Correlating gene transfection efficiency and the physical properties of various cationic poly(methacrylate) systems
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Abstract — Transfection efficiencies of several polymeric gene carriers were compared and correlated quantitatively to the amounts of cellular accumulation of plasmid DNA and to the expression of mRNA by quantitative real time PCR. Three cationic methacrylate polymer systems with similar chemical structure were used in this study, namely: poly(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (PDMA) homopolymer, PEO-b-PDMA copolymer and PEO-b-poly(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (PEO-b-PDEA) copolymer. Despite their similar chemical structures, their transfection efficiencies were significantly different. PEO-b-PDEA copolymer was significantly less efficient as gene carrier compared to both PDMA and PEO-b-PDMA systems. Results from quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (real-time PCR), cytotoxicity and Zeta potential measurements showed correlations between the physical properties of the polymers and the efficiencies of cellular uptake of the transgene and transfections. In the case of PEO-b-PDEA system, cytotoxicity was due primarily to the excess polymers that did not participate in the DNA binding. In addition, the inability of the polymer/DNA complexes to interact with cell effectively was identified as the main barrier for high efficiency of transfection. This study demonstrated that the use of quantitative real-time PCR in combination with other physical characterization techniques can provide greater insights into the transfection barrier at different cellular levels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, gene delivery has demonstrated great potential for treating genetic deficiencies ¹, ². Hence, the need to develop effective gene carriers has attracted a great deal of attention. Non-viral gene delivery systems such as cationic lipid and polymeric systems become an attractive route as viral delivery systems pose safety concerns unlikely to be resolved in the near future ³, ⁴. For instance, the LipofectAMINE lipid system ¹ has been used for the delivery of plasmid DNA into human islets and polyethyleneimine (PEI) polymeric systems ⁵ have been used for in vivo delivery of antisense oligonucleotides.

Cationic polymers are a leading class of gene delivery systems because of their molecular diversity that can be modified to fine-tune their physicochemical properties ⁸, ⁹. Polymeric systems commonly used in gene delivery include homopolymers (e.g.: PDMA ¹⁰), block copolymers (e.g., PEI-b-Pluronic ⁵) and polypeptide hydrogels ¹¹. However, despite the variety and versatility of these proposed non-viral transfection agents, there are several problems such as low biocompatibility, toxicity and, in particular, low transfection efficiency, that need to be addressed prior to their practical use.

Successful transfection depends on the delivery of the gene into the nucleus and the subsequent expression within the cell ¹², ¹³. Understanding the interactions between the gene carriers with the components responsible for their cellular uptake and intracellular pathways is essential for the rational design of the high transfection efficiency carriers.

In the present study, we compared the transfection efficiency of several cationic polymer systems using real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), which has been used extensively to quantify gene expression and copy number ¹⁵, ¹⁶ and has recently been used to quantify the amount of plasmid DNA taken up by cells in transfection studies ¹². In general, good correlation between protein and mRNA expression levels is widely observed, but discordant correlation is not unusual ¹⁷, ¹⁸. In this study, undertaken to identify the transfection barrier, we quantified and compared the amount of cellular accumulation of plasmid DNA and the expression level of the transgene using sequence independent real-time PCR and correlated them to the amount of protein expressed and the biophysical properties of the various gene carriers used.
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Fig. 1: Chemical structures of polymer systems used in this study.
Three cationic polymer systems with similar chemical structures were used in this study (Fig. 1). PDMA is a cationic hydrophilic polymer which has attracted considerable attention for gene therapy applications, both as a homopolymer and as block copolymers with polyethers, such as PEO-b-PDMA, which we consider here. PEO-b-PDEA is an amphiphilic block copolymer capable of forming pH-dependent micelles. At physiological pH (pH 7.4), PDEA chains are somewhat hydrophobic and drive the formation of micelles which are stabilized by the hydrophilic PEO segments. The cationic PDEA segments of the copolymer are able to bind to negatively charged DNA to form polymer/DNA complexes. However, despite the similarities in the chemical structures of PDMA and PDEA, the number of cells transfected by their respective copolymers with PEO was found to be distinctively different. From the quantitative real time PCR results, cytotoxicity data and Zeta potential measurements, a correlation between the biophysical properties of the polymer/DNA polyplexes, cellular accumulation of plasmid DNA and the transfection efficiencies were observed. This study showed that quantifying the accumulation and expression of cellular transgenes, complementing with cytotoxicity studies and in combination with biophysical studies will enable a better understanding of the factors responsible for transfection efficiency.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Preparation of Plasmid DNA. Plasmid GFP (pIRES-hrGFP-2a), an expression vector containing the fully humanized green fluorescence protein (GFP) driven by CMV promoter was obtained from Stratagene Inc. The plasmid was amplified using Escherichia coli (DH5α) and purified using the Quantum Plasmid Miniprep kit (Biorad).

Polymer Synthesis. A full description of the synthesis and characterization of the polymers has recently been reported. The degree of polymerization (DP) for the three polymer systems is about 65 -75 repeat units of poly(styrene GPC standard. The molecular weight of the PEO polymer (Dow Chemical) was Mn ~ 5000 Da.

Zeta Potential Measurement. The measurements were carried out using a Brookhaven Zeta PALS (phase analyzer light scattering) as described previously.

Cell culture and Cytotoxicity (MTS assay) Study. Neuro2A cells (CCL-131, ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modification of Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) at 37 °C, 5% CO2 and 95% relative humidity. For RNA analysis and expression of transgene, the culture medium was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, and the cells were cultured for 96 h. Thereafter, the number of fluorescent positive cells and total RNA was extracted for real-time PCR.

Real time PCR using SYBR Green I. Real-time PCR was carried out on the iCycler iQ (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), following an initial denaturation for 3 min at 95 °C, by 40 cycles of 60 s denaturation at 95 °C, 30 s annealing at 60 °C and 60 s extension at 72 °C. Fluorescence detection was used during the annealing phase. The reaction was carried out in a total volume of 40 μl in 1x XtensaMix-SG™ (BioWORKS), containing 2.5 mM MgCl2, 10 pmol of primers and 0.5 U DNA polymerase (DyNAzyme II, Finnzymes Oy, Espoo, Finland). All real-time PCR reactions were carried out simultaneously with linearized plasmid standards and a negative control (water).

Cytotoxicity. The toxicity of various polymer/DNA complexes in Neuro2A cells was investigated using MTS assay, with the results shown in Fig. 2. A general trend of increasing toxicity with increasing polymer concentration was observed for all polymer systems. However, despite the similarity of the chemical structures of the polymers, the effects on cell viability were different for each of the three polymers. The toxicity varied linearly with concentration for both PDMAEMA and PEO-b-PDMA; as many as 80% of the cells were viable below polymer concentrations of 50 μg/ml. For PEO-b-PDEA system, however, a sigmoidal variation in cell viability with polymer concentration was observed with a sharp increase in the cytotoxicity (< 50% cell viability) for polymer
concentrations above 50 µg/ml. Interestingly, our previous work on the aggregation behavior of the PEO-b-PDEA copolymer with plasmid DNA showed that at concentration of approximately 50 µg/ml, PEO-b-PDEA/DNA complexes undergo structural transformation. Below that concentration, both PDMA homopolymer and PEO-b-PDEA copolymer polyplexes have similar morphologies and particle sizes. Above this critical concentration, PEO-b-PDEA/DNA complexes undergo significant structural rearrangement to form compact micelle-like structures, driven by the amphiphilic nature of this copolymer. This observation suggests that the amphiphilic micelle-like structures induce higher cytotoxicity as compared to homopolymer PDMA/DNA complexes that do not undergo structural transformation.

Guided by these toxicity results, we carried out all subsequent transfection studies at polymer concentrations 50 µg/ml and below, where cytotoxicity was minimal for all three polymer systems.

**In Vitro Transfection of Neuro2A cells.** Fluorescence microscopy was used to evaluate the transfection efficiencies at the protein level for the various polymer systems. Significant higher number of GFP-transfected cells was observed with either PDMA or PEO-b-PDMA polyplexes compared to the result in the absence of the polymeric transfection agents (i.e. naked DNA only). These results were consistent with other reports where PDMA and/or its copolymers was found to enhance transfection. However, the transfection efficiency of the PEO-b-PDEA copolymer (< 0.1 % cells transfected) was significantly lower than PDMA (12 ± 0.9 % cells transfected), or PEO-b-PDMA (11 ± 0.7 % cells transfected). Despite the similarities in the chemical structures of the polymers there were significant differences in the transfection efficiencies. In an attempt to better understand the structural-functional relationships of the polymers, the zeta potentials were measured and the cellular uptake and expression of the transgene quantified by real-time PCR.

**Zeta Potential Results.** Zeta potential represents the overall surface charge of the system. Fig 3 shows the zeta potentials of the various polymer/DNA complexes as a function of the total polymer concentration. Clearly, the zeta potential of the PEO-b-PDEA polymer is significantly lower than that of the other two systems; at saturation, the zeta potential of the PEO-b-PDEA polyplex is only slightly above zero, while for the PDMA complex it has a value close to +30 mV.

**Real-time PCR results.** The cellular uptake and expression of the transgene of the various optimized transfection systems were compared using real time RT-PCR (Fig. 4). Quantification at the mRNA expression level (amount of transgene inside the nucleus) and accumulation of the plasmid DNA at the cellular level are shown in Figs. 4b and 4c, respectively. Amplification of plasmid standards that was also carried out simultaneously is shown in Fig. 6a. As a control in quantifying mRNA levels, no significant amplification of the transgene was observed when reverse transcription was omitted (data not shown). At the exponential phase of PCR, the amplification process can be described by the equation

\[
T_n = T_o (1 + \varepsilon)^n
\]

where \( T_n \) is the number of target molecules at cycle \( n \), \( T_o \) is the initial number of target molecules, \( \varepsilon \) is the efficiency of amplification (a value between 0 to 1) and \( n \) is the number of cycles. Taking logarithm, rearranging equation (1) and setting \( n = C_t \) (the threshold cycle) we obtain the equation

\[
C_t = \frac{\log(T_o) - \log(T_n)}{\log(1 + \varepsilon)}
\]

The efficiency of amplification (\( \varepsilon \)) of a target molecule can be calculated from the slope of the curve of \( C_t \) versus \( \log(T_o) \). For maximum efficiency of \( \varepsilon = 1 \), the slope will have a value of 3.32. The amplification of the standards in Fig. 6a yielded a slope of the curve of 3.47, corresponding to a high amplification efficiency of about 0.90. The
dynamic range of amplification was greater than 5 log dilutions ($10^{16} - 10^{21}$ moles). Amplification signals detected in the no-template control (W) case were due to the formation of primer-dimer, as verified by gel electrophoresis (data not shown).

The real-time PCR results at the mRNA expression level shown in Fig. 4b indicate high expression levels of GFP transcripts for both PDMA and PEO-b-PDMA polyplexes ($C_t$ value ~ 12) when compared to cells transfected with naked GFP ($C_t$ value ~ 22 (high $C_t$ values indicate low levels of gene expression and vice versa). The difference in the $C_t$ value of naked DNA and PDMA polyplexes was about 10 cycles, an approximately 1000 fold difference of the amounts of transgene uptaken. On the other hand, the amount of GFP transgene uptaken in cells exposed to PEO-b-PDEA polyplexes ($C_t$ value ~ 18) was significantly lower than the DMA/polyplexes systems. Since similar trend was observed between the protein and mRNA expression levels were observed for the various polymer systems, the translation of mRNA to protein is unlikely to be a major transfection barrier for these polymer systems as gene carrier. The real-time PCR results for plasmid DNA accumulation at the cellular level are shown in Fig. 4c. Six hours after the transfection, DNA (both genomic and plasmid DNA) were extracted and the plasmid DNA was then quantified by real-time PCR. The overall trends for the various systems at the DNA cellular level were similar to those observed at the mRNA level.

The results for PDMA and PEO-b-PDMA were very much comparable at different concentrations for both mRNA and plasmid DNA accumulation at cellular levels, implying that the presence of PEO does not have a significant effect on the transfection pathway, which is of no surprise. For the PEO-b-PDEA polyplexes system, the cellular plasmid DNA content was significantly lower than the PDMA and PEO-b-PDMA systems. This observation suggests that the possible barrier to the use of the PEO-b-PDEA system may reside in the inability of the polyplexes to be associated and/or transported across the cellular membrane as compared to other two polymer systems.

**Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) Assay.** LDH is a cytoplasmic enzyme that is normally not secreted outside the cells and is often used as an indicator of cell membrane disruption. In this experiment, the LDH assay was used to compare the extent of cell membrane disruption in the presence of various polymer systems. Fig. 5 shows that neither PDMA nor PEO-b-PDMA polymer systems induce significant damage to the cell membrane, (< 10% of LDH was released). However, PEO-b-PDEA caused a significant disruption of the cell membrane. More importantly, it was observed that the % LDH released in the presence of free PEO-b-PDEA polymer (59% LDH released) was significantly higher than the PEO-b-PDEA + DNA (32% LDH released), under which both polypelexes and excess polymer chains were present.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

We have evaluated three cationic polymers with similar chemical structures for their DNA transfection efficiency. The number of cells expressing green fluorescence protein, the expression levels of the transgene, and the cellular
content of the plasmid DNA all clearly showed that, despite their similarity in structures, these different polymers exhibit significant differences in transfection capability.

A closer examination of the real-time PCR results (Fig. 4) provides insights into the disparities between the transfection efficiencies of the various polymer systems. In the case of PEO-b-PDEA, both the mRNA level and the plasmid DNA accumulation level were significantly lower than their corresponding levels of the two PDMA systems. If the main transfection barrier occurred at the intra-cellular level before the transgene reached the nucleus, the real-time PCR results should show high plasmid DNA accumulation levels with low mRNA expression levels. However, in the case of PEO-b-PDEA system, both plasmid DNA accumulation level and mRNA expression levels were low, indicating that the transfection barrier probably occurred at the extra-cellular membrane level. The bottleneck is probably due to the inability of the polyplexes to be transported across the cellular membrane, which is generally the first hurdle along the transfection pathway.

However, why were the PEO-b-PDEA polyplexes not able to broach the cellular membrane (as the RT-PCR results suggest) and yet they exhibited high toxicity at high polymer concentration (as the cytotoxicity results indicate)?

The results of the LDH assays shed some light on this apparent contradiction. Fig. 5 reveals that the membrane disruption effect was much smaller with PEO-b-PDEA polyplexes than the free PEO-b-PDEA polymers only. In other words, membrane disruption appears to be due primarily to the free PEO-b-PDEA polymers (i.e., those that are not involved in the DNA binding) rather than due to the PEO-b-PDEA/DNA polyplexes themselves. Similar observations showing that free polymers cause higher cytotoxicity than do their polyplexes have also been reported for other systems. On the other hand, the sigmoid cytotoxicity of PEO-b-PDEA polymer is probably due to the excessive membrane disruption due to its amphiphilic nature of the PEO-b-PDEA polymers chains.

In recent years, a large number of novel cationic polymer and surfactant systems have been reported and characterized for gene transfection. But in some instances, the transfection systems may have good biophysical characteristics such as ‘enhanced endosomal escape’, or ‘resistance to enzymatical degradation’, or even ‘with targeted moiety’ but also low protein expression level. The reasons for the poor correlation between their biophysical properties and transfection results are not well investigated. The strategies and approaches outlined in this study could probably enable future non-viral gene delivery researches to gain greater insights into the transfection barriers at different cellular levels.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have evaluated the transfection efficiency of three cationic poly(methacrylate) systems at various levels: protein, mRNA and plasmid DNA accumulation. Although the three polymers are similar structurally, their transfection efficiencies were not always comparable. Cytotoxicity, real-time PCR and zeta potential measurements showed that, for PEO-b-PDEA, transport across the cellular membrane is probably the major barrier to transfection, because interactions between the polypelex and the membrane wall are weak. Furthermore, the somewhat amphiphilic nature of the free polymer allows it to penetrate and disrupt the cell wall, leading to significant cytotoxicity at high concentrations. For the PDMA and PEO-b-PDMA polymers, on the other hand, relatively higher transfection efficiencies were observed, attributed to the electrostatic adsorption of the polypeplexes to the cell surface that facilitates its uptake by endocytosis, with significantly smaller cytotoxicity.

This study has demonstrated that transfection barriers can be investigated well by correlating the protein expression levels, mRNA expression levels and cellular plasmid DNA levels with the physical properties of the gene carrier. These insights into the structure-transfection relationship could prove to be helpful for the optimization of polymeric gene delivery system.
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