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#### Abstract

The syntheses of 3-[[1-(carboxy)ethenyl]oxy]-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylic acid (51) and trans-3-[[1-(carboxy)ethenyl]oxy]-4-hydroxyl-cyclopentene-1carboxylic acid (52) are reported. The thermal rearrangement of 51 and 52 was studied (51: $k 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2.4 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{sec}^{-1} ; \mathrm{t} 1 / 2=79 \mathrm{~h} .52: k 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=7.1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$; $\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=2710 \mathrm{~h}$ ). Compound 51 was a moderate substrate for chorismate mutase from Bacillus subtilis; the rearrangement is accelerated by a factor of $1.8 \times 10^{3}$ ( $K_{\mathrm{m}}=158 \mu \mathrm{M} ; k_{\text {cat }}=4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ). Compound 52 was an excellent substrate for chorismate mutase from Bacillus subtilis; the rearrangement is accelerated by a factor of $6.5 \times 10^{6}\left(K_{\mathrm{m}}=275 \mu \mathrm{M} ; k_{\mathrm{cat}}=0.46 \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$. Analogs 51 and 52 were competitive inhibitors of chorismate mutase ( 51 : $K_{i}=27.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with $K_{\mathrm{m}}($ chorismate $)=85.4 \mu \mathrm{M} ; 52: K_{\mathrm{i}}=71 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with $K_{\mathrm{m}}($ chorismate $\left.)=103 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Detailed investigations of synthetic procedures to prepare the 6-aza-5,6dihydro analog of chorismate (80) and the 7 -aza analog of chorismate (82) were undertaken in order to acquire additional information on the structural effects on catalysis of the Claisen rearrangement by chorismate mutase. During these studies a new class of reagents, methyl N -substituted-2-aminoacrylates (124) in which the substituent was trifluoroacetyl, tosyl, or mesyl, was developed; and their utility in the Mitsunobu reaction to replace the hydroxyl group by the amido functionality of the reagent was demonstrated.


Attempted syntheses of ( $\pm$ )-5-carboxychorismate, 162 , with the ultimate goal of preparation of one or both of the pure enantiomers for investigations with isochorismate synthase are described.
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Title: Professor of Chemistry
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Chapter 1

The Shikimate Pathway and the Biosynthesis of Enterobactin


## Introduction

The shikimate pathway is one of the primary biosynthetic pathways for the synthesis of aromatic compounds from acyclic precursors in bacteria, plants, and fungi. ${ }^{1}$ Shikimic acid (1) was first isolated in 1885 by Eijkman from the oriental plant Illicium religiosum. ${ }^{2}$ The complete structure and absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ was determined in $1934 .{ }^{3}$ Racemic 1 was resolved by Smissman in 1959. 4 Syntheses of $( \pm)-1$ have been reported by a number groups. $5^{5}$ Synthesis of $(-)-1$ from (-) quinic acid, ${ }^{6}$ D-mannose, ${ }^{7}$ D-arabinose, ${ }^{8}$ D-lyxose, ${ }^{9}$ and by differentiation of the hydroxyl functions of $(-)-1,10$ as well as synthesis of $(-)-1$ by Berchtold and Pawlak from a kinetically resolved precursor ${ }^{11}$ have been reported. In addition, all major intermediates in the biosynthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ have been isolated and characterized, and all of the enzymes involved in the numerous transformations have been identified and studied. 1,2

shikimic acid 1

The biosynthesis of 1 and its transformation to chorismic acid (9) is shown in Scheme 1. Phosphoenolpyruvate (3) is biosynthesized from D-glucose in one segment and is combined with D-erythrose-4-phosphate (2) to give 3-deoxy-Darabinoheptulosonic acid 7-phosphate (DAHP, 4). This reaction is an aldol type condensation, and is catalyzed by DAHP synthase. Transformation of 4 into 3dehydroquinate (5) is catalyzed by a single enzyme 3 -dehydroquinate synthase. Dehydration of $\mathbf{5}$ gives 6 . Reduction of $\mathbf{6}$ by shikimate dehydrogenase and NADPH results in the formation of shikimic acid.

Scheme 1


Chorismic acid (9) is derived from shikimic acid in three steps. Conversion of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{7}$ is catalyzed by shikimate kinase. Reaction of phosphoenolpyruvate (3) with 7 forms an intermediate ${ }^{12}$ which subsequently gives enolpyruvyl shikimate phosphate (8) (EPSP). ${ }^{13}$ Inorganic phosphate is eliminated from 8 by chorismate synthase in a net trans 1,4-elimination to give chorismic acid (9). 14

Chorismic acid was first isolated in 1962 by Gibson from a mutant strain of Klebiella pneumoniae 62-1 (formally Aerobacter aerogenes 62-1). 15 The structure and stereochemistry were determined, 16 and the crucial role of chorismic acid in the biosynthesis of aromatic compounds, such as tyrosine and enterobactin, has been demonstrated through numerous investigations. ${ }^{1}$ The first total synthesis of racemic chorismic acid was published in 1982 by McGowan and Berchtold, 17 and later that year, a synthesis was also accomplished by Ganem and coworkers. ${ }^{18}$ An improved synthesis was reported the following year by Berchtold and co-workers. 19 The synthesis of optically pure (-)-9 was accomplished by Pawlak and Berchtold. ${ }^{10}$ A stereospecific synthesis of $( \pm)-9$ has been addressed by Posner and Nelson. 20 Ganem et al have reported a synthesis of optically pure $(-)-9$ from ( - )-1. ${ }^{21}$

Some of the metabolites of chorismate are shown in Scheme 2. The diverse nature and structure of compounds that are biosynthesized from chorismic acid have made this part of the pathway one of the most extensively studied. 1,2 Until recently, there was not a great deal known about the mechanistic features, structure-function relationships, or active-site requirements of the enzymatic transformations of 9 . Molecular biologists have made many efforts to characterize the enzymes and their mechanisms. ${ }^{22}$ Despite this, there remain many areas to be explored.

Scheme 2


One of the best characterized and most unique enzymes of the group is chorismate mutase. This enzyme catalyzes the [3,3] sigmatropic rearrangement (Claisen rearrangement) of 9 to prephenate (10). This rearrangement is the only known example of an enzyme catalyzed pericyclic reaction in primary metabolism. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$

Both phenylalanine and tyrosine are derived from chorismate through prephenate as shown in Scheme 2. ${ }^{1}$ Under the action of prephenate dehydrogenase (PD), prephenate is decarboxylated with subsequent loss of hydride to $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$to give $p$-hydroxyphenylpyruvate. Transamination of $p$ hydroxyphenylpyruvate gives tyrosine (12). Formation of phenylalanine (14) is the result of prephenate dehydratase-catalyzed decarboxylation and dehydration, followed by transamination.

The mechanisms of both the enzyme- and acid-catalyzed decarboxylation of prephenate were studied by Morrison, O'Leary and Cleland. 23 Hydride transfer to $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$and loss of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ were shown to be concerted for the reaction catalyzed by the bifunctional enzyme chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase (CM-PD) from Escherichia Coli. However, the acid-catalyzed reaction was established to occur by loss of water to give a carbocation intermediate that aromatized subsequently by decarboxylation.

Many versions of bacterial chorismate mutase have been detected as part of a bifunctional polypeptide chain with fusion to either prephenate dehydrogenase or prephenate dehydratase activity. 24 Numerous structure / function studies of the mutase portion of the mutase / dehydrogenase or mutase / dehydratase enzymes have focused on their bifunctional character. Ganem and coworkers have utilized recombinant DNA techniques to obtain a monofunctional 109-amino acid
chorismate mutase from chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydratase from the N terminal one-third of the protein from E. coli. 25 One of the small ( 14.5 kDa ) monofunctional chorismate mutase enzymes has recently been purified to homogeneity. ${ }^{26}$ The size and single activity make it an ideal choice for further structural and functional characterization.

Knowles et al employed molecular cloning of the gene from Bacillus subtilis to predict the structure of chorismate mutase as a homodimer. ${ }^{26 a}$ Jaffe et al determined the structure of the same chorismate mutase as a homotrimer from ${ }^{13}$ C NMR studies of the enzyme-bound prephenate complex of Bacillus subtilis chorismate mutase. 27 Recently the crystal structure has been solved by Lipscomb and co-workers. 28

Lipscomb deduced that B. subtilis chorismate mutase is a homotrimer, with $\beta$-sheets from each monomer packing to form the core of a pseudo- $\alpha \beta$-barrel with helices on the outside of the trimer. The subunits of the trimer are related by pseudo-3-fold symmetry. The active site of chorismate mutase has been determined by using data from a complex of the enzyme with an endo-oxabicyclic transition state analog (Bartlett's inhibitor $K_{\mathrm{i}}=3 \mu \mathrm{M}, 23$, Scheme 3). ${ }^{29}$

## Scheme 3



This inhibitor (23) is bound in the cleft formed from the interface between two adjacent subunits with three equivalent active sites for the trimer. The
structure of this complex has been refined to $2.2 \AA$, and it shows numerous interactions between enzyme and inhibitor (23). These interactions include hydrophobic contacts, ionic contacts, and polar contacts as shown in Scheme 4.

## Scheme 4



It remains unclear whether the mutase-catalyzed reaction is a concerted [3.3] process or whether it is a two-step reaction. This question is addressed further in Chapter 2.

Two other enzymes that have received detailed attention over the past few years are anthranilate synthase (AS) and p-aminobenzoate synthase (PABA). Anthranilate synthase catalyzes the conversion of 9 to anthranilate (15), which is the precursor to tryptophan in Scheme 5. This enzyme is composed of two subunits, AS I and AS II, which are encoded on the genes trpE and trpG. 30 AS I converts chorismate and ammonia to anthranilate in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. AS II is responsible for the hydrolysis of glutamine to release ammonia for the amination of chorismate. The dihydro compound, trans-2-amino-2deshydroxyisochorismate (24) is the established intermediate for the enzymatic reaction. Intermediate 24 was synthesized by the Berchtold group and the Ganem
group, 31,32 and was shown to be chemically and kinetically competent as an intermediate to anthranilate with pure enzyme. 31,32 Attempts to demonstrate accumulation of aminocyclohexadiene 24 in catalytical turnover of chorismate were not successful, perhaps reflecting that aromatization might not be ratelimiting.

## Scheme 5



To probe further for accumulation of aminocyclohexadiene intermediates, alternative substrates $\mathbf{2 6}$ for the enzyme were studied (Scheme 6). ${ }^{33}$ Substitution of the C3 enolpyruvyl ether by a C3 (R)- or (S)-lactyl ether led to 10-20 fold decrease in $k_{\text {cat }}$ values compared to the chorismate. 33 Anthranilate synthase displayed 12:1 of S:R lactyl ether preference by $k_{\mathrm{cat}} / K_{\mathrm{m}}$ criteria such that the (S)-lactyl analogue of $\mathbf{2 7}$ was processed at $1 / 18$ the catalytic efficiency of chorismate. UV-visible monitoring of the incubation solutions of these lactyl analogues indicated transient accumulation of the aminocyclohexadiene species (27) before further conversion to anthranilate. It is likely that the aromatization
step has been selectively slowed by the use of a poorer leaving in the elimination step (lactyl vs. enolpyruvyl ether).

## Scheme 6



The conversion of chorismate to $p$-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) requires three separate proteins: PabA, PabB, and PabC. Together, PabA and PabB convert glutamine and chorismate to glutamate and 4-amino-4-deshydroxy-chorismate (25). ${ }^{34}$ This aminochorismate analog is subsequently transformed to PABA by PabC as shown in Scheme 5.35 Compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ was prepared as an intermediate by Teng and Ganem and proved to be chemically and kinetically competent. ${ }^{36}$

Another enzyme, chorismate lyase, is the least studied of the group of enzymes involved in the chorismate pathway. It catalyzes the loss of side chain and aromatization of chorismate to $p$-hydroxybenzoate 17 as shown in Scheme 7. ${ }^{37}$ Compound 17 is then converted to the ubiquinones in subsequent steps. ${ }^{1}$ It is known that the ubiC gene, encoding chorismate lyase, functions at the start of coenzyme Q biosynthesis, and a mutant strain of $E$. coli defective in ubiC gene has been isolated. ${ }^{37}$ It seems likely that the aromatization step of $\mathbf{2 4}$ and $\mathbf{2 5}$ might be similar to that involved in the formation of 17. A two-step mechanism involving an initial abstraction of the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}$ of chorismate by chorismate lyase should be followed by loss the C3-enolpyruvyl group to generate $p$-hydroxybenzoate directly
as shown in Scheme 8. A concerted cyclic mechanism is possible, but it was shown not to be the case in the formation of anthranilate. 38 The concerted mechanism is not likely for the enzyme-catalyzed reaction, but it is a reasonable mechanism for the thermal conversion of chorismate to $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 9}$

Scheme 7


## Scheme 8



The chorismate metabolizing enzyme, isochorismate synthase, has been studied in detail only recently. 40 This enzyme catalyzes the transformation of chorismate (9) to isochorismate (18) as shown in Scheme 9. The overall reaction is believed to be similar to that catalyzed by anthranilate synthase. Isochorismate (18) was isolated by Gibson in 1967.41 The structure and absolute stereochemistry were determined shortly thereafter, 42 and a synthesis or racemic 18 was reported by Busch and Berchtold. 43

## Scheme 9



Chorismate 9

Under an iron-deficient environment many organisms, such as $E$. coli, synthesize potent iron-chelating compounds termed siderophores that permeate through the cell to search available iron (as ferric ion) for uptake back into the organism where it is provided for essential metabolic processes. Enterobactin is one of those siderophores.

Enterobactin (32), a cyclic, trimeric lactone, was discovered in 1970, and its structure was determined independently by Pollack and Nielands 44 and by O'Brien and Gibson. 45 It is the only known tricatecholamide siderophore which possesses a cyclic backbone composed of three units of N-2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl-L-serine. Two syntheses of $\mathbf{3 2}$ have been reported in the literature, 46 the first in 1977, was reported by Corey and Bhattacharyya. 47 The total synthesis of enterobactin (32) was also achieved by Rastetter et al. 48 Recently the enzymes, as well as several intermediates, have been purified or fully characterized utilizing recent advances in molecular biology (recombinant DNA techniques). 40

The biosynthesis of enterobactin is accomplished when enterobacteria, such as $E$. coli, are in an iron-deficient environment. Seven gene products, entA-G, are responsible for the biotransformations of enterobactin from chorismate as shown in Scheme 10. Isochorismate synthase (ent C ) catalyzes the transformation of chorismate to isochorismate, which is subsequently transformed to trans-2,3-
dihydro-2,3 dihydroxybenzoate (21) by isochorismatase (ent B ). Aromatization of trans-2,3-dihydro-2,3-dihydroxybenzoate by 2,3-dihydro-2,3-dihydroxybenzoate dehydrogenase (entA) leads to the formation of 2,3 dihydroxybenzoate (22). This is the best known segment of the pathway even though the enzymes have been purified only recently. 49

The remaining four gene products entD-G were observed to effect the final assembly process. Early studies have shown that ent E and ent F proteins catalyze the ATP-[ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ pyrophosphate exchange reaction dependent upon 2,3dihydroxybenzoate and L-serine, respectively. 50 Thus, carboxyl activation of 2,3dihydroxybenzoate and L -serine monomers proceeds through the representative acyl adenylates. The final transformations are carried out by ent D and ent G proteins. Recently ent D has been sequenced, ${ }^{51}$ and expression analysis indicated that ent D is membrane-bound, probably in the $E$. coli inner membrane. This suggests that enterobactin may be synthesized completely in a vectorial fashion with the final product exported outside the cell at the time of the final cyclization step, and entD may serve such a function.




L-serine 30
Chorismate 9

Scheme 10


The enterobactin-ferric ion complex has an estimated formation constant of $10^{52} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$. This is the strongest iron-chelating siderophore ever reported. ${ }^{52}$ It can bind one atom of ferric ion to form a hexacoordinate complex via its three bidentate catechol units. Once formed, the ferric enterobactin complex 33 is transported from the environment through the cell envelope into the bacterial cell cytoplasm. The iron is made available to the cell, and enterobactin is hydrolyzed and transported back out of the cell.


Enterobactin complex 33

One of the transformations of the chorismate which differs from those discussed in Scheme 2 is the cleavage of the enolpyruvyl group giving trans-3,4-dihydro-3,4-dihydroxybenzoate (34) in Scheme 11. The metabolic function of 34, if any, is not clearly understood. It may play a part, directly or indirectly, in metal
metabolism. 53,54 Attempts to demonstrate that 34 is a precursor of 3,4dihydroxybenzoate in Aerobacteria aerogenes have not been successful. Since it has been demonstrated that isochorismatase, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of the enolpyruvyl of isochorismate, also catalyzes, albeit with poor turnover, the hydrolysis of the enolpyruvyl group of chorismate, the formation of 34 may be an artifact. ${ }^{49}$ a

## Scheme 11



Chorismate 934

This thesis will focus on the two major parts of the shikimate pathway. The conversion of chorismate to prephenate will be discussed, and the synthesis and enzymatic results of potential substrates will be described in detail in Chapter 2. The synthetic effort to prepare a chorismate analog with an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amino acid on the side chain will be discussed in Chapter 3. An approach to the synthesis of dihydropyridine analogs, potential suicide inhibitors, will be described in Chapter 3. Finally, an approach to the synthesis of a 5-carboxychorismate analog for the enzymatic study of conversion of chorismate to isochorismate by isochorismate synthase (ent C ) will be addressed in Chapter 4.
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## Chapter 2

Investigations of the Enzyme Chorismate Mutase (Part I): [3,3] Rearrangement of Chorismate to Prephenate


## Introduction

Among the different transformations in the shikimate pathway, perhaps the most interesting transformation is the enzyme-catalyzed [3,3] sigmatropic rearrangement of chorismate to prephenate (Scheme 1). This rearrangement, catalyzed by chorismate mutase (CM), is the only known example of an enzymecatalyzed pericyclic reaction in primary metabolism. ${ }^{1}$ The isolation and structural assignment of prephenate was reported by Weiss and Davis in 1954. ${ }^{2}$ The stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group has been assigned by Plieninger. 3 The first total synthesis of disodium prephenate was achieved by Danishefsky. 4 Other syntheses have been reported by Plieninger, ${ }^{3}$ Ramage, 5 and Berchtold. 6

## Scheme 1



Chorismate 9

The Claisen rearrangement of chorismate to prephenate has been the focus of many recent studies. Kinetic results showed that at pH 7.5 and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, chorismate mutase accelerates the rate of $[3,3]$ rearrangement by a factor of $10^{6}$ relative to the thermal rearrangement (without an enzyme or a catalyst) which has a half life of 15.7 h under the same conditions. 7 a

Molecular orbital calculations suggested that rearrangement through a chair-like transition state rather than a boat-like transition state should be favored (Scheme 2), 7 and the suggestion was supported with studies of chair-like geometry transition state analogs. 8 Experiments using specifically labeled
chorismate established that both the enzymatic 9 and thermal ${ }^{10}$ reactions proceeded through the chair-like transition state. In addition, experiments using whole cell extracts from E. coli showed that both chorismate-mutase prephenate dehydrogenase and chorismate-mutase prephenate dehydratase catalyzed the rearrangement with chair-like geometry. ${ }^{11}$ Experiments on substituent and solvent effects of the thermal rearrangement were carried out both by the Cornell group and the Indiana group. 12 The Cornell group suggested a dipolar transition state for the thermal rearrangement of chorismate analogs, while the Indiana group gave stronger emphasis to radical stabilizing properties of substituents. 12

Scheme 2


Knowles and coworkers have conducted experiments on nonenzymatic and the enzyme-catalyzed rearrangement of chorismate to prephenate to determine the secondary tritium isotope effects at the bond-making position (C9) and bondbreaking position (C3) (Scheme 3). 13

## Scheme 3



In the nonenzymatic (thermal) reaction $\left(\mathrm{pH}=7.5,30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{kH} / \mathrm{kT}$ is 1.149 for bond breaking (C3), and 0.092 for bond making (C9). This indicates an asymmetric transition state where the new bond is hardly formed, while the C3-O bond is substantially broken. In the enzymatic reaction ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.5,30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), the value of $\mathrm{kH}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{kT}$ in both positions are unity within experimental error. It is likely that the isotope effects are suppressed in the enzymatic process, and the ratelimiting transition state occurs before the rearrangement. Knowles et al argued that the kinetically significant transition state presumably involves either the binding step of the small equilibrium proportion of the diaxial conformer of the substrate or an isomerization of enzyme-bound chorismate from the more stable conformer in which the carboxyvinyloxy group is equatorial to that in which the group is axial. Rearrangement would then proceed relatively rapidly from the higher energy axial conformer. Several possible mechanisms of chorismate mutase catalyzed rearrangement will be mentioned and discussed later in this chapter.

Substituent effects are very important for the rate of [3,3] rearrangement of chorismate and its derivatives. Berchtold and coworkers have synthesized several analogs of chorismate to explore the structural effects on both the uncatalyzed (thermal) and chorismate mutase catalyzed Claisen rearrangement at $\mathrm{pH}=7.5,30$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1). Descarboxy analog 35 rearranges 10 times faster than 9,14 while
racemic isochorismate (36) rearranges 2.6 times faster due to the absence of an electron-withdrawing substituent at C 1.15 Replacing the Cl carboxylate group with a bulky stronger electron-withdrawing phosphate substituent (37) reduces the rate, 16 while attaching an electron-donating methyl group on the side chain (38) increases the rate. 17 Substitution of the C 4 hydroxyl group with the methoxy group (39) gave a $\sim 3$-fold rate enhancement, 14 and 4-epichorismate (40) rearranges about 23 times faster than 9.6 Compound 41 was very stable under the reaction conditions. The 5,6-dihydro analog (42) rearranges at a rate about 3000 times slower than the rearrangement of chorismate, while the rate of rearrangement of dihydropyran analog 43 is approximately 70 times slower than 9.18

All of the above observations can be explained by considering the dipolar nature of the rearrangement in addition to the effects of structural changes on the conformation of the analogs. ${ }^{12}$ The ring carboxyl group of 9 and 36 and the phosphonate group of $\mathbf{3 7}$ could stablize the ground states of these molecules relative to 35 due to increased conjugation, with a resulting decrease of rate relative to 35 . Intramolecular hydrogen bonding of 9 , where the oxygen substituents are quasiequatorial, presumably stabilizes the ground state of 9. In analog 39, no hydrogen bonding is present, and in analog 40, hydrogen bonding could be maintained in development of the transition state for rearrangement of 40. ${ }^{6}$ The fact that analogs 42 and 43 rearrange at a much slower rate than 9 suggests that there must be a significant electronic effect of the endo double bond at $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ in 9 that increases the rate.

## Table 1

## Uncatalyzed and Catalyzed Claisen Rearrangement ( $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}=7.5$ )

Compound







$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1200 \mathrm{~h}\right) \\
& K_{\mathrm{m}}=0.28 \mathrm{mM}
\end{aligned}
$$

a. Only for uncatalyzed (thermal) rate.
b. The rearrangement of 41 could be accelerated by the enzyme, but even with the increased rate the rearrangement would be too slow to measure under normal condition. It would require special conditions to see the rate enhancement such as the conditions used for compound 42.

A fundamental question to be asked is, how does the enzyme accelerate the rate of Claisen rearrangement by a factor of about $10^{6}$ ?

The activation parameters for both the thermal and enzymatic rearrangement of chorismate to prephenate have been determined. 7,19 It was suggested that the enzymatic rate acceleration of more than $10^{6}$ could be the result of a decrease in the entropy of activation to near zero and a reduction in the enthalpy of activation by about $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .19$ To account for the rate enhancement and the lack of isotope effects observed experimentally, several possible enzymatic mechanisms were proposed (Scheme 4). 20

First, since the rearrangement occurs from the pseudo-diaxial conformer of chorismate, the enzyme could procure the appropriate form of the substrate either by selective binding of 9 (diaxial conformer), or by first binding the predominant conformer of 9 (diequatorial conformer), and then performing a rate-limiting conformational isomerization to 9 (diaxial conformer), which then rearranges rapidly to enzyme-bound prephenate. Proton NMR studies indicated that as much as $10-20 \%$ of chorismate is present as the diaxial conformer 9 at equilibrium in aqueous solution. ${ }^{21}$ The conformational equilibrium is rapid on the NMR time scale. These facts severely weaken any argument based upon the need for the enzyme to catalyze a conformational change, since the enzyme could select the appropriate diaxial form from the dynamic equilibrium mixture.

The second feasible mechanism outlined, path b in Scheme 4, suggests that the rate-limiting transition state might involve the protonation of the hydroxyl group at C 4 and loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to produce allylic cation 44.20 The third possible mechanistic suggestion, path c in Scheme 4, involves the transient formation of the oxiranium ion $\mathbf{4 5 . 2 2}$

Scheme 4


The fourth mechanism, path d in Scheme 4, proposed by Knowles et al is the one involving heterolytic cleavage of chorismate at the $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O}$ bond, after protonation to form intermediate 46, and subsequent addition of pyruvate to Cl to form prephenate (10). 20 A heterolytic pathway for the enzymatic reaction is supported by suggestions of Gajewski et al ${ }^{12}$ and of Coates et al 23 that the transition state of a variety of non-enzymatic (thermal) Claisen rearrangements has considerable dipolar character.

In order to study the mechanism of $[3,3]$ rearrangement in further detail, efforts have been made to establish the structural features that are essential for enzyme catalysis. Haslem and coworkers have reported investigations with 42 (Table 1) and 47.24 Monoester 47 was neither a substrate for chorismate mutase nor an inhibitor for the processing of 9.24 This observation established that the side-chain carboxylate group is essential for enzyme activity.


Haslem et al also reported that dihydrochorismate $\mathbf{4 2}$ did not display any tendency to rearrange with chorismate mutase, but it was a modest inhibitor. Berchtold and Delany reported that dihydro analog (42) is, in fact, an excellent substrate for chorismate mutase $\left(k_{\text {cat }} / k_{\text {uncat }}=10^{6}\right) .18$ Observation of enzymatic catalysis for $\mathbf{4 2}$ requires special experimental conditions since the uncatalyzed (thermal) reaction is so slow compared to the uncatalyzed (thermal) rearrangement of chorismate. The 6-oxa-5,6-dihydrochorismate analog 43 reported by Berchtold and Delany is also a good substrate for chorismate mutase
$\left(\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }} / \mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}=4 \times 10^{5}\right) .18$ Therefore, the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ configuration at C 5 and C 6 is not necessary for mutase catalysis.

The importance of the C 1 carboxylate group and the C 4 hydroxyl group was determined by enzymatic studies on the following analogs in Table 1.14 The chorismate analog lacking the C 1 carboxylate group (35) and in which the carboxylate group was replaced with phosphonate group (37) were not substrates for chorismate mutase. Methyl ether 39 was a good substrate for chorismate mutase $\left(k_{\text {cat }} / k_{\text {uncat }}=2 \times 10^{4}\right) .14$ In the presence of large amount of enzyme, it was demonstrated that the Claisen rearrangement of enantiomerically pure $\mathbf{4 8}$ was accelerated at least 100 -fold by chorismate mutase. ${ }^{14}$


48

Attaching a methyl group at C 9 on the side chain of the enolpyruvate moiety, compound $\mathbf{3 8}$, increases the rate of rearrangement in the uncatalyzed (thermal) reaction (Table 1). This effect of a Z-methyl group has been noted previously for thermal Claisen-type rearrangements. ${ }^{17 \mathrm{a}}$ Compound 38 is a fairly good substrate for the chorismate mutase catalyzed reaction $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }} / \mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}=4.2 \times\right.$ $\left.10^{4}\right) .{ }^{17 b}$

From the results described above Berchtold and coworkers concluded that the hydroxyl group is neither essential for binding of the substrate to the active site of the enzyme nor for catalysis of the Claisen rearrangement. Therefore, the structural requirements for catalysis of the Claisen rearrangement by chorismate mutase are indicated in the dotted box as shown in Chart 1.14 In addition to the
allyl vinyl ether moiety, the enzyme requires only the two carboxylate groups for the active site binding and catalysis. The hydroxyl group, although not required, may increases catalytic efficiency. Path b and path c proposed in Scheme 4 could thus be eliminated based on the results that the C4-hydroxyl group is not required for catalysis.

## Chart 1



Interestingly, the cycloheptadiene analog 49 (Chart 2), whose structure meets the structural requirements for the chorismate mutase catalysis, was reported by Pawlak and Berchtold. 25 The half-life for the uncatalyzed (thermal) rearrangement rate of compound 49 was 16 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO, and it was not a substrate for chorismate mutase-prephenate dehydrogenase (CM-PD), anthranilate synthase (AS), or $p$-aminobenzoate synthase (PABS); 25 however, it was a good inhibitor of the reaction catalyzed by these enzymes. The prephenate analog (50) in Chart 2 did not inhibit the dehydrogenase reactivity of CM-PD.

Cycloheptadiene analog 49 could be a substrate for chorismate mutase; but due to the slow rate of rearrangement, catalysis is not observed under normal conditions as described earlier for 41 and 42 in Table 1.

## Chart 2



Since dihydrochorismate (42) and 6-oxa-5,6-dihydrochorismate (43) were extremely good substrates for chorismate mutase, and cycloheptadiene analog 49 could be a substrate, potential substrates $\mathbf{5 1}$ and $\mathbf{5 2}$ in Chart 3 were designed in order to further investigate the mechanism of the mutase-catalyzed rearrangement.

## Chart 3



51


52

Of possible significance with compounds $\mathbf{5 1}$ and $\mathbf{5 2}$ is the fact that the dihedral angle $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ is less than that in six- or seven-membered analogs, 26 where the nature of the carbon center (C5) is crucial for the uncatalyzed (thermal) rate of rearrangement. Secondly, it would be interesting to examine the spatial tolerance of the active site of chorismate mutase; and steric effects might be explored with the five-membered analogs by comparison with the six and sevenmembered ring compounds.

## Results and Discusion

## Synthesis of 3-[[1-(Carboxy)ethenyl]oxy]-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylic Acid

 (51).Retrosynthetic analysis indicated that 51 could be designed from $\beta, \gamma$ olefin 54 via allylic alcohol 57 in Scheme 5. Thus, epoxidation of 54, followed by basecatalyzed rearrangement ought to give allylic alcohol 57. The side-chain could be appended as described by Berchtold and coworkers for the total synthesis of optically pure chorismic acid, 6 and saponification would lead to compound 51.

## Scheme 5



The route used for the synthesis of the cyclopentenyl analog of chorismate is depicted in Scheme 6. Methyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate was converted to a mixture of epimeric alcohols 53 in excellent yield by reaction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in absolute methanol. Dehydration under the action of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5$ in warm benzene gave regioisomers $(\alpha, \beta$-olefin (55) / $\beta, \gamma$-olefin (54) in a $1: 6$ ratio (by NMR) in quantitative yield. 27 The ratio of regioisomers can also be determined either by oxidizing the mixture with MCPBA to provide epoxides 56 and 58 which are more easily separated or by analysis by MPLC.

Scheme $\mathbf{6}^{\mathbf{a}}$



51
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, MeOH ; (b) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, benzene, $\Delta$; (c) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{pH}=8$; (d) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Octanoate}_{4}\right)_{4}$, benzene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (g) LiOH, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Epoxidation of $\mathbf{5 4}$ with MCPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ proceeded smoothly to give epoxide $\mathbf{5 6}$, which was rearranged with NaOMe in dry MeOH in an ice bath to give allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 7}$ in an quantitative yield. Coupling of $\mathbf{5 7}$ and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium(II) octanoate catalysis in dry benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave phosphonate 59 in $85 \%$ yield after flash column chromatography. Ester 59 was converted to $\mathbf{6 0}$ by the Wittig type reaction with strong base, $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$, and quenching with gaseous formaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathbf{6 0}$ in an excellent yield. Saponification of $\mathbf{6 0}$ gave diacid $\mathbf{5 1}$ in $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ yield. The overall yield to diacid $\mathbf{5 1}$ from methyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate was $33 \%$.

## Synthesis of trans-3-[[1-(Carboxy)ethenyl]oxy]-4-hydroxy-cyclopentene-1carboxylic Acid (52)

The retrosynthesis of hydroxyl five-membered analog $\mathbf{5 2}$ is shown in Scheme 7. The key intermediate in this synthetic plan was the allylic epoxide 62 which was derived from cyclopentadiene derivative 61. Thus, epoxide 62 opening, followed by protection and deprotection procedures would give allylic alcohol 65. The side-chain could be constructed as described above in the synthesis of compound 51, and saponification would lead to compound 52.

## Scheme 7



The synthesis of this novel compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ is shown in Scheme 8. The very unstable cyclopentadiene derivative $\mathbf{6 1}$ was prepared in situ by a modification of the procedure reported by Peters. 28 Without further purification, compound 61 was oxidized with MCPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (aq) to give key epoxide intermediate 62 in moderate yield after two steps. Epoxide 62 reacted with glacial acetic acid 29 at a temperature not higher than $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a reaction time not longer than $\mathbf{3 h}$ to give alcohol $\mathbf{6 3}$ in good yield with high regioselectively. Unknown side products would appear at higher temperature or longer reaction time and lower the yield of desired product.

The free hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{6 3}$ was protected with triethylsilyl bromide in the presence of DBN in benzene to generate compound $\mathbf{6 4}$ in quantitative yield. Reaction of ester 64 with sodium methoxide in absolute methanol gave allylic alcohol 65 in good yield. Coupling of $\mathbf{6 5}$ and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium(II) octanoate catalysis in dry benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave phosphonate 66 in $70 \%$ yield after flash column chromatography. Ester 66 was transformed to 67 by the Wittig type reaction with strong base, LiN(TMS)2, followed by quenching with gaseous formaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 67 in quantitative yield. Desilylation of 67 with tetra n-butylammonium fluoride provided the homoallylic alcohol 68 in good yield. Saponification of $\mathbf{6 8}$ gave diacid $\mathbf{5 2}$ in $75 \%$ yield. The overall yield to diacid 52 from sodium cyclopentadienylide was $5 \%$.

Scheme $\mathbf{8}^{\text {a }}$




${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) reverse addition of chloroformate, THF; (b) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{pH}=8$; (c) glacial acetic acid, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) triethylsilylbromide, DBN , benzene; (e) NaOMe , MeOH ; (f) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ (Octanoate) ${ }_{4}$, benzene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (g) $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $(\mathrm{g})(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{-} \mathrm{F}^{+}$, THF; (i) LiOH , THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Thermal Rearrangement of Dimethyl Esters 60 and 68

Dimethyl esters 60 and 68 were quite stable and showed no tendency to rearrange in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. These were in stark contrast to the behavior of dimethyl 4-deshydroxy-chorismate (Scheme 9), which had a half-life for disappearence of starting material of 3.6 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .{ }^{14}$ Dimethyl chorismate was more stable under these conditions; however, complete rearrangement of dimethyl chorismate was observed after heating for 36 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO- $d 6 .{ }^{6}$

Scheme 9


Dimethyl 4-deshydroxy-Chorismate, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$
Dimethyl Chorismate, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}$

In comparison, the half-lives for disappearance of $\mathbf{6 0}$ and 68 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were 1.5 h and 6 h ; and in $o$-dichlorobenzene- $d 4$ they were 1 h and 4.25 h , respectively. The data points to establish the half-lifes were collected by high field proton NMR and are in Scheme 10 and Scheme 11. The hydrolyzed trans diol product, 71, was found during the heating of hydroxy dimethyl ester $\mathbf{6 8}$ only in DMSO- $d 6$. It is undoubtedly due to a trace of water in DMSO- $d \sigma$. The half-life for disappearance of $\mathbf{6 8}$ measured in DMSO- $d 6$ has been corrected for the formation of hydrolysis product. 30 No hydrolyzed product could be detected $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) after heating dimethyl ester 60 . Complete transformation of 60 to 69 was

Scheme 10



$$
\text { Solvent: DMSO- } d_{6} \quad \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1.5 \mathrm{~h}
$$



Solvent: $o$-dichlorobenzene- $d 4 \quad \mathrm{t} 1 / 2=1 \mathrm{~h}$

## Scheme 11



Solvent: DMSO-d6

$$
\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=6 \mathrm{~h}
$$



Time (h)
Solvent: $o$-dichlorobenzene- $d 4 \quad \mathrm{t} 1 / 2=4.25 \mathrm{~h}$
observed after 9 h in DMSO and after 6 h in $o$-dichlorobenzene. Complete transformation of $\mathbf{6 8}$ to $\mathbf{7 0}$ was observed after 36 h in $o$-dichlorobenzene.

Previous work has shown that the rate of Claisen rearrangement of chorismate and chorismate analogs shows a solvent dependence. 12,21 The increase in rate with increasing solvent polarity has been used to argue that the rearrangement involves a dipolar transition state. Similar conclusions have been drawn with other related Claisen rearrangements in various solvents. ${ }^{23}$ Esters 60 and 68 do not show a significant change in rate of rearrangement as a function of solvent polarity ( $o$-dichlorobenzene- $d_{4}$ vs DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) and, in fact, the rate was slightly faster in the less polar solvent for both compounds.

The half-lives for the thermal rearrangement of $\mathbf{6 0}$ and 68 are compared with the half-lives for rearrangement of the six-membered chorismate analogs 31 72-74 in Table 2. It is interesting to note that the ratio for the half-life for rearrangement of $\mathbf{6 0 : 6 8}$ is equal to the corresponding ratio for 72:73.

Intramolecular hydrogen-bonding to retard 68 and 73 from adapting the chair conformation for Claisen rearrangement is not expected to be an important factor for the four-fold decrease in rate for the C 4 hydroxy derivatives at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In fact, silyl derivative 67 rearranged with the same half-life, 6 h , as 68 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ under the same conditions. 33 Hence the rate-retarding effect of the C 4 hydroxyl substituent appears to be due to an inductive effect.
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## Table 2

## Uncatalyzed Thermal Claisen Rearrangement

Compound




72


73


74

Half life
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1.5 \mathrm{~h}\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1 \mathrm{~h}\left(o\right.$-Dichlorobenzene- $\left.d 4,110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=6 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=4.25 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $o$-Dichlorobenzene- $d 4$, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=25.3 \mathrm{~h}\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=100 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=29.3 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

On the other hand it appears that hydrogen-bonding does have some influence on the rate of Claisen rearrangement of dimethyl chorismate which showed no tendency to rearrange in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the 4-epi analog, which can adapt the chair conformation for rearrangement without disrupting the hydrogen bonding, rearranged under same conditions with a half-life of $2.3 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{6}$ This is a rather special case since presence of the C5-C6 double bond in these cases has a significant effect on increasing the rate of rearrangement, and a stereoelectronic effect due to the lone pairs on the C 4 hydroxyl oxygen atom or the alignment of the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O}$ sigma bond with the olefinic $\pi$ system is likely to be important. 32 The observation that the 4-methoxy analog (dimethyl ester of 39, Table 1) rearranges with a half-life of 185 h under the same conditions ${ }^{14}$ suggests that all of these factors may be important.

It is difficult to predict whether conformational effects or the difference in bond angles in the carbocyclic systems are significant factors responsible for the faster rate of arrangement of the five-membered carbocyclic derivatives. The C1-C5-C4 bond angle for the five-membered analogs 60,67 , and 68 are estimated to be $102^{\circ}, 103.5^{\circ}$, and $103.5^{\circ}$, respectively, while the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ bond angle for the six-membered analogs 72 and 73 is $113^{\circ} .26$ Diesters 60 and 68 were analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, and an unusual long-range coupling of 0.5 Hz was observed between the Z-enolpyruvyl hydrogen and the C 3 hydrogen for both compounds. This long-range coupling has not been observed previously in the six-membered analogs studied in these laboratories. Irradiation of the C3 hydrogen of $60\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ in Table 3) at 5.20-5.14 ppm changed the absorption of the $Z-9$ hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ in Table 3) at 4.66 ppm from a doublet of doublets to a doublet. Similar results were observed on irradiation of the C 3 hydrogen of 68 . A detailed analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 60 and 68 is provided in Tables 3 and 4.

## Table 3. $\mathbf{1 H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ NMR Data for Compound $\mathbf{6 0}$



| ${\text { proton (d })^{\mathrm{a}}}$ | coupling partner (J) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ | mult |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{a}(6.80)$ | $\mathrm{c}(2.8), \mathrm{e}(2.8), \mathrm{f}(2.8)$ | app. q (ddd) |
| $\mathrm{b}(5.44)$ | $\mathrm{d}(2.8)$ | d |
| $\mathrm{c}(5.20-5.14)$ | $\mathrm{a}(2.8), \mathrm{d}(0.5), \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$, | ddm |
| $\mathrm{d}(4.66)$ | $\mathrm{b}(2.8), \mathrm{c}(0.5)$ | dd |
| $\mathrm{e}(2.87-2.75)$ | $\mathrm{a}(2.8), \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ | dm |
| $\mathrm{f}(2.63-2.50)$ | $\mathrm{a}(2.8), \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ | dm |
| $\mathrm{g}(2.49-2.37)$ | $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h}$ | m |
| $\mathrm{h}(2.12-2.00)$ | $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ | m |

a. Chemical shifts are in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane.
b. Coupling constants are in Hz . c. This includes only coupled protons, for which the assignment is unambiguous.

Table 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for Compound 68


| proton (d) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | coupling partner (J) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | mult |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a (6.70) | $\mathrm{c}(3.9), \mathrm{e}(1.3), \mathrm{f}(2.0), \mathrm{g}(1.8)$ | dddd |
| b (5.52) | d (2.8) | d |
| c (4.94) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}(3.9), \mathrm{d}(0.5), \mathrm{e}(4.7), \mathrm{f}(1.4), \mathrm{g} \\ & (1.8) \end{aligned}$ | ddddd |
| d (4.89) | b (2.8), c (0.5) | dd |
| e (4.61) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}(1.3), \mathrm{c}(4.7), \mathrm{f}(7.5), \mathrm{g}(4.0), \mathrm{h} \\ & (4.7) \end{aligned}$ | ddddd |
| f (3.13) | $\mathrm{a}(1.8), \mathrm{c}(1.8), \mathrm{e}(7.5), \mathrm{g}(17.1)$ | dddd |
| $\mathrm{g}(2.52)$ | $\mathrm{a}(1.4), \mathrm{c}$ (2.1), e (4.0), f (17.2) | dddd |
| h (2.17) | e (4.7) | d |

a. Chemical shifts are in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane.
b. Coupling constants are in Hz .

## NOE Experiments with Dimethyl Esters 60 and 68

Hilvert and coworkers have reported some interesting nuclear Overhauser effects to support the conformation of chorismate in aqueous solution and the conformation of antibody-bound chorismate with the antibody that catalyzed the Claisen rearrangement of chorismate. 34 For chorismate in aqueous solution, irradiation of the Z-9 enolpyruvyl hydrogen gave a small positive NOE to the C2 hydrogen as expected for the pseudodiequatorial conformer (Scheme 3) which has been established as the predominant conformer in aqueous solution. ${ }^{34 a}$ On the other hand in the presence of the catalytic antibody, NOE's were observed not only between the Z-9 enolpyruvyl hydrogen and the C 2 hydrogen but also between the E-9 enolpyruvyl hydrogen and the C6 hydrogen. These observations provide evidence that the antibody binds the higher energy pseudodiaxial conformer (Scheme 3).

NOE measurements with 60 and 68 were undertaken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to see whether the data would provide any useful information about their solution
conformation. The NOE results with $\mathbf{6 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 8}$ are listed in Scheme 12 and 13, respectively. Presaturation of proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of $\mathbf{6 0}$ gives a $3 \%$ NOE to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and no enhancement was detected for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$.

## Scheme 12






Scheme 13 shows the NOE results of compound 68 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. For compound $\mathbf{6 8}$ irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ results in a small positive NOE to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and a moderate NOE to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ as expected for an orientation of the enolpyruvyl side chain similar to that for the predominant confomer of chorismate in aqueous solution. The small negative NOE apparent to $H_{c}$ when $H_{d}$ is preirradiated is probably an off-resonance effect of irradiation at the frequency of $H_{d}$, which produces some spillover saturation to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$. This effect was also observed by Hilvert and coworkers. ${ }^{34 a}$ Irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ does not give a detectable NOE at $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}}$, and this observation also suggests that the enolpyruvate side chain is not positioned over the cyclopentenyl ring in solution. The small NOE
that is detected for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ when $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is preirradiated likely arises from spin diffusion through Hb .

Scheme 13





As discussed above, the rearrangement of these two analogs 60 and 68 to 69 and $\mathbf{7 0}$ requires a large conformational change in which diequatorial conformers that predominate in solution are convered to the diaxial conformers on the way to the geometrically constrained transition state.

## Thermal Rearrangements of 51, 52

Analogs 51 and 52 rearranged thermally at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate 5 times and 170 times, respectively, more slowly than chorismate (9), but 52 rearranged 18 times faster than $\mathbf{4 2}$ (Table 5). Although diester 72 was not hydrolyzed to the diacid $\mathbf{7 5}$ by previous investigators for measurement of the rate of rearrangement, it appears reasonable that $\mathbf{5 1}$ rearranges $\sim 18$ times faster than the diacid $\mathbf{7 5}$ derived from $\mathbf{7 2}$

## Table 5

Thermodynamic Data for Uncatalyzed Claisen Rearrangement ( $30{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{p H}=7.5$ )
Compound $\quad \underline{\Delta G^{\ddagger}}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \quad \underline{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \quad \underline{\mathrm{S}^{\ddagger}}\left(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right) \quad k 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$

20.7
$-12.8$
$1.22 \times 10^{-5}$
$(\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=15.6 \mathrm{~h})$
22.1
$-11.4$
$2.4 \times 10^{-6}$
$(\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=79 \mathrm{~h})$
26.9
$-1.0$
$1.6 \times 10^{-7}$
$\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1200 \mathrm{~h}\right)$




27.2
26.3
29.4
30.8

41
29.4
$-4.7$
$3.7 \times 10^{-10}$
$\left(\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~h}\right)$
since diesters 60 and 68 both rearranged 17 times faster than 72 and 73 respectively, in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2).


Arrhenius plots 7 a were obtained for the rearrangements of 51 and 52. From the data, activation parameters for the rearrangement at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were obtained (Table 5). Chorismate (9), 5,6-dihydrochorismate (42) and analog 51 show similar $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ values for reaarrangement. On the other, pyran 43, hydroxy analog 52, and exo-methylene analog 41 have less negative $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ values. This is perhaps due to the rotational freedom of the different ring systems.

## Enzymatic Studies of 51 and 52 with Chorismate Mutase

Cyclopentenyl analogs 51 and 52 were tested as substrates and as inhibitors for chorismate mutase. The data are presented in Table 7. The first entry for 9 and the entries for 51 and 52 are results with the monofunctional chorismate mutase from Bacillus subtilis. 35 The second entry for 9 and the entries for 42-43 are results with the mutase activity of the bifunctional enzyme chorismate mutaseprephenate dehydrogenase from Escherichia coli. 36 Unfortunately our sample of the bifunctional enzyme lost all activity on storage, and our source of the enzyme was no longer available. Nonetheless, the comparisons with the two different enzymes is meaningful. The kinetic parameters are given in Table 7.

Table 7
Enzymatic Data for Catalyzed Claisen Rearrangement by Chorismate Mutase (30
$\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}=7.5\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$
Compound $\quad k_{\mathrm{uncat}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}} \quad k_{\mathrm{cat}}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right) \quad$ Acceleration $K_{\mathrm{m}}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \quad K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$

$1.22 \times 10^{-5}$
$(\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=15.6 \mathrm{~h})$

$2.4 \times 10^{-6}$
$4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{C}$
1760
158
27.5
$(\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=79 \mathrm{~h})$
50c, 34
$4 \times 10^{6} \quad 100^{34}$
(1/2 15.6 h$)$



$1.22 \times 10^{-5}$
$25^{\text {d }}$
$2 \times 10^{6}$
140

$1.6 \times 10^{-7}$
$(\mathrm{t} 1 / 2=1200 \mathrm{~h})$
 $\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{l}3.9 \times 10^{-9} \\ \left(\mathrm{t}_{1} / 2=49000 \mathrm{~h}\right)\end{array} & 0.012^{\mathrm{d}} & 3 \times 10^{6} \quad 55\end{array}$
a. See experimental section for the details of the enzymatic measurements. b. The uncatalyzed (thermal) rate were measured at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}=7.5$. c . The catalyzed rate was measured by the monofunctional enzyme, chorismate mutase. d. The catalyzed rate was measured by the bifunctional enzyme, CM-PD.

Remarkably, the mutase accelerates the rearrangement of 52 by a factor of $6.5 \times 10^{6}$ over the rate of the thermal reaction, whereas the rate acceleration observed for deshydroxy analog 51 by the enzyme was only a factor of $1.8 \times 10^{3}$. The difference in rate enhancement between 51 and 52 is about $3.7 \times 10^{3}$, which was similar to that estimated as a maximum difference in rate enhancement between chorismate (9) and deshydroxychorismate (48) from earlier work in this laboratory $\left(\sim 10^{4}\right) .14$ It must be pointed out that results with 51 and 48 utilized a large excess of enzyme and, consequently, whether results are from steady state conditions is open to question. In the present study the concentration of chorismate mutase used to observe enzyme-catalyzed turnover of 51 was 105 times the concentration used to follow the metabolism of chorismate under normal conditions. And for $\mathbf{5 2}$ it was $2 \times 10^{3}$ times the concentration used to follow the metabolism of chorismate under normal conditions. These results support the contention that the C 4 hydroxyl group of chorismate is not necessary for enzymatic activity, but the presence of the hydroxyl group provides for more effective binding and increased turnover by the enzyme.

That the enzyme-catalzyed product was the product of Claisen rearrangement (76) was established by the fact that it was identical to the product
from base-catalyzed hydrolysis of 70 (Scheme 14). In addition the metabolism of 52 by chorismate mutase in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ could be monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. It was possible to follow the disappearance of protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ of 52 (Scheme 14) and the appearance of $\mathrm{H}_{a^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}^{\prime}}$ as the reaction progressed.

Scheme 14


Since the turnover of $\mathbf{5 1}$ and $\mathbf{5 2}$ is slow compared to chorismate, it was possible to measure their activity as competitive inhibitors of the processing of chorismate by chorismate mutase. Both were good competitive inhibitors. The deshydroxy analog 51 had a $K_{\mathrm{i}}=27.5 \mu \mathrm{M}([51]=102 \mu \mathrm{M})$ with the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ for chorismate (9) equal to $85.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The hydroxy analog 52 had a $K_{\mathrm{i}}=71 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ([52] $=107 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ for chorismate (9) equal to $103 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Unfortunately, the inhibition of compounds 76 (Scheme 14) and 77 to CMPD could not be studied since the enzyme activity was lost on storage. Presumably, both compounds 76 and 77 might competive inhibitors for CM-PD based on the inhibition studies of compounds 51 and 52 to chorismate mutase.
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## Experimental

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise noted on Bruker 250 MHz or Varian 300 MHz instruments. Chemical shift values are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane. IR spectra were recorded using salt plates (oils) or KBr pellets (solids). Melting points were uncorrected. Mass spectra were recorded on a Finnegan MAT 2800 using electron ionization or fast atom bombardment techniques. All reactions were carried out under a positive pressure of nitrogen unless otherwise noted. Reaction product solutions were concentrated using a Büchi evaporator at aspirator pressure. High vacuum pressure is $<10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$. Reaction mixtures were stirred magnetically unless otherwise noted. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium and benzophenone. All other reagents that required distillation were purified as described in Perrin and Perrin. 37

Methyl 2-Hydroxycyclopentane-1-carboxylate (53).


To a solution of methyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 351$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH} 4(2 \mathrm{~g}, 527 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) slowly at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The reaction solution was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a white solid. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, passed through a pad of celite, and concentrated to give a pale yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2). Compound 53 ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.34$ ) was obtained as a colorless oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3429,2951,2875,1725,1434$, $1200,1138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 4.3-4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.8-3.7(3 \mathrm{H}$, two singlets), $2.8-2.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-2.5(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 144 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22.9$ ), 129 (16.2), 114 (25), 101 (36), 87 (100), 67 (33); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 144.0786; Found 144.0785 .

Methyl 2-Cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (54).


To compound $53(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 25 mL ) was added $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5$ $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The mixture was heated to reflux for 3 h and then cooled to room temperature. The mixture was poured into cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, extracted with ether, and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO} 4$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give regioisomers ( $\alpha, \beta$-olefin $/ \beta$, $\gamma$-olefin 1:6 by NMR). The mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 6:1) to give $54(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.45)$ as a colorless oil ( $86 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $2948,2918,2857,1734,1627,1435,1196,1171 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.0-5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.8-5.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.6-$ $3.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-2.3(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz) $\delta 174.1,143.4,133.4,120.6$, $51.5,50.3,32.0,26.3 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $126\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8.6\right), 111$ (12.3) 95 (18), 87 (100), 67 (42); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 126.0681; Found 126.0680.

Methyl 6-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-2-carboxylate (56).


To compound $54(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 19.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO} 4(\mathrm{aq})(40 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ $=1: 1)$ was added $m$-CPBA ( $7.6 \mathrm{~g}, 50-60 \%$ purity, 1.2 equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3$ (aq) to destroy excess $m$-CPBA and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give $56(2.40 \mathrm{~g}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3032,2955,2857,1739$,

1437, 1319, 1270, $1204 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.0-5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70-3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21-2.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.90-1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.7,57.2,56.2,51.7,45.1,26.8$, 21.2; MS (FAB) m/z (relative intensity) 127 ([M-CH3] ${ }^{+}, 41.2$ ), 111 ([M$\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 57.7$ ).

## Methyl ( $1 \alpha, 5 \alpha$ )-6-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxylate (58).



55


58

To compound 55 ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ $=1: 1$ ) was added $m$-CPBA ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 50-60 \%$ purity, 1.2 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3(\mathrm{aq})$ to destroy excess $m$-CPBA and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give $58\left(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $2956,2851,1735,1439,1495,1282,1204 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.72-3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}, \mathrm{m}) 2.22-2.0(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), 1.78-1.62 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 169.9,63.7,62.9,52.2,27.1$, 26.6, 19.0; MS (FAB) m/z (relative intensity) 127 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 41.2$ ), 111 ([M $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 57.7$ ).

Methyl 3-Hydroxy-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (57).


To compound $56(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an ice bath NaOMe ( $687 \mathrm{mg}, 12.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added slowly. After addition was complete the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction solution was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a pale yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 2:1) to give $57(1.43 \mathrm{~g}$, $\mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.28$ ) as a colorless oil ( $95 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3416,2951,1719,1632,1438$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.72-6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.02-4.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), 2.80-2.66(1 H, m), 2.56-2.34 (2H, m), 1.86-1.74 (2 H, m), 1.74-1.64 (1 H, br); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 166.7,143.2,138.4,51.7,33.4,29.9 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $142\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.8\right), 127$ (47.9) 111 (19.1), 83 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 142.0630; Found 142.0631.

Methyl 3-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-(dimethylphosphono)methoxy]-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (59).
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59

To starting material 57 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene was added rhodium(II) octanoate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 18.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The reaction mixture was brought to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $5: 1$ ) to give 59 ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3005,2944,2844,1750,1720,1712,1635,1434 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta$ 6.75-6.70(1 H, m), 4.90-4.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.50-4.40 ( 1 H , two doublets), 3.88$3.80(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.77-3.75$ ( 3 H , two singlets), $2.80-2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.55-2.26(2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10-1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; MS m / z (relative intensity) $322\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.11\right), 291$ (3.0) 199 (13), 182 (100), 125 (92.4), 93 (92.7); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 322.0818; Found 322.0821.

## Methyl 3-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-1-cyclopentene-1-

 carboxylate (60).

To phosphonate 59 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 12 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of $\operatorname{LiN}(T M S) 2$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) via syringe. After the mixture was stirred for additional 20 min , gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 168 mg ) was bubbled into the solution under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min , and the reaction was continued for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate.

The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5) to give 60 (133 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a colorless oil ( $95 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3006,2950,1728,1621$, 1439, 1355, 1309, 1244, 1209, 1179, 1108, $1036 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.8$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20-5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=2.8,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.87-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.63-2.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.49-2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.12-2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 165.0$, 164.5, 150.1, 141.1, 138.0, $95.6,82.2,52.3,51.7,30.1,29.7$; MS m / z (relative intensity) 226 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.14$ ), 195 (3.14), 194 (4.61), 125 (100), 93 (74.47), 59 (49.21); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O} 5$ : 226.0841; Found 226.0841.

3-[[1-(Carboxy)ethenyl]oxy]-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylic Acid (51).




To a solution of dimethyl ester $\mathbf{6 0}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1,3$ mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46$ mmol, 2 equiv) in 0.7 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ via syringe. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h , and monitored by TLC which indicated reaction was complete. Amberlite $®$ IR-120 (plus) ion-exchange resin was added, and the pH was adjusted to 3 . The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to give 51 as an off-white solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{mp} .: 119-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3402,3067,2944,2863,1716,1703,1621,1433,1295,1204$, 1102, 1005, $934 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz in CD3OD) $\delta 6.78-6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.28-5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78-2.68(1$
$\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.08-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz in CD3OD) $\delta 168.0,166.4,151.5,142.7,139.4,96.1,84.1,52.3,31.0,30.8 ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pH}=$ 7.5) $\lambda_{\max }=243 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1882 ;$ MS m/z (relative intensity) $198\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.24\right), 181$ (0.64), 125 (100), 111 (100), 93 (18.46); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O} 5$ : 198.0528; Found 198.0527.

Methyl 1-[2-(Methoxycarbonyl)-2-oxoethyl]-2-cyclopentene-1carboxylate (69).


Method A: Compound $60(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 3 mL ) was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC, which indicated starting material had disappeared. The solvent was removed under high vacuum to give a brown oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $\mathbf{6 9}\left(48 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $96 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3070,3023,2858,1740,1730,1433,1388,1276,1194,1104$, $1056 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.0-5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.8-5.7(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.60-2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.90-1.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta$ 191.9, 175.4, 161.1, 134.3, 132.3, 57.0, 52.9, 52.3, 46.8, 34.1, 31.8; MS m/z (relative intensity) $226\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.4\right), 194$ (6.0), 167 (32.8), 139 (81.6), 59 (47.9); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14 \mathrm{O}}$ : 226.0841; Found 226.0845.

Method B: Compound 60 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $m$-dichlorobenzene ( 3 mL ) was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC which
indicated starting material had disappeared. The solvent was removed under high vacuum to give a brown oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $69\left(46 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $92 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3070,3023,2858,1740,1730,1433,1388,1276,1194$, $1104,1056 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.0-5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.8-5.7$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.60-2.34 (3 H, m) 1.90-1.76 (1H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 191.9,175.4,161.1,134.3,132.3,57.0,52.9,52.3,46.8,34.1,31.8$.

## 1-[2-(Carboxyl)-2-oxoethyl]-2-cyclopentene-1-carboxylic Acid (77).



To a solution of dimethyl ester $69(15 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \mu$ mole $)$ in THF-H2O (1:1, 1 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $7.66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.132$ mmol, 2 equiv) in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ via syringe. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h , and monitored by TLC which indicated reaction was complete. Amberlite ${ }^{\circledR}$ IR-120 (plus) ion-exchange resin was added, and the pH was adjusted to 3 . The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to give 77 as a colorless oil ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz in CD3OD) $\delta 6.03-5.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.77-5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.6$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60-2.44(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

Methyl 6-Oxabicyclo[3.1.0]-2-hexene-3-carboxylate (62).


Intermediate methyl cyclopentadiene-1-carboxylate was made by a modification of the procedure reported by Peters. 28 To a stirred solution of methyl chloroformate ( $6.62 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 70 mL ) was added dropwise a 2 M solution of cyclopentadienylsodium in THF ( $35 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition was complete, the resulting red solution was stirred for an additional 30 min at room temperature. The reaction solution was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give dark red oil. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. To the crude compound in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})(150 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=1: 1)$ was added $m$ CPBA ( $24 \mathrm{~g}, 50-60 \%$ purity, 1.0 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. During the reaction the color of the reaction solution changed from pink to yellow then white. The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3(\mathrm{aq})$ to destroy excess $m$-CPBA and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $1: 4)$ to give $62\left(3.40 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $35 \%$ yield the two steps). IR (neat) $3060,3016,2870,1719,1614,1439,1360,1290,1195 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.77,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88-3.86$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=19.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=18.6$,
$3.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 164.6,141.5,140.9,58.0,56.8,51.6,34.8$; MS m/z (relative intensity) 139 ([M - H] ${ }^{+}, 12.2$ ), 125 (53.8), 99 (22.8), 81 (61.3), 53 (100); HRMS([M - H] ${ }^{+}$) calc for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 139.0395; Found 139.0395.

Methyl trans-3-Acetoxy-4-hydroxy-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (63).


Compound $62(170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid ( 10 mL ) was heated to 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The solvent was removed under high vacuum to give a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $\mathbf{6 3}\left(144 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $60 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3060,3016,2870,1719,1614,1439,1360,1290,1195 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ 1 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,2.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtd} \mathrm{J}=$ 3.1, 2.1, 2.1 Hz ), $4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=$ $17.1,8.0,1.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, J = 17.1, $5.5,2.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.1,164.4,138,136.4,87.8,78.1,51.9,38.2,29.6,20.8 ;$ MS m/z (relative intensity) 200 (1.78), 172 (2.6), 140 (18.2), 126 (61), 81 (61.3), 43 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O} 5: 200.0685$; Found 200.0686.

Methyl trans-3-Acetoxy-4-trimethylsilyloxy-1-cyclopenten-1carboxylate (64).


To a stirred solution of compound $\mathbf{6 3}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene $(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added triethylsilyl bromide ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). DBN ( $124 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The mixture was poured into $10 \% \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:8) to give 64 ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $95 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 2955 , 2927, 2877, 1746, 1728, 1633, 1433, 1350, 1229, $1113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=3.7,2.1,1.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd $\mathrm{J}=7.3,5.3,4.5,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $7.3,5.3,4.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.6,7.3,1.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.47 ( 1 H, dddd, J = 16.5, $5.2,2.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.08 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.61(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 170.3$, 164.6, 137.6, 137.2, 85.6, 76.8, 51.7, 38.9, 29.7, 20.8, 6.5, 4.5; MS m/z (relative intensity) 314 (1.53), 255 (0.4), 240 (4.26), 145 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O} 5 \mathrm{Si}: 314.1545$; Found 314.1548.

## Methyl trans-3-Hydroxy-4-trimethylsilyloxy-1-cyclopentene-1carboxylate (65).



To a solution of compound $64(188.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ $\mathrm{NaOMe}\left(64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2\right.$ equiv) was added slowly at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition was complete the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The reaction solution was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a pale yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:6) Compound 65 ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.28$ ) was obtained as a colorless oil ( $60 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3441,2955,2914,2877,1727,1633,1439,1355,1103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=3.6,2.1,1.6,0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddddd $\mathrm{J}=4.9,3.6$, $2.0,1.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $7.3,5.9,4.9,0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=16.3,7.3,1.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=16.4,5.9,2.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.83 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.98(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.64(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 165.0,141.5,136.4,83.9,81.1,51.6,38.7,6.7,4.7 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 272 (39), 240 (30), 241 (41.2), 103 (93), 75 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{Si}: 272.1444$; Found 272.1443.

Methyl trans-3-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-(dimethylphosphono)methoxy]-4-triethylsilyloxy-1-cyclopentene-1carboxylate (66).


To starting material 65 ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.335 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene rhodium(II) octanoate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 18.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was brought to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 5:1) to give 66 ( $106.1 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $70 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 3008,2958 , $2877,2124,1754,1715,1633,1437,1289,1109,1031 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.7-6.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8-4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.7-4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65-4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.4-4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.7-3.9(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.9-$ $3.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.3-2.5(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.0-0.9(9 \mathrm{H}$, two triplets), $0.5-0.7(6 \mathrm{H}$, two quartets); MS m/z (relative intensity) 452 (4.7), 420 (42), 253 (45), 185 (64), 182 (100), 124 (75); HRMS calc for C 18 H 33 O 9 PSi: 452.1632; Found 452.1628.

Methyl trans-3-[[1-(methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-4-triethylsilyloxy-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (67).


To phosphonate $66(151 \mathrm{mg}, 0.335 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$ in THF ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added at -78
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) via syringe. After the mixture was stirred for an additional 20 min , gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 100 mg ) was bubbled into the solution under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min . The reaction was continued for 3 h and was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO} 4$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:8) to give 67 (113 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45$ ) as a colorless oil (95\% yield). IR (neat) 2953, 2912, 2890, 1741, $1729,1627,1461,1438,1354,1313,1231,1196,1160,1109 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=2.3,2.0,1.6,0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98$ ( 1 H , ddddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.3,2.3,1.6,1.6,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=7.5,5.2,4.4,0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=$ $16.6,7.5,1.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=16.7,5.3,2.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.62(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 164.6,163.3,150.3$, $137.5,136.4,96.1,89.6,77.7,52.3,51.8,39.2,6.6,4.6 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 356 (10.4), 324 (10), 255 (19.4), 187 (100), 115 (61), 87 (74); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ : 356.1655 ; Found 356.1658 .

Methyl trans-3-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]-4-hydroxy]-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (68).


To solution of compound $\mathbf{6 7}(197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.553 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) a 1 M solution of $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}) 4 \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{F}^{-}$in THF ( $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO} 4$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3) to give 68 (114 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a off-white solid (mp.: $47-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 3479 , $3005,2955,2853,1732,1713,1622,1440,1353,1308,1206,1172 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.70(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=3.9,2.0,1.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.8$ Hz ), 4.94 ( 1 H , ddddd, J = 4.7, 3.9, 1.8, 1.4, 0.5 Hz ), $4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,0.5$ Hz ), 4.61 ( 1 H, ddddd, $\mathrm{J}=7.5,4.7,4.7,4.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 3.13 ( 1 H , dddd, $\mathrm{J}=17.1,7.5,1.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, \mathrm{J}=17.2,4.0,2.1,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 164.6,163.6,150.0,138.1$, 136.3, $97.2,89.3,76.5,52.5,51.9,38.5 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 242 (2.8), 210 (9.5), 141 (100), 81 (81.2), 53(75.3); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{2} 4 \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 242.0790; Found 242.0790.

## NOE Experiments with 60 and 68.

NOE measurements with $\mathbf{6 0}(5 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathbf{6 8}(5 \mathrm{mg})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1$ mL ) respectively with tetramethylsilane as internal standard were measured after three freeze-thaw procedures, high vacuum pump ( $<10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ). The NOE experiment was measured with the Varian Unity 300 MHz spectrometer system. The results are provided in Scheme 12 and in Scheme 13.
trans-3-[[1-(Carboxy)ethenyl]oxy]-4-hydroxy-cyclopentene-1carboxylic Acid (52).


To a solution of dimethyl ester $68(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF-H2O $(1: 1,2$ mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ mmol, 2 equiv) in 0.7 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ via syringe. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h , and monitored by TLC which indicated reaction was complete. Amberlite® IR-120 (plus) ion-exchange resin was added, and the pH was adjusted to 3 . The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to give 52 as an off-white solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{mp} .: 130-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 84 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz in CD3OD) $\delta 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95-4.90\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, overlaping with $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,4.0,3.4$ Hz ), $3.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=17.0,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=17.0,4.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 167.4,166.2,151.8,140.2,137.2,96.6,90.4,76.9,39.8 ;$ $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pH}=7.5\right) \lambda_{\max }=240 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1700 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 196 ([M - H2O] ${ }^{+}, 2.47$ ), 169 (51.67), 126 (80.48), 98 (66.51), 69 (62.75); HRMS ([M $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$) calc for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 196.0372; Found 196.0371.

Methyl 4 $\beta$-Hydroxy-1 $\alpha$ - [2-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-oxoethyl]-2-cyclopentene-1 $\beta$-carboxylate (70).


Compound 68 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $m$-dichlorobenzene ( 3 mL ) was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The solvent was removed under high vacuum to give a brown oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $\mathbf{7 0}\left(\mathbf{4 6} \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a colorless oil $(92 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3382,2951,2912,1753,1730,1713,1436,1392,1352,1284$, $1205,1158 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.86(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.79-4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddbr}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.78-2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 2.50$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.97\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta$ 201.6, 192.2, 191.4, 137.4, 135.5, 76.2, 56.0, 53.1, 46.5, 44.2, 29.7; MS m/z (relative intensity) 242 ( 0.11 ), 225 ( 0.77 ), 210 (3.34), 183 (45.1), 165(26.23), 155 (93.66), 123 (76.65), 95 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{24 \mathrm{O}}$ : 242.0790; Found 242.0792.

4 $\beta$-Hydroxy-1 $\alpha$-[2-(carboxyl)-2-oxoethyl]-2-cyclopentene-1 $\beta$-carboxylic Acid (76).


To a solution of dimethyl ester 70 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mole}$ ) in THF-H2O ( $1: 1,1$ mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ mmol, 2 equiv) in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ via syringe. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 6 h , and monitored by TLC which indicated reaction was complete. Amberlite ${ }^{\circledR}$ IR-120 (plus) ion-exchange resin was added, and the pH was adjusted to 3 . The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to give 76 as an off-white solid ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{mp} .: 135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 84 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz in CD3OD) $\delta 5.9-5.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.90-4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, overlaping with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.77-2.60 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.28-2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

## Kinetics of Thermal [3,3] Rearrangement of 51 and 52.

The Claisen rearrangement of $\mathbf{5 1}$ and $\mathbf{5 2}$ was monitored as follows: Samples of substrate ( 3.0 mg ) were dissolved in 0.7 mL of 0.1 M deuterated phosphate buffer ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ ) in an NMR tube. The sample was heated in a constant temperature bath at the designated temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded periodically. The rate constants, $k_{\text {rearr }}$, were determined from the slope of the graph of $\ln \{[$ starting material $] /[[$ starting material $]+[$ product $]]\}$ versus time. The concentrations of starting material and product were determined by the integral values of the vinyl protons of each species. In all cases, the disappearance of starting material obeyed first-order kinetics to at least $90 \%$ completion. The Arrhenius equation was used to solve for $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$. For compound 51: $k 50$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2.36 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; k 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2.44 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For compound 52: $k 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=$ $9.01 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; k 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=7.12 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

## General Assay Procedure for Chorismate Mutase.

For studies with chorismate mutase the reaction was monitored by disappearance of substrate (chorismate (9) $\lambda=273 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=2630$. Compound $51 \lambda$
$=243 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1882$. Compound $52 \lambda=240 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=1700$ ). All assay were performed at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a buffer of 100 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH}=7.5), 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ bovine serum albumin. The concentrations of chorismate mutase in the cell were diluted from $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}^{38}$ to $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for the test of chorismate ( $\mathbf{9}$ ), $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for the test of compound $\mathbf{5 1}$, and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for the test of compound 52. $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $V_{\max }$ values were obtained by monitoring the reaction rate at varied substrate concentrations. Inhibition constants were calculated from the apparent $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ of chorismate in the presence of $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{mM}$ of inhibitor.
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## Chapter 3

Investigations of the Enzyme Chorismate Mutase (Part II): [3,3] Rearrangement of Chorismate to Prephenate


## Introduction

In order to acquire more information on the nature of catalysis by chorismate mutase, it was desirable to examine the electronic character of chorismate at C6. Only three chorismate analogs had been reported with structural change at C6: 5,6-Dihydrochorismate (42), exo-methylene analog 41, and 6-oxa-5,6-dihydrochorismate analog 43.1

There are problems with analogs such as 41. The steric limitations of the active site are such that $\mathbf{4 1}$ does not bind as a competitive inhibitor. 5,6Dihydrochorismate (42), which sterically differs from chorismate (9) only by an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group rather than an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized CH group, binds only one-fourth as well as chorismate (9). Clearly, the enzyme is sensitive to the steric bulk present at C5-C6. Second, the electronic effect of an electron-rich substituent at C6 is very important for both thermal and enzymatic rearrangement. However, the exo-methylene group does not provide any rate acceleration, even though a double bond is in direct conjugation with the C 1 position. The reason for this is thought to be twofold: first, an s-trans diene shows less electron delocalization than a cyclic s-cis diene and second, the exo-methylene perturbs the cyclohexane ring geometry so as to stabilize the diequatorial ground state of the analog. The energy barrier for rearrangement is increased substantially by this stabilization.

The rate of rearrangement of dihydropyran analog 43 is approximately 70 times slower than 9.1 The fact that analogs 42 and 43 rearrange at a much slower rate than 9 suggests that there must be a significant electronic effect of the endo double bond at C5-C6 in 9 that increases the rate. The 6-oxa-5,6dihydrochorismate analog 43 reported by Berchtold and Delany is also a good
substrate for chorismate mutase $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }} / \mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}=4 \times 10^{5}\right) .{ }^{1}$ Therefore, the sp ${ }^{2}$ configuration at C 5 and C 6 is not necessary for mutase catalysis, and the structural requirements for chorismate mutase catalyzed rearrangement have been discussed in Chapter 2.

A useful substrate analog must have a small electron-donating group at either C6 of the ring or C3 of the side chain. Tetrahydropyridine analogs in Chart 1 appeared to be reasonable candidates. If either an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ process were occurring for the enzymatic rearrangement, tetrahydropyridine analogs would be expected to have a higher $V_{\text {max }}$ than 6-oxa-5,6-dihydrochorismate analog 43, but it would probably not be higher than that for chorismate (9). Since the tetrahydropyridine analogs suggested are enamines, they should be very reactive under $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ conditions. This structural factor could manifest itself as an increase in $V_{\max }$ compared to analog 43. Since the effect is only seen after binding, $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ should not be greatly affected. The steric concerns mentioned above should be little or less important for pyran 43. We expect tetrahydropyridine analogs to have an association constant between those of chorismate (9), 5,6dihydrochorismate (42), and pyran 43, except when $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{8 0}$ and 81 ), steric effects are likely to be significant.

## Chart 1


78. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$
79. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}$
80. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me} . \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$
81. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}$

Another substrate type of interest is that with an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amino acid at the side chain (Scheme 1) instead of the enolpyruvate moiety. This type of
analog might have a faster non-catalyzed (thermal) rate of $[3,3]$ rearrangement due to the stronger electron-donating group at C 3 where nitrogen replaces oxygen in the side chain. However, compared to the enolpyruvate counterpart it is thermodynamically less favorable for the rearrangement of $\mathbf{8 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 3}$ due to the weak imine bond of rearranged products $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$ in Scheme 1 compared to the carbonyl group from rearrangement of the enolpyruvate derivatives.

## Scheme 1


82. $R_{1}=H$
83. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}$
84. $R_{1}=H$
85. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}$

Therefore, it is difficult to predict the uncatalyzed (thermal) rate of rearrangement and $V_{\max }$ of compounds 82 and 83 relative to chorismate (9) and pyran 43.

## Results and Discussion

## Attempted Synthesis of Tetrahydropyridine Analog 80.

Retrosynthetic analysis indicated that $\mathbf{8 0}$ could be designed from bicyclic intermediate 87 via allylic alcohol 86 in Scheme 2. Thus, epoxidation of 87, followed by base-catalyzed rearrangement after cleavage of the 5 -membered ring with ester formation would give allylic alcohol 86. The side-chain could be appended as described by Berchtold and co-workers for the total synthesis of optically pure chorismic acid, 2 and saponification would lead to compound $\mathbf{8 0}$.

Scheme 2


We sought to synthesize 87 via an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of imine 94. Intermediate 94 was formed through thermolysis of compound 93 , which was synthesized as outlined in Scheme 3. Acrolein was treated with malonic acid in anhydrous pyridine to give diene acid $\mathbf{8 8}$ in quantitative yield. 3 Diene acid 88 was reduced by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ after treatment with methyl chloroformate to afford 2,4-pentadienol (90) in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{4}$ Alcohol 90 was transformed in $77 \%$ yield to carbamate 91 on treatment with NaOCN and TFA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{5}$ Carbamate 91 was converted into the corresponding methylol 92 in good yield with formaldehyde and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in THF. Methylol 92 was then transformed to DielsAlder precursor 93 with acetic anhydride-pyridine at room temperature in $85 \%$ yield. Pyrolysis of methylol acetate 93 in $o$-dichlorobenzene at $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced a single cycloadduct 87 in $43 \%$ yield. This is the first successful case using a carbamate derivative without an activated dienophile. 5

Compound 87 did not undergo basic hydrolysis on treatment with NaOH or $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH}) 2$ under reflux conditions to form hydroxyl amine 95 . However, compound 87 was reduced by excess LAH under drastic conditions to form the hydroxy $N$-methyl amine 96 in quantitative yield. ${ }^{6}$ Compound 87 also was converted into the corresponding hydroxyl phenyl amide 97 in good yield with 3 equiv of phenyl magnesium bromide under standard conditions.

Scheme 3a


a Reagents: (a) ref. 3; (b) methyl chloroformate, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, THF ; (c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$,
THF- H 2 O ; (d) NaOCN , trifluoroacetic acid, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (e) paraformaldehyde,
$\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF; (f) acetic anhydride, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
1,2-dichlorobenzene; (h) 3 equiv LAH, THF, reflux; (i) 3 equiv PhMgBr , ether.

Compounds 96 and 97 were oxidized to amino acids 98 and 99 , respectively, on treatment of $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$ in methylene chloride, but neither product could be purified. Many attempts to isolate pure amino acids $\mathbf{9 8}$ and 99 or the methyl esters of 98 and 99 failed. This route had to be abandoned since the amino acids and derivatives could not be purified.

Hays et al reported the synthesis of a derivative of 2-piperidinecarboxylic acid having a skeleton similar to $\mathbf{8 0}$ (Chart 1). ${ }^{7}$ Retrosynthetic analysis was modified to use the reported procedure ${ }^{7}$ for synthesis of $\mathbf{8 0}$ from hydroxy 2piperidinecarboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ via iminium ion $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ with cyclization under aqueous conditions (Scheme 4).

## Scheme 4



The route used for the synthesis is depicted in Scheme 5. A modified procedure was used to prepare homoallylamine 102 as the starting material, which was formed from the reaction of 4-bromo-1-butene and benzyl amine. When compound 102 was treated with glyoxylic acid in aqueous acetonitrile, lactone 103 was isolated as the sole reaction product. ${ }^{7}$ Subsequent debenzylation and lactone cleavage occurs in one step when $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ is hydrogenated in $10 \%$ formic acid in methanol in the presence of $5 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ black to produce a quantitative yield of methyl ester 104, for which the NMR data at $\delta 5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH} 3)$ was incorrectly assigned in the literature. 7 Unfortunately amino methyl ester 104 could not be protected as carbobenzoxy ester $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ by the reported procedure. 7 Therefore, the

Scheme $5^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, $\Delta$; (b) glyoxylic acid, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) $5 \%$ Pd black, $10 \%$ formic acid, MeOH ; (d) 1 equiv $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, formic acid (cat.), MeOH ; (e) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ (Octanoate) $)_{4}$, benzene, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (g) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
benzyl group was used as a protecting group, and only the lactone was cleaved on treatment with 1 equiv $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ and a catalytical amount of formic acid in methanol to produce $N$-benzyl amino methyl ester 106 in quantitative yield. Coupling of 106 and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium(II) octanoate catalysis in dry benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave phosphonate 107 in $85 \%$ yield after flash column chromatography. Ester 107 was converted to 108 by the Wittig-type reaction with strong base, $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$, and quenching with gaseous formaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 108 in an excellent yield.

Exposure of 108 to strong base, LDA, in THF along with PhSeBr at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature gave no reaction; starting material 108 was recovered ( $85 \%$ ). According to the literature, ${ }^{8}$ enamines can be formed via imines or iminium salts upon treatment with $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2$ to produce the $N$-oxide followed by the elimination of the trifluoroacetate formed in the presence of trifluoroacetic anhydride. This procedure was not successful with compound 110 and alcohol 111. Hydrolysis of the enolpyruvate moiety of 110 was found under the acidic conditions. No desired product 113 could be detected. Synthesis of these analogs was terminated at this stage.

## Attempted Synthesis of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Amino Acid Chorismate Analog 82.

Since the synthesis of cis-epoxy alcohol 114 (Scheme 6) was developed by Berchtold and coworkers more than a decade ago, it has been used on the total synthesis of shikimate and chorismate-type structures. ${ }^{2}$ The Mitsunobu reaction is an exceptionally useful and general method in organic synthesis whereby one can replace a hydroxyl group by a wide range of nucleophiles with inversion of configuration. ${ }^{9}$ Both inter- and intramolecular versions of the Mitsunobu reaction are well documented. A variety of nitrogen nucleophiles have been utilized in this
procedure to afford amines and amine derivatives. 9,10 cis-Epoxy alcohol 114 tends to aromatize under either acidic or basic conditions. Attempts to replace the hydroxyl group to form an amine via Mitsunobu reaction (Scheme 6) ${ }^{11}$ or by direct $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement by an amine with a suitable derivative of the hydroxyl group resulted in formation of aromatic products.

## Scheme 6



It is very important to develop good amino nucleophiles with a lower $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the reaction with cis-epoxy alcohol 114 to prevent aromatization. $N$-BOC $p$ toluenesulfonamide developed by Weinreb et al 10 e recently can be coupled with cis-epoxy alcohol 114 under Mitsunobu conditions to afford a sulfonamideprotected amine 115 in good yield (Scheme 7). Surprisingly, the BOC group had to be removed in DMSO under drastic conditions to form compound 116 since ring closure occurred to produce 117 in quantitative yield under standard acidic conditions. Attempted coupling of $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ and excess trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium(II) octanoate catalysis in dry benzene at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only led to deoxygenated product 118 in $60 \%$ yield after flash column chromatography. No insertion product was found. Compound 115 deoxygenated

Scheme 7a

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) $N$-BOC $p$-toluenesulfonamide, DEAD, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF ; (b) DMSO, $\Delta$; (c) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ (Octonate) ${ }_{4}$, benzene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) trifluoroacetic acid, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) MsCl , DMAP, benzene; (f) TsCl, DMAP, benzene.
to form 119 in moderate yield under similar conditions, and 119 aromatized under the acidic reaction conditions.

Alcohol 117 was not transformed to 116 on treatment with base under mild or reflux conditions; at least $90 \%$ of the starting material was recovered. Alcohol 117 could be converted into mesylate 120 and tosylate 121 in quantitative yield, respectively. However, no elimination or displacement occurred on exposure of 120 and 121 to strong base or PhSeLi in THF. Reductive cleavage of the tosyl group led to aromatic material. This route was abandoned at this stage.

Since the only difference between chorismate (9) and compounds 82 or 83 is the side chain, another attractive and short route simply uses an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amino acid methyl ester as a nucleophile under Mitsunobu conditions. Apparently enamines have not been described as components of Mitsunobu reactions. 12, 13 As we have discussed above, good amino nucleophiles for the reaction with cisepoxy alcohol 114 ought to have a lower $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ to prevent aromatization. The enamine 124 in Scheme 8 is a good candidate as a nucleophile for the Mitsunobu reaction.

## Scheme 8



The $N$-acyl $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amino acid methyl esters 124 were prepared in a good yield ( $40-55 \%$ ) by coupling of 125 and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium(II) octanoate catalysis in dry benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford phosphonate 126 in an excellent yield after flash column chromatography. Esters 126 were then converted to 124 by the Wittig-type reaction with strong base, $\operatorname{LiN}(T M S)_{2}$, etheral $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, and quenching with gaseous formaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 124 in reasonable yield ( $40-50 \%$, Method A in Scheme 9). It is worth mention that the etheral $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ is a key reagent to stabilize the enolate of $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ and to chelate both gaseous formaldehyde and the enolate of 126.

Scheme 9a

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ (Octanoate) $)_{4}$, benzene, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}$, etheral $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) 2.5 equiv $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ or trifluoroacetic anhydride, 5 equiv $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-DMAP, THF.

The second strategy involving protection and elimination occurred in one step. The methyl ester of serine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(127)$ and R 1 Cl or trifluoroacetic anhydride in THF were added in one portion to excess base to give 124 in reasonable yield (55\%, Method B in Scheme 9).

Mitsunobu reaction of $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ with cis-epoxy alcohol 114 provided the corresponding displacement products 128 and 129 in good yield (Scheme 10), but the mesyl derivative did not undergo reaction, and starting material was recovered.

Scheme 10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a. }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathbf{1 2 4}, \mathrm{DEAD}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaHPO}_{4}$, THF; (c) HI , acetone; (d) TESBr, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, acetonitrile.

Reaction of compounds $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ with PhSeLi in THF or ( PhSe ) 2 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in THF afforded the 1,4-addition adduct at the side-chain, and no desired
products, $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 1}$, were found. The trifluroacetyl group in compound $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ can be hydrolyzed under mild conditions to furnish enamine $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ in low yield, but $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ decomposed on standing. Bartlett et al reported that similar epoxide derivatives were transformed to allylic alcohols on treatment with TMSBr and PPh 3 in acetonitrile and basic work-up. ${ }^{14}$ However, only bromohydrin 133 was formed under these same conditions. Halo alcohols $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ failed to react with DBN in benzene.

The Mitsunobu reaction of $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ with cis-epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ can in fact be successfully used in good yield, but 1,4 -addition reactions at the side-chain are a crucial problem for further steps to generate the allylic alcohol in the ring. Therefore another possible route for the synthesis of $\mathbf{8 2}$ was developed (Scheme 11).

Mitsunobu reaction of $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ with cis-diol $136^{11}$ did not provide the corresponding displacement product $\mathbf{1 3 7}$, and the starting material was recovered (Scheme 10). The allylic hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ was protected as the silyl ether to afford 138 in excellent yield on the treatment with TESBr and triethyl amine in benzene. But the homoallyl alcohol group of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ was not converted into the corresponding MEM ether 139 in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ under reflux conditions due to the steric hindrance of the neighboring triethylsilyl group. Homoallyl alcohol 139 was transformed to cyclohexadienyl carboxylate 140 and cis-epoxy alcohol 141 in good yields with 1 equiv and 2 equiv MCPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, respectively. Both compounds $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ aromatized during attempts to prepare the MEM ether derivative. The efforts to synthesize $\mathbf{8 2}$ were abandoned at this stage.

Scheme 11a


${ }^{\text {a. }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{TESBr}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, benzene; (b) 1 equiv $\mathrm{MCPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) 2 equiv MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

## Experimental

2,4-Pentadienol (90).


A solution of methyl chloroformate ( $7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{~mL}, 77 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the course of 30 min to a solution of diene acid ${ }^{3}(5 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \mathrm{mmole})$ and triethylamine $(5.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 51$ mmole, 1 equiv) in dry THF ( 100 mL ). The resulting solution was stirred for another 30 min at the same temperature. The white precipate (triethylammonium chloride) was filtered off, washed with 20 mL of dry THF, and the combined filtrate and washings were added during 30 min to a solution of sodium borohydride ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv) in water ( 20 mL ) at $5-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with external cooling. Violent evolution of gas was observed. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , then acidified with 6 $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ and extracted. The combined etheral solution was washed with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, brine, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether $100 \%$ ) to give $90\left(3.95 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45\right)$ as a pale yellow oil $(85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3337,2920,2865,1604,1538,1412,1244,1186,1131 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.42-6.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.88-5.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.25-5.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 136.3,132.5$, 131.7, 117.4, 76.6, 62.9 .


A mixture of carbamate $91^{5}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 8$ mmole), paraformaldehyde ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 40$ mmole, 5 equiv), and cesium carbonate ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8$ mmole, 1 equiv) in dry THF ( 25 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 12 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was taken up in ether ( 100 mL ) and washed with four $25-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of water. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to an oily residue that solidified on standing to a slightly yellowish solid. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give 92 $\left(755 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a off-white solid ( $60 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} .77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR ( KBr ) 3324, 3250, 3047, 3004, 2901, 1697, 1604, 1486, 1457, 1414, 1146, 1103, 1086 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.42-6.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.85-5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.24-$ $5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.33-5.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.86-4.14(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.07-2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 156.6,135.8,134.6,128.0,127.2,118.7,72.4,66.1,65.3$; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $157\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.8\right), 139$ (10.7), 83 (100), 67 (55.6), 55 (57.6); LRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: 157$.

## N-Acetoxymethyl-2,4-pentadienyl Carbamate (93).



A stirred solution of methylol 92 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2$ mmole) and anhydrous pyridine ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 260 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.2$ mmole, 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 20 mL ) under nitrogen was treated dropwise with acetic anhydride ( $520 \mathrm{mg}, 480 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv) over 30 min . The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for another 16 h . The mixture was poured into ice-water ( 20 mL ), and the organic phase was separated and washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine. The solution was dried over anhydrous MgSO 4 and concentrated in vacuo to give the desired product as a pale yellow oil. The crude product was then purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:6) to give $93\left(541 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR ( NaCl ) 3351, 3088, 3043, 2981, 2947, 2894, 1736, 1660, 1605, 1527, $1445,1409,1369,1261,1097,1048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.40-6.21(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.18-6.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.82-5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.30-5.13(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.64(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.64), 2.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 171.5,155.6,135.7,134.5,126.9$, 118.6, $72.4,66.5,65.3,20.8 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $199\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.3\right), 139(0.4)$, 111 (2.4), 83 (8.2), 67 (42.1), 55 (27.2), 43 (100); LRMS for $\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO} 4: 199$.

1-Aza-9-oxo-8-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]non-4-ene (87).


A solution of compound 93 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in a large volume of 1,2dichlorobenzene $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ [molar ratio (solvent/solute) $>250: 1$ ] was heated to 210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The solution was cooled, and the solvent was removed under high vacuum. The residue was chromatographed by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl
acetate/petroleum ether $1: 1)$ to give $87\left(76 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\right)$ as a pale yellow oil (43\% yield). IR (NaCl) 3034, 2980, 2917, 2842, 1745, 1648, 1480, 1452, 1420, $1383,1290,1235,1092,1062,1023,1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 5.98-$ $5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.43-4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,7.5), 3.93-3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.08(1$ H, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.8,11.5,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47-2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10-1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 157.2,126.8,125.4,67.2,51.9,37.4,22.7 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $139\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 81$ (72.1), 67 (54.9), 55 (84.5), 41 (80.2); LRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H} 9 \mathrm{NO}_{2}: 139$.

## 1-Methyl-2-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (96).



To a solution of lithium aluminum hydride ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17$ mmole, 3 equiv) in dry THF ( 5 mL ) compound 87 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF was added slowly. The resulting solution was heated to reflux for 1 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched with cold $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The white precipate was filtered off and washed with ether. The combined filtrate and the washings were washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $2: 1$ ) to give $96\left(37.5 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $75 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.06-5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.67-5.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.15$

- 5.06 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{mbr}$ ), 3.82-3.64 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.32-3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.39-2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.18-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

1-Benzoyl-2-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (97).


To a solution of compound $\mathbf{8 7}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in dry ether ( 5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of PhMgBr in $\mathrm{THF}\left(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ via syringe. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched with cold $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$. The white emulsion was poured into water and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na 2 SO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3) to give $97\left(55 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $65 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(250 \mathrm{MHz})$ $\delta 7.46(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.06-5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.67-5.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.18-5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{mbr})$, 3.88-3.68( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.37-3.20(1 H, m), 2.39-2.25 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.18-1.95 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).

N-4-Butenylbenzylamine (102).


A mixture of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) and 4-bromo-1-butene ( $5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 42 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv) were added in one portion to a solution of benzyl amine ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 28 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl} 3(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Solvent was removed under vacuum; and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel to remove base and amino salts, and concentrated in vacuo to give a dark red oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $1: 2$ ) to give $102(4.15 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.4)$ as a colorless oil ( $92 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and IR data are the same as reported. 15

## Methyl cis-4-Hydroxy-2-piperidinecarboxylate (104).



Lactone $103^{7}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) and Pd black ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmole}, 0.02$ equiv) in 10 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}-$ methanol ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min , and the reaction was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to give 104 as a pale yellow oil ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ yield). IR ( NaCl ) $3417,3028,2950,1743,1589,1446,1357,1269,1077 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 3.85-3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40-3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 3.26-3.19 (1H, m), 2.70-2.60(1H, m), 2.35-2.24(1H, m), 1.97-1.87(2H, m), $1.45-1.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 172.9,68.1,56.7,52.2,42.7,37.8$, 34.8.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-cis-4-hydroxy-2-piperidinecarboxylate (106).


A solution of lactone $103^{7}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3$ mmole), two drops of formic acid, and $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $308 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmole}, 1$ equiv) in 10 mL of MeOH were stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated to give a white solid. The residue was dissolved in water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3(\mathrm{aq})}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:1) to give $106\left(570 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\right)$ as an off-white solid ( $100 \%$ yield, mp . $58-59$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR (KBr) $3417,3028,2950,1746,1495,1453,1439,1367,1276 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.33-7.27(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.7,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06-2.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.22$ - $2.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.93-1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.67-1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta$ 173.9, 137.6, 129.0, 128.0, 127.0, 66.6, 62.8, 59.7, 51.7, 47.2, 37.1, 33.5; MS m/z (relative intensity) 249 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.2$ ), 191 (25.6), 172 (16.0), 146 (10.2), 91 (100), 65 (19.1); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{1} 9 \mathrm{NO} 3: 249.1365$; Found 249.1365.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-cis-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-
(dimethylphosphono)methoxy]-2-piperidinecarboxylate (107).


To starting material 106 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene was added rhodium(II) octanoate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 18.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.02$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The reaction mixture was brought to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $5: 1$ ) to give 107 ( $438 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.2$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $85 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.33-7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.43-4.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, two singlets), 3.85$3.76(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.37-3.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.14-2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26-1.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

## Methyl 1-Benzyl-cis-4-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-2-

 piperidinecarboxylate (108).

To phosphonate $107(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 12 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$ in THF ( $873 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.87$ mmole, 1.5 equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) via syringe. After the mixture was stirred for an additional 20 min , gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 168 mg ) was bubbled into the solution under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min . The reaction was continued for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5) to give 108 (165 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a colorless oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3019,2952,2845,1741$,

1727, 1622, 1495, 1452, $1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.33-7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.61 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.45 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11-4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.37 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26-3.20$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.14-3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96-1.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 172.7,163.5,149.1,137.8,128.8,128.0,127.0,96.0,72.4,61.5,59.4$, $52.0,51.5,46.3,33.1,29.4 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 333 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.1$ ), 274 (100), 172 (100), 91 (100), 65 (13.4); HRMS calc for C14H19NO3: 333.1576; Found 333.1575.

## Methyl ( $1 \beta, 2 \beta, 6 \beta$ )-2-[N-t-(Butoxycarbonyl)-N- $p$ -

toluenesulfonyl)amino]-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-ene-4-carboxylate (115).


N-BOC $p$-toluenesulfonamide ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was dissolved in dry THF ( 10 mL ) and triphenylphosphine ( $771 \mathrm{mg}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was added. The solution was stirred under nitrogen and cis-epoxy alcohol 114 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by addition of $95 \%$ diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD, $365 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:5) to give $\mathbf{1 1 5}\left(\mathbf{4 7 0} \mathbf{~ m g}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $75 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 2984, 2938, 1729, 1710, 1667, 1598, 1454, 1438, 1371, $1359,1278,1253,1169,1150,1088,1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $87.83-$
$7.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.36-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.66-6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.50-5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77$
$(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.54-3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.39-3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.98-2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.81-2.70$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 166.6,150.3,144.5$, 137.1, 131.0, 129.4, 128.0, 127.9, 85.2, 52.9, 52.4, 52.0, 51.9, 27.8, 24.3, 21.5; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) 367 ([M - $\left.\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}, 17.7$ ), 323 (0.2), 305 (6.9), 212 (60.4), 155 (32.0) 136 (34.6), 91 (89.4), 57 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO} 7 \mathrm{~S}$ ([M $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}$): 367.0726; Found 367.0723.

## Methyl ( $1 \beta, 2 \beta, 6 \beta$ )-2-p-Toluenesulphonylamido-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-

 3-ene-4-carboxylate (116).

Starting material 115 ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 15 mL ) was heated under reflux for 30 min . The solvent was removed under high vacuum to give a brown oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3) to give $\mathbf{1 1 6}\left(154 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a colorless oil ( $50 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(250 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.83-7.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38-7.34$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.41-6.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.73$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.38-3.35(1 H, m), 3.27-3.22(1 H, m), 3.10-2.88(1 H, m), 2.65$2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Methyl ( $1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 6 \alpha$ )-5-Hydroxy-9-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-8-oxo-9-aza-7-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]non-2-ene-3-carboxylate (117).


Trifluoroacetic acid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 236 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was added to compound $115(100 \mathrm{mg}, 236 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 10 min and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $\mathbf{1 1 7}\left(87 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\right)$ as an off-white solid ( $100 \%$ yield, mp. $57-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR (KBr) 3443, 2947, 2926, 2852, 1781, 1718, $1655,1607,1438,1438,1364,1253,1174,1121,1090,1016,915,810,662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.98-7.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.39-7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.22-7.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 5.10-5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.64-4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.31-4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.71-2.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{J}=4.07 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 166.0,151.0,145.8,135.0,131.1,131.0,129.8,128.6,75.0,65.6$, 54.6,52.2, 27.8, 21.6; MS m/z (relative intensity) 367 ([M - $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}, 17.7$ ), 323 (0.2), 305 (6.9), 212 (60.4), 155 (32.0) 136 (34.6), 91 (89.4), 57 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: 367.0726$; Found 367.0706.

## Methyl 3-p-Toluenesulphonylamido-1,4-cyclohexadiene-1-carboxylate

 (118).

Rhodium(II) octanoate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 18.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.07$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $87.4 \mathrm{mg}, 420 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added to 116 ( 90 mg , $280 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry benzene ( 15 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give 118 (52 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $60 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.82-7.78$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.37-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.68-6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.92-5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.51-$ $5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.57-4.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brm}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.97-2.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.42$ (3 H, s).

## Methyl 3-[N-t-([p-butoxycarbonyl)-N-(p-toluenesulfonyl)amino]-1,4-

 cyclohexadiene-1-carboxylate (119).

Rhodium(II) octanoate ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 6.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.07$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.4$ equiv) were added to 115 ( 35 mg , $83 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry benzene ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h. Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column
chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:8) to give 119 (8.5 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $25 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.85-$ $7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.91-6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.0-5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.78-$ $5.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77^{\circ}(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.08-2.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Methyl ( $1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 6 \alpha$ )-5-(Methanesulfonyloxy)-9-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-8-oxo-9-aza-7-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]non-2-ene-3-carboxylate (120).


Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $116 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, $240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added to 117 ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 30 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. Solvent was removed to give a yellow oil. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel, and concentrated to give 120 as a pale yellow oil, which was pure enough to use without further purfication. ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.95-7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.39-7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.25-7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.17-5.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.87-4.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.88-2.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Methyl ( $1 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 6 \alpha$ )-5-( $p$-Toluenesulfonyloxy)-9-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-8-oxo-9-aza-7-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]non-2-ene-3-carboxylate (121).

$p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 288 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, $30 \mathrm{mg}, 163 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.85$ equiv) were added to compound $117(70 \mathrm{mg}, 191 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in benzene ( 5 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. Solvent was removed to give a yellow oil. The residue was disolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel, and concentrated to give 121 as a pale yellow oil which was pure enough to use without further purfication ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta 7.95$ $7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.76-7.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38-7.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.15-7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.10$ - $5.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.95-4.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.72-4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.68-2.62$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.52-2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

## Trimethyl 2-(Toluenesulfonylamido)phosphonoacetate (126, $\mathbf{R 2}_{2}=\mathbf{T s}$ ).



Rhodium(II) octanoate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 18.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.03$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 876 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added to toluenesulfonamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 584 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry benzene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product
was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 4:1) to give $\mathbf{1 2 6}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ts}\right)\left(205 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30\right)$ as a white solid (mp $127-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$ yield). IR (KBr) $3441,3241,3146,2946,1741$, 1657, 1632, 1599, 1330, 1251, 1162, 1035, $935 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.74$ - 7.71 ( $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 5.43-5.39 ( 1 H , two broad doublets), 4.51-4.39 ( 1 H , two doublets), $3.85-3.79$ ( 6 H , two doublets), $3.53-3.52$ ( 3 H , two singlets), 2.4 ( 3 H , s); MS m/z (relative intensity) $351\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.5\right)$, 292 (38.5) 242 (38.6), 196 ( 92.2 ), 155 (100) 91 (35.5); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ NO7PS: 351.0542; Found 351.0550.

Trimethyl 2-(Methanesulfonylamido)phosphonoacetate (126, R2 = Ms).


Rhodium(II) octanoate ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 30.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.08$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added to methanesulfonamide ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 5:1) to give $\mathbf{1 2 6}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ms}\right)\left(1 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.34\right)$ as a pale yellow solid (mp $80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$ yield). IR (KBr) $3118,3026,2964,2933$, $1753,1476,1440,1322,1286,1245,1215,1153,1122,1055,1035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 5.42-5.37(1 \mathrm{H}$, two broad doublets), $4.71-4.59(1 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets), $3.91-3.84(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; MS m/z (relative intensity) $275\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 0.7 ), 216 (88.1) 196 (73.6), 136 (14.0), 127 (13.9), 110 (100); HRMS calc for C6H14NO7PS: 275.0229; Found 275.0235.

Trimethyl 2-(Trifluoroacetamido)phosphonoacetate (126, R2 =

## CF3CO).



Rhodium(II) octanoate ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 30.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.04$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 8.89 \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) were added to trifluoroacetamide ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene ( 30 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 4:1) to give $126\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CO}\right)\left(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30\right)$ as a yellow solid ( mp 62 $63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 46 \%$ yield). IR (KBr) 3307, 3016, 2963, 2919, 2855, 1739, 1449, 1417, $1298,1250,1223,1196,1099,1051,1029,916,836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta$ 5.42-5.37 (1 H, two broad doublets), $4.65-4.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, doublet), $3.93-3.85(9 \mathrm{H}$, m), 3.45-3.35 (1 H, br); MS m/z (relative intensity) $275\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.7\right), 216(88.1)$ 196 (73.6), 136 (14.0), 127 (13.9), 110 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{P}$ : 275.0229; Found 275.0235.

## $\mathbf{N}$-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]-p-toluenesulfonylamide (124, $\mathbf{R}_{2}=$

Ts).


Method A: A 1 M solution of $\operatorname{LiN}(T M S)_{2}$ in THF ( $1.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) was added via syringe to phosphonate 126 ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 5 mL ). After the mixture was stirred for 20 min , a 1 M etheral solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) via syringe, and the mixture was stirred for another 10 min . Gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 145 mg ) was bubbled into the solution under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min , and the reaction was continued for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $124\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\right.$ Ts) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4$ ) as an off-white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \%$ yield). IR ( KBr ) 3278, 3234, 3029, 2954, 2911, 2858, 1730, 1634, 1596, 1424, 1338, 1295, 1166, $1091,1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,1.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,1.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.2$ Hz ), $5.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz) $\delta 163.6,144.2,135.6,130.9,129.6,127.5,106.9,53.1,21.5 ;$ MS m/z (relative intensity) $255\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3.8\right), 191$ (44.4), 155 (37.8), 132 (30.1), 91 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO} 4 \mathrm{~S}: 255.0567$; Found 255.0567.


Method B: To a solution of the methyl ester of serine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 60 mL ) trimethylamine ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and 4-
dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 16.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) were added in one portion. The mixture was stirred for 20 min . $p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 13$ mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 12 h . Solvent was removed; and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel to remove base and amino salts, and concentrated in vacuo to give dark red oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $124\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ts}\right)\left(912 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=\right.$ 0.4 ) as a off-white solid (mp.: $96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \%$ yield). IR ( KBr ) $3278,3234,3029$, $2954,2911,2858,1730,1634,1596,1424,1338,1295,1166,1091,1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=8.4,1.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.4,1.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 163.6,144.2$, 135.6, 130.9, 129.6, 127.5, 106.9, 53.1, 21.5; MS m/z (relative intensity) $255\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 3.8), 191 (44.4), 155 (37.8), 132 (30.1), 91 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO} 4 \mathrm{~S}$ : 255.0567; Found 255.0567.

## $\mathbf{N}$-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]methanesulfonamide (124, R2 = Ms).



Method A: To phosphonate $126\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ms}\right)(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 3.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 18 mL ) was added via syringe a 1 M solution of $\operatorname{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$ in $\mathrm{THF}(8.01 \mathrm{~mL}$, $8.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath). After the mixture was stirred for 20 min , a 1 M etheral solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) was added via syringe at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath), and the mixture was
stirred for another 10 min . Gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 1.146 g ) was bubbled into the solution under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min , and the reaction was continued for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:1) to give $124\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ms}\right)\left(252 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $40 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3278,3016,2956,2926,1735,1718,1628,1573,1447,1421,1336,1291$, 1995, 1145, 1004, $974 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 163.4,131.3,106.7,53.3,38.6 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $180\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, 1.7), 191 (44.4), 150 (6.6), 95 (56.1), 80 (100); HRMS calc for C 5 H 9 NO 4 S $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 180.0331$; Found 180.0329.


Method B: To a solution of the methyl ester of serine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 50 mL ), trimethylamine ( $4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, $4 \mathrm{~g}, 32.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) were added in one portion. The mixture was stirred for 20 min . Methanesulfonyl chloride ( 2.5 mL , 32 mmole, 2.5 equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 12 h . Solvent was removed; and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel to remove base and amino salts, and concentrated in vacuo to give dark red oil. The crude product was purified by flash column
chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:1) to give $124\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\right.$ $\mathrm{Ms})\left(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $55 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 3278,3016 , 2956, 2926, 1735, 1718, 1628, 1573, 1447, 1421, 1336, 1291, 1995, 1145, 1004, $974 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 163.4,131.3$, 106.7, 53.3, 38.6; MS m/z (relative intensity) 180 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}, 1.7$ ), 191 (44.4), 150 (6.6), 95 (56.1), 80 (100); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO} 4 \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 180.0331 ; Found 180.0329 .

## N-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]trifluoroacetamide (124, R2 =

## CF3CO).



Method A: To phosphonate $\mathbf{1 2 4}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 3.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 18 mL ) was added via syringe a 1 M solution of $\operatorname{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$ in THF ( 8.01 $\mathrm{mL}, 8.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath). After the mixture was stirred for 20 min , a 1 M etheral solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added via syringe at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath), and the mixture was stirred for another 10 min . Gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 1.146 g ) was bubbled into the solution under a N 2 stream at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min , and the reaction was continued for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried
over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give $124\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CO}\right)\left(300 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $40 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3381,3152,2953,2923,2854,1740,1715,1641,1536,1441,1387$, 1357, 1297, 1213, 1158, $994,964 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.85-8.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, br), $6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 163.2,154.9(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=37.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.9,115.1(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=285.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 112.1, 53.3; MS m/z (relative intensity) $197\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 47.3\right), 165$ (100), 138 (30.2), 69 (51.2); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : 197.0300; Found 197.0298.


Method B: To a solution of the methyl ester of serine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 50 mL ), trimethylamine ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 16.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) were added in one portion. The mixture was stirred for 20 min . Trifluoroacetic anhydride ( 1 mL , $32.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 12 h . Solvent was removed; and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel to remove base and amino salts, and concentrated in vacuo to give yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give 124 ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ CF3CO) ( $705 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $55 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 3381, 3152, 2953, 2923, 2854, 1740, 1715, 1641, 1536, 1441, 1387, 1357, 1297, 1213, $1158,994,964 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta 8.85-8.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 163.2$, $154.9(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=37.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.9,115.1(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=285.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.1,53.3$; MS
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $197\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 47.3\right), 165$ (100), 138 (30.2), 69 (51.2); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : 197.0300; Found 197.0298.

Methyl ( $\mathbf{1} \beta, 2 \beta, 6 \beta$ )-2-[ N -( $p$-Toluenesulphonyl)[1-(methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]amino]-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-ene-4carboxylate (128).


Sulfonamide 124 ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ts}$ ) ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in dry THF ( 15 mL ) and triphenylphosphine ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added. The solution was stirred under nitrogen; and 114 ( $133.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by addition of diethyl azodicarboxylate ( DEAD, $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, purity: $95 \%$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give 128 ( $470 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ) as a white solid ( $73 \%$ yield, mp . 107 $108^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR (neat) $2984,2938,1729,1710,1667,1598,1454,1438,1371,1359$, $1278,1253,1169,1150,1088,1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.83-7.78$ (2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.36-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.66-6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.50-5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.54-3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.39-3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.98-2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.81-2.70(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 166.6,150.3,144.5,137.1$, 131.0, 129.4, 128.0, 127.9, 85.2, 52.9, 52.4, 52.0, 51.9, 27.8, 24.3, 21.5; MS m/z
(relative intensity) 367 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, 0.2$ ), 325 (3.1), 283 (3.0), 253 (13.6), 220 (12.2) 192 (21.1), 91 (23.7); HRMS calc for C19H21NO7S: 407.1039; Found 407.1036.

## Methyl ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2} \beta, 6 \beta$ )-2-[N-Trifluoroacetyl[1-

 (methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]amino]-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-ene-4carboxylate (129).

Trifluoroacetamide 124 ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CO}$ ) ( $870 \mathrm{mg}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ), and triphenylphosphine ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added. The solution was stirred under nitrogen and $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ ( $752 \mathrm{mg}, 4.42$ mmol ) was added, followed by addition of diethyl azodicarboxylate ( DEAD, 878 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, purity: $95 \%$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $\mathbf{1 2 9}\left(771 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $50 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3002,2958,2922,2850,1725,1712,1664,1619,1439,1348,1299,1258$, 1204, 1145, 1101, $1078 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.89-6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.84-$ $5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.52-3.47$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.46-3.43(1H, m), 3.07-2.97(1 H, m), 2.79-2.69(1 H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 166.3,163.2,146.1(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=35.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $138.8,130.3,128.5$, 115.8 ( $1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=285 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 109.4, 68.3, 52.5, 52.1, 50.4, 49.9, 24.4; MS m/z
(relative intensity) $349\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.8\right), 318$ (5.8), 153 (100), 121 (15.6), 93 (33.4) 59 (88.5); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{~F} 3 \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ : 349.0773; Found 349.0778.

## Methyl ( $3 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-[ N - $(p$-Toluenesulphony) [1-

 (methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]amino]-4-hydroxy-5-bromocyclohex-1-ene-1carboxylate (133).

To compound 128 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 15$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 0.13$ equiv) in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ) was added triethylsilyl bromide ( 25 mg , $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 9 $h$ and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. Solvent was removed to give yellow oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3) to give $\mathbf{1 3 3}\left(30 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $51 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\delta 7.79-7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.34$ $7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.71-6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.58-4.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 4.15-4.12 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ ), $4.12-4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.57-$ $3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.20-3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.71-2.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Methyl ( $\mathbf{3} \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-[ N -( $p$-Toluenesulphonyl) [1-(methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]amino]-4-hydroxy-5-iodocyclohex-1-ene-1carboxylate (134).


To compound 128 ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in acetone ( 3 mL ) was added two drops of a $47-51 \%$ aqueous solution of hydrogen iodide at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. Solvent was removed to give a yellow oil. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, passed through a pad of silica gel, and concentrated to give 134 as a pale yellow oil which was pure enough to use without further purfication. ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.78$ $7.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.71-6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.57-4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.26-4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 4.19-4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.57-3.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.24-3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.92-2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.41$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ).

Methyl $3 \alpha, 4 \alpha$-Dihydroxy- $5 \beta$-phenylselenyl-1-cyclohexene-1carboxylate (138).


136


138

To a stirred solution of diol $\mathbf{1 3 6}^{11}$ ( $327 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene ( 15 mL ) was added triethylsilyl bromide ( $192.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Triethylamine
( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The mixture was poured into $10 \% \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO} 4(\mathrm{aq})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:8) to give $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $95 \%$ yield). IR (neat) 3520 , 3054, 2954, 2899, 2809, 1721, 1655, 1579, 1461, 1438, 1385, 1253, 1103, 1045, $1013,956,906,826 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 7.61-7.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.32-7.24$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) , 6.67-6.65 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.63-4.41 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.77-3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.74$ ( 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.02-2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56-2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.97(9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.66(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 166.6,136.8$, $134.9,130.3,129.1,128.0,127.7,69.7,66.8,51.9,40.8,28.1,4.8 ;$ MS m/z (relative intensity) 442 (6.4), 413 (3.2), 267 (100), 153 (25.3); HRMS calc for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H} 30 \mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{SeSi}$ : 442.1079; Found 442.1079.

## Methyl cis-4-Hydroxy-3-triethylsilyloxy-1,5-cyclohexadiene-1-

 carboxylate (140).

To compound 138 ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 115 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO} 4$ (aq) ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ $=1: 1$ ) was added m-CPBA ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 50-60 \%$ purity, 1.0 equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The mixture was poured into
saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ to destroy excess $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{CPBA}$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3) to give $140(26 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R} \mathrm{f}=0.35)$ as a colorless oil ( $80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=4.95,9.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.15,6.15 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(1$ H , ddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.5,5.1,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.77,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.56(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.68(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

## Methyl ( $1 \beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \alpha, 6 \beta$ )-4-Triethylsilyloxy-5-hydroxy-7-

## Oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hep-2-ene-2-carboxylate (141).



To compound $138(110 \mathrm{mg}, 226 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO} 4(\mathrm{aq})(6 \mathrm{~mL}$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=1: 1$ ) was added m -CPBA ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 50-60 \%$ purity, 2.5 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and was monitored by TLC which indicated starting material had disappeared. The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ to destroy excess $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{CPBA}$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:3) to give 141 ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45$ ) as a colorless oil (60\% yield). IR (neat) $3520,2957,2971,2867,1720,1649,1438,1408,1373$, $1252,1147,1117,1077,1011,966,865 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\mathrm{J}=5.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8,5.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$,
$5.4,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8$, $2.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.98(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 165.4,141.3,130.7,87.2,65.4,56.6,52.4,47.8$, 6.8, 5.0.
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## Chapter 4

## Investigations of the Enzyme Isochorismate Synthase: Conversion of Chorismate to Isochorismate



## Introduction

The rational design of analogs provides a valuable way to gain information on how a biological transformation occurs. A properly designed compound could act as an inhibitor of the natural substrate, as an alternate substrate, or as a suicide substrate (mechanism-based inhibitor). Inhibitor studies often give valuable information on how the enzyme binds the substrate at the active site. Transition-state inhibitors help define the shape of the enzyme active site. Alternate substrates can provide useful information about substrate specificity, but probably of greater importance is the potential to form a covalently bound substrate-enzyme complex. Such a complex could give invaluable information as to the location and make-up of the enzyme active site.

While the mechanism of the transformation of chorismic acid to isochorismic acid remains unsolved, the rational design of analogs seemed a logical way to help clarify this important biological transformation which eventually leads to the formation of bacterial siderophore enterobactin.

Isochorismate synthase (entC) and its role in the biosynthesis of 2,3dihydroxybenzoate leading to the siderophore enterobactin were first discovered by Gibson and coworkers. ${ }^{1}$ It catalyzes the equivalent of a 1,5 double-SN2' displacement of the 4-hydroxyl group in chorismate (9) with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give the dihydroaromatic diene isochorismate (18). ${ }^{2}$ Studies have shown that the DNA sequences of $E$. Coli ent $C$ and two other chorismate utilizing enzymes anthranilate synthase and PABA synthase, have about $20 \%$ gene homologies. ${ }^{2}$ These observations suggest that these enzymes may share a common mechanism for the 1,5 double-SN2' displacement reaction (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1


Before studying the mechanism of the ent C catalyzed 1,5 double- $\mathrm{SN}^{2}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement in detail, an initial characterization of isochorismate synthase was necessary. A joint effort by Walsh ${ }^{3}$ and Berchtold group established the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {eq }}$ of the enzyme-reaction (Scheme 2). The Walsh group determined the Keq to be 0.56 by spectrophotometric assay. 3 With the availability of a large quantity of pure entC, the two groups designed a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiment to determine the equilibrium constant. Using the integration of two sets of unique olefinic protons for chorismate and isochorismate $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ was calculated to be 0.66 in favor of chorismate. ${ }^{3}$ This is in reasonable agreement with the Keq determined by the Walsh group. The NMR experiment showed no detectable intermediates or by-
products, not even the known thermal degradation products of chorismate (9) or isochorismate (18).

## Scheme 2



Chorismate 9

$$
K e q=\frac{[\text { isochorismate }]}{[\text { chorismate }]}=\frac{V \max , \mathrm{f}}{V \max , \mathrm{r}} \times \frac{K \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{r}}{K \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{f}}
$$

Another question in the transformation of chorismate to isochorismate was whether the $\mathbf{C} 2$ hydroxyl group of isochorismate is derived from water or from intramolecular transfer of the C 4 hydroxyl group of chorismate. An ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ experiment distinguished between the two alternatives. 3 When chorismate was metabolized by entC in $1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{18}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{16}$ as solvent, incorporation of $50 \% \mathrm{O}^{18}$ was noted in the 2,3-dihydroxybenzoate derived from isochorismate (analysis by mass spectrometry). Control reactions showed no $\mathrm{O}^{18}$ incorporation. These results proved that the nucleophile comes from the solvent rather than from intramolecular transfer of the C 4 hydroxyl group in chorismate(Scheme 3).

With the initial characterization of isochorismate synthase complete, several mechanisms were proposed for the conversion of chorismate to isochorismate. The double-SN2' reaction has some chemical precedent. For example, Berchtold and coworkers reported the opening of benzene oxide 144 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ or $t$-Bu) with methoxide ion or hydroxide ion (Scheme 4). The latter reaction was utilized in their synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-2,3-dihydroxybenzoate. 4

Later, this same reaction was used with a nitrogen nucleophile by Fukuyama and Kishi in the total synthesis of gliotoxin (Scheme 4). 5 In both cases, the

Scheme 3
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149
authors proposed a Michael addition followed by the opening of the epoxide group as indicated in Scheme 4. In biological systems, the double- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement has also been proposed in the conversion of all-trans-retinol (150) to 11-cis-retinol (153) during the visual cycle (Scheme 5). ${ }^{6}$

## Scheme 5



One unique feature of the enzyme-catalyzed double- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{2}$ reaction is the requirement for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion, possibly to serve as a Lewis acid to make the C4hydroxyl group of chorismate a better leaving group. It is also likely that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ may play an even more significant role in the catalytic process. Four mechanisms proposed for the conversion of chorismate to isochorismate are discussed below. Since isochorismate synthase shares significant homology with anthranilate synthase and PABA synthase, unifying mechanisms have been proposed for all three.

## Mechanism A: Michael Addition and Elimination

When water or ammonia is added in a Michael fashion at C2, intermediate 154 is formed (Scheme 6). The dienolate intermediate 154 can be stabilized by
positively charged residues on the enzyme. Once formed, the C 4 hydroxyl group can be displaced in a reverse Michael reaction. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion acts as a general acid to facilitate the elimination of the C 4 hydroxyl group. This mechanism can account for the mechanism of entC and AS, but can not be used to explain the PABA synthase-catalyzed reaction.

Scheme 6


Mechanism B: Catalysis with a nucleophile from the enzyme active site
The mechanism shown in Scheme 7 is well precedented in other classes of enzymes such as the serine and cystine protease families. ${ }^{7}$ In this mechanism, the double-SN2' reaction involves two consecutive $\mathbf{S N}^{2} 2^{\prime}$ reactions. An active site on the enzyme acts as a nucleophile for the first $\mathrm{SN}^{2} 2$ reaction and as a leaving group for the second $\mathrm{SN}^{2}$. The major advantage of this mechanism is that the putative intermediate can lead to all three products involved in isochorismate, anthranilate and $p$-aminobenzoate formation. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ addition of water at C 2 would lead directly to isochorismate (path a). When ammonia is the nucleophile, addition at C 2 position followed by elimination of pyruvate would give anthranilate (path b). The addition of ammonia at C 4 can lead to 4 -amino-4-deoxychorismate (path c), the intermediate for PABA synthase. ${ }^{8}$ During the investigation of AS and PABA
synthase, compounds $156^{9}, 41^{10}$, and 15711 were synthesized to serve as feasible mechanism-based inhibitors to capture the putative nucleophile from the enzyme active site. Unfortunately, none of them gave time-dependent inhibition of either AS or PABA. ${ }^{12}$ Compound 158, which is an analog of $\mathbf{1 5 5}$, was synthesized by Quinn and Berchtold and was tested with entC. ${ }^{13}$ It showed no behavior as a substrate or as an inhibitor. It is unknown whether the epoxide group at the C4C5 position blocks the compound from the binding active site of isochorismate synthase. Since the enzymatic results of epoxide 158 were inconclusive, no conclusions could be drawn.

## Scheme 7




Mechanism C: Covalent catalysis with a nucleophile from the substrate itself

The intramolecular nucleophilic displacement of the C 4 hydroxyl group by the enolpyruvyl carboxylate group is similar to mechanism B (Scheme 8).

Scheme 8


A second $\mathrm{SN}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ reaction with water or ammonia at C 2 position with subsequent opening of the lactone would yield 18 and 24 respectively. If ammonia were to attack at the C 4 position, intermediate 25 would be formed. For stereochemical reasons this pathway was considered unlikely.

## Mechanism D: Concerted mechanism involving a Mg-bound transition state

The fact that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ can form hexacoordinate complexes with oxygen ligands suggests that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ may play a more significant role in the catalysis. It may help deliver the incoming hydroxyl group (Scheme 9). In this mechanism, the magnesium ion is bound to the enzyme with at least one water molecule in its coordination sphere. In the transition state, the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O}$ bond is partially broken while a $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}$ bond is partially formed, with concomitant shifting of the diene $\pi$ bonds within the six-membered ring. The transition state has a boat-like geometry. When the Mg-bound water is replaced with ammonia, the intermediate for AS is formed.

Scheme 9


Compound 161 was synthesized and tested by Bartlett and coworkers and found to be a good competitive inhibitor of the enzyme ent C ( $K_{\mathbf{i}}=0.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$, vs.
$K_{\mathrm{m}}=7.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for chorismate). ${ }^{14}$ This result supports mechanism D. However, there is still insufficient evidence to eliminate conclusively any of the other three mechanistic possibilities. Only after some of the mechanism-based inhibitors, putative intermediates and transition state analogs are made can we gain more insight into the mechanism of these reactions.


In view of the equilibrium established between $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}-9$ and $\mathbf{S , S - 1 8}$ in the isochorismate synthase-catalyzed reaction ( $K_{\text {eq }} \sim 0.56-0.66$ ) and considering the structural relationship between chorismate and isochorismate, it was of

## Scheme 10




R,R-162
(R,R-5-carboxychorismate or R,R-5-carboxyisochorismate)

S,S-162
(S,S-5-carboxychorismate or
S,S-5-carboxyisochorismate)
interest to prepare the 5-carboxy derivatives of $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}-9$ and $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}-18$ (Scheme 10) since the two are enantiomers, $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}-162$ and $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}-\mathbf{1 6 2}$, respectively. Assuming the enzyme can tolerate the addition of the carboxyl group, $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}-162$ would be a substrate for the forward reaction; and S,S-162 would be a substrate for the reverse reaction. This is a unique situation in which racemization of either enantiomer should occur via what is an overall double $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement reaction. Consequently, a synthetic approach to ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ was planned with the intention of resolving an intermediate to one or both of the pure enantiomers somewhere in the synthetic pathway.

## Results and Discussion

## Attempted Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-5-Carboxychorismate (162).

Retrosynthetic analysis indicated that $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ could be designed from transepoxy alcohol 164 via cyclohexadiene derivative 165 in Scheme 11. The sidechain could be appended as described by Berchtold and coworkers for the total synthesis of optically pure chorismic acid, and saponification would lead to compound 162.

## Scheme 11



The route used for the attempted synthesis of the $( \pm)-162$ is depicted in Scheme 12. Cyclohexadienyl alcohol 16515 was converted into compound 166 by the coupling of trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with rhodium(II) octanoate

Scheme 12a


[^0]catalysis in dry benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave phosphonate 166 in a high yield after flash column chromatography. Epoxidation of $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ with MCPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$
$\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO} 4(\mathrm{aq})$ proceeded smoothly to give epoxide 167. Wittig-type reaction of 167 by treatment with strong base, $\operatorname{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}$, and quenching with gaseous formaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ in an excellent yield. Trimethylsilyl cyanide reacted with epoxide 168 under catalysis by $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}{ }^{16}$ to produce $\beta$-hydroxy nitrile 169 in a good yield. Acidic hydrolysis of $\beta$-hydroxy nitrile 169 in methanolic HCl led to aromatic material. Bis bromination of $\beta$-hydroxy nitrile 169 with NBS in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ was very sluggish. No desired product (171) was found after purification by preparative plate chromatography. This route was abandoned at this stage in view of the number of steps that would be required to complete the synthesis.

Another route has been widely used for the syntheses of chorismate analogs. 17 Retrosynthetic analysis indicated that 162 could be designed from trans-epoxy allylic alcohol 172, derived from compound 114, via cyclohexene derivative 173 in Scheme 13. The side-chain could be appended as described by Berchtold and coworkers for the total synthesis of optically pure chorismic acid, and saponification would lead to compound 162.

Scheme 13


The route used for the synthesis is depicted in Scheme 14. Coupling of trans-epoxy allylic alcohol 172 and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate with
rhodium(II) octanoate catalysis in dry benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave phosphonate $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ in a quantitative yield after flash column chromatography. Ester 174 was transformed to $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ by the Wittig-type reaction with strong base, $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}$, followed by quenching with gaseous formaldehyde at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ in a

Scheme $14{ }^{\text {a }}$



${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) NBS, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$; (b) NaI, acetone; (c) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, Rose Bangel, acetone, hv; (d) DBN, benzene; (e) acetic acid, DEAD, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF; (f) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; (g) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { Octanoate })_{4}$, benzene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (h) $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (i) $\mathrm{TMSCN}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, aqueous work-up.
good yield. Trimethylsilyl cyanide reacted with epoxide $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ under catalysis by $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}{ }^{16}$ to produce the $\beta$-hydroxy nitrile $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ in a good yield along with side product 177. This cyclization was not found for the reaction of allylic epoxide 168 in Scheme 12. Exposure of $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ to strong base, LDA, in THF along with PhSeBr at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature gave an unknown product, and no desired product $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ was detected. This route was abandoned at this stage.

Posner et al reported the synthesis of highly functionalized cyclohexenes having a skeleton similar to 162.18 Retrosynthetic analysis was modified to use the reported procedure ${ }^{18}$ for synthesis of $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ from the Diels-Alder adduct $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ via methyl bromocoumalate (179) with 1,3-dioxole (180) ${ }^{18}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under drastic conditions (Scheme 15).

## Scheme 15



The route used for the synthesis is outlined in Scheme 16. Bromination of methyl coumalate (182) was accomplished by a reported procedure in excellent yield. 19 Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ with 1,3-dioxole (180) ${ }^{18}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under drastic conditions in the presence of base afforded predominately endo-adduct 181 in which the dioxole oxygen atoms are trans to the lactone bridge. Methanolysis 18 of the lactone of dioxole cycloadduct 181 did not provide cyclohexene 183 at room temperature or with heating.

At this stage we were notified by the Walsh group at Harvard Medical School that the isochorismate synthase available no longer had any activity, and there were no plans to obtain an additional supply of the enzyme. Consequently, synthetic efforts to prepare 162 were terminated.

Scheme $\mathbf{1 6}^{\mathbf{a}}$

$(+)-162$ or (-)-162
183
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \Delta$; (b) $\mathbf{1 8 0}, \mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \Delta$.

## Experimental

Methyl 5-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-(dimethylphosphono)methoxy]-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1-carboxylate (166).


165

$\mathrm{Bz}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ $100 \%$


166

To starting material $165{ }^{15}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene was added rhodium(II) octanoate ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.01$ equiv) and trimethyl diazophosphonoacetate ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 6.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The reaction mixture was brought to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 5:1) to give $166\left(1.45 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $100 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3006,2958,2908,2856,1751,1709,1438,1263,1199,1102,1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz ) $\mathbf{~ 7 . 1 - 7 . 0 ( 1 H , ~ m}), 6.40-6.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.52-4.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.90$ - 3.75 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.13-2.96( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.68-2.50 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); MS m/z (relative intensity) 334 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.6$ ), 182 (38.5), 109 (65.6), 93 (100); LRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{13 \mathrm{H}}^{19 \mathrm{O}} 8 \mathrm{P}$ : 334.

Methyl ( $1 \beta, 5 \beta, 6 \beta$ )-5-[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-
(dimethylphosphono)methoxy]-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-2-ene-3-carboxylate (167).


To compound 166 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0$ mmole) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})(40 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ $=1: 1)$ was added m-CPBA ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 50-60 \%$ purity, 1.2 equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ to destroy excess m-CPBA and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:4) to give 167 (890 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $85 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.16-7.10$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.73-4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.37-4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88-3.75(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.58-3.52$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.96-2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26-2.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

## Methyl (1 $1,5 \beta, 6 \beta$ )-5-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-7-

 oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-2-ene-3-carboxylate (168).

To phosphonate 167 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added via syringe a 1 M solution of $\operatorname{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS}) 2$ in $\operatorname{THF}(855 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.855 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath). After the mixture was stirred for an additional

20 min , gaseous formaldehyde (generated from paraformaldehyde, 171 mg ) was bubbled into the solution under a N 2 stream at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone-Dry Ice bath) over 10 min . The reaction was continued for 3 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO} 4$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give 168 (130 $\mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a colorless oil ( $90 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3007,2946,2908,2856$, $1724,1713,1644,1619,1438,1263,1210,1108,1044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta$ $7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,4.08 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.77 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=0.5$, $2.77 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=0.5,2.0,2.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,2.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.07 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,2.0$, 17.9 Hz ), $2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,5.4,17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 165.8,163.0$, 149.5, 132.4, 130.4, 98.1, 69.9, 53.8, 52.1, 51.9, 46.6, 24.7; MS m/z (relative intensity) 254 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.4$ ), 222 (7.1), 153 (100), 125 (58.5), 93 (37.7), 59 (85.6);
LRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14 \mathrm{O}}^{6}$ : 254.

## Methyl (3 $3,4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-Cyano-4-hydroxy-5-[[1-

(methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylate (169).




To epoxide 168 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ mmole) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via syringe anhydrous zinc dichloride ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ mmole, 1 equiv), and trimethylsilyl
cyanide ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at room temperature by the addition of MeOH and stirred for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was dissolved in water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$,, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:1) to give 169 ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $82 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3583,3472,3462$, $3452,3444,3166,3004,2918,2851,1720,1659,1622,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.62-4.56(1 H, m), 4.11-3.96(2 H, m), $3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$, $3.11(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=1.5,5.2,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $\mathrm{J}=3,3.9,5.4,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 165.4,163.7,149.4,136.2,128.2,99.0,75.3,59.0,52.3,52.0$, 28.4; MS m/z (relative intensity) $281\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.2\right), 255$ (1.5), 189 (100), 125 (58.5), 93 (60.0), 59 (58.4); LRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: 281$.

## Methyl (3 $\beta, 4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ )-3-[[1-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]oxy]-4-hydroxy-

5-cyano-1-cyclohexen-1-carboxylate (176).
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To epoxide 17517 (200 mg, 0.79 mmole ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via syringe anhydrous zinc dichloride ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79$ mmole, 1 equiv) and trimethylsilyl cyanide ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 158 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.185 \mathrm{mmole}, 1.5$ equiv). The resulting
mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and monitored by TLC which indicated starting material disappeared. The reaction was quenched at room temperature by the addition of MeOH and stirred for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was dissolved in water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $1: 1)$ to give 176 ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3$ ) as a pale yellow oil ( $85 \%$ yield). IR (neat) $3585,3477,3452,3444,3166,3026,3004,2918,2851,1724,1662,1620,1434$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $\delta 6.82-6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.94(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68-4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.15-3.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), 3.21-3.10(1 H, m), 2.73-2.60(1 H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 165.4,163.5$, $149.6,133.3,130.4,98.8,78.5,74.1,58.0,52.5,52.2,34.4$.
( $1 \alpha, 6 \beta, 10 \alpha$ )-10-Cyano-8-methoxycarbonyl-4-methylene-2,5dioxabicyclo[4.4.0] dec-7-en-3-one (177).


Lactone 177 is the side product of the reaction as shown above. This product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:1) to give $177\left(28 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.5\right)$ as a pale yellow oil ( $15 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 6.82-6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92-4.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.78-4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.45-4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.90-2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 2.53-2.41 (1H, m).

Methyl 2-Oxo-3-bromo-2H-pyran-5-carboxylate (179).


To methyl coumalate ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in carbon tetrachloride ( 60 mL ) bromine ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmole}$, 1.5 equiv) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 40 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was washed with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to a yellow solid. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 2:1) to give $179\left(3.9 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.55\right)$ as a pale yellow solid $\left(84 \%\right.$ yield, $\left.\mathrm{mp} .=128-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Methyl ( $1 \alpha, 2 \beta, 5 \beta, 6 \alpha$ )-5-Bromo-7,9,10-trioxa-11oxotricyclo[4.3.0.2 ${ }^{2,5}$ ]undec-3-ene-3-carboxylate (181).


A 10 mL hydrolysis tube was charged with 3-bromo-2-pyrone (179) (163 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmole}$ ), barium carbonate ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmole}$ ), 1,3-dioxole ( $\mathbf{1 8 0})^{18}$ (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The tube was sealed under nitrogen and
warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . The reaction was cooled, diluted with methylene chloride, and filtered. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by MPLC on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:2) to give $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Rf}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35$ ) as a colorless oil ( $70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.25-$
$7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
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Appendix

## Selected NMR Spectra














Figure 12: HETCOR of Compound 87.








[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { Octanoate })_{4}$, benzene, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{pH}=8$; (c) $\mathrm{LiN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) TMSCN, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, aqueous work-up.

