT ENGLISH. USUALLY, HOWEVER, WE DO NOT COMBINE
CONTRACTED AND NON-ContractED FORMS IN THE SAME SENTENCE.*

PENALTY:
*0*

FLAG:
*NIL*

Fig. II.4 Teacher-Interaction Protocol in LIBRO
HERE IS THE FIRST SET OF QUESTIONS, MISS SILVA.

1) SUBSTITUTE THE FOLLOWING WORDS IN THE STATEMENTS AND MAKE THE NECESSARY CHANGES. USE EITHER AND TOO IN ALL SENTENCES. TYPE COMPLETE SENTENCES FOR YOUR ANSWERS AND FOLLOW THE PATTERN. THE EXAMPLE YOU ARE TO START WITH IS: JOHN DIDN'T SING AND MARY DIDN'T EITHER. YOU WILL THEN BE GIVEN TOO --- YOU ARE TO TYPE: JOHN SANG AND MARY DID TOO.

1) PLAYED

* WELL, HAVE YOU MADE UP YOUR MIND?
* JOHN PLAYED AND MARY PLAYED TOO.*

YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT. HAVEN'T YOU INCORRECTLY USED "PLAYED" MISS SILVA? HOWEVER, THE USE OF "TOO" IN YOUR ANSWER IS O. K. I'LL GIVE YOU ANOTHER CHANCE.

* JOHN PLAYED AND MARY DOES TOO.*

CHECK THE TENSE OF THE MAIN VERB. ANSWER AGAIN, PLEASE

* JOHN PLAYED AND MARY DID TOO*

MISSING PUNCTUATION.
I'LL GIVE YOU ANOTHER CHANCE.

* JOHN PLAYED AND MARY DID TOO.*

FINE.

2) EITHER

* JOHN DIDN'T PLAY AND MARY DIDN'T EITHER.*

CORRECT.

Fig. II.5 (a) Student-Interaction Protocol in LIBRO, During an Examination.
3) REMEMBERS ME

*John remembers me and Mary did either.*

That's not right. Are you sure that it is correct to use "did" and "either" Miss Silva? The correct answer is: John remembers me and Mary does too.

4) DIDN'T FORGET ME

*John didn't forget me and Mary did not either.*

You have combined contracted and non-contracted forms in the same sentence. The correct answer is: John didn't forget me and Mary didn't either.

5) SAW ME

*You are taking too much time...*

*John saw me and too did Mary.*

Wrong. Your answer is poorly constructed.

The correct answer is: John saw me and Mary did too.

II) PLACE THE ADVERB IN PARENTHESES IN ITS CORRECT POSITION IN THE SENTENCE. TYPE COMPLETE SENTENCES.

******************************************************************************

Your examination is over. You have passed with a "C". Among the topics discussed during the examination, the following ones should be studied further by you: either and too, possessive pronouns, idiomatic expressions with "to take". It has been a pleasure to work with you, Miss Silva.

******************************************************************************

Fig. II.5 (b) Student-Interaction Protocol in LIBRO, During an Examination. (cont.)
questions. The program, which is capable of prompting the student, analyzes his or her response either using specific data (keywords, etc.) entered by the teacher or resorting to matching routines. Some of the comments have been ad-hoc entered by the teacher, but most are standard ones. (Teachers like to rely on the latter to alleviate their task.) For example, the messages pointing out specific wrong words, punctuation errors, or construction errors are standard. There is more than one per situation, and they are randomly selected. Another probabilistic decision is that of giving the student another chance after an error.

Selection of blocks of questions is done probabilistically at two levels, on the basis of weights pre-assigned to topics and to blocks within a topic. Through a system of flags, detected errors can increase specific weights and therefore augment the probabilities of corresponding topics being called. The duration of the exam is not fixed. It terminates (within certain time constraints) as soon as enough consistency is obtained in the student's performance. Then a judgment based on an overall weighted performance is made (different exercises are assigned different weights). That judgment is based not only on the final outcome of the questions but also on unsuccessful trials, speed of response, and comprehension of instructions. At the end of the examination, LIBRO gives the student a letter grade and recommends further study on detected weak topics (see Fig. II.5).
The internal structure of LIBRO in its interaction with the student is presented in Figs. II.6 and II.7. There, Fig. II.6 shows a block diagram of the main program, GIVEXAM, which, for the presentation of each block calls another procedure called BLKUSE. Fig. II.7 is a block diagram of BLKUSE.

In studying LIBRO and comparing it to SCHOLAR one must bear in mind several points. In spite of the attractive protocol of Fig. II.5, LIBRO does not generate its own questions, merely retrieves them from storage together with expected correct answers, expected incorrect answers, keywords, keyword groups, and branching flags. LIBRO is incapable of answering student's questions though a fairly rigid question-answering system could be added (rigid in the sense of requiring a high degree of anticipation). It cannot handle context continuity by itself (it follows specific sequences or randomizes among them). Preparing each new question is as large a task for the teacher as preparing the first one. Similar questions on the same concept are completely independent and data size grows linearly with the number of questions.

Still LIBRO displays an ability for decision making which is higher than that of practically all other AFO programs we know. But LIBRO's decisions are like those of the executive who does not understand the technical nature of the work his company does, and cannot propose new alternatives and solutions but merely selects from those proposed to him.
Fig. II.6 Block-Diagram of the Examination Version of LIBRO
Fig. II.7 Block-Diagram of BLKUSE, the Block-Handling Program in LIBRO.
On the other hand, SCHOLAR is a much more knowledgeable decision-maker, which in a certain sense "understands" both the form and content of what is manipulated, can propose alternatives, and dialogue with the staff.

II.4 Better Use of the Computer Capabilities in CAI

What directions should research take to allow some drastic improvement on CAI? Some investigators, like Simmons and Silberman (1967), have concentrated on the problem of natural language processing as a means to handle unanticipated answers. This focusing on natural language has masked some other real problems, such as adhering to traditional frames and anticipated branching. We think that a clue to the basic nature of other problems can be found in a close examination of Figs. II.1 and II.2 above. They stress the decision and control aspects of man-computer interaction and suggest that the computer should be able to reach decisions on its own. They also suggest that control of the teacher-student interactions could be shared in a mixed-initiative discourse.

Figs. II.1 and II.2 emphasize that man-computer interaction is basically a communication between information structures, including their computational capabilities (in a dynamic sense of the word information). This leads to a close examination of the data bases and information-handling capabilities of CAI programs; to use a single word, we would like to give these programs some "knowledge" in their
respective contexts.

Let us continue the discussion of what other investigators have done or suggested to improve CAI. As we said above, Simmons and Silberman's effort is an attempt to capitalize on their natural language research work. Their work appears considerably less imaginative in other aspects: they continue to use frames and detailed pre-specification by the teacher of correct answers and actions. Recently (Simmons, 1970b), these investigators are, however, taking a more flexible point of view, though still highly focused on natural-language comprehension.

Currently at M.I.T., Fenichel et al. (1970) are using a program called TEACH to teach elementary programming. According to their report, this effort seems rather conventional from a theoretical point of view since it is based on detailed scripts and there is very little control over what the student does beyond checking for syntactic errors; the student cannot ask questions. The reason for referring here to this work is that the authors commit themselves in their paper to launch in the near future an attack on the problem of error semantics and corresponding remedial actions; however, they give no indication of what techniques will be used.
At the Sloan School (M.I.T.), Rockart et al. (1970) have developed a system which attempts to use a semantic representation of elements of accounting. It is capable of several modes of operations, including question-answering and testing, but no real mixed-initiative mode exists. It is considerably less ambitious than SCHOLAR in several respects: it is limited in its use of natural language on input, the analysis being based exclusively on keywords; this program stores English text for definitions and other purposes; it uses a detailed agenda, and it is not generative but presents exercises entered as such by the author. The system makes an interesting use of the semantic representation to find relations, via intersections à la Quillian, between two concepts in the data base, like "capital" and "profit." Shortest paths are found first; more intricate relations are added on request.

Uhr and his associates (Uhr, 1965) were perhaps the first to use the word "generative" for a system that could originate some of its questions. Their early attempts were rather trivial like generating random numbers to drill and practice in elementary arithmetic operations. Children using LOGO (Feurzeig, 1969) frequently create such programs. Uhr's main contribution is to have recognized that the generative approach deserved further study and could be applied to more complex cases.
Uttal et al. (1969) designed a generative system for teaching analytic geometry, where the generation is essentially limited to random values (including zero) of the coefficients of quadratic equations. However, in this system questions must be of given types entered in detail by the teacher. There is no information structure, since "the idea of generation hinges completely upon . . . algorithmic manipulation." And later: " . . . we must exclude verbally-oriented subjects as possible items for a generative curriculum." SCHOLAR disproves these conclusions.

Very recently, Wexler (1970), working with Uhr, completed the development of an interesting system which in certain respects is closely related to our own effort in developing SCHOLAR. The main area of application used by Wexler has also been geography of a certain area. He also utilizes information nets. One of Wexler's difficulties may have been, in our judgment, the language (Extended Algol) and computer system he utilized which may have been too rigid for his task. Wexler defines questions, and generally student-computer interactions, by means of what he calls skeletons, which are functional frames with arguments which can be randomly generated within prescribed sets of alternatives. His utilization of skeletons is a strategy that, in our opinion, goes only halfway towards full generative capabilities that SCHOLAR has. Skeletons resemble the special functional facilities which SCHOLAR is designed to have, like COMPARE
or CONJUGATE - see below. The same approach as in his skeletons is applied by Wexler to the generation of questions which are fixed strings with parameters which are replaced by word strings before the student is asked the question. Generally, skeletons are used to compute whether or not the student answer is correct and to answer related questions by the student. Wexler's system has rather complete author facilities; these are necessary for him because of his still heavy reliance on the teacher for entering specific skeletons and question types. An interesting feature of his system is the HELP mode in which after an incorrect answer, the program performs what amounts to tracing the derivation of a correct answer within a skeleton, until the student, by typing "AHA" indicates he has seen where he was wrong. Finally, let us say that, as far as we know Wexler's system has no means for handling relevancy and maintaining continuity of contexts and subcontexts. They must be performed by means of a rather detailed agenda.

II.5 Some Relevant Artificial Intelligence Questions

The goal of artificial intelligence research has been defined in the following way (Feigenbaum and Feldman, 1963): "to construct computer programs which exhibit behavior that we call 'intelligent behavior' when we observe it in human beings." The development of SCHOLAR is, to a large extent, an effort in this direction, and can be legitimately con-
sidered to be in the field of artificial intelligence. We are referring now to some SCHOLAR's capabilities like answering questions not specifically anticipated, constructing questions on given topics, and generally carrying on a mixed-initiative contextual dialogue with a human in a rather free and comfortable subset of English.

It would be difficult to detail all possible ways in which artificial-intelligence research has influenced the development of SCHOLAR. Suffice it to consider here the basic areas of research having a direct connection with particular portions of our work, and, on the other hand, some important attitudes and points of view pervading the development of SCHOLAR in general.

In terms of areas within artificial intelligence, the most important for us is that related to knowledge structures, which are the essential basis for the ISO approach. In this sense, work on semantic information structures is highly relevant, and Minsky's collection (Minsky, 1968) is an important reference. But we have been specially influenced by the work of Quillian on semantic networks, through both his writings (Quillian, 1966 and 1969) and invaluable personal discussions. It became clear early in our research that some form of a semantic network provided the kind of data base capable of supporting an ISO CAI system with the general characteristics that SCHOLAR now exhibits. Our network has
characteristics different from Quillian's because of the rather different areas of application. But by and large, our data structure is largely inspired in Quillian's work.

The second area we would like to mention is that of natural language communication with computers. Here the work of investigators like Bobrow (1964), Quillian again (1969), and Simmons (1970) has been of special interest to us. These investigators have adopted an approach in which both syntax and semantics play an important role in language comprehension. They have tended to emphasize (correctly, we believe) the semantic aspects, i.e., what questions and other statements mean rather than how they are structured. Considering semantics as an appendix to syntax is, we believe, basically incorrect; unfortunately, this widely-held point of view has led to the development of dozens of parsers and other syntax-oriented programs with limited practical consequences in general. This is, we believe, another area in which too much attention has been paid to form and too little to content (Minsky, 1970).

The third area of artificial intelligence which directly relates to our work is that of question-answering systems. Question-answering systems have been investigated for a number of years. Interesting classical experimental systems are those by Green et al. (1961), and Raphael (1964). More recently, the work of Kellogg (1968) is specially worth men-
tional since his is a rather complete system with good
data-base building facilities. It also has fairly interest-
ing inferential capabilities (like comparing, counting, find-
ing the largest element, etc.) used in question answering.

A very comprehensive review of natural-language question-
answering systems has been made by Simmons (1970a), though
the emphasis is probably more on natural-language analysis
than on question answering. With the same approach, Schwarcz
et al. (1970) recently presented the last version of their
series of Protosynthex systems for deductive question-answer-
ing using natural language. Some of the comments they make
in this interesting paper are worth mentioning in relation
to our own work on SCHOLAR.

They say first that "none of these systems [preceding
theirs] is capable of expressing answers to retrieval re-
quests in a flexible subset of English." They further say
that some systems that return their output in a subset of
English do so "through format matching and insertion rather
than through linguistically motivated semantic analysis and
generation procedures." Observe that SCHOLAR can express
answers in a flexible subset of English, and does not use
format insertion, but semantic retrieval and generation pro-
cedures.

Schwarcz and his associates further express in their
conclusions that: "Another change that would be required to
Protosynthex III into a question-answering system of practical utility would be the introduction of answering operators such as count, list, name, and yes-no - and to allow some specification of the number of answers desired for the question (one, five, several, all, etc.)." The reader will see below, in Sections III and IV of the present work, that these problems have been generally taken into account in SCHOLAR, and most of them solved.

Finally, let us say that there is one new area of artificial intelligence in which we have found no antecedent for SCHOLAR. This is question generation and generally contextual mixed-initiative conversation. In this respect, SCHOLAR seems to be the first system of its kind.

Let us turn our attention now to some points of view currently held by some investigators in artificial intelligence. Some of these have had a strong influence on our approach to the development of SCHOLAR. They largely represent some points of view expressed by Minsky and the Artificial Intelligence Group at Project MAC, M.I.T. A good source in this respect is the Introduction by Minsky to the book on Semantic Information Networks edited by him (Minsky, 1968).

About generality and knowledge, Minsky says that "the route toward generality must lie partly in more versatile organization of the knowledge-handling parts of the program's
administration, and partly in the representation of more and better knowledge." Our development of ISO versus AFO CAI systems reflects, in part, that approach. Later on in the same article Minsky says: "I see no reason to believe that intelligence can exist apart from a highly organized body of knowledge, models, and processes." And still later: "The problem-solving abilities of a highly intelligent person lies in part in his superior heuristics for managing his knowledge structure and partly in the structure itself; these are probably somewhat inseparable." We are following this path when we emphasize the importance of semantic information structures for CAI, and the proper use of techniques for handling them.

Many investigators in early stages of artificial intelligence were very concerned about the learning capability of their programs. Some people, especially computer scientists only peripherally connected to artificial intelligence, still have that point of view. We have been asked repeated times: "How does SCHOLAR learn?" as if this were a sine-qua-non characteristic. Our standard response to that question is "SCHOLAR learns what is told." As Minsky puts it, "to make a machine with intelligence is not necessarily to make a machine that learns to be intelligent." And later: ". . . in our present state it will be more productive to try to understand how people understand so well what they are told than to focus exclusively on what they discover for themselves."
Finally, the following quote from the same source strictly represents our point of view in one aspect of the development of SCHOLAR: "But we have agreed to set aside the problem of acquiring knowledge [by a program] till we better understand how to represent and use it." In SCHOLAR we have postponed worrying about creation of the data base; we assume that it has been entered, that it exists. Our concern has been how to use and represent knowledge.

The problem of complexity represents another artificial-intelligence dimension where a substantial evolution has taken place. In early programs the approach was to define algorithms as simple as possible; the solution with the minimum number of rules and minimum amount of information was the most satisfactory one. This is still true, but investigators have come to the conclusion that in order to obtain complex behavior, programs may become complex, far from simple sequences of instruction. As Minsky puts it:..."the programmer will usually be unable to predict in advance all the details of ... [the components] interactions. For that, after all, is why he needs the computer."

Finally, let us conclude this section by saying a word on formality. It is usually intellectually pleasing to find algorithms with rules and schemata capable of describing complex behavior. But we must bear in mind that a working program is often as good a description as a formal mathematical or logical
one. And, in many instances, an information-processing characteriza-
tion is the only one possible. In SCHOLAR we have fol-
lowed this approach. Instead of trying to develop a priori a 
theory of teaching, or learning, in a formal way, we have con-
structed a program that reasonably performs some conversation 
and teaching functions so far considered the privilege of human 
beings. We think that with the selected approach we have learned 
at least as much as with a more formal one.
III. TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

In this Section we present some relevant technical problems in CAI, their role in the development of ISO systems in general, and of SCHOLAR in particular.

III.1. Some Pedagogical Considerations

We will here discuss a series of questions that are of fundamental importance for the development of the better CAI systems we claim feasible and deserving immediate attention.

The first question is basically related to the different philosophy in ISO CAI versus the traditional AFO CAI. In the latter, the computer is given as its data base items that it must manipulate literally, with no latitude to draw inferences and generalizations. The computer in AFO CAI systems has no "knowledge"; it merely regurgitates text, questions and answers that have been specifically entered in advance. It is unwise and even unfair to pretend that, under those conditions, the computer could even approximate the behavior of a human teacher. In his teaching process a human teacher is not reciting specific questions, but he is utilizing and processing knowledge he has stored in the form of a semantic information structure; experimental evidence (Collins and Quillian, 1969) indicates that this information structure is a semantic network very much like that utilized in SCHOLAR. The important point is that when asking a question or responding to one put to him by a student, the teacher probes his own information storage and perhaps processes the result of that search to find a meaningful solution.
Furthermore, this exploration requires the utilization of information not only about the specified subject on hand, but also about more general knowledge. For instance, asking a question about the average temperature in Montevideo and processing the corresponding student answer may require knowing that temperatures are measured in degrees Fahrenheit, and that nowhere on earth is the average temperature above, say, 120 degrees Fahrenheit. Similarly, when we say that Brazil is larger than Argentina, we have the understanding that we are comparing the two countries in terms of their areas.

The development of SCHOLAR is a step in what we claim is the right direction, i.e. CAI programs that know what they are talking about, the same way human teachers do. This necessary preoccupation with properly representing and intelligently utilizing knowledge has led us to the use of a semantic network for the data base (see II.2) and generally, an artificial intelligence approach in the program.

The second point we want to make is related to some arguments presented above to support the first. Though we do not advocate the construction now of formal models of teachers' behavior (and SCHOLAR is not a modeling effort) we would like to argue that CAI can benefit from a close look at human teachers. Clearly, as we said above, they do not act on the basis of stored questions, answers, etc., but on knowledge, in the form of facts, concepts, and procedures. Also, human teachers are not pre-programmed to the ultimate detail, as is,
for example, the Socratic system (Swets and Feurzeig, 1965). They rather have general procedural guidelines and criteria which depend on their information structures and also on recent events (such as a student's response). Following Minsky (1965) we would like to argue against the notion that, in the absence of some rational criterion for decision, teachers decide because of their own "volition". As Minsky says, "...people are incapable of explaining how it (free will or volition) differs from stochastic caprice..." On the other hand, we do not have an understanding so complete as to explain all their acts on a rational, deterministic basis. In designing a program in the domain of artificial intelligence, we still often want to preserve the richness of variety of human decisions, even if we cannot or should not program all of them in their ultimate details. For these reasons, we have chosen, when no better decision rationale or heuristic is available, to make some decisions on a constrained weighted random basis (Carbonell, 1969b).

After writing the above paragraph, we have found that Ashley (1970) is currently arguing in much the same way. He says: "In arriving at a decision, human judgment first should prevail; then chance should be used as the necessary supplement to bring the decision to uniqueness...Modern methods of decision-making use both, chance and human judgment. From this
point of view the use of chance is in no way a 'denial of rationality'. On the contrary, chance is the intelligent man's method of selection when he knows that the quantity of information available to him as selector is less than the quantity of selecting demanded of him." (end of quote)

In SCHOLAR, this applies, for example, to the selection of questions within a given context. Our practical justification for this strategy is to follow our own experience with LIBRO (see Section II.4); the observable result is that, by doing so, the program's behavior looks richer and "more intelligent" than it does when questions are consistently selected by some deterministic (but perhaps equally arbitrary) criterion like ordering within the data base, or always presenting less weighted questions last (maybe then some topics would never be touched). On the other hand, it is clear that, this strategy not being a fundamental one, it could be very easily replaced by another, due to the modular structure of SCHOLAR.

A third point to discuss here is the importance of natural-language communication between man and computer. We think this is an important goal, and we have made a substantial effort to achieve dialogues in a rather large and comfortable subset of English. The results have been surprisingly good, as protocols illustrate. In spite of this, we consider that the importance of natural-language communication for CAI has been somewhat exaggerated. This is especially so in the case of researchers who have neglected other aspects to concentrate on natural
language understanding. A case in point is the work already discussed by Simmons, Schwartz, and their associates (Schwartz et al., 1970). In spite of using a semantic information storage (in the form of triples) they use it only for processing student's answers and, very recently, for generating true-false questions (Simmons, 1970b); other types of program questions, and answering questions from the student, have been left aside. Their efforts seem to be intermediate between AFO and ISO systems, with limited generative capabilities and no provision for mixed initiative. On the other hand, they can process ambiguous sentences, anaphoric references, etc. It seems to us that a more balanced assignment of priorities is shown by SCHOLAR.

A fourth point to discuss refers to the subject, much talked about in CAI, concerning the processing of unanticipated answers and the associated and frequently mentioned need to construct a model of the student. This will make it possible, it is argued, to process his errors, study his misconceptions, and take some remedial action about them (Taylor, 1967). But that modeling task is not easy, and the great difficulty of constructing from scratch a model of each student has been a major stumbling block for many investigators in the area of computers and education.
We think that this difficulty can be surmounted by avoiding the incremental building of a model with starting point zero. Our approach is different: having the semantic network as an information structure on the subject being dealt with, it seems natural to consider it as an ideal input-output model of a student, or more precisely, an input-output model of the ideal student. It is so to the extent that the semantic network, when interrogated, would give the same answers (namely, the correct ones) as a "perfect" student would. In other words, we are not claiming that a perfect student has his knowledge organized strictly the way the semantic network is, though work by Collins and Quillian (1969) suggests that the discrepancy may be small; we simply claim that both would produce, when interrogated, essentially the same output.

What about other students, those that may give some erroneous answers? We will now make the plausible working hypothesis that we can still use the ideal model as a departing point, since, except in the most serious cases, errors in answers will be due to minor deviations from an ideal structure. Minor deviations in an information structure can produce quite noticeable differences in output. On the other hand, any substantial, massive deviations, apart from having a low likelihood in occurrence, would have a generalized and devastating effect upon output. A possible working assumption (yet to be tested) is then that a student's input-output
behavior can be accounted for by introducing small perturbations in the semantic network, these perturbations being the means of modeling the student's errors.

This approach makes the modeling of a student much easier. We give him the benefit of the doubt and assume that he will be correct until proven wrong. The practical advantage is considerable, since we start with a complete model (the "ideal" structure) and hopefully, if the above working hypothesis is correct, this will be closer to a model of a real student than starting from zero. From a practical point of view, we need to be much less worried about modeling with our approach than with the classic and rather unsuccessful "building-from-scratch" approach. This is so because we admit deviations to exist only when errors (perturbations) have been detected and not yet corrected. If a correct answer is received, no modification is made on the model.

Before going into the next question, we must say that in our work on SCHOLAR so far we have not yet developed to their fullest extent the modeling diagnostic capabilities, since in a balance of priorities, the top one was to develop a working system for demonstration purposes.

Let us conclude this Section on pedagogical considerations by stating that we now see our work more as the development of an environment for further research and development than as the implementations of SCHOLAR as a final product.

Building a system that could by example prove the feasibility
and demonstrate the basic capabilities of ISO CAI systems was needed. We have successfully completed that stage and this document reports on that. But it must also be considered as a progress report on a more extended task that the development of SCHOLAR now makes possible. We are referring to the investigation of some important pedagogical questions. SCHOLAR not only permits this investigation but also motivates it, since these questions are to a large extent uncovered by work like the present one. We are referring here to problems like the following: What is an effective taxonomy of question types, from both a semantic and a syntactic point of view? What classification of errors should be utilized, if it is to apply regardless of the specific subject matter? What different efficient techniques can possibly be defined and used for diagnostic and remedial purposes?

An executive program of the SCHOLAR type needs to have the answers to the above questions in a content-free way. The program should be sensitive to the differences between different areas of application only through its use of different semantic networks and the adjustment of some system parameters.

The human teacher, neither in his personal teaching nor in his preparation of conventional CAI frames, is ever confronted with the questions presented above, since he is always aware of the subject matter in the most specific form.
But a program like SCHOLAR needs answers for these questions. And we would further like to claim that a better understanding in this respect could eventually benefit education in general, not only computerized efforts.

Formulating and answering questions like those above is an important step forward for education, if we ever want to have an applied science of it. The consideration of these questions will perhaps be more significant than the concepts brought to light by the advent, decades ago, of programmed instruction (branching, for instance, is one of them). The precise thinking and the generality required by ISO CAI with its information-processing formulation will translate, we hope, into our better understanding of the processes of teaching, learning, and personal verbal communication.

III.2 Semantic Networks

We will here discuss semantic networks and their general characteristics. A more precise description of the specific characteristics of the semantic network utilized by SCHOLAR will be presented in Section IV.3 below. As indicated in Section II.5, semantic networks stem from the pioneering work of Quillian (1966) in natural-language comprehension.

Semantics is the science of meaning. In linguistics, semantics is concerned with the deep structure of sentences (Chomsky, 1963 and 1965), i.e., with what the words and their
modifiers stand for, and how different words affect each other at that level; on the other hand, the way they are organized sequentially within a sentence is in the domain of syntax. A semantic information structure is an organization of units of information in terms of their meaning and mutual relationships. When each unit in the set may refer to other units within the set, which in turn refer to other units in the set, and so on, with the possibility of loops and cross-references, we have a semantic information network.

Figure I.2 was presented in Section I as a pictorial representation of a portion of the semantic network on geography of South America. Figure I.3 then represented a fragment of the unit corresponding to "Argentina" plus the unit corresponding to "latitude", both taken from the same semantic network.

Units are the basic components of semantic networks, and may be thought of as pieces of information to which we usually associate a name. However, there is no one-to-one correspondence between units and names, since some units have no single word as a name (like the concept common to the adjectives political, economical, social, cultural), and some have several (synonyms). Each unit in the semantic information network is essentially composed of semantic information about the unit, in the form of a set of properties. In SCHOLAR, the first element of each property is the name of the property (attribute),
the second element is a set of tags used by the executive pro-
gram, and the rest is the value of the property. A value can
either be a set of properties or a pointer to a unit (or a
set of units) modified by other properties. This allows mul-
tiple embedding (indeed to an indefinite depth). In Figures
I.2 and I.3, already presented, properties are delimited by
sets of parentheses. Special symbols, like $L$, are used to
indicate that what follows is a list of pointers to other
units.

Through its different properties and their constituents
(attributes and values), each unit points to other units;
"Argentina" points to "latitude" since the latter is the
attribute of a property of the former. The entry "latitude"
in "Argentina" points to all the information about latitude,
and similarly, having "Buenos Aires" as the value of the
property "capital" of Argentina makes Argentina point to all
the properties of Buenos Aires, its capital. This avoids un-
necessary repetitions since practically all information is
stored only once. Another way of seeing this is to say that
the nodes in the computer representation of the information
structure are of two kinds, which, following Quillian (1966),
we will label type nodes and token nodes.

In our case, a type node is a unit pointing to an infor-
mational, multi-level list. Words referring to other nodes
in the body of the unit are token nodes; each one represents
a pointer to the corresponding type node, (i.e., the unit with that word as a name). By using type and token nodes, information is not unnecessarily duplicated, since it is stored only once, at the type node. Of course, this type of information structure is recursive and leads to circularities which do not represent an important difficulty and are not necessarily undesirable per se.

Recently, Quillian (1969) has abandoned the type-token distinction by making his whole semantic network a net of pointers, with no atoms in it, though these can still be accessed from the network. For reasons of expediency on input-output, we have maintained the type-token distinction, and hence our direct reference through names in the semantic network of SCHOLAR.

The transference of properties described above is made specially evident in the case of the properties which we have labelled superc (for superconcept) and superp (for superpart). The superconcept of a unit is another unit of which the given unit is a particular instance; the superpart of a unit is another unit of which the given unit is a part. (Note that Quillian uses the word "superset" for what we have called superconcept.) Properties of the superconcept are directly transferred to the unit, unless specifically modified in it. When we say that a battleship is a warship and that a warship is a ship, all properties of warship, and through it of ship,
apply to battleship. In the case of Argentina, the superconcept is country and the superpart is South America; the latter allows some inferences with respect to values of properties like area, temperature range, population, language, etc.

Units connected as described above form a complex network of facts, concepts, and sometimes procedures; the latter have (for the first time, we believe) been mixed in SCHOLAR with descriptive information. They are either function calls or LISP lambda-expressions, and are only distinguishable through a special flag. An example of a procedure within the information structure is that for inferring the climate of a place given certain local conditions like latitude, altitude, etc. In other words, if the climate of a place is not given factually (in terms of temperature, precipitation, etc.), it can be inferred with good probability of success knowing the latitude, altitude, etc. A detailed description of the characteristics of the network used by SCHOLAR will be presented in Subsection IV.2.

Storing information in a semantic network has distinct advantages for CAI and for other interactive man-computer systems generally. This assertion is based on studies by Collins and Quillian (1969), who have found positive evidence that human memory has the same kind of hierarchical structure that Quillian's semantic network (Quillian, 1966) has. For
these studies, experiments were performed in which subjects were forced to respond true or false to statements flashed to them. Reaction times were measured for sentences like:

(a) A canary is yellow.
(b) A canary can fly.
(c) A canary has a heart.

Measured reaction times consistently increased from (a) to (c). We observe that yellow is a specific property of canaries, the ability to fly is a property of "bird", the superconcept of canary, and having a heart is a property of "animal", the superconcept of bird. Therefore, the notion of semantic distance (in the sense to be precisely defined in Section III.3) accounts for these experiments on reaction time. Other experiments and observations reinforce this impression. The conclusion is that human symbolic memory seems to have an organization in the form of a semantic network. Assuming that this is true, we further conclude that by using such an organization in a computer system, both the man and the machine will be working with the same kind of information structures. For an information retrieval system the advantage of this kind of compatibility is that the organization in the computer provides retrievability according to the dimensions that the users consider relevant. For a computer-assisted instruction system, the advantage lies in the fact that the type of organization of the knowledge to be learned by the student is not far from that of the information stored in the computer.
Finally, let us say that some investigators like Simmons and his associates have constructed a semantic network on the basis of nested triples. In this case the elementary units are these triples instead of our more complex units. We consider that storage in terms of triples may be an attempt somehow artificial to structure and simplify the encoding of knowledge about something. From a more practical point of view the efficiency of coding in terms of triples seems to be more sensitive to the size of the data base than that of more complex units, and Schwartz, Burgess, and Simmons (1970) who use triples admit that it would be to their advantage to use larger units: "Generalized [more] direct lookup would, of course, take longer for each subgoal, but this increase ought to be more than compensated for by the decrease in the number of subgoals."

On the other hand, triples constitute a very convenient formalism to query the data base (see Section III.5 below). Therefore, in SCHOLAR we have adopted a hybrid solution: the internal storage is performed in terms of rather complex units but the retrieval procedures operate on the basis of object-attribute-value triples. Thus, in SCHOLAR, triples are really used as a sort of intermediate language acting between the semantic network as the internal representation and a subset of English as the external language for communicating with the student.
III.3 Relevancy and Context.

If we are going to let a program like SCHOLAR carry on its own a mixed-initiative dialogue with a student with no anticipation of the details of that dialogue, we must give such a program the capability to operationally deal with the concepts of relevancy and context. Quillian (1969) has appropriately said that in a semantic network the meaning of a word, phrase, sentence, or event is the whole network as seen through it. The same author, in his intersection strategy while trying to relate two or more concepts, utilizes a breadth-first search carried on to a prescribed depth from each starting mode. He has not however, elaborated much on this notion of depth, which is not for his application as central an issue as it is for ours. In our case, the notion of contextual relevancy is all important for maintaining continuity and meaningfulness in the dialogue, by asking contextually relevant questions, and by answering student's questions with relevant information and not everything that could possibly be said about the questioned matter. In this last respect, suppose the student asks, for example: What is Montevideo? Then we would like to say that it is a city, the capital of Uruguay, and perhaps give its population size, but not details like the average precipitation in Montevideo during the month of January.

We would like to have a metric to define the relevancy
of a property or fact in terms of a given concept. It turns out that it is easier to establish a metric for irrelevancy, which could be defined in terms of the distance in a graph-theoretic sense from one node to another in the semantic network. Then we can operationally say that all elements within a given distance of a node are within the context of that node. That maximum distance thus acts as a threshold of relevancy.

The graph-theoretic sense, however, does not seem to us to be refined enough to be capable of handling all necessary cases. For example, it would not discriminate between two equally deep properties, one subjectively important, the other less so. For example, the latitude of a city seems subjectively more important or relevant than its longitude. At the same time it seems natural to formally put those two properties in parallel, which implies the same formal depth. The solution to this apparent paradox can be obtained through tagging the properties in order to modify their relevancy (or irrelevancy) without changing their positions within a unit. Those tags must be assigned by the person constructing the semantic network (though some generalizations could be automated) and end up being an expression of his judgment on the relative importance of different items.

The "subjectivity" of the network evidenced by tagging is not an artifact. Two equally knowledgeable teachers would
create semantic networks with slightly different configurations when dealing with the same subject matter. This is not an exclusive characteristic of ISO CAI. In AFO CAI, the same two teachers would create two different sets of questions. And for that matter, they would have different behavior in a classroom.

With respect to contextual continuity and topic coverage, let us say here that an AFO system has a large degree of anticipation (LIBRO is a notable exception at the macrolevel between frames, but not within frames - see Section II.3). We can build an adjustable degree of overall anticipation at a macrolevel also in ISO systems using a more or less detailed agenda. Similarly, if desired, anticipation can be had in ISO systems at a more detailed level by utilizing strategies for material presentation and question generation which are more or less deterministic and sequential, with limited branching. We think that these macro- and micro-strategies limit the power of ISO CAI systems; therefore, in the current implementation of SCHOLAR we have chosen to limit anticipation to a minimum, with an agenda reduced to an overall context; SCHOLAR utilizes a push-down list of contexts which is dynamically built and erased by the program. Implementation details on this as well as on the specific handling of relevancy will be found, together with some further discussion, in Section IV.3 below.
III.4 Natural-Language Man-Computer Communication

We have criticized above what we consider to be an over-concern on the part of some investigators to obtain communication in almost free English. In SCHOLAR, however, we have been able to achieve a large degree of freedom, better than our early hopes, both in input and output (i.e., in comprehension and in generation). This has been obtained by taking a pragmatic approach which has proved very successful. First of all, and, instead of attempting to comprehend all classes of input, we have restricted student answers to SCHOLAR questions to certain types, namely numerical, atomic, and lists of atoms, though other elements like auxiliary words can also appear. The underlying reason has not been difficulties in parsing complete sentences, but judging their acceptability as answers. The above limitation has represented a trade-off, since as a consequence of it we had to be more demanding in the generation of questions in order to produce expected answers only of the types mentioned above. We now feel confident that an extension to simple complete sentences will be possible in a future version of SCHOLAR.

The case where we have allowed complete sentences with a large degree of freedom is that of questions asked by the student to SCHOLAR. For questions, a thorough study shows that a taxonomy can be established which facilitates their comprehension (see below). In any case, we have seen the dif-
ficulties of investigators who want to deal with all possible cases, even those very complex and unusual. We have decided to leave those special cases aside and concentrate on methods to solve most practical ones. When SCHOLAR cannot comprehend a student's question, it so tells the student, asking him to rephrase the question; if words unknown to SCHOLAR appear, it points them out. This is, after all, what a human would do.

This systems point of view has been a sound approach, we believe, specially taking into account limitations in development time and addressable memory. Woods augmented-state-transition parsing program (Woods 1969), a sophisticated English analyzer but still not capable of processing all possible English input, has been in development for as long as SCHOLAR, and takes as much computer memory as SCHOLAR does. Usage of components of this kind must be reserved for future expansions implemented in larger computer facilities.

A similar approach has guided the generation of English sentences by the computer. The strategy of using short sentences with no embedded clauses and limited repertoire of verbs (see Section IV.6 below) has proven to be both highly successful and a good decision from a systems point of view.

Finally, let us emphasize that most programs producing acceptably constructed English output do so by an elementary technique of replacement within formats, like Weizenbaum's
Eliza (Weizenbaum, 1966) does. SCHOLAR is more creative since all sentences and questions generated by it involve a complete processing, from a semantic internal representation into English.

III.5 Questions: Their Nature and a Possible Taxonomy

In a mixed-initiative ISO system like SCHOLAR questions are asked to and by the system. In neither case questions and answers are textually stored; in the former case, questions must be interpreted in terms of the data base; in the latter, questions must be generated from that data base. It is therefore necessary for us to have good understanding of what questions are and what types exist. Surprisingly, we have found very little in the literature that could be utilized in a practical sense to help us in this task.

There are clearly two levels in each question: the semantic aspect (i.e., what the question is about) and the syntactic aspect (i.e., how the question is formulated). For example the question "How many people are there in Brazil?" refers to the string "70000000 population Brazil" and tells us specifically that in this string we are questioning about the value 70000000. In terms of the form of the question, we see that it is a "how many" question which perhaps could be considered as a particular case of a "WH"(i.e., what, which, where, who, etc.) question. In Section IV.5 we will see how SCHOLAR can
"understand" such a question.

From the point of view of meaning there are clearly some questions which involve more or less complex computations besides retrieval, for example:

Compare the topographies of Argentina and Uruguay.*

In this case, two information retrieval requests should precede a comparison of the outputs to yield both similar and different characteristics. Another example can be:

What is the largest city in Argentina?

which, if that information is not specifically stored, implies obtaining the population of the different cities, selecting the largest, and returning the name of the corresponding city. More involved procedures can be called by certain questions, like:

Conjugate the Spanish verb estar in the third person, imperfect subjunctive.

Here, a whole procedure in the data base on Spanish conjugation would be called; this procedure would in turn retrieve the stem and ending, with corrections for irregularities.

Other special classes of questions are the "why" and

*Observe that this statement is syntactically imperative but we can semantically consider it as a question.
"how" questions. These usually involve semantically complex inferences and have not yet been properly handled by any program we know. SCHOLAR does not handle them either.

All questions involve information retrieval in one form or another. Most simple questions in a subject like geography are direct requests for retrieval of certain information. We will call object the item which is the object of the question, i.e. the concept being talked about. We will call attribute the aspect of that object we want to know about; value is the information obtained when the attribute is applied to the object.

There is a convenient correspondence between object-attribute-value triples and our semantic network. In a simple case, Montevideo (value) is the capital (attribute) of Uruguay (object). We see that here we can use the unit of information on Uruguay, in which we find a property named capital, with value Montevideo. It is clear that more complex objects, attributes, and values can exist. The value can clearly be a complex tree. The object can also be complex through recursion and/or conjunction or disjunction. The same applies to the attribute. We can thus have questions like:

What is the average Summer temperature in the capital of Uruguay?

Give me the latitude of Montevideo and the population size of Brazilia.
What are both the climate and the area of either Uruguay or Chile?

In the first example above, we cross the limits of a unit. We retrieve Montevideo as the capital of Uruguay in the unit "Uruguay", and then find the average Summer temperature in the unit "Montevideo". The formalism of using triples for questions also clarifies a final semantic taxonomy proposed by several authors (Rovner and Feldman, 1968, Johnson, 1967) in relation to storage schemes based on triples, though storage in terms of triples is not required in order to apply it. This taxonomy is based on what elements are being questioned in a triple. We can have different cases. Let us consider them applied to the simple string: Montevideo capital Uruguay. By questioning each one of the three elements, the following questions are originated:

What is the capital of Uruguay? (Value)

Montevideo is the ... of Uruguay. (Attribute)

Of what country is Montevideo the capital? (Object)

The most common case is the first of the three above. If both attribute and value are missing (and being questioned) we have:

Tell me about Uruguay.

If none of the three elements is missing, we have an assertion, which can be related to a true-false or yes-no question. For example:
Is it true that Montevideo is the capital of Uruguay?

Of course, the true-false question may be a false statement which generally implies replacing the value by another expression usually of the same kind (i.e. same superconcept). The following question is formed that way:

Tell me if the capital of Uruguay is Santiago.

An extension of the generalized true-false question is the multiple-choice question, in which different alternatives (usually four or five) are presented either to complete a sentence by filling one or more blanks or to answer a WH-type question (a WH-type question is one containing "what", "where", "which", etc.). Only one alternative is correct.

From a syntactic point of view, we distinguish the following types of questions:

a) Yes-no, and true-false questions. In these questions there is only a binary choice in the response.

b) Multiple-choice questions, discussed above. The choice is not binary, but it still refers to a closed set of alternatives, the correct one plus several wrong ones that must be generated, and the response is not constructed. Multiple-choice questions usually use plausible alternatives but sometimes some unreasonable ones are used (like a negative number for an area or a population size). Multiple choice questions involve a question of type (c) or (d) below. Finally,
many teachers dislike multiple-choice questions, mainly because in certain subjects wrong associations may develop when students are exposed to wrong alternatives.

(c) **WH-type questions.** These questions involve a constructed response, through the use of words like "what", "which", "where", "when" and other interrogative words. We will also include here imperative sentences with commands like "tell me about", "give me", "name", etc.

(d) **Fill-in questions,** which consist of an assertion in which a missing portion must be supplied by the student. (c) and (d) are essentially equivalent with minor differences.

(e) **Imperative statements** leading into the application of some procedure, through commands like "compare", "conjugate", and "translate". Observe that technically these are not questions, but can be treated as such.

(f) Some special types of questions which might be desirable in certain subject areas. One of these is, for example, the **transformation** type used in language courses, in which a sentence or paragraph must be converted from present to past, or from singular to plural, or affirmative to negative.

(g) The **essay question,** in which the respondent freely constructs a fairly extensive discourse on a topic specified in the question. In SCHOLAR we will admit some requests of this type by the student, with little essays constructed by SCHOLAR (see Section IV.6). Essay-type responses made by the student are very difficult to process. This is a major research problem yet unsolved, and will not be considered any further
in this work.

SCHOLAR can at present comfortably handle question-types (a) through (d), and (e) to the extent in which the related procedures are available. These capabilities of SCHOLAR apply both to questions asked by it and to it.

SCHOLAR can also handle another important aspect of questions, which we have not discussed so far. This aspect is quantification through modifiers like "some", "all", "everything", "something", "more", "most". These can appear in questions by SCHOLAR as well as in questions asked to it. The latter is the most interesting case. Thus, the unquantified question

What is the topography of Argentina?

can yield the following quantified ones:

I want to know something about the topography of Argentina.

Tell me more about the topography of Argentina.

Tell me all about the topography of Argentina.

Incidentally, SCHOLAR handles the answers by using its capabilities to assess contextual relevancy (see Section IV.3 below). There is also possibility of quantification in terms of the elements of a list. For example, we could generate or answer the following questions:

What are the countries in South America?
Name some countries in South America.
Name most countries in South America.
Give me three countries in South America.
What are all the countries in South America?

We must finally say, before ending this Section on questions, that even after the basic selection of a question from both a semantic and modal point of view, there is room for some stylistic variations. For example, SCHOLAR will "understand" and answer any of the (essentially equivalent) questions:

Is Montevideo the capital of Uruguay?
Is the capital of Uruguay, Montevideo?
Tell me if Montevideo is the capital of Uruguay.
Tell me if the capital of Uruguay is Montevideo.
Is it true that Montevideo is the capital of Uruguay?
Is it correct to say that the capital of Uruguay is

Montevideo?

III.6 Error Detection, Diagnosis, and Remedial Action

The whole area of error analysis, diagnosis and consequent remedial action is one of the most promising avenues open to ISO CAI systems, in opposition to classical AFO CAI systems. In classical AFO CAI, there is usually full anticipation of correct answers as well as certain incorrect ones with their corresponding branching. Either there is no possibility of
unanticipated answers (because of restricting questions to closed-set, multiple-choice ones) or there is a category for all unanticipated answers, with a pre-established consequent action.

In ISO systems, while generating a question, we can at the same time generate a correct answer (or a set of them). It seems natural to use that derived correct answer as a standard for matching with the student's answer. This is convenient and is the strategy adopted in SCHOLAR. For this strategy to be effective, it is necessary to have a more or less unique and well-defined correct answer, or a well-defined closed set of correct answers (a list of items, for example). On the other hand, free complex constructed responses really represent open sets and cannot be checked by matching techniques. As said before, in the present version of SCHOLAR we have designed our question-generation routines in such a way that expected answers are either atoms, lists of atoms, or numbers.

The alternative to the matching technique would be applicable with no restrictions, if feasible, to all cases. It consists in a direct interpretation of the student's answer in terms of the semantic memory, in an attempt to classify that answer in terms of compatibility and relevancy in relation to the question. The answer is accepted if it is both compatible and relevant. The determination of compatibility and
relevancy of complex, constructed answers is, however, a very difficult and largely unsolved problem. This problem is related to the whole area of natural-language comprehension being investigated by Quillian (1969) and Schwartz, Burger, and Simmons (1970) from a semantic point of view, and many others from a more syntactic one. Problems are especially serious when essay questions are asked, like:

"Tell me about Argentina."

Perhaps some solution intermediate between the matching strategy and the answer-comprehension strategy could represent the most promising approach in terms of evaluating answers.

One must mention, of course, that an answer may differ from the expected answer, and still be acceptable and considered correct. In SCHOLAR, there are three cases of the above; the program is set to accept misspelled words if they are "close enough" to the expected words; it is also set to accept synonyms; finally, on numerical answers, the program can accept numbers approximately equal to those expected. These features can be blocked by means of suitable parameters. (See Section IV.9 below).

Let us also add that an answer may not be correct or wrong in absolute terms. For example, a question like "What are the countries in South America?" can be answered with a list of only nine of them, or with most of them plus one
Central-American country. In this case, the error-analysis procedures must separate the correct and wrong parts of the answer as is done in SCHOLAR (again see Section IV.9).

Beyond detecting errors, one would like to classify them, take proper actions to correct them, and understand the reasons for those errors to occur. In a sense, errors can be considered as the symptoms of diseases which are the reasons for their occurrences. We are faced, then, with a diagnostic task but one which should operate on an open set of alternatives. Many investigators have talked at length about the need for developing programs capable of performing that diagnosis. This is what has led them to argue for the need of modelling the student. We concur with the basic idea that this is a fundamental aspect of tutoring. It seems to us, however, that there is need for a more complete re-examination of the teaching and learning process, and of what present programs are capable of doing, before embarking in what might now be premature efforts to solve the diagnosis problem.

It is clear that AFO systems have little or no capability to perform diagnosis by themselves. They can only follow specifically the directions left by the teacher as a result of his possible "pre-diagnostic" efforts on predictable answers. Only ISO programs, possessing a data base organized on the basis of knowledge about the subject matter, will have the potential
capability for probing that data base and utilizing it to find reasons for an unanticipated, observed student error. SCHOLAR is a program which has been constructed with the basic conditions to eventually have some good diagnostic capabilities; in the balance of priorities, though, we have concentrated so far in developing those basic conditions in SCHOLAR, and only to a minor extent, in the development of its diagnostic capabilities.

It is convenient, at this point, to move one step back and think of what modelling the student and diagnosing his errors really means.

In Section III.1, we have presented our point of view and current solution to the problem of modelling the student, prompted by practical considerations. Similarly, let us think for a moment as to whether diagnosis of students' errors should be a goal or really a means for something else. Based on introspection and on observation of other human teachers, we think that the latter is the case. The teacher usually wants his student to go through a certain learning process in order to achieve some terminal state in which that student has acquired certain knowledge or skills (possibly including new ways of thinking). If problems develop, the ultimate objective is for the student to overcome them, not for the teacher to diagnose them. This is clearly reflected in the behavior of most good teachers in their classes and
discussions. Anticipating possible confusions, they sometimes repeat (perhaps in modified form) some difficult portions of their presentations, trying to prevent rather than cure. If errors are detected in students' responses, human teachers sometimes try to understand the nature of their students' confusions and problems, but at least as often, they go into explanatory and remedial sequences without a full understanding of the reasons for the students' errors. Many times, the students will realize through an "aha" process that they were wrong, and that is all the teacher can frequently hope for. Wexler (1970) uses this way the presentation of explanatory sequences to help the student correct his own mistakes. We do not think that this is an ideal situation, but we are only presenting it to show that if human teachers have serious difficulties in dealing with causes of errors, it may be premature to expect a highly sophisticated behavior of CAI programs in this respect. On the other hand ISO programs, of which SCHOLAR is the first example, will provide a rich environment for research on error types, detection, and diagnosis, and on consequent teaching strategies.

It must be seen next that students' problems in a certain learning process (as reflected in their errors) may exist at three different levels. These three levels would reflect on three corresponding levels of diagnosis.
(a) Diagnosis of misconceptions, i.e., modifications in the knowledge structure of facts, concepts, and procedures that are specific to the area being learned or discussed. This is the type of computerized diagnosis most people have in mind; it seems feasible in a near future through the use of ISO CAI. Some procedures in SCHOLAR are attempts to provide capabilities for the diagnosis of misconceptions (see Section IV.10 below).

(b) Diagnosis of students' problems in terms of their basic capabilities. Examples of these are: understanding and following instructions, command of the language, handling spatial relationships, equilibrium skills, inductive skills, deductive skills of different kinds, capability for making value judgments, etc. Generally, it seems harder to program a computer in order to properly diagnose students' problems in these more context-free aspects.

(c) Diagnosis of very general problems coming from attitudes and other general attributes. Examples here can be: how industrious the student is, motivation, initiative, curiosity, perseverance, etc. Again, we feel that no immediate prospect for computers performing diagnosis in these areas (unless running a program written with the objective of testing a particular attribute) exists.

In the following, let us restrict our analysis to the
diagnosis of misconceptions.* We want to claim now that a taxonomy of errors is needed since from it we could first have a criterion for determining the relative importance of different errors, and then have a basis for allocating time to be spent in discussion, clarification, and correction of the error; we could also derive in a testing interaction, different penalties for different classes of errors. The second benefit from a taxonomy of errors is to determine in general terms what strategies can be followed to clarify the student's problem. Finally, if we recognize that an error belongs to a certain class, we might search for similar related errors following the same pattern; for example, missing the inference that the language of Argentina should apply to Buenos Aires, suggests that an inference about the predominant religion in Buenos Aires in terms of that in Argentina may also be missed.

The importance of an error taxonomy is illustrated by the example just presented. A student has been told that the language in Argentina is Spanish, but when asked about the language in Buenos Aires, he responds: "Portuguese." Three hypotheses can now be made about the student's misconception. He may have forgotten what the language in Argentina is, he may not know that Buenos Aires is in Argentina, or he may not

*On the subject of classifying errors, we have benefited from extensive discussions with Allan Collins from Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc.
be able to draw the inference that the language in a country is usually the language in the cities located in that country. The third kind of misconception is very different from the two first ones, and should be treated accordingly.

Without trying to be exhaustive, let us now present some classes of errors commonly encountered:

1. Missing information, for example not knowing that Buenos Aires is in Argentina or what its population size is.

2. Misfiled fact, for example, belief that Buenos Aires is in Brazil.

3. Wrong entry, for example, saying that the population size of Buenos Aires is 500000 people.

4. Lack of a concept, for example, what a longitude is (in its geographic sense).

5. Wrong superordinate. This may apply to superconcept, superpart, and perhaps others. For example, this occurs if the student says the Nicaragua is part of South America (wrong superpart), or that Aconcagua is a city (wrong superconcept).

6. Overgeneralization error, for example, belief that all South American governments are military.

7. Failure to draw some superordinate inference, for example, that the language in a country (usually) implies the language in its cities.

8. Failure to draw some negative inference, in other words, to recognize that a piece of information contradicts
the rest. This rather important type of error can lead to the discovery of inconsistencies in the student's information structure. One example is stating a numerical answer which is outside a known range for the variable to which the answer applies, such as saying that the population of a given city is 50 million people when nowhere on earth populations of cities exceed, say, 10 million people.

III.7 The Teacher Interactions in CAI

We have already discussed, in Section II.1, the role of the teacher in CAI systems. There, we compared CAI to remote manipulation (Fig II.2) in which the teacher communicates with a computer which in turn, after going through a time-space barrier, communicates with the student.

The teacher-computer interaction is usually necessary at three levels:

1. **Preparation of the data base**, be it in the form of questions and frames in AFO CAI, or a semantic network in ISO CAI.

2. **Setting conditions for student-computer interaction**, i.e. defining the system parameters necessary to stimulate the conditions of that interaction.

3. **Collection of results**, in the form of scores, statistics, and general history of the student-computer interaction after it has taken place.
There is a possible fourth role for the teacher in CAI, though as far as we know this has never been implemented. This role is that of a supervisor in real time of the actual operation of a system with many terminals. When, for example, a system like SCHOLAR is asked a question for which it has no answer, instead of answering something like "Sorry, I don't know," (as it now does), it would ask for help to the human supervisor. The system could also ask for help in the case of complicated diagnoses, etc.

LIBRO (see Section II.3) has facilities for the three modes of interaction with the teacher that we have described above, and a small excerpt of a protocol of type (1) i.e. for building a block or frame was actually presented in Fig. II.3. Looking again at that figure, we see that preparation of a question (the reader must recall that LIBRO is an AFO system) is greatly facilitated by a conversational program--in the LIBRO case it is called BLKINPUT -- that guides the teacher through all the necessary steps. Observe in Fig. II.3 that no cryptic language is used by either side; communication takes place in English.

In SCHOLAR we have concentrated our efforts on the student-computer interaction; priorities have forced postponement of the programming of most teacher-computer interactions. A small conversational program to help the teacher set the student-computer interaction conditions exists, and will be
described later. So far no implementation exists, however, for a teacher program of type (1). The problem is now more important and interesting than before, since we are faced with the construction of a semantic network. In spite of the lack of implementation, we have given this problem considerable thought. Two possible approaches can be attempted.

The first approach follows the line of studies on natural-language comprehension (Quillian, 1969). This consists of reading English text into the machine; the program attempts to code that text in the format chosen for the internal structure. The program checks with the person entering the material to see if it has been properly coded, and can call his attention about undefined terms, and other anomalies.

The second approach, which we advocate for ISO CAI databases, is one step more conservative than the first. It involves building a conversational program to help the teacher encode the material in an incremental way, saving parsing and other problems. Heavy use can be made of semantically higher levels (through superconcept and other superordinates) if they have been already coded.

In Fig. III.1 we illustrate a simulated teacher-computer protocol. Observe that the computer can use its knowledge about country and its properties to help the teacher encode
the unit Chile. In the case of Cordoba, the computer does not know what a province is, and proposes to define that first. If the teacher overrules this, he would still be able to encode properties by giving their names. Observe also in the protocol the encoding of irrelevancy tags (discussed elsewhere in this work).
Name of unit:
*Chile*

Part of speech:
*XN*

Superconcept, please:
*contry*

Do you mean country? Answer yes or no.
*Yes*

In what continent is Chile?
*South America*

More about the location of Chile?
*No*

Area of Chile in square miles:

If you want to add some properties, type first the name of the old property, if any, in which they are included; if none, type none.

*Population*

Name of new property:

*Language*

Value

*Spanish*

Property

*Done*
Names of properties and subproperties you want to tag for irrelevancy:
*Location, area, population*

OK. Location:
*2*

Name of unit:
*Cordoba*

Part of speech:
*XN*

Superconcept, please:
*Province*

Undefined. Do you want to define it now?
*Yes*

Part of speech:
*CN*

Superconcept, please

---
IV. THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION OF SCHOLAR

In this Section we will discuss in detail the current implementation of SCHOLAR. The background and technical approach have been already discussed in Sections II and III of this work. We will here present what is specific to SCHOLAR, avoiding general discussions. Whenever convenient, we will illustrate our description with diagrams or computer printouts indicative of different aspects of the program.

IV.1 Overall System Organization

In Section II, we said that the best way to describe a CAI tutoring session was that of two information structures (student and computer) communicating through their procedures for information processing and decision making.

Fig. IV.1 illustrates the general structure of SCHOLAR. The student, represented by a program acting upon an information structure, has SCHOLAR as a counterpart, also with a program and information structure as main components. The information structure in SCHOLAR centers around the semantic network of facts, concepts, and procedures. We have attempted to modularize the semantic network into three levels:

(1) A general level which is context-independent and which contains information about English words and concepts necessary no matter what the applied subject matter is. Here we have items like: prepositions; general verbs like have,
"Ideal" Information Structure
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Fig. IV.1 General Structure of SCHOLAR
be, do; interrogative and negative words; modifiers and quantifiers like approximately, usually, very, some, all, a few; other adjectives like large and varied; determiners; pronouns; etc.

(2) An applied level which contains general information about the area of application, in our case, geography, but not about particular examples. Here we include units like climate, country, temperature, hot, temperate, degrees Fahrenheit, etc. Problem-dependent procedures like that for climate which we discussed earlier, also go here.

(3) The level connected to the specific context that serves as an example, in our case, South America. Here we have mostly what we have called example nouns (XN) though sometimes we may have some adjectives. Examples are Paraguay, Paraguayan, Aconcagua, Brazil, South America, etc.

Due to this modular construction, it is possible to replace the specific context by another context in SCHOLAR'S level (3) without any major effect on levels (1) and (2). This way we can replace South America by New England, or the Middle East, without substantially modifying general linguistic or geographic information. Similarly, we could modify both levels (2) and (3), going to, say, anatomy of the circulatory system, without any major revision neither in (1) nor in the executive program.
Some minor adjustments will be necessary in a high level when a lower level is replaced. These revisions have two causes. First, we have found convenient to have some redundancy in the network, with some pointers back, in order to facilitate certain searches and associations. For example, units on individual countries have pointers to "country", but it is also convenient to point back from the unit "country" to individual countries through a property which may be called "examples". Some of those back-pointers will have to be modified when we change the specific context from South America to something else.

The second reason for a slightly imperfect modularity stems from the nature of some properties. For example, we may want to change our working definition of large in terms of the area of a country when we go from South America to Central America (the latter with much smaller countries than the former).

Apart from the semantic network and some other data to be discussed in the following subsection, SCHOLAR includes a context-independent executive program. Being context-independent means that changes in the semantic network will not require modifications in the program. This is another consequence of the modularity considerations permeating the design and implementation of SCHOLAR.
As shown in Fig. IV.1, the executive program acts upon data at three levels. First, it processes information in terms of its internal representation in the semantic network. At the other end, it handles input and output in a subset of English through a package of input-output routines; this subset of English is, we reiterate, rather ample and unconstrained. Finally, and in order to act as a bridge between the internal representation in the semantic network and the external communication in English, we have designed an intermediate representation in terms of object-attribute-value triples. This intermediate representation is a convenient break to facilitate the conversion between external and internal representation. It is also especially convenient to express retrieval requests; as we said in Section III, however, triples are not the internal representation itself.

Let us now study the overall behavior of SCHOLAR when it interacts with a student. Here the reader may want to refer back to Fig. I.1, the rather extensive on-line protocol of the conversation between SCHOLAR and a student presented in the Introduction, or to some additional fragmentary protocols presented in Appendix I.

Three modes of interaction with the student have been programmed. These are: the mixed-initiative mode (mixinit) in which either side can ask questions in a dialogue form; the testing mode (test) in which only the computer can ask
questions, rejecting those by the student; and the question-
answering mode (Q/A) in which the computer responds to the
student's questions but asks none itself.

The program is called by typing "SCHOLAR ()"; there is
then a brief initial interaction after which, if no mode has
been specified, SCHOLAR will operate in the mixed-initiative
mode. Another mode can be requested by typing it as an argu-
ment in the initial call. This is the third argument in the
procedure SCHOLAR; the first gives the opportunity to call a
specific name as an overall context; the second permits the
optional specification of the number of questions to be asked.
Normally, all three arguments are NIL. In that case SCHOLAR
operates using its agenda for overall context, time for limit-
ing the duration of the interaction, and mixed-initiative as
a mode.

Fig. IV.2 is a schematic block-diagram of the operation
of SCHOLAR. After the box labelled INITIAL which initializes
the program and conducts the initial interactions, branching
occurs depending on the mode. The test and mixinit modes
follow a similar path, except that in the test mode student's
interruptions and questions are rejected.

We will not consider the test mode any longer since it is
really a simplification of the richer mixinit mode. Also we
will here discuss only the overall structure of SCHOLAR. The
Fig. IV.2 Schematic Flow-Chart of SCHOLAR
more detailed operation of the different modules will be discussed in later subsections.

As the top-level procedure, SCHOLAR calls in a sequence first INITIAL, then SCHOLAR, and finally WRAPUP (which dismisses the student). SCHOLAR, in turn, calls either MIXINIT, Q/A, or TEST, depending on the mode, and also handles changes from one mode of operation to another.

In the mixed-initiative mode, there is first a check for time and context (see below). If necessary, a new context is then generated, and in all cases, a question within the current context is formed, by first generating a semantic string, then selecting a mode for the question, and finally, coding it in English. At the same time, the correct answer has been identified and will be used as a standard for comparison in the answer analysis phase. The student answer is then processed and interpreted; next a package of matching routines compares the expected answer with the actual answer. These routines allow the processing of atoms, lists, and numerical answers, with provisions for approximate answers, misspellings, and synonyms. The procedure NEXT receives the result of the matching prints appropriate messages, and decides on subsequent actions, with possible branching. (Observe that in an ISO system the word branching looses part of its meaning since we do not have a closed set of alternatives anymore.)
The stage following NEXT can be one of the following four. The first is a repeat of the whole sequence. (Now the time and contextual checks are more meaningful than in the first pass.) Either new question on the old context, or perhaps a whole new context, followed by a question on it are generated, etc. The second alternative is to come out of NEXT with a definite context usually different from the one which SCHOLAR had been using; in this case we may enter directly into the question-generation procedure at the string-generation level. This occurs in attempts to diagnose confusions where we may want to ask questions about specific items or topics; these items or topics are added at the top of the context push-down list as temporary sub-contexts which are usually given a short life. The third alternative is to reformulate the last question in a different form. This is done in certain cases by reformulating the previous question as a true-false one. In this case the operation after NEXT is the string-to-English generation (since the same string used before is utilized again). Finally, the fourth alternative is to give another chance to the student, i.e., the "try again" type of action. In this case and after printing an appropriate message SCHOLAR loops directly to the teletype-read procedure.

If the English interpreter detects that the student's input is a question, or a question-like command, it will call the question-answering (Q/A) module, passing to it the input string together with an extra argument with value 1 which
indicates that Q/A should process only that question, and then return. Upon return, the student is prompted to give the answer to SCHOLAR'S former question, and we are back in the previous track. If at any time the English interpreter recognizes the student input to be the name of a general interaction mode (Q/A, etc.), it asks the student if he wants to change the mode of the interaction. Upon an affirmative answer, SCHOLAR changes the mode of the interaction to the requested one, and proceeds in this new mode. The student can also ask to terminate the interaction by typing EXIT or WRAPUP. Though not fully implemented in the current version, the WRAPUP type of exit is designed to present the student with a list of topics in which he needs further work.

The student also has the option to type at any time, even when it is not his turn to type, the symbol "#". SCHOLAR periodically checks the input buffer, and if it contains that symbol, an interrupt occurs, similar to that described above when the student typed a general interaction mode.

On the right-hand side of Fig. IV.2 the large box represents the procedures for answering questions. After reading and interpreting the input, retrieval and/or other information-processing routines produce an answer, which is then converted into English sentences by the English-text generator. Then, the program usually loops to accept a new question. This is what happens in the Q/A mode of interaction. If in mixed-
initiative, the question-answering routines return after a single question. Finally, the question-answering module can be accessed directly, and can also be exited either towards one of the other modes or towards termination.

Fig. IV.3 presents the principal components of SCHOLAR, both in terms of procedures and data types. The procedures are coded in terms of LISP functions. In Fig. IV.3 we have classified SCHOLAR procedures in eight groups. These groups are directly related to the different functional modules which are the object of our analysis in Subsections IV.2.d and IV.3 to IV.9 below. There is no one-to-one correspondence, however, since groupings used during programming are not optimized from a didactical point of view when a description of a complete system is desired.

The data types will be studied in some detail in Subsection IV.2 that follows.

IV.2 The Data Base

In this Subsection we will discuss the data base in some detail. In terms of the content of it, we have used Véliz (1968), Aguilar (1962), and Finch et al. (1957) as our main references. In terms of the structure of SCHOLAR'S data base, it centers around SCHOLAR'S semantic network, and it seems natural to start with the detailed characterization of that network.
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IV.2a The Semantic Network

In previous parts of this document we have defined what a semantic network is, and given its principal characteristics. We have also discussed above some characteristics of the SCHOLAR'S network (e.g., its organization in three levels).

We specifically want to refer the reader to Section III.2. There, we defined some important concepts, namely those of unit of information, node, property, attribute, and value. We have also discussed already some important special attributes, like superconcept, and superpart.

We want to refer now to other details in SCHOLAR'S network. For convenience, we are here repeating Fig. I.2, labelling it Fig. IV.4, which presents the concept unit latitude and fragments of the example unit Argentina. Let us observe that the overall organization of a unit is the same as that of a property. The first element (its CAR) identifies it, the second place is reserved for tags (and is NIL if they are absent). The rest (CDDR in LISP notation) is the value, and may contain atoms, atomic lists, procedures, and subproperties. This similarity between units and properties is not accidental, since it may be considered that a property is a unit which, instead of having a word as a name, has as such the semantic string formed by concatenating its attribute with the attributes of all properties in which it is embedded, till reaching the name of the unit to which it belongs.
(RPAQQ LATITUDE (((CN LATITUDE)
(DET THE DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DISTANCE NIL ANGULAR (FROM NIL EQUATOR)))
(SUPERP (I 2)
LOCATION)
(VALUE (I 2)
(RANGE NIL -90 90))
(UNIT (I 2)
DEGREES)))

(RPAQQ ARGENTINA (((OX ARGENTINA)
(DET NIL DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 12000000))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (LATITUDE (I 2)
(RANGE NIL -22 -55))
(LONGITUDE (I 4)
(RANGE NIL -57 -71))
(BORDERING\COUNTRIES (I 1)
(NORTHERN (I 1)
BOLIVIA PARAGUAY)
(EASTERN (I 1)
((SL BRAZIL URUGUAY
NIL
(BOUNDARY NIL URUGUAY\RIVER))))

(CAPITAL (I 1)
BUENOS\AIRES)
(CITIES (I 3)
(PRINCIPAL NIL ($L BUENOS\AIRES CORDOBA ROSARIO MENDOZA LAN\PLATA TUCUMAN))
(TOPOGRAPHY (I 1)
VARIED
(MOUNTAIN\CHAINS NIL (PRINCIPAL NIL ANDES
,LOCATION NIL (BOUNDARY NIL (WITH NIL CHILE))))
(ALITUDE NIL (HIGHEST NIL ACONCAGUA
(APPROX NIL 22000)))))
(SIERRAS NIL (LOCATION NIL ($L CORDOBA BUENOS\AIRES))))
(PLAINS NIL (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
((SL EASTERN CENTRAL)
NIL PAMPA)
(NORTHERN NIL CHACO)))

Fig. IV.4 The Units for Latitude and Argentina (Fragments)
In SCHOLAR (Fig. 1.3 repeated)
Operationally, that similarity is convenient, because it simplifies the programming of routines that must deal with both units and properties. The similarity breaks down, however, to a degree, when we observe that the first element of a unit is more complex than just an attribute. Since a unit usually corresponds to a word, we must find a place to store what syntactic kind of word it is (i.e. what part-of-speech or POS it is), synonyms, semantic and syntactic markers, etc.

In order to do this, the car of a unit is formed by two lists. The first list contains the POS followed by the name of the unit and contextually acceptable synonyms (e.g. height, elevation, and altitude). The part-of-speech can be: example-noun XN, concept-noun CN, adjective ADJ, adverb ADV, preposition PRP, modifier MODIF, determiner DET, verb VBR, pronoun PRN, and auxiliary AUX. The distinction between concept-nouns and example-nouns has operationally proved to be a necessary and convenient one.

The second list in the CAR of a unit is optional; it can contain a list of some semantic and syntactic markers with their values. One of them is DET, indicating the need for a determiner: for example, we say the U.S. but not the Uruguay. Another can be a marker indicating plural or singular: "Buenos Aires" is singular but may morphologically look like a plural, while the opposite happens with the word "people". Another
marker can be DEF, with a numerical value, which, when present, locally overrides a system parameter which specifies the semantic depth set as a threshold to extract definitions from units.

SCHOLAR accepts names formed by more than one word, like Buenos Aires, South America, or Rio de la Plata. These are internally converted into a single atom by means of replacing spaces with backslashes which are again eliminated on output. Fig. IV.5 presents an approximate Backus Normal Form (BNF) description of the syntax of SCHOLAR'S internal representation. There, "First" is the CAR of the unit, while Posname is the first of its two lists, composed by POS and Namelist. Observe that the case in which Namelist is a positive integer has been added in order to handle the rare case of units with no name. Then, the POS and the number identify them.

Without trying to be exhaustive, let us look at some other details. We first see that there are some special names for important properties which appear with great frequency. None of them, however, is privileged in any sense, and all of them are optional. This is an important difference with Quillian's approach since he reserves the first place of a unit for the superconcept (which he calls superset) which is obligatory. This seems inconvenient because some words (e.g. many adjectives and verbs do not have a clearly defined superconcept).
Fig. IV.5 Approximate BNF Representation of the Syntax of SCHOLAR's Semantic Network
Another point to note by the reader when examining Fig. IV.5 is our definition of Atom', which can be either "any English word" (i.e., an atom), or several kinds of lists with atomic value. This allows the manipulation of those lists by the executive program as if they were atoms, until the time to either decompose or list them arrives. Incidentally, the list with $Q$ is a quoted list, while $L$ indicates a list of words syntactically equivalent, like a list of countries or rivers. Actually, the $Q$-kind of Atom' is not currently used; it was introduced as a way of inserting pieces of text in the data structure if that was necessary. The capabilities of our English-text generator have made that unnecessary.

A final point we would like the reader to notice is the freedom with which the value of a property can be written. It is essentially a list of properties (proplist), which may be NIL. In that case, the semantic interpretation is that the attribute is true. If not NIL, the value may be a list of any number of atoms, or atomic lists (atom'), or subproperties. Atoms and atomic lists can obviously be considered as part of the value of the property, and also as terminal single elements of semantic strings which are true. For example, referring to Fig. IV.4, it is true that the topography in Argentina is varied.

An important item in SCHOLAR'S operation on its semantic network is the use of tags. The program utilizes both perm-
manent and temporary tags. Permanent tags are markers on items in the data base which we want to associate with the way knowledge is originally coded, rather than with a time-dependent utilization. Both kinds of tags have very different implementations; temporary tags do not appear as part of the data base, and will be considered in Subsection IV.2c below.

The second place in each unit or property is currently reserved for a possible list of tags, and if none appears, NIL is inserted. A possibly convenient alternative is to consider tags as any other property of a more informational character, and, as those, they would be optional. Anyway, this is a possible subject for future explorations, rather than speculation now.

Though at some phase during the development of SCHOLAR we have included some permanent tags like P (for probability of occurrence) and R (for reliability of information), the only permanent tag being used by the current version of SCHOLAR is the irrelevancy tag I*. In each unit or property this tag is optional; if not present, it is given the value zero. It can have any of the seven integer values between 0 and 6, following Miller (1956) and Quillian (1966), and also because neither finer nor coarser resolution seemed to be preferable to the 7-point scale.

*A tag to signal for past tense is also occasionally used.
The irrelevancy tag I is used when determining the semantic depth which characterizes the relevancy of a node with respect to another node. For example, we can talk of the relevancy of the property with attribute "plains" with respect to "topography of Argentina," or with respect to "Argentina" itself.

Fig. IV.6 is a partial diagram of the semantic network as seen from the node "Argentina." We see portions of the tree which is the unit "Argentina", other units like "country", "Bolivia", "country" again, etc. As a matter of fact, through the property "examples" of the unit "country" we could circle back to "Argentina" (and similarly through other paths).

In Fig. IV.6, the abscissae represent semantic depths, measured as the sum of the number of embeddings, plus the sum of the I's in the traversed links. Since semantic depth is what we are using as a measure of semantic irrelevancy (SI), the horizontal axis is a measure of irrelevancy (more precisely, irrelevancy through a given path). Therefore, if we now want to extract the most relevant pieces of information, we can draw a vertical line at a given SI (say 2 or 3) and retrieve all paths that lead to terminal nodes located to the left of that line, i.e., with semantic irrelevancy less than the given threshold. If we want successively more and more information about "Argentina", we can retrieve successive bands of nodes, at increasing semantic depths.
Fig. IV.6 Semantic Irrelevancy for The Node "ARGENTINA" (See Text)
Some specific examples of the use of the semantic depth will be presented in Sections IV.5 and IV.6 below. It must also be said that the tag I is also used to compute a weight for weighted random selection of questions (see Subsections IV.2d and IV.8 below).

IV.2b Other Permanent Information

The data base in SCHOLAR contains other permanent entries not included in the semantic network. We must first mention lists of standard messages which SCHOLAR presents to the student under appropriate circumstances. There is a fairly extensive repertoire of about one hundred messages of all sorts, most consisting of one sentence, but some longer. For many of the messages, several alternatives are available; they are selected at random, with the provision that no single alternative can be presented two consecutive times.

Some messages allow a certain degree of construction, with portions that are filled, for example, with errors detected in the student's answers. A case in point is shown in the protocol of Fig. I.1, part (e) where the computer responds to a partially wrong answer with the following semi-constructed messages:

Haven't you incorrectly used "Bogota" and "Aconcagua"? On the other hand "La Paz" and "Sucre" is correct.

The complete answer also includes Potosi, Cochabamba, and
Another important piece of information which is not modified during the student's interaction is the agenda of topics to be discussed during that interaction. This agenda is a plan that the teacher can specify with greater or lesser detail. In the most interesting case, only an overall context is given (South America in our case); all the rest will be dynamically generated by the computer. This was the case when all protocols and printouts forming part of this work were produced.

A heterogenous group of constants and lists used by different portions of the program must also be mentioned here. We have, for example, lists of interrogative words (like "?" and "tell me"), punctuation marks, synonyms, compound words, etc.

IV.2c Temporary Information

A system like SCHOLAR uses temporary information to a considerable extent. That information is dynamically changed by the program. There are several major kinds of temporary information.

An important kind is represented by temporary tags. These tags refer to the information structure but must not be a part of it. Their examination and modification should also operate fast since such operations are done very fre-
quently. Because of this, temporary tags are dealt with by means of hash-coding routines available in BBN-LISP. This hash-coding operates on the virtual address of a given item and translates it into an entry in an array previously declared. If the arrays are sufficiently large in order to be sparsely filled, the hash-coding routines operate fast and unobtrusively.

Four different temporary tags are manipulated this way. The first one, called CTXGEN/HSH, refers to the array of the same name and deals with the generation of contexts. Tagging avoids repetition of already used contexts if generated in a random way, but does not block them if triggered by some diagnostic or other error-related operation.

The second temporary tag is the most important, and is called A&E/HSH where A&E stands for "activation and error." This tag is applied to properties which have been used in questions by SCHOLAR. When the question is first asked, a value 1 is assigned to the tag meaning that the question has been used. When an error is being investigated, then the tag becomes -1. Finally, if all subproperties of a given property have been used, so no more use can be made of that property for question generation, it is tagged with a 0.

The tag called #UES/HSH is inserted at the same time as the A&E/HSH tag, but is value is a number which identifies
the question where that item was used. This permits to identify, from the data structure, past questions referring to certain portions of that data structure.

Finally, there is a temporary tag called HI'/HSH which is inserted at the time of a question by the student. It has as value the semantic depth used so far in retrieving information at that point, and permits the asking of questions of the "tell-me-more" kind.

All temporary tags apply to either units, properties, or atoms (including atomic lists) considered as true properties. Hash-coding the address of a property, or worse, of an atomic value, would affect all possible occurrences of that item, both in the correct context and otherwise. To avoid this undesirable effect, tagging is always done not on the item, but on the list which has that item as its first element. In LISP notation, instead of tagging an element of a list, we tag the CDR if the corresponding CADR is the element in question.

A very important piece of temporary information is the context push-down list (CTXPDL), which permits to keep track of active contexts. At the beginning of the program, the CTXPDL is set to the agenda, which we discussed above.

During execution of the program, the CTXPDL changes by modification or deletion of old elements, or addition of
new ones. Each element includes the name of a unit or property (properly individualized) which acts as a context, plus other information. An any point of time the valid context is that corresponding to the element on top of the list. The bottom element contains a context which is considered as the overall context (or CTX∅). In all our experiments so far we have utilized a one-element agenda, with only an overall context in it; in other words CTX∅ has consistently been South America. A system parameter (set in advance in SETINTERACTION by the teacher, see IV.2.2 below) is the approximate overall duration of the session. This is a number DUR∅ in the agenda, which yields another number in the CTXPDL which relates to the approximate time of day, in seconds, at which the session must end.

When a new context is added in front of the CTXPDL, a life which depends on its relevancy is assigned to it and a time at which its use should terminate is set. At certain points in the program (for example, before generating a question) the CTXPDL is examined by a procedure called PERCHK and pruned of all contexts whose life has expired.

Fig. IV.7 shows the state of the CTXPDL at some stage in the program, together with QUESLIS, the question-used list to be discussed below. We see that the CTXPDL has two elements, respectively headed by "Guyana" and "South America." In the second we see in the list following the word CTXGEN
Fig. IV.7 The Context-Push-Down List and the Used-Question List
that three contexts (Paraguay, Colombia, and Guyana) have been generated, the numbers being their semantic depths with respect to South America. Of these three contexts, Paraguay and Colombia have already been erased (possibly with some subcontexts), and only Guyana is alive. The questions asked about Guyana are detailed in the element headed by that name; for each of them we successively have the number of the question, the expected answer, the semantic string on which the question is based, and the mode of the question. Incidentally those two questions are numbers 11 and 12 by the system, but 14 and 15 when we include student questions (see variable #QUESTINTERL in CTXPDL).

In the same Fig. IV.7 we see a fragment of QUESTLIS, the list of questions already asked by either SCHOLAR or the student. Actually the three questions referred to in Fig. IV.7 were asked by SCHOLAR whose name appears there; otherwise we would have had the word STUQ.

QUESTLIS is not a push-down list, so information is not erased from it. It is added, however, in two steps: the first when the question is formed, the second when the answer is evaluated. Question 15, the last one, is in the phase between formulation and answer evaluation, since evaluation of the student's answer has not yet been inserted; in other words, the program was interrupted after formulating that question, and the printout of CTXPDL and QUESTLIS obtained.
The information inserted after evaluation of the answer incidentally, the value returned by MATCH1 (see subsection IV.9 below).

The second number, after the word SCHOLAR, represents the questions asked by it; the difference with the first number corresponds to the number of questions asked by the student.

Observe that each question keeps track of its context, and after QUESINTERRL the numbers of questions within that context can be found.

The QUESLIS can be accessed from the data base through the temporary (hashed) tag #QUES/HSH discussed above. Thus, from any property, we can find a number which indicates what question has dealt with it.

IV.2d **Some Auxiliary Procedures**

It is pertinent to mention here some auxiliary routines that operate in direct relation with the data base, and are dependent on its configuration. Some of them are the functions that check on the CTXPDL, namely PDLCHK and PERCHK which calls the former. Actually, PERCHK also performs other checks, like looking for an interrupt call by the student when it is not his turn to type, and for the imminence of a LISP garbage collection. In the latter case, it would be bad for
that system operation to occur in an inappropriate place (like the middle of a type-out) since the garbage collection can take up to a few minutes for a system of the SCHOLAR'S size. Also the garbage collector prints a message related to words collected and available, completely meaningless and distractive for the student. For that reason, a procedure GCMESS is called if a garbage collection is bound to occur soon, forces it to occur immediately, and blocks the system message replacing it by another telling the student to wait for a while (see Fig. I.1(c): "Wait a minute...").

Other important auxiliary procedures related to the data base are those for manipulating tags. Permanent tags are read by TAGCHK, a LISP function with two arguments which are the property, and the name of the tag; TAGWRT can write permanent tags, as a function of three arguments: property, tag name, and value.

Temporary tags are manipulated by similar functions, now called TAGCHK' and TAGWRT', which use the hash-coding routines, and where the name of the tag points to the corresponding storage array. As we said above, they act on the list which has the property as its first element rather than on the property itself. A third function, TAGWRTØ, can write a tag as TAGWRT', with the additional feature that it checks also other properties at the same level of the one just tagged; if all have already been tagged, it tags the upper level,
where the procedure is recursively repeated. This avoids wasting time in future searches.

For the task of performing weighted random selection of a string in the data base, the basic function is called SELECT. It examines all the items that form the value of a property or the informational part of a unit (i.e., their CDDR in LISP notation). SELECT retrieves for each one a weight which is the difference between 6 and the value of the irrelevancy tag I for that item; if the item is an atom, an atomic list (see above), or if the tag is NIL, SELECT considers the tag as $\emptyset$, and the weight as 6. The selection of an item is then done probabilistically using the weights thus obtained. There are also mechanisms for optionally disabling the weights and considering all items as equally relevant.

We have referred above to a number of system parameters which regulate the operation of SCHOLAR in its interaction with the student. Some of the most important system parameters (in a very general sense of the word parameters, some may be complex symbolic lists) can be set by the teacher by using a special interactive program called SETINTERACTION. Fig. IV.8(a,b) is an on-line protocol taken during utilization of SETINTERACTION. We see that the teacher does not have to know any LISP, or use any cryptic computer language. The program makes suggestions in English and guides the teacher in each step. The only requirement is for him to
THIS IS THE PROGRAM TO SET THE CONDITIONS OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE STUDENT AND SCHOLAR. DO YOU YOU WANT TO CHANGE THOSE CONDITIONS? PLEASE TYPE Y OR N. (REMEMBER TO TERMINATE YOUR TYPING WITH AN ASTERISK * FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE RETURN.)

*Y*

NAME OF INSTITUTION:
*ABC REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL*

TYPE NAME OF SUBJECT MATTER, I. E., CONTEXT TO BE DISCUSSED:

*GEOGRAPHY OF SOUTH AMERICA*

TYPE OF INTERACTION. IT MUST BE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: MIXINIT, TEST, OR Q/A.

*MIXINIT*

INSTRUCTOR IN CHARGE OF THE COURSE:
*MR. JUAN ECHEVERRIGARAY*

TYPE YOUR NAME EVEN IF YOU HAVE TYPED IT ABOVE:

*JAIME CARBONELL*

TODAY'S DATE:
*4/15/1970*

MAX. DURATION OF STUDENT INTERACTION, IN MINUTES:
*60*

MIN. DURATION OF STUDENT INTERACTION, IN MINUTES:
*40*

MIN. NO. OF QUESTIONS TO BE PRESENTED:
*15*

IF YOU WANT FULL INITIAL INSTRUCTIONS PRESENTED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE INTERACTION WITH THE STUDENT, TYPE 1. IF NOT, TYPE 0.

*0*

LET US NOW DECIDE IF SCHOLAR SHOULD CALL THE STUDENT'S ATTENTION ABOUT WORDS IT CAN NOT RECOGNIZE. PLEASE TYPE Y OR N:

Fig. IV.8 (a) On-Line Protocol of Teacher Using SETINTERACTION in SCHOLAR
*Y*

TYPE PROBABILITY, IN PERCENT, FOR GENERATING A QUESTION
ABOUT A SUBCONTEXT OF A GIVEN CONTEXT, WHEN DEALING
WITH THE CONTEXT ITSELF:

*25*

TYPE THE NUMBER OF SECONDS TO WAIT BEFORE PRODUCING
A PROMPTING MESSAGE:

*20*

TYPE MAXIMUM SEMANTIC DEPTH ACCEPTABLE FOR SUBCONTEXT
GENERATION:

*6*

SCHOLAR IS SET BOTH TO CHECK FOR MISPELLINGS IN THE
STUDENT'S ANSWERS AND TO ACCEPT APPROXIMATE NUMERICAL
ANSWERS. NORMALLY YOU WILL WANT TO LEAVE BOTH
OF THESE CHECKS IN. YOU DO THIS BY TYPING "MISP
APPROX". IF YOU ONLY WANT ONE OF THEM, TYPE ITS
NAME. IF YOU DESIRE NONE, TYPE NIL.

*MISP APPROX*

DO YOU WANT TO START THE STUDENT INTERACTION NOW? ANSWER
Y OR N.

*N*

0. K. THE VALUES YOU HAVE ENTERED HAVE BEEN STORED
IN THE SYMBOLIC FILE /SETINTER/.

+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++  

Fig. IV.8 (b) On-Line Protocol of Teacher Using
SETINTERACTION in SCHOLAR (cont.)
have some very general understanding of SCHOLAR and its parameters.

With respect to the set of parameters dealt with in Fig. IV.8, it must be said that they do not represent, by far, an exhaustive list of the adjustable system parameters in SCHOLAR. For example, the specific tolerances for accepting an approximate numerical answer or a misspelled word depend on adjustable parameters (see Subsection IV.9 below). The question generation routines select question modes according to pre-established weights which can be adjusted. Or we may think of even more detailed parameters, like that regulating the probability of generating unrelated alternatives in multiple-choice questions. Though we could add these to the list of parameters set by SETINTERACTION, it may be too much of a burden and too difficult a task for a teacher to have so many degrees of freedom. We do not know the optimal answer to this question which may have interesting pedagogical implications. One possible solution may be to have two levels for SETINTERACTION, one that is easily handled by the fairly naive teacher, and another which may refer to more fundamental and/or detailed questions and which may require greater expertise.
IV.3 Read, Print, and Other Interactive Procedures

In many CAI and other interactive systems, there are systems-imposed limitations in input-output. Typical, for example, are for the user to have to limit his input to one line, to have to read computer output with unnatural places for punctuation marks (like always separated by a space from the previous word, or appearing at the beginning of a line of output), to have "yes" accepted as a correct answer but "yes" rejected as such, or to be artificially forced to form single words for terms like South America or Rio de la Plata. Though these are not conceptually important problems, they do impair through extra constraints the tendency towards free and comfortable interaction.

BBN-LISP read/print facilities were inadequate for our purpose, so a new read/print package was implemented (portions of it had been developed in support of LIBRO, the earlier AFO program). Input and output can be text of any length. Names previously declared as composed of two or more words are automatically transformed on input into a single atom by replacing blanks with backslashes. Internally they always maintain those backslashes, but on output, backslashes are replaced back by blanks.

Punctuation signs are separated from the preceding word or element (and from what follows). This is needed in order for the words themselves to act upon the semantic network. On
the other hand, we do not accept the obvious solution of filtering out the punctuation marks in the reading program. The question mark is one of the possible interrogative words indicating a question; other punctuation marks may be important in possible language applications, and even for language comprehension in future versions of SCHOLAR. The reading routines also detect comparatives and superlatives on input and transform them appropriately (though not all the procedures to deal with comparatives and superlatives are operational at this time).

Many auxiliary routines associated with either printing or reading have been coded. One of the auxiliary routines associated with printing is PRAND, which, given a list (X Y Z) of items, prints it out as: "X," "Y", and "Z". PRCOL prints a list as a column of items, and is used, for example, in multiple-choice questions.

An important routine associated with reading by SCHOLAR is called PABLO; it handles the changes in control from SCHOLAR to the student and vice-versa; it operates by calling the basic reading routine RD*. While waiting for input, PABLO measures elapsed time; if this exceeds a given delay, PABLO prompts the student to respond, and records the excessive delay. PABLO has a delay threshold which applies to delays measured before the student begins his typing, and a longer one for the total time before return of control. If the latter is exceeded it complains about the delay, and again records it. Finally, while
PABLO is waiting for input, and in order to avoid excessive central-processor charges, the whole program is dismissed for fixed amounts of time, now set at 1 sec. This is an interval which seemed reasonable in terms of man-computer interaction.

IV.4 The Retrieval Procedures

A fundamental component in an ISO CAI system is the group of procedures for selectively retrieving information from the data base (the semantic network).

Generally, retrieval procedures in SCHOLAR are handled by means of the use of an intermediate language consisting of attribute-objective-value triples. These three elements are the first three arguments of the top retrieval procedure called RET, which has a list of flags as an optional fourth argument.

Fig. IV. 9 shows in LISP EVAL notation, the different cases which we may have (the fourth argument has been omitted for simplicity). After the first general line, we have the most usual case when the value is sought. This internally translates into a call to the procedure RETV (for "retrieve value"). The second case occurs when the object is sought, with a call to the procedure RETO (for "retrieve object"). In the third case the attribute is the unknown; this internally translates into a call to the procedure RETA (for "retrieve attribute").
(RET ATT OBJ VAL)

(RET ATT OBJ QMARK)
(RET ATT QMARK OBJ VAL)
(RET QMARK OBJ VAL)
(RET ATT OBJ VAL) $\rightarrow$ T/F Case

(RET QMARK OBJ QMARK)

(RET QMARK QMARK OBJ QMARK) $\rightarrow$ Unusual Cases

NOTES: 1) ATT, OBJ, VAL can be recursive calls to RET
       2) ATT, OBJ, VAL can be conjunctive sets.

Fig. IV.9 Triples in the Retrieval Package of SCHOLAR
In the fourth case (fifth line), both attribute and value are unknown, as in the question "Tell me about Peru." The fifth and sixth cases are special ones of a rather pathological nature (they respectively correspond to commands to retrieve all instances in which a given Z appears as a value, or all instances in which a given X appears as an attribute); they require extensive searches, and need not be of further concern to us.

The classification above tacitly assumes that elements in the triple are well-defined atomic values. This is not always the case, but a simple generalization provides the solution. The attribute, for example, can frequently be the concatenation of several atomic values, as in the questions: "What is the form of government in Uruguay?", and "Give me the principal countries of origin of the population in Argentina". In these cases, the attributes are respectively extracted as (form government), and (principal countries origin population). Processing these cases is done by an intermediate procedure RET∅, which recursively calls itself with an attribute obtained by removing the last element of the original attribute, and an object which is the result of applying RET∅ with the last element of the original attribute as attribute, and the original object as object.

Incidentally, the discussion above shows that the object may not only be the name of a unit, but also the tree-list
which is the unit itself, or any of its properties or sub-properties; these different cases are automatically handled by the retrieval package of routines in SCHOLAR.

Let us now consider the case in which the object is not a tree-list but a list of depth 1 obtained by the concatenation of attributes and an object. In effect, we can generalize here our notion of names. Any string that points unambiguously (in the sense of retrieval capability) to a unit or property (i.e., a node in the semantic network) can be considered as a name for that unit or property. Thus if the object is a list of attributes and an object, RETØ again recursively processes this as a call to RETØ with the first element of the object as attribute (or that element appended to an existing attribute, if not null) and the rest (CDR) of the object as new object.

The fundamental internal procedures in the retrieval package are called RETX1 and RET-1. The former is a LISP function which takes an object either by name or as the tree-list itself, a maximum semantic depth, and a minimum one, and returns a tree-list of all the properties and subproperties that have irrelevancy in the prescribed semantic-depth range. In order to retrieve all available information it is enough to set the minimum depth to zero, and the maximum depth to a fairly large value, say 100. If we want only some information about a given object, or some definition of it, then in SCHOLAR the maximum is set to 2. This is the case in questions like "Tell me about Montevideo", or "What is Montevideo". Before returning its value, RETX1
writes a temporary tag which indicates the semantic depth at which further retrieval should proceed when and if requested. That would be the case with a question like "Tell me more about Montevideo" following one of the previous ones. In this case, a new layer of information, again 2-unit deep, would be retrieved, and so on. If at any time we ask "Tell me all ..." then all remaining information would be provided. To facilitate handling these various situations, a number of auxiliary functions like RETDEF, RETMOR, and RETALL exist; they do what their names suggest.

Fig. IV. 10 shows the effect of RETX1 on a simple concept unit, that for "height". In (a) the internal representation is shown. In (b) we present two successive layers of output related to the unit "height". Instead of giving the tree-list representation, we give the English output as it would be presented to a student (see Subsection IV.6 below).

RETX1 is called not only when retrieving information about an object, but also in the most frequent case of retrieving a value through RETV. If all existing information is desired in this case, an optional argument in RETV can block the call to RETX1.

The basic function utilized by both RETV and RETA is RET-1. It applies to an object which is a free variable for RET-1. Its only argument is an atom for which it searches that object. That search is performed by means of a call to
Fig. IV.10 (a) Structure of the Concept Unit "Height"
RETDEF (HEIGHT)
A HEIGHT IS A VERTICAL DISTANCE ABOVE THE SEA.
THE UNITS ARE FEET.

RETMOR (HEIGHT).
HEIGHT IS APPLIED TO MOUNTAIN, CITY, REGION, AND COUNTRY.
THE VALUE RANGES FROM -1000 TO 30000.

Fig. IV.10 (b) Successive Layers of Output Related to The Unit "HEIGHT"
the BBN-LISP editor which through a matching technique locates the atom. The return is a complex list which contains as first element the list in which that element is the CAR, and as successive elements the increasingly larger lists in which the first list is embedded, till the top level, i.e., the object, is reached.

In order to retrieve a value, RET calls RETV, which in turn gives the attribute to RET-1. RET-1 searches for this attribute which may be at the top level or at any depth within the object. RETV extracts the information it needs from the first element of the list returned by RET-1, and usually (unless this is specifically blocked) processes it by calling RETX1 before returning. Another function performed by RETV is that of handling plurals and singulars in the attribute, so, if the search by RET-1 for either form fails, an attempt with the other is made. This last feature permits more flexibility in both coding information and question making.

RETA collects the CAR's of all the elements of the output of RET-1, which are the different attributes leading from object to value. RETA is thus responsible for answering questions like "Montevideo is the --- of Uruguay", or "What is the relationship between the Aconcagua and Argentina?"

The function RETO is capable of finding the object of a triple in a question like "In what country the capital is
Brazilia?" In this case, RETO is called, and searches to find which country in the list found in the property labelled "country" in the unit satisfies the question. RETO can also handle the more difficult question "Brazilia is the capital of ---." Here, the procedure must first start by finding what a "capital" is "applied/to", by retrieving the value of the property with "applied/to" as an attribute in the unit "capital". The retrieved value is "country", and from here on we are back in the previous case.

Finally, true-false questions are processed by treating them as value questions (i.e., using RETV) and then comparing the proposed and the retrieved value by means of the same matching procedures used in evaluating student answers (see Subsection IV.9 below). The retrieval function now is RET-TF. Since the operation of RET-TF is closely tied to the form of the input, more on it will be said in the following subsections. (See IV.5 and IV.7.)

IV.5 Processing Student Input

The student input can be an answer to a question by SCHOLAR, a question to SCHOLAR, or a command requesting either for a change in the overall mode of operation or for termination of the interaction. In the mixed-initiative mode any of
the above forms of student input are possible when SCHOLAR passes control to the student.

Fig. IV. 11 presents a particular example of some of the stages which are necessary to process student input. The first stage is really performed by the read routines. They take care of compounding words like "tell me" into tell\me, and also of separating punctuation marks from words. From this point on, the procedure called E-3 (for "English-to-triple") takes over. The first thing E-3 does is to check if the student input is the name of one of the modes of operation. If that is the case, it conducts an interchange with the student and sets the change in mode. If not, E-3 then calls CLEANQ (for "clean question") a procedure responsible for removing from the input courtesy words (like please and kindly), determiners and some other auxiliary words, and punctuation marks, except the question mark. Next, if the mode is Q/A, E-3 processes conjunctive elements (see Fig. IV. 11), but it must be said that the further handling of conjunctives by the present version of SCHOLAR is not yet completely operational. Next, E-3 looks for quantifiers (like one, three, more, something, everything\else), and puts them in a list of flags, together with some system flags like "misp" and "approx".

After that, E-3 searches in the transformed input in an attempt to find unbound words, i.e., words that have no meaning to SCHOLAR. This operation can be inhibited by a system parameter as is the case when an answer to a question by SCHOLAR
Please, tell me something about the topographies of Argentina and Uruguay. Please, tell me something about the topographies of Argentina and Uruguay. Tell me something topographies Argentina and Uruguay Tell me something topography Argentina and Uruguay Tell me something topography (and Argentina Uruguay)

Tell me → Question, it originates a call to RET with

Obj = (and Argentina Uruguay)
Att = topography
Val = ?
Flgq = (something)

Fig. IV. 11 Processing Student Input
is analyzed. If activated (as we have had it in our experiments), two lists are formed, one with bound and another with unbound words; the former is further purged of words that, though not defined in the semantic network, belong to a list REMQL formed by items like interrogative words, conjunctions, etc. If the reduced unbound list is not null, it is presented back to the student, and reformulation of the question is asked from him.

After all these stages, E-3 examines the pre-processed input to see if the statement being analyzed is a question, by checking for the presence of one or more interrogative words or the presence of a "blank" word indicating a fill-in question (several standards for blanks are available). If not, the statement is considered as a response if in MIXINIT or TEST, and impossible to process if in Q/A. In this and other cases in which the statement cannot be properly interpreted by SCHOLAR, it declares its incapability to understand the statement, and asks the student to rephrase it.

Usual questions (those using a question mark) and other interrogative statements (with tell me, etc.) are processed in similar ways, but processing of fill-in questions must follow a separate path in E-3 because of the different construction (which in fill-in questions is that of a complete affirmative sentence with one or more words replaced by a blank word).
Rather than a systematic parsing of the pre-processed input, E-3 uses a mixture of keywords and forms with detailed characterization of types of questions. In a sense, it searches a tree of characteristics which progressively narrow down the possible alternatives. At some point, E-3 passes tentative arguments to RET. In some cases, this is not a definite commitment, since if RET fails, the failure is communicated back to E-3, which, if possible, may attempt an alternate path. This is the case, for instance, in RET-TF with some alternative constructions for true-false questions, like "Is it true that the capital of Chile is Santiago?" and "Is it true that Santiago is the capital of Chile?" In some cases E-3 can arrive at the same result while processing different equivalent questions like the different types of true-false questions: "Is Santiago the capital of Chile?", "Is it true that Santiago is the capital of Chile?", and "Tell me if Santiago is the capital of Chile". These would all originate identical results though their construction is quite different.

We do not think it would be interesting to specify here in detail the tree of tests used by E-3 in its attempts to "comprehend" a question. Let us just end this subsection by saying that if E-3 cannot obtain an answer for a question which it considers well-formed and which contains no undefined terms, it assumes that the requested information is not part of its semantic network. In that case, SCHOLAR confesses its ignorance by typing out a message like "Sorry, I don't know". This
heuristic has proved satisfactory in most cases.

IV.6 Generation of English Text

The procedures for the generation of text in English are an important module of the SCHOLAR system. In the situation of reviewing existing knowledge the need for text generation arises mainly in response to students' questions. In a teaching situation that need also exists when presenting new material.

Let us emphasize again that SCHOLAR never uses a transformation of the English question à la Eliza (Weizenbaum, 1966) to produce its response. SCHOLAR completely constructs the sentences on the basis of what it extracts from memory (perhaps after due processing).

Our approach to the generation of English text has been to properly deal with all probable rather than all possible sentences. It soon became clear that we could avoid many complex characteristics of natural English by considering what characteristics the sentences to be generated would have. Further extensions and perhaps some revisions can always be made.

The English-text generator is based on the following constraints:

(1) No embedded clauses.
(2) Generation of the simplest possible sentences. Whenever the material available is enough for a reasonable sentence, make it, and delete that material. This means that when the information is complex, several sentences are produced, in some cases with suitable pronominalization.

(3) Limited repertoire of verbs. The verb is generally "be", with some other possibilities (like range, average, etc.).

Constraint (2) leads to a left-to-right, single-pass generator. Constraint (3) was adopted after some study of possible cases. For example, the sentence

*Uruguay produces principally wool and meat.*

can be expressed as:

*The principal productions of Uruguay are wool and meat.*

*Fig. IV. 12 shows some examples of output, originated by questions on Uruguay. The reader will notice the acceptable quality of the English produced. Also observe the following characteristics: indentations which are related to the relevancy of different items, a couple of cases in which pronominalization has been applied, correct subject-verb agreement, and especially the way information is broken down into sentences.*
Tell Me All About the Government of Uruguay.

I think I have already told you about it.

The government in Uruguay is stable, democratic, and republican.

It is not military.

The form is unitary.

The problems are economic.

Unrest is social and student.

The revolutions are none.

Tell Me All About the Location of Uruguay.

The location in Uruguay is South America.

The latitude ranges from -30 to -35 degrees.

The longitude ranges from -53 to -58 degrees.

The northern and eastern bordering country is Brazil.

The western bordering country is Argentina.

The boundary is the Uruguay River.

The southeastern coast is the Atlantic Ocean.

The southern coast is the Río de la Plata.

Tell Me All About the Population of Uruguay, Please.

We have already discussed this.

The population in Uruguay is approx. 28,000,000 people.

Origin of the population in Uruguay is from Europe.

The principal countries of origin of the population in Uruguay are Spain and Italy.

The race in Uruguay is white.

The composition is white 98 percent.

It is Indian 9 percent.

The literacy of the population in Uruguay is 95 percent.

The language in Uruguay is Spanish.

The religion in Uruguay is not official.

The principal religion in Uruguay is Catholicism.

Secondary of the religions in Uruguay are Judaism, Protestantism, and Agnostic.

Please wait. I'll be back in a minute.

OK. Let's continue.

Fig. IV.12 English-Text Generator Operating on Answers About Uruguay
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The English-text generator has performed very satisfactorily so far. We do not anticipate difficulties as long as the data base is properly constructed in relation to English input; this will be the case when an author language is developed (see Section III.7). The English-text generator would only find difficulties if the data base is artificially created as a capricious set of synoptic trees representing the knowledge about the units; in this case, on the other hand, a human would encounter similar difficulties in generating English.

Fig. IV. 13 presents the English output together with the internal representation corresponding to an answer to a question such as: "Tell me everything about the topography in Argentina". Observe here similar features as in Fig. IV. 12 as well as some new ones. For example, pronominalization appears again. Subject-verb agreement is apparent. Observe also the alternative use of "on" or "in" after "located". The right preposition is selected on the basis of a semantic marker in the head noun of the predicate, which depends on its shape. (A boundary is a line, but Cordoba and Buenos Aires are regions).

With respect to "plain" vs. "plains", only the latter appears in the internal representation. It is singularized into "plain" whenever required by the overall sentence. We will shortly discuss further the generation of the sentences related to the plains of Argentina (see Fig. IV. 14 below).
THE TOPOGRAPHY IN ARGENTINA IS VARIED.
THE PRINCIPAL MOUNTAINS ARE THE ANDES.
THE ANDES ARE LOCATED ON THE BOUNDARY WITH CHILE.
THE HIGHEST ALTITUDE IS THE ACONCAGUA.
IT IS APPROX 22000 FEET.
THE SIERRAS ARE LOCATED IN COINDOBA AND BUENOS AIRES.
THE PLAINS ARE USUALLY FERTILE.
THE EASTERN AND CENTRAL PLAIN IS THE PAMPA.
THE NORTHERN PLAIN IS THE CHACO.

NIL

EDTIV(x)
EDT
*
PP
(VARIED (MOUNTAINS NIL (PRINCIPAL NIL (ANDES NIL (LOCATION NIL
(BOUNDARY NIL (WITH NIL CHILE))))
(ALTITUDE NIL (HIGHEST NIL ACONCAGUA (APPROX NIL 22000))))
(SIERRAS NIL (LOCATION NIL (EL COINDOBA BUENOS AIRES))))
(PLAINS NIL (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
((EL EASTERN CENTRAL)
NIL PAMPA)
(NORTHERN NIL CHACO)))
*OK
X
*E Y
(TOPOGRAPHY ARGENTINA)

Fig. IV.13 Output of English-Text Generator and Internal Representation Related to a Complex Property
Finally, an interesting capability of SCHOLAR is the insertion of the unit "feet" after the number 22000. This unit does not explicitly appear in the internal representation. Having found a number, SCHOLAR searches for the closest concept-noun to which it might relate. If that noun (in our example it is "altitude") has a unit, it is extracted and added after the number.

Let us now discuss the procedures used to obtain the results shown above. The top procedure is INT-E (for "internal-to-English") which performs some initialization and checks, and prepares the call of INT-E-Ø, the real working horse. INT-E-Ø is responsible for breaking the tree-list of information taken from the semantic network down into smaller strings. INT-E-Ø accomplishes this by recursive calls to itself, till the strings are appropriate to produce English sentences. The supervision of the construction of individual sentences is done by INT-E-SENT (for "internal-to-English-sentence"), except when certain special attributes like location, superc, superp, range, and average, are found. These attributes require and deserve special constructions. They originate a call to the procedure SPATT (for "special-attributes").

INT-E-SENT calls several other procedures. One of them is called ATTØ; it handles the relation of the present potential subject (it has been prepared by INT-E-Ø) with previous ones, and may decide to modify or pronominalize it. OF-IN-ON takes the string which is going to be the subject on the sentence, and
forms a phrase with properly placed determiners (which are added), adjectives, and prepositions connecting nouns. It also handles atomic lists of nouns or adjectives to produce English conjunctive phrases. In all this, OF-IN-ON is the principal routine with help from many lower-level ones to perform the different specific tasks.

The procedure VRB (for "verb") is next called by INT-E-SENT; it selects the appropriate verbal form, which can be singular or plural depending on both subject and predicate; incidentally, VRB can, if necessary, modify the number of the tentative subject of the sentence in order to preserve agreement with the predicate. VRB can also use past forms if this is indicated to it by a flag.

Finally, the routine INT-E-PRED, through recursive calls to itself, and with the help of different lower-level routines, constructs the predicate for the sentence.

Many different auxiliary procedures had to be developed in support of English-text generation. One of them checks for number in words, basically in a morphological way, but exceptions like "Buenos Aires" and "people" must also be dealt with. Associated routines are capable of forming plurals, or constructing singulars from given plurals (incidentally, these functions are also used by the retrieval functions and other components of SCHOLAR). Other auxiliary routines are POS
(for "part-of-speech") which checks the part of speech of a word or an atomic list, and lSTPOS ("first part-of-speech") which extracts from a complex list the first word which is a given part-of-speech. This last function is important in the operation of INT-E-Ø, INT-E-PRED, and it is also used by other components of SCHOLAR like E-3 when analyzing student input. Still other auxiliary routines, like DET and A-AN, handle the assignment of determiners.

So far we have presented some examples of output produced by SCHOLAR's English-text generator, and discussed this module in a structural way. Let us now analyze it in a dynamic way.

In general terms, the English-text generator is a highly recursive, single-pass set of routines, with look-ahead and look-behind capabilities that make the above routines context-sensitive; we think that the best way to further discuss this is by showing (see Fig. IV. 14 a to e) a trace of the English-text generator operating on an actual example. In this trace, some of the most important procedures originate a type-out of their list of arguments, and of the value they return. These type-outs appear interleaved with actual text being produced by the text generator. Indentations are automatically made in the tracings in relation to the level of embedding.

We see in Fig. IV. 14 (a) the question which will be answered with the last portion of the answer of Fig. IV. 13, and then E-3 returning a list of information. This is the first
*TELL ME ABOUT THE PLAINS IN ARGENTINA.*

E-3:
INPUT = (TELL ME ABOUT THE PLAINS IN ARGENTINA "")
NBDÒ = T
MODE = NIL

E-3 = ((FERTILE NIL USUALLY) ((SL EASTERN CENTRAL)
NIL PAMPA) (NORTHERN NIL CHACO))

INT-E-Ø:
X = ((FERTILE NIL USUALLY) ((SL EASTERN CENTRAL) NIL
PAMPA) (NORTHERN NIL CHACO))
ATTØ = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)
N = Ø
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL

INT-E-Ø:
X = (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
ATTØ = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)
N = Ø
NODE = T
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL

INT-E-SENT:
PRED = (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
ATTØ = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)
A = NIL
AA = NIL
N = 2
BB = T
PAST = NIL
PUNCT = NIL
VRB = NIL

ATTØ:
ATTØ = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)
PRED = (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)

ATTØ = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)

VRB:
ATTØ = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)
PRED' = (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
PAST = NIL
VRB = (ARE)

Fig. IV.14 (a) Traced Protocol of an Example of English-Text Generation
OF-IN-ON:
X = (PLAINS ARGENTINA)
A = NIL
AFTXN = NIL

OF-IN-ON = (THE PLAINS IN ARGENTINA)
THE PLAINS IN ARGENTINA ARE
INT-E-PRED:
Y = (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
NODE = T
A = NIL
XCN = NIL
AB = NIL

INT-E-PRED:
Y = (USUALLY)
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
XCN = NIL
AB = (FERTILE)

INT-E-SENT = NIL
INT-E-Ø = T

INT-E-Ø:
X = ((SL EASTERN CENTRAL) NIL PAMPA)
ATTØ = NIL
N = Ø
NODE = T
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL

INT-E-Ø:
X = (PAMPA)
ATTØ = ((SL EASTERN CENTRAL) PLAINS ARGENTINA)
N = 2
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL

INT-E-SENT:
PRED = (PAMPA)
ATTØ = ((SL EASTERN CENTRAL) PLAINS ARGENTINA)
A = NIL
AA = NIL
N = 2
BB = NIL
PAST = NIL
PUNCT = NIL
VRB = NIL

Fig. IV.14 (b) Traced Protocol of an Example of English-Text Generation (cont.)
Fig. IV.14 (c) Traced Protocol of an Example of English-Text Generation (cont.)
INT-E-0:
X = (CHACO)
ATT0 = (NORTHERN ($L$ EASTERN CENTRAL) PLAIN)
N = 2
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL

INT-E-SENT:
PRED = (CHACO)
ATT0 = (NORTHERN ($L$ EASTERN CENTRAL) PLAIN)
A = NIL
AA = NIL
N = 2
BB = NIL
PAST = NIL
PUNCT = NIL
VRB = NIL

ATT0:
ATT0 = (NORTHERN ($L$ EASTERN CENTRAL) PLAIN)
PRED = (CHACO)

ATT0 = (NORTHERN PLAIN)

VRB:
ATT0 = (NORTHERN PLAIN)
PRED' = (CHACO)
PAST = NIL

VRB = (IS)

OF-IN-ON:
X = (NORTHERN PLAIN)
A = NIL
AFTXN = NIL

OF-IN-ON = (THE NORTHERN PLAIN)

THE NORTHERN PLAIN IS
INT-E-PRED:
Y = (CHACO)
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
XCN = NIL
AB = NIL

Fig. IV. 14 (d) Traced Protocol of an Example of English-Text Generation (cont.)
THE CHACO

INT-E-PRED = 10

* INT-E-SENT = NIL

INT-E-Ø:
X = NIL
ATTØ = NIL
N = 2
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL

INT-E-Ø = T
INT-E-Ø = T
INT-E-Ø = T
INT-E-Ø = T

*


Fig. IV.14 (e) Traced Protocol of an Example of English-Text Generation (cont.)
argument X for INT-E-∅ (the call to INT-E is not traced), the second, ATT∅, being the name of the requested property. The argument N is related to indentation. The argument NODE is T if X is a node in the semantic network and NIL if it is a value, argument A is related to determiners, PAST is obvious, and AA can refer to some adverbial modifiers. The next call to INT-E-∅ selects the first element from the former value, with NODE = T.

Now INT-E-∅ finds no noun in X (neither CN nor XN), and decides to consider X as the predicate of a sentence, by calling INT-E-SENT. This procedure first calls ATT∅ which modifies nothing. Then VRB returns "(ARE)". In (b) OF-IN-ON converts (PLAINS ARGENTINA) into (THE PLAINS OF ARGENTINA) which is then typed out, together with the verb. Then INT-E-PRED recursively analyzes the predicate, and produces the type-out USUALLY FERTILE.

Control is then returned to INT-E-∅ which proceeds with the second element of the original value of X. Processing is similar to that in the first case, with some variations. One of them is the action of ATT∅ which now eliminates "Argentina" from the future subject of the sentence, since its presence would be redundant if we take into account the former sentence, already typed out. Next we see the VRB returns (IS) in spite of having "plains" in the subject. This is because the predicate is singular. When the proposed subject is shown again, as an argument to OF-IN-ON, we observe that VRB has properly changed "plains" into "plain". OF-IN-ON in turn correctly processes the conjunctive adjective ($L EASTERN CENTRAL), and the correct
sentence "The eastern and central plain is the Pampa" is formed.

Control is then returned again to INT-E-Ø which starts processing the last element of the original value X. The tentative subject proposed by INT-E-Ø to INT E SENT (see (d) in Fig. IV. 14) is now an incorrect form, (NORTHERN ($L EASTERN CENTRAL) PLAIN) obtained by concatenation of the adjective "northern" with the previously proposed subject. But the procedure ATTØ performs the necessary filtering; it recognizes the old adjective, and eliminates it, returning "northern plain". The rest of the traced protocol merits no further comments.

Though the traced protocol of Fig. IV. 14 which we have discussed above does not present all the capabilities of the SCHOLAR English-text generator, it does illustrate, within constraints of space, its general characteristics.
IV.7 Overall Question-Answering Procedures

In a gross division of tasks in SCHOLAR, question-answering procedures are one of the major modules. In the last three subsections, we have independently seen the three separate components (retrieval procedures, student-input processing, and English-text generation) whose aggregate would essentially represent the question-answering part of SCHOLAR. We think, however, that it is convenient to give the reader a brief unified view of SCHOLAR's question-answering capabilities. This will be done in this subsection by presenting and discussing examples.

Fig. IV.15 presents an on-line protocol with SCHOLAR operating in its question-answering mode. In the first question, information about the number of countries is not explicitly present as such in the data base, so SCHOLAR counts the countries to produce an answer. All the following questions but the last are various ways to ask about the population size of Venezuela. This gives an idea of the relative freedom permitted in formulating a question. Observe the "How many people . . .?" question, where population is not mentioned, and the alternative requests for either "population," "size of the population," and "population size" in questions 3 to 5. Question 6 is a somewhat awkward construction which SCHOLAR manages, however, to comprehend. Questions 7 and 8
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0/A)
*HOW MANY COUNTRIES ARE THERE IN SOUTH AMERICA?*

THE COUNTRIES OF SOUTH AMERICA ARE 11.

*HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE THERE IN VENEZUELA?*

THE POPULATION IS APPROX 8000000 PEOPLE.

*WHAT IS THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA?*

THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA IS APPROX 8000000 PEOPLE.

*WELL, HAVE YOU MADE UP YOUR MIND?*

*WHAT IS THE SIZE OF THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA?*

THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA IS APPROX 8000000 PEOPLE.

*GIVE ME THE POPULATION SIZE OF VENEZUELA.*

THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA IS APPROX 8000000 PEOPLE.

*HOW MUCH POPULATION HAS VENEZUELA?*

THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA IS APPROX 8000000 PEOPLE.

*TELL ME IF THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA IS 7000000.*

THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT. THE EXACT ANSWER IS 8000000.

*IS THE POPULATION OF VENEZUELA 3000000?*

WRONG.

THE CORRECT ANSWER IS: 8000000.

*YOU ARE TAKING TOO MUCH TIME...*

*THE POPULATION OF VENEZUELA IS --.--*

THE POPULATION IN VENEZUELA IS APPROX 8000000 PEOPLE.

*WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE ACONCAGUA AND ARGENTINA?*

THE HIGHEST ALTITUDE OF THE ANDES, THE PRINCIPAL MOUNTAINS IN ARGENTINA, IS THE ACONCAGUA.

Fig. IV.15 On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR's Question-Answering Mode
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are two forms of a true-false question on the same subject as the former questions; note that question 8 could also be called a yes-no question. Observe the different answers to questions 7 and 8, due to the different values proposed by the student; since SCHOLAR is using its general matching routines in answering true-false questions, it can accept an approximate statement. Question 9, again on the population of Venezuela, is an example of fill-in question which the system properly "comprehends", and then answers. Finally, question 10 was included because of the nice way in which OF-IN-ON handled a long string as subject of the answer sentence; the explicative (which could be omitted) is written as such, between commas, since the previous noun is an XN, which together with the initial part would suffice for naming purposes; observe that answering this question has involved, through RET, a call to RETA with the retrieval of a very long attribute chain.

Fig. IV.16 is essentially a display of different possible forms and stylistic variations for the formulation of true-false questions. The response about Rio de Janeiro occurred because SCHOLAR had it undefined at the time when the protocol was taken. Observe that the two last questions are of a slightly different nature, since we are there asking about superconcepts.

Fig. IV.17 is a protocol of the process of comprehending
Q/A

*TELL ME IF MONTEVIDEO IS THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY.*
VERY GOOD.

*TELL ME IF THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY IS BUENOS AIRES*

WHY ARE YOU TAKING SO MUCH TIME? NOW, ABOUT YOUR STATEMENT...
YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT.
The correct answer is: MONTEVIDEO

*IS MONTEVIDEO THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY?*
YOU ARE CORRECT.

*IS THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY MONTEVIDEO?*
THAT'S FINE.

*IS IT TRUE THAT THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY IS MONTEVIDEO?*
THAT'S RIGHT.

*IS IT TRUE THAT RIO DE JANEIRO IS THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY?*

IN WHAT YOU SAY, I DON'T RECOGNIZE THE WORD "RIO DE JANEIRO".
AGAIN, PLEASE:

*IS IT TRUE THAT BUENOS AIRES IS THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY?*
THAT'S NOT RIGHT.
The correct answer is: MONTEVIDEO

*IS 60 THE AVERAGE TEMPERATURE IN MONTEVIDEO?*
WHAT YOU SAY IS APPROXIMATELY CORRECT. BUT A MORE EXACT
VALUE IS 65.

*IS PERU A COUNTRY?*
VERY GOOD.

*IS MONTEVIDEO A CAPITAL?*

Fig. IV.16 Handling of True-False Questions by SCHOLAR
Q/A)

*HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE THERE IN VENEZUELA?*

E-3:
INPUT = (HOW\MANY PEOPLE ARE\THERE IN VENEZUELA ?)
NBD0 = T
MODE = NIL

RETV:
ATT = SUPERC
OBJ = (PEOPLE)
FLGQ = NIL
AA = NIL

RETV = ((UNIT))

RETV:
ATT = APPLIED\TO
OBJ = (PEOPLE)
FLGQ = NIL
AA = NIL

RETV = ((POPULATION))

RETV:
ATT = POPULATION
OBJ = VENEZUELA
FLGQ = NIL
AA = NIL

RETV = (((APPROX NIL \ 8000000.)))
E-3 = (((APPROX NIL \ 8000000.)))

INT-E:
X = (((APPROX NIL \ 8000000.))
ATT0 = POPULATION
N = Ø
NODE = NIL
A = NIL
PAST = NIL
AA = NIL
BB = T

THE POPULATION IS APPROX 8000000.
RETV:
ATT = UNIT
OBJ = (APPROX NIL \ 8000000.)
FLGQ = NIL
AA = NIL

RETV = NIL

Fig. IV.17 Traced Protocol of an Example of Question-Answering by SCHOLAR
and answering the second question presented in Fig. IV.15 above. In Fig. IV.17 (as in the previous subsection's Fig. IV.14) some of the most important routines involved have been traced, i.e., their calls and returns are printed-out, and appear mixed with ordinary student and SCHOLAR typing. Incidentally, the way question 1 of Fig. IV.15 is processed is very different from the procedure displayed here. Going back to Fig. IV.17, "people" is not an attribute of any property in Venezuela. But SCHOLAR recognizes that "how many" is asking for a number. SCHOLAR examines the information associated with "people" and discovers that it can be considered as a unit (in the numerical sense) applied to "population". It then searches for the population of Venezuela, and within it, retrieves the top numerical property. Having printed out the number 8000000, it wants to assign a unit to it. By now, SCHOLAR has forgotten that in processing the question it started out with "people." So it searches the subject of the sentence, extracts the first concept noun in it, and investigates as to whether that CN has a unit (again in the numerical sense). This way SCHOLAR rediscovers that the "unit" of "population" is "people," and prints this word out.

IV.8 Question-Generation and Context-Handling Procedures

In this subsection we will discuss how SCHOLAR handles the generation of questions and, when necessary, of contexts. Part of the latter operation was described in Subsection IV.2c,
when we talked about the context push-down list CTXPDLC.

The fundamental function here is QGEN (for "question generation"). The principal arguments are a context X, and a mode of questioning MOD. But one or both of them may be missing, in which case, QGEN properly generates them.

A basic routine is RETGEN (for "retrieve and generate"), which when given a type node in the information structure, selects through recursive calls an appropriate node pointed by the corresponding unit. If we are trying to select a subcontext within a context, RETGEN is called by CTXGEN with the argument CTXGEN = T. If the purpose is to select a string from which to form a question, RETGEN tries to select an appropriate terminal node (as said before, this facilitates handling the student answer). An argument called CHNFLG controls the possibility of jumping from the initial unit to another unit; a question like "What is the population size of the capital of Uruguay?" is originated this way. Another argument, BRK$, controls the possibility of breaking a list of elements and requesting only one of them in the question, as is the case in "Is Córdoba one of the cities in Argentina?"

The effect of both CHNFLG and BRK$ upon RETGEN is probabilistic. In the present version of SCHOLAR, the selection of each element added to a partially formed string is also probabilistic, with weights which inversely depend on the
irrelevancy tags. Finally, within RETGEN, temporary tags are properly handled to keep track of what has been already asked (see also subsection IV.2d).

The procedure that selects a mode from available lists of modes in which weights are given to them, is called MODGEN (for "mode generation") and is also probabilistic. This procedure is usually called by STR-Q (for "string-to-question") which is the top routine in the group handling the conversion of a string into an English sentence. STR-Q calls other routines like STR-A ("string-to-affirmative"), STR-WH ("string-to-WH/question") which in turn can call SPATT-WH ("special attribute for WH questions"). The general INT-E-SENT can be called in several cases, for example, by STR-A.

In SCHOLAR'S question generation procedures the questioned element is always the value of the generalized triple. Within this, SCHOLAR is capable of generating questions of four basic types: WH-questions, true-false, fill-in, and multiple-choice, with many possible variations in each. Of course, multiple-choice questions require the formulation of a question for which alternative answers are given; that question can be a WH or a fill-in question. Multiple-choice and "incorrect" true-false, questions require the generation of alternatives to the correct statement. This is done by the procedure called ALTGEN which has three arguments. The first is the value which we want to replace, the second is the required number
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of alternatives, and the third is the probability of generating alternatives unrelated to the given value, an alternative being considered related if it has the same superconcept as the value; even unrelated alternatives are the same part-of-speech words as the value they could replace. The number of alternatives is currently set to three in multiple-choice, which, including the correct value, gives the student four choices. The number of alternatives to generate is, of course, only one for wrong true-false questions.

When the value is numerical, be it a single number or a range, ALTGEN calls another routine called ALT#GEN. It generates the proper single numbers or numerical ranges, with each generated number being within a certain multiplicative range of the corresponding correct number, but also different enough (at least by a factor of 2) from it to avoid considering the alternative as correct.

It must be said that selection of a question, and especially, of the syntax for it, is a matter of experimentation, convenience, and even taste. For example, in SCHOLAR there are some strings which only yield true-false or multiple-choice questions. This is the case, for example, with strings which have an adjective as a value. If an open question is asked from the string "varied topography Argentina," many different correct answers could be given instead of "varied." For these reasons, we are using true-false questions, and sometimes
multiple-choice questions as a catch-all category. This might suggest that we could (or should) use true-false questions less often in other cases where other forms are possible.

Another more or less arbitrary choice in SCHOLAR is the assignment of equal probability to correct and wrong statements in true-false questions. The wrong statements are originated by replacing the questioned value with an alternative of the same kind (which we have defined above as that having the same superconcept). If this cannot be found, perhaps because the superconcept is undefined, SCHOLAR currently forms the negation of the original statement ("Is it true that the topography in Argentina is not varied?"). This sometimes originates questions that are somewhat bizarre, and another strategy might be preferable.

Still another questionable decision applies to multiple-choice questions. Quite often, multiple-choice questions generated by human teachers contain some unreasonable items, i.e. items that are unrelated to the one they could replace. We have experimented with this, and most of the protocols have been taken with a fifty percent probability of originating unrelated alternatives. This seems too high now; that figure should either be zero, or a low number.

The discussion above illustrates the modularity and adjustability of SCHOLAR, and evidences its potential value
to test strategies in verbal communication and teaching.

Let us now look at the dynamics of question generation. Fig. IV.18 is essentially a traced protocol of that. First, QUESLIS and CTXPDL are checked. The latter is at its initial stage, which means that a subcontext will have to be generated. Then a call is made to QGEN; no arguments are given which means that the routine will have to provide both its context and mode. And, effectively, QGEN calls CTXGEN with argument "South America", the overall context in order to generate a suitable subcontext. The subcontext is selected by means of a call to RETGEN with object "South America" and CTXGEN = T. The context "Venezuela" is obtained. Next, QGEN calls RETGEN again, this time with object "Venezuela" and CTXGEN = NIL; the latter means that a question-string is sought. RETGEN returns a string and no mode, and then QGEN calls STR-Q without specifying a mode. This implies a call to MODGEN which probabilistically returns T/F (true-false). With this, STR-Q decides to present an incorrect true-false question, selects one style of true-false presentation, and forms the sentence by calling STR-A which in turn calls INT-E-SENT (these last steps are not shown in the traced protocol). Observe that STR-Q returns the used mode as its value. Finally, QGEN appends the new context to CTXPDL and the new question to both CTXPDL and QUESLIS, and returns the current state of the latter.
Fig. IV.18 Traced Protocol of Context and Question
Generation by SCHOLAR
Fig. IV.19 shows an exhaustive generation of strings out of a given unit. This is done by means of an auxiliary function, PRUEBA, which repetitively calls RETGEN with first argument CHILE, till RETGEN returns NIL indicating there are no more possible strings available. We are also showing in this figure the internal representation of the unit CHILE. Observe that the property with attribute superp does not originate any string since the irrelevancy tag is 6, which is a way of giving it zero relevancy. Effectively, we do not want a question from it since it overlaps with "location." Also observe that the strings return the chain object-attributes-value in reverse order; the purpose is to facilitate the construction of the subject in the questions which usually take attributes in an order opposite to that in the object tree-list.

Fig. IV.20 presents the result of different calls to STR-Q with different modes but the same string. The first multiple-choice question was originated with a fifty percent probability of unrelated alternatives, yielding only one city together with an ocean and a country as alternatives for "Lima." This seemed bad, and through a quick editing, we set the probability of unrelated alternatives to zero, obtaining the second (and better, we believe) multiple-choice question. Finally, two true-false questions were generated. In the second, a fourth argument set to T assured us to form a "correct" true-false question.
Fig. IV.19 Exhaustive Generation of Semantic Strings
WHAT IS THE CAPITAL IN PERU?

THE CAPITAL IN PERU IS ---

USE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

ANTARCTIC OCEAN
LIMA
GUAYANA
PUNTA DEL ESTE

TO FILL THE BLANK BELOW:

THE CAPITAL IN PERU IS ---

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

SAO PAULO
MONTEVIDEO
LIMA
CORDOBA

FOR THE QUESTION:

WHAT IS THE CAPITAL IN PERU?

IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT THE CAPITAL IN PERU IS BRAZILIA?

THE CAPITAL IN PERU IS LIMA.

TRUE OR FALSE?

- Fig. IV.20 Question Generation in Different Modes From a Given Semantic String
In Fig. IV.21 we have concentrated in showing the generation of WH-questions from different strings. Observe "when" and the past tense in the first question, the latter originated by a tag in the property "history" of "Uruguay." Also observe that OF-IN-ON eliminates "history" from the answer, because "history" is implied by "independence," being its superpart.

When that elimination does not happen, bad questions are obtained. An example is the second one, originated by the fact that "war" was an undefined word. A quick definition of "war" with "history" as its superpart corrects the defect in the question when later formed again. Incidentally, observe that STR-WH randomly selects between "where" and "in what date." Similarly, the last two questions in IV.21 illustrate the same choice between "where" and "in what" followed by superconcept. Other questions in Fig. IV.21 show how superconcept and superpart strings are handled.

Finally, Figs. IV.22 and IV.23 respectively show a number of examples of generation of true-false and multiple-choice questions. Observe the variety of strings that can be handled, and the selection of styles available. Also observe that of the three multiple-choice questions in Fig. IV.23, SCHOLAR decided to use a fill-in form in two of them, and a WH form in the third.
Fig. IV.21 Formation of WH Questions From Different Strings
Fig. IV.22 Generation of Various True-False Questions by SCHOLAR
USE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

6 22
15 368
15 45
33 98

TO FILL THE BLANK BELOW:

THE TEMPERATURE IN URUGUAY RANGES FROM --- TO --- DEGREES FAHRENHEIT.

NIL

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

CORDOBA
AGONCAGUA
PUNTA DEL ESTE
ARGENTINA

TO COMPLETE THE SENTENCE:

THE WESTERN BOUNDARY IN URUGUAY IS ---.

NIL

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

PAYSANDU
RIO DE JANEIRO
BUENOS AIRES
URUGUAY RIVER

IN THE QUESTION:

WHAT IS THE CAPITAL IN ARGENTINA?

NIL

Fig. IV.23 Generation of Various Multiple-Choice Questions by SCHOLAR
IV.9 The Matching Routines and Error Handling

We have said in Section III of this work that in SCHOLAR we were adopting a matching technique to check students' answers. The top matching procedure, called MATCH1, compares the expected answer (EXPANS) generated together with the question, and the actual answer (ANS) given by the student. On the one hand, MATCH1 checks for interruptions; it also initially calls FLGQ, a routine which examines the expected answer and decides if it should be considered atomic, a list of elements, a number, or some other special case. The return of FLGQ is combined with system and question flags into a list of flags used in analyzing the student's response. Next MATCH1 compares EXPANS with ANS. If identical it returns the result as "perfect." If not, it finds the intersection between EXPANS and ANS, and also the non-common elements present in EXPANS and ANS. It also calls NBDBND (see Subsection IV.5 above) with respect to unaccountable portions of the student answer. NBDBND returns the two lists of bound and unbound atoms in the student answer. Bound atoms can later be investigated for misconceptions.

Next, and depending on the type of expected answer, MATCH1 calls routines like ATOMMATCH (matching atoms), LISTMATCH (matching lists), or #MATCH (matching numbers). Finally, MATCH1 returns a list formed by the following elements:

(1) a word characterizing the degree of matching; this can be one of the following. perfect, correct
but not identical), correct (used for lists when enough but not all elements are given by the student), missing (no wrong elements, but some expected ones are missing), wrong, approximately\correct (used for numbers), Partc/partw (for partially correct - partially wrong), too much (extraneous elements added to an otherwise correct list).

(2) expected answer EXPANS.

(3) actual student answer ANS.

(4) intersection between (2) and (3).

(5) result of removing (4) from (2).

(6) result of removing (4) from (3).

(7) list of bound atoms in (6).

(8) list of unbound atoms in (6).

(9) list of current flags.

This list of items gives a fairly comprehensive picture about the student's answer and permits consequent decisions.

Let us now look at how atoms and lists are handled by the matching procedures. Fig. IV.24 presents an on-line testing of MATCH1, with different possible arguments. Misspellings are handled for both single-atom responses and multi-atom ones.
Fig. IV.24 Matching Expected Vs. Student Answers (Literal)
The current misspelling routine in SCHOLAR is based in a percentage of correct letters which is a system parameter; it is currently set at 70 percent. Observe the "partc/partw" case, and the list of lists returned by MATCH1. The number 3 indicates the length of the response which, if the atoms are correct, would make it acceptable. A system parameter acting into LISTMATCH can set that number to a percentage of the length of the expected answer. That parameter depends on flags; if "some" appears in the question and then as a flag, only a low percentage of the items will be requested; if "all," then all of them should be given; if "one," only one.

Unfortunately, no utilization of synonyms is made in Fig. IV.24. But SCHOLAR would accept them; for example, it considers correct the answer "U.S." if the expected answer is "United States", or "height" if the expected answer is "alti-
tude."

Fig. IV.25 presents an on-line testing of the matching routines in the case of numerical answers. We see that the program accepts both exact and approximate numerical answers (the flag APPROX is on). The acceptance of approximate answers depends on a system parameter, #AP, currently set at 1.3. If ANS is between EXPANS divided by 1.3 and EXPANS times 1.3, then ANS is accepted as approximately correct.

For numerical ranges, in which ANS and EXPANS are pairs of numbers, #MATCH examines the lower number, the higher one,
Fig. IV.25 Matching Expected Vs. Student Answers (Numerical)
and the difference between them. Each of these three numbers obtained from ANS must be approximately correct in order for ANS itself to be considered as approximately correct.

The output from MATCH1 is taken by the procedure NEXT, which can call the more specialized routines T/FMESS and BRANCH. NEXT and T/FMESS are responsible for typing appropriate messages to the student, some of them of a more or less constructed form (for example, in the case of partially correct—partially wrong answers). BRANCH is a very important component in an ISO CAI system. We have explained before, however, that in our developmental effort study of program actions conditional on student's errors had to be postponed till other more elementary components of SCHOLAR were ready. Because of this, BRANCH is not as developed as other parts of the system. It is set, however, to have certain interesting capabilities.

For example, in numerical wrong answers, BRANCH can check if the answer is completely unreasonable in terms of a range defined in the semantic network for the attribute to which the number applies. For example, in no place on earth the temperature averages, say, 150° Fahrenheit. A student's response, say 350, for an average temperature with correct value 50, is much worse than a response of, say, 80. Those unreasonable values could trigger further actions by the computer.

In the case of symbolic answers, if a student asked about the capital of Argentina responds Brasilia, he is not making
as serious a mistake as that made if he would answer Brazil
(which is a country). When an atomic response is unreasonable
(superconcept different from that of the expected response),
then an attempt is made by SCHOLAR to question the student on
the superconcept of the wrong answers, and if that fails, on
the location of that item. There are some other possibilities,
like going to a "correct" true-false question, to request the
student to try again, or finally, to give the correct answer
to the student. These decisions are not probabilistic; a def-
inite sequence is followed.

IV.10 Some Implementation Considerations

SCHOLAR has been implemented in BBN-LISP in an XDS-940
time-sharing computer. Conversion to a larger and faster
Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-10 with hardware paging is
under way.

BBN-LISP (Bobrow et al., 1968) is a sophisticated and
versatile version of LISP. It was the first paged version of
LISP available, very successful thanks to skillful heuristics
followed in space allocation (Bobrow et al., 1967). The fact
that the system is paged (which is invisible to the user) gives
the user a virtual memory considerably larger than core memory;
this was the major consideration guiding the selection of this
environment for the implementation of SCHOLAR. Another feature
of BBN-LISP is its excellent conversational editing and debug-
ging capability; this is very convenient when developing a prototype system of the complexity and size of SCHOLAR.

Let us now give some statistics on SCHOLAR. It essentially takes all available space given by the current BBN-LISP system, which is 144K (K=1024) XDS-940 24-bit words. Each LISP word takes two XDS-940 words, while binary-program, compiled-code, and array words take one. After taking some auxiliary portions out, we have some 35K occupied by the LISP system. Next, SCHOLAR (program and data) takes of the order of 45 x 2K words most of which is program lists (the program is running interpretively, see below). The semantic network is approximately 6K LISP words, i.e. 12K 940 words. Space for other data is roughly equivalent. The space taken by names is not included above; in SCHOLAR it is currently around 10K 940 words. The rest, of the order of 10K 940 words, is the working space with which the program operates (temporary lists and atoms).

In terms of speed, the current XDS-940 time-sharing system is quite sensitive to the presence of many users, particularly those with large programs which force heavy paging. With a very light load, answering a student question now takes approximately one minute. This figure is deceiving, however, because by our own choice the program is currently running interpretively. The reason for this is that, being SCHOLAR an experimental system and not a final product, changes and experiments are constantly being made; having compiled code
would have forced very frequent recompilations. These are particularly inconvenient because limitations of space prevent the possibility of having the interpretive version around when running the compiled one; necessity for frequent reloadings is the consequence. Experiments done with compiled versions, however, follow the general results obtained in BBN-LISP. These indicate that approximately a fifteen-fold increase in speed is obtained by compilation. The next factor will appear when conversion to the PDP-10 is made. Very conservative estimates would yield here a factor of four as gain in speed. Combining both factors we have a conservative estimate of a sixty-fold gain in speed for a compiled version on the PDP-10. This would bring the response time to a student question down to approximately one second, a very reasonable figure indeed.

It seems appropriate to include in this subsection a look at what features we would like computer systems to have in order to help the future development of ISO CAI systems.

One of them is clearly larger memories. Paging allowing large virtual memories at the expense of a loss in speed may not be the ideal long-term solution. We would like to have much larger direct-access memories. A moment of thought indicates, however, that we would like those larger memories to store much larger and intricate semantic networks. Therefore, we could safely assume that, after being built, those networks need not change during students' interactions. The use of
read-only fast optical memories is a suggestive possibility in that respect; it may be practical in the not-too-distant future.

Parallel-processing capabilities could be an important advantage for computer systems using semantic networks as databases. The frequent searches fanning out from a given node would benefit considerably.

Within LISP, we would like to see the capability for having overlays, in order to replace parts of the semantic network without the need to load the new material (with the consequent garbage collections). Some problems, like the effects of pointers to structures that have disappeared, exist; hopefully, those pointers could be reduced to a minimum through some modularization.

Let us conclude this section on implementation with a note on speed, efficiency, and cost. We have already considered running speed, and shown that it could be quite satisfactory. About efficiency, it is a desirable quality, but not essential in an effort like SCHOLAR. We were not trying to build an efficient CAI system, but to demonstrate that a new type of CAI is feasible; efforts to optimize coding will come later. In the long run, probably a more important inefficiency is to waste programmers', teachers', and especially students' time using CAI systems that are not the best that present technology can provide.
Finally, what about cost? In a research effort like SCHOLAR we have little space for cost considerations. For some time ISO systems will be too expensive to be used by real students. In a not too distant future, however, more powerful ISO CAI systems will be built in computers better suited to them. At that time, we can talk about cost. And, in any case, we should not wait to have those computers and then develop the scientific bases and the software technology to use them. Besides, if we do not consider the cost per lesson, but some cost as a function of learning and achievement, and we include the cost of teachers' time, it is possible that the break-even point between AFO and ISO CAI systems may occur much sooner than what more conservative and limited considerations would predict.
V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

V.1 ISO Versus AFO CAI

We are now in a good position to establish a comparison between the classic ad-hoc frame-oriented CAI systems, and the information-structure-oriented ones we have just introduced. SCHOLAR is the first prototype of the latter kind, while LIBRO (discussed in Subsection II.3) is an example, though somewhat atypical, of the AFO kind.

Let us first consider the capabilities of both types of systems. Both can present material and questions to the student. AFO systems can at this time ask more involved questions but these must have been formulated in all detail in advance by a human teacher. ISO systems have better capabilities for analyzing unanticipated answers, which they can relate to their semantic memory. Because of this, ISO systems can be designed to have diagnostic capabilities which AFO systems can not possess; they can only work on specific errors anticipated by the teacher.

AFO systems do not allow students' questions; ISO systems can handily process and answer them. This leads to a true conversational capability, with questions from both sides that will depend on overall context, specific context, what has just happened in the previous question, etc.
We have further shown that ISO systems exhibit the capability for handling relevancy and determining how much information and which to present at a given time either in response to a question or as new material. This is something completely alien to AFO systems.

The teacher preparing frames of text, questions, answers, and branching for AFO systems is faced with an extensive, rather boring, and unchallenging task. It is known that teachers preparing AFO CAI courses can barely catch up with the students which use up the material very fast. Preparing the 1000th question takes the same time and effort as preparing the first. Finally, in AFO systems the teacher is not necessarily led to conceptualize his subject. On the contrary, the teacher's role in an ISO system is a more conceptual one, with less concern for repetitive examples. Adding a new piece of information to the data base usually permits many possible new questions; the program can also use that piece of information to draw inferences and set relations. The larger the semantic network on a given context the greater will be the effect of an addition to that network.

In terms of practical realization and use with students, ISO systems will still be objects of research and development for some time to come. AFO systems can be and are being implemented in many computers now. Actually, the problem with them is that they are frequently using facilities too powerful for
what those limited systems require. Many of them are input-output bound and make little use of the computer as such; programmed books could perhaps replace most drill-and-practice AFO CAI systems. ISO CAI systems, on the other hand, make heavy (and balanced, we believe) use of the different computer components, i.e., memory, central processing, and input-output devices. In order for ISO systems to be practical, they will require, perhaps, more powerful computational facilities than those existing now.

In Section IV.10 we briefly discussed the problem of costs, and argued that, when teachers time and effort is included, and when educational objectives are taken as unitary measures, ISO systems might be, for certain applications and in a not too distant future, quite competitive.

Let us conclude these brief remarks by emphasizing that we are not advocating the complete elimination of AFO. They will have their role for some time to come. We see them convenient for cases in which the subject matter is very diversified and the interactions with the students are planned to be brief. In that case the development of complex semantic networks is not justified. When discussion in depth is desired, when the student should have some initiative, when detailed anticipation is unwanted, then ISO systems are to be preferred. And, at the opposite end, when teaching sequences are extremely simple, perhaps trivial, one should consider doing away altogether with the computer, and using other devices or techniques
more in relation with the task.

V.2 General Conclusions

In the present document we have proved the feasibility and shown the basic capabilities of a new kind of CAI systems which we have labelled ISO systems (for information-structure-oriented). They are an attempt to improve upon classic ad-hoc frame-oriented (AFO) systems which are based on detailed specification in advance and by a human teacher of textual material, questions, correct and incorrect answers, and conditional actions. On the contrary, ISO systems require no detailed anticipation; they require instead an information structure which symbolically represents knowledge on the subject being discussed. A generative program operates on that information structure, constructs answers to students' questions, and originates program's questions and the corresponding correct answers. This leads to mixed-initiative man-computer dialogues in which either side can interrogate the other. The dialogues can take place in a rather unconstrained and comfortable subset of English.

CAI Systems of the ISO kind have not existed until now. Our task has been to prove that they can be built, and we have done this by example. The example is SCHOLAR, a prototype ISO CAI system capable of conducting a mixed-initiative review of the knowledge of a student about the geography of South America; the construction of the system and the data base are modular,
and SCHOLAR could be applied to many other topics (in geography and otherwise) with only very minor adjustments.

The detailed capabilities of SCHOLAR, and their implementations have been discussed in Section IV. Some of the modules (at different levels) represent only one possible solution, and they could be replaced without changing the basic philosophy of the approach. In a sense, SCHOLAR, more than a final product itself, is an environment in which variations in techniques and strategies can be formulated and tested.

V.3 Recommendations for Further Work

There are many possible and necessary lines of work stemming from the research here reported. Let us briefly state the main ones:

(1) Refinements and extensions in terms of program. Branching after errors should be an important concern here. The incorporation of some additional inferential capabilities has also importance. Completion of the work partially done on providing answers to questions which are based on generalized computation (like "compare" and "conjugate") should also have high priority.

(2) Extension of the data base in terms of both content and size. In terms of content we would like to create
an ISO system in some area where some additional capabilities could be tested. One of these is the proposition and monitoring of examples and problems, which do not necessarily have to be numerical. In terms of size, we would like to create a data base, say, ten times as large as that in SCHOLAR. We do not anticipate serious problems here because of the structure of our semantic network; problems would be much more serious if we had an internal representation based on more elementary units, such as triples.

(3) Design and implementation of procedures to help the teacher construct the data base. In Section III.7 we proposed to do this in a highly interactive way with the computer leading the teacher as far as this is possible.

(4) Investigations of discourse and teaching strategies, and related matters. We are here worrying about problems like the following. Is there a reasonable working taxonomy of errors which can be considered to be content-free? What actions should be taken after each type of error? How should the specific context of the material to follow be chosen? When and to what extent should the correct answer be presented to the student? How do we select the content of a specific question, given a specific context and, perhaps, other constraints, like recent errors?
Given that we have a string representing some meaning, what is the best way to form a question about it, or in other words, are there preferred question modes under well defined circumstances?

The modular nature of SCHOLAR and the fact that the program is independent of the content of the data base makes it an ideal vehicle to be used as a tool for research on discourse and teaching strategies. Furthermore, we want to claim that the design of ISO systems like SCHOLAR not only provides a good environment for research in that area, but also motivates it. Having to design an ISO system, we are forced to define and provide solutions for important questions poorly defined so far. Our point here is that SCHOLAR and similar ISO systems constitute an ideal environment for research on pedagogical questions; they are not only capable of serving as tools to provide answers, but they also force the formulation, in precise but general terms, of some questions of vital pedagogical importance.

(5) Extensions to applications outside the domain of CAI but where mixed-initiative contextual dialogues could be an important asset. Possible areas in this respect are, for example, information retrieval systems, computer-assisted design, and airline reservation systems.
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APPENDIX I: SOME MORE SCHOLAR PROTOCOLS
*W/A*
*HOW MANY COUNTRIES ARE THERE IN SOUTH AMERICA?*

THERE ARE 11.

*H WOULD #
I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT PERU*

PERU IS A COUNTRY.
IT IS LOCATED IN SOUTH AMERICA.
THE CAPITAL IS LIMA.

*PLEASE TELL ME MORE ABOUT PERU.*

THE AREA IS APPRX 480000 SQUARE MILES.
THE POPULATION IS APPRX 7000000 PEOPLE.

* PLEASE HURRY UP. I'VE WAITING FOR YOUR STATEMENT.*

*WHERE IS LIMA?*

LIMA IS LOCATED IN CENTRAL PERU NEAR THE PACIFIC OCEAN.

*WHAT IS THE POPULATION OF LIMA?*

SORRY, I DON'T KNOW.

*WOULD YOU PERHAPS KNOW THE CAPITAL OF ARGENTINA?*

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN BY THE WORDS "PERHAPS" AND "KNOW" AGAIN, PLEASE!

*WHAT IS THE CAPITAL OF ARGENTINA?*

THE CAPITAL IN ARGENTINA IS BUENOS AIRES.

*HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE THERE IN ARGENTINA?*

THE POPULATION IS APPRX 24000000 PEOPLE.

---

Fig. AI.1 On-Line Protocol Using SCHOLAR's Question-Answering Mode
HELLO, MY NAME IS SCHOLAR. I WILL HELP YOU IN REVIEWING
THE GEOGRAPHY OF SOUTH AMERICA. THIS IS ABC REGIONAL
HIGH SCHOOL. TODAY IS 04/30/70.

IF YOU HAVE CAREFULLY STUDIED YOUR INSTRUCTIONS, WE
MAY BEGIN THE REVIEW NOW.

WHEN YOU ARE READY TO START, TYPE READY --- REMEMBER
TO TERMINATE WITH AN ASTERISK * FOLLOWED BY A
CARRIAGE RETURN.

*READY*

NOT READY YET? LET ME KNOW AS SOON AS YOU CAN START.

*READY*

PLEASE TYPE YOUR NAME --- MR., MISS, OR MRS. FOLLOWED
BY FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME OR MIDDLE INITIAL,
AND LAST NAME.

*MR. JONATHAN K. O' MALLEY*

NAME OF YOUR INSTRUCTOR, PLEASE:

*MR. NORTHERLAND*

LET'S BEGIN OUR DISCUSSION NOW, MR. O' MALLEY.

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

VENEZUELA
URUGUAY RIVER
EUROPE
SOUTH AMERICA

TO FILL THE BLANK BELOW:

PARAGUAY IS LOCATED IN ---.

*VENEZUELA*

Fig. A1.2 (a) On-Line Mixed-Initiative Protocol
YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT.

IS THE FOLLOWING TRUE OR FALSE?
THE POPULATION IN PARAGUAY IS APPROX 1400000 PEOPLE.

*TRUE*

THAT'S RIGHT.

IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT THE CAPITAL IN PARAGUAY IS NOT ASUNCION?

*INCORRECT*

VERY GOOD.

THE CAPITAL IN PARAGUAY IS ASUNCION.

USE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

MONTEVIDEO
EUROPE
PAMPA
SOUTH AMERICA

TO COMPLETE THE SENTENCE:

COLOMBIA IS IN ---.

*SOUTH AMERICA*

THAT'S FINE.

APPROX WHAT IS THE AREA OF COLOMBIA?

*

YOU ARE TAKING TOO MUCH TIME...

*

PLEASE HURRY UP. I'M WAITING FOR YOUR STATEMENT.

*500000 SQUARE MILES.*

APPROXIMATELY TRUE, BUT A MORE EXACT VALUE IS 482000.

COLOMBIA IS A ---.

*COUNTRY*

YOU SHOULD HAVE WRITTEN "COUNTRY".

THAT'S RIGHT.

TYPE EITHER T OR F AFTER THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

THE POPULATION IN COLOMBIA IS APPROX 16000000 PEOPLE.

Fig. AI.2 (b) On-Line Mixed-Initiative Protocol (cont.)
*GIVE ME THE CAPITAL OF COLOMBIA?*

THE CAPITAL IN COLOMBIA IS BOGOTA.

NOW ANSWER THE QUESTION YOU DIDN'T ANSWER BEFORE.

*COR
REC WELL, HAVE YOU MADE UP YOUR MIND?
*CORRECT*

REPHEARSE YOUR STATEMENT. I CAN'T UNDERSTAND IT.

*1*

YOU ARE CORRECT.

THE LANGUAGE IN COLOMBIA IS ---.

*Q/A*

DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE GENERAL MODE OF THE INTERACTION TO Q/A?
PLEASE ANSWER Y OR N.

*Y*

OK, MR. O'MALLEY. LET'S GO AHEAD.

PLEASE HURRY UP. I'M WAITING FOR YOUR STATEMENT.

*WHAT IS THE AVERAGE TEMPERATURE IN THE CAPITAL OF URUGUAY?*

THE AVERAGE TEMPERATURE IN THE CAPITAL IN URUGUAY IS 65 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT.

IS IT TRUE THAT GUYANA IS LOCATED IN SOUTH AMERICA?

*YES*

THAT'S FINE.

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

LANGUAGE
CATHOLICISM
COUNTRY
DICTATORSHIP

TO ANSWER THE QUESTION:

WHAT IS GUYANA?

Fig. AI.2 (c) On-Line Mixed-Initiative Protocol (cont.)
HELLO, MY NAME IS SCHOLAR. I WILL HELP YOU IN REVIEWING THE GEOGRAPHY OF SOUTH AMERICA. THIS IS ABC REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL. TODAY IS 04/29/78.

IF YOU HAVE CAREFULLY STUDIED YOUR INSTRUCTIONS, WE MAY BEGIN THE REVIEW NOW.

WHEN YOU ARE READY TO START, TYPE READY --- REMEMBER TO TERMINATE WITH AN ASTERISK * FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE RETURN.

*READY*

PLEASE TYPE YOUR NAME --- MR., MISS, OR MRS. FOLLOWED BY FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME OR MIDDLE INITIAL, AND LAST NAME.

*MR. JAIME R. CARBONELL*

NAME OF YOUR INSTRUCTOR, PLEASE:

*MRS. BROWN*

LET'S BEGIN OUR DISCUSSION NOW, MR. CARBONELL.

APPROX WHAT IS THE POPULATION IN GUYANA?

*1500000*

YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT. THE CORRECT ANSWER IS: 700000.

GUYANA IS ----.

*A COUNTRY*

SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:

ALTITUDE MILES COUNTRY DEGREES FAHRENHEIT

Fig. AI.3 (a) On-Line Mixed-Initiative Protocol
TO COMPLETE THE SENTENCE:
ECUADOR IS A ----.
* COUNTRY *
THAT'S RIGHT.
THE AREA OF ECUADOR IS APPROX --- SQUARE MILES.
* 100000 *
THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT. MORE ACCURATELY, THE ANSWER IS 104000

USE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:
1190400.
-5777216.
422784.
4000000.

TO ANSWER THE QUESTION:
APPROX WHAT IS THE POPULATION IN ECUADOR?
*1190400**
YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT.
SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE LIST:
8000000.
2675500.
3863400.
3418800.

TO FILL THE BLANK BELOW:
The population in VENEZUELA is APPROX --- PEOPLE.
*8000000**

VERY GOOD.

WHAT ARE THE LOCATIONS IN ECUADOR?
* HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE THERE IN ECUADOR?*

THE POPULATION IS APPROX 4000000. PEOPLE.

NOW ANSWER THE QUESTION YOU DIDN'T ANSWER BEFORE.
*SOUTH AMERICA*

Fig. A1.3 (b) On-Line Mixed-Initiative Protocol (cont.)
APPENDIX II: LISTINGS
(PROGN (PRINT (QUOTE FILE" CREATED "))
  T)
  (PRINT (QUOTE 04/30/70" 1824:32")
  T)
  (TERPRI T))
(DEFINEQ
(SCHOLAR
  (LAMBDA (X # GRLMOD)
    (* TOP-LEVEL
      FUNCTION IN ENTIRE
      SYSTEM)
    (PROG NIL
       (INITIAL)
       (COND
        ((SCHOLARØ X # GRLMOD)
         (RETURN)))
        (WRAPUP))
    )))
(SCHOLARØ
  (LAMBDA (X # GRLMOD)
    (PROG NIL
      AA (NLSETQ (SELECTQ (COND
          (GRLMOD)
          (T (SETQQ GRLMOD MIXINIT)))
          (MIXINIT (SETQ GRLMOD (MIXINIT X #
            GRLMOD))))
          (Q/A (SETQ GRLMOD (Q/A X #)))
          (TEST (SETQ GRLMOD (MIXINIT X #
            GRLMOD))))
          (WRAPUP (RETURN T))
          (EXIT (RETURN T))
          (SETQQ GRLMOD MIXINIT)))))
          (GO AA))
          ()
      )))
(MIXINIT
  (LAMBDA (X # GRLMOD)
    (PROG (AA BLK BB C MOD MODO E-3 MATCH1 FLGQ
      ATTØ ANS STA STRØ CORRMAX CORR# PROPL')
      (SETQ AA Ø)
      AA (SETQ FLGQ)
      (SETQ FLG)
      (SETQ KLOCK' (KLOCK'))
      (COND
       ((LESSP (DIFFERENCE KLOCK' TOTDURØ)
            MAXDUR))
       (T (RETURN (QUOTE WRAPUP))))
      (COND
       ((NULL #))
       )
      ))
      )
(((LESSTP AA #)
  (SETOQ AA (ADD1 AA)))
  (T (RETURN (QUOTE EXIT))))
(QGEN X MOD BLK C)
(SETOQ X)
(SETOQ C)
(SETOQ MOD)
(SETOQ BLK)
(COND
  ((SETOQ BB (ANSAN))
   (RETURN BB))
  (NEXT MATCH1 FLGQ)
  (GO AA))
))

(TEST
  (LAMBDA (X # GRLMOD)
    (MIXINIT X # (SETOQ GRLMOD TEST)))))

(Q/A
  (LAMBDA (X #)
    (PROG (AA BB EXPANS)
      (COND
        ((NUMBERP #)
         (SETOQ AA #))
        (T (SETOQ GRLMOD Q/A)
         (SETOQ AA 0)))
      AA (LINELENGTH 63)
      (FEED 2)
      (GCMESS)
      (COND
        (X (SETOQ INPUT X))
        (T (SETOQ INPUT (PABLO (TIMES 2 DELAY))))
      )
      (COND
        ((SETOQ BB (QANS INPUT))
         (RETURN BB))
        (SETOQ AA (SUB1 AA))
        (COND
          ((ZEROP AA)
           (RETURN (QUOTE MIXINIT))
           (T (GO AA)))
        ))
      ))

(QANS
  (LAMBDA (INPUT)
    (PROG (ATTLIS ATT ATTQ OBJ VAL FLGQ EXPANS STR E-3 BB L T/K)
      (SETOQ FLGQ (CONS (QUOTE STUQ)))
      (COND
        ((MEMBER (SETOQ BB (E-3 INPUT T)))
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(CDR \#RIMODL))
(RETURN (CAR \BB)))
(\INT-E E-3 \ATT\O 0 NIL NIL NIL NIL T)
(SETOQ QUESLIS \NCONCL QUESLIS (LIST
(LIST #QUESINTER #INTER #INTER)
(LIST (QUOTE CTX)
(LAST \ATT\O)
(QUOTE #QUESINTER)
(SETOQ #QUESINTER (PLUS #QUES
(SETOQ \INTER (ADD1 \INTER))))))
(QUOTE #INTER #INTER)
(LIST E-3 \ATT\O STR INPUT F\LGO))))
(RETURN)

(INTER #INTER
(LAMBDA (INTER)
(\FEED 2)
(\COND
((NULL #INTER)
\PRCR M51)
(\TERPRI)
(\COND
((INTERSECTION YESLIS (RD*))
(\FEED 2)
(\PRCR M52)
(\TERPRI)
(Q/A \NIL T)
(\FEED 2))
(T \SETQ \INTER (SUB1 \INTER))))))
((EQ #INTER (QUOTE ANS))
(\INT-E EXPANS (QUOTE ANSWER)
0)
(\PRCR (PROBMESS NOANS))
(\FEED 2))
((MEMBREM (QUOTE WAIT)
INTER
(\PRCR M54)
(\TERPRI)
(WAIT (RD*#))
(\TERPRI)
(\PRCR M55)
(\TERPRI))
((MEMBREM (QUOTE HALT)
INTER
(HALT))
(T (Q/A #INTER T)))))
(\COND
(PR (PROBMESS KEYMESSE'1))
(PRAND INTER)
(PRCR-2 (PROBMESS KEYMESSE'2))
(PR (PROBMESS M75))
(PR (LISLIS XTREXPANS))
(PRCR-2 (CONS PERIOD)))
(TOOMUCH (PR M17))
(PRCR-2 (LISLIS EXPANS))
(BRANCH MATCH1))
(CORRECT0 (PRCR-2 (PROBMESS M77)))
(TRYAGAIN (PRCR (PROBMESS TRYAGAIN)))
(ANS)
(NOANS)
T)))))

(T/FMESS
(LAMBDA (X)
(SELECTQ (CAR X)
  ((PERFECT CORRECT CORRECT')
   (PRCR-2 (PROBMESS CORRMESSE))))
(APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT (PR (PROBMESS
   APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT))
(PR (PROBMESS ABS\CORR))
(PR EXPANS)
(PRCR-2 (CONS PERIOD)))
(WRONG (PRCR (PROBMESS WRMESSE)))
(COND
  ((MEMBER EXPANS (LIST TRULIS FLISLIS))
   (COND
    ((ZEROP (RAN Ø 1))
     (PR (PROBMESS M69))
     (PRCR (LIST (CAR EXPANS)
                PERIOD))))))
(T (PR M17))
(PRCR-2 EXPANS)))
(TOOMUCH (PR M17))
(PRCR-2 (LISLIS EXPANS))
T))))

(BRANCH
(LAMBDA (MATCH1)
  (PROG (AA BB X)
    (SETQ STR (REMOV (QUOTE (UNIT))
                    STR T))
    (SETQ STR0 (REMOV (QUOTE (UNIT))
                      STR0 T))
    (SELECTQ (CAR MATCH1)
      ((WRONG TOWR)
       (COND
        ((MEMB (QUOTE #)
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(car (nth match1 9))

(cond
  ((and (setq aa (ret (quote range))
          (1stpos attq (quote cn))
        qmark))
   (setq bb (remove nil
              (mapcar ans
                      (function
                        (lambda
                          (z)
                          (cond
                            ((or (fgtp
                                   (car aa)
                                   z)
                             (fgtp z
                                   (cadr aa))
                             z))))))))
   (pr (cons (s-pl 1stpos)))
   (pr m73)
   (pr (car aa))
   (pr (quote (to1))
   (pr (cadr aa))
   (pr (car (retv (quote unit)
              1stpos nil t))
   (prcr-2 (cons period)))))

(cond
  ((setq aa (ret qmark)
   (setq bb
         (nconc (cons 1stpos)
                 (altn x ct x 1))
   qmark))
  (setq x bb)
  (setq di (times 2 di))
  (t (pr m17)
   (prcr-2 expans))))

  ((and (null (cdr ans))
         (1stpos (setq aa (car ans))
                  (quote xni)))))

(cond
  ((equal expans trulis))
  ((equal expans fislis)
   (str-q strq ct x aff nil t))
  ((setq bb (ret (quote superc)
                aa qmark)))

  (cond
   ((member3 (car (ret
                    (quote superc)
                    (car expans)
                qmark)))
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(SETQ X (QUOTE LOCATION AA)))
(T (SETQ X (QUOTE SUPERC AA)))
(SCHOLAR X 1))
((ZEROP (RAM 0 2))
 (PRCR (PROBMESS TRYAGAIN))
 (SETQ BLK T))
((NOT (EQ MODO (QUOTE T/F)))
 (SETQ MOD T/F)
 (SETQ BLK 0)
 (SETQ C T))
((NOT (EQ (QUOTE TOWR)
 (CAR MATCH1)))
 (PR M17)
 (PRCR-2 EXPANS)))
((NOT (EQ (QUOTE TOWR)
 (CAR MATCH1)))
 (BRANCH (QUOTE (FROMWE))))
(MISSING (PR (PROBMESS M76))
 (PR (CONS (S-PL (1STPOS ATTØ
 (QUOTE CN))))))
 (PRCR-2 (CONS PERIOD))
 (SETQ BLK T)
 (SETQ EXPANS XTREXPANS))
NIL)

(STINTERACTION
 (LAMBDA NIL
 (PROG NIL
 (INITIAL')
 AB (COND
 ((CAR (MLSETQ (PROG (AA R)
 (FEED 3)
 (PR+)
 (FEED 2)
 (PRCR-2 M31)
 AA (COND
 ((MEMB (SETQ R (CAR (RD+)))))
 NOLIS)
 (TERPRI)
 (GO BB))
 ((MEMB R YESLIS))
 (T (PRCR-2 M16)
 (GO AA)))
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(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M32)
(SETQ INST (RD*))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M33)
(SETQ APP (RD*))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M34)
(CC
(COND
  ((MEMBER (SETQ AA
               (RD*))
    GRLMODL)
   (SETQ GRLMOD (CAR AA)))
   (T (TERPRI)
      (PRCR-2 M25)
      (GO CC))))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M47)
(SETQ INSINCH (RD*))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M35)
(SETQ TYPIST (RD*))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M36)
(SETQ SETDATE (RD*))
(TERPRI)
(COND
  ((EQUAL GRLMOD (QUOTE
                   TEST)))
   (PRCR-2 M37)
   (COND
    ((MEMB (CAR
             (RD*))
      NOLIS)
     (TERPRI))
    (T (TERPRI)
        (PRCR-2 M38)))
   (PRCR-2 M39)
   (SETQ K (RD*#))
   (TERPRI))
   (T (SETQ K 120)))
(PRCR-2 M40)
(SETQ MAXDUR (TIMES 60
               (RD*CH #)))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M41)
(SETQ MINDUR (TIMES 60
               (RD*CH #)))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR-2 M42)
(SETQ MIN#QUES (RD*CH #))
(TERPRI)
(PCR-2 M43)
(SETQ INS (RD*#))
(Feed 2)
(PCR-2 M26)
(COND
  ((MEMB (CAR (RD*CH))
    NOLIS)
   (SETQ NBDØ))
   (T (SETQ NBDØ T)))
(TERPRI)
(PCR-2 M27)
(SETQ CHNFLG (RD*#))
(TERPRI)
(PCR-2 M28)
(SETQ DELAY (RD*#))
(TERPRI)
(PCR-2 M29)
(SETQ DELAYCORR 1)
(SETQ DIØ (RD*#))
(TERPRI)
(PCR-2 M30)
(COND
  ((CAR (SETQ FLGØ
    (RD*#)))
   (T (SETQ FLGØ)))
(TERPRI)
(SETQ QUESLIS (CONS
  (CONS Ø)))
(SETQ IDENT (LIST INST APP
  GRNMOD INSINCH TYPIST
  SETDATE))
(SETQ INTVAL (LIST K INS))
(SETQ INTEND (LIST MAXDUR
  MINDUR MIN#QUES))
(SETQ PARAM (LIST NBDØ
  CHNFLG DELAY
  DELAYCORR DIØ FLGØ))
(SETQ SETINTERACTION
  (LIST IDENT INTVAL
    INTEND PARAM QUESLIS))

(TERPRI)
(PCR-2 M44)
(COND
  ((MEMB (CAR (RD*))
    YESLIS)
   (FEED 25)
   (PCR-2 M15)
   (SETQ NUMBSTU 1)
(TERPRI)
(PR+)
(TERPRI)
(SCHOLAR)
(RETURN T))
(T (TERPRI)
(COND
(((MEMB R YESLIS)
(PRETTYDEF NIL
(QUOTE
/SETINTER/)
(CONS (QUOTE
SETINTERACTION)
(CONS
(QUOTE STOP))))
(PRCR-2 M45)
(FEED 2)
(PR+)
(FEED 3)))
(RETURN T)))
))
(T (PRCR-2 M48)
(WAIT 15000)
(GO AB)))
))

(ERRORCORRECT
(LAMBDA (QPRES)
(PROG (AA)
((SETQ EXPANS (CAR (SETQ AA (CDDR CTXPD)))))
(COND
(QPRES (SETQ QUES (CADR AA))
(TERPRI)
(PRCR (PROBMES M56))
(PRCR QUES)))
(PRCR M17)
(PRCR EXPANS)
(Feed 2)
(TAGWRT (CDDR AA)
(QUOTE A&B)
@)
))
)

(EQUIV
(LAMBDA (A B)
(COND
(((NULL B))
(T (SETQ WRONG (CAR B))
(COND
(((EQUAL A (CAR WRONG)))
(SETQ M (FPLUS M (CADDR WRONG)))
(FLAG' (CADDDR WRONG)
THR)
(COND
  ((NULL ANS)
   (PRCR (PROBMESS WRMESS1)))
  (T (COND
      ((NULL (CAR (SETQ S (CADR WRONG))))
       (PRCR (PROBMESS WRMESS))
       (T (PRCR S))))))
(SETO PRUEBA 1)
(T (EQUIV A (CDR B)))))))

(FLOJO
 (LAMBDA NIL
 NIL))

(GCMESS
 (LAMBDA (AA)
 (COND
   ((LESSP (GCTRIP)
     2500)
    (COND
     (AA (RECLAIM T))
     (T (FEED 2)
      (GCGAG)
      (PRCR (PROBMESS M64))
      (RECLAIM T)
      (TERPRI)
      (PRCR-2 (PROBMESS M65))
      (GCGAG T))))))))

(INITIAL
 (LAMBDA NIL
 (PROG NIL
 (FEED 3)
 (INITIAL')
 (INITFN INITLIS)
 (PR+)
 (FEED 3)
 (PR M1)
 (PR (APPEND APP (CONS PERIOD)))
 (PR (QUOTE (THIS IS))))
 (PR (APPEND INSTNAME (CONS PERIOD)))
 (PR M2)
 (PRCR-2 (APPEND (DAT)
 (CONS PERIOD)))
 AB (FEED 3)
 (COND
  (CONT (PR CONTMESS))
`(PR APP)
(PRCR-2 CONTMESS')
(COND
  ((EQUAL (SETQ X (RD*CH)))
   (QUOTE (CONTINUE)))
   (GO DD))
  ((EQUAL X (QUOTE (START)))
   (T (TERPRI)
    (PRCR-2 WR)
    (GO AB)))))

(TERPRI)
(COND
  ((ZEROP INS)
   (PRCR-2 M3)
   (TERPRI))
  (T (PRCR-2 M4)
   (TERPRI)
   (PRCR-2 M5)
   (PRCR-2 M6)
   (PRCR-2 M7)))

(PR CR-2 M8)

BB (TERPRI)
(COND
  ((MEMBER (RD*)
   (QUOTE ((READY)
     (READY ".*"))))
   (TERPRI))
  (T (TERPRI)
   (PRCR-2 M9)
   (TERPRI)
   (GO BB)))

(PR CR-2 M10)

(SETQ STUNAME (RD*))

(SETQ LASTNAME (COND
  ((EQUAL (CADR STUNAME)
   PERIOD)
   (LIST (CAR STUNAME)
   PERIOD))
  (T (CONS (CAR STUNAME))))

(SETQ 1STNAME (CONS (CAR (REMOV LASTNAME STUNAME))))

(SETQ LASTNAME (APPEND LASTNAME
  (CONS (COND
    ((MEMBER (CAR (SETQ Y (REVERSE
      STUNAME)))
     (PUNCTL)
     (CADR Y))
    (T (CAR Y)))))
   (TERPRI)
   (PRCR-2 M11)
(SETQ INSTRUCTOR (RD*))
(TERPRI)
(SETQ DELAYCORR 1)
(SETQ RESULTS (CONS (QUOTE INCOMPLETE)))
(FEED 2)
DD (FEED 3)
(PR M15)
(PR (APPEND LASTNAME (CONS PERIOD)))
(FEED 4)
(CLEARBUF)
(PR+)
(FEED 3)
))

(INITIAL')
(LAMBDA NIL
  (GCMESS)
  (MINFS MINFS)
  (SETQ KLOCK' (KLOCK'))
  (SETQ CTXPDL (COPY AGENDA))
  (MAPC CTXPDL (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
         (TAGWRT Z (QUOTE LIF)
         (PLUS KLOCK' (TAGCHK Z (QUOTE DUR0)))))))
  (PROG (AA BB)
         (SETQ AA (REMAINDER KLOCK' 11))
         (SETQ BB 0)
         AA (COND
             ((LESSP BB AA)
              (RAND 0 1))
             (T (SETQ BB (ADD1 BB))
               (GO AA)))
   )
  (SETQ P T)
  (SETQ FUN (APPEND P-GRL APPFUN))
  (INITFNQ SYMB)
  (LINELENGTH 52)
  (FLTFMT 0))

(KLOCK
 (LAMBDA NIL
  (ASSEMBLE NIL
   (BRS 42)
   (CLB)
   (LSH 7)
   (LRSH 7)
   (E (BOX SP))
  )))

(KLOCK'
 (LAMBDA NIL
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(PROG (X Y Z)
  (SETQ Y (CAR (SETQ X (DIVIDE (ASSEMBLE NIL
    (BRS 153)
    (XAB)
    (Z (EOC SP)))
  )
  64)))
  (SETQ Z (CAR (SETQ X (DIVIDE Y 64))))
  (RETURN (PLUS (TIMES Z 3600)
    (TIMES (CDR Y)
    60)
    (CDR X)))
)
)

(PABLO
  (LAMBDA (DELAY)
    (PROG (Y Z X B AA)
      (SETQ AA Ø)
      AA
      (CLEARBUF)
      (SETQ NETDUR (CLOCK Ø))
      (PRIN1 (QUOTE *))
      (SETQ P)
      BB
      (SETQ Y (PLUS (SETQ X (KLOCK))
        (TIMES 50 DELAY)))
      CC
      (COND
        ((LESSP (SETQ Z (KLOCK))
          X)
        (GO BB))
        ((LESSP Y Z)
          (COND
            ((LESSP 4 AA)
              (PRCR-2 M63)
              (GO AB)))
            (TERPRI)
            (PRCR-2 (PR0MESS HURRY T))
            (SETQ D (ADD1 D))
            (WAIT 1000)
            (SETQ AA (ADD1 AA))
            (GO AA))
            ((NOT (READP))
              (WAIT 1000)
              (GO CC)))
      (SETQ B (RD*)))
      (SETQ P T)
      (CLEARBUF)
      (COND
        ((LESSP (PLUS Y (TIMES 100 DELAY))
          (KLOCK))
        (TERPRI)
        )
(PR (PROBMESS HURRY2 T))
(PR (PROBMESS HURRY1 T))
(SETQ D (ADD1 D)))
AB (SETQ TOTNETDUR (PLUS TOTNETDUR
       (DIFFERENCE (CLOCK 0) NETDUR)))
(RETURN B)
))))

(WAIT
 (LAMBDA (X)
 (ASSEMBLE NIL
 (CQ (VAG X))
 (BRS 81)
 (CLB)
 (LSH 7)
 (LRSH 7)
 (E (BOX SP)))
 ))))

(PROBMESS
 (LAMBDA (PROBMESS)
 (PROG (L X)
 (SETQ L (CAUD PROBMESS))
 AA (COND
 (((EQ (SETQ X (RAN 1 L T))
      (CAR PROBMESS))
    (GO AA)))
 (REPLACE PROBMESS X)
 (COND
 (((NULL (SETQ X (CAR (NTH (CDDR PROBMESS)
                        X)))))
    (T (RETURN X)))))
 )))

(REPET
 (LAMBDA (REP)
 (COND
 (((OR (EQUAL MODE (QUOTE MULTIPLE\CHOICE))
     (GREATERP (RAN 1 100) REP))
 (PR M17)
 (PR QUESTION)
 (SETQ POIN)
 (TERPRI))
 (T (PR (PROBMESS TRYAGAIN))
 (TERPRI)
 (SETQ #REPS (ADD1 #REPS))
 (SETQQ POIN CONTEST))))
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(WRAPUP
  (LAMBDA (FLOJO)
    (FEED 2)
    (PR+)
    (GCMESS)
    (FEED 2)
    (PR M18)
    (FLOJO)
    (COND
      ((NULL FLOJO)
        (TERPRI))
      (T (PRCR M22)
        (PRCOL FLOJO)
        (TERPRI))))
  (PR M23)
  (PR (APPEND LASTNAME (CONS PERIOD)))
  (FEED 3)
  (SYSOUT (PACK (LIST SLASH (CAR 1STNAME)
                   BKSLASH
                   (CAR (LAST LASTNAME))
                   SLASH)))
  (PR+)
  (FEED 25)))

(PRINT (QUOTE INTERAC))
(RPAQQ INTERAC (SCHOLAR SCHOLARØ MIXINIT TEST G/A QANS INTERR NEXT T/FMESS BRANCH SETINTERACTION ERRORCORRECT EQUIV FLOJO GCMESS INITIAL INITIAL' KLOCK KLOCK' PABLO WAIT PROBMESS REPET WRAPUP))

STOP
(PROGN (PRINT "QUOTE FILE" CREATED ")
  T)
 (PRINT "04/30/70" 1926102")
 (TERPRI T))
 (DEFIN Eq

(QUOTE (LAMBDA (X MOD BLK C)
  (* GENERATES QUESTION ON CONTEXT X AND MODE MOD ; IF X = NIL USES
    CTXPD1 TO GET
    CONTEXT ; CALLS CTXGEN IF CDR CTXPD1
    = NIL ; IF MOD = NIL
    USES MODGEN IN STR-Q)

(PROG (PAST PROFL STR')
  (COND
   (((ZEROP BLK)
     (GO AA))
   (BLK (RETURN)))
   (((LESSP Q&FLG (RAN 0 100))
     (GO CC)))))
 (INITFN QGENLIS)
 (PERCHK)
 BB (SETQ DI (TAGCHK (SETQ CTXL (CAR CTXPD1))
   (QUOTE DI)))
 (COND
  (X (COND
   (((EQUAL X (CAAR CTXPD1))
     (SETQ CTX (CAR CTX))
   ((NOT (NULL (CDR CTXPD1)))))
   (SETQ CTX X)
   (SETQ LIF PLUS (SETQ DURQ
     (QUOTIENT (DIFFERENCE
                (TAGCHK CTXL
                (QUOTE LIF)))
     KLOCK'))
   (COND
   (((OR (NULL (SETQ DI
               (TAGCHK CTXL
               (QUOTE DI)))))
     (ZEROP DI))
   (T DI)))))
   KLOCK'))
 (SETQ CTXPD1 (CONS (LIST CTX
     (LIST (QUOTE LIF)
     LIF
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(QUOTE DI)
(DI
(QUOTE DUR0)
DUR0))
CTXPDl)))))
((NULL (CUR CTXPDl))
(CTXGEN (CAAR CTXPDl))
(SETQ CORR# 0)
(SETQ CORRMAX (QUOTIENT 25 DI)))
(T (SETQ CTX (CAR (SETQ CTXL (CAR CTXPDl)))))

AA (COND
((AND (NOT BLK)
(null (SETQ STR (CAR (NLSETQ
(RETGEN CTX CHNFLG BRKS
NIL NIL))))))
(SETQ CTXPDl (CDR CTXPDl))
(SETQ CORR# 0)
(SETQ CORRMAX (QUOTIENT 25 (TAGCHK
"CAR CTXPDl"
(QUOTE DI))))
(SETQ X)
(GO BB))
(T (SETQ MOD (SETQ MODo (COND
((STR-Q STR CTX MOD PAST C))
(T (GO BB))))))))
(TAGWRT CTXL (QUOTE QUESINTERRL)
(SETQ QUESINTERRL (NCONCL
(TAGCHK CTXL (QUOTE
QUESINTERRL))
(SETQ #QUESINTERRL (PLUS #INTERR
(SETQ #QUES (ADD1 #QUES)))))))
(NCONCL (CAR CTXPDl)
(LIST #QUES EXPANS STR MOD PLGQ))
(PERCHECK)
(RETURN (SETQ QUESLIS (NCONCL QUESLIS
(LIST (LIST #QUESINTERR
(QUOTE SCHOLAR)
#QUES))
(LIST (QUOTE CTX)
CTX
(QUOTE QUESINTERRL)
QUESINTERRL
(QUOTE #QUES)
#QUES
(QUOTE DI)
D1)
(LIST (LIST STR STR)
CTX MOD)
(LIST STR MODo)))))))
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CC (SETQ SELECT (CAR (SELECT FUN (POINTER
FUN T)
T)))
(FQU SELECT)
)

(CTXGEN
(LAMBDA (CTX0)
(= DI IS SEMANTIC
DISTANCE)
(PROG (PROPL LIF SELECT SELECT')
(PROG NIL
AA (COND
((OR (NULL (CDR CTXPDL))
(NULL CTX0)
(EQ (CAAR CTXPDL)
CTX0))
(RETURN (SETQ CTX0 (CAR
(SETQ CTX0L (CAR CTXPDL))))))
)
(T (SETQ CTXPDL (CDR CTXPDL))
(GO AA)))
)

AA (SETQ DI (TAGCHK CTX0L (QUOTE DI)))
(COND
((LESSP (NAN 0 100)
CTXFLG)
(SETQ STR)
(RETURN CTX0))
((NULL (SETQ SELECT (RETFN CTX0 0 0 T
NIL)))
(RETURN))
(T (SETQ STR)
(SETQ DUR0 (QUOTIENT MAXDUR
(COND
((ZEROP DI)
1)
(T DI))))
(COND
((MEMP (CAR SELECT)
LISLIS)
(SETQ SELECT (SELECT' (CDR SELECT))))))
(COND
((AND (ATOM SELECT)
(EQ (POS SELECT)
(QUOTE XN)))
(SETQ CTX SELECT)
(SETQ CTXPDL (CONS (SETQCTXL
(LIST CTX (LIST
(QUOTE LIF)
(PLUS (SETQ KLOCK'
(KLOCK'))
   DUR0)
   (QUOTE DI)
   DI
   (QUOTE DUR0)
   DUR0))
(CTXPDL))
(TAGWRIT CTXSL (QUOTE CTXGEN)
   (NCONC (TAGCHK CTXSL (QUOTE CTXGEN)))
   (LIST CTX DI)))
   (RETURN SELECT)
   (T (GO AA)))))))

(PDLCHK
   (LAMBDA NIL
      (SETO KLOCK' (KLOCK'))
      (FROG (AA)
          AA (COND
              ((NULL CTXPDL)
                  (SETO CTXPDL AGENDA)
                  (GO AA))
              ((LESSP KLOCK' (TAGCHK (CAR CTXPDL)
                  (QUOTE LIF)))
                  (SETO CTX (CAAR CTXPDL))
                  (RETURN))
              ((NUMBERPL (SETO AA (TAGCHK (CAR CTXPDL)
                  (QUOTE A&E))))
                  (COND
                      ((LESSP 0 AA)
                          (ERRORCORRECT))
                      (SETO CTXPDL (CDR CTXPDL))
                      (GO AA)))))
      )))

(FERCHK
   (LAMBDA NIL
      (GCMESS)
      (COND
          ((READP)
              (SETO P)
              (COND
                  ((SETO INTERR (MEMB (QUOTE #)
                      (BD*))
                      (TERPRI)
                      (SETO #INTERR (ADD1 #INTERR))
                      (INTERR (REMOVE (QUOTE #)
                          INTERR)))))))
      (PDLCHK)))
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(RETGEN
   (LAMBDA (X CHNFLG BRKS CTXGEN NOTATT A)
     (= CTXGEN = T IF
      GENERATING A CTX ,
      NOTATT IS LIST OF
      FORBIDDEN ATTRIBUTES)
   
   (PROG (AA AB AC B BA BB BC CA CC SELECT SELECT' STR)
   (SETQ STR (COND
      ((AND (ATOM X)
           (CAR (NLSMTQ X)))
       (NOT NOTATT))
      (CONS X))
     (T X)))
   (SETQ SELECT' (SETQ SELECT (COND
      ((OR (ATOM X)
         (NUMBERP (CADR X)))
       (EVAL' X)))
      (T (NCONC (LIST NIL NIL)
         (RET QMARK X QMARK (CONS
         (QUOTE ALL))))))))
   (SETQ SELCDR' (SETQ SELCDR SELECT))
   (SETQ PROPL (NCONC (COPY STR)
      PROPL))
   
   BB (COND
      ((NULL STR)
       (TAGWR' X (COND
         (CTXGEN CTXGEN\HSH)
        (T A&E\HSH))
       (RETURN))
      ((OR (AND CTXGEN (SETQ BC (NUMBERP
         (TAGCHK' SELCDR CTXGEN\HSH))))
       (AND (NOT CTXGEN)
         (NUMBERP (SETQ BC (TAGCHK' SELCDR
         A&E\HSH))))
       (AND (MEMB (CAR SELECT)
          NOTATT)
       (PROG NIL)
        (TAGWR\ PROPL (COND
          (CTXGEN CTXGEN\HSH)
         (T A&E\HSH))
        1)
        (RETURN T).
      )))
   (NULL (SETQ POINTER (POINTER
      (SETQ B (CDDR (PROG NIL
        (SETQ SELCDR' SELCDR)
        (RETURN (SETQ
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SELECT'
SELECT))

))

T
(COND
  (CTXGEN)
  ((OR A (AND (NOT NOTATT)
   (LISTP X)))
   (SETQ A T))))
(SETO STR (CDR STR))
(SETO PROPL (CDDR PROPL))
(SETO SELECT SELECT')
(SETO SELCDR SELCDR')
(GO BB))
(T (SETO SELECT (SELECT B POINTER T
(COND
  (CTXGEN)
  ((OR A (AND (NOT NOTATT)
   (LISTP X)))))
(SETO PROPL (CONS SELCDR (CONS B
PROPL))))
(COND
  ((EQ SELECT BKSLASH)
   (SETO SELECT (CAR (SETO SELCDR
     (CDR SELCDR)))))
(COND
  ((AND CTXGEN (GREATERP DI DI))
   (SETO SELECT SELECT')
   (GO CC))]
(SETO DI (PLUS DI II I))
(SETO STR (NCONC (CONS (COND
  ((ATOM SELECT)
   SELECT)
   (T (CAR SELECT))))
STR))))

DD
(COND
  ((ATOM SELECT)
   (COND
    ((AND (NOT AC)
      (NOT (ERROP CHKFLG))
      (EQ (CAAR (SETO CC (CAR
        (NLSETO (EVAL SELECT)))))
      (QUOTE XN))
      (CDDR CC)
      (NOT (TAGCHK CC A&K\$SH)))
    (OR (MEMB SELECT (CAR
      (RETV (QUOTE SUPERP)
      X NIL T))))
    (MEMB SELECT (CAR (RETV
      (QUOTE LOCATION))))
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(COND
  (CTXGEN (GO CC)))

(COND
  ((LESSTP (RAN 0 100)
    CHNFLG)
   (SETQ STR (NCONC (COND
     (RETGEN SELECT 0 BRKS
      CTXGEN (QUOTE
        (SUPERC SUPERF
          LOCATION))
     A))
    (T (GO DD)))
   STR))
   (SETQ CA T)
   (GO BB))
   (T (SETQ AC T)
    (GO DD))))

  ((AND (NOT BA)
    (SETQ AB (ATOMXTR (CDDR SELECT'))
      T))
   (CDR AB))

(COND
  ((AND (LESSTP (RAN 0 100)
    (TIMES 2 BRKS))
   (NOT (MEMB (POS SELECT)
     (QUOTE (ADJ ADV MODIF
      NIL))))))
   (SETQ STR (NCONC (NCONC1
     (COPY AB)
     (QUOTE ONE\n))
    (CDR STR)))
   (SETQ FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
     (QUOTE T/F)))
   (SETQ CA T)
   (GO CC))
   (T (SETQ STR (RPLACA STR
     (NCONC (CONS (QUOTE SL)
       AB))))
     (GO CC)))))))

  ((MEMB (SETQ BB (CAR SELECT))
    LISLIS'))

(COND
  (CTXGEN (SETQ SELECT' (SETQ SELECT
    NCONC (LIST NIL NIL)
     (CDR SELECT')))))

  (GO BB))

  ((LESSTP (RAN 0 100)
    BRKS)
   (RPLACA STR (QUOTE ONE\n)))
(setq select' (setq select
    (nconc (list nil nil)
    (cdr select'))))
  (go bb))
  (t (selectq bb (sl (setq flqg
    (nconcl flqg (quote some))))
    (and (setq flqg (nconcl flqg
    (quote all))))
    (xor (setq flqg (nconcl flqg
    (quote one))))
    nil)
  (setq ca t)))
  ((eq bb (quote sf))
    (setq str (cdr str))
    (setq select' (setq select (car
      (setq selcdr' (setq selecdr
        (setq al (car (nlselq
          (apply (cdr select)
          (cddr select)))))))))))))
  (cond
    ((not al)
      (setq ca t)))
  (go bb))
  ((numberpl (setq ab (cddr select)))
    (cond
      (ctxgen (tagwrto propl ctxgen\hsh
        -1)
        (setq str (cdr str))
        (setq select select')
        (setq selcdr selecdr')
        (go bb))
        ((eq bkslash (car ab))
          (setq selecdr (cdr ab)))
        ((null (cdr ab))
          (setq selecdr ab))
          (t (setq selecdr (cons ab))))
          (setq select (car selecdr))
          (setq str (nconc (cons select)
            str))
          (setq selecdr selecdr')
            (go cc))
            (t (go bb)))
            cc (setq propl propl)
            (cond
              (ctxgen (tagwrto propl ctxgen\hsh 1)
                (return select'))
                (t (tagwrto propl a\hsh 1)
                  (tagwrto propl \ques\hsh \ques)
                    (return str)))
                      )))
}}}
(MODGEN
 (LAMBDA (VAL Y X'))
     (* GENERATES QUESTION MODE)
     (PROG (AA MOD)
            (SELECTQ (POS VAL)
                        ((ADJ ADV MODIF VRB PRP NIL)
                            (RETURN (QUOTE T/F)))
                        (XN (SETQ AA Y))
                        (SETQ AA X'))
            (RETURN (CAR (COND
                            ((OR (NULL (CDR VAL))
                                    (NUMBERPL VAL))
                                (SELECT AA (POINTER AA T) T))
                            (T (SELECT (CDDR AA)
                                (POINTER (CDDR AA) T) T))))))
     )))

(ALTGEN
 (LAMBDA (X Z U))
     (* Z IS # OF ALTERNATIVES , U IS PERCENT OF UNRELATED ALTERNATIVES , U = NIL ELIMINATES THEM)
     (PROG (AA AB BB BA CC W Y)
            (SETQ SELECT' (SETQ BB X))
            (SETQ AA Z)
            (SETQ W (SELECTQ (SETQ BA (POS X))
                            (XN XMPLIS)
                            APPLIS))
            AA (COND
                 ((NULL BB))
                 ((NUMBERPL BB)
                     (SETQ SELECT' (ALT#GEN BB)))
                 (ATOM BB)
                 (SETQ W (REMOV SELECT' W))
                 (SETQ Y (REMOV SELECT' (COND
                                             ((EQ AA Z)
                                              (SETQ Y (CAR (RETV (QUOTE EXAMPLES)))))
                                             (COND
                                               ((ATOM (SETQ AB
                                                   (CAAR
                                                   (RETV (QUOTE SUPERC))
                                                   BB NIL T))))))
                                               AB))
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((ATOM' AB)
 (CADR AB))
 (T (CAR AB))
 NIL T)))))

(COND
 ((OR (NOT U)
 (AND Y (LESSP U (RAN 0 100))))
 (SELECT' Y))
 (T (PROG NIL
 AA (SELECT' W)
 (COND
 ((NOT (EQ BA (POS SELECT')))
 (SETQ W (REMOV SELECT' W))
 (GO AA))
 ((MEMB SELECT' Y)
 (GO AA))
 (T (RETURN SELECT')))))

(T (SETQ BB (SELECT' (CDR BB)))
 (GO AA)))

(SETQ CC (NCONC CL CC SELECT'))
 (COND
 ((OR (NULL AA)
 (EQ 1 AA))
 (RETURN (REMOVE NIL CC)))
 (T (SETQ AA (SUB1 AA))
 (GO AA)))

))

(ALT#GEN
 (LAMBDA (X)
 (PROG (AA Y Z)
 AA (COND
 ((ZEROP X)
 (SETQ X (RAN 0 10))
 (GO AA))
 ((NUMBERP X)
 (COND
 ((ZEROP (RAN 0 1))
 (SETQ Y (NCONC 1 Y (TIMES
 (COND
 ((FGTP X 100000)
 (SETQ AA 100))
 (T (SETQ AA 1)))
 (FIX (PTIMES (FQUOTIENT X
 (PTIMES AA 10))
 (RAN 200 500)))))))))
 (T (SETQ Y (NCONC 1 Y (TIMES
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(COND
  (AND (FLOATP (SETQ Y (CAR Y)))
       (MINUSP Y))
  (FLOATS -1. Y))
  (FLOATP X)
  (FLOAT Y))
  (T Y))))
((NULL (CDR X))
 (SETQ X (CAR X))
 (GO AA))
(T (SETQ Y (NCONC (SETQ Y (NCONC1 Y
                  (ALT#GEN (CAR X))))
                (COND
                 (AND (ATOM (SETQ Z (ALT#GEN
                  (CDR X))))
                 (CONS Z))
                 (T Z))))
 (RETURN (COND
              (AND (LESSP (CDR Y)
              (CAR Y))
              (NOT (MINUSP (CDR Y))))
              (DREVERSE Y))
 (T Y))))))
)

(SCRAMBLE
 (LAMBDA (LL)
   (PROG (AA)
     (SETQ AA)
     AA (COND
         (AND (NULL LL)
         (RETURN AA))
         (T (SETQ AA (NCONC AA (SELECT' LL))))
         (SETQ LL (REMOVE SELECT' LL))
         (GO AA)))))

(STR-A
 (LAMBDA (FRED ATTO VRB A AA PAST PUNCT N)
 (= GENERATES ANSWER
 SENTENCE FROM STRING)
 (PROG (BB TOP ATTI)
(SETQ TOP PRED)
(SETQ ATT1)
(COND
  ((MEMB (CAR ATT0) SPATT)
   (SETQ ATT' (CAR ATT0))
   (SETQ ATT0 (CDR ATT0))
   (SPATT ATT' (NCONC (LIST NIL NIL))
     (COND
      ((AND (LISTP (SETQ BB (CAR PRED))
           (NOT (ATOM BB))
           (NULL (CDR PRED)))
       BB)
       (T PRED)))
   ATT0
   (PLUS N -2)
   PUNCT VRB AA))
   (T (INT-E-SENT (COND
      ((CDR PRED)
       (NCONC (LIST (CAR PRED) NIL)
       (CDR PRED)))
       (T PRED))
   ATT0 A AA
   (PLUS N -2)
   (COND
      ((NUMBERPL EXPANS) NIL)
      (T T)
   PAST PUNCT VRB)))
)))

(STR-Q
 (LAMBDA (STR CTX MOD PAST C) (* GENERATES QUESTION FROM STRING
 ; IF MOD = NIL ALSO
 GENERATES MODE ;
 C = T GIVES CORRECT
 IF T/F)
 (PROG (AA AB AC ATT ATTLIS BA BB BC CC DD OBJ
 VAL VRB 1ST 2ND)
 (COND
   ((AND (EQUAL STR STR0)
       (NOT C))
   (SETQCTXPDL (CDR CTXPDL))
   (RETURN))
   (T (SETQ STR0 STR))
 (SETQ OBJ CTX)
 (SETQ VAL (CONS (CAR STR))))
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(setq attlis (cdr str))
(setq att (cond
      ((1stpos attlis (quote cn)))
        (t (car attlis))))
(partition str att)
(cond
      ((and (not (intersection bb spatt))
        (not (intersection bb spatt-wh)))
        (setq bb (cdr 1st))
        (setq ba (pos (setq pred (reverse 1st))))
        (setq att0 (nconc (cons att)
          2nd)))
      ((1stpos str (quote vrb))
        t)
      (setq bb)
      (setq vrb 1stpos)
      (setq pred (reverse 1st))
      (setq att0 2nd))
      (t (setq bb)
        (setq pred val)
        (setq att0 attlis)))
(aa (selectq (setq mod (cond
                  (memb (quote one)
                    bb)
                    (quote t/f))
                  ((and (not (atom (setq cc
                      (car val))))
                      (atom' cc))
                      (modgen val (cdr modlis))
                      (cdr modlis')))
                  (t (modgen val modlis modlis')))))
(wh (setq expans val)
    (cond
      (vrb)
      (t (vrb att0 pred past)))
      (str-wh pred att0 vrb nil nil past))
(fill-in (setq expans val)
      (str-a (cond
                  ((or (and (atom (setq aa
                    (car val))))
                    (null (cdr val))))
                  (memb (car aa)
                    lislis))
                  (cond
                    (ac (cond
                      ((atom' aa)
                        (cons aa))
                      (t aa))))
                    ((and (pl aa))
                      t))
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(NOT (NUMBERPL AA)))
(CONS (QUOTE ----)))
(T (CONS (QUOTE ----))))
(T (CONS (QUOTE (--- ----))))
(ATTØ VRØ NIL BB FAST NIL Ø))
(MCH (setq EXPANS VAL))
(SETQ AA (SCRAMBLE (NCONC (ALTGEN
(CAR VAL)
3 50)
VAL))))
(TERPRI)
(PCRC-2 (PROBMES 60))
(PRCOL AA)
(TERPRI)
(COND
(((ZEROP (RAN Ø 1))
  (PRCR-2 (PROBMES 61))
  (setq MOD WH)
  (GO AA))
  (T (PRCR-2 (PROBMES 68))
  (setq MOD FILL-IN)
  (GO AA))))
(T/F (setq FLG (CONS (QUOTE ONE))))
(COND
(((OR C (ZEROP (RAN Ø 1)))
  (setq EXPANS TRULIS)
  (setq AA)
  (setq DD VAL))
  (T (setq EXPANS FLSLIS)
  (COND
  (((OR (setq DD (ALTGEN
  (setq BC (CAR VAL)
  1))
  (AND (EQ BA (QUOTE XN)})
  (NOT (MEMB (QUOTE ONE)
  BB))
  (setq DD (ALTGEN BC 1 100))))
  (setq AA)
  (setq STR (REMOV BC STR DD))
  (T (setq DD VAL)
  (COND
  (((INTERSECTION NOLIS ATTØ)
  (setq ATTØ (REMOV NOLIS
  ATTØ))
  (setq STR (REMOV NOLIS
  STR)))
  (setq AA))
  (((INTERSECTION NOLIS PRED)
  (setq STR (REMOV NOLIS
  STR)))
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(SETQ BB (REMOV NOLIS BB))
(SETQ DD (REMOV NOLIS DD))
(SETQ AA))
(T (SETQQ AA NOT)
(SETQ STR' (CONS
(CONS AA)
STR'))))))))

(SETQ AB (COND
((EQUAL PRED VAL)
 DD)
(T (NCONC BB DD))))

(COND
((ZEROP (SETQ CC (RAN $0 2))))
(PRCR-2 (PROBMES T/F))
(STR-A AB ATTØ VRB NIL AA PAST
NIL 0))

((EQ CC 1))
(PR (PROBMES T/F'))
(STR-A AB ATTØ VRB NIL AA PAST
QMARK 0))

(T (TERPRI)
(STR-A AB ATTØ VRB NIL AA PAST
NIL 0))

(PPCR (PROBMES T/F'))))

(AFF (SETQQ MOD FILL-IN)
(SETQ AC T)
(GO AA))

NIL)

(RETURN MOD)

)))

(STR-WH
(LAMBDA (PRED ATTØ VRB A AA PAST)
(PROG (BB)
(SETQ TOP PRED)
(RETURN (COND
((MEMB (CAR ATTØ)
 SPAT-WH)
(SETQ ATT' (CAR ATTØ))
(SETQ ATTØ (CDR ATTØ))
(SPAT-WH ATT' PRED ATTØ 2))
(T (SPACES 2)
(PPRR (LISTCONC (LIST (COND
((CDR PRED)
(CONS (SETQ BB (CAR PRED))))
(CONS (QUOTE WHAT))
(SELECTQ (POS BB)
(PRPR (COND
((AND (SETQ BB

CAAR
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((RETY
 (QUOTE SUPERC)
 (CADR PRED)
 NIL T))
 (NOT (MEMB BB ATTO))
 (CONS BB)))

NIL)
VRB
(OF-IN-ON ATTO A)
(CONS QMARK))))))

))))

(SPATT-WH
 (LAMBDA (ATT! PRED ATTO N)
 (PRCR (SELECTU ATT! (SUPERC (LISNCONC
 (LIST (CONS (QUOTE WHAT))
 VRB
 (OF-IN-ON ATTO (QUOTE A-AN))
 (CONS QMARK)))))
 (SUPERP (LISNCONC (LIST (CONS (QUOTE WHAT))
 (COND
 ((AND (SETO CC (CAAR (RETY
 (QUOTE SUPERC)
 VAL NIL T)))
 (ATOM CC))
 (CONS CC)))
 VRB
 (OF-IN-ON ATTO (QUOTE A-AN))
 (LIST (QUOTE A\PART\OF)
 QMARK))))
 (LOCATION (LISNCONC (LIST (COND
 ((SETO CC (ZEROP (RAN 0 1)))
 (NCONC (CONS (QUOTE IN\WHAT))
 (COND
 ((AND (SETO CC (CAAR
 (RETY (QUOTE SUPERC)
 VAL NIL T)))
 (ATOM CC))
 (CONS CC)))
 (T (CONS (QUOTE WHERE)))))
 VRB
 (OF-IN-ON ATTO)
 (CONS QMARK)))))
 (DATE (LISNCONC (LIST (COND
 ((SETO CC (ZEROP (RAN 0 1)))
 (LIST (QUOTE IN\WHAT)
 (QUOTE DATE))
 (T (CONS (QUOTE WHEN))))
 (VRB ATTO NIL T)
(OF-IN-ON ATT&) 
(CONS QMARK)))))

PRINT (QUOTE CTX&QGEN))
(RPAQQ CTX&QGEN (QGEN CTXGEN PDLCNK PERCHK RETGEN 
MODGEN ALTGEN ALT#GEN SCRAMBLE STR-A STR-Q 
STR-WH SPATT-WH))

STOP
(PROGN (PRINT1 (QUOTE FILE" CREATED "))
   T)
 (PRINT1 (QUOTE 04/30/70" 1828:06")
   T)
 (TERPRI T))
(DEFINEQ

(ANSAN
 (LAMBDA NIL
  (PROG (BB)
    AA (TERPRI)
    (COND
     (MEMBER (SETQ BB (E-3 (SETQ INPUT
       (FABLO DELAY)))
      GRLMODL)
     (RETURN (CAR BB))))
    (TERPRI)
    (MATCH1 EXPANS BB FLG)
    (RPLACA (CD0DR (PROG (CC)
      (SETQ N #QUESINTERR)
      CC (COND
       ((MINUSP N)
        (RETURN (LIST NIL NIL NIL
                      (CONS NIL)
                      NIL)))
       ((EQ (CADAR (SETQ CC
                      (CAR (NTH QUESLIS N))))
        (QUOTE SCHOLAR))
        (RETURN CC))
       (T (SETQ N (SUB1 N))
          (GO CC))))
    )))
  (RETURN))
))
)

(E-3
 (LAMBDA (INPUT NBDS MODE)
   (= NBDS = T ENABLES
    NBDBND)
   (SETQ E-3 (PROG (A AA AB BA BB CC DD 1ST 2ND
    BND NBDS NBDS\VAL T/F)
     AA (COND
      (MEMBER INPUT GRLMODL)
      (SETQ GRLMOD (CAR INPUT))
      (FEED 2)
      (PR MODNESS)
      (PRCR (NCONC1 (CONS GRLMOD)
        QMARK))
      (PRCR 2 M16)
      (COND
      )))
)
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(((MEMB (CAR (PABLO DELAY)))
  YESLIS)
(Feed 2)
(PR (QUOTE (OK ,)))
(PR LASTNAME)
(PR (CONS PERIOD))
(PRCR-2 (QUOTE (LET'S GO AHEAD ",")))
(RETURN INPUT))
(T (PRCR-2 (PROBMESS M65))
(SETOQ INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
(TERPRI)
(GO AA)))

(SETOQ CC (PROG (Z))
(SETOQ CC (COND
  ((EQ GRLMOD (QUOTE Q/A)))
   (CONJ (CLEANQ INPUT)))
  (T (REMOV CONJL (CLEANQ INPUT)
   T))))
(SETOQ FLGQ (NCONC FLGQ
  (SETOQ Z (INTERSECTION CC QUANTLIS))))
(RETURN (REMOV Z CC))
)
(COND
(NBD& (NDBND CC)))
(SETOQ BND (NCONC BND (SETOQ NBD'
  (INTERSECTION NBD REMQL))))
(SETOQ NBD (CAR (NOBPLS (REMOV NBD' NBD))))
CC
(SETOQ STR CC)
(COND
(AA (TERPRI))
(PRCR-2 (PROBMESS NONSENSE))
(SETOQ INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
(SETOQ AA)
(GO AA))
(NBD (TERPRI))
(PR (PROBMESS M62))
(PR (COND
  ((NULL (CDR NBD))
   (QUOTE (WORD)))
  (T (QUOTE (WORDS)))))
(PRAND NBD (QUOTE PERIOD))
(PRCR-2 (PROBMESS TRYAGAIN))
(SETOQ INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
(GO AA)))

BB
(COND
  ((SETOQ AA (INTERSECTION QLST CC))
   (SELECTQ GRLMOD (MIXINIT (SETOQ
GRMLMOD Q/A
(Q/A INPUT 1)
(FEED 2)
(COND
  ((ZEROP (RAN 0 1))
   (PRCR-2 M57))
  (T (PRCR-2 (PROMESS M72))))
(SETO INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
(TERPRI)
(SETOQ GRLMOD MIXINIT)
(GO AA)
(SETO TEST (FEED 2)
  (PRCR-2 (PROMESS M70))
  (SETO INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
  (TERPRI)
  (GO AA))
(T)
(SETO CC (REMOV AA CC T))
(SETO AA (CAR CC))
(SETO BB (CDR CC))
(RETURN)
(COND
  ((NULL CC)
   (WHY))
  ((MEMBREM WHYLIS CC)
   (WHY MEMBREM))
  ((MEMB AA (QUOTE (IF DO DOES)))
   (SETO FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
     (QUOTE T/F)))
   (RET-TF BB FLGQ T))
  ((MEMB AA EXIVBL)
   (SETO FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
     (QUOTE EXIVBL)))
   (SETO DD (RET QMARK
     (LAST BB)
     (CAR BB)
     FLGQ))
  (COND
   (DD (SETO ATT0 BB))
   (COND
     ((RET QMARK BB QMARK
       FLGQ))
     (T (SETO FLGQ
       (NCONC1 FLGQ
       (QUOTE T/F)))
     (CONS (QUOTE CORRECT)))
     (T (SETO FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
       (QUOTE T/F)))
     (CONS (QUOTE WRONG)))
     ((MEMB AA GRLVBL)
     (COND
     )
  )
)
((SETQ AB (CDDR (MEMBER1\nTRULIS BB))))
(SETQ BA T))
(T (SETQ AB BB)
(SETQ BA)))
(SETQ FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
(QUOTE T/F)))
(RET-TF AB FLGQ BA))
((SETQ AB (MEMBER1 (QUOTE EST)
CC))
(EST --))
((SETQ AB (MEMBER1 (QUOTE ER)
CC))
(ER --))
((MEMBREM (QUOTE (HOW\MANY
HOW\MUCH SIZE))
CC)
(PARTITION (REMOV (QUOTE
(WHAT WHICH))
MEMBREM)
GRLVBL))
(COND
((NULL 1ST)
(SETQ 1ST (CONS
(CAR 2ND)))
(SETQ 2ND (CDR 2ND)))
(NULL 2ND)
(SETQ 2ND (CDR 1ST))
(SETQ 1ST (CONS
(CAR 1ST))))
(SETQ AA (COND
((MEMBER2 (QUOTE UNIT)
(RETV (QUOTE SUPERC)
1ST))
(RET (SETQ ATT0
(COND
((ATOM (CAR
(SETQ VAL
(RETV
(QUOTE
APPLIED\TO
1ST)))))
(CAR VAL))
((MEMB (CAAR VAL)
LISLIS)
(CADAR VAL))
(T (CAAR VAL))))))
2ND QMARK
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(CDR FLGQ))
(T (SETQ ATT0 (NCONC 1ST 2ND))
(RET QMARK ATT0 QMARK
(CDR FLGQ))))

(COND
((AND (LISTP AA)
(NOT (CDR AA)))
(SETQ AA (CAR AA))))

(COND
((NUMBERP' AA)
(NUMBPROP NUMBERP' AA))
((MEMP (CAR AA)
LIST1)
(LENGTH (CDR AA)))
((ATOM' AA)
(SETQ AA T)
(GO CC))
(T (LENGTH AA))))

((MEMP AA (QUOTE (WHAT WHICH HOW))))

(SETQ FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
(QUOTE WH))
(SETQ AA (CAR BB))
(SETQ BB (CDR BB))

(COND
((MEMP AA GLYBL)
(SETQ FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
(QUOTE RELATION)))
(F-3' AA BB FLGQ))
((EQ (POS AA)
(QUOTE CN))
(SETQ OBJ (RETO
(CDR BB)
AA
(CAR BB)))))
(T (1STPOS --)))

((EQ AA (QUOTE WHERE))
(SETQ FLGQ (NCONC1 FLGQ
(QUOTE WHERE))
(SETQ ATT0 (CDR BB))
(CONS (APPEND (QUOTE
(LOCATION NIL))
(RET (QUOTE LOCATION)

(COND
(((NULL (CDR ATT0))
ATT0)
(T (RET (CAR ATT0)
(CDR ATT0)
QMARK)))))
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QMARK
  (NCONC1 FLGO (QUOTE FILL-IN))
  (MEMBER AA WHYLIS)
  (WHY BB)
  (BB (SETQ ATT0 CC)
   (E-3' NIL CC FLGO))
  (T (SETQ ATT0 CC)
   (RET QMARK CC QMARK FLGO))))
  ((SETQ AA (MEMBER1' BLANKL CC))
   (SELECTQ GRLMOD (MIXINIT (PROG NIL AA)
     (Q/A INPUT 1)
     (FEED 2)
     (COND
      ((ZEROP (RAW 0 1))
       (PRCR-2 M57))
      (T (PRCR-2 (PROBMES3 M72)))))
     (SETQ INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
     (TERPRI)
     (GO AA)
     ))
   (TEST (FEED 2)
    (PRCR-2 (PROBMES3 M70))
    (SETQ INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
    (TERPRI)
    (GO AA))
   T)
  (SETQ FLGO (NCONC1 FLGO (QUOTE FILL-IN)))
  (PARTITION CC AA)
  (RETURN (COND
    ((MEMBER (QUOTE COMPARE) CC)
     (SETQ AA (PL-S (CAR MEMBEEM))
      (RETURN (COMPARE (COND
      ((EQ (CAAAR (NILSETQ (EVAL AA)))
       (QUOTE CN))
      AA))
      (CADR CC)
      (CADDR CC))))
    ((NULL 2ND)
     (PARTITION 1ST GRLVBL)
     (COND
      (2ND (RET 2ND QMARK 1ST FLGO))
      (T (SETQ ATT0 1ST)
       (RET QMARK 1ST QMARK FLGO)))))
    (NULL 1ST)
    (PARTITION 2ND GRLVBL)
(COND
  ((1ST (RET QMARK 1ST 2ND FLOOR))
   (T (SETOQ ATT0 2ND)
    (RET (CAR 2ND)
     (CDR 2ND)
     QMARK FLOOR))
   )))
  ((NULL (CDR 1ST))
   (COND
    ((EQ (SETOQ AA (POS (CAR 1ST))
     (QUOTE XN))
     (RET QMARK 2ND 1ST FLOOR))
    )
   )
    (((EQ AA (QUOTE CH))
     (RET (CAR 1ST)
     (CAR 2ND)
     FLOOR))
    (T (SETOQ AA T)
     (GO CC)))))
   (T (SETOQ AA T)
     (GO CC))))
  (T (SELECTQ GRLMOD (Q/A (FEED 2)
    (PROC-2 (PROFESS M71))
    (SETOQ INPUT (PABLO DELAY))
    (GO AA))
    (RETURN (SETOQ ANS CC))))
))))

(E-3')
(LAMBDA (AA BB FLOOR)
  (COND
   ((NULL (CDR BB))
    (SETOQ ATT0 (CAR BB))
    (RET QMARK ATT0 QMARK FLOOR))
   )
   ((MEMB (CAR BB)
     (QUOTE (RELATION RELATIONSHIP)))
    (SETOQ BB (CDR BB))
    (COND
     ((SETOQ AA (RET QMARK (CDDR BB)
      (CDDR BB)
      FLOOR))
      (SETOQ ATT0 (NCONC AA (CDDR BB)))
      (CONS (CDR BB)))
     )
    )
    ((SETOQ AA (RET QMARK (CDDR BB)
      (CDDR BB)
      FLOOR))
    )
    (SETOQ ATT0 (NCONC AA (CDDR BB))
     (CDDR BB)))))
  (T (RENQV (SETOQ CC (COND
    ((INTERSECTION QUANTLIES BB))
(COND
  ((NULL BB)
   (RETURN (LIST (SETQ BB BA) (SETQ NBDB ND AA))))
  ((NOT (NULL (CAR (NIL (SETQ BB (CAR BB)))))
    (SETQ AA (NCONC AA AB))
    (SETQ BB (CDR BB))
    (GO AA))
  ((LISTP AB)
    (SETQ BB (APPEND (CDR BB) (CDR AB)))
    (GO AA))
  (T (SETQ BB (NCONC BB AB))
    (SETQ BB (CDR BB))
    (GO AA))))

(FLGQ
 (LAMBDA NIL
   (PROG (AA FLG1)
     AA (SETQ AA (CAR EXPANS))
     (COND
      ((AND (LISTP EXPANS)
         (NOT (CDR EXPANS)))
       (SETQ EXPANS AA)
       (GO AA))
      ((NUMBERPL EXPANS)
       (SETQ FLG1 #)
       (COND
        ((CDR EXPANS)
         (SETQ FLGQ (NCONC 1 FLGQ (QUOTE RANGE))))
        (T (SETQ EXPANS (CONS EXPANS))))))
      ((ATOM EXPANS)
       (SETQ FLG1 ATOM)
       (SETQ EXPANS (CONS EXPANS)))
      ((MEMB AA LSLIS)
       (SETQ FLG1 LIST)
       (SETQ EXPANS (CDR EXPANS))))
  )
 )
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((MEMB AA (QUOTE (APPROX RANGE AVERAGE))))

(COND
  ((EQ (CADDR EXPANS) BKSLASH)
   (SETQ EXPANS (CADDDR EXPANS)))
  (T (SETQ EXPANS (CDDR EXPANS))))

(GO AA))

((EQ AA (QUOTE SF))
 (SETQQ FLG1 SF))

(((EQ AA (QUOTE SQ))
 (T (SETQQ FLG1 LIST)))
 (RETURN (SETQ FLGQ (NCONC1 (COND
   ((NULL FLGQ))
   ((ATOM' FLGQ)
    (CONS FLGQ))
   (T FLGQ))
   FLG1)))

))

(FQU
 (LAMBDA (A)
 (SETQ ARGS (RET (QUOTE ARGS)
   A QMARK))
 (SETQ EXPANS ((EVAL A)
   (EVAL ARGS))
 (SETQ FLGQ (NCONC FLGQ (CONS (QUOTE SF)))))

(PARTITION
 (LAMBDA (X Y)
 (FPROG (A B)
   (SETQ 2ND (CDR (SETQ A (MEMBER1' Y X))))
   (SETQ B (REVERSE X))
   (MAPC A (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
     (SETQ B (REMOVE Z B)))))
   (SETQ 1ST (REMOV Y (DREVERSE B))))

))

(CLEANANS
 (LAMBDA (X)
 (SETQ ANS (REMOV FRPLIS (REMOV DETLIS
   (REMOV RDFUNCTL (REMOV POLITELIS
     (COND
     (X)
     (T ANS)))
   T)
   T)))))

(CLEANQ
 (LAMBDA (X)
(REMOV DETLIS (REMOV PRLIS (REMOV QPUNCTL
  (REMOV POLITESIS X T)
  T)
  T)))

(MATCH1
  (LAMBDA (EXPANS ANSI FLG BB)
    (SETQ MATCH1 (PROG (AA CC INTER LINT LEXPA LANS
      INTERR)
      (COND
        (BB (GO BB))
        (SETQ FLG (LISNCONC (LIST (FLGO)
          FLG
          (COPY FLGO))))
        AA (CLEANANS ANSI)
      (COND
        ((NULL ANSI)
         (SETQ CC NOANS)
         (GO CC)))
        ((EQUAL ANSI (QUOTE (ANS)))
         (SETQ CC ANSI)
         (GO CC)))
        ((MEMB (QUOTE #) ANSI)
         (PARTITION ANSI (QUOTE #))
         (SETQ ANSI 1ST)
         (SETQ INTERR 2ND)
         (SETQ #INTERR (ADD1 #INTERR))
         (INTERR (CLEANANS (CDR INTERR))))
      (COND
        ((NULL (CAR ANSI))
         (PRCR M57))
        ((EQUAL ANSI (QUOTE (ANS)))
         (SETQ CC ANSI)
         (GO CC))
        (T (PRCR-2 M58)
         (PROG (AA)
           AA (COND
             ((MEMB (SETQ AA (RD*))
               YESLIS))
             ((MEMB AA NOLIS)
               (PRCR (PROBNESS M59))
               (PRCR-2 (STR-Q STR
                 CONTEXT MOD PAST))
               (SETQ ANSI (RD*))
               (T (PRCR-2 M46)
                 (GO AA)))))
  )))

255
(TERPRI)
(GO AA))
((EQUAL EXPANS ANS)
(RETURN (LIST (QUOTE PERFECT)
EXPANS ANS EXPANS NIL NIL NIL
NIL FLG))))
(SETQ INTER (INTERSEC EXPANS ANS))
(SETQ XTREXPANS (REMOV INTER EXPANS))
(SETQ XTRANS (REMOV INTER ANS))
(COND
((AND XTREXPANS XTRANS)
(NAPC XTREXPANS (FUNCTION
(LAMBDA (Z)
(COND
((SETQ AA (INTERSECTION
XTRANS (CDAAAR
(NLSETQ
(EVAL Z)))))
(SETQ XTREXPANS
(REMOV Z XTREXPANS))
(SETQ XTRANS (REMOV AA
XTRANS))
(SETQ INTER (NCONCL INTER
Z)))))))))
(NBDBND XTRANS)
(SETQ LINT (LENGTH INTER))
(SETQ LEXPA (LENGTH EXPANS))
(SETQ LANS (LENGTH ANS))
BB (RETURN (COND
((MEMB (QUOTE LIST)
FLG)
(LISTMATCH EXPANS ANS INTER FLG))
((MEMB (QUOTE #)
FLG)
(#MATCH EXPANS ANS (SETQ FLG
(REMOV (QUOTE #)
FLG)))))
((MEMB (QUOTE ATOM)
FLG)
(ATOMMATCH EXPANS ANS INTER
(SETQ FLG (REMOV (QUOTE ATOM)
FLG)))))
(T (GRLMATCH EXPANS ANS INTER FLG))))
CC (RETURN (LIST CC EXPANS ANS NIL NIL NIL
NIL FLG))
))))

(ATOMMATCH
(LAMBDA (EXPANS ANS INTER FLG)
(PROG (N R NUMB X Y AA INTER!))
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(setq inter' inter)
(setq aa (cond
  ((equal ans expans)
   (quote correct))
  ((and (null (cdr ans))
       (memq (car ans)
         (cdaaar (nilseq (eval (car expans))))))
   (quote correct))
  ((and (listp ans)
       (cdr ans))
   (cond
    ((memq (setq bb (car expans)) ans)
     (quote too much))
    (t (synmatch)
     (cond
      (inter (quote too much))
      ((memq (quote misp) flg)
       (misphatch)
       (cond
        (inter (quote too much))
        (t (quote wrong)))
        (t (quote wrong))))
   (car (nilseq (eval (setq cc (car ans))))))
   (quote wrong))
   ((memq (quote misp) flg)
    (misphatch)
    (cond
     (inter (quote correct))
     (t (quote wrong)))
    (t (quote wrong)))
   (return (list aa expans ans inter' xtrexpans xtrans bnd nbd flg))))
)

(grlmatch
  (lambda (expans ans aa flg)
   (prog (bb)
     (cond
      ((eq lint lans)
       (cond
        ((eq lint lexpa)
         (setq bb wrconstr))
        (t (synmatch))
        (cond
         ((eq lint lexpa)
(SETQ BB SYNCORRECT))
((MENBREM (QUOTE MISP) FLG)
 (MISPFAIL)
 (COND
  ((EQ LINT LEXPA)
   (SETQ BB MISPFAIL))
  (T (SETQ BB MISPFAIL)))
)(EQ LINT LEXPA)
(SETQ BB TOO MUCH))
(T (SYMPFAIL)
 (COND
  ((EQ LINT LEXPA)
   (COND
    ((EQ LINT LANS)
     (SETQ BB SYMPFAIL))
    (T (SETQ BB TOO MUCH)))
  (MENBREM (QUOTE MISP) FLG)
 (MISPFAIL)
 (COND
  ((EQ LINT LEXPA)
   (COND
    ((EQ LINT LANS)
     (SETQ BB MISPFAIL))
    (T (SETQ BB TOO MUCH)))
  (MENBREM (QUOTE MISP)
   FLG)
 (MISPFAIL)
 (COND
  ((EQ LINT LEXPA)
   (COND
    ((EQ LINT LANS)
     (SETQ BB MISPFAIL))
    (T (SETQ BB TOO MUCH)))
  (MENBREM (QUOTE MISP)
   FLG)
 (MISPFAIL)
 (COND
  ((EQ LINT LEXPA)
   (COND
    ((EQ LINT LANS)
     (SETQ BB MISPFAIL))
    (T (SETQ BB TOO MUCH)))
  (RETURN (LIST (CONS BB)
 EXPANS ANS INTER XTREXPANS XTRANS BND
 BSD FLG FLGQ)))
))

(LISTMATCH
 (LAMBDA (EXPANS ANS AA FLG) (* CORRECT' IS
 ACCEPTABLE NOT
 PERFECT)

(PROG (CC W X Y)
 AA (SETQ FLG (REMOVE (SETQ CC (CAR
 (INTERSECTION FLG QUANTLXS)))
 FLG))
 (SELECTQ CC (ALL (SETQ Y (CAR (SYMPMATCH
 EXPANS ANS AA FLG))))
 (ONE (SETQ Y (COND
 (AA (COND
 (XTRANS (QUOTE PARTE/PARTW))
 (T (QUOTE CORRECT))))
))
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(COND
  (INTER (COND
    (XTRANS (QUOTE
      PARTC/PARTW))
    (T (QUOTE CORRECT)))
    ((MEMB (QUOTE MISP)
      FLG)
    (MISPATCH)
    (COND
      (INTER (COND
        (XTRANS (QUOTE
          PARTC/PARTW))
        (T (QUOTE CORRECT)))
        (T (QUOTE WRONG)))
    (T (QUOTE WRONG))))))
((SOME A\FEW)
  (LM1 3)
  (GO AA))
(HALF (LM1 2)
  (GO AA))
(MOST (LM1 1.3)
  (GO AA))
(COND
  ((#XTR FLG)
  (SETQ W (CAAR #XTR))
  (SETQ Y (COND
    ((NOT (LESSP LINT W))
    (COND
      ((AND XTRANS XTREXPANS)
        (QUOTE PARTW/PARTC))
      (XTRANS (QUOTE TOO MUCH))
      (T (QUOTE CORRECT'))))))
  (AA (COND
    (XTRANS (COND
      (XTREXPANS (SYNNMATCH)
      (COND
       ((NOT (LESSP LINT W))
        (QUOTE CORRECT!))
       ((MEMB (QUOTE MISP)
         FLG)
       (MISPATCH)
       (COND
        ((NOT (LESSP LINT W))
        (COND
          ((AND XTRANS XTREXPANS)
(QUOTE PARTC/PARTW )
)(XTRANS 
 (QUOTE TOOMUCH))
(XTREXPANS 
 (QUOTE CORRECT'))
(T 
 (QUOTE CORRECT)))
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)(XTRANS 
 (QUOTE PARTC/PARTW))
(T (QUOTE CORRECT'))))
(T (QUOTE PARTC/PARTW))))
(T (QUOTE TOOMUCH))))
(T (QUOTE MISSING))))
(T (QUOTE WRONG))))
(T (SETQ FLG (NCONC (CONS (QUOTE MOST)) 
FLG)) 
(GO AA))))
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)(COND 
 ((AND (EQ Y (QUOTE CORRECT')) 
 XTRANS) 
 (SETQ Y (QUOTE PARTC/PARTW)))) 
(RETURN (LIST Y EXPANS ANS AA XTREXPANS 
 XTRANS BND NBD FLG)) 
))))
)
)
)
)
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)
)
)
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)
)
)
)
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)
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)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
(INTERSEC
 (LAMBDA (B1 A1)
   (PROG (A AA B INTER)
     (SETQ INTER)
     (SETQ A A1)
     (SETQ B B1)

     AA (COND
          ((NULL A)
           (RETURN INTER))
          ((MEMBER (SETQ AA (CAR A))
             B)
           (SETQ A (CDR A))
           (SETQ B (REMOV AA B))
           (SETQ INTER (NCONCL INTER AA)))
          (T (SETQ A (CDR A)))))
     (GO AA)
    )))

(MISMATCH
 (LAMBDA NIL
  (COND
   ((MEMB EXPANS (LIST TRULIS FSLIS))
    (RETURN)))
   (PROG (A AA B BB CC FLGQ LA LB X U)
     (SETQ MISPLIS)
     (SETQ U NBD)

     AA (COND
         ((NULL (SETQ X XTREXPANS))
          (GO CC)))
         (COND
          ((NULL U)
           (GO CC))
          (SETQ A (CAR U))
          (SETQ U (CDR U)))

     BB (COND
         ((NULL X)
          (GO AA))
         (SETQ B (CAR X))
         (SETQ X (CDR X))
         (SETQ LA (LENGTH (SETQ AA (UNPACK A))))
         (SETQ LB (LENGTH (SETQ BB (UNPACK B))))
         (COND
          ((OR (FGTF (SETQ CC (FQUOTIENT LA LB))
                    2)
               (FGTF 0.5 CC))
           (GO BB))
          ((FGTF (FQUOTIENT (INTMISP AA BB) LB)
                   0.7)
           (SETQ MISPLIS (NCONC1 MISPLIS B))
         )
         )
  )
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(SETQ INTER (NCONC1 INTER B))
(SETQ XTREXPANS (REMOV B XTREXPANS T))
(SETQ XTRANS (REMOV A XTRANS T))
(SETQ NBD (REMOV A NBD T))
(SETQ LINT (ADD1 LINT))
(GO AA))
(T (GO BB)))
CC (COND
  (MISPLIS (PR (PROBMESS M74)))
  (PRAND MISPLIS)
  (PRCR (CONS PERIOD))
  (TERPRI)
  (RETURN T)))))

(INTMISP
  (LAMBDA (X Y)
    (PROG (A B M)
      (SETQ A X)
      (SETQ B Y)
      (SETQ M Ø)
      A (COND
        ((OR (NULL A)
            (NULL B))
          (RETURN M))
        ((MEMB (SETQ C (CAR A))
            B)
          (SETQ M (ADD1 M))
          (SETQ A (CDR A))
          (SETQ B (REMOV C B))
          (GO A))
          (T (SETQ A (CDR A))
            (GO A)))))
))

(#MATCH
  (LAMBDA (EXPANS ANS FLG)
    (PROG (AA BB X Z R S W)
      (COND
        ((EQUAL EXPANS ANS)
          (SETQ Q AA CORRECT)
          (GO AA))
        ((NOT (NUMBERFL ANS))
          (COND
            ((AND (LISTP ANS)
                (MEMBER2 (QUOTE UNIT)
                  (RETY (QUOTE SUPERC)
                    (SETQ BB (CAR (LAST ANS))))
                  NIL T))
                (NUMBERFL (SETQ BB (REMOV BB
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(ANQ))
  (SETQ ANQ BS))
  (T (PBCR [PROMPT NO])))
  (SETQ AA TRYAGAIN)
  (GO AA)))

(COND
  ((FLOAEP (CAR EXPANS))
   (SETQ ANQ (COND
             ((ATOM ANQ)
              (FLOAEP ANQ))
             (T (MAPCAR ANQ (FUNCTION
                (LAMBDJ Z)
                (FLOAEP Z)))))))))

  ((FLOAEP (CAR ANQ))
   (MAPCAR EXPANS (FUNCTION (LAMBDJ Z)
                      (FLOAEP Z))))))

(COND
  ((MEMB (QUOTE RANGE)
     FLG))

  (COND
   ((MEMBERZ (QUOTE WRONG)
     (LIST (#MATCH (CAR EXPANS))
           (CAR ANQ)
           (QUOTE (APPROX)))
    (#MATCH (CADR EXPANS)
             (CADR ANQ)
             (QUOTE (APPROX)))
    (#MATCH (FPLUS (CADR EXPANS)
                 (FMINUS (CAR EXPANS)))
     (FPLUS (CADR ANQ)
            (FMINUS (CAR ANQ)))
     (QUOTE (APPROX)))))))

  (SETQ AA WRONG))
  (T (SETQ AA APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT)))

  ((OR (MEMB (QUOTE APPROX)
         ATTLIS)
    (MEMB (QUOTE APPROX)
          FLG))
    (SETQ X (FTIMES (SETQ W (CAR EXPANS))
                  #AP)))
  (SETQ Z (FQUOTIENT W #AP))

  (COND
   ((OR (AND (FGTPE X (SETQ R
                     (CAR ANQ)))
           (FGTPE R Z)
           (FGTPE X Z))
    (AND (FGTPE Z X)
         (FGTPE R X)
         (FGTPE Z R))))
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(<SETQ AA APPARENTLY\ CORRECT>)
(<T (SETQ AA WRONG)>)
(<T (SETQ A (CAR ANS))>
(COND
  (EQUAL W S)
  (<SETQ AA CORRECT>)
  (<T (SETQ AA WRONG)>)
)
AA (RETURN (LIST AA EXPANS ANS INTER
  XTREXPANS XTRANS BND NBD FLGQ))
))

(SYN
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (REMOVE X (CDAAR (EVAL X))))))

(SYNLIS
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (SETQ SYNLIS (REMOVE NIL (MAPCAR X (FUNCTION
      (LAMBDA (Y)
        (NLSETQ (REMOV Y (CDAAR Y)))))))))

(SYNMATCH
  (LAMBDA NIL
    (PROG (AA)
      (COND
        (SETQ AA (INTERSEC (SYNLIS XTREXPANS)
          BND))
        (SETQ INTER (NCONC INTER AA))
        (SETQ XTREXPANS (REMOV AA XTREXPANS T))
        (SETQ BND (REMOV AA BND T))
        (SETQ LINT (PLUS LINT (LENGTH AA)))
        (MATCH-EXPANS ANS FLG T)))
))

(NOBSPLS
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (PROG (A B C D)
      (SETQ A X)
      AA (COND
        (NULL A)
        (RETURN (CONS B D))
        ((OR (CAR (NLSETQ (EVAL (SETQ C
          (S-PL (CAR A))))))
          (CAR (NLSETQ (EVAL (SETQ C
            (PL-S (CAR A)))))))))
        (SETQ D (NCONCL D C))
        (<T (SETQ B (NCONCL B (CAR A))))))
      (SETQ A (CDR A))
      (GO AA)
)))
(CONJ
   (LAMBDA (X)
      (PROG NIL
         (AA (COND
            ((MEMB (QUOTE OR) Y)
               (SETQ Y (CONJ-Ø Y (QUOTE OR) (QUOTE EITHER)))
               (GO AA))
            ((MEMB (QUOTE AND) Y)
               (SETQ Y (CONJ-Ø Y (QUOTE AND) (QUOTE BOTH)))
               (GO AA))
            (T (RETURN Y)))))
   )))

(CONJ-Ø
   (LAMBDA (X A B)
      (PROG (CONJ-Ø 1ST 2ND)
         (SETQ CONJ-Ø (NCONC (CONS (PACK (LIST (QUOTE $) A)))
               (SETQ AA AC BB CA CB LAST LL X)
               (SETQ Y (REMOV B Y T))
               (PARTITION Y A)
               (SETQ AA (POS (SETQ AC (CAR 2ND)))
               (SETQ LL (CONS AC))
               (SETQ BB (POS (SETQ LAST (CAR (LAST 1ST))))))
               (COND
                  ((MEMB LAST (QUOTE (RELATION RELATIONSHIP)))
                     (RETURN LL))
                  ((EQ AA BB)
                     (MEMB LAST (QUOTE (RELATION RELATIONSHIP)))
                     (SETQ X T)
                     (SETQ LL (NCONC (CONS LAST) LL))
                     (COND
                        ((SETQ 1ST (REMOV LAST 1ST))
                           (GO AA))
                        (T (RETURN LL)))))
               (X (RETURN LL))
               (T (SETQ LL (NCONC (CONS LAST) LL)))))

   ))
(SETQ 1ST (REMOV LAST 1ST))
(SETQ 2ND (REMOV CC 2ND))
(SETQ AC (PROG (CC)
  (COND ((OR (NULL CA)
      (EQ (POS (CAR CA))
      BB)))
      (SETQ CC (REVERSE CC))
      (T (SETQ CA (CDR CA))
      (GO CC))))
(SETQ CB (REVERSE 1ST))
(SETQ AC (CONS AC))

BB (COND ((AND CA (SETQ AB (PROG
  (BC CD)
  CD (SETQ BC
     (CAR CA))
  (COND ((NULL CA)
      (RETURN))
      ((EQ (POS BC)
      AA))
      (SETQ CA (CDR CA))
      (RETURN
       (SETQ CD
        (CONS
         (NCONC
          (CONS
          BC)
         CD))))))
      (T (SETQ CD
      (NCONC
       (CONS
       BC)
      CD))
      (SETQ CA (CDR CA))
      (GO CD))))

))}
(SETQ AC (NCONC AB AC))
 (GO BB))
 (T (MAPC (REMOVE (CAR
      (LAST AC))
      AC)
   (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
      (Z)
    (SETQ CB (REMOV Z CB))))
     (SETQ 1ST (DREVERSE CB))
   (RETURN AC)))))
   (RETURN (NCONC (NCONC 1ST CONJ-0)
      (CDR 2ND))))
)
)
)
)
)
)

(PRINT (QUOTE STU-INPUT))
 (RPAQQ STU-INPUT (ANSAN E-3 E-3' NBDBND FLGQ FQU
 PARTITION CLEANANS CLEANQ MATCH1 ATOMMATCH
 GRLMATCH LISTMATCH LM1 INTERSEC MISPATCH
 INTMISP #MATCH SYN SYNLIS SYNMATCH NORMPLS
 CONJ CONJ-0))

STOP
(PROGN (PRIN1 (QUOTE FILE'N CREATED"))
T)
(PRIN1 (QUOTE 04/30/70" 1831:31")
T)
(TEQPRI T))

(DEFINIEQ

(INT-E
(LAMBDA (X ATT0 N NODE A PAST AA BB)
("ATT0 IS AN
OVERALL LIST, CAN
BE ATT OR OBJ;
N IS SPACES FOR PR ;
NODE = T SKIPS CADR
AND CONSIDERS CAR X
AS ATT ; A = A-AN
USES A-AN ; A = NIL
USES DET AND A = T
NO DET ; AA IS MODIF
TO VRB)

(PROG (ATT1 TOP VRB)
(GCMESS)
(PEED 2)
(SETOQ PUNCT (CAR (NLSETQ PUNCT)))
(SETOQ TOP X)
(RETURN (COND
((AND (NULL X)
 BB)
 (PRCR (PROBMESS M66))
 (RETURN))
 ((MEMB (QUOTE T/F)
 FLOG)
 (T/FMESS))
 ((CAR (NLSETQ (INT-E-Ø X ATT0 N NODE
 A PAST AA))))
 (T (INTERR))))))

(INT-E-Ø
(LAMBDA (X ATT0 N NODE A PAST AA)
("ATT0 IS AN
OVERALL LIST, CAN
BE ATT OR OBJ;
N IS SPACES FOR PR ;
NODE = T SKIPS CADR
AND CONSIDERS CAR X
AS ATT ; A = A-AN
USES A-AN ; A = NIL
USES DET AND A = T
NO DET ; AA IS MODIF
(PROG (ATT' BB)
  (COND
    ((NULL ATT$))
    ((ATOM' ATT$)
      (SETQ ATT$ (CONS ATT$))))
  (RETURN (COND
    ((OR (NULL X)
      (NULL (CAR X))))
    (NODE (SETQ N (PLUS 2 X))
      (SETQ ATT' (CAR X))
      (COND
        (PAST)
        (T (SETQ PAST (TAGCHK X
          (QUOTE PAST)))))))
  (COND
    ((MEMB ATT' SPATT)
      (SPATT ATT' X ATT$ N))
    ((NULL (1STPOS X (QUOTE (CN XN))))
      (INT-E-SENT X ATT$ A AA N T
        PAST PUNCT VRB))
    (T (SELECTQ (POS ATT')
      (XN (COND
        (ATT$ (INT-E-SENT ATT'
          ATT$ A AA N NIL
          PAST PUNCT VRB))
        (INT-E-$ (CDDR X)
          (CONS ATT')
          N NIL A PAST))
        ((PRP VRB MODIFY)
          (INT-E-SENT X ATT$ A AA N T
            PAST PUNCT VRB)))))
  (COND
    ((AND (NULL (CDDR X))
      (NULL (1STPOS X
        (QUOTE (CN XN))))
      (INT-E-SENT X ATT$ A AA N T PAST PUNCT VRB))
    (T (INT-E-$ (CDDR X)
      (COND
        (ATT$ (NCONC
          (CONS ATT')
          ATT$))
        ((MEMB (POS ATT')
          (QUOTE (XN CN)))
          ATT')
        (T (NCONC (CONS ATT')
          ATT$))
          N NIL A PAST AA)))))))
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((ATOMXTR X)
 (INT-E-SENT ATOMXTR (APPEND ATT0
 (COND
 (ATT' (CONS ATT'))))
 A AA N NIL FAST PUNCT VRB)
 (MAPC ATOMXTR (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
 (Z)
 (SETQ X (REMOVE Z X)))))
 (INT-E-0 X NIL N NIL A FAST AA))
 ((NULL (1STPOS X (QUOTE (CN XM)))))
 (MAPC X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
 (Z)
 (INT-E-SENT Z ATT0 A AA N T
 FAST PUNCT VRB)))))))
 (T (MAPC X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
 (Z)
 (COND
 ((MEMB (CAR Z)
 XTRAT'))
 (INT-E-0 Z (COND
 (BB NIL)
 (T (SETQ BB T)
 ATT0))
 N T A FAST AA)
 (SETQ X (REMOVE Z X)))))
 (INT-E-0 (CAR X)
 ATT0 N T A FAST AA))
 (MAPC (CDR X)
 (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
 (INT-E-0 Z NIL N T A FAST AA))))))
)
)
)

(INT-E-SENT
 (LAMBDA (PRED ATT0 A AA N BB FAST PUNCT VRB)
 (= AA IS PRED
 MODIFYING VRB ;
 BB IS NODE IN
 INT-E-PRED))

(PROG (CC M Z)
 (GCMESS)
 (COND
 ((OR (NULL PRED)
 (EQ PRED BKSLASH))
 (RETURN)))
 (SPACES (SETQ N (PLUS 2 N)))
 (SETQ ATTS (ATT0 ATTS PRED))
 (COND
 ((ATOM ATTS)
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(SETQ ATT0 (CONS ATT0)))
(COND
 (VRB
  (T (VRB ATT0 PRED PAST)))
 (PR (OF-IN-ON ATT0 A))
 (PR (COND
    (AA (NCONC VRB (COND
      ((ATOM AA)
       (CONS AA))
      (T AA))))
    (T VRB)))))
(SETQ M -1)
(INT-E-PRED (COND
 ((ATOM' PRED)
  (SETQ BB)
  (CONS PRED))
 (T PRED))
 (COND
  (BB T))
  A NIL NIL N)
  (PUNCT PUNCT)
))

(INT-E-PRED
 (LAMBDA (Y NODE A XCN AB))
  (* CONSTRUCTS PRED
   WITH NO VRB ;
   Y , NODE , A , N
   LIKE IN INT-E ;
   XCN IS LIST OF CH
   AND XN ALREADY FOUND
   IN LARGER PRED ;
   AB IS SIMILAR FOR
   ADJ , ADV AND MODIF)

(PROG (AA BB CC)
  (SETQ CC (COPY Y))
  (SETQ M (ADD1 M)).
  (COND
   ((AND (ZEROP M)
      (EQ A (QUOTE A-AN)))
     (SETQ B))
   (T (SETQ B A)))
  (RETURN (COND
    ((OR (NULL CC)
      (AND (LISTP CC)
        (NULL (CAR CC))))
     (PR (LISLIS XCN A AB)))
    (NODE (SELECTQ (POS (SETQ AA
      (CAR CC)))))
    ((PRF MODIF)
     (PR (LISLIS XCN A AB))
    ))
(FR (CONS AA))
(INT-E-PRED (CDDR CC)
NIL B NIL NIL N))
((CN XN)
(INT-E-PRED (CDDR CC)
NIL B
(SETO XCN (NCONC XCN
(COND
(((ATOM AA)
(CONS AA))
(T AA)))))
AB N)
NIL)
(PROG NIL
(COND
(((CDDR CC)
(SETO AB (NCONC
(COND
(((ATOM AA)
(CONS AA))
(T (LISLIS AA T)))
AB))
(SETO CC (CDDR CC)))))
(INT-E-PRED CC NIL B XCN AB N))
))
((DEPTH' (SETO BB (COND
(((ATOM CC)
(CONS CC))
(T CC)))))
(COND
(XCN (SELECTQ (POS BB)
((ADJ ADV MODIF)
(PR (LISLIS XCN A
(SETO AB (NCONC BB AB)))))
(PROG NIL
(PR (LISLIS XCN A AB))
(PR (LISLIS BB A))
)))
((OR (NUMBERPL BB)
(AND (MEMB (CAR BB) BLANKL)
(NUMBERPL EXPANS))))
(COND
((EQ BKSLASH (CAR BB))
(SETO BB (CDR BB)))))
(PR (LISLIS BB A AB))
(PR (COND
(((RET (QUOTE UNIT)
TOP QMARK))
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(T (RET (QUOTE UNIT))
 (COND
  ((NULL (1STPOS ATTS
    (QUOTE CH)))
   (1STPOS ATTS
    (QUOTE CH)))
  (1STPOS)
    QMARK))))
 (EQ 1 (LENGTH AB))
 (FR (LISLIS (CAR AB)
   A BB)))
 (AB (FR (NCONC CC AB)))
 (T (FR (LISLIS BB A))))
((ATOMXTR CC)
 (SELECTQ (POS ATOMXTR))
 ((ADJ ADV MODIF)
   (INT-E-PRED NIL NIL B XCN
    (NCONC AB ATOMXTR)
    N))
 (INT-E-PRED (LISLIS ATOMXTR A)
    NIL B XCN AB N))
 (INT-E-PRED (REMOVE NIL (REMOVE
    ATOMXTR (REMOVE ATOMXTR CC T)))
    NIL B NIL NIL N))
 (T (INT-E-PRED (CAR CC)
    T B XCN AB))
 (NAPC (CDR CC))
 (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
    (INT-E-PRED Z T B)))))
))

(OF-IN-ON
 (LAMBDA (X A AFTXN)
  (* A RELATES TO DET
   AFTXN = T
   ELIMINATES WHAT IS
   AFTER XH)
  (SETQ OF-IN-ON (PROG (AA AB AC B BA BB BC CA CB
    CC DD M POS 1ST 2ND)
    (SETQ DD X)
    (SETQ M -1)
    (SETQ M (ADD1 M))
    (SETQ AC B)
    (SETQ AA POS)
    (COND
      ((NULL DD)
       (RETURN BB))
      ((ATOM' DD)
       (RETURN (NCONC BB (LISLIS DD A AB)))
      ((AND (ZEROF M)
        (NULL (CDR DD))))
(SETQ M (SUB1 M))
(SETQ DD (CAR DD))
(GO DD))
(T (SETQ BC B)
(SETQ B (CAR DD)))
(SELECTQ (POS BC)
(XN (RETURN (COND
 (APTXN BB)
 (T (NCONC BB (NCONCL (NCONC
 (CONS COMMA)
 (OF-IN-ON DD A))
 COMMA))))))
(SELECTQ (POS B)
 (PRP (NCONCL BB B)
 (SETQ DD (CDR DD))
 (GO DD))
((ADJ ADV MODIF)
 (SETQ AB (NCONC AB (COND
 ((ATOM B)
 (CONS B))
 ((ATOM' B)
 (LISLIS B A AB))
 (T B))))
 (SETQ DD (CDR DD))
 (SETQ M (SUB1 M))
 (GO DD))
((CN XN NIL)
 (COND
 ((INTERSECTION (SETQ CB
 (COND
 ((LISTP B)
 B)
 (T (CONS (PL-S B)))))
 (CAR (RETV (QUOTE SUPERC)
 (SETQ CA (PL-S CC))
 NIL T)))
 (SETQ BA T))
 ((INTERSECTION CB (CAR
 (RETV (QUOTE SUPERP)
 CA NIL T)))
 (SETQ BA T))
 ((ZEROP M)
 (SETQ BB (NCONC BB (LISLIS B
 A AB))))
 (T (NCONC BB (NCONC (COND
 ((ZEROP M)
 NIL)
 (T (SELECTQ POS
 ((CN XN NIL)
 (CONS (SELECTQ
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(SHAPE DD
POS)
(REGION
(COND

((EQ
  (SHAPE
    AC
    AA)

  (QUOTE
    LINE)))

  (QUOTE
    OF))

  (T
    (QUOTE
      IN)))))

(LINE
  (QUOTE
    ON))

(QUOTE
  OF)))

NIL))

(LISLIS B A AB)))

(COND
  (BA)
  (T (SETQ CC B)
    (SETQ AB)))
  (SETQ DD (CDR DD))
  (GO DD))

(COND
  ((AND (1STPOS DD (QUOTE
          (CM XH NIL)))
    T)
   (NOT (INTERSECTION (SETQ
        1STPOS (COND
          ((LISTP 1STPOS)
            1STPOS)
          (T (CONS 1STPOS)))
        (CAR (RETV (QUOTE SUPERC)
          CC NIL T)))))
   (NOT (INTERSECTION 1STPOS
        (CAR (RETV (QUOTE
          SUPERP)
          CC NIL T))))))
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(DET 1STPOS)
(setq bb (nconc bb (nconc
(cond
((zerop m)
(nil)
(t (cons (quote of))))))
(nconc (cond
((null (cdr det))
(nil)
(t (cons (car det)))))
(nconc (lisnomp 1st)
(lislis 1stpos t))))))
(setq cc 1stpos)
(setq dd 2nd)
(go dd))
(t (return (setq bb (nconc bb
(lisnomp dd)))))))))

(vrb
(lambda (att0 pred' past)
(cond
(((atom att0)
(setq att0 (cons att0)))
(setq pred' (car (nls setq pred'))))
(prog (aa aa bb plural)
(selectq (pos pred'))
(vrb (setq pred' (cddr pred')))
(setq bb)
(return pred')))
(cond
(((1stpos att0 (quote (cn xn prn))))
(t (setq 1stpos (last att0))))))
(cond
(((atom 1stpos)
(setq plural (pl 1stpos)))
((atom' 1stpos)
(cond
(((and (memb (car 1stpos)
(lislis)
(cdr 1stpos))
(setq plural t))
(t (setq plural)))))))
(setq aa 1stpos)
(cond
(((and (listp pred')
(null (cdr pred')))
(setq pred' (car pred'))))
(cond
(((and (1stpos pred' (quote (cn xn nil))))
(NOT PLURAL)
(MEMB (POS AA)
 (QUOTE (NIL CN))
 (OR (PRED PL)
 (PL (CAR 1STPOS))))
(SETQ PLURAL T)
(DSUBST (S-PL (SETQ BB (COND
 ((ATOM AA)
 AA)
 (T (CAR AA))))
 BB ATTO))
 ((AND PLURAL (EQ (POS AA)
 (QUOTE CN))
 (MEMB (POS 1STPOS)
 (QUOTE (CN/XN))
 (NOT (OR (PRED PL)
 (PL 1STPOS))))
 (SETQ PLURAL)
 (DSUBST (PL-S (SETQ BB (COND
 ((ATOM AA)
 AA)
 (T (CAR AA))))
 BB ATTO))
 (RETURN (SETQ VRB (CONS (COND
 (PAST (COND
 (PLURAL (QUOTE WERE))
 (T (QUOTE WAS))))
 (PLURAL (QUOTE ARE))
 (T (QUOTE IS))))))
 )
)
(A-AN)
(LAMBDA (X AB)
 (SETQ A-AN (COND
 ((LISTP X)
 (LISLIS X (QUOTE A-AN)
 AB))
 ((AND (MEMB (CAR (NIL (SETQ (POS X))))
 (QUOTE (CN NIL)))
 (NOT (PL X)))
 (COND
 ((TAGCHK (CAR X)
 (QUOTE MASS))
 (APPEND AB (CONS X)))
 ((MEMB (NTHCHAR (COND
 (AB (CAR AB))
 (T X))
 1)
 (QUOTE (A E I O U)))
 (NCONC (CONS (QUOTE AN)))
))
)
LAMBDA (X AB)
  (SETQ DET (PROG (Y Z))
    (COND
     ((LISTP X)
      (RETURN (LISLIS X NIL AB)))
     (T (COND
          ((SETQ Z (CAAR (NILSETQ (EVAL X))))
           ((NOT (PL X))
            (RETURN (APPEND AB (CONS X)))))
          (T (RETURN (PROG (U)
                         (SETQ U (PL-S X))
                         (RETURN (Dsubst X U
                                   (DET U AB)))))
      )))
      (RETURN (COND
               ((NULL (SETQ Y (TAGCHK Z (QUOTE DET))))
                (APPEND AB (CONS (CADAR Z))))
               ((ATOM X)
                (NCONC (CONS Y)
                        (APPEND AB (CONS (CADAR Z))))))
               (T (NCONC (CONS (CAR Y))
                    (APPEND AB (CONS (CADAR Z))))))))
  )

(LISINOF)
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (PROG (U V W)
      (SETQ U X)
      BB (COND
          ((NULL U)
           (RETURN V))
          ((ATOM (SETQ W (CAR U)))
           (SETQ V (NCONC V W)))
          ((MEMP (CAR W)
                  LISLIS)
           (SETQ V (NCONC V (LISLIS W A))))
          (T (SETQ V (NCONC V W)))
          (SETQ U (CDR U))
          (GO BB))
      )))
  )

(LISLIS)
  (LAMBDA (X A AB)
    (PROG (AA)
(COND
  ((AND AB (ATOM AB))
   (CONS AB)))
(RETURN (COND
  ((NULL X)
   NIL)
  ((ATOM X)
   (LISTISØ X NIL A AB))
  ((Memb (setq AA (car X))
     LISTS)
   (LISTISØ (cdr X)
     (cañ X)
     A AB))
  ((eq AA (quote so))
   (cdr X))
  (T (LISTISØ X NIL A AB))))
))

(LISTSØ
  (lambda (y b a ab)
    (prog (aa ba bb)
      (bb (cond
        ((lesssp 2 (length y))
         (setq ba t))))
      (cond
        ((null (cdr y))
         (cond
          ((listp y)
           (return (lists (car y)
                         a ab))
          (t (return (selectq (pos y)
              ((cm xn)
               (cond
                ((eq a (quote a-an))
                 (a-an y ab))
                (a (cons y))
                (t (det y ab))))
               (nconc ab y))))))))
      (setq bb (cond
        ((eq b (quote sor))
         (nconc (cons (quote either))
           (cond
            ((eq a (quote a-an))
             (a-an (car y)
               ab))
            (a (lists (car y)
             a))
            (t (det (car y))
             nil))))))))
(* a = a-an if a-an
b = t if no article
j = nil if det)
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB}())
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{((EQ A (QUOTE A-AN))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A-AN (\text{CAR Y})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB})})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A \text{ LSLIS (CAR Y)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A))
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(T \text{ (DET (CAR Y)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB))})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SETQ AA (CDR Y)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AA (COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
((\text{CDR AA})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NCONC BB (NCONC (CONS COMMA))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{((EQ A (QUOTE A-AN))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A-AN (\text{CAR AA})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB})})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A \text{ LSLIS (CAR AA)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A))
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(T \text{ (DET (CAR AA)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB))})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SETQ AA (CDR AA)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(GO AA)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(T \text{ (NCONC BB (NCONC (COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(BA (CONS COMMA))})}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(NCONC (CONS (COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{((EQ B (QUOTE SOR))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{QUOTE OR))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(T (QUOTE AND))})}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{((EQ A (QUOTE A-AN))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A-AN (\text{CAR AA})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB})})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(A \text{ LSLIS (CAR AA)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A))
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(T \text{ (DET (CAR AA)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AB))})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(RETURN BB)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{))})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(\text{PL}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(\text{LAMBDA (X)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
((\text{ATOM X})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(COND}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
((\text{OR (MEMB X PL-LIS)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(AND (NUMBERP X)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(\text{LESSP 1 X})}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(AND (EQ (NTHCHAR X (NCHARS X)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{QUOTE S))}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(NOT (MEMB X S-LIS)))}}
\end{align*}
\]
X))
((AND (MEMB (CAR X)
     LSLIS)
   (CDDR X)
   (1STPOS (CDR X)
     (QUOTE (CN XN)))))
X)
((AND (CDR X)
   (NOT (MEMB (QUOTE NIL)
   (PROG (AA BB)
     (SETQ AA X)
     AA (COND
        ((NULL AA)
         (RETURN BB)))
     (SETQ BB (MCONCL BB (MEMB
         (POS (CAR AA))
         (QUOTE (CN XN)))))
     (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
     (GO AA)
   ))))))
)

(PL-S
 (LAMBDA (X)
   (COND
     ((TAGCHK (CAAR (NLSETQ (EVAL X)))
       (QUOTE PL)))
   X)
   ((PROG (AA)
     (SETQ AA S-PL)
     AA (COND
        ((NULL AA)))
        ((EQ X (CADAR AA))
         (RETURN (CAAR AA)))
     (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
         (GO AA)))))
   (T (PROG (Z LL Z1)
     (SETQ LL (NLSETQ L))
     (SETQ L (CONS X))
     (SETQ Z (DREVERSE (UNPACK X)))
     (COND
        ((EQ (CAR Z)
         (QUOTE S)))
        (T (RETURN X)))
     (SETQ Z1 (CAR (SETQ Z (CDR Z))))
     (COND
       ((EQ Z1 (QUOTE E))
        (COND
          ((EQ (CADR Z)
          (QUOTE I))))
(SETQ Z (PACK (DREVERSE
  (APPEND (QUOTE
    (X))
  (CDR Z)))))

(T (SETQ Z (PACK (DREVERSE
  (CDR Z))))))

(T (SETQ Z (PACK (DREVERSE Z))))

(SETQ L LL)

(RETURN Z)

)

(S-PL

(LAMBDA (X)
  (PROG (Y Y1 Y2 LL)
    (SETQ LL (NLSETQ L))
    (SETQ L (CONS X))
    (COND
      ((SETQ Y (PROG (AA)
          (SETQ AA S-PL))
        AA (COND
          ((NULL AA))
          ((EQ X (CAAR AA))
            (RETURN (CADAR AA)))
          (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
            (GO AA)))
        (GO AA))
      ((PL X)
        (SETQ Y X)
        (GO AA)))
      ((SETQ Y (CADR (NLSETQ (APPLY
        (QUOTE EDITCOM)
        (LIST (LIST (QUOTE F))
          (QUOTE PL)
          (QUOTE T)))))))
        (GO AA)))
      (SETQ Y (DREVERSE (UNPACK X)))
      (SETQ Y1 (CAR Y))
      (SETQ Y2 (CDR Y))
      (COND
        ((MEMB Y1 (QUOTE (S Z X H O)))))
      (SETQ Y (PACK (LIST X (QUOTE ES))))
      ((EQ Y1 (QUOTE Y))
        (COND
          ((NOT (MEMB Y2 (QUOTE (A E I Q U)))))
          (SETQ Y (PACK (DREVERSE
            (APPEND (QUOTE (IES))
            (CDR Y))))))
        (T (SETQ Y (PACK (DREVERSE
            (APPEND (QUOTE (S))
            (CDR Y))))))))

)
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(ATOMXTR
  (LAMBDA (X CC)
    (* CC = T IS ATOM , NIL IS ATOM*)
    (SETQ ATOMXTR (PROG (AA BB)
      (SETQ AA X)
      AA (COND
        ((NULL AA))
        ((COND
          (CC (ATOM AA))
          (T (ATOM' AA)))
          (SETQ BB (NCONCL BB AA)))
        ((COND
          (CC (ATOM (CAR AA)))
          (T (ATOM' (CAR AA)))
          (SETQ BB (NCONCL BB (CAR AA)))
          (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
          (GO AA))
          (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
            (GO AA)))
          (RETURN BB)
        )))

(POS
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (PROG (CC B)
      CC (RETURN (SETQ POS (COND
        ((NULL X)
          NIL)
        ((ATOM X)
          (COND
            ((SETQ CC (CAR (NLSQO
              (EVAL X))))
              (CAAR CC))
            ((NUMBERP X)
              (QUOTE #))
            ((AND (NOT B)
              (PL X))
              (SETQ B T)
              (SETQ X (PL-S X))
              (GO CC))
(((NOT B)
  (SETQ B T)
  (SETQ X (S-FL X))
  (GO CC))
  (T (CAaar CC)))
  (T (PROG (AA)
    (SETQ AA X)
    AA (COND
      ((NULL AA))
      ((POS (CAR AA))
        (RETURN POS))
      (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
        (GO AA)))
  )))

(1STPOS
 (LAMBDA (X TYPE PARTITION)
  (SETQ 1STPOS (PROG (AA BB)
    (SETQ AA X)
    AA (COND
      ((ATOM AA)
        (SETQ BB AA))
      ((ATOM' AA)
        (SETQ BB (CDR AA)))
      (T (RETURN (PROG (CC)
          (SETQ CC AA)
          CC (COND
            ((NULL CC))
            ((1STPOS (CAR CC) TYPE)
            (RETURN 1STPOS))
            (T (SETQ CC (CDR CC))
              (GO CC)))
          )))
      (COND
        ((NULL AA))
        ((COND
          ((ATOM TYPE)
            (QUOTE EQ))
            (T (QUOTE MEMB))
            (POS BB) TYPE)
          (COND
            (PARTITION (PARTITION X BB))
            (RETURN BB))
            (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
              (GO AA)))
          )))))
(TOPCN
 (LAMBDA (TOP X)
  (PROG (L OBJ)
   (SETQ OBJ TOP)
   (SETQ L (CAR (RET-1 X T)))
   (MAPC L (FUNCTION LAMBDA (Z)
       (COND
        ((EQ (POS (CAR Z))
          (QUOTE CN))
        (RETURN (CAR Z))))))))
)

(AALOC
 (LAMBDA (PRED AA)
  (* CALLED\BY SPATT IF LOCATION)
  (NCONC (SELECTQ (SHAPE (1STPOS PRED (QUOTE (XN CN)))
                   POS)
          (LINE (PROBMESS LOCATED\ON)
                  (PROBMESS LOCATED\IN))
          AA))))

(ATTS
 (LAMBDA (ATTS PRED)
  (PROG (BB LEFF)
   (RETURN (COND
                 ((OR (NULL ATTS)
                  (EQUAL ATTS ATTS1))
                  (COND
                   ((MEMB (QUOTE THERE)
                     INPUT)
                    (QUOTE THERE))
                   ((OR (PL (1STPOS ATTS1 (QUOTE (CN XM)))))
                    (PL (1STPOS PRED (QUOTE (CN XM)))))
                    (QUOTE THEY))
                   (T (QUOTE IT)))
                   ((SETQ BB (MEMBDDR ATTS1 ATTS))
                    (SETQ ATTS1 (COND
                                   ((1STPOS LEFF (QUOTE (CN XM)))
                                    LEFF)
                                   (T (SETQ LEFF (NCONC1 LEFF
                                                  (1STPOS ATTS1 (QUOTE (CN XM)))
                                                  (QUOTE (CN XM)))))))))
                   (T (SETQ ATTS1 ATTS))))
)

(SPATT
 (LAMBDA (ATT1 PRED ATTS N FUNCT VRB AA)
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(SELECTQ ATT* (SUPERC (INT-E-SENT (CDDR PRED))
ATT0

(QUOTE A-AH)
AA nil PAST PUNCT VRB))
(SUPERP (INT-E-SENT (CDDR PRED))
ATT0 NIL

(COND
  ((MEMBER2 (QUOTE LOCATION)
   TOP)
   (PROBNESS LOCATED\IN))
  (T (QUOTE A\PART\OF))
N NIL PAST PUNCT VRB))
(AVERAGE (SPACES (PLUS 2 N))
(PR (OF-IN-ON (ATT0 ATT0 PRED))))
(PR (COND
  ((PL (1STPOS ATT0 (QUOTE (CN XN))))
   (QUOTE (AVERAGE))))
  (T (QUOTE (AVERAGES))))
(PR (REMOVE BKSLASH (CDDR PRED)))
(PR (RET (QUOTE UNIT)

(COND
  ((NULL (1STPOS ATT0 (QUOTE CN)))
   (1STPOS ATT1 (QUOTE CN)))
  (T 1STPOS))
QMARK))
(PUNCT PUNCT))
(RANGE (SPACES (PLUS 2 N))
(PR (OF-IN-ON (ATT0 ATT0 PRED))))
(PR (LIST (COND
  ((PL (1STPOS ATT0 (QUOTE (CN XN))))
   (QUOTE RANGE\FROM))
  (T (QUOTE RANGES\FROM))
)(CDDR PRED)
(QUOTE TO)
(CADDLR PRED))
(PR (RET (QUOTE UNIT)

(COND
  ((NULL (1STPOS ATT0 (QUOTE CN)))
   (1STPOS ATT1 (QUOTE CN)))
  (T 1STPOS))
QMARK))
(PUNCT PUNCT))
(LOCATION (SETQ PRED (CDDR PRED))
(PROG NIL
AA (COND
  ((NULL PRED))
  ((ATOMXTR PRED T)
   (SETQ PRED (REMOV ATOMXTR PRED))
   (INT-E-SENT ATOMXTR ATT0 A
    (AALOC PRED AA))
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NIL PAST PUNCT VRB)
(GO AA))
((ATOMXTR PRED)
 (INT-E-SENT (CAR ATOMXTR)
   ATT0 A
 (AALOC PRED AA)
 NIL PAST PUNCT VRB)
 (SETO PRED (REMOVE (CAR ATOMXTR)
 PRED))
 (MAFC (CDR ATOMXTR)
 (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
   (SETO PRED (REMOVE Z PRED))
   (INT-E-SENT Z NIL A
 (AALOC PRED AA)
 NIL PAST PUNCT VRB)))
 (GO AA))
((PROG (Y)
 (SETO Y PRED)
 BB (COND
 ((NULL Y))
 ((MEMB (POS (CAAR Y))
   (QUOTE (PRP VRB)))
 (SETO PRED (REMOVE
   (CAR Y)
 PRED))
 (INT-E-SENT (CAR Y)
 (SETO ATT0 (NCONC
 (CONS
 (QUOTE
 LOCATION))
 ATT0))
 A AA NIL PAST PUNCT VRB)
 (RETURN T))
 (T (SETO Y (CDR Y)
 (GO BB))))
)
(GO AA))
(T (INT-E-SENT PRED ATT0 A
 (AALOC PRED AA)
 NIL PAST PUNCT VRB))
)
(APPLIED\TO (INT-E-SENT (CDDR PRED)
 ATT0 T
 (QUOTE APPLICABLE\TO)
 NIL))
(SHAPE
 (LAMBDA (X POS)
 (* USED BY OF-IN-ON)
(SEQ SHAPE (COND
  ((NULL X))
  ((ATOM X)
    (COND
      ((AND (SEQ SHAPE (CAR (NLSEQ (EVAL X)))))
       (SEQ SHAPE (TAGCHK (CAR SHAPE) (QUOTE SHAPE))))
      (T (SHAPE (1STPOS (CAAR (RETV
        (QUOTE SUPERC) X NIL T))
        (QUOTE CN))))
      (QUOTE CN))))))

(PUNCT
  (LAMBDA (PUNCT)
    (PRCR (COND
      ((EQ PUNCT T))
      ((NULL PUNCT)
       (CONS PERIOD))
      (T (CONS PUNCT))))))))

(PRINT (QUOTE SENT-GEN))
(RPAQQ SENT-GEN (INT-E INT-E-Ø INT-E-SENT
  INT-E-PRED OF-IN-ON VRB A-AH DET LISIMOF
  LISLIS LISLISØ PL PL-S S-PL ATOMXTR POS
  1STPOS TOPCH AALOC ATTØ SPATT SHAPE PUNCT))

STOP
(DEFUN RETQ (ATT OBJ VAL FLGQ)  
  (PROG (AB L)  
    (SETQ AB Q)  
    (SETQ WHYSTQ)  
    (RETURN (RETQ 0 ATT OBJ VAL FLGQ)))  
)

(RETDQ  
  (LAMBDA (OBJ FLGQ)  
    (SETQ HI 0)  
    (RETX OBJ NIL NIL FLGQ)))

(RETMOR  
  (LAMBDA (OBJ FLGQ)  
    (COND  
      ((MEMB (QUOTE ALL)  
        FLGQ)  
        (RETX OBJ HI 50 FLGQ))  
      ((RETX OBJ HI (PLUS 2 HI)  
        FLGQ))  
      (T (RETX OBJ HI (PLUS 4 HI)  
        FLGQ))))))

(RETALL  
  (LAMBDA (OBJ FLGQ)  
    (RETX OBJ 0 120 FLGQ)))

(RETA  
  (LAMBDA (OBJ VAL FLGQ)  
    (SETQ ATT (PROG (X Y)  
      (SETQ X L)  
      (SETQ L)  
      (RETQ VAL NIL T)  
      (SETQ L X)  
      (RETURN (DREMOVE NIL (MAPCAR L1  
        (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)  
          (COND  
            ((SETQ Y (PROG (LL)  
              (SETQ LL  
                (CDR Z))))  
              (SETQ ATT))  
            AA (COND  
            ...)  
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((MEMB
  (SETQ X
    (CAAR
     LL))
  (LIST)
  (SETQ ATT
    (NCONC1
     ATT
     (QUOTE
      ONE\OF))
  (SETQ LL
    (CDR LL))
  (MEMB X
    (QUOTE
     (SQ S#))
  (SETQ ATT
    (NCONC1
     ATT
     (CDAR
     LL)))
  (EQUAL VAL X))
  (EQ X
    (QUOTE SF))
  (RETURN)
  (ATOM X)
  (SETQ ATT
    (NCONC1
     ATT X)))
(COND
  ((NULL
    (SETQ LL
      (CDR LL))
    (RETURN ATT))
   (T (GO AA))))
))))))))})

(RETO
  (LAMBDA (SUPERC X Y)
    (COND
      ((ATOM X)
        (SETQ Y (CONS Y)))
      (COND
        ((NULL SUPERC)
          (SETQ SUPERC (CAR (RETV (QUOTE APPLIED\TO)
                         X NIL T))))
        ((ATOM SUPERC)
          (SETQ SUPERC (CONS SUPERC)))))
    (COND
      ((MEMB (CAR SUPERC)
LISLIS
(COND
  ((RETO (CADR SUPERC) X Y))
  ((CDDR SUPERC)
    (RETO (CONS (CONS (QUOTE SL))
      (CDDR SUPERC))
    X Y)))))
(T (DREMOVE NIL (MAPCAR SUPERC (FUNCTION
  (LAMBDA (Z)
    (PROG (AA AB BB)
      (SETQ AB)
      (FLGQ Y)
      (SETQ AA (CAR (RETV
        (QUOTE EXAMPLES)
        (PL-S Z)
        NIL T))))
    AA (COND
        ((NULL AA)
          (RETURN AB))
        (SETQ BB (CAR AA))
        (SETQ QA (CDR AA))
        (COND
          ((MEMB (CAAR (MATCH1 Y
            (CAR (RETV X BB
            FLGQ T))
            FLGQ))
          CORRLIS)
          (SETQ AB (NCONCL AB BB))
          (COND
            ((MEMB (QUOTE ALL)
              FLGQ)
            (GO AA))
            (T (RETURN AB)))
          (T (GO AA)))
        )))))))))))
(RETV
  (LAMBDA (ATT OBJ FLGQ AA)
    (* AA = T BLOCKS
     RETX')
    (PROG (BB X L FLGQ' VAL)
      (SETQ X T)
      (SETQ FLGQ' FLGQ)
      (COND
        ((RET-1 ATT (CAR FLGQ')))
        ((AND (PL ATT)
          (RET-1 (PL-S ATT)
            (CAR FLGQ'))))
        ((AND (NOT (PL ATT))
          (RET-1 (S-PL ATT))
          ))))
    (CAR FLGQ'))))
(CAR FLGQ'))))
((CAADDR (SETQ BB (CAAAR (NILSETQ
(EVAL ATT))))))
(Prog (x)
  (SETQ Y (CDR BB))
y (cond
    ((OR (NULL X)
      (RET-1 (CAR Y)
        (CAR FLGQ'))))
    (T (SETQ Y (CDR Y))
      (GO Y))))
BB (SETQ VALØ (MAPCAR L1 (FUNCTION
  (LAMBDA (Z)
    (CDRAR Z)))))
(cond
  (AA (RETURN VALØ))
AA (SETQ VAL (MAPCAR L1 (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
  (Z)
    (RETX' (CONS (CAR Z))
      FLGQ')))))))
(cond
  ((AND X L1 (NOT (CAR VAL)))
    (SETQ X)
    (SETQ FLGQ' (CONS (QUOTE MORE)))
    (GO AA)))))
(SETQ WHYSTO (NCONCL WHYSTO (LIST ATT OBJ
  VAL)))
(RETURN VAL)
))
(RET
  (LAMBDA (OBJ LO HI FLGQ)
    (SETQ BB' Ø)
    (SETQ RETX (CAR (RETX1 OBJ LO HI FLGQ T))))))
(RETX'
  (LAMBDA (OBJ FLGQ)
    (cond
      ((MEMBER1' ALLLIS FLGQ)
        (RETX OBJ Ø 1ØØ FLGQ))
      ((MEMBER1' (QUOTE (MORE SOMETHING\ELSE))
        FLGQ)
        (RETMOR OBJ FLGQ))
      ((MEMBER1' (QUOTE EVERYTHING\ELSE)
        FLGQ)
        (RETX OBJ HI' 1ØØ FLGQ))
      (T (RETDEIE OBJ FLGQ))))))
(RET-∅
  (LAMBDA (ATT OBJ VAL FLGQ)
    (SETQ ATTlis (NCONCL ATTlis ATT))
    (PROG (CC BB)
      (SETQ AB (ADD1 AB)))
    ATT (COND
      ((ATOM ATT))
      ((NULL (CDR ATT))
        (SETQ ATT (CAR ATT))
        (GO ATT)))
      ((MEMB (CAR ATT) LILIS)
        (RETURN (MAPCAR (CDR ATT)
          (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (X)
            (RET-∅ X OBJ VAL FLGQ))))))
      (T (SETQ CC (REMOV (SSTQ BB (CAR (LAST ATT))))
        (REMOVE BB ATT)))
      (RETURN (RET-∅ CC (RET-∅ BB OBJ QMARK)
        VAL FLGQ))))
  OBJ (COND
    ((ATOM OBJ))
    ((NULL (CDR OBJ))
      (SETQ OBJ (CAR OBJ))
      (GO OBJ))
    ((MEMB (CAR OBJ) LILIS)
      (RETURN (MAPCAR (CDR OBJ)
        (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Y)
          (RET-∅ ATT Y VAL FLGQ))))))
    ((AND (EQ ATT QMARK)
      (EQ VAL QMARK))
      (RETURN (RET-∅ (REMOV (SETQ BB (CAR (LAST OBJ))))
        (REMOVE BB OBJ))
        BB QMARK FLGQ))))
  VAL (COND
    ((ATOM VAL))
    ((NULL (CDR VAL))
      (SETQ VAL (CAR VAL))
      (GO VAL))
    ((MEMB (CAR VAL) LILIS)
      (RETURN (MAPCAR (CDR VAL)
        (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
          (RET-∅ ATT OBJ Z FLGQ))))))
    (T (SETQ CC (REMOV (SETQ BB (CAR (LAST VAL))))
      (REMOVE BB VAL)))
    (RETURN (RET-∅ ATT OBJ (RET-∅ CC BB)
      VAL FLGQ))))
QMARK)
  (FLGQ))))
  (SETQ AB (SUB1 AB))
  (COND
    ((ZEROP AB)
     (SETQ AA))
    ((T (SETQ AA T)))
    (RETURN (CAR (COND
      ((EQ VAL QMARK)
       (COND
         ((EQ ATT QMARK)
          (CONS (RETX OBJ FLGQ)))
         ((EQ OBJ QMARK)
          (MAPCAR (RETV (QUOTE
                         APPLIED\TO)
                      ATT FLGQ AA)
                    (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
                        (X)
                        (LIST X (RETV ATT X
                                  FLGQ AA))))))))
         (T (RETV ATT OBJ FLGQ AA)))))
      (OR (EQ OBJ QMARK)
             (EQ (CAR OBJ)
                 QMARK)))
      (RETO (CADR OBJ)
             ATT VAL FLGQ))
      ((EQ ATT QMARK)
       (RETA OBJ VAL FLGQ))
      ((T (RETV-IF ATT OBJ VAL FLGQ))))))
  )))

(RETX
  (LAMBDA (OBJ LO HI FLGQ TOP)  (* EXT = T IF GOING
                              TO EXTERNAL UNIT)
  (PROG (AA AA' AB AC ATOMXTR BA BB BB' BB'LIS BC
         CA CC CC' LL OB)
      (COND
        ((EQ 6 (TAGCHK (SETQ OB (COND
                         ((ATOM OBJ)
                          (NLSETQ (EVAL OBJ)))))
                         ((NULL (CDR OBJ))
                          (SETQ OBJ (CAR OBJ))
                          (GO CC)))
                         (T OBJ)))))
        (QUOTE I))))
      (RETURN (QUOTE (NIL 100)))))
      ((AND TOP (MEMB (QUOTE STUQ)
                     FLGQ))
       (SETQ AB (CAR (NLSETQ (ADD1
                               (TAGCHK OBJ HI'\NSH)))))))
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(TERPRI)
(SPACES 2)
(COND
  ((AND (MEMBER1' (QUOTE (ALL MORE)))
      FLGQ)
   (LESSP AB HI)))
  (T (PCHR (PROBNESS M67))))
(COND
  ((AND (NUMBERP HI)
      (LESSP HI AB))
   (SETQ HI AB)))
(COND
  ((NOT LO)
   (SETQ LO 1))
(COND
  ((NULL HI)
   (COND
    ((LISTP OBJ)
     (SETQ HI (ADD1 LO))
     (SETQ LL (CDDR OBJ))
     (GO BB))
    ((SETQ HI (TAGCHK (CAAR (NLSMTQ
                           (EVAL OBJ)))))
     (QUOTE DEF)))
    (T (SETQ HI (ADD1 LO))))))
   (LISTP OBJ)
   (SETQ LL (CDDR OBJ))
   (GO BB))
   (SETQ LL (CDAR (NLSMTQ (EVAL OBJ))))
   (SETQ LL (CDR LL))
AA (SETQ LL (CDAR (NLSMTQ (EVAL OBJ))))
BB (COND
  ((OR (MINUSP HI)
    (NULL LL))
   (SETQ HI' (ADD1 HI))
   (COND
    ((AND (MEMB (QUOTE STUQ)
                 FLGQ)
     (CDR OB))
     (TAGWRT' OBJ HI' \H3H HI'))))
   (COND
    ((NUMBERP (SETQ BB' (CADR
                         (MAXMIN BB' L3S))))))
    (T (SETQ BB' 100))
    (RETURN (CONS (REMOVE NIL AA
                    BB'))))
   ((NOT (LESSP HI (SETQ BB' (ADD1
                            (SETQ BB (COND
                                     ((TAGCHK (SETQ CC
                                             (CAR LL))
                                      (QUOTE I)))))))
     (QUOTE I))))

295
(COND
  ((NULL CC))
  ((EQ BA (QUOTE SF))
    (CAR (NLSETO (EVAL (CDR CC))))))
  ((AND (NOT (LESSLBB' LO)))
    (OR (ATOM CC')
      (MEMB BA LIXLIS)
      (EQ BA (QUOTE SUPERC))))
  (SETQ BB'LIS (NCONCL BB'LIS BB'))
  (SETQ AA (NCONCL AA CC'))
  (T (RETXCC AA' BA BB BB' BC CC CC' LO HI FLGQ)))
)

(GO AA)
)

(RETXCC
  (LAMBDA (AA' BA BB BB' BC CC CC' LO HI FLGQ)
    (* CALLED BY RETX1)
    (PROG (AB Y)
      (COND
        ((ATOMXTR CC' T)
         (SETQ Y (NCONC Y (MAPCAR ATOMXTR
                           (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z)
                             (COND
                               ((AND (COND
                                   ((MEMB (QUOTE EXT)
                                     FLGQ)))))
                                (LESSLBB' HI))
                               (SETQ CA' (CAR
                                           (NLSETO
                                             (EVAL Z))))))
                                (CAR (SETQ AB
                                       (RETX1 CA
                                                (DIFFERENCE
                                                 LO BB'))
                                       (DIFFERENCE HI
                                                 BB'))
                                       FLGQ))))))
        (SETQ BB'LIS (NCONCL BB'LIS (PLUS BB'
                                       (CDR AB)))))
        (CAR AB))
        ((NOT (LESSLBB' LO))
         (GO AA))
      ))
    ))
  )
)
(setq bb'lis (nconc
bb'lis bb'))
(z)))))
(setq cc' (remove atomxtr (remov
atomxtr cc'))))
(cond
((and cc' (atomxtr cc'))
(cond
((not (lessp bb' lo))
(setq bb'lis (nconc bb'lis bb'))
(setq y (nconc y atomxtr))))
(setq cc' (mapc atomxtr (function
(lambda (z)
(remove z (remov z cc'))))))))
(cond
(cc' (setq ab (remove nil (mapcar cc'
(function (lambda (u)
(cond
((and (car (setq ab
(retx1
(list nil nil
u)
(difference lo
bb'))
(difference hi
bb'))
flgq))))
(not (lessp hi
(+ bb'
(cdr ab))))))
(setq bb'lis (nconc
bb'lis (+ bb
(cdr ab))))
(setq y (nconc y
(car ab)))))
(return (cond
((setq y (dremove nil y))
(setq aa (nconc aa (nconc bc y)))))))))
)
(ret-1
(lambda (y n aa)
(* if n is nil then
all occurrences , if
t then 1 , if #
these many
occurrences ;
aa = t enables
approx #match)

(prog (bb x z)
(cond
((NULL (NLSETQ OBJ))
 (RETURN)))

(COND
  ((AND (NUMBERPL Y)
        (NOT (EQ Y BKSLASH))
        (OR (FLOATP Y)
            (GREATERP Y 100000)))
   (RET-1 BKSLASH 1))
  (COND
   ((OR (EQP Y (CADAAR L1))
      (COND
       (AA (MEMBER2 (QUOTE
                     APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT)
            (MATCH1 (CDAR L))
            (CONS Y)
            (LIST (QUOTE #)
                (QUOTE APPROX))))))
    (RETURN (REMOV BKSLASH (CADAAR L1)))))
   (T (RETURN))))))

(SETQ BB 1)
(SETQ L1)
CC (SETQ X (CONS (COND
       ((ATOM OBJ)
        (COND
         ((CAR (NLSETQ (EVAL OBJ))))
           (T (RETURN))))
         ((NULL (CDR OBJ))
            (SETQ OBJ (CAR OBJ))
            (GO CC))
         (T OBJ)))))

BB (COND
  ((NULL L)
   (SETQ L X))
  (T (SETQ L (NCONC X L))))
(COND
  ((CAR (NLSETQ (APPLY (QUOTE EDITCOM)
            (LIST (LIST (QUOTE F)
              (COND
               ((ATOM Y)
                Y)
               (T (CAR Y)))
              (COND
               (N N)
               (T BB)))))
            (SETQ L1 (NCONC L1 L))
            (SETQ L))
   (COND
    (N (RETURN L1))
    (T (SETQ BB (ADD1 BB))
      (GO BB)))))
  (T (RETURN L1)))
))
(RET-TF
  (LAMBDA (STR FLGQ VRB)
    (PROG (AA AB BA BB EXPANS CC DD 1ST 2ND)
      (COND
        (VRB (PARTITION STR GRLVBL)
          (SETQ CC 1ST)
          (SETQ DD 2ND))
        (T (SETQ CC (CONS (CAR STR)))
          (COND
            ((AND (NUMBERP CC)
                (MEMBER2 (QUOTE UNIT)
                  (RETV (QUOTE SUPERC)
                    (CADR STR)
                    NIL T)))
              (SETQ DD (CDDR STR)))
            ((NULL (CDDR STR))
              (SETQ CC (CDR STR))
              (SETQ DD (NCONC1 (CONS (QUOTE SUPERC))
                    (CAR STR)))
              (T (SETQ DD (CDR STR)))))
          AA
            ((AND (OR AB BA (LESSP 1 (LENGTH DD)))
                (SETQ EXPANS (COND
                               ((1STPOS (SETQ BB (RET QMARK DD QMARK)))
                                (QUOTE (CN XN)))
                               (CONS 1STPOS))
                               (T BB)))
            ((AND VRB (NOT BA))
              (SETQ AB T)
              (SETQ AA CC)
              (SETQ CC DD)
              (SETQ DD AA)
              (GO AA))
            (T (SETQ AB T)
              (SETQ CC (LAST STR))
              (SETQ DD (REMOV CC STR))
              (GO AA)))
      (RETURN (COND
        (EXPANS' (NEXT (COND
                       ((MEMB (CAR (MATCH1 EXPANS CC))
                         (QUOTE (CORRECT PERFECT
                                  APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT CORRECT')))
                       MATCH1)
                       ((AND (MEMBER2 (CAR CC)
                                BB)
                         (NOT (MEMBER3 HOLIS EXPANS))))
                       (QUOTE (CORRECTS))))))
(T MATCH1)
  FLGQ)
  T)))
))

(RET-CH
  (LAMBDA (X FLGQ)
    (RET QMARK X QMARK FLGQ))))

(ER
  (LAMBDA (AA Z M)
    (SETQ ER (EST1 AA Z M 1))))

(EREST
  (LAMBDA NIL
    (SETQ Y (PACK Y))
    (SELECTQ X1 (T (COND
      ((AND (EQ X2 (QUOTE S))
        (EQ X3 (QUOTE E))
        (PROG (AA)
          (SETQ AA EREST)
          AA (COND
            ((NULL AA)
              (RETURN (CONS Y)))
            ((EQ (CADAR AA)
                Y)
              (RETURN (LIST (QUOTE EST)
                (CADDAR AA)
                (CADR (CDDAR AA))))))
            (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
                (GO AA)))))
      (T (CONS Y)))))
  (R (COND
    ((EQ X2 (QUOTE E))
      (PROG (AA)
        (SETQ AA EREST)
        AA (COND
          ((NULL AA)
            (RETURN (CONS Y)))
          ((EQ (CAAR AA)
              Y)
            (RETURN (LIST (QUOTE ER)
              (CADDAR AA)
              (CADR (CDDAR AA))))))
          (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
              (GO AA)))))
        ))
      (T (CONS Y)))
    (CONS Y))))
(EST
   (LAMBDA (X Y M Z #)
          (* X IS SUPERC , Y IS OBJ , Z IS PROPERTY , M IS MAX OR MIN , # IS # OF ITEMS IN OUTPUT)
          (SETQ EST (CAR (COND
                        ((NULL X)
                         (RETV (QUOTE EXAMPLE)
                         X
                         (CONS (QUOTE ALL))
                         T)))
                        ((RETV X Y (CONS (QUOTE ALL))
                         T)))
                        ((RETV (S-PL X)
                         Y
                         (CONS (QUOTE ALL))
                         T)))
                        ((RETV (PL-S X)
                         Y
                         (CONS (QUOTE ALL))
                         T))))))
          (SETQ EST (EST1 EST Z M #))))

(EST1
   (LAMBDA (AA Z M #)
          (* CALLED BY ER AND EST)
          (PROG (AB BB CC)
                 (SETQ CC 0)
                 (COND
                  ((MEMB (CAR AA)
                    LISLIS)
                   (SETQ AA (CDR AA))))
                 (COND
                  ((EQUAL Z (QUOTE SIZE))
                   (SETQ Z)))
                 (COND
                  ((AND (NULL #)
                         (PL X))
                   (SETQ # (QUOTIENT (ADD1 (LENGTH AA))
                                3))))
                 AA (SETQ BB (NCONCL BB (SETQ AB (CAR
                  (NTH AA (CAR (MAXMIN (HAPCAR AA
                  (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
                              (V)
                              (COND
                              ((NUMBERPL)
                               (RETV Z V NIL
                               T))
                              T))))
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(T (NUMBERPL'
  (EVAL V)
  T))))

M)))))))

(SETQ CC (ADD1 CC))
(COND
  ((LESSP CC #)
   (SETQ AA (REMOV AB AA))
    (GO AA))
  (T (RETURN BB)))
))

(COMpare
 (LAMBDA (X Y PROP HI)
  (PROG (AA BB TEM SIM DIF A DIF B)
   (COND
    (((PL PROP)
      (SETQ PROP (PL-S PROP))))
    (COND
     (PROP (SETQ AA (RETV PROP (EVAL X)
                                (CONS HI)
                                (NOT HI)))))
     (SETQ BB (RETV PROP (EVAL Y)
                                (CONS HI)
                                (NOT HI)))))
     (T (SETQ AA (CDDR (EVAL X)))
      (SETQ BB (CDDR (EVAL Y))))
     (SETQ SIMDIF (SIMDIF NIL AA BB))
     (SETQ COMPARE (LIST (LIST (QUOTE SIMILARITIES)
                               COLON
                               (SETQ SIM (CAR SIMDIF)))
                               (LIST (QUOTE DIFFERENCES)
                               COLON
                               (SETQ DIF (CADR SIMDIF)))))))
))

(SIMDIF
 (LAMBDA (ATTR X Y)
  (PROG (A B BB)
    (SETQ A (CAR X))
    (SETQ BB (CAR Y))
    AA (SETQ B BB)
    BB (COND
      ((NULL A)
       (COND
       (CONS
        (QUOTE
         (SIMDIF NIL AA BB))
        COLON
        (QUOTE
         (DIFFERENCES
          COLON
          (QUOTE SIMDIF)))))
      (T
       (CONS
        (QUOTE
         (SIMDIF NIL AA BB))
        COLON
        (QUOTE
         (DIFFERENCES
          COLON
          (QUOTE SIMDIF))))))))

(* FROM LISTS X Y
FORMS LISTS OF SIMILAR AND DIFFERENT PROPERTIES AND SUBPROPERTIES)
((NULL TEM)
  (RETURN (LIST SIM DIFA DIFB)))
(T (SIMDIFF (CAAR TEM))
  (CADDR TEM)
  (CADDAR TEM))
(SETO TEM (CDR TEM))
(GO BB)))

((NULL B)
 (SETO DIFA (NCONCL DIFA (NCONCL
     (CONS ATTR)
     (CAR A))))
 (SETO A (CDR A))
 (GO AA))
((EQUAL (CAR A)
     (CAR B))
 (SETO SIM (NCONC SIM (NCONCL ATTR A)))))
(SETO A (CDR A))
(SETO BB (REMOVE (CAR B) BB))
(GO AA))
((EQ (CAAR A)
     (CAAR B)))
(SETO ATTR (NCONC ATTR (CAAR A)))
(SETO TEM (NCONCL TEM (LIST ATTR
     (CADDR A)
     (CADDAR B))))
(SETO A (CDR A))
(SETO BB (REMOVE (CAR B) BB))
(GO AA))
(T (SETO DIFB (NCONCL DIFB (NCONCL
     (CONS ATTR)
     (CAR B))))
 (SETO B (CDR B))
 (GO BB)))
)

(PRINT (QUOTE RET+IP))
(RPAQQ RET+IP (RET RETDEF RETMOR RETALL RETA RETO
 RETV RETX RETX' RET-Ø RETX1 RETXCC RET=1
 RET-TF RET-CH ER EREST EST EST1 COMPARE
 SIMDIFF))

STOP
(PROGN (PRINT1 (QUOTE FILE" CREATED ") T)
 (PRINT1 (QUOTE 04/30/70" 1834149") T)
 (TERPRI T))
(DEFINEQ

(RD*
 (LAMBDA (ESTER)
   (PROG (Z)
     AA (COND
       ((NULL (NILSETQ (SETQ Z (AT\ (RD*1 ESTER))))
         (GO AA))
       (T (RETURN Z))))
   )))

(RD*1
 (LAMBDA (ESTER)
   (PROG (AA X X1 X2 X3 Y Z)
     (COND
      (P (PRINT1 (QUOTE *)))
      (T (SETQ P T)))))
   AA (SETQ AA)
   (SETQ Y)
   BB (SETQ X3 X2)
   (SETQ X2 X1)
   (SETQ X1 X)
   (COND
    ((EQUAL (SETQ X (READC))
     (QUOTE *)))
   COND
    ((NULL Y)
     (RETURN Z))
    ((AND AA (GREATERP (LENGTH Y) 5))
     (NCONCL Y PERIOD)))
   (RETURN (SETQ Z (NCONC Z (COND
     (ESTER (EREST))
     (T (CONS (PACK Y))))))))
   ((AND AA (EQ X PERIOD))
    (GO CC))
   ((MEMBER X RDPUNCTL)
     (COND
      ((NOT (NULL Y))
       (SETQ Z (NCONC Z (COND
         (ESTER (EREST))
       (T (CONS (PACK (COND
         ((AND AA (GREATERP
           (LENGTH Y) 5))
         )))))))
   ))
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(NCONCL Y PERIOD)
(T Y)))))

(SETO Z (NCONCL Z X))
(GO AA))
((MEMBER X SPCRL)
(COND
  ((NULL Y)
   (GO BB))
  ((AND AA (GREATERP (LENGTH Y) 5))
   (NCONCL Y PERIOD))
  (SETO Z (NCONC Z (COND
    (ESTER (EREST))
    (T (CONS (PACK Y))))))
  (GO AA))
((NUMBERP X)
 (SETO AA T)))))
CC (SETO Y (NCONCL Y X))
(GO BB)
))

(RD*CH
 (NLAMBDA (X)
  (PROG (Z)
   AA (COND
     ((EQUAL (SETO Z (COND
       ((NULL X)
        (RD*))
        (T (RD*#))))
      (QUOTE (CH))))
     (SETO ALTERN (ADD1 (REMAINDER ALTERN 2))))
     (GO AA))
     (T (RETURN Z)))))
))

(RD*#
 (LAMBDA NIL
 (PROG (X)
   AA (SETO X (CAR (LIST (PACK (RD*))))))
   (COND
     ((EQUAL X (QUOTE CH))
      (SETO ALTERN (ADD1 (REMAINDER ALTERN 2))))
     (GO AA))
     ((NUMBERP X)
      (RETURN X))
     (T (PRCR (QUOTE (THAT'S NOT A NUMBER "." 
       TRY AGAIN "","))))
     (GO AA)))))
(AT
  (LAMBDA (Z)
    (PROG (B Y W X Y)
      (SETQ V)
      AA (SETQ X (CAR Z))
      (COND
       ((NULL X)
        (RETURN V))
       ((PROG (BB CC)
         (SETQ CC AT\)
         CC (COND
          ((NULL CC))
          (T (SETQ BB (EVAL (CAR CC)))
           (RETURN (COND
                ((PROG NIL BB (COND
                  ((NULL BB))
                  ((EQ X
                    (CAAR BB))
                     (RETURN
                      (SETQ Y
                        (CDAR BB))))))
                  (T (SETQ BB
                      (CDR BB))
                     (GO BB)))))
               (T (SETQ CC (CDR CC))
               (GO CC)))))
               (COND
                ((PROG (C)
                  (SETQ C Y)
                  AA (SETQ N (CAAR C))
                  (COND
                   ((NULL C)
                    (SETQ N 1)
                    (SETQ W X))
                   ((EQ (CADAR C)
                     (SETQ B (PROG
                                   (M K)
                                   (SETQ M 1)
                                   (SETQ K X)
                                   AA (COND
                                    ((EQ M N)
                                     (RETURN
                                      K)))
                                    (T
                                      ))))))
                                      ))
                                      )
                                      )
(setq m
      (add1 m))
(setq k
      (pack
       (list k
              bkslash
              (car
               (nth z
                m)))
      )))
      (go aa)))
      (setq w b))
      (t (setq c (cdr c))
      (go aa)))
(setq v (nconcl v w))
(setq z (nth z (add1 n)))
(go aa))
(t (setq v (nconcl v x))
 (setq z (cdr z))
 (go aa)))
)

(pr
  (lambda (l)
      (setq pr (car (nlssetq (pr' l))))
      (line-length 72)
      pr))
)

(pr'
  (lambda (l)
      (car (nlssetq (prog (m a x)
                      (setq m 0)
                      (setq a 0)
                      aa (cond
                              ((null l)
                               (return (position))))
                      (t (setq x (car l))
                          (setq l (cdr l))
                          (cond
                              ((eq x bkslash))
((MEMP X PUNCTL)
  (LINELENGTH 80)
  (PRINT X)
  (LINELENGTH 50))
((EQ X XDQU)
  (COND
    ((ZEROP M)
      (SPACES 1)
      (LINELENGTH 48)
      (PRINT X)
      (LINELENGTH 50)
      (SETQ M 1)
      (SETQ A 1))
    (T (LINELENGTH 80)
      (PRINT X)
      (LINELENGTH 50)
      (SETQ M 0)
      (SETQ A 0)))
)((EQ X LPAR)
  (COND
    ((ZEROP A)
      (SPACES 1))
    (LINELENGTH 48)
    (PRINT X)
    (LINELENGTH 50)
    (SETQ A 1))
    (T (COND
      ((LESP 50 (POSITION))
        (TOPRI)
        (COND
          ((CAR (NLSATQ N))
            (SPACES (PLUS N 5)))
          ((ZEROP A)
            (SPACES 1))
          (PRINT X)
          (SETQ A 0))
        (GO AA)))))
((PRINT'
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (PROG (Y Z)
      (SETQ Y (UNPACK X))
      AA (SETQ Z (CAR Y))
      (COND
        ((NULL Y)
          (RETURN (POSITION)))
        ((OR (EQ Z BLANK)
            (EQ Z BKSLASH))
          (SPACES 1))
        (T (PRINT X)
          (SETQ A 0))
          (GO AA)))))
)
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(SETQ Y (CDR Y))
(GO AA))
(T (LINELENGTH 80)
(PRIN1 Z)
(LINELENGTH 50)
(SETQ Y (CDR Y))
(GO AA))))
)

(PR+)
(LAMBDA NIL
(PROG (AA M)
(SETQ AA (LINELENGTH 72))
(SETQ M 0)
AA (COND
((LESSP M 56)
(PRIN1 (QUOTE +))
(SETQ M (ADD1 M))
(GO AA))
(T (LINELENGTH AA)
(TERPRI)))
))

(PRCR
(LAMBDA (X)
(PR X)
(TERPRI)))

(PRCR-2
(LAMBDA (X)
(PR X)
(TERPRI))

(PRAND
(LAMBDA (L AA)

(PROG (X C AN AG LI JK JJ)
(SETQ JK 0)
(SETQ JJ 1)
(SETQ AG AGAIN)
(SETQ AN AND)
AA (COND
((NULL L)
(PR X)
(COND
((AA (PR AA)))
(T (COND
((AND (NULL (CDR L))
(GREATERP JJ 1))

(= AA IS OPTIONAL PUNCTUATION MARK)
(NCONCL X AN))
    (T (COND
         ((GREATERP JK 0)
          (NCONCL X COMMA))))
  (SETQ X (NCONCL X XDQU))
  (NCONCL X (SETQ C (CAR L)))
  (NCONCL X XDQU)
  (COND
    ((MEMBER C LI)
      (NCONCL X AG)))
  (SETQ LI (NCONCL LI C))
  (SETQ J0 2)
  (SETQ JK 1)
  (SETQ L (CDR L))
  (GO AA)))

(PRCL)
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (COND
     ((NULL X))
     ((ATOM (CAR X))
      (PRCL (CONS (CAR X) NIL)))
     (PRCL (CDR X)))
    (T (PRCL (CAR X)))
    (PRCL (CDR X)))))

(FEED)
  (LAMBDA (N)
    (PROG (M)
      (SETQ M 1)
      AA (COND
           ((EQ M N))
           (T (TERPRI)
              (SETQ M (ADD1 M))
              (GO AA))))
    )))

(PRETTYD)
  (M LAMBDA (X AA)
    (PROG (Y)
      (SETQ Y (LINELENGTH 70))
      (SETQ SENHET (APPEND GRLIS (APPEND APPLIS XMPLIS)))
      (SETQ FUNCIONES (APPEND GRLFUN APPFUN))
      (SETQ VALORES (APPEND (QUOTE (--- ALLFUN
                                 --- GRLFUN P-GRL))))
      (APPEND (QUOTE (--- ALLFUNDIV))
      (APPEND ALLFUNDIV (APPEND
      (APPEND ALLFUNDIV (APPEND
      (APPEND ALLFUNDIV (APPEND
      (APPEND ALLFUNDIV (APPEND
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(QUOTE (--- APPFUN))
(QUOTE (--- ALLVAL ---)
  (QUOTE (--- APPVAL)))
(APPEND (QUOTE GRILVA))
(APPEND (QUOTE GRILIS)
  (--- APPVAL))
(APPEND APPLIS)
(APPEND (QUOTE (--- XMIVAL)))
(APPEND XMIVAL
  (APPEND XMILIS
    (APPEND (QUOTE (--- SERNET))
      (CONS (QUOTE STOP)))))))
)

(COND
  (AA)
  (T (PRETTYDEF FUNCIONES X VALORES)))
(LINELENGTH X)
(PRCE (QUOTE (DONE))))
(SET X (EVAL (CAR Z)))))))

(GCMESS T)
(SYSOUT FILE'))))

(PRINT (QUOTE RD-PR))
(RPAQQ RD-PR (RD* RD*1 RD*CH RD*# AT\ PR PR' PR''
PR+ PRCR PRCR-2 PRAND PRCOL FEED PRETTYD
LOADSCH))

STOP
(DEFINEQ

(CLEANS
 (LAMBDA (X)
 (PROG (A B)
 (SETQ B (CDR X))
 (RETURN (COND
 ((ATOM X)
  X)
 ((NULL B)
  (CLEANS A))
 ((EQ (SETQ A (CAR X))
  (QUOTE SF))
  (CAR (NILSETQ (EVAL B)))))
 ((MEMB A LSLIS)
  (LSLIS B A))
 ((EQ A (QUOTE SQ))
  B)
 ((EQ A (QUOTE SINV))
  (SINV B))
 (T (MAPCAR X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
  (Z)
  (CLEANS Z)))))))))

(DIST-L
 (LAMBDA (LL NAM FUNC ARG)
 (PROG (X)
 (SETQ X 0)
 (RETURN (APPLY FUNC (NCONCL (REMOVE
 (QUOTE NOBIND))
 (MAPCAR LL (FUNCTION (LAMBDA
 (X)
 (COND
 ((NLISTP (CAR X))
  (SETQ Y ((QUOTE FUNC)
  ARG 1))
  (TAGCHK X NAM))
  (T (QUOTE NOBIND))))))))
 (Y)))))

(NUMBPROP
 (LAMBDA (X OBJ L)
 (* CALL WITH ONLY
 TWO ARG)
(SETQ NUMBPROP (RETx' (LIST NIL NIL (COND
   (NUMBREPL' (RETx-1 X T)
   (CADAR L1)))))

(POINTER
 (LAMBDA (X Y Z)
   (* INTEGERS ONLY, Y
    = T FOLLOWS X, Z =
    T BLOCKS ASE)

   (PROG (A LL SUM)
      (SETQ SUM 0)
      (SETQ LL X)
      AA (COND
        ((NULL LL))(AND (NOT Z)
         (NUMBERP (TAGCHK' LL ASE\MSN))
         (SETQ LL (CDR LL))
         (GO AA))
      Y (SETQ SUM (PLUS SUM (COND
         ((NULL (SETQ A (TAGCHK
            (CAR LL)
            (QUOTE X)))))
         6)
         (T (DIFFERENCE 6 A))))
      (SETQ LL (CDR LL))
      (GO AA))
      (T (SETQ SUM (ADD1 SUM))
      (SETQ LL (CDR LL))
      (GO AA)))
   (COND
    ((ZEROP SUM)
     (RETURN (SETQ POINTER)))
    (T (RETURN (SETQ POINTER (RAN 0 SUM)))))
   ))

(SELECT
 (LAMBDA (LL POINTER Y Z)
   (* SEE POINTER; SELCDDR IS USED FOR
    HASHED TAGS)

   (SETQ SELECT (PROG (AA W X)
      (SETQ AA LL)
      (SETQ X 0)
      (SETQ SELCDDR)
      AA (COND
        ((NULL AA))
        ((NULL POINTER))
        (T (SETQ W X)
        (SETQ X (COND
         (Y1 (PLUS X (COND
         (NULL (SETQ II
         (TAGCHK
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(CAR AA)
  (QUOTE X)))))
  (SETQ X 0)
  6)
  (T (PLUS 6 (MINUS X))))))
  (T (PLUS 1 X)))
(COND
  ((OR (LESSP X POINTER)
       (EQUAL X W))
   (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
   (GO AA))
  ((AND (NOT E)
         (NUMBERP (TAGCHK' AA ASN\HSH))))
   (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
   (GO AA))
  (T (RETURN (CAR (SETQ SELCDR AA)))))))
)))))
(SELECT'
 (LAMBDA (LL)
  (SETQ SELECT' (CAR (SETQ SELCDR (NTH LL
  (RAN 1 (LENGTH LL)))))))))
(TAGCHK
 (LAMBDA (PROP NAM)
  (CAR (NLSISQ (PROG (LL)
    (SETQ LL (CADR PROP))
    AA (COND
     ((NULL LL))
     ((EQUAL NAM (CAR LL))
      (RETURN (CADR LL)))
     (T (SETQ LL (CDDR LL))
      (GO AA)))))))))
(TAGCHK'
 (LAMBDA (PROP NAM)
  (CAR (NLSISQ (GETSH NAM PROP)))))))
(TAGWRT
 (LAMBDA (PROP NAM ARG FN)
  (PROG (LL)
    (SETQ LL (CADR PROP))
    AA (COND
     ((NULL LL))
     (REPLACE (CDR PROP)
      (SETQ LL (NCONC (CADR PROP)
        (LIST NAM ARG))))))
     ((EQUAL NAM (CAR LL))
      (REPLACE (CDR LL))
     (REPLACE (CDR LL))
     )
(COND
  ((NULL FN)
   ARG)
  (T ((QUOTE FN)
       (COND
         ((AND (NUMBERP ARG)
            (NULL (CADR LL)))
          ()
          (T (CADR LL))))
       (EVAL ARG)))))
  (T (SETQ LL (CDR LL))
    (GO AA))))
)

(TAGWRP'
  (LAMBDA (PROP NAM ITH)
    (FUTSH NAM PROP ITH))))

(TAGWRP2
  (LAMBDA (PROPL NAM ITH)
    (* TAGS PROP IF ALL
       SUBPROP HAVE TAGS ;
       PROPL IS SET BY QGEN
       OR CTXGEN)
    (PROG (AA BB)
          (SETQ BB (CADR PROPL))
          (COND
            (((MEMB (CAR BB)
              LISLIS)
              (SETQ AA (CDR BB)))
             (T (SETQ AA BB)))
          (TAGWRP' (CAR PROPL)
                    NAM ITH)
          AA (COND
               ((NULL AA)
                (SETQ PROPL (CDDR PROPL))
                (RETURN (COND
                          ((NOT (NULL PROPL))
                           (TAGWRP2 PROPL NAM 0))
                          (T (CONS BB ITH))))))
               ((NUMBERP (TAGCK' AA NAM))
                (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
                (GO AA)))))

('#XTR
  (LAMBDA (X AA)
    (PROG (U W X Z)
           (SETQ X Y)
           (SETQ '#XTR Y)
           (SETQ W)
AA (COND
 ((NULL X))
 ((OR (NUMBERP (SETQ U (SETQ Z (CAR X))))
    (NUMBERP (SETQ U (CAR (NILSETQ
    (EVAL Z))))))
 (SETQ #XTR (REMOV Z #XTR AA))
 (SETQ W (NCONCL W U))
 (COND
  (AA (SETQ X (CDR X))
   (GO AA)))
  (T (SETQ X (CDR X))
   (GO AA)))
 (SETQ #XTR (LIST W #XTR))
 (COND
  ((NULL W))
  (T (RETURN #XTR)))
))

(LISLIS
 (LAMBDA (X A AB)
   (PROG (AA)
     (COND
      ((AND AB (ATOM AB))
       (CONS AB)))
     (RETURN (COND
      ((NULL X)
       NIL)
      ((ATOM X)
       (LISLIS0 X NIL A AB))
      ((MEMB (SETQ AA (CAR X))
        LISLIS)
       (LISLIS0 (CDR X)
         (CAR X)
         A AB))
      ((EQ AA (QUOTE SQ))
       (CDR X))
      (T (LISLIS0 X NIL A AB))))
    )))
)

(PRINT (QUOTE AUXNET))

(RPAQ AUXNET (CLEANS DIST-L NUMBPROP POINTER
SELECT SELECT' TAGCHK TAGCHK' TAGWRT
TAGWRT' TAGWR0 #XTR LISLIS))

STOP
(ATOM'  
(LAMBDA (X)  
(COND  
((ATOM X)  
T)  
((AND (MEMB (CAR X)  
ATOM')  
(EQ 1 (DEPTH' (CDR X))))  
T))))  

(DEPTH'  
(LAMBDA (X)  
(* GIVES MAX  
SEMANTIC DEPTH)  

(COND  
((OR (ATOM' X)  
(LESSP (LENGTH X)  
2))  
Ø)  
(T (PLUS 1 (COND  
((TAGCHK X (QUOTE I))  
(T Ø))  
(COND  
((CADR (MAXMIN (MAPCAR (CDR X)  
(FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Y)  
(DEPTH' Y))))  
(QUOTE MAX))))  
(T Ø))))))))  

(DEPTH1  
(LAMBDA (X)  
(SETQ DEPTH1 (COND  
(((EVAL (NCONC (CONS (QUOTE AND))  
(MAPCAR X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA  
(Z)  
(ATOM Z))))))  
(QUOTE ATOM))  
(((EVAL (NCONC (CONS (QUOTE AND))  

(MAPCAR X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA 
  (Z) 
  (ATOM' Z))))
  (QUOTE ATOM'))

(EQUAL' 
  (LAMBDA (A B) 
    (PROG (X) 
      (SETQ X B) 
      AA (COND 
        ((NULL X)) 
        ((EQUAL A (CAR X)) 
          (RETURN T)) 
        (T (SETQ X (CDR X)) 
          (GO AA)))))

(EVAL' 
  (LAMBDA (X) 
    (COND 
      ((ATOM X) 
        (EVAL X)) 
      (T (EVAL (NTH (CAR X) 
        (CADR X))))))

(FABS 
  (LAMBDA (X) 
    (COND 
      ((GTN P X 0) 
        X) 
      (T (FMINUS X))))

(INITF1 
  (LAMBDA (X) 
    (MAPC X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z) 
      (SET (CAR Z) 
        (EVAL (CADR Z))))))

(INITF1Q 
  (LAMBDA (X) 
    (MAPC X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z) 
      (SET (CAR Z) 
        (CADR Z))))))

(MAXMIN 
  (LAMBDA (LL M) 
    (* LL IS LIST OF # M IS MAX OR MIN "" RETURNS POSTION AND VALUE)

  (PROG (AA AB BA BB CC) 

(SETQ AA LL)
(SETQ BA 0)
(SETQ AB 1)

BB (COND
  ((NULL (CDR AA)))
  ((NUMBERP (SETQ CC (CAR AA)))
    (SETQ AB (+ AB BA))
    (SETQ BA 0))
  (T (SETQ AA (CDR AA))
    (SETQ BA (ADD1 BA))
    (GO BB))

AA (COND
  ((NULL (SETQ AA (CDR AA)))
   (RETURN (LIST (COND
                   ((NULL CC)
                    (SETQ AB 1))
                   (T AB))
                   (CAR (NTH LL AB))))))
  ((AND (NUMBERP (CAR AA))
    (APPLY (QUOTE PGTP)
     (COND
      ((EQ N (QUOTE MAX))
       (LIST (SETQ BB (CAR AA))
            CC))
      (T (LIST CC (SETQ BB (CAR AA)))))
    (SETQ CC BB)
    (SETQ AB (+ AB BA))
    (SETQ BA 0))
    (T (SETQ BA (ADD1 BA)))
    (GO AA))
  )))

(LISNCONC
 (LAMBDA (X)
   (MAPCONC X (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z) Z)))))

(MENBCDR
 (LAMBDA (X Y)
   (PROG NIL
     (SETQ LEFF)
     AA (COND
       ((NULL Y))
       ((EQUAL X Y)
        (RETURN X))
       (T (SETQ LEFF (MCONC1 LEFF (CAR Y)))
         (SETQ Y (CDR Y))
         (GO AA)))))


320
(MEMBER
 (LAMBDA (X Y AA)
  (COND
   ((MEMBER1' X Y)
    (SETQ MEMBER (REMOV X Y AA))
    T))))

(MEMBER1
 (LAMBDA (X Y)
  (COND
   ((NULL X) T)
   ((ATOM X)
    (MEMBER X Y))
   (T (AND (MEMBER (CAR X) Y)
    (MEMBER1' (CDR X) Y))))))

(MEMBER1'
 (LAMBDA (X Y)
  (COND
   ((ATOM X)
    (MEMBER X Y))
   (T (OR (MEMBER (CAR X) Y)
    (MEMBER1' (CDR X) Y))))))

(MEMBER2
 (LAMBDA (X Y)
  (COND
   ((NULL X) T)
   ((ATOM X)
    (EQUAL X X))
   (T (OR (MEMBER2 X (CAR X))
    (MEMBER2 X (CDR Y)))))

(MEMBER3
 (LAMBDA (X Y)
  (COND
   ((NULL X) T)
   ((ATOM' X)
    (MEMBER2 X Y))
   (T (AND (MEMBER2 (CAR X) Y)
    (MEMBER3 (CDR X) Y))))))
(CONCL
  (LAMBDA (AA BB)
    (CONC AA (CONS BB))))

(NUMBERPL
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (COND
      ((ATOM X)
        (COND
          ((OR (NUMBERP X)
              (EQ X BKSLASH))
            X)
          (T (NUMBERP (NILSETQ (EVAL X))))))
        ((NULL (CDR X))
          (NUMBERPL (CAR X)))
        (T (AND (NUMBERPL (CAR X))
              (NUMBERPL (CDR X))))))))

(NUMBERPL'
  (LAMBDA (X AA)
    (* IF AA = T , CADR OF PROP ARE NOT CONSIDERED)
    (SEQ NUMBERPL' (COND
      ((NUMBERP X))
      ((LISP X)
        (COND
          ((OR (NUMBERPL' (CAR X) AA)
            (APPLY (QUOTE OR)
              (MAFCAR (COND
                (AA (CDR X))
                (T (CDR X)))))
              (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (X)
                (NUMBERPL' X AA)))(X))))))))

(PACKNAME
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (COND
      ((ATOM X)
        X)
      (T (SEQ X (PACKNAME (RPLACA (CDR X)
          (PACK (LISP (CAR X)
            BKSLASH (CADE R X)))))))))

(PRUEBA
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (PROG (PROPL ELGO)
      (SEQ #QUES 1)
      AA (GCMESS)
(setq di (tagchk ctxl (quote di)))
(setq #ques (add1 #ques))
(setq propl)
(terpri)
(cond
  ((null (print (return x 0 33)))
   (return))
  (go aa))
)

(lambda (m n a b)
  (cond
    ((or a (null rnd))
     (setq ran (rand m n))
     (cond
      (a)
      (b (setq ps (nconcl ps ran))))))
    ((eq rnd (quote psrand))
     (psrand m n))
    ((null (setq ran (car ps)))
     (psrand m n))
    (setq ps (nconcl ps ran))
    (t (setq ps (cdr ps))))
)

(lambda (m n)
  (prog (rn')
    (setq ran (fplus m (fix (fetimes
      (fplus n (fminus m))
      (setq rn' (fplus (setq rn' (fplus rt (fetimes ru rd)))
      (fminus (fix rn'))))()))))
    (setq ru rd)
    (setq rd (fetimes 11 rn'))
    (return ran))
)

(lambda (x y aa bb)
  (cond
    ((null aa)
     (remov all occurrences))
    (if bb (null list)
     (replaces list x)))
  (setq remov (prog (y' yl yo))
    )
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(RETURN (COND
  ((NULL X)
   Y)
  ((ATOM X)
   PROGN
     (SETQ Y' Y)
     (SETQ YL)
     AA (COND
       ((NULL Y'))
       ((EQUAL X (SETQ YO (CAR Y')))
        (COND
         (AA (SETQ YL
               (NCONC YL
               (COND
                (BB
                 (CONS BB))))))
         (SETQ Y' (CDR Y'))
         (GO AA))
         (T (SETQ YL
             (NCONC
              (COND
               (BB
                (NCONC
                 YL BB)))
             (T YL))
             (CDR Y'))))))
         (T (SETQ YL (NCONC YL YO))
         (SETQ Y' (CDR Y'))
         (GO AA)))
        (RETURN YL)
  )
  ((SETQ Y' (INTERSECTION Y X))
   (SETQ Y (REMOV (CDR Y'))
    (REMOV (CAR Y')
     Y AA
     (CAR BB))
    AA
    (CDR BB)))
   (T Y)))))

(REMOVE' (LAMBDA (X Y) (= REMOVES X AS A LIST FROM ALL LEVELS OF Y))

(NAPCAR (REMOVE X Y) (FUNCTION (LAMBDA (Z) (COND
  ((ATOM Z)
   Z)
  (T (REMOVE' X Z))))))})
PRINT (QUOTE MISCEL))
(RPAQO MISCEL (ATOM I DAT DEPTH' DEPTH1 EQUAL' EVAL' FABS INITFN INITFNC MAXMIN LISMCONC MEMBERDR MEMBEREM MEMBER1 MEMBER1' MEMBER2 MEMBER3 NCONCL NUMBERPL NUMBERPL' PACKNAME PRUEBA RAN PSMNAND REMOV REMOVE'))

STOP
APPROX ARE AVERAGE AT BETWEEN BY DO DOES FOR FROM HAS HAVE IN IS MAJOR NEAR OF ON OVER PRINCIPAL PROBLEM RANGE STUDENT THE THROUGH UNDER UNREST USUALLY VERY WITH AFTER BEFORE IS THERE ARE THERE THERE IS THERE ARE AGNOSTIC AGNOSTIC AGNOSTIC AGRICULTURE ALTITUDE ANGULAR AREA BORDERING COUNTRY BOUNDARY CAPITAL CATHOLIC CATHOLICISM CENTRAL CITY CLIMATE COAST COLONIAL PERIOD COLD COLONY COMPOSITION CONTINENT COUNTRY DEGREES DEGREES FAHRENHEIT DEMOCRACY DEMOCRATIC DICTATOR DICTATORSHIP DISTANCE DIVISION EAST EASTERN ECONOMIC EQUATOR FOOT FERTILE GEOGRAPHY GOVERNMENT HIGH HIGHEST HISTORY HOT IMPORTANT INCHES INDIAN INDUSTRY JEWISH JUDAISM KING LAND LANGUAGE LATITUDE LENGTH LIGHT LINE LITERACY LOCATION LONGITUDE MEAT MILITARY MILES MINERAL MOUNTAIN MOUNTAIN CHAIN NOMAD NORTH NORTHERN OCEAN ORIGIN PACKING PEOPLE PERIODS PLAIN POLITIC POPULATION PRECIPITATION PRODUCT PROTESTANT PROTESTANTISM RACE RELIGION REPUBLIC REPUBLICAN REVOLUTION RIVER SEA SECONDARY SOCIAL SOIL SOUTH SOUTHERN SOUTHEASTERN SQUARE MILES SIERRA STABLE TEMPERATE TEMPERATURE TEXTILE TOPOGRAPHY UNIT UNSTABLE VARIED WAR WARM WEST WESTERN WOOL ACONCAGUA AFRICA ANDES ANTARCTIC OCEAN ARGENTINA ARTIGAS ATLANTIC BATlle BOLIVIA BRAZIL BUENOSAIRES CARIBBEAN CHACO CHILE COLOMBIA CORDOBA ECUADOR ENGLISH EUROPE GUYANA ITALY LA PAZ LIMA MONTEVIDEO NEGRO NORTH AMERICA PACIFIC PAMPA PARAGUAY PARAGUAYAN PARAGUAY RIVER PARANA PAYSANDU PILCOMAYO PERU PORTUGAL PORTUGUESE PUNTA DEL ESTE RIO DE LA PLATA RIVERA ROSARIO SALADO SALTO SAN MARTIN SAO PAULO SOUTH AMERICA SPAIN SPANISH SUCRE TUPUNGATO UNITED STATES URUGUAY URUGUAY RIVER VENEZUELA}
(PL T))
(RPAQQ THERE (((FRN THERE))))
(RPAQQ NO NIL)
(RPAQQ NOT (((MODIF NOT))))
(RPAQQ NONE (((FRN NONE))))
(RPAQQ ABOUT (((PRP ABOUT))))
(RPAQQ APPROX (((MODIF APPROX APPROXIMATE
APPROXIMATELY))))
(RPAQQ ARE (((VRB ARE IS))))
(RPAQQ AVERAGE (((ADJ AVERAGE MEAN)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ AT (((PRP AT))))
(RPAQQ BETWEEN (((PRP BETWEEN))))
(RPAQQ BY (((PRP BY))))
(RPAQQ DO (((VRB DO DOES))))
(RPAQQ DOES (((VRB DOES DO))))
(RPAQQ FOR NOBIND)
(RPAQQ FORM (((CN FORM)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ FROM (((PRP FROM))))
(RPAQQ HAS (((VRB HAS HAVE))))
(RPAQQ HAVE (((VRB HAVE HAS)
NIL POSSESS))
(RPAQQ IN (((PRP IN))))
(RPAQQ IS (((VRB IS ARE))))
(RPAQQ MAJOR (((ADJ MAJOR PRINCIPAL))))
(RPAQQ NEAR (((PRP NEAR))))
(RPAQQ OF (((PRP OF))))
(RPAQQ ON (((PRP ON))))
(RPAQQ OVER (((PRP OVER))))
(RPAQQ PRINCIPAL (((ADJ PRINCIPAL MAIN))
NIL MAIN
(IMPORTANT NIL MOST))
(RPAQQ PROBLEM (((CN PROBLEM)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ RANGE (((CN RANGE VARIATION)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ STUDENT (((ADJ STUDENT))))
(RPAQQ THE (((DET THE))))
(RPAQQ THROUGH (((PRP THROUGH))))
(RPAQQ UNDER (((PRP UNDER))))
(RPAQQ UNREST (((CN UNREST))))
(RPAQQ USUALLY (((ADV USUALLY))))
(RPAQQ VERY (((MODIF VERY))))
(RPAQQ WITH (((PRP WITH))))
(RPAQQ AFTER (((PRP AFTER))))
(RPAQQ BEFORE (((PRP BEFORE))))
(RPAQQ IS\ THERE (((VRB IS\ THERE))))
(RPAQQ ARE\ THERE (((VRB ARE\ THERE))))
(RPAQQ THERE\ IS (((VRB THERE\ IS))))
(RPAQQ THERE\ARE (((VRB THERE\ARE))))
(RPAQQ AGNOSTIC (((ADJ AGNOSTIC AGNOSTICISM)
NIL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (RELIGION NIL NONE)))
(RPAQQ AGNOSTICISM ((((CN AGNOSTIC AGNOSTICISM)
NIL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (RELIGION NIL NONE)))))
(RPAQQ AGRICULTURE (((CN AGRICULTURE))
NIL
(SUPERP NIL ECONOMY PRODUCTS)
(APPLIED\TO NIL COUNTRY REGION)))
(RPAQQ ALTITUDE ((((CN ALTITUDE HEIGHT ELEVATION)
(DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DISTANCE NIL VERTICAL (ABOVE NIL
SEA))))
(SUPERP (I 3)
TOPOGRAPHY)
(APPLIED\TO (I 2)
MOUNTAIN CITY REGION COUNTRY)
(UNIT (I 1)
FEET)
(VALUE (I 2)
(RANGE NIL -1000 3000)))
(RPAQQ ANGULAR (((ADJ ANGULAR)))))
(RPAQQ AREA ((((CN AREA SURFACE)
(DET THE))))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (SURFACE NIL (WITHIN NIL BOUNDARY))))
(SUPERP (I 5)
GEOGRAPHY)
(APPLIED\TO NIL (SL COUNTRY REGION CONTINENT
LAKE)))
(UNIT (I 1)
SQUARE\MILES)
(VALUE (I 3)
(RANGE NIL 0 1000000)))))
(RPAQQ BORDERING\COUNTRY (((CN BORDERING\COUNTRY
COUNTRY)
(DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (COUNTRY NIL ADJACENT))
(SUPERP (I 4)
LOCATION)))
(RPAQQ BOUNDARY (((CN BOUNDARY LIMIT)
(DET THE SHAPE LINE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL LIMIT)
(SUPERP (I 6)
  BORDERING (COUNTRY)
  (APPLIED TO NIL (SOR COUNTRY REGION CITY)))
(RPAQQ CAPITAL (((CN CAPITAL)
  (DET THE))
  NIL
  (SUPERC NIL CITY (PLACE NIL (CF NIL GOVERNMENT))))
(SUPERP (I 1)
  COUNTRY)
(APPLIED TO (I 4)
  COUNTRY STATE)
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
  BUENOS AIRES MONTEVIDEO BRASILIA GEORGETOWN
  CARACAS BOGOTA QUITO SANTIAGO ASUNCIÓN
  LA PAZ WASHINGTON)))
(RPAQQ CATHOLIC (((ADJ CATHOLICISM CATHOLIC)
  NIL)
  NIL
  (SUPERC NIL RELIGION)))
(RPAQQ CATHOLICISM (((CN CATHOLICISM CATHOLIC)
  NIL)
  NIL
  (SUPERC NIL RELIGION)))
(RPAQQ CENTRAL (((ADJ CENTRAL))))
(RPAQQ CITY (((CN CITY CITIES)
  (DET THE SHAPE REGION))
  NIL
  (SUPERC NIL (PLACE NIL (SAND IMPORTANT INHABITED))))
(SUPERP (I 3)
  COUNTRY)
(APPLIED TO (I 2)
  (SL CONTINENT COUNTRY REGION))
(PROPERTIES (I 2)
  LOCATION POPULATION TOPOGRAPHY CLIMATE
  ECONOMY CULTURE GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS)
(VALUE (I 4)
  (POPULATION NIL (RANGE NIL 5000 1000000))
  (IF COND
    (((FGTP 5000 VALUE)
      (QUOTE SMALL))
    (((FGTP 500000 VALUE)
      (QUOTE MEDIUM-SIZE))
    (T (QUOTE LARGE))))
  (EXAMPLES (I 2)
    BUENOS AIRES MONTEVIDEO BRASILIA GEORGETOWN
    CARACAS BOGOTA QUITO SANTIAGO ASUNCIÓN
    LA PAZ RIO DE JANEIRO SÃO PAULO CORDOBA ROSARIO)))
(RPAQQ CLIMATE (((CN CLIMATE)
(DET THE)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (WEATHER NIL AVERAGE))
(SUPERP (I 4)
  GEOGRAPHY)
(APPLIED\TO NIL (SL CITY COUNTRY REGION))
(PROPERTIES (I 1)
  TEMPERATURE PRECIPITATION WIND VARIABILITY)
(VALUE (I 4)
  TEMPERATURE NIL (SOR POLAR COLD COOL NIL
  TEMPERATE WARM TROPICAL HOT)
(SF COND
  (((LESP VALUE 45)
    (QUOTE COLD))
  (((LESP VALUE 75)
    (QUOTE TEMPERATE))
  (T (QUOTE WARM))))
(PRECIPITATION NIL (SOR HUMID DRY DESERTIC))
(VARIABILITY NIL (SOR MARITIME CONTINENTAL)
(SOR SEASONAL CONSTANT VARIABLE))
(SF CLIMATE LATITUDE ALTITUDE)))))
(RPAQQ COAST (((CN COAST)
(DET THE SHAPE LINE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (LINE NIL (BETWEEN NIL (SL
  (SOR SEA OCEAN)
  (SOR COUNTRY ISLAND CONTINENT)))))
(SUPERP (I 4)
  LOCATION)
(PROPERTIES (I 3)
  LOCATION BEACHES PORTS)
(VALUE (I 4)
  (SOR EAST SOUTH WEST NORTH))
(APPLIED\TO (I 4)
  CONTINENT COUNTRY)))))
(RPAQQ COLONIAL\PERIOD (((CN COLONIAL\PERIOD
  COLONY)
  (DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERP NIL HISTORY)
(SUPERC NIL (PERIOD NIL (BEFORE NIL
  INDEPENDENCE))))))
(RPAQQ COLD (((ADJ COLD POLAR COOL
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (TEMPERATURE NIL LOW))
(APPLIED\TO (I 2)
  TEMPERATURE CLIMATE)
(RANGE (I 3)
NIL
(SUPERP NIL HISTORY)
(SUPERC NIL (PERIOD NIL (BEFORE NIL INDEPENDENCE))))
(RPAQQ COMPOSITION (\((\text{CN COMPOSITION}) (\text{DET THE})\))
NIL
(UNIT NIL PERCENT)
(RANGE NIL $ 100))
(RPAQQ CONTINENT (\((\text{CN CONTINENT}) (\text{DET (THE A)})\))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DIVISION NIL MAJOR (OF NIL LAND)))
(PROPERTIES NIL COUNTRIES COAST TOPOGRAPHY MOUNTAINS CITIES RIVERS)
(EXAMPLES NIL EUROPE SOUTH\AMERICA NORTH\AMERICA AFRICA ASIA))
(RPAQQ COUNTRY (\((\text{CN COUNTRY}) (\text{DET (THE A)})) SHAPE REGION))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (STATE NIL (SL POLITICAL INDEPENDENT)))
(SUPERP (I 2) CONTINENT)
(APPLIED\TO (I 4) CONTINENT)
(PROPERTIES (I 2) LOCATION AREA POPULATION CAPITAL CITIES TOPOGRAPHY MOUNTAINS RIVERS CLIMATE ECONOMY PRODUCTIONS GOVERNMENT)
(VALUE (I 4)
(AREA NIL (RANGE NIL $ 10000000).)
(SF \COND)
((\text{FGTF VALUE 180000.})
(QUOTE LARGE))
(T (QUOTE SMALL))))
(POPULATION NIL (RANGE NIL $ 7,00000000+00))
(ECONOMY NIL (SOR RICH POOR))
(CLIMATE NIL (SF RET VALUE CLIMATE QUARK)
(CONS (QUOTE ALL))))
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
URUGUAY ARGENTINA B\text{RAZIL} CHILE BOLIVIA CO\text{LOMBIA} VENEZUELA PARAGUAY PER\text{U} ECUADOR GUYANA FR\text{ANCE} UNITED\STATES MEXICO SPAIN

ITALY))
(RPAQQ DEGREES (((CN DEGREES
(PL T)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT)
(APPLIED\TO NIL (SL LATITUDE LONGITUDE))))
(RPAQQ DEGREES\FAHRENHEIT (((CN DEGREES
DEGREES\FAHRENHEIT)
(PL T)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT)
(APPLIED\TO NIL TEMPERATURE))
(RPAQQ DEMOCRACY (((CN DEMOCRACY DEMOCRATIC))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (GOVERNMENT NIL (BY NIL PEOPLE)
(WITH NIL EQUALITY))))))
(RPAQQ DEMOCRATIC (((ADJ DEMOCRATIC DEMOCRACY))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (GOVERNMENT NIL (BY NIL PEOPLE)
(WITH NIL EQUALITY))))))
(RPAQQ DICTATOR (((CN DICTATOR))))
(RPAQQ DICTATORSHIP (((CN DICTATORSHIP)
(DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (GOVERNMENT NIL (BY NIL DICTATOR)))))
(EXAMPLES (I 1)
(SL ARGENTINA BRAZIL PARAGUAY))))
(RPAQQ DISTANCE (((CN DISTANCE)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ DIVISION (((CN DIVISION))))
(RPAQQ EAST (((CN EAST))))
(RPAQQ EASTERN (((ADJ EASTERN EAST))
NIL
(RELATED NIL (TO NIL EAST)))))
(RPAQQ ECONOMIC (((ADJ ECONOMIC ECONOMICAL))))
(RPAQQ EQUATOR (((CN EQUATOR)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ FEET (((CN FEET)
(PL T)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT)
(APPLIED\TO NIL (SR HEIGHT LENGTH))))
(RPAQQ FERTILE (((ADJ FERTILE))))
(RPAQQ GEOGRAPHY (((CN GEOGRAPHY))))
(RPAQQ GOVERNMENT (((CN GOVERNMENT)
(DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERP (I 1)
COUNTRY)
(VALUE (I 3)
MILITARY DEMOCRATIC REPUBLICAN DICTATORIAL MONARCHICAL REVOLUTIONARY))
(RPAQQ HIGH (((ADJ HIGH TALL)))
NIL
(HAS NIL (HEIGHT NIL CONSIDERABLE)))
(RPAQQ HIGHEST (((ADJ HIGHEST TALLEST)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (SUPERLATIVE NIL (FOR NIL HIGH))))
(RPAQQ HISTORY (((CN HISTORY)
(DET THE)))
NIL
(APPLIED TO NIL COUNTRY CITY CONTINENT)
(PROPERTIES NIL COLONIAL\PERIOD INDEPENDENCE
WAR REVOLUTION GREAT\MEM)
(RPAQQ HOT (((ADJ HOT WARM)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (TEMPERATURE NIL (HIGH NIL VERY)))
(APPLIED TO (I 2)
TEMPERATURE CLIMATE)
(RANGE (I 3)
(SF GREATERP VALUE 85))
(OPPOSITE (I 1)
(COLD))
(RPAQQ IMPORTANT (((ADJ IMPORTANT))))
(RPAQQ INCHES (((CN INCHES)
(FL T)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT)
(APPLIED TO NIL (SOR PRECIPITATION LENGTH)))
(RPAQQ INDIAN (((CN INDIAN)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ INDUSTRY (((CN INDUSTRY)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL ECONOMY PRODUCTS)
(SUPERP (I 6)
PRODUCT)
(EXAMPLES NIL TEXTILE PACKING CONSTRUCTION
AUTOMOBILE ELECTRONICS))
(RPAQQ JEWISH (((ADJ JUDAISM JEWISH)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RELIGION)))
(RPAQQ JUDAISM (((CN JUDAISM JEWISH)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RELIGION)))
(RPAQQ KING (((CN KING MONARCH)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ LAND (((CN LAND))))
(RPAQQ LANGUAGE (((CN LANGUAGE)
(DET THE)
NIL
(SUPERP NIL POPULATION)
(APPLIED TO NIL POPULATION CITY COUNTRY)
(EXAMPLES NIL SPANISH PORTUGUESE ENGLISH FRENCH))
(RPAQQ LATITUDE (((CN LATITUDE)
(DET THE DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DISTANCE NIL ANGULAR (FROM NIL EQUATOR))
(SUPERP (I 2)
LOCATION)
(VALUE (I 2)
(RANGE NIL -90 90))
(UNIT (I 2)
DEGREES))))
(RPAQQ LENGTH (((CN LENGTH DISTANCE)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL DISTANCE DIMENSION))
(RPAQQ LIGHT (((ADJ LIGHT UNIMPORTANT))
(RPAQQ LINE (((CN LINE)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ LITERACY (((CN LITERACY)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERP NIL EDUCATION CULTURE)
(UNIT NIL PERCENT))
(RPAQQ LOCATION (((CN LOCATION PLACE POSITION SITE)
(DET THE))))
(RPAQQ LONGITUDE (((CN LONGITUDE)
(DET THE DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DISTANCE NIL ANGULAR (FROM NIL (90 GREENWICH MERIDIAN))))
(SUPERP (I 2)
LOCATION)
(UNIT (I 2)
DEGREES))
(RPAQQ MEAT (((CN MEAT))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL PRODUCT)
(SUPERP NIL ECONOMY AGRICULTURE)
(APPLIED TO NIL COUNTRY))
(RPAQQ MILITARY (((ADJ MILITARY)))
(RPAQQ MILES (((CN MILES)
(PL T))
NIL
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT)
(APPLIED TO NIL LENGTH))
(RPAQQ MINERAL (((CN MINERALS))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL INDUSTRY PRODUCT)
(SUPERP NIL PRODUCTS ECONOMY))
(RPAQQ MOUNTAIN (((CN MOUNTAIN MOUNTAIN\CHAIN
ELEVATION PEAK)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL ELEVATION)
(SUPERP (I 3)
MOUNTAIN\CHAIN TOPOGRAPHY)
(PROPERTIES (I 3)
ALTITUDE HEIGHT VOLCANO SHOW MOUNTAIN\CHAIN)
(VALUE (I 3)
(ALTITUDE (RANGE NIL 0 30000)
(SF COND
((LESSP 5000 VALUE)
(QUOTE HIGH))
(T (QUOTE LOW))))
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
ACONCAGUA OJOS\DEL\SALADO ACONHUMA
TUPUNGATO CHIMBORAZO COTOPAXI))
(RPAQQ MOUNTAIN\CHAIN (((CN MOUNTAIN\CHAIN
MOUNTAIN ELEVATION)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (SERIES NIL (OF NIL MOUNTAINS)))
(SUPERP (I 3)
TOPOGRAPHY)
(PROPERTIES (I 3)
ALTITUDE MOUNTAINS VOLCANOES HEIGHT)
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
ANDES ALPES)))
(RPAQQ NOMADE (((ADJ NOMADE))))
(RPAQQ NORTH (((CN NORTH))))
(RPAQQ NORTHERN (((ADJ NORTHERN NORTH)))
NIL
(RELATED NIL (TO NIL NORTH)))
(RPAQQ OCEAN (((CN OCEAN SEA)
(DET THE DEF 4)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (BODY NIL LARGE (OF NIL
(WATER NIL SALT)))
(PROPERTIES (I 4)
COAST FISH NAVIGATION PORTS)
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
ATLANTIC PACIFIC INDIAN ARCTIC ANTARCTIC))
(RPAQQ ORIGIN (((CN ORIGIN)
NIL
(APPLIED\TO NIL POPULATION)))
(RPAQQ PACKING (((ADJ PACKING))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL INDUSTRY))
(RPAQQ PEOPLE (((CN PEOPLE PERSONS)
(PL T DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT)
(APPLIED\TO NIL POPULATION)))
(RPAQQ PERIODS (((CN PERIODS)
(DET THE PL T)))
(RPAQQ PLAIN (((CN PLAIN)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (REGION NIL (WITHOUT NIL
(SOR MOUNTAINS HILLS))))
(SUPERP (I 6)
TOPOGRAPHY)))
(RPAQQ POLITIC (((ADJ POLITIC POLITICAL)))))
(RPAQQ POPULATION (((CN POPULATION PEOPLE)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL PEOPLE)
(SUPERP (I 6)
GEOGRAPHY)
(PROPERTIES (I 3)
SIZE CULTURE RELIGION RACE ORIGIN LANGUAGE)
(APPLIED\TO NIL (SOR COUNTRY REGION CITY))
(UNIT (I 4)
PEOPLE))
(RPAQQ PRECIPITATION (((CN PRECIPITATION RAIN)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (WATER NIL (DEPOSITED NIL
(ON NIL EARTH)))))
(SUPERP (I 2)
CLIMATE)
(UNIT (I 2)
INCHES)
(APPLIED\TO (I 2)
COUNTRY CITY)
(VALUE (I 3)
(RANGE NIL Ø 100))
(TYPE NIL (SL RAIN SNOW MAIL)))))
(RPAQQ PRODUCT (((CN PRODUCT)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERP NIL ECONOMY)
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
AMAZONAS RIO DE LA PLATA URUGUAY RIVER
PARANA PARAGUAY NEGRO SAN FRANCISCO
ORINOCO MAGDALENA MADEIRA NILE
MISSISSIPPI VOLGA)
(VALUE (I 4)
(LONG NIL (PRINT NIL 0 5000)
(SF COND
  ((GREATERP LENGTH 1000)
   (QUOTE LONG)))))
(RPAQQ SEA (((CN SEA)
   (DET THE))))
(RPAQQ SECONDARY (((ADJ SECONDARY))))
(RPAQQ SOCIAL (((ADJ SOCIAL))))
(RPAQQ SOIL (((CN SOIL)
   (DET THE))))
NIL
(SUPERP NIL TOPOGRAPHY AGRICULTURE))
(RPAQQ SOUTH (((CN SOUTH))))
(RPAQQ SOUTHERN (((ADJ SOUTHERN SOUTH)))
NIL
(RELATED NIL (TO NIL SOUTH)))
(RPAQQ SOUTHEASTERN (((ADJ SOUTHEASTERN SOUTHEAST)))
NIL
(SAND SOUTH EAST))
(RPAQQ SQUARE MILES (((CN SQUARE MILES)
   (PL T)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL UNIT
(APPLIED TO NIL AREA))
(RPAQQ SIERRA (((CN SIERRAS SIERRA MOUNTAIN\CHAIN)
   (DET THE))))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (MOUNTAIN\CHAIN NIL SMALL)))
(RPAQQ STABLE (((ADJ STABLE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (HAS NIL STABILITY)))
(RPAQQ TEMPERATE (((ADJ TEMPERATE MILD)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (TEMP NIL (NOT NIL EXTREME)))
(APPLIED TO (I 2)
  TEMPERATURE CLIMATE)
(RANGE (I 3)
  45 75))
(RPAQQ TEMPERATURE (((CN TEMPERATURE)
   (DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (DEGREE NIL (OF NIL (SQR NOT COLD))))
(SUPERP (I 2))
CLIMATE
(APPLIED\TO (I 1)
 (SOR COUNTRY REGION CITY))
(UNIT (I 1)
 DEGREES\FAHRENHEIT)
(VALUE (I 4)
 (SOR HOT WARM TEMPERATE MILD COOL COLD)
 (RANGE NIL -40 150)
 (SF COND
 (LESSP VALUE 45)
 (QUOTE COLD))
 (LESSP VALUE 75)
 (QUOTE TEMPERATE))
 (T (QUOTE WARM))))
(RPAQQ TEXTILE (((ADJ TEXTILE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL INDUSTRY)))
(RPAQQ TOPOGRAPHY (((CH TOPOGRAPHY)
 (DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (CONFIGURATION (OF NIL (SOR COUNTRY REGION CITY)))))
(APPLIED\TO NIL COUNTRY CITY CONTINENT)))
(RPAQQ UNIT (((CH UNIT)
 (DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL (STANDARD NIL (OF NIL MEASUREMENT))))
(EXAMPLES (I 2)
 SQUARE\MILES PERSONS PEOPLE DEGREES
 DEGREES\FAHRENHEIT FETT MILES INCHES)
(APPLIED\TO (I 2)
 AREA POPULATION LENGTH HEIGHT WIDTH
 PRECIPITATION TEMPERATURE LATITUDE
 LONGITUDE))
(RPAQQ UNSTABLE (((ADJ UNSTABLE))
NIL
(NOT NIL STABLE)))
(RPAQQ VARIED (((ADJ VARIED))))
(RPAQQ WAR (((CH WAR)
 (DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL HISTORY)))
(RPAQQ WARM (((ADJ WARM HOT)
NIL)
NIL
(APPLIED\TO (I 2)
 TEMPERATURE CLIMATE)
(TEMPERATURE NIL (HIGH NIL RELATIVELY))
(RANGE (I 3)
 (SF GREATERP TEMPERATURE 75))
(OPPOSITE (I 1)
COLD))
(RELATION (I 1)
RPAQQ WEST (((CN WEST))))
(RPAQQ WESTERN (((ADJ WESTERN WEST)))
NIL
(RELATED NIL (TO NIL WEST)))
(RPAQQ WOOL (((CN WOOL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL PRODUCT)
(SUPERP NIL ECONOMY TEXTILES))
(RPAQQ ACONCAGUA (((XN ACONCAGUA)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL MOUNTAIN (HIGHEST NIL (IN NIL
SOUTH\AMERICA)))
(SUPERP (I 2)
ANDRES)
,LOCATION (I 2)
ARGENTINA
(IN NIL ANDES)
(LATITUDE NIL (APPROX NIL =35))
(NOT (I 3)
VOLCANO)
(ELEVATION (I 1)
(APPROX NIL 22000))
(HIGHEST NIL (IN NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)))
(RPAQQ AFRICA (((XN AFRICA))))
(RPAQQ ANDES (((XN ANDES)
(DET THE PL T))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL MOUNTAIN\CHAIN)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
,LOCATION (I 1)
(SOUTH\AMERICA NIL WEST)
(COUNTRIES (I 2)
(SL VENEZUELA COLOMBIA ECUADOR PERU
BOLIVIA CHILE ARGENTINA))
(PEAKS (I 2)
(HIGHEST NIL ACONCAGUA (HEIGHT NIL
(APPROX NIL 22000))
(OTHER NIL (SL OJOS\DEL\SALADO ACONHUMA
TUPOHGATO CHIMBORAZO COTOPAXI))))

(RPAQQ ANTARCTIC\OCEAN (((XN ANTARCTIC\OCEAN
ANTARCTIC)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL OCEAN)))
(RPAQQ ARGENTINA (((XN ARGENTINA)
(DET NIL DEF 2))
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NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (1 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (1 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 1200000)
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (LATITUDE
(1 2)
(RANGE NIL -22 -55))
(LONGITUDE (1 4)
(RANGE NIL -57 -71))
(BORDERING\COUNTRIES (1 1)
(NORTHERN (1 1)
BOLIVIA PARAGUAY)
(EASTERN (1 1)
((SL BRAZIL URUGUAY)
NIL
(BOUNDARY NIL URUGUAY\RIVER))
(SOUTHERN (1 3)
CHILE)
(WESTERN (1 1)
CHILE
(BOUNDARY NIL ANDES))
(COAST (1 1)
(EASTERN (1 1)
ATLANTIC\OCEAN)
(SOUTHERN (1 1)
ANTARCTIC\OCEAN)))
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 24000000.)
(ORIGIN (1 2)
(PRINCIPAL NIL (FROM NIL EUROPE))
(COUNTRIES NIL (PRINCIPAL NIL (SL SPAIN
ITALY))))
(RACE (1 4)
WHITE
(COMPOSITION (1 3)
(WHITE NIL (OVER NIL 95))
((SAND INDIAN MESTIZO)
(1 3)
SOME))
(LITERACY (1 3)
90)
(LANGUAGE NIL SPANISH)
(RELIGION (1 2)
(OFFICIAL NIL CATHOLICISM)
(PRINCIPAL NIL CATHOLICISM)
(OTHER NIL (SL JUDAISM PROTESTANTISM)))
(CAPITAL (1 1)
BUENOS\AIRES)
(CITIES (1 3)
(PRINCIPAL NIL (SL BUENOS\AIRES CORDOBA
ROSSARIO MENDOZA LA\PLATA TUCUMAN)))
(TOPOGRAPHY (I 1))
VARIED
(MOUNTAIN\CHAINS NIL (PRINCIPAL NIL ANDES
LOCATION NIL (BOUNDARY NIL
(WITH NIL CHILE)))
(ALTITUDE NIL (HIGHEST NIL ACONCAGUA
(APPROX NIL 22000)))
(SIERRAS NIL (LOCATION NIL (SL CORDOBA
BUENOS\AIRES)))))
(PLAINS NIL (FERTILE NIL USUALLY)
((SL EASTERN CENTRAL)
NIL PAMPA)
(NORTHERN NIL CHACO)))
(RIVERS (I 2))
(PRINCIPAL NIL (SL RIO\DE\LA\PLATA PARANA
URUGUAY\RIVER SALADO PARAGUAY\RIVER
PILCOMAYO)))
(CLIMATE (I 2))
(SF RETV CLIMATE (SL BUENOS\AIRES MENDOZA
TUCUMAN SANTA\CRUZ)))
(PRODUCTS (I 1))
(PRINCIPAL NIL (AGRICULTURE NIL (SL WHEAT
MEAT WOOL)))
(MINERALS NIL (SL OIL OTHER))
(INDUSTRY NIL IMPORTANT (SL AUTOMOBILE
CONSTRUCTION TEXTILE PACKING
ELECTRONICS)))
(GOVERNMENT NIL UNSTABLE (DICTATORSHIP NIL
MILITARY))
(CALLED\BY (I 6))
SOUTH\AMERICA CHILE BOLIVIA PARAGUAY BRAZIL
URUGUAY ATLANTIC\OCEAN PARANA
RIO\DE\LA\PLATA URUGUAY\RIVER
BUENOS\AIRES ROSARIO CORDOBA MENDOZA
LA\PLATA TUCUMAN SANTA\CRUZ
TIERRA\DE\FUEGO ACONCAGUA ANDES))
(RPAQQ ARTIGAS (((XN ARTIGAS)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL GREAT\MAN (OF NIL (INDEPENDENCE
NIL (OF NIL URUGUAY))))
(SUPERP (I 6)
URUGUAY)))
(RPAQQ ATLANTIC (((XN ATLANTIC\OCEAN ATLANTIC)
(DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL OCEAN)
,LOCATION NIL (EAST NIL (OF NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)))))
(RPAQQ BATLLE (((XN BATLLE)))
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NIL
(SUPERC NIL GREAT\MAN (OF NIL URUGUAY))
(SUPERP (I 6)
URUGUAY))

(RPAQQ BOLIVIA (((XN BOLIVIA))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 465000))
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 4000000))
(LANGUAGE (I 2)
SPANISH)
(CAPITAL (I 1)
LA\PAZ)
(CITIES (I 2)
LA\PAZ SUCRE POTOSI COCHABAMBA SANTA\CRUZ))

(RPAQQ BRAZIL (((XN BRAZIL)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 7000000))
(LANGUAGE NIL PORTUGUESE)
(CAPITAL (I 1)
BRAZILIA)
(CITIES (I 2)
SAO\PAULO RIO\DE\JANEIRO BRAZILIA
PORTO\ALEGRE BAHIA RECIFE SANTOS MANAOS
BELEM))

(RPAQQ BUENOS\AIRES (((XN BUENOS\AIRES)
(PL NIL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY (CAPITAL NIL (OF NIL
ARGENTINA))
(SUPERP (I 6)
ARGENTINA)
,LOCATION (I 2)
ARGENTINA
(ON NIL RIO\DE\LA\PLATA))
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 6000000))

(RPAQQ CARIBBEAN (((XN CARIBBEAN)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL SEA)
(SUPERP NIL ATLANTIC)
,LOCATION NIL (NORTH\OF NIL SOUTH\AMERICA))}
(RPAQQ CHACO (((XN CHACO)
(DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL PLAIN)
,LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA PARAGUAY BOLIVIA)
,LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA PARAGUAY BOLIVIA)
(PopULATION (I 2)
SMALL))}
(RPAQQ CHILE (((XN CHILE)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
(Area (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 2140000,))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
(Population NIL (APPROX NIL \ 8500000,))
(Language (I 2)
SPANISH)
(Capital (I 1)
SANTIAGO))}
(RPAQQ COLOMBIA (((XN COLOMBIA)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
(Area (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 4820000,))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
(Population NIL (APPROX NIL \ 16000000,))
(Language (I 2)
SPANISH)
(Capital (I 1)
BOGOTA))}
(RPAQQ CORDOBA (((XN CORDOBA))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
,LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA)
(Population NIL 700000,))}
(RPAQQ ECUADOR (((XN ECUADOR)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 1040000)
LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (ON NIL EQUATOR)
POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 4000000,)
LANGUAGE (I 2)
SPANISH
CAPITAL (I 1)
QUITO))
RPAQQ ENGLISH (((XN ENGLISH))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL LANGUAGE)
(APPLIED\TO NIL UNITED\STATES GUYANA))
RPAQQ EUROPE (((XN EUROPE)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CONTINENT))
RPAQQ GUYANA (((XN GUYANA GUYANA)
NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 840000)
LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 700000,)
LANGUAGE NIL ENGLISH
CAPITAL (I 1)
GEORGETOWN))
RPAQQ ITALY (((XN ITALY))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
LOCATION NIL EUROPE))
RPAQQ LA\PAZ (((XN LA\PAZ))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY (CAPITAL NIL (OF NIL BOLIVIA))
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
LOCATION NIL BOLIVIA))
RPAQQ LIMA (((XN LIMA))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY (CAPITAL NIL (OF NIL PERU))
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
LOCATION NIL (PERU NIL CENTRAL)
(NEAR NIL PACIFIC\OCEAN))
POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 2000000,))))
RPAQQ MONTEVIDEO (((XN MONTEVIDEO))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY (CAPITAL NIL (OF NIL URUGUAY))
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
LOCATION NIL (URUGUAY NIL CENTRAL)
(NEAR NIL PACIFIC\OCEAN))
POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 1000000,))))
(DET NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY (CAPITAL NIL (OF NIL URUGUAY)))
(SUPERP (I 6)
  SOUTH\AMERICA)
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 1000000))
,LOCATION NIL URUGUAY (PORT (I 2)
  EXCELLENT NATURAL
    (ON NIL RIO\DE\LA\PLATA))
  (LATITUDE NIL \-35)
  (LONGITUDE NIL \-56))
(CLIMATE (I 2 P 2)
  TEMPERATE MARITIME VARIABLE
  (TEMPERATURE NIL (RANGE NIL 40 90)
   (AVERAGE NIL 65))
  (PRECIPITATION NIL IRREGULAR (NOT NIL
    SEASONAL)
    (AVERAGE NIL 40)
    (SNOW NIL NONE)))
(GENERAL\CHARACTERISTICS (I 2)
  MODERN
  (BEACHES NIL EXCELLENT)
  (PORT NIL ACTIVE))
(CULTURE (I 4)
  HIGH
  (GENERAL\CHARACTERISTICS NIL UNIVERSITY
    THEATERS CONCERTS))
(CALLED\BY (I 6)
  URUGUAY RIO\DE\LA\PLATA))
(RPAQQ NEGRO (((XN NEGRO)
  (DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER)
(SUPERP NIL URUGUAY)))
(RPAQQ NORTH\AMERICA (((XN NORTH\AMERICA)
  NIL)
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CONTINENT)))
(RPAQQ PACIFIC (((XN PACIFIC\OCEAN PACIFIC)
  (DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL OCEAN)
,LOCATION NIL (TO NIL (WEST NIL (OF NIL
  SOUTH\AMERICA))))
(RPAQQ PAMPA (((XN PAMPA PAMPAS)
  (PL T DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL PLAINS)
(SUPERP (I 6)
  SOUTH\AMERICA)
(LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA)
(FERTILE NIL VERY)
(PRODUCTS (I 2)
  WHEAT CORN CATTLE))
(RPAQQ PARAGUAY (((XN PARAGUAY)
  NIL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
  SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
  (APPROX NIL \ 157000))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 1400000,))
(LANGUAGE (I 2)
  SPANISH)
(CAPITAL (I 1)
  ASUNCION))
(RPAQQ PARAGUAYAN (((ADJ PARAGUAYAN))))
(RPAQQ PARAGUAY\RIVER (((XN PARAGUAY\RIVER
  PARAGUAY)
  (DET THE))))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER)
,LOCATION NIL BRAZIL PARAGUAY ARGENTINA)
(SOURCE NIL (IN NIL BRAZIL))
(END NIL (IN NIL PARANA))
(CITIES NIL (LENGTH NIL 1500))
(LENGTH NIL 1500))
(RPAQQ PARANA (((XN PARANA)
  (DET THE))))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER)
,LOCATION NIL (SL ARGENTINA PARAGUAY BRAZIL))
(SOURCE NIL (IN NIL BRAZIL))
(END NIL \TO\DE\LA\PLATA (THROUGH NIL DELTA))
(AFFLUENTS NIL PARAGUAY\RIVER IGUAZU)
(LENGTH NIL 2500))
(RPAQQ PAYSANDU (((XN PAYSANDU))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
,LOCATION NIL URUGUAY))
(RPAQQ PILCOMAYO (((XN PILCOMAYO)
  (DET THE))))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER)
,LOCATION NIL (BOUNDARY NIL (BETWEEN NIL
  (SL ARGENTINA PARAGUAY))))
(RPAQQ PERU (((XN PERU)
  NIL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
   SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 1)
   (APPROX NIL \ 4800000,))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA)
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 7000000,))
(LANGUAGE (I 2)
   SPANISH)
(CAPITAL (I 1)
   LIMA))
(RPAQQ PORTUGAL (((XN PORTUGAL))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
,LOCATION NIL EUROPE)
(LANGUAGE NIL PORTUGUéSE)))
(RPAQQ PORTUGUéSE (((XN PORTUGUéSE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL LANGUAGE (FROM NIL PORTUGAL))
(APPLIED TO NIL "BRAZIL PORTUGAL"))
(RPAQQ PUNTA\DE\ESTE (((XN PUNTA\DE\ESTE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
,LOCATION NIL URUGUAY (ON NIL (COAST NIL
ATLANTIC))))
(BEACHES NIL EXCELLENT)))
(RPAQQ RIO\DE\LA\PLATA (((XN RIO\DE\LA\PLATA
   PLATA))
   (DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER ESTUARY)
(SUPERP NIL URUGUAY ARGENTINA)))
(RPAQQ RIVERA (((XN RIVERA))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
,LOCATION NIL URUGUAY (ON NIL (BOUNDARY NIL
   WITH NIL BRAZIL)))
(RPAQQ ROSARIO (((XN ROSARIO))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
,LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA)
(PORT NIL IMPORTANT (ON NIL PARANA))
(POPULATION NIL 6000000)))
(RPAQQ SALADO (((XN SALADO)
   (DET THE))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER)
,LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA)))
(RPAQQ SALTO (((XN SALTO))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
,LOCATION NIL URUGUAY))
(RPAQQ SAN\MARTIN (((XN SAN\MARTIN)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL GREAT\MAN (OF NIL ARGENTINA))
(SUPERP (I 6)
 ARGENTINA))
(RPAQQ SAO\PAULO (((XN SAO\PAULO)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY)
,LOCATION NIL BRAZIL)
((POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 5000000.))))
(RPAQQ SOUTH\AMERICA (((XN SOUTH\AMERICA)))
NIL
(SUPERC (I 6)
 CONTINENT)
(COUNTRIES (I 1)
 ARGENTINA BOLIVIA BRAZIL CHILE COLOMBIA
 ECUADOR GUYANA PARAGUAY PERU URUGUAY
 VENEZUELA))
(RPAQQ SPAIN (((XN SPAIN)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
,LOCATION NIL EUROPE)
(LANGUAGE NIL SPANISH))
(RPAQQ SPANISH (((XN SPANISH)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL LANGUAGE (FROM NIL SPAIN))
(APPLIED TO NIL SPAIN ARGENTINA URUGUAY
 PARAGUAY CHILE BOLIVIA PERU ECUADOR
 COLOMBIA VENEZUELA MEXICO)))
(RPAQQ SUCRE (((XN SUCRE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL CITY (CAPITAL NIL ANCIENT
 (OF NIL BOLIVIA))))
(RPAQQ TUPUNGATO (((XN TUPUNGATO)
 (DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL MOUNTAIN)
,LOCATION NIL ARGENTINA)
(SUPERP NIL ANDES)))
(RPAQQ UNITED\STATES (((XN UNITED\STATES U.S.
 U.S.,))
 (DET THE)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
 NORTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 36150000)
(LOCATION NIL NORTH\AMERICA)
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 2.0000000E+08))
(CAPITAL (I 1)
  WASHINGTON))

(RPAQQ URUGUAY (((XN URUGUAY)
  (DET NIL DEF 2))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
  SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
  (APPROX NIL 79000))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (LATITUDE
  (I 2)
  (RANGE NIL -30 -35))
(LONGITUDE (I 4)
  (RANGE NIL -53 -58))
(BORDERING\COUNTRIES (I 1)
  (SLO NORTHERN EASTERN)
  (I 1)
  BRAZIL)
(WESTERN (I 1)
  ARGENTINA
  (BOUNDARY NIL URUGUAY\RIVER)))
(COAST (I 1)
  (SOUTHEASTERN (I 1)
    ATLANTIC\OCEAN)
  (SOUTHERN (I 1)
    RIO\DE\LA\PLATA))
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 2800000)
(ORIGIN (I 2)
  (FROM NIL EUROPE)
  (COUNTRIES NIL (PRINCIPAL NIL (SL SPAIN
    ITALY))))
(RACE (I 4)
  WHITE
  (COMPOSITION (I 1)
    (WHITE NIL 98)
    (INDIAN NIL 0))
  (LITERACY (I 2)
    95)
  (LANGUAGE NIL SPANISH)
(RELIGION (I 2)
  (NOT NIL OFFICIAL)
  (PRINCIPAL NIL CATHOLICISM)
  (SECONDARY NIL (SL JUDAISM PROTESTANTISM
    AGNOSTICISM))))
(CAPITAL (I 1)
  MONTEVIDEO)
(CITIES (I 2)
(PRINCIPAL NIL (SL MONTEVIDEO SALTO
PAYSANDU RIVERA PUNTA\DEL\ESTE)))
(TOPOGRAPHY (I 1)
(ELEMENTS NIL HILLS PLAINS)
(MOUNTAINS NIL NONE)
(ELEVATION NIL (HIGHEST NIL 1500))
(SOIL (I 2)
FERTILE))
(RIVERS (I 2)
(SL RIO\DE\LA\PLATA URUGUAY\RIVER NEGRO)))
(CLIMATE (I 3)
(SF RETV CLIMATE MONTEVIDEO))
(PRODUCTS (I 3)
AGRICULTURE MEAT WOOL
(INDUSTRY NIL LIGHT (PRINCIPAL NIL
(SL TEXTILE PACKING)))
(MINERALS NIL (NOT NIL IMPORTANT))
(GOVERNMENT NIL (SAND STABLE DEMOCRATIC
REPUBLICAN))
(MILITARY NIL NOT)
(FORM (I 2)
UNITARY)
(PROBLEMS (I 2)
ECONOMIC)
(UNREST (I 2)
SOCIAL STUDENT)
(REVOLUTIONS NIL NONE))
(HISTORY (I 3 PAST T)
(PERIODS NIL VARIED (INDIANS NIL NOMADE)
(COLONIAL\PERIOD NIL SPANISH)
(INDEPENDENCE NIL (DATE NIL 1825)
(WAR NIL (AGAINST NIL (COUNTRIES NIL
(SAND SPAIN PORTUGAL BRAZIL
ARGENTINA))))
(DATE NIL (RANGE NIL 1811 1825)))))
(WARS (I 2)
(PARAGUAYAN NIL (WITH NIL (SL ARGENTINA
BRAZIL))
(AGAINST NIL PARAGUAY)
(DATE NIL (RANGE NIL 1865 1870)))))
(REVOLUTIONS NIL (LAST NIL (IN NIL 1904))
(GREAT\MEN (I 4)
(SL ARTIGAS BATLLE))
(CALLED\BY (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA URUGUAY\RIVER ARGENTINA
BRAZIL MONTEVIDEO SALTO PAYSANDU
RIO\DE\LA\PLATA)))
(RPAQO URUGUAY\RIVER (((XN URUGUAY\RIVER URUGUAY
(DET THE)))
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NIL
(SUPERC NIL RIVER)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (COUNTRIES NIL
(SL BRAZIL ARGENTINA URUGUAY))
(DIRECTION NIL N\TO\S)
(SOURCE NIL BRAZIL)
(END NIL RIO\DE\LA\PLATA)
(LENGTH NIL (APPROX NIL 1000))
(FRONTIER NIL (BETWEEN NIL BRAZIL ARGENTINA)
(BETWEEN NIL ARGENTINA URUGUAY))
(CITIES NIL (SL URUGUAYANA SALTO PAYSANDU
CONCORDIA GUALEGUAYCHU))
(CALLED\BY (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA ARGENTINA BRAZIL URUGUAY
RIO\DE\LA\PLATA PARANA))
(RPAQ Q VENEZUELA (((XN VENEZUELA)))
NIL
(SUPERC NIL COUNTRY)
(SUPERP (I 6)
SOUTH\AMERICA)
(AREA (I 2)
(APPROX NIL \ 352000))
,LOCATION NIL SOUTH\AMERICA (ON NIL CARIBBEAN)
(POPULATION NIL (APPROX NIL \ 8000000))
(LANGUAGE (I 2)
SPANISH)
(CAPITAL (I 1)
CARACAS))
(PROGN (PRIN1 (QUOTE FILE" CREATED ")
   T)
   (PRIN1 (QUOTE 04/30/70" 1744107")
   T)
   (TERPRI T))
(RPAQQ AGENDA ((SOUTH\AMERICA (DUR0 3600 DI 0))
   (RPAQQ CTPD ((GUYANA (LIF 4673 DI 3 DUR0 1200)
     QUESINTERIL (14 15))
   (11 (T TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT
     CORRECT\TO\SAY RIGHT\TO\SAY OK\TO\SAY)
     (SOUTH\AMERICA LOCATION GUYANA)
     T/F NIL)
   (12 (COUNTRY)
     (COUNTRY SUPERC GUYANA)
     WH NIL)
   (SOUTH\AMERICA (DUR0 3600 DI 0 LIF 4053)
     CTXGEN (PARAGUAY 3 COLOMBIA 3 GUYANA 3)))
   (RPAQQ QUESLIS (((1 SCHOLAR 1)
     (CTX PARAGUAY QUESINTERIL (1 2 3 4)
       #QUES 1 DI 5)
     (((SOUTH\AMERICA LOCATION PARAGUAY)
       NIL)
     PARAGUAY FILL-IN)
     (WRONG (SOUTH\AMERICA)
       (VENEZUELA)
       NIL
       (SOUTH\AMERICA)
       (VENEZUELA)
       (VENEZUELA)
       NIL
       (MISP APPROX))))
   ((2 SCHOLAR 2)
     (CTX PARAGUAY QUESINTERIL (1 2 3 4)
       #QUES 2 DI 5)
     (((COUNTRY SUPERC PARAGUAY)
       NIL)
     PARAGUAY T/F)
     NIL)
   ((3 SCHOLAR 3)
     (CTX PARAGUAY QUESINTERIL (1 2 3 4)
       #QUES 3 DI 5)
     (((1400000, APPROX POPULATION PARAGUAY UNIT
       UNIT)
       NIL)
     PARAGUAY T/F)
     (CORRECT (T TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT
       CORRECT\TO\SAY RIGHT\TO\SAY OK\TO\SAY)
     (TRUE)
     (TRUE)
     (T YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT CORRECT\TO\SAY
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RIGHT\TO\SAY OK\TO\SAY)
NIL NIL NIL
(LIST MISP APPROX))

((4 SCHOLAR 4)
(CTX PARAGUAY QUESINTERRL (1 2 3 4)
#QUES 4 DI 6)
(((ASUNCION CAPITAL PARAGUAY)
((NOT)
 ASUNCION CAPITAL PARAGUAY))
PARAGUAY T/\F)
(CORRECT (NIL F FALSE NOT\TRUE N NO WRONG
INCORRECT NOT\RIGHT)
(INCORRECT)
(INCORRECT)
(NIL F FALSE NOT\TRUE N NO WRONG
NOT\RIGHT)
NIL NIL NIL
(LIST MISP APPROX))

((5 SCHOLAR 5)
(CTX COLOMBIA QUESINTERRL (5 6 7 8 10 12)
#QUES 5 DI 5)
(((SOUTH\AMERICA LOCATION COLOMBIA)
 NIL)
 COLOMBIA FILL-IN)
(PERFECT (SOUTH\AMERICA)
(SOUTH\AMERICA)
(SOUTH\AMERICA)
NIL NIL NIL NIL
(ATOM MISP APPROX))

((6 SCHOLAR 6)
(CTX COLOMBIA QUESINTERRL (5 6 7 8 10 12)
#QUES 6 DI 7)
(((4820000. APPROX AREA COLOMBIA)
 NIL)
 COLOMBIA WH}
(APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT (4820000.)
(5000000.))
NIL
(4820000.)
(5000000. SQUARE\MILES)
(5000000. SQUARE\MILES)
NIL
(# MISP APPROX))

((7 SCHOLAR 7)
(CTX COLOMBIA QUESINTERRL (5 6 7 8 10 12)
#QUES 7 DI 5)
(((COUNTRY SUPERC COLOMBIA)
 NIL)
 COLOMBIA FILL-IN)
(CORRECT (COUNTRY)
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(COUNTRY)
NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL
(MISP APPROX))

((8 SCHOLAR 8)
(CTX COLOMBIA QUESINTERL (5 6 7 8 10 12)
#QUES 8 DI 5)
(((10000000, APPROX POPULATION COLOMBIA
UNIT UNIT)
NIL)
COLOMBIA T/F)
(CORRECT (T TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT
CORRECT \ TO \ SAY RIGHT \ TO \ SAY OK \ TO \ SAY)
(T)
(T)
(TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT
CORRECT \ TO \ SAY RIGHT \ TO \ SAY OK \ TO \ SAY)
NIL NIL NIL
(LIST MISP APPROX))

((8 STUQ 0)
(CTX (COLOMBIA)
#QUESINTERL 9 #INTERL 1)
(((BOGOTA)
(CAPITAL COLOMBIA)
(GIVE ME CAPITAL COLOMBIA ?)
(GIVE ME THE CAPITAL OF COLOMBIA ?)
(STUQ))

((10 SCHOLAR 9)
(CTX COLOMBIA QUESINTERL (5 6 7 8 10 12)
#QUES 9 DI 7)
(((SPANISH LANGUAGE COLOMBIA)
NIL)
COLOMBIA FILL-IN)
((SPANISH LANGUAGE COLOMBIA)
FILL-IN))

((10 STUQ 1)
(CTX (MONTEVIDEO)
#QUESINTER 11 #INTER 2)
(((LOCATION NIL URUGUAY (LATITUDE NIL -35)
(LONGITUDE NIL -56)))
(MONTEVIDEO)
(WHERE IS MONTEVIDEO ?)
(SUPERC NIL)
(STUQ WHERE 1)))

((12 SCHOLAR 10)
(CTX COLOMBIA QUESINTERL (5 6 7 8 10 12)
#QUES 10 DI 6)
(((BOGOTA CAPITAL COLOMBIA)
NIL)
COLOMBIA T/F)
((BOGOTA CAPITAL COLOMBIA)
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T/F)

((12 STUQ 2)
(CTX (URUGUAY)
 #QUESINTER R 13 #INTER R 3)

((65)
(AVERAGE TEMPERATURE CAPITAL URUGUAY)
(WHAT IS AVERAGE TEMPERATURE CAPITAL
 URUGUAY ?)
(SUPERC NIL)
(STUQ WH RELATION)))

((14 SCHOLAR 11)
(CTX GUYANA QUESINTER RL (14 15)
 #QUES 11 DI 5)

(((SOUTH\AMERICA LOCATION GUYANA)
NIL)
GUYANA T/F)
(CORRECT (T TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT
CORRECT\TO\SAY RIGHT\TO\SAY OK\TO\SAY)

(YES)
(YES)
(T TRUE Y OK CORRECT RIGHT CORRECT\TO\SAY
RIGHT\TO\SAY OK\TO\SAY)
NIL NIL NIL
(LIST MISP APPROX)))

((15 SCHOLAR 12)
(CTX GUYANA QUESINTER RL (14 15)
 #QUES 12 DI 5)

(((COUNTRY SUPERC GUYANA)
NIL)
GUYANA WH)
((COUNTRY SUPERC GUYANA)
WH)))

STOP
PROGN
(PRINT "QUOTE FILE CREATED"
)

(PRINT "QUOTE 04/30/70 1740:22"
)

(TERPRI T)

(RPAQQ MESSAGES (((M1 (HELLO, MY NAME IS SCHOLAR
"." I WILL HELP YOU IN REVIEWING THE))

(M2 (TODAY IS))

(M3 (IF YOU HAVE CAREFULLY STUDIED YOUR
INSTRUCTIONS, WE MAY BEGIN THE REVIEW
NOW "."))

(M4 (PLEASE READ CAREFULLY THE FOLLOWING
INSTRUCTIONS))

(M5 (I WILL START ASKING QUESTIONS TO YOU,
WHICH YOU ARE TO ANSWER "." AT ANY TIME
YOU CAN INTERRUPT OR FOLLOW YOUR ANSWER
BY TYPING "#", PERHAPS FOLLOWED BY A
QUESTION OR A COMMAND "."). IN STATING A
QUESTION YOU MUST RESPECT SOME
RESTRICTIONS IN FORM AND VOCABULARY,
AS INDICATED IN THE INSTRUCTIONS NEAR
YOUR TERMINAL "." IF YOU ARE COMPLETELY
LOST ABOUT THE ANSWER TO ONE OF MY
QUESTIONS, SIMPLY TYPE ANS FOLLOWED BY
AN ASTERISK AND A CARRIAGE RETURN "." "("IN THIS CASE THE CORRECT ANSWER WILL BE
PRESENTED TO YOU, FOLLOWED BY THE NEXT
QUESTION YOU ARE TO ANSWER "." "")")

(M6 (IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU ANSWER
CORRECTLY AND WITHOUT UNREASONABLE
DELAY "."))

(M7 (YOUR TURN TO TYPE WILL BE INDICATED BY
AN ASTERISK "." ALL YOUR QUESTIONS,
ANSWERS, ETC "." MUST BE TERMINATED
BY AN ASTERISK FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE
RETURN "." IF YOU MAKE A TYPING ERROR,
PRESS SIMULTANEOUSLY THE KEYS LABELLED
A AND CTRL "." THIS WILL PRODUCE AN
ARROW + FOLLOWED BY THE REPETITION OF
THE PREVIOUS CHARACTER "." THIS
REPETITION SHOWS THAT THE CHARACTER HAS
BEEN ERASED INSIDE THE COMPUTER "." "(" This
PROCEDURE ALSO APPLIES TO SPACES "."
")" REPEATING THE PROCESS TWICE
ERASES THE TWO PREVIOUS CHARACTERS,
ETC "." FOR EXAMPLE, HF+FANDDS + V5+D
WILL BE INTERPRETED AS HAND "." FINALLY,
IF YOU WANT TO ERASE A COMPLETE LINE,
PRESS SIMULTANEOUSLY CTRL AND Q "." THIS
WILL PRODUCE THE CHARACTER # AND A
CARRIAGE RETURN ".

Then, all you had
typed in that line is internally erased
".

You may then type the modified
answer on the line after the carriage
return ".")

(M8 WHEN YOU ARE READY TO START, TYPE READY
--- REMEMBER TO TERMINATE WITH AN
ASTERISK * FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE
RETURN ".")

(M9 NOT READY YET? LET ME KNOW AS SOON AS
YOU CAN START ".")

(M10 PLEASE TYPE YOUR NAME --- MR "," MISS
, OR MRS "," FOLLOWED BY FIRST NAME
, MIDDLE NAME OR MIDDLE INITIAL , AND
LAST NAME ",")

(M11 NAME OF YOUR INSTRUCTOR, PLEASE !)

(M15 LET'S BEGIN OUR DISCUSSION NOW ,)

(CONTMESS (WE HAVE BEEN STUDYING))

(CONTMESS! (THE FIRST SET OF QUESTIONS ,))

(WR (YOU MADE A TYPING ERROR ".") TRY AGAIN ".")

(M16 PLEASE ANSWER Y OR N ".")

(M17 (THE CORRECT ANSWER IS ")

(NOANS (2 2 (IN THE FUTURE TRY TO ANSWER ,
EVEN IF YOU ARE NOT TOO SURE ".")

(DID YOU HAVE THIS ANSWER IN MIND ?))

(HURRY (3 3 (WELL , HAVE YOU MADE UP YOUR
MIND ?))

(YOU ARE TAKING TOO MUCH TIME "." "," ",")

(PLEASE HURRY UP "." I'M WAITING FOR YOUR
STATEMENT ".")

(HURRY2 (2 3 (PLEASE DON'T TAKE SO MUCH TIME
".")

(WHY ARE YOU TAKING SO MUCH TIME?)

(AT LAST !))

(HURRY1 (1 2 (NOW , ABOUT YOUR STATEMENT "." 
"," ",")

(ANYWAY , LET US SEE WHAT YOU HAVE JUST
WRITTEN ".")

(WRMESS1 (2 2 (IS THAT ALL YOU CAN SAY ?)
(COME ON , YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO WRITE
SOMETHING ".")

(WRMESS (3 3 (WRONG ",")

(THAT'S NOT RIGHT ",")

(YOUR ANSWER IS INCORRECT ",")

(CORRMESS (4 4 (YOU ARE CORRECT ",")

(THAT'S RIGHT ",")

(VERY GOOD ",")

(THAT'S FINE ",")

(TRYAGAIN (3 3 (TRY AGAIN ",")

(I'LL GIVE YOU ANOTHER CHANCE ",")
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(AGAIN, PLEASE:))
(M18 (TODAY'S REVIEW IS OVER ":")
(M19 (I'M PLEASED TO TELL YOU THAT YOUR GRADE IS AN))
(M20 (I'M SORRY TO TELL YOU THAT YOUR GRADE IS AN))
(M21 (YOU HAVE PASSED WITH A))
(M22 (AMONG THE TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE REVIEW, THE FOLLOWING ONES SHOULD BE STUDIED FURTHER BY YOU :))
(M23 (IT HAS BEEN A PLEASURE TO WORK WITH YOU :))
(M24 (THAT IS ALL :))
(KEYMESS1 (2 3 (ARE YOU SURE THAT IT IS CORRECT TO SAY)
(WHY DID YOU SAY)
(HAVEN'T YOU INCORRECTLY USED)))
(KEYMESS2 (1 3 (HERE ?)
(?)
(IN YOUR STATEMENT ?)))
(KEYMESS1 (2 3 (HOWEVER :)
(BUT)
(ON THE OTHER HAND :))
(KEYMESS1 (2 3 (IS CORRECT ":")
(IN YOUR STATEMENT IS O ":" K ":")
(IS FINE ":")
(MISSING (3 3 (SOMETHING IS MISSING IN YOUR ANSWER ":")
(YOUR ANSWER IS INCOMPLETE ":")
(YOU HAVE LEFT SOMETHING OUT IN YOUR ANSWER ":"))
(BLKCHANGE (0 2 (LET ME NOW CHANGE THE TYPE OF QUESTION ":")
(LET'S WORK ON A DIFFERENT EXERCISE NOW ":")
(NONSENSE (2 2 (I DON'T UNDERSTAND ":" PLEASE REPHRASE ":")
(REPHRASE YOUR STATEMENT ":" I CAN'T UNDERSTAND IT ":")
(T/F (1 3 (TYPE EITHER T OR F AFTER THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT :))
(IS THE FOLLOWING TRUE OR FALSE ?)
(PLEASE INDICATE IF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT IS CORRECT OR INCORRECT :))
(T/F (2 2 (IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT)
(IS IT TRUE THAT))
(T/F' (2 3 (TRUE OR FALSE ?)
(RIGHT OR WRONG ?)
(CORRECT OR INCORRECT ?))
(TRULIS (T TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT\TO\SAY RIGHT\TO\SAY OK\TO\SAY))
(FLSLIS (NIL F FALSE NOT\TRUE N NO WRONG
INCORRECT NOT\RIGHT))

(TRU (3 3 (TRUE "\n")
(CORRECT "\n")
(RIGHT "\n"))

(FALS (1 3 (FALSE "\n")
(NOT TRUE "\n")
(THAT'S INCORRECT "\n"))

(NOSETS (WE HAVE HAD ENOUGH EXERCISES ON THIS))

(NOSETS' ("\n" HOWEVER, SINCE YOU STILL SEEM
TO HAVE SOME PROBLEMS WITH THIS SUBJECT
YOU SHOULD REVIEW IT FURTHER "\n"))

(M51 (DO YOU WANT TO SAY OR ASK SOMETHING?
PLEASE ANSWER Y OR N "\n")

(M52 (O "\n" K "\n" GO AHEAD "\n")

(M53 (IF YOU WANT TO TERMINATE THE DISCUSSION
ANSWER Y "\n" IF YOU WANT TO DISCUSS
SOMETHING ELSE, TYPE : I WANT TO
DISCUSS ... FILLING THE BLANK WITH WHAT
YOU WANT TO DISCUSS "\n"))

(M54 (PLEASE TYPE A NUMBER INDICATING HOW
MANY MINUTES YOU WANT TO WAIT BEFORE
PROCEEDING "\n" I'LL COME BACK TO YOU
AFTER THAT TIME "\n" IF YOU DON'T WANT
TO WAIT, TYPE 0 "\n")

(M55 (O "\n" K "\n" LET'S PROCEED NOW "\n")

(M56 (0 2 (LET ME NOW GIVE YOU THE ANSWER TO
MY QUESTION !)

(GOING BACK TO MY QUESTION, THE ANSWER
IS)))

(M57 (NOW ANSWER THE QUESTION YOU DIDN'T
ANSWER BEFORE "\n")

(M58 (IS WHAT YOU WROTE ABOVE IN FRONT OF THE
# SYMBOL YOUR ANSWER TO MY FORMER
QUESTION? PLEASE ANSWER YES OR NO "\n")

(M59 (0 2 (THEN ANSWER MY QUESTION NOW "\n")
(TRY AGAIN "\n")

(M60 (1 2 (SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE FROM THE
LIST !)

(USE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING !))

(M61 (1 2 (TO ANSWER THE QUESTION !)
(FOR THE QUESTION !))

(M62 (1 3 (IN WHAT YOU SAY, I DON'T
RECOGNIZE THE)
(I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR)
(I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN BY THE))

(M63 (I CAN'T WAIT ANY LONGER "\n")

(M64 (1 2 (WAIT A MINUTE "\n" I'M CHECKING MY
FILES "\n")

(PLEASE WAIT "\n" I'LL BE BACK IN A MINUTE
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".

(M65 (2 2 (OK "." LET'S CONTINUE ".

(LET'S PROCEED NOW ".")))
(M66 (1 2 (SORRY "." I DON'T KNOW ".

(I'M AFRAID I CAN'T ANSWER THAT ".")))
(LOCATED\ON (1 2 (ON)
(LOCATED\ON)))
(LOCATED\IN (2 2 (IN)
(LOCATED\IN)))
(M67 (3 3 (WE HAVE ALREADY DISCUSSED THIS "."))
(YOU SHOULD KNOW ABOUT THIS "."
(I THINK I HAVE ALREADY TOLD YOU ABOUT IT ".")))
(M68 (1 2 (TO COMPLETE THE SENTENCE 
(TO FILL THE BLANK BELOW :)))
(NO# (1 2 (NUMBERS ONLY 
PLEASE "."))
(THAT'S NOT NUMERICAL "."))
(APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT (3 3 (THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT "."))
(WHAT YOU SAY IS APPROXIMATELY\CORRECT "."
(APPROXIMATELY TRUE ")
(ABS\CORR (2 3 (THE EXACT ANSWER IS)
(BUT A MORE EXACT VALUE IS)
(MORE ACCURATELY, THE ANSWER IS)))
(MODEMSS (DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE GENERAL MODE OF THE INTERACTION TO))
(M69 (0 2 (MY STATEMENT WAS)
(YOU SHOULD HAVE SAID)))
(M70 (0 2 (THIS IS A TEST, AND I AM SUPPOSED TO ASK THE QUESTIONS "." TRY AGAIN ".

(ANSWER MY QUESTION OR CHANGE THE MODE OF INTERACTION ".")))
(M71 (0 2 (I DON'T RECOGNIZE THAT AS A QUESTION "." AGAIN, PLEASE !)
(IS THAT A QUESTION? I'M EXPECTING ONE FROM YOU ".")))
(M72 (2 2 (YOU MAY ASK MORE QUESTIONS IF YOU WANT TO ".")
(NOW YOU CAN EITHER ANSWER MY QUESTION OR ASK A NEW ONE "."))
(M73 (RANGE IN VALUE FROM))
(M74 (3 3 (YOU MISPELLED)
(I BELIEVE YOU MEANT TO TYPE)
(YOU SHOULD HAVE WRITTEN)))
(M75 (2 2 (THE COMPLETE ANSWER ALSO INCLUDES)
(YOU COULD ALSO MENTION)))
(M76 (0 2 (GIVE ME SOME MORE)
(PLEASE COMPLETE THE LIST OF)))
(M77 (0 2 (THAT SEEMS RIGHT "."))
(I GUESS THAT'S TRUE ".")))
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(RFAQ INTMESS ((M26 (LET US NOW DECIDE IF SCHOLAR SHOULD CALL THE STUDENT'S ATTENTION ABOUT WORDS IT CAN NOT RECOGNIZE "." PLEASE TYPE Y OR N 1))
(M27 (TYPE PROBABILITY , IN PERCENT , FOR GENERATING A QUESTION ABOUT A SUBCONTEXT OF A GIVEN CONTEXT , WHEN DEALING WITH THE CONTEXT ITSELF ;))
(M28 (TYPE THE NUMBER OF SECONDS TO WAIT BEFORE PRODUCING A PROMPTING MESSAGE ;))
(M29 (TYPE MAXIMUM SEMANTIC DEPTH ACCEPTABLE FOR SUBCONTEXT GENERATION ;))
(M30 (SCHOLAR IS SET BOTH TO CHECK FOR MISPELLINGS IN THE STUDENT'S ANSWERS AND TO ACCEPT APPROXIMATE NUMERICAL ANSWERS "." NORMALLY YOU WILL WANT TO LEAVE BOTH OF THESE CHECKS IN "." YOU DO THIS BY TYPING --- WITHOUT THE QUOTATIONS , OF COURSE --- : "" MISP APPROX "" IF YOU ONLY WANT ONE OF THEM ; TYPE ITS NAME "." IF YOU DESIRE NONE ; TYPE NIL ")
(M31 (THIS IS THE PROGRAM TO SET THE CONDITIONS OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE STUDENT AND SCHOLAR "." DO YOU WANT TO CHANGE THOSE CONDITIONS ? PLEASE TYPE Y OR N "." (" REMEMBER TO TERMINATE YOUR TYPING WITH AN ASTERISK * FOLLOWED BY A CARRIAGE RETURN "." "")(V))
(M32 (NAME OF INSTITUTION 1))
(M33 (TYPE NAME OF SUBJECT MATTER , I "." E "." , CONTEXT TO BE DISCUSSED 1))
(M34 (TYPE OF INTERACTION "." IT MUST BE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING : MIXIMET , TEST , OR Q/A ")
(M35 (TYPE YOUR NAME EVEN IF YOU HAVE TYPED IT ABOVE 1))
(M36 (TODAY'S DATE 1))
(M37 (DO YOU WANT FULL INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT THE REQUIREMENT LEVEL USED FOR BRANCHING ? ANSWER Y OR N "")
(M38 (YOU HAVE THE OPTION OF ADJUSTING THE REQUIREMENT LEVEL "." SCOR ES , AND CONDITIONAL ACTIONS RESULTING FROM THESE SCORES , DEPEND ON A CONSTANT WHICH IS NORMALLY SET AT 100 "." IF YOU WANT TO BE MORE SEVERE , YOU CAN DECREASE THE CONSTANT TO , SAY , 80 OR 60 "." IF YOU WANT TO BE MORE LENIENT , YOU CAN SET THE CONSTANT TO A VALUE
HIGHER THAN 100 ",")

(M39 (VALUE OF CONSTANT 1))
(M40 (MAX "." DURATION OF STUDENT INTERACTION
      IN MINUTES 1))
(M41 (MIN "." DURATION OF STUDENT INTERACTION
      IN MINUTES 1))
(M42 (MIN "," NO "," OF QUESTIONS TO BE
      PRESENTED 1))
(M43 (IF YOU WANT FULL INITIAL INSTRUCTIONS
      PRESENTED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE
      INTERACTION WITH THE STUDENT , TYPE 1 ".";
      IF NOT , TYPE 0 "."))
(M44 (DO YOU WANT TO START THE STUDENT
      INTERACTION NOW ? ANSWER Y OR N ","))
(M45 (O "." K "," THE VALUES YOU HAVE ENTERED
      HAVE BEEN STORED IN THE SYMBOLIC FILE
      /SETINTER/ "."))
(M46 (PLEASE TYPE Y OR N ","))
(M47 (INSTRUCTOR IN CHARGE OF THE COURSE 1))
(M48 (ERROR "." SETINTERACTION TO BE
      RESTARTED IN ABOUT 10 SEC "."))
(MNAME (TYPE CONTEXT NAME 1))
(NONAME (THE NAME YOU TYPED IS NOT THE NAME
      OF A STORED CONTEXT "," HERE IS THE
      LIST OF AVAILABLE CONTEXTS 1))
(WR (YOU MADE A TYPING ERROR "." TRY AGAIN ",")))

STOP
RETX1 RETX' RET-TF RET-Ø RET-1 SCHOLAR
SCHOLARØ SCRAMBLE SELECT SELECT;
SETINTERACTION SHAPE SIMDIF SPATT SPATT-WH
STR-A STR-Q STR-WH SYN SYNLIS SYNMATCH S-PL
TAGCHK TAGCHK' TAGWRT TAGWRT0 TAGWRT' TEST
TOPCN T/FMESS VRB WAIT WRAPUP 1STPOS #MATCH
#XTR))
(RPAQQ F-GRL ((COMPARE (I 2)
X Y)
(ER NIL X Y)
(EST NIL X)))))
(RPAQQ --- (((CH --))))
(RPAQQ ALLFUNDNV (INTERAC CTX&QGEN STU-INPUT
RET+IP SENT-GEN RD-PR AUXNET MISCEL UNCOMPP
MODIFUN NEWFUN))
(RPAQQ INTERAC (SCHOLAR SCHOLARØ MIXINIT TEST Q/A
QANS INTER NEX T T/FMESS BRANCH
SETINTERACTION ERRORCORRECT EQUIV FLOJO
GCMESS INITIAL INITIAL' KLOCK KLOCK' PABLO
WAIT PROBHNESS REPET WRAPUP))
(RPAQQ CTX&QGEN (QGEN CTXGEN QDLCCHK PERCHK RETGEN
MODGEN ALTGEN ALT#GEN SCRAMBLE STR-A STR-Q
STR-WH SPATT-WH))
(RPAQQ STU-INPUT (ANSAN E-3 E-3! HOBBN B FQ0 FQU
PARTITION CLEANANS CLEANQ MATCH1 ATOMMATCH
GRLMATCH LISTRATCH LM1 INTERSEC MISPATCH
INTMISP #MATCH SYN SYNLIS SYNMATCH NOBPLS
CONJ CONJ-EO))
(RPAQQ RET+IP (RET RETDEF REFORM REWALL RETA RETO
RETV RETX RETX' RET-Ø RETX1 RETXXC RET-1
RET-TF RET-CH ER EREST EST EST1 COMPARE
SIMDIF))
(RPAQQ SENT-GEN (INT-E INT-E-Ø INT-E-SENT
INT-E-PRED OF-IN-ON VRB A-AN DET LISINOF
LISLIS LISLISØ PL PL-3 S-PL ATOMXTR POS
1STPOS TOPCN AALOC ATTO SPATT SHAPE PUNCT))
(RPAQQ RD-PR (RD* RD*1 RD*CH RD*Ø AT\ PR PR\ PR1
PR+ PRCR PRCR-2 PRAND PRCOL FEED PRETTYD
LOADSCH))
(RPAQQ AUXNET (CLEANS DIST-L NUMPROP POINTER
SELECT SELECT'; TAGCHK TAGCHK' TAGWRT
TAGWRT' TAGWRT0 #XTR LISLIS))
(RPAQQ MISCEL (ATOM² DAT DEPTH¹ DEPTH1 EQUAL¹
EVAL¹ FABS INITFN INITFNQ MAXMIN LISMNCONC
MEMBCDR MEMBREM MEMBER1 MEMBER1¹ MEMBER2
MEMBER3 NCONCL NUMBEPL NUMBERPL¹ PACKNAME
PROBEA RAND PSDHAND REMOV REMOVE¹))
(RPAQQ UNCOMPP (PR¹ PABLO))
(RPAQQ MODIFUN (BRANCH E-3 RET-Ø ANSAN SPATT
RETGEN))
(RPAQQ NEWFUN (Cleans COMPARE ER EST ERESE
ERRORCORRECT EST1 REPET SINDIF))

(RPAQQ === (((CN ---))))

(RPAQQ APPFUN (CLIMATE PRECIPITATION PRODUCTIONS
TEMPERATURE TRAVEL\BY\SHIP))

(RPAQQ === (((CN ---))))

(RPAQQ ALLVAL (GRILVAL APPVAL XMIVAL))

(RPAQQ === (((CN ---))))

(RPAQQ GRILVAL (ALLLIS ARR\HSH A\E\HSH CTXGEN\HSH
#QUEST\HSH ADJ ADV ALLMAT ATOM' AT\ AT\GRIL
AUX BLANKL BK\# CFUN COMMILST CONJL CN CONT
CORLIS DET DETLIS EREST EXIVBL FIRSTCOL
FREEVAR GRILMOD GRILMODL GRILVBL INITFN
INITFNQ INITLIS INITMESS LASCOL LILISLIS
LILIS' MESSAGES MINFS MODNFUN MODIVAL
MODLIS MODLIS' NOLIS NUMNIS POLITEILS
PL-LIS PRF PRPLIS PUNCTL QGENLIS QLIST QNB
QFUNCTL QVANTLIS QUESTLIS RD RDFUNCTL REMQL
REP RND SHPUNCTLIS SPCRL SPV SYMB S-LIS
S-PL WHLIS WHLIS WHISTO XN XTRATT XTRAT\Y
YESLIS GRILIS' --- ---)

(RPAQQ ALLLIS (ALL ALL\YOU KNOW EVERYTHING
EVERYTHING\YOU KNOW))

(RPAQQ ARR\HSH (A\E\HSH CTXGEN\HSH HI',\HSH))

(RPAQQ A\E\HSH #145\172)

(RPAQQ CTXGEN\HSH #133\032)

(RPAQQ #QUEST\HSH #135\322)

(RPAQQ ADJ (ADJ))

NIL

(EXAMPLES NIL POLITICAL ECONOMIC SOCIAL
CULTURAL))

(RPAQQ ADV NIL)

(RPAQQ ALLMAT (SOUTH\AMERICA))

(RPAQQ ATOM' (SL SAND SOR SQ S#))

(RPAQQ AT\ (AT\GRIL AT\APP AT\XM))

(RPAQQ AT\GRIL ((A (2 A\FENW)
(2 A\COUPLE))

(ALL (3 ALL\YOU KNOW))

(APPLIED (2 APPLIED\TO))

(ARE (2 ARE\THEME))

(CALLED (2 CALLED\BY))

(CAN (2 CAN\YOU))

(CORRECT (3 CORRECT\TO\SAY))

(COULD (2 COULD\YOU))

(EVERYTHING (2 EVERYTHING\ELSE)
(3 EVERYTHING\YOU KNOW))

(EXPLAIN (2 EXPLAIN\ME))

(GIVE (2 GIVE\ME))

(GIVE (2 GIVE\US))

(HOW (2 HOW\MANY))
(2 HOW\MUUCH))
(1 (3 I DON'T UNDERSTAND)
 (3 I DON'T KNOW)
 (4 I WANT TO DISCUSS)
 (5 I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW)
 (4 I WANT TO KNOW))
(IS (2 IS THERE))
(NOT (2 NOT TRUE)
 (2 NOT RIGHT))
(OP (2 OP WHAT))
(OK (3 OK TO SAY))
(RIGHT (3 RIGHT TO SAY))
(SOMETHING (2 SOMETHING ELSE))
(TELL (2 TELL ME)
 (2 TELL US))
(THERE (2 THERE IS)
 (2 THERE ARE))
(WHY (5 WHY DO YOU SAY THAT)
 (4 WHY IS THAT SO))
(WOULD (2 WOULD YOU)))

(RPAQQ AUX NIL)
(RPAQQ BLANKL (-- -- -- -- -- WHAT))
(RPAQQ BRK Silicon)
(RPAQQ CFUN (KLOCK KLOCK' WAIT))
(RPAQQ COMM LIST (HALT EXPLAIN))
(RPAQQ CONJL (AND OK BOTH EITHER))
(RPAQQ CN NIL)
(RPAQQ CONT NIL)
(RPAQQ CORRLIS (CORRECT CORRECT! PERFECT
 APPROXIMATELY CORRECT))
(RPAQQ DET (SOUTH AMERICA))
(RPAQQ DETLIS (THE A AND THERE))
(RPAQQ EREST ((LARGER LARGEST SIZE MAX)
 (TALLER TALLEST ALTITUDE MAX)
 (HIGHER HIGHEST ALTITUDE MAX)
 (LONGER LONGEST LENGTH MAX)
 (BIGGER BIGGEST SIZE MAX)
 (WARMER WARMEST TEMPERATURE MAX)
 (RICHER RICHEST ECONOMY MAX)
 (SMALLER SMALLEST SIZE MIN)
 (COLDER COLDEST TEMPERATURE MIN))
(RPAQQ EXIVBL (THERE IS THERE ARE IS THERE
 ARE THERE HAVE HAS))
(RPAQQ FIRSTCOL 36)
(RPAQQ FREEVAR 5)
(RPAQQ GRIDMOD Q/A)
(RPAQQ GRIDMODL ((Q/A)
 (MIXINIT)
 (TEST)
 (WRAPUP))
(EXIT))
(RPAQQ GRLVL (IS ARE HAVE HAS DO DOES WAS WERE
HAD DID CAN IS THERE ARE THERE THERE IS
THERE ARE))
(RPAQQ INITFN (INITLIS NUMBLIS QGENLIS))
(RPAQQ INITFNQ (INTHESS MESSAGES SYMB))
(RPAQQ INITLIS ((#AF 1,3))
(PS Ø PS)
(PS)
(P T)
(D Ø)
(DI Ø)
(X)
(CTX CTX Ø)
(HI 100)
(LO Ø)
(RU 12.123957)
(RD 75.283753)
(TOTDUR Ø (KLOCK !))
(TOTNETDUR Ø)
(TOTCPUDUR Ø)
(TOT#ERR Ø)
(TOT#REFS Ø)
(#QUES Ø)
(#STUQUES Ø)
(#INTAQUES Ø)
(IDENT (CAR SETINTERACTION))
(INIVAL (CADR SETINTERACTION))
(INTEND (CADDR SETINTERACTION))
(PARAM (CADDDR SETINTERACTION))
(QUELIS)
(INSTNAME (CAR IDENT))
(APP (CADR IDENT))
(INTTYPE (CONS (SETQ GRLMOD (CADDR IDENT))))
(INSTINC (CADDDR IDENT))
(TYPIST (CAR (SETQ DD (CADDDDR IDENT))))
(SETDATE (CADR UD))
(MAXDUR (CAR INTEND))
(MINDUR (CADR INTEND))
(MIN#QUES (CADDR INTEND))
(NBDØ (CAR PARAM))
(CHNFLG (CADR PARAM))
(DELAY (CADDR PARAM))
(DELAYCORR (CADDDR PARAM))
(DIØ (CAR (MTH PARAM 5)))
(FLGØ (CAR (MTH PARAM 6)))
(K (CAR INIVAL))
(INS (CADR INIVAL))
(RPAQQ LASTCOL 56)
(RPAQQ LISLIS (SQR AND SL BKSLASH))
(RPAQQ LISLIS' (SL SAND SOR))
(RPAQQ MINSFS 12000)
(RPAQQ MODIFFUN (BRANCH E-3 RET-Ø ANSAN SPATT RETGEN))
(RPAQQ MODIFVAL (GRVAL GUYANA ECUADOR MODIFFUN MODIFVAL STOP))
(RPAQQ MODLIS ((MCH (I 3))
  (FILL-IN (I 2))
  (WH)
  (T/F (I 4))
  (TRANSFO (I 6))
  (EXAMPLE (I 6))))
(RPAQQ MODLIS' ((MCH (I 3))
  (FILL-IN (I 3))
  (WH (I 3))
  (T/F (I 3)))))
(RPAQQ MOLIS (N NO NOT NONE))
(RPAQQ NUMBLIS ((ONE 1)
  (TWO 2)
  (THREE 3)
  (FOUR 4)
  (FIVE 5)
  (SIX 6)
  (SEVEN 7)
  (EIGHT 8)
  (NINE 9)
  (TEN 10)
  (ELEVEN 11)
  (TWOELVE 12)
  (A\DOZEN 12)
  (HALF A\DOZEN 6)
  (A COUPLE 2)
  (A 1))))
(RPAQQ POLITELIS (PLEASE COULDN'T YOU WOULD\YOU))
(RPAQQ PL-LIS (MEN PEOPLE THEY ----))
(RPAQQ PRP NIL)
(RPAQQ PRPLIS (OF IN AT TO FOR ON ABOUT UNDER OVER RANGE NEAR BETWEEN))
(RPAQQ FUNCTL ("." ; ? ; "") ? I))
(RPAQQ OGENLIS ((Q)
  (BB T)
  (AA ø)
  (SINV)
  (D ø)
  (N ø)
  (DUR (CLOCK ø))
  (CPUDUR (CLOCK 2))
  (#ERR ø)
  (#REPS ø)
  (NETDUR ø)
(ATTLLS))

(RPAQ QLIST (? EXPLAIN EXPLAIN/ME GIVE/ME
TELL/ME TELL/US NAME I/WANT/TO/KNOW
I/WOULD/LIKE/TO/KNOW I/WANT/TO/DISCUSS
GIVE/US CAN/YOU I/DON'T UNDERSTAND I/DON'T
KNOW))

(RPAQ QMB NIL)

(RPAQ QFUNCTL ( : i ! "", "(" "")" ""))

(RPAQ QANTLIS (A SOME A/FEW ALL HALF MOST
SOMETHING MORE ONE TWO THREE FOUR FIVE SIX
TEN TWELVE A/DOZEN HALF A/DOZEN A/Couple
SOMETHING ELSE EVERYTHING ELSE EVERYTHING/YOU
KNOW ALL YOU KNOW))

(RPAQ RD 75.283753)

(RPAQ RDPUNCTL ( : i ! "", "(" "")" ""))

(RPAQ RENQL (EXPAND HOW/how/MANY HOW/MUCH WHAT
WHEN WHERE WHICH WHO WHOM WHOSE WHY
WHY DO YOU SAY THAT WHY IS THAT SO --- ----
----- ? EXPLAIN EXPLAIN/ME GIVE/ME TELL/ME
TELL/US I/DON'T UNDERSTAND I/DON'T KNOW
NAME I/WANT/TO/KNOW I/WOULD/LIKE/TO/KNOW
I/WANT/TO/DISCUSS GIVE/US CAN/YOU IS ARE
HAVE HAS DO DOES MORE MOST SOMETHING ALL ER
EST RELATION RELATIONSHIP THAT SIZE IF
SOMETHING ELSE EVERYTHING/YOU KNOW
EVERYTHING ELSE ALL YOU KNOW
MIXNIT Q/A TEST HALT EXIT WRAPUP))

(RPAQ REP NOBIND)

(RPAQ RND NIL)

(RPAQ SHPUNCTL ("", ", ?, !))

(RPAQ SPCRL (" " " "")

(RPAQ SPY NIL)

(RPAQ SYMB ((BLANK " "
(SPACE " "
(COMMA ")")
(PERIOD ",")
(LPAR "(")
(RPAR ")")
(SLASH /)
(BKSLASH \)
(COLON :)
(QMARK ?)
(XSQU ')
(XDOU "")
(XSEM :)
(XEXCLAIM !)
(XCR "
(" ))

(\ \)))
(RPAQQ S-LIS (BUENOS\ATRES))
(RPAQQ S-PL ((IT THEY)
  (PERSON PEOPLE))
  (EXAMPLE EXAMPLES))
  (MAN MEN))
  (GREAT\MAN GREAT\MEN))
(RPAQQ WHLIS (EXPAND EXPLAIN EXPLAIN\ME HOW
  HOW\MANY HOW\MUCH WHAT WHEN WHERE WHICH WHO
  WHOM WHOSE WHY WHY\DO\YOU\SAY\THAT
  WHYS\THAT\SO ---- ---- ---- ? EXPLAIN
  EXPLAIN\ME GIVE\ME TELL\ME TELL\US
  I\DON'T\UNDERSTAND I\DON'T\KNOW IS ARE HAVE
  HAS DO DOES MORE MOST))
(RPAQQ WHYS \WHY\DO\YOU\SAY\THAT
  WHYS\THAT\SO I\DON'T\UNDERSTAND EXPLAIN
  EXPLAIN\ME))
(RPAQQ WHYSTO NIL)
(RPAQQ XN NOBIND)
(RPAQQ XTRATT (APPLIED\TO SUPER\C SUPERS
  PROPERTIES CALLED\BY EXAMPLES))
(RPAQQ XTRAT' (SUPER\C SUPERS APPLIED\TO RANGE
  LOCATION))
(RPAQQ YESLIS (Y YES OK SURE))
(RPAQQ GRLLIS (IT THEY THERE NO NOT NONE ABOUT
  AVERAGE AT BETWEEN BY DO DOES
  FOR FORM FROM HAS HAVE IN IS MAJOR NEAR OF
  ON OVER PRINCIPAL PROBLEM RANGE STUDENT THE
  THROUGH UNDER UNREST USUALLY VERY WITH
  AFTER BEFORE IS\THERE ARE\THERE THERE\IS
  THERE\ARE))
(RPAQQ ---- (((CN ----))))
(RPAQQ ---- (((CN ----))
  (FL T))))
(RPAQQ ---- (((CN ----))))
(RPAQQ APPVAL (QFLG APP AT\APP BRKS CHNFLG DELAY
  DELAYCORR DIO FLGS FUN GRIPROP
  SETINTERACTION SPATT SPATTWH SYNONL
  SYNONL' APPLIS))
(RPAQQ QFLG 101)
(RPAQQ APP (GEOGRAPHY OF SOUTH\AMERICA))
(RPAQQ AT\APP ((BORDERING (2 BORDERING\COUNTRY))
  (COLONIAL (2 COLONIAL\PERIOD))
  (DEGREES (2 DEGREES\FAHRENHEIT))
  (GENERAL (2 GENERAL\CHARACTERISTICS))
  (GREAT (2 GREAT\MEN)
    (2 GREAT\MAN))
  (MOUNTAIN (2 MOUNTAIN\CHAIN))
  (SQUARE (2 SQUARE\MILES))))
(RPAQQ BRKS 0)
(RPAQQ CHNFLG 0)
(RPAQQ DELAY 26)
(RPAQQ DELAYCORR 1)
(RPAQQ DIG 6)
(RPAQQ FLG0 (MISP APPROX))
(RPAQQ FUN ((COMPARE (I 2)
X Y)
(ER NIL X Y)
(EST NIL X)
CLIMATE PRECIPITATION PRODUCTIONS TEMPERATURE TRAVEL\BY\SHIP))
(RPAQQ GRLPROP (SUPERC SUPERS APPLIED\TO PROPERTY EXAMPE GENERAL\CHARACTERISTICS CALLED\BY))
(RPAQQ SETINTERACTION (((ABC REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL)
(GEOGRAPHY OF SOUTH\AMERICA)
MIXINIT
(MR "." JUAN ECHEVERRIGARAY)
(JAIME CARBONELL)
(4/15/1970.))
(100 0)
(3600 2400 15)
(T 0 20 1 6 (MISP APPROX))
(0))
(SCHOLAR (CTX PERU #QUESINTER (1 2 3)
#QUES 2 DI 5)
((COUNTRY SUPERC PERU)
PERU T/F)
(COUNTRY SUPERC PERU))
(SCHOLAR (CTX PERU #QUESINTER (1 2 3)
#QUES 3 DI 6)
((480000, APPROX AREA PERU UNIT UNIT)
PERU FILL-IN)
(480000, APPROX AREA PERU UNIT UNIT)))))
(RPAQQ SPATT (SUPERC SUPERP APPLIED\TO AVERAGE RANGE LOCATION))
(RPAQQ SPATT-WH (SUPERC SUPERP LOCATION DATE))
(RPAQQ SYNONL ((T TRUE YES Y OK CORRECT RIGHT)
(NIL F FALSE NOT\TRUE N NO WRONG INCORRECT
NOT\RIGHT)
(TEMPERATE MILD)
(ALTITUDE ELEVATION HEIGHT)
(COLD COOL POLAR)
(UNITED\STATES U.S., U.S.)
(ATLANTIC ATLANTIC\OCEAN)
(PACIFIC PACIFIC\OCEAN)
(APPROX APPRXIMATE APPROXIMATELY)
(COLONIAL\PERIOD COLONY)
(KING MONARCH)
(ANTARCTIC\OCEAN ANTARCTIC))
(RPAQQ SYNONL' (((MOUNTAIN\CHAIN MOUNTAIN))))
(RPAQQ APPLIS (AGNOSTIC AGNOSTICISM AGRICULTURE

372
(RPAQQ PSO NIL)
(RPAQQ XMPLIS (ACONCAGUA AFRICA ANDES ANTARCTIC\OCEAN ARGENTINA ARTIGAS ATLANTIC BATTLE BOLIVIA BRAZIL BUENOS\AIRES CARIBBEAN CHACO CHILE COLOMBIA CORDOBA ECUADOR ENGLISH EUROPE GUYANA ITALY LA\PAZ LIMA MONTEVIDEO NEGRO NORTH\AMERICA PACIFIC PAMPA PARAGUAY PARAGUAYAN PARAGUAY\RIVER PARANA PAISANDU PILCOMAYO PERU PORTUGAL PORTUGUESE PUNTA\DEL\ESTE RIO\DE\LA\PLATA RIVERA ROSARIO SALADO SALTO SAN\MATIN SAO\PAULO SOUTH\AMERICA SPAIN SPANISH SUCHN TUPUNGATO UNITED\STATES URUGUAY URUGUAY\RIVER VENEZUELA))
(RPAQQ SERNET (IT THEY THERE NO NOT NONE ABOUT APPROX ARE AVERAGE AT BETWEEN BY DO DOES FOR FORM FROM HAS HAVEN IN IS MAJOR NEAR OF ON OVER PRINCIPAL PROBLEM RANGE STUDENT THE THROUGH UNDER unrest USUALLY VERY WITH AFTER BEFORE IS\THERE ARE\THERE THERE\IS THERE\ARE AGNOSTIC AGNOSTICISM AGRICULTURE ALTITUDE ANGULAR AREA BORDERING\COUNTRY BOUNDARY CAPITAL CATHOLIC CATHOLICISM CENTRAL CITY CLIMATE COAST COLONIAL\PERIOD COLD COLONY COMPOSITION CONTINENT COUNTRY DEGREES DEGREES\FAHRENHEIT DEMOCRACY DEMOCRATIC DICTATOR DICTATORSHIP DISTANCE DIVISION EAST EASTERN ECONOMIC EQUATOR FEET FERTILE GEOGRAPHY GOVERNMENT HIGH HIGHEST HISTORY HOT IMPORTANT INCHES INDIAN INDUSTRY JEWISH JUDAISM KING LAND LANGUAGE LATITUDE LENGTH LIGHT LINE LITERACY LOCATION LONGITUDE MEAT MILITARY MILES MINERAL MOUNTAIN MOUNTAIN\CHAIN NOMADE NORTH NORTHERN OCEAN ORIGIN PACKING PEOPLE PERIODS PLAIN POLITIC POPULATION PRECIPITATION PRODUCT PROTESTANT PROTESTANTISM RACE RELIGION REPUBLIC REPUBLICAN REVOLUTION RIVER SEA SECONDARY SOCIAL SOIL SOUTH SOUTHERN SOUTHEASTERN SQUARE\MILES SIERRA STABLE TEMPERATE TEMPERATURE TEXTILE TOPOGRAPHY UNIT UNSTABLE VARIED WAR WARM WEST WESTERN WOOL ACONCAGUA AFRICA ANDES ANTARCTIC\OCEAN ARGENTINA ARTIGAS ATLANTIC BATTLE BOLIVIA BRAZIL BUENOS\AIRES CARIBBEAN CHACO CHILE COLOMBIA CORDOBA ECUADOR ENGLISH EUROPE GUYANA ITALY LA\PAZ LIMA MONTEVIDEO NEGRO NORTH\AMERICA PACIFIC PAMPA PARAGUAY