

A FATOU-TYPE THEOREM FOR HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES¹

BY S. HELGASON AND A. KORÁNYI

Communicated by G. D. Mostow, August 31, 1967

1. Introduction. The result to be proved in this article is that if u is a bounded harmonic function on a symmetric space X and x_0 any point in X then u has a limit along almost every geodesic in X starting at x_0 (Theorem 2.3). In the case when X is the unit disk with the non-Euclidean metric this result reduces to the classical Fatou theorem (for radial limits). When specialized to this case our proof is quite different from the usual one; in fact it corresponds to transforming the Poisson integral of the unit disk to that of the upper half-plane and using only a homogeneity property of the Poisson kernel. The kernel itself never enters into the proof.

2. Harmonic functions on symmetric spaces. Let G be a semisimple connected Lie group with finite center, K a maximal compact subgroup of G and \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} their respective Lie algebras. Let B denote the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{p} the corresponding orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} . Let Ad denote the adjoint representation of G . As usual we view \mathfrak{p} as the tangent space to the symmetric space $X = G/K$ at the origin $o = \{K\}$ and accordingly give X the G -invariant Riemannian structure induced by the restriction of B to $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$. Let Δ denote the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator.

Fix a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and let M denote the centralizer of \mathfrak{a} in K . If λ is a linear function on \mathfrak{a} and $\lambda \neq 0$ let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H, X] = \lambda(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$; λ is called a restricted root if $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \neq 0$. Let \mathfrak{a}' denote the open subset of \mathfrak{a} where all restricted roots are $\neq 0$. Fix a Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ in \mathfrak{a} , i.e. a connected component of \mathfrak{a}' . A restricted root α is called positive (denoted $\alpha > 0$) if its values on \mathfrak{a}^+ are positive. Let the linear function ρ on \mathfrak{a} be determined by $2\rho = \sum_{\alpha > 0} (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)\alpha$ and denote the subalgebras $\sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ of \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{n} and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ respectively. Let N and \bar{N} denote the corresponding analytic subgroups of G .

By a Weyl chamber in \mathfrak{p} we understand a Weyl chamber in some maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . The *boundary* of X is defined as the set B of all Weyl chambers in the tangent space \mathfrak{p} to X at o ; since this boundary is via the map $kM \rightarrow \text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{a}^+$ identified with K/M , which by the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ equals G/MAN , this defi-

¹ This work was supported by the National Science Foundation, GP 7477 and GP 6155.

dition of boundary is equivalent to Furstenberg's [2] (see also [6] and [4]). In particular the group G acts transitively on B as well as on X . The two actions will be denoted $(g, b) \rightarrow g(b)$ and $(g, x) \rightarrow g \cdot x$ ($g \in G, b \in B, x \in X$). Let db denote the unique K -invariant measure on B normalized by $\int_B db = 1$. Then according to Furstenberg [2], the mapping $f \rightarrow u$ where

$$(1) \quad u(g \cdot o) = \int_B f(g(b)) db \quad (g \in G),$$

is a bijection of the set $L^\infty(B)$ of bounded measurable functions on B onto the set of bounded solutions of Laplace's equation $\Delta u = 0$ on X . The function u in (1) is called the *Poisson integral* of f .

If $g \in G$ let $k(g) \in K, H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ be determined by $g = k(g) \exp H(g)n$ ($n \in N$). Observe that if g^h denotes hgh^{-1} for $h \in G$ then $k(\bar{n}^m) = k(\bar{n})^m, H(\bar{n}^m) = H(\bar{n})$ for $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}, m \in M$. According to Harish-Chandra [3, Lemma 44], the mapping $\bar{n} \rightarrow k(\bar{n})M$ is a bijection of \bar{N} onto a subset of K/M whose complement is of lower dimension and if f is a continuous function on B , then

$$(2) \quad \int_B f(b) db = \int_{\bar{N}} f(k(\bar{n})M) \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))) d\bar{n}$$

for a suitably normalized Haar measure $d\bar{n}$ on \bar{N} . If $a \in A$ we have $a k(\bar{n})MAN = k(\bar{n}^a)MAN$ whence

$$(3) \quad a(k(\bar{n})M) = k(\bar{n}^a)M$$

so the action of a on the boundary corresponds to the conjugation $\bar{n} \rightarrow \bar{n}^a$ on \bar{N} .

Let E_1, \dots, E_r be a basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ such that each E_i lies in some $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$, say $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$. Since the map $\exp: \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is a bijection we can, for each $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, consider the function $\bar{n} \rightarrow |\bar{n}|_H$ defined as follows: If $\bar{n} = \exp(\sum_1^r a_i E_i)$ ($a_i \in \mathbb{R}$) we put

$$|\bar{n}|_H = \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq r} (|a_i|^{1/\alpha_i(H)})$$

Since

$$(4) \quad \bar{n}^{\exp tH} = \exp\left(\sum_1^r a_i \exp(-\alpha_i(H)t) E_i\right)$$

we have

$$(5) \quad |\bar{n}^{\exp tH}|_H = e^{-t} |\bar{n}|_H \quad \text{for } \bar{n} \in \bar{N}, t \in \mathbb{R}, H \in \mathfrak{a}^+.$$

For $r > 0$ let $B_{H,r}$ denote the set $\{\bar{n} \in \bar{N} \mid |\bar{n}|_H < r\}$ and let $V_{H,r}$ denote the volume of $B_{H,r}$ (with respect to the Haar measure on \bar{N}).

LEMMA 2.1. Let $f \in L^\infty(B)$ and u the Poisson integral (1) of f . Put $F(\bar{n}) = f(k(\bar{n})M)$ for $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$. Fix $\bar{n}_0 \in \bar{N}$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and assume

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{V_{H,r}} \int_{B_{H,r}} |F(\bar{n}_0\bar{n}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| d\bar{n} \rightarrow 0$$

for $r \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(k(\bar{n}_0) \exp tH \cdot o) = f(k(\bar{n}_0)M).$$

PROOF. By the Iwasawa decomposition we can write $\bar{n}_0 = k(\bar{n}_0) \cdot (a_1 n_1)^{-1}$ ($a_1 \in A$, $n_1 \in N$) so

$$u(k(\bar{n}_0) \exp tH \cdot o) = u(\bar{n}_0 a_1 n_1 \exp tH \cdot o) = u(\bar{n}_0 \exp tH a_1 n_1^{\exp(-tH)} \cdot o).$$

But $G = A\bar{N}K$ so $n_1^{\exp(-tH)} = a(t)\bar{n}(t)k(t)$, each factor tending to e as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. If $H_t \in \mathfrak{a}$ is determined by

$$\exp tH_t = \exp tH a_1 a(t)$$

we have

$$u(k(\bar{n}_0) \exp tH \cdot o) = u(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}(t)^{\exp tH_t} \exp tH_t \cdot o).$$

The function $f'(b) = f(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}(t)^{\exp tH_t}(b))$ has Poisson integral $u'(x) = u(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}(t)^{\exp tH_t} \cdot x)$; using (1) on u' and f' with $g = \exp tH_t$ we get from (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} u(k(\bar{n}_0) \exp tH \cdot o) - f(k(\bar{n}_0)M) \\ = \int_{\bar{N}} (F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}(t)^{\exp tH_t} \bar{n}^{\exp tH_t}) - F(\bar{n}_0)) \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))) d\bar{n} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & |u(k(\bar{n}_0) \exp tH \cdot o) - f(k(\bar{n}_0)M)| \\ & \leq \int_{\bar{N}} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}^{\exp tH_t}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}(t)^{-1}\bar{n}))) d\bar{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $c > 0$ let \bar{N}_c denote the "square"

$$\bar{N}_c = \left\{ \exp \left(\sum_1^r a_i E_i \right) \mid |a_i| \leq c, 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}.$$

The integral on the right in (7) equals the sum

$$(8) \quad \int_{\bar{N}_c} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}^{\exp tH_t}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}(t)^{-1}\bar{n}))) d\bar{n} + \int_{\bar{N}-\bar{N}_c} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}^{\exp tH_t}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}(t)^{-1}\bar{n}))) d\bar{n}.$$

Since $\rho(H(\bar{n})) \geq 0$ for all $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ ([3, p. 287]) and since the mapping $\bar{n} \rightarrow \bar{n}^{\exp tH}$ has Jacobian $\exp(-2\rho(H))$ (cf. (4)) we see that

$$(9) \quad \int_{\bar{N}_c} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}^{\exp tH_t}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}(t)^{-1}\bar{n}))) d\bar{n} \leq \exp(2\rho(tH_t)) \int_{\bar{N}_c^{\exp tH_t}} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| d\bar{n}.$$

Now $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}_c^{\exp tH_t}$ if and only if

$$\bar{n} = \exp(\sum a_i e^{-a_i(tH_t)} E_i) \quad \text{where } |a_i| \leq c$$

and $tH_t, -tH$ is bounded (for fixed \bar{n}_0 and H). It follows that

$$\bar{N}_c^{\exp tH_t} \subset B_{H, d_0 e^{-t}} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

$d = d(H, \bar{n}_0, c)$ being a constant. But since the map $\exp: \bar{n} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is measure-preserving it is clear that

$$V_{H, d_0 e^{-t}} = \exp(-2\rho(H)t) d_1 \quad t \geq 0$$

where $d_1 = d_1(H, \bar{n}_0, c)$ is another constant. Also

$$\exp(2\rho(tH_t)) \leq \exp(2\rho(tH)) d_2$$

where $d_2(H, \bar{n}_0)$ is a constant. Thus the right hand side of (9) can be majorized for all $t \geq 0$:

$$(10) \quad \exp 2\rho(tH_t) \int_{\bar{N}_c^{\exp tH_t}} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| d\bar{n} \leq d_2 \frac{1}{V_{H, d_0 e^{-t}}} \int_{B_{H, d_0 e^{-t}}} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| d\bar{n}$$

where d and d_2 are constants depending on H, \bar{n}_0 and c .

On the other hand, if $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ denotes the uniform norm on \bar{N} the second term in (8) is majorized by

$$(11) \quad 2\|F\|_\infty \int_{\bar{N}-\bar{N}_c} \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}(t)^{-1}\bar{n}))) d\bar{n} = 2\|F\|_\infty \left(1 - \int_{\bar{n}(t)\bar{N}_c} \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))) d\bar{n}\right).$$

Now given $\epsilon > 0$ we first choose c so large that

$$2\|F\|_\infty \left(1 - \int_{\bar{N}_{c/2}} \exp(-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))) d\bar{n}\right) < \epsilon/2;$$

since $\bar{n}(t) \rightarrow e$ for $t \rightarrow +\infty$ we can choose t_1 such that $\bar{n}(t)\bar{N}_c \supset \bar{N}_{c/2}$ for $t \geq t_1$. Then the expression in (11) is $< \epsilon/2$ for $t \geq t_1$; by our assumption (6) we can choose t_2 such that the right hand side of (10) is $< \epsilon/2$ for $t > t_2$. In view of (7) and (8) this proves the lemma.

The next lemma shows that, for a fixed H , the assumption of Lemma 2.1 actually holds for almost all $\bar{n}_0 \in \bar{N}$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $F \in L^\infty(\bar{N})$ and fix $H \in \alpha^+$. Then*

$$(12) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{V_{H,r}} \int_{B_{H,r}} |F(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}) - F(\bar{n}_0)| d\bar{n} = 0$$

for almost all $\bar{n}_0 \in \bar{N}$.

The proof of this result is essentially in the literature: In [1] Edwards and Hewitt give all the necessary arguments for the case of a discrete sequence tending to 0 and everything they do remains trivially valid in the case $r \rightarrow 0$. The result in the exact form required here was also proved by E. M. Stein independently of [1] (cf. his expository article [6]).

THEOREM 2.3. *Let u be a bounded solution of Laplace's equation $\Delta u = 0$ on the symmetric space X . Then for almost all geodesics $\gamma(t)$ starting at o*

$$(13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(\gamma(t)) \text{ exists.}$$

PROOF. Let $S^+ = \{H \in \alpha^+ \mid B(H, H) = 1\}$. Then the mapping $(kM, H) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(k)H$ is a bijection of $(K/M) \times S^+$ onto a subset of the unit sphere S in \mathfrak{p} whose complement has lower dimension. Since $\dim(K/M - k(\bar{N})/M) < \dim K/M$ the mapping $(\bar{n}, H) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(k(\bar{n}))H$ is a bijection of $\bar{N} \times S^+$ onto a subset of S whose complement in S has lower dimension. If \bar{N}_H denotes the set of \bar{n}_0 for which (12) holds (with $F(\bar{n}) = f(k(\bar{n})M)$) and if $S_0 = \bigcup_{H \in S^+} \text{Ad}(k(\bar{N}_H))H$ it follows from the Fubini theorem that $S - S_0$ is a null set. This concludes the proof.

REMARKS. (i) If f is continuous the limit relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(k \exp tH \cdot o) = f(kM) \quad (H \in \alpha^+, kM \in K/M)$$

follows immediately from (1), (2) and (3), by use of the dominated convergence theorem. (See also [4, Theorem 18.3.2.]) In particular, u has the same limit along all geodesics from o which lie in the same Weyl chamber in \mathfrak{p} .

(ii) In the case when X has rank one ($\dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$) A. W. Knap [5] has proved (13), even under the weaker assumption that $f \in L^1(B)$.

REFERENCES

1. R. E. Edwards and E. Hewitt, *Pointwise limits for sequences of convolution operators*, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 181–218.
2. H. Furstenberg, *A Poisson formula for semisimple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963), 335–386.
3. Harish-Chandra, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group*. I, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 241–310.
4. F. I. Karpelevič, *Geometry of geodesics and eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on symmetric spaces*, Trudy Moscov. Mat. Obšč. 14 (1965), 48–185.
5. A. W. Knap, unpublished manuscript.
6. C. Moore, *Compactifications of symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 201–218.
7. E. M. Stein, *Maximal functions and Fatou's theorem*, C.I.M.E. summer course on bounded homogeneous domains, Cremonese, 1967.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND
YESHIVA UNIVERSITY