## The Role of *Cdyl* and CDYin Mammalian Spermatogenesis

by

Jesse Potash



B.S. Zoology **U.W.** Madison, 1999

#### SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN BIOLOGY AT THE MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

#### June 2006

@ 2006 Jesse Potash. **All** rights reserved.

The author hereby grants to MIT pemnission to reproduce and distribute publicly paper and electronic copies of this thesis in whole or in part.



ARCHIVES

#### The Role of **Cdyl** and **CDY** in **Mammalian** Spermatogenesis

by

#### Jesse Potash

Submitted to the Depmtment of Biology on February 20,2006 in **pa,rtial**  fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biology

#### **ABSTRACT**

Mouse Cdyl was originally identifled based on homology to the human gene CDY (Lahn and Page, 1999), which is found in four copies on the human Y chromosome (Kuroda-Kawaguchi et al., 2001). Because CDY is expressed specifically in the testis  $(Lahn and Page, 1999)$  and is found in Y chromosomal regions that are deleted in some infertile men (Kuroda-Kawaguchi et al., 2001), CDYis thought to have an important role in male fertility and spermatogenesis. However, human studies have not yet been able to directly implicate CDYin male infertility. Even though mouse Cdyl is not located on the Y chromosome, it is the closest known mouse homologue of **human** CDYand is expressed highly in the testes (Lahn and Page, 1999), which suggests that mouse Cdyl provides a suitable model for the study of human  $CDY$  function. However, unlike human  $CDY$ , mouse Cdyl is expressed in tissues other than the testis (Lahn and Page, 1999).

We have generated mice deficient for Cdyl to study its role in spermatogenesis and characterized their phenotype on a pure BALB/c background. Nearly 2/3 of Cdyl knockout mice die shortly after birth, but those that sumrive to adulthood appear healthy except for spermatogenic defects. Mice lacking *Cdyl* produce spermatozoa with misshapen heads and exhibit substantial germ cell death. The loss of germ cells is evident in some knockout mice as early as 3.5 weeks of age, affects spermatogonia, spermatocytes, and spermatids, and is so severe that at 5 months of age the seminiferous tubules of  $Cdy$  knockout mice appear nearly empty. These results demonstrate that  $Cdy$ plays a crucial role in spermatogenesis and suggest that the homologous human gene CDY does as well. However, our results do not support a previously suggested hypothesis that Cdyl participates in the global acetylation of histone H4 in spermatid nuclei (Lahn et al., 2002), as hyperacetylated histone H4 was detected in both wildtype and knockout spermatids.

To directly study the role of the human gene CDYin spermatogenesis, we have attempted to rescue the  $Cdyl'$ -spermatogenic phenotype by constructing a transgenic mouse that expresses human  $CDY$  and breeding the  $CDY$  transgene onto a  $Cdyt$ background. Preliminary data from these crosses suggests that human CDY can not rescue the spermatogenic phenotype observed in Cdyl<sup>1</sup> mice, as Cdyl<sup>1</sup> TgCDY mice still exhibited germ cell loss. However, we currently possess TgCDYmice only on a C57BL/6 background, and in the course of these rescue experiments we observed that the spermatogenic phenotype of  $Cdyl'$ - mice is not as severe on a C57BL/6 background, on a mixed C57BL16x129 background, or on a mixed C57BL/GxBALB/c background as it is on a pure BALB/c background. We believe that future studies, performed on a pure BALB/c background, will be able to better address whether human CDYcan rescue the Cdyl knockout mouse spermatogenic phenotype.

Thesis Supervisor: David C. Page Title: Professor of Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute

### **Table of Contents**



Page

I

# INTRODUCTION

Almost every cell in the human body, from neurons in the brain to muscles in the leg, represents a differentiated cell type. These specialized cells are generated when precursor cells become altered through a combination of structural and physiological changes. However, understanding the molecular processes responsible for generating differentiated cell types remains a fundamental challenge facing biologists. In recent years one program of cellular differentiation that has been intensely studied is the transformation of an undifferentiated spermatogonial stem cell into a mature spermatozoan, a process called spermatogenesis. One need only briefly observe these two disparate cell types to begin to appreciate the sweeping changes required to convert the one into the other (Figure **1,** below). Perhaps the most important concern motivating study of this particular differentiation pathway is the recognition that defects in this pathway are one of the chief causes of male infertility.

#### SPERMATOGONIUM

#### **SPERMATOZOAN**





**Figure 1** Electron micrographs of a rat spermatogonium and a rat spermatozoan (from Russell et al., 1990).

#### **SPERMATOGENESIS**

In mammals an entire organ, the testis, is dedicated to producing spermatozoa. The testis is divided **into** many smaller compartments, called seminiferous tubules, which is where the spermatogonial stem cells differentiate into sperm. The seminiferous tubules are a highly organized environment, **with**  less differentiated cells residing closer to the basal membrane of the tubule and more differentiated cells residing away from the membrane in the lumen of the tubule (shown in Figure 2, following page). Three phases of spermatogenesis can be identified: spermatogonial proliferation, meiosis, and spemniogenesis.

#### SPERMATOGENESIS: SPERMATOGONIAL PROLIFERATION

Spermatogonial proliferation **lasts** approximately 9 days in mice and consists of 9-1 1 mitotic divisions (reviewed in de Rooij, 2001). This phase of spermatogenesis is important because it ensures that a large quantity of sperm **will** be produced, as each cell present at the end of these numerous divisions becomes an individual sperm. In the first division, a spermatogonial stem cell divides to form two  $A_{\text{paired}}$  spermatogonia.  $A_{\text{particle}}$  spermatogonia then divide from one to three times to form A<sub>aligned</sub> spermatogonia (reviewed in de Rooij, 2001). It should be noted that cytokinesis is incomplete during these mitotic divisions and the germ cells therefore remain comected to each other by cytoplasmic bridges, a condition which persists until spermatogenesis is nearly complete. The Asligned spermatogonia then differentiate into A1 spemnatogonia, which divide 5 more times, forming in turn A2, A3, A4, intermediate, and type B spermatogonia

## **Figure 2** Light micrograph (inset) and an artist's rendition of the cross section of a mouse seminiferous tubule.

Cytology of the Seminiferous Tubule (Modified from Christiansen, 1996, Endocrine Reviews)



BL = Basal lamina; ML = Myoid cell layer; Ca = Capillary; JC = Junctional complex, tight junction; IS = Intercellular spaces; Se = Sertoli cell; Sg = Spermatogonia; Sc = Spermatocyte; Sd = Spermatid

(reviewed in de Rooij, 2001). Finally, the type B spemnatogonia divide to form spermatocytes.

#### **SPERMATOGENESIS: MEIOSIS**

The second phase, meiosis, begins when spematocytes **are** formed and lasts about 13 days in mice (Nebel et al., 1961). This phase of spermatogenesis helps generate the diversity on which natural selection acts by promoting the mixture of alleles into new combinations. This is accomplished in two independent ways during meiosis. Flrst, meiosis is comprised of only one round of DNA replication, but two rounds of cell division, which ultimately leads to the production of haploid cells. When the haploid gametes from males combine with the haploid gametes produced by females, the resulting offspring contain a mixture of genetic material from the male and the female. Second, during the prophase that precedes the first meiotic division, homologous chromosornes pair and exchange DNA, which leads to novel combinations of alleles on the chromosomes. This prophase is divided **into** several stages: (1) leptotene, when chromosomes begin to condense; (2) zygotene, when homologous chromosomes pair **and** the synaptonemal complex forms; (3) pachytene, when DNA recombination occurs; **and** (4) diplotene, when recombination is complete and the synaptonemal complex breaks downs (reviewed in Cooke and Saunders, 2002).

#### **SPERMATOGENESIS: SPIRMIOGENESIS**

The third phase of spermatogenesis, called spermiogenesis, begins when haploid cells, called spemnatids, are generated by the meiotic divisions; this phaae lasts 13 days in mice and culminates in the production of spemtozoa (Nebel et al., 1961). **During** this phase spermatids undergo many structural changes that are needed in order for spermatozoa, once released, to reach and fertilize an oocyte. There are 16 types of spermatids in mice, and these **are** named step 1 spermatids through step 16 spermatids (Russell et al., 1990). Step 1 through step 8 spermatids are round, but beginning at step 9 their nucleus begins changmg shape, elongating and eventually forming a hook at the anterior end (Russell et al., 1990). This dynamic morphological remodeling is thought to be controlled by a structure called the microtubule manchette, because drugs that affect microtubule assembly have been shown to cause defects in sperm head morphogenesis, and mutation of several proteins associated **with** the manchette, such as HOOK1 and E-MAP-115, cause defects in sperm head morphogenesis (Mendoza-Lujambio et al., 2002; Komada et al., 2000). From steps 12-14 the spermatid chromatin becomes **highly** condensed, a process that is thought to protect the DNA and further streamline the spermatozoa. This chromatin condensation is achieved through the removal of histones from the DNA and the repackaging of **DNA** with small basic proteins called protamines (reviewed in Braun, 2001). Because of these morphological alterations, step 9-1 1 spermatids are called elongating, step 12-14 spermatids are called condensing, and step 16- 16 spermatids are condensed (Roest et al., 1996).

Other important structural changes that occur during spermiogenesis include the formation of the acrosome, a cap on the sperm head that forms from the golgi apparatus and **which** contains enzymes needed for fertilizing the egg, the formation of the flagellum, an axoneme-containing structure needed for movement, and the expulsion of almost all cytoplasm from the germ cell (Russell et al, 1990). Additionally, important accessory structures develop that are

associated **with** the flagellum: the mitochondrial sheath, the fibrous sheath, and the outer dense fibers. The fibrous sheath and the outer dense fibers are cytoskeletal structures that play a mechanical role in the flagellum, by helping to maintain the structure and define the shape of the flagellum (Fawcett, 1976). The mitochondrial sheath contains a helical arrangement of mitochondria that are important for generating the energy needed for sperm motility (Russell et al., 1990).

#### **SPBRMATOGENESIS: CYCLING**

Spermatogenesis is a lengthy process, and takes 70 days in the human and 35 days in the mouse (Russell et al., 1990). Spermatogensis is also a cycling process, as the spermatogonial stem cell population of the testis continually proliferates and produces differentiated cells. Each time spermatogonia differentiate to produce spermatozoa, a new "wave" of spermatogenesis is considered to be occurring. To reflect this cycling, seminiferous tubules can be identified based on stages, which are descriptions of the types of germ cells found in a seminiferous tubule at a particular point in time (Russell et **al.,** 1990). 12 stages of the seminiferous cycle have been identified in the mouse. Germ cells exhibiting **highly** varying degrees of differentiation are found adjacent to each other in tubules of a particular stage, because they originate from different spermatogenic waves. For example, a stage **IV** tubule contains step 15 spermatids, step **4**  spermatids, pachytene spermatocytes, and intermediate spermatogonia (Russell et al., 1990).

#### **SPERMATOGENBSIS: SOMATIC CBLLS**

The differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells **into** mature sperm is further complicated by the fact that this is not a cell autonomous process. Additioml somatic cells that reside in the testis, most importantly the Sertoli cells and the Leydig cells, play a crucial role in the differentiation of the germ cells. Sertoli cells are intermixed among the germ cells **within** the seminiferous tubules. Due to the large size of Sertoli cells, they are in contact with all the germ cells in a tubule. As such, the Sertoli cells are important in providing overall structure and organization of the seminiferous tubule. They assist in the movement of germ cells towad the lumen through the formation of different cellular junctions, and they also assist in spermiation, the release of sperm **into**  the lumen (reviewed in Mruk and Cheng, **2004).** When germ cells undergo apoptosis, they clear these dymg cells from the tubule through phagocytosis. Sertoli cells also form a blood-testis barrier that separates the seminiferous tubule into two compartments (reviewed in Mruk and Cheng, **2004).** One compartment contains spermatogonia and leptotene spermatocytes, and the other compartment contains all other types of germ cells. This barrier provides a specialized environment where spermiogenesis and most of meiosis occurs, and shields developing germ cells from the immune system. Because of this barrier, another critical f'unction of Sertoli cells is to provide nutrients to spermatocytes and spermatids. Overall, Sertoli cells are critical regulators of the entire spermatogenic process (reviewed in Mruk and Cheng, 2004). They secrete many factors, such as growth factors, hormones, and proteases that influence the proliferation, differentiation, and movement of germ cells. Leydig cells reside in

the interstitial space outside of the seminiferous tubules. They regulate spermatogenesis chiefly through the production of the sex hormone testosterone.

#### **MOUSE MUTANTS**

Much of our understanding regarding the molecular mechanisms underlying spermatogenesis comes hom the study of knockout mice or mice with spontaneously arising mutations. Knockout mice especially have proven to be an *8*  extremely valuable tool for identifying genes that participate in spermatogenesis and for determining what role those genes play. To date well over 100 mutant mouse models exist that display a spermatogenic phenotype. (For clarity  $\lq$ mutants" here refers to loss of function mutants, and they are generally assumed to be functional nulls.) Therefore, I have developed a classification scheme to provide a comprehensive framework for describing spermatogenesis mutants. Within this scheme the vast majority of mutant mouse spermatogenic phenotypes can be classified **into** one of three general categories: Category I-Spermatogenesis is arrested at a particular stage such that very little or no mature sperm is made; Category 11-Spermatogenesis proceeds to completion, such that mature sperm are made, but these sperm are defective; Category 111-Spermatogenesis can proceed to completion, but due to substantial germ cell loss the process can't be sustained.

#### **MOUSE MUTANTS: CATEGORY I CARREST)**

Category I (arrest) mutant mice exist for each of the three phases of spermatogenesis (spermatogonial proliferation, meiosis, and spermiogenesis). These mutants are usually highly informative about the function of the defective gene product, as they can be used to precisely pinpoint when during. spermatogenesis it is required. Mutants in this class could be misleading, though, if a mutant phenotype only manifests itself far after when the knocked-out protein normally acts. Relatively few knockout mice exist in whom an arrest during spemnatogonial proliferation is observed; this likely reflects the relatively small number of spermatogonially expressed genes that have been knocked out, when compared to the large number of spermatocyte and spermatid genes that have been knocked out. A large number of mouse mutants exhibiting an arrest during meiotic prophase or meiosis have been generated. This is probably owing to the extensive identification and characterization of meiosis genes in lower organisms, especially yeast. Finally, several mutants exhibiting a spermiogenesis arrest have been generated, but most of these mutants arrest during the early phases of spermiogenesis. Apparently, mutation of genes required for the later stages of spermiogenesis usually does not result in an arrest, but rather in the production of defective spermatozoa (these mutants will be discussed later).

Very few mutants exhibiting a clear arrest during spermatogonial proliferation exist. One classic example of this type of mutant is the c-Kit mutant mouse. c-Kit is a tyrosine kinase receptor expressed in spermatogonia and known to be involved in cell cycle regulation (reviewed in Rossi et **al.,** 2003). Mice carrying mutations in c-Kit contain very few spermatogonia, and these appear to undergo arrest shortly after differentiation into Al spermatogonia (Ohta et al., 2003). Mice mutant for Dmrtl, a gene that contains a **DNA** binding domain, **also** exhibit a spermatogonial wrest, shortly before **the** onset of meiosis (Raymond et **al.,** 2000).

**A** wide spectrum of mutant mice exists that undergo arrest during the meiosis phase of spermatogenesis. In many of these mouse models, an arrest in

the flrst meiotic prophase is seen, and apoptosis of spemnatocytes follows the initial arrest. Spol 1 knockout mice arrest in zygotene with mostly unsynapsed chromosomes (Ramanienko and Camerini-Otero, 2000); in yeast, Spo11 was shown to generate double stranded DNA breaks during meiosis (Keeney et al., 1997). Mutation of Msh6, a DNA mismatch repair gene, results as well in zygotene arrest and the failure of homologous chromosomes to pair (Edelmann et al., 1999). Mutation of another mismatch repair gene, **Mlhl,** results in pachytene arrest (Edelmann et al., 1996). In this mutant, chromosome pairing is observed, but no chiasmata, (points of crossing-over between chromatids) are formed. A knockout mouse for the thiamin transporter  $Slc19a2$  also exhibits pachytene arrest (Oishi et al., 2004). Spermatocytes in mice mutant for cyclin A1 progress through most of meiotic prophase, but appear to arrest in early diplotene, as chromosome desynapsis is not observed (Liu et al., 1998). Other genes that result in meiotic arrest when mutated include Rec6, a meiotic cohesin required for sister chromatid cohesin (Bannister et al., 2004), Hsp70-2, a heat shock protein (Mori et al., 1999), and AdU, which may be involved in **RNAi** (Kuramochi-Miyagawa et. al., 2004). Occasionally mutants present an incomplete arrest phenotype: in knockout mice for the zinc-finger transcription factor **E@4,** most spermatocytes arrest in pachytene, but a few spermatocytes are able to progress beyond this arrest and form mature spermatozoa (albeit abnormal ones) (Tourtellotte et al., 1999).

Many mutants exist in which arrest occurs in haploid germ cells during the sperrniogenesis phase. Mutants for the **RNA** helicase Ddx26 arrest at step 8 of spermiogenesis, just prior to the onset of spermatid elongation (Tsai-Morris et al.,  $2004$ ).  $Ddx25$  is thought to function in translation, and the authors demonstrated that angiotensin converting enzyme (Ace) isn't translated properly

in Ddx26-1- testes. However, *Acel-* mice don't exhibit a spermiogenic arrest (Hagaman et al., 1998), so other as yet unidentified targets are likely responsible for the obsemred phenotype of Ddx25 mutant mice. **An** arrest during step **7** of spermiogenesis was observed for mutants in the poly(A) polymerase *Tpap*  (Kashiwabara et al., 2002). Even though the mRNA transcripts of several genes were found to have shorter poly(A) tails in *Tpap*/- testes, surprisingly most of these genes were still translated at normal levels. Mice in which the transcription factor *Trf2* is knocked out exhibit an arrest at the round spermatid stage (Zhang et al., 2001). Even though the authors demonstrated that many spermatid genes are expressed at lower levels in *Wf2* knockout testes, it is difficult to ascertain whether this is directly due to reduced transcriptional activity of these genes or merely a reduction in the spermatids where these genes are normally expressed.

Some genes have been identified which lead to an arrest in elongating or late stage spermatids when knocked out: Ae2, an anion exchanger that moves anions such as bicarbonate across cell membranes (Medina et al., 2003); *Camk4*, a kinase that has been demonstrated to phosphorylate protamine 2 in-vitro (Wu et al., 2000); and Hsl, an enzyme that hydrolyzes many types of molecules, including triglycerides and cholesterol (Chung et **al.,** 2001). While the precise role of Hsl in spermatids is unclear, the phenotype of Hsl mutants is particularly striking: Even though the cytoplasmic bridges connecting cohorts of spermatogonia and spermatocytes are unaffected, these bridges appear to break down in spermatids so **as** to generate giant spermatids **with** multiple nuclei sharing one cytoplasm.

Most of the genes discussed thus far that result in a Category I (arrest) phenotype when knocked out are expressed in the germ cells but not the somatic

 $15<sup>15</sup>$ 

cells of the testes. **An** exception is lutenizing hormone, which interacts **with** a receptor found on Leydig cells and is important for testosterone production (reviewed in Pierce and Parsons, 198 1). In a mouse knockout for the beta subunit of LH, spermatogenesis was arrested at the round spermatid stage, presumably due to a reduction in intratesticular testosterone (Ma et **al.,** 2004).

#### **MOUSE MUTANTS: CATEGORY 11 (DEFECTIVE SPERM)**

**A** large number of genes expressed in late stage spermatids do not result in spermatogenic arrest when knocked out, but rather in the production of abnormal spermatozoa. This class of mutants represents the second major category of spermatogenic mutants. Even though these mutants produce sperm, they are either infertile or subfertile (one exception are the transition protein mutants; see below). Spermatozoa from Category 11 (defective sperm) mutants often exhibit defects in one or more of the following: acrosome formation, nuclear condensation, sperm head morphology, and motility. In addition, these mutants may produce reduced numbers of spermatozoa.

Mutations that result in sperm motility defects often affect genes that are necessary for proper functioning of the flagellum. The flagellum of a spermatozoan requires large arnounts of ATP to generate movement. Mutation of *Gapds, an enzyme in the glycolytic pathway that is expressed specifically in* sperm, results in a significant reduction of ATP levels in spermatozoa and very poor sperm motility (Welch et al., **2000; Miki** et al., **2004).** The gene *Spa@* is associated with the axoneme, a structure in the flagellum contajning two central microtubules and nine surrounding microtubule doublets (Neilson et al., 1999).

Sperm from *Spa@* lrnockout mice are imrnotile and have severely disorganized flagella (Sapiro et al., 2002). In addition, some *Spa\$6* knockout spermatozoa had detached heads.

Several genes have been identified that impair formation of the acrosome. Acrosomes are formed early in spermiogenesis from vesicles that originate from the Golgi body. Knockouts deficient for GOPC, a protein that is associated **with**  the Golgi body, and mice deficient for HRB, a protein that is associated **with** the budded vesicles that give rise to the acrosome, both produce round-headed spermatozoa that lack an acrosome (Yao et al., 2002; Kang-Decker et al., 2001). Mice that are mutant for the kinase *Csnk2a2* also produce round-headed sperm that have highly defective or absent acrosomes (Xu et al., 1999). However, it is not clear what the relevant targets of *Csnk2a2* are in germ cells.

Chromatin condensation occurs in spermatid nuclei when the histones that normally package the chromatin are removed and the chromatin is repackaged transiently with transition proteins and then with protamines. Knockout mice for both protamines (Cho et **aJ.,** 2001) and transition proteins (Yu et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2001) have been made, and both mouse models produce sperm that exhibit chromatin condensation defects. The phenotype of protamine mutants was more severe than that of transition protein mutants, as haploinsufficiency for either protamine 1 or 2 resulted in complete loss of fertility, whereas mutants for either transition protein 1 or 2 were still fertile. Also, mice mutant for the Sertoli cell expressed FSH receptor produced sperm with inadequate DNA compaction (Eirishnamurthy et **al.,** 2000).

**Many** Category I1 (defective sperm) mutants have been identified that produce spermatozoa with misshapen heads. Often a spectrum of abnormal head shapes is observed among the spermatozoa from an individml mutant. *Hook1* is

associated with the microtubule manchette (Mendoza-Lujambio et al., 2002), a structure thought to play a critical role in the shaping of the spermatid head. Hook1<sup>1</sup> mice have misshapen heads due to improper positioning of the microtubule maschette (Mendoza-Lqjambio et al., 2002). Mutation in the transcriptiond coactivator *Act* leads to spermatozoa **with** abnormally shaped heads, as well as defective, folded tails (Kotaja et al., 2004). However, the transcriptiond targets of *Act* remain unclear. Whereas most knockout mice that produce defective sperm are mutant for genes nomnally found in haploid germ cells but not in earlier types of germ cells, *Mgcl* knockout mice present an exception. *ndgcl* is predominantly expressed in pachytene and diplotene spermatocytes, and is a component of the nuclear envelope (Leatherman et **al.,**  2000). *Mgcl<sup>1</sup>* germ cells first exhibit abnormalities in the nuclear envelope at the spermatocyte stage, but continue to develop **into** spermatozoa that have defects in head shape, chromatin condensation, and the acrosome (Kimura et al., 2003).

Some Category **11** (defective sperm) mutants produce normal quantities of what appear to be normal spemnatozoa. However, these mutants are still infertile, due to the inability of their sperm to bind to eggs. One mutant mouse exhibiting this phenotype is the fertilin beta knockout mouse (Cho et al., 1998). Fertilin is a sperm surface protein that belongs to the **ADAM** family, and **likely**  mediates binding between the sperm and receptors on the egg. Interestingly, proper heterodirnerization of fertilin subunits with each other was shown to require caknegin (Ikawa et al., 2001), a chaperone found in the endoplasmic reticulum, and when calmegin knockout mice were made, they displayed the same phenotype as fertilin knockout mice (Ikawa et al., 1997).

#### **MOUSE MUTANTS: CATEGORY 111 <GElRM CELL LOSS)**

The final category of spermatogenic mutants and the most difficult to interpret are Category III (germ cell loss) mutants. These mutants are characterized by the ability to produce spermatozoa when they are young and a progressive loss of germ cells as they age, such that eventually many seminiferous tubules appear greatly depleted of germ cells. Because it is not feasible to monitor the germ cell content of a testis in real time **as** a mouse ages, one must in part infer this phenotype by examining several individual mice at various ages and comparing them. In some cases Category III (germ cell loss) mutants become infertile as they age, but other mutants in this category manufacture defective sperm and never are fertile.

Likely owing in part to the complexity of the Category 111 (germ cell loss) phenotype, there are a number of descriptions of knockout mice in the literature which are consistent with this phenotype but which do not provide an adequate level of detail to unambiguously assign them to this category. Often times authors **will** describe the histology of the mutant testes as containing some empty seminiferous tubules, some degenerating seminiferous tubules, and some normal seminiferous tubules, but do not provide key information such as the number of individual mice examined and the ages of the mice. Other times, the authors simply don't present enough data (e.g., only describing the phenotype of knockout mice at one age). Descriptions of likely Category III (germ cells loss) mutants that are problematic include those for **Sox3** (a transcription factor) (Weiss et al., 2003), *Apaf* (an activator of apoptosis) (Honarpour et **al.,** 2000), *PfZO* (an axonemal protein) **(Zhang** et al., 2004), and **Cnot7** (a transcriptional cofactor)

(Nakamura et al., 2004). It is certainly worth revisiting these mutants and performug a more thorough characterization of their spermatogenic phenotypes, so that the field has a clearer understanding of whether they truly are Category I11 (germ cell loss) mutants.

Nonetheless, many Category I11 (germ cell loss) mutants have been more fully characterized. *Plzf,* a transcriptional repressor, is expressed in the testis exclusively in undifferentiated spermatogonia. Mutation of *Plzf* results in progressive germ cell loss that is likely due to an impairment in stem cell self renewal (Costoya et al., 2004; Buaas et al., 2004). Unlike many Category I11 (germ cell loss) mutants, increased apoptosis was not observed in *Plzfl-* testes. *Tif2* is a nuclear receptor coactivator expressed in the Sertoli cells (Gehin et al., 2002). *TifXl-* mice exhibit progressive germ cell loss and produce defective sperm (Gehin et al., 2002). Enigmatically, the extent of germ cell loss varied considerably among individual *Tif<sub>K</sub>* mice. Only 2 out of 14 mutants aged 3 months and 1 out of 4 mutants aged 6 months exhibited substantial germ cell loss, but 2 out of 2 mutants aged 9 months exhibited severe germ cell loss. Variability in the severity of the phenotype was also observed for mutants in the transcription factor *Nmp4* (Nakamoto et al., 2004) and the ubiquitin conjugating enzyme **Hr6b** (Roest et al., 1996), both of which are expressed in multiple testicular cell types. Some *Nmp4* mutants exhibited testicular degeneration at eight weeks of age, while others had apparently normal testes; in **Hr6b** mutants germ cell loss was first observed in  $4-5$  week old mice, and  $10-20\%$  of adult mice were completely devoid of germ cells. *Bmp8a* is an intercellular signaling factor expressed predominantly in step 6-8 spermatids based on in situ hybridization (although up to 3.5 weeks transcripts are also found in spermatogonia and spermatocytes) (Zhao and Hogan, 1996). Until 6 weeks of age testes from

*Bmp8a*- $\prime$ - mice appear normal, but 47% of testes from mutants aged 12 to 30 weeks show varying amounts of degeneration (Zhao et al., 1998). Knockout mice for the microtubule associated protein *E-map-118* (Kornada et al., 2000) the cyclin dependent kinase **Cdk4** (Rane et al., 1999), and the estrogen receptor (Eddy et al., 1996) also exhibit a progressive loss of male germ cells.

Currently we have a poor understanding of the underlying molecular defects in Category 111 (germ cell loss) mutants, beyond the observation that many of these mutants have increased numbers of apoptotic germ cells or problems in spermatogonial proliferation. Perturbations in the complex signaling that occurs between germ cells and somatic cells me frequently blamed for **giving**  rise to this phenotype, but researchers continue to struggle to more fully characterize these mutants. Our failure to understand these knockout models points to one of the maJor chaUenges facing the spermatogenesis field. While much work has gone into elucidating roles that individual genes play in spermatogenesis, little progress has been made in defining the molecular pathways and transcriptional networks that regulate the process as a whole. **Only** when we achieve a more global understanding of spermatogenesis will the Category III (germ cell loss) phenotypes become more comprehensible.

#### **MOUSE MUTANTS: UN'IQUE CASES**

Of course, in most classification schemes, there exists a small number of cases that do not fit cleanly into *any* of the established categories. This is true too of the above classification system for spermatogenic mutants; several outliers

exist which cannot be described as Class I (arrest) mutants, Class **I1** (defective sperm) mutants, or Class **III** (germ cell loss) mutants.

Many of these exceptional cases represent subtle spermatogenic phenotypes that do not greatly affect fertility. For example, mutants for *Nkd*  have a reduction in the numbers of late stage spermatids and sperm, but still produce normal sperm and are fertile (Li et al., 2004). The normal fhnction of *Nkd* is to inhibit the Wnt/ß-Catenin signaling pathway (Yan et al., 2001). Mutants for *2hk4d,* an inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases, had reduced testis sizes and increased apoptosis of germ cells, but still produced normal sperm and **had** fertility similar to wildtype mice **(Zindy** et al., 2000). In contrast, mutants for the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor  $p27^{kip}$  had increased testis weights due to an over abundance of type A spermatogonia (Beumer et al., 1999). Additionally, a small number of preleptotene spermatocytes seemed unable to enter meiosis in p27kipl-/- mice, but these knockout mice too were fertile.

Some mouse mutants have been generated which are similar to the Category **111** (germ cell loss) mutants, but have unique features that disqualify them from being placed in that category. Mutants for the testicular nuclear orphan receptor 4 undergo a progressive loss of germ cells, but when spermatogenesis is obsewed in these mutants it proceeds at a slower rate, due to a delay at the end of meiotic prophase (Mu et al., 2004). *Man2a2a-1-* mice can continue to produce sperm even as they become older, but they have reduced numbers of germ cells of all stages (Akama et al., 2002). *Mm2a2* was shown to be important for germ cell adhesion to Sertoli cells, and **many** germ cells in this mutant become detached into the lumen before spermatogenesis completes. Mice in which the transcription factor *Six6* is knocked out undergo arrest in spermiogenesis, such that sperm is never produced; however, significant germ

cell apoptosis of earlier staged germ cells (i.e., spermatocytes and spermatogonia) is observed (Sarkar et al., 2004).

#### **MOUSE M'UTANTS: SPECIAL PROBLEMS**

**Analyzing** spermatogenic defects in knockout mice is an extremely arduous task. It should be noted that the above knockout mouse descriptions are intended to be but brief summaries of the mutant phenotypes and are provided to showcase the variety of spermatogenic mutants that exist. Much painstaking work, such as analysis of testis histology, spermatozoa morphology, gene expression differences, etc., went into providing a characterization for these mutant mice. Furthermore, there are several additional issues which researchers investigating mice with spermatogenic failure must consider: strain background effects, functional redundancy among genes, expression of a gene in multiple testicular cell types, and expression of a gene in multiple tissues.

**Many** different mouse strains are used today for the production of knockout mice; some common strains include C57BL/8, BALB/c, and 129. Importantly, the phenotype of a knockout mouse can differ from one strain background to another. In some cases a spermatogenic phenotype for a mouse mutant rnay be apparent on one **strain** background but not on another. Unfortunately, it is not possible to determine a *priori* which strain background is most suited to the study of a particular knockout mouse. For example, *Bmp4+/***mice** on a nnixed (129Sv/Ev x Swiss **Black)** background did not **show any**  spermatogenic defects, but *Bmp4+l-* mice on a C57BL/6 background displayed a phenotype characteristic of Category III (germ cell loss) mutants (Hu et al.,

2004). Importantly, strain background affects are not limited to spermatogenesis genes, as mouse knockouts of many genes not involved in spermatogenesis also have strain background-dependent phenotypes. In addition, it is often advisable to backcross knockout mice onto a pure strain background, as mixed background knockout mice may display phenotypic vaziability. For example, the Category III (germ cell loss) mutant **Hr6b** exhibited phenotypic vaziability among individual mutant mice, but these studies were conducted on a mixed genetic background (Roest et al., 1996). Therefore, it is unclear whether the variability with regard to germ cell loss results from strain background variation among the individual mice.

Some genes that are expressed in the testis are **also** expressed in other tissues. Mouse knockouts of such genes of'ten display phenotypes in addition to spermatogenic failure. These phenotypes are especially problematic for investigators studying spermatogenesis if they result in decreased viability. For example, about half of *SpagBl-* mice **had** reduced weights, and these mice died by 8 weeks of age due to hydrocephalus (a condition marked by fluid accumulation in the brain) (Sapiro et **al.,** 2002). Mice mutant for the transcriptional co-repressor **TfflB** die embryonically, so to study the role of **Wl B** in spermatogenesis **<sup>a</sup>** conditional germ-line specific knockout allele of **Wl B** needed to be constructed (Weber et al., 2002). Using this approach it was determined that loss of *Tif1*  $\beta$ results in a Category III (germ cell loss) phenotype.

Some genes show expression in more than one cell type of the testis. When these genes are knocked out, this **can** lead to confusion **as** to whether all **the** cell types in which the gene is normally expressed actually require function of the gene. For example, Leydig cells, Sertoli cells, haploid germ cells, and peritubular myoid cells (cells that line the basement membrane of seminiferous tubules) have

all been shown to express the androgen receptor (Shan et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 1996). To establish whether androgen receptor is required in Sertoli cells for normal spermatogenesis, a conditional Sertoli cell specific knockout for androgen receptor was generated (Chang et al., 2003; De Gendt et al., 2004). This conditional knockout mouse demonstrated that loss of androgen receptor function in Sertoli cells leads to a Category I (arrest) phenotype, with male germ cells arresting in meiosis. The transcriptional cofactor Cnot7is expressed at low levels in germ cells and at high levels in somatic cells of the testis (Nakamura et al., 2004). To establish whether Cnot7 is required in germ cells, a spermatogonial transplantation experiment was performed: spermatogonia from  $Cnot 7$  mice (these mice appear to exhibit a Category 111 (germ cell loss) phenotype and produce abnormal spermatozoa) were injected into testes which had been chemically depleted of germ cells but still contained functional somatic cells (Nakamura et al., 2004). Normal spermatogenesis was observed after the  $Cnot7$ spermatogonia were transplanted and colonized the recipient testes, meaning that Cnot? function is not required in germ cells for normal spermatogenesis.

Some genes needed for spermatogenesis have closely conserved homologues in the genome. If homologous genes have redundant or overlappug functions, then knocking out just one of the genes may result in only a mild phenotype or no phenotype at all, due to the activity of the other gene. In these cases it may be necessary to construct a double knockout mouse in order to fully reveal the function of the homologous genes. For example, even though mice with mutations in either transition protein 1 or transition protein 2 were fertile, double knockout mice for both transition proteins were infertile and produced spermatozoa with considerably more severe chromatin condensation defects than either of the single mutants (Zhao et **al.,** 2004; Shirley et **al.,** 2004).

#### **TRANSGENIC MICE**

Whereas knockout mice are missing the function of one gene normally found in their genome, transgenic mice have an additional gene inserted, usually in a random location, into their genome. Although not as widely used to study spermatogenesis as knockout mice, transgenic mice have been used to make some important contributions to the field.

For example, it is known that the protamine genes are first transcribed in round spermatids but not translated until several days later in elongating spermatids (Balhorn et al., 1984; Kleene et al., 1984; Yelick et al., 1989). To determine whether the translational repression of protamine has an important fimctional role, transgenic mice were generated which expressed a protamine 1 gene lac- a **3'** UTR region required for translational repression (Lee et al., 1995). These transgenic mice were infertile, and exhibited premature chromatin condensation in spermatids and spermiogenic arrest, thereby demonstrating that translational repression of protarnines in essential.

**As** discussed earlier, *Hsl-1-* mice exhibit an arrest in spermiogenesis. However, *Hsl* is known to be expressed in several testicular cell types, includmg spermatogonia, spermatocytes, and spermatids (Blaise et al., 1999; Blaise et al., 2001). To assess whether *Hsl* deficiency in spermatids is responsible for the arrest, a transgenic mouse was constructed that expresses *Hsl* specifically in spermatids (Wang et al., 2004). When this transgenic mouse was crossed with the Hsl knockout mouse, it was found that *Hsl* expression in spermatids is sufficient to restore fertility to the knockout mouse.

Some human genes do not have a mouse orthologue, so it is difficult to directly study the function of these genes in mice using' a conventional knockout approach. However, if there exists in mouse a similar gene (perhaps a paralogue), it may be possible to study the function of the human gene using a transgenic mouse. Humans contain a Y-chromosomal gene caJled DAZ; while mice don't have a DAZ orthologue, they contain Dazl, a homologous gene. To study the function of human DAZ, transgenic mice were made expressing human DAZ, and these mice were crossed to Dazl knockout mice (Slee et al., 1999). It was found that  $Dazl'$  mice expressing human  $DAZ$  had greatly increased numbers of spermatogonia and spermatocytes compared to  $Dazl$  mice, suggesting that human DAZ can partially rescue the early spermatogenic arrest of Dazl<sup>1</sup>- mice.

#### *Cdyl* **GENE** *FAMILY*

Even though the spermatogenic function of many genes has already been investigated through knockout mouse amlysis, there are certamly **many**  additional genes that me important for this process. The literature is rife **with**  accounts of genes that show testis expression, but many of these candidate spermatogenesis genes await functional validation. One particularly interesting candidate gene is Cdyl.

#### *Cdyl* **GENE FAMILY: EXPRESSION**

**Cdyl** is a nine exon gene located on mouse chromosome 13. Northern analysis and cloning demonstrated that two transcripts were produced from the

*Cdyl* locus *(Lahn* and Page, 1999). These transcripts appeared to code for identicd proteins, but differed in length due to alternate polyadenylation sites in the 3' UTR. The larger 3.6 kb transcript was detected in all tissues tested, including heart, brain, liver lung, spleen and testis **(Lahn** and Page, 1999). This transcript was postulated to provide a general housekeeping fhnction. The shorter 2.8 kb *Cdyl* transcript was expressed abundantly in testes, but was not detected in **any** other tissues **(Lahn** and Page, 1999). This transcript was postulated to play an important role in spermatogenesis. Additional Northern analysis showed that the smaller testis specific transcript was not expressed in the mouse testis until 26-30 days after birth, which suggests that it is expressed only in haploid spermatids (Lahn et al., 2002). The larger *Cdyl* transcript is expressed in the testis fiom birth and is even present in largely germ cell depleted  $W<sup>r</sup>$  mutant testis, which suggests that this transcript is found in somatic cells of the testis **(Lahn** et **al.,** 2002). Immunohistochemistry experiments confirmed that CDYL is expressed in haploid spermatids of the testis; specifically, strong staining was observed in step 9-12 spermatids **(Lahn** et al., 2002). However, no staining was observed in other testicular cell types, includmg somatic cells. This result was somewhat puzzling, and draws into question which testicular cell types besides spermatids express CDYL protein.

*CdyI* is found in all mammals studied and has also been cloned from Xenopus **(Lahn** and Page, 1999; Caron et al., 2003), but it is not found in lower eukaryotes such as yeast and flies. Human *CDYL* contains 9 exons and is found on chromosome six. However, human *CDYL* has a distinctly different expression pattern from mouse *Cdyl.* Human *CDYL* produces only one transcript, which is widely expressed in **many** tissues **(La** and Page, 1999).

Humans also contain 4 intact copies of a related gene called CDY, which is located on the Y chromosome. Human CDYhas 73% nucleotide identity in the coding sequence to human  $CDYL$ , but it lacks the introns of  $CDYL$ ; this observation strongly suggests that CDY arose from a retroposition of CDYL (Lahn and Page, 1999). (Some CDYtranscripts do contain one intron in their **3' UTR,**  which apparently arose subsequent to the retroposition.) In general, the retroposition of genes in mamxmls occurs when reverse transcriptase and other enzymatic machinery of mobile genetic elements such as LINES acts on a processed RNA transcript, causing it to be reverse transcribed and reinserted into the genome (Esnault et al., 2000). Because the four copies of CDYaJl have extremely **high** similarity to each other ( about 99% nucleotide identity in the coding sequence), it is likely that they arose from genomic amplifications that followed the original retroposition. CDYis not found in mice, and southern blotting demonstrated that CDY is only present in primates (Lahn and Page, 1999). However, sequence analysis suggests that CDY actually retroposed over 100 million years ago, and was subsequently lost in many mammalian lineages (Dorus et al., 2003).

Interest was drawn to the CDY genes when it **was** found that some copies of CDY are removed in large Y-chromosomal deletions known to cause male infertility (e.g., the AZFc deletion) (Kuroda-Kawaguchi et al., 2001). However, several other genes are removed in addition to  $\mathit{CDY}$  when these deletions occur, so it is not possible to pinpoint which gene(s) are responsible for the resulting phenotype. Importantly, CDYexpression appears to be restricted to the testis (Lahn and Page, 1999). Additionally, CDY is expressed in the same testicular cell type as is mouse CDYL, elongating spermatids (Lahn and Page, 2002). The expression patterns of the *Cdyl* family genes led Lahn and Page to hypothesize

that the function of Mouse Cdyl was split into two genes in the human: CDYL, which performs housekeeping ftmctions, and CDY, which has an important role in spermatogenesis (Lahn and Page, 1999).

Mice and humans also have an autosomal paralogue to Cdyl called Cdyl2, which is found on chromosome 8 in mice and chromosome 16 in humans (Dorus et al., 2003). The genomic duplication event which created *Cdyl2* likely predates the retroposition of CDY onto the Y chromosome. Mouse Cdyk2 **has an** expression pattern similar to mouse  $Cdyl$ , in that it produces a widely expressed transcript and a testis specific transcript, and human CDYLZ has an expression pattern to similar to human CDYL, in that it produces only one widely expressed transcript (Dorus et al., 2003). The evolutionary relationships among the *Cdyl* family genes are illustrated in **Figure** 3 (following page).

**Figure 3** Evolution of *Cdyl* family genes. Based on sequence analysis, *Cdyl* and *Cdyl2* diverged prior to the retroposition of CDY.



#### *Cdyl* **GENE FAMILY: PROTEIN** FUNCTION

All CDYL family proteins have two conserved functional domains: a chromodomain (the name  $CDY$  comes from ChromoDomain on the  $Y$ chromosome) and an enoyl CoA hydratase (ECH) domain.

Chrornodomains function most commonly as chromatin interaction domains. Recently, a sequence-based analysis was performed on all known chromodomain-containing proteins and 11 classes of chromodomains were established (Brehm et al., 2004). In this analysis the chromodomain of CDYL was placed in the same class as that of HP1 (heterochromatin protein-1) and Polycomb, which is involved in silencing. The chromodomain of HP1 **has** been shown to specifically bind to methylated lysine 9 of histone H3 (Bannister et al., 2001; Lachner et **al.,** 2001), and the Polycomb chromodomain recognizes methylated lysine 27 of histone H3 (Czermin et **al.,** 2002). Consistent **with** a role in chromatin binding, HA-tagged CDYL was shown to localize to the nucleus when expressed in HeLa cells (Caron et al., 2003), and immunohistochemical staining for CDYL in spermatids appears nuclear **(Lahn** et al., 2002).

Proteins containing an ECH domain belong to the functionally divergent crotonase superfamily (reviewed in Gerlt and Babbitt, 1998). Over 100 proteins contain an ECH domain, and most of these proteins are still uncharacterized. However, there is great diversity in the catalytic function of the ECH domain in those proteins that have been studied. ECH domain containing proteins have been identified which function as isomerases (3,2- trans-enoyl CoA isomerase), dehalogenases (4-chlorobenzoyl CoA dehalogenase), hydratases (Enoyl CoA hydratase), or decarbowlases (Methylmalonyl CoA decazboxylase). The crystal

 $32<sup>2</sup>$ 

structures of several different ECH-containing proteins have been chazacterized, and despite their varied biochemical functions, ECH-contaming proteins appear to share a common catalytic mechanism: the use of an oxyanion hole to stabilize Coenzyme A containing intermediates (reviewed in Gerlt and Babbitt, 1998). However, as the structure of CDYL has not been solved, it may function through a completely different biochemical mechanism.

No other proteins in the medline database besides CDY, CDYL, and CDYL2 contain both a chromodomain and an ECH domain. As CDYL family proteins represent a novel combination of domains, and because it is uncleaz what reaction is catalyzed by the ECH domain in CDYL family proteins, the cellular function of CDYL is not immediately evident. However, additional biochemical and cell bioloacal work has led to the formation of two independent and somewhat contradictory models for the cellular function of CDYL farnily proteins. One model is that they function as histone acetyltransferases (HATS), and the other model is that they function as transcriptional co-repressors.

**In-vitro** biochemical experiments largely serve as the basis for the **HAT**  model. In these experiments recombinant CDY, mouse CDYL, or human CDYL proteins were incubated with free histones (histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4) and [SHIacetyl-CoA **(Lahn** et al., 2002). The histones were then run on a gel and checked for incorporation of the [3H]acetyl label. It was found that CDY, mouse CDYL, and Human CDYL could each acetylate histones and did so with the same specificity. Histone H4 was highly acetylated by the CDYL family proteins, and histone H2A was weakly acetylated.

Importantly, in step 9-12 spermatids, where CDYL is expressed, histone H4 is known to become highly acetylated (based on experiments performed with antisera specific to highly acetylated forms of histone H4) (Hazzouri et al., 2000;

Meistrich et al., 1992). The hyperacetylation of histone H4 in spermatids is thought to facilitate its removal from chromatin, in preparation for the repackaging of chromatin with protamines. The observation that CDYL expression is coincident with histone H4 hyperacetylation in spermatids, along with the evidence that CDYL can function as a HAT in *vitro,* led Lahn et al. to speculate that CDYL may participate in the hyperacetylation of histone H4 during spermiogenesis

The model of **Cdyl** family genes as transcriptional co-repressors is predicated upon two lines of evidence. First, CDYL was found to be a member of the CtBP repressor complex. CtBP is a transcriptional co-repressor known to associate with over a dozen transcription factors, including BKLF, FOG1, SOX6, and BRCA- 1 (reviewed in Chinnadurai, 2002). CtBP has been shown to directly repress expression of the E-cadherin gene in U20S cells (Shi et al., 2003), but the role of CtBP in the testis is unknown. Recently the CtBP complex was biochemically purified from HeLa cells using antisera against CtBP, and the proteins in the complex were subjected to mass spectroscopy (Shi et al., 2003). CDYL was found in the complex, along with other proteins normally associated with repression, such as histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone methyltransferases. Another line of evidence arguing for the model of CDYL as a transcriptional co-repressor is the fmdug that Gal4DB-CDYL (a fusion protein in which the Gal4 DNA binding domain is attached to CDYL) can repress expression of a luciferase reporter gene that is downstream of Gal4 binding sites (Caron et al., 2003). In this same study it was also shown that CDYL can bind directly to HDAC1 and HDAC2.

In most instances proteins that function as HATS act as activators of transcription, not repressors; therefore, the specific biochemical function of CDYL

remains elusive. However, there are a couple observations that may help reconcile these two models for CDYL function. First, even though they are rare, some HATS which function as transcriptional co-repressors have been described; for example, the histone acetyltransferase Tip60 has been shown to mediate repression when interacting with the transcriptional repressor TEL (Nordentoft and Jorgensen, 2003). Interestingly, Tip60 has a chromodomain, just as CDYL does. Second, it may be possible that CDYL has dual functions, and the presence or absence of HDACs serves to switch between these functions. It was demonstrated that CDYL loses the ability to bind Coenzyme **A** when bound to HDACs, so CDYL could potentially function as a HAT in the absence of HDACs, but as a transcriptional co-repressor when HDACs are present (Caron et al., 2003).

#### *Cdyl* **GENE FAMILY: EXPERIMENTAL OVERVIEW**

This work seeks primarily to directly demonstrate whether *Cdyl* family genes function in spermatogenesis. The first approach we are taking is analysis of a *Cdyl* knockout mouse. By analyzmg spermatogenesis in this mouse, we seek (1) to establish whether mouse *Cdyl* is required for normal spermatogenesis, and if it is, (2) to further characterize the spermatogenic defect(s) that arise due to loss of *Cdyl.* In the second set of experiments, we seek to directly demonstrate a role for human *CDY* in spermatogenesis by attempting to rescue any spermatogenic defects observed in the *Cdyl* knockout mouse with a transgenic mouse expressing human *CDY.* In addition to these experiments, we provide further characterization of the mouse *Cdyl* transcripts.

 $II$ 

# Characterization of Mouse Cdyl Transcripts and KO Phenotype

All work performed by Jesse Potash, except targeting of Cdyl locus, performed by *Bruce Lahn, and electron microscopy, performed by* **Nicki** *Watson.*
Perhaps the most compelling evidence that mouse *Cdyl* functions in spermatogenesis has come from the analysis of expression data. When **Cgyl** was originasly cloned from a mouse testis cDNA library, two distinct transcripts were reported (Lahn and Page, 1999). This finding was corroborated by Northern analysis, which revealed that the mouse testis contains a long 3.6 kb transcript of **Cdyl** that is also found in many other tissues, **as** well as a shorter 2.8 kb transcript found only in the testis (Lahn and Page, 1999). This shorter transcript is thought to have an important role in spermatogenesis. Additional experiments showed that the longer transcript is expressed in somatic cells of the testis, but that the shorter transcript is germ cell specific (Lahn et al., 2002). One of these transcripts (presumably the longer, widely expressed transcript) has a longer 3' **UTR** due to an alternate polyadenylation site, but they were otherwise thought to be identical.

#### **CHARACTERIZATION OF** *Cwl* **TRANSCRIPTS**

Using a probe specific to this extended 3' UTR, we verified **with** Northern analysis that the shorter testis specific variant of *Cdyl* doesn't contain the extended 3' **UTR (Fig** lC, see left lane). Using 3' **RACE** we also found that the 3' UTR of the longer transcript is 440 bases longer **than** previously reported. We also found by performing Northern analysis using a probe for exons 1-3 of **Cdyl**  that the shorter testis specific variant of **Cdyl** but not the longer vaziant contains these exons **(Fig lA,** see left lane). **This** result suggested that the 3.6 kb transcript does not have the same **6'** end as the 2.8 kb transcript. We have now identified a full length clone in GenBank (BC062 123) that contains an

37

**Figure 1** Northern analysis of *Cdyl* transcripts using RNA samples from wildtype or **Cdyl** mutant testes (see Figure 2 for details on **Cdyl** mutant allele). (A) RNA extracts from wildtype or *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* testes were probed with a DNA fragment correspondmg to the 5' end of the previously reported **Cdy1** transcript. The larger 3.6 kb transcript was not detected. (B) RNA extracts from wildtype or *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* testes were probed with a DNA fragment corresponding to exons 5-7 of *Cdyl*. In the knockout testis both transcripts are present but reduced in size. (C) RNA extracts from wildtype or *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* testis were probed with a DNA fragment corresponding to the 3' UTR of **Cdyl. Only** the longer 3.6 kb fragment was detected. (D) Structure of the two *Cdyl* transcripts. Shaded regions correspond to open reading frames.



alternatively spliced 5' exon of **Cdyl** (Exon 1A). We expect that the correct **5'** end of the longer transcript was not identified during the initial cloning of **Cdyl**  because overlapping partial cDNA sequences were used to construct the full length *Cdyl* sequence. Exon 1A is located in a large intron of the previously reported **C4yI** gene (Fig 2A). Sequencing of RT-PCR products verified that exon 1A is spliced to exon 4 in testis and brain RNA extracts. The longer **Cdyl**  transcript containing exon 1A encodes a protein of 545 AA, whereas the previously identified testis specific transcript of **Cm** encodes a 594 **AA** protein (Fig  $1D$ ). The newly discovered coding sequence in exon  $1A$  is very short (MASEELYE), and does not have any homology to the previously identified CDYL protein or to any known domains.

One ffnal error was found in the previously reported structure of **Cgyl:**  Blasting exon three of the testis specific **C4yl** transcript against the mouse genomic sequence revealed that it is actually two individual exons, which we denote **as** exon 3a and exon 3b. This **finding** was confimned by the observation that a **PCR** assay whose forward primer was in exon 3a and whose reverse primer was in exon 3b gave a product with cDNA but not with genomic DNA (data not shown). No product was observed for genomic DNA because the intron because exon 3a and 3b is large **(Fig** 2A).

#### **CHARACTERIZATION OF Cdyl KNOCKOUT MICE**

To directly address whether **Cdyl** is needed for spermatogenesis, we generated a mutant allele of mouse **C4yl.** A targeting construct containing a Neo cassette was used to remove exon 4 of **Cdyl** in 129 ES cells **(Fig** 2A). Correctly

40

**Figure 8** Generation of **Cclyl** knockout mice. (A) A construct containing a Neo cassette was used to target the **C4yl** locus and remove exon 4, which contains a chromodomain  $(X=XbaI)$ . (B) Southern blot of XbaI digested genomic DNA from WT and tazgeted ES cells. Probe used is shown in **(A).**  Wt band is about 8 kb, targeted band is about Vkb. (C) **PCR** genotyping assay using genomic DNA. In *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice the Neo cassette but not exon 4 of **Cclyl** is detected. (D) Western analysis using anti-CDYL # 1. Proteins corresponding to the expected sizes of 65 kd (from the 2.8 kb transcript) and 60 kd (from the 3.6 kb transcript) are present in wildtype but not mutant testis. The amount of CAMKIV, which is found in both spermatogonia and spermatids, and the amount of S-AKAP84, a spermatid-specific protein, were similar between wildtype and mutant testis.



targeted ES cells were identified by Southern blotting (Fig 2B). Two individually targeted ES cell clones of the same mutant allele were used for injection into blastocysts. Chimeras that gave germline transmission were crossed **with**  BALB/c mice, and each of the two individually targeted Cdyl alleles was backcrossed for 10 generations onto the BALB/c background. (As expected, the two backcrossed lines displayed the same phenotype; see below for description.) Additionally, chimeras produced from one of the ES cell clones were used to backcross the Cdyl mutation for 10 generations onto the C57BL/6 background

A PCR assay on genomic DNA was used to confirm the absence of exon 4 in the mutant mice (Fig 2C). However, Northern analysis demonstrated that truncated Cdyl transcripts are still present in the mutant mice **(F'ig** 1B). Sequence analysis of an RT-PCR product showed that one of these truncated transcripts was produced by the splicing of exon 3b directly to exon **5.** We expect that the other truncated transcript was produced by the splicing of exon 1A to exon 5, but were unable to confirm this using RT-PCR. In both of these cases, the removal of exon 4 results in severe disruption of the Cdyl ORF. In accordance, Western blotting using an antisenun raised against a C-terminal peptide of CDYL (anti-CDYL #1) demonstrated that both CDYL protein isofoms are absent in the knockout testes (Fig 2D). We therefore conclude that a functional null allele of Cdyl has been generated.

When litters from Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup> x Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup> matings were genotyped at 2 weeks of age, only 9% of the progeny were  $Cdyl/\cdot$ , which suggests that  $Cdyl/\cdot$  mice have partial lethality (Table 1). Cdyl<sup>1</sup> mice alive at 2 weeks were still in good health when terminated at 1 year of age, though. Interestingly, the penetrance of the lethality phenotype varied when examined on strain backgrounds other than BALB/c. On the C57BL/6 background, approximately the same percentage of

**Table** 1 Ratios of progeny obtained from heterozygous intercrosses at 2 weeks of age (flrst three rows) or at E 18.5 (fourth row).

#### Table 1



**CW-1-** mice were still alive at 2 weeks of age **as** on the BAU3/c baakground, but almost all of the C57BL/6 knockout mice died by 5 months of age (data not shown). On the 129 background, only 3% of the offspring genotyped at 2 weeks were *Cdyl*-. When E 18.5 embryos were genotyped, though, 19% of the offspring were *Cdyl*<sup>1</sup>, which suggests that most of the *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice die at or shortly after birth (Table 1). Because of the higher inviability of *Cdyl*- $\prime$  mice on the C57BL/6 and 129 backgrounds, all further phenotypic analysis was performed only on the BALB/c background.

The BALB/c *Cdyl*- $\prime$  mice alive at two weeks of age were generally healthy, although they weighed on average two grams less **than** their litternnates **(F'ig 3A).**  However, this discrepancy in weight disappeared by nine weeks **(F'ig** 3B). Because we are particularly interested in the role of *Cdyl* in spermatogenesis, we examined the testis weights of *Cdyl*- $\prime$ - mice. The testis weights of *Cdyl-* $\prime$ - mice were until about four weeks the same as their heterozygous and wildtype littermates. However, starting at 4-5 weeks of age, the testis weights of *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice were decreased substantially (by up to 50%) compared to that of littermate controls, which suggested that spermatogenesis in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice is impaired (Fig 3C).

Examination of testis histology fiom **Cdyl-1-** mice revealed that their testes appear normal at  $2-3$  weeks of age (N=4 mice), but starting at  $3-4$  weeks, which is when haploid spermatids first appear in the testis, a decrease in germ cell number was observed in *Cdyl*<sup>1</sup> testes (Fig 4). In those testes displaying germ cell loss, the normaJ complements of spermatogonia, spermatocytes, and especially spermatids were reduced. Surprisingly, the extent of germ cell loss in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice was hghly variable in two respects: two individual mice of the same age often had different amounts of germ cell loss, and two individual testes in the same mouse could vary greatly in the number of germ cells still present. Of 8 *Cdyl*<sup>1</sup>

**Figure 3** Mouse body weights (A,B) and testis weights (C). Male knockout mice at 3 weeks **(A)** weigh slightly less **than** their same sex littermates, but at 9 weeks (B) weigh about the same. Each column (1-9) represents an individual litter, and each data point represents the body weight of an individual mouse. Horizontal lines represent average weights for knockout mice (red) and controls (blue). (C) Testis weights. Each point shown is the average of the two testes in an individual mouse.









**Figure 4** Histology from testes of 19 day old (A,B) and 25 day old (C,D) mice. At 19 days no germ cell loss is observed in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* testis (A,B), but by 25 days some *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* testes exhibit germ cell loss (C,D).



mice aged 5-6 weeks that were examined, 3 had overtly normal spermatogenesis in both testes, 4 had normal spermatogenesis in one testis but greatly reduced germ cell numbers in the other testis, and 1 had significant germ cell loss in both testes.

This variability was also observed in the adult *Cdyl*<sup>1</sup> mice aged 1.5-5 months that were examined, almost aJ1 of which exhibited differing degrees of germ cell loss in one or both testes (Fig 5A-D). In these adult knockout mice, a comparable amount of germ cell loss was observed from one seminiferous tubule cross section to another in 75% of testes examined, but in 25% of the cases there was considerable heterogeneity in germ cell loss among adjacent tubules (Fig 6). Several features commonly associated **with** germ cell loss, including reduction of seminiferous tubule diameter, disorganization of germ cells inside of the tubules, and the presence of large multinucleated cells and vacuoles within the tubules, were observed in the testes of *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice. Finally, in all 7 *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice examined that were 5-10 months old, both testes appeared uniformly **highly** degenerate, with very few germ cells (mostly spermatocytes and spermatogonia) remaining (Fig 5E,F). However, testicular somatic cell lineages, such as Sertoli cells and Leydig cells, were still present in highly degenerate mutant testes. Neither *Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>* nor *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* mice 5-10 months of age appeared to have any spermatogenic defects.

To assess whether testes from *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice had increased numbers of apoptotic cells, immunohistochemical staining with an antibody for cleaved Caspase-3, a marker of apoptosis, was performed. The testes from *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice that still resembled wildtype testes histologically did not have increased numbers of apoptotic cells, but the testes from *Cdyl*- $\prime$ - mice that already appeared to have lost significant numbers of germ cells **had** a 3 fold increase over wildtype testes in the number of apoptotic cells **(Fig 7).** 

52

**Figure 5** Histology from adult testes. **(A-D)** Comparison of germ cell loss between the left **and** right testes from 2 individual knockout mice both 13 weeks old. The right testis of mouse #1 appears similar to a wildtype testis, whereas the left testis of mouse **#1** has undergone a moderate amount of germ cell loss, as judged by the large empty lumens in the tubules. By contrast, the right testis of mouse **#2** appears highly depleted of germ cells and contains no late stage spermatids or sperm; the left testis of mouse **#2** appears moderately depleted of germ cells, similar to the left testis of mouse #l. (E,F) Testes from **7** month old mice. Tubules in knockout mice are consistently nearly empty at this age.





**Figure** 6 Knockout mouse testes displaying moderate germ cell loss (B) and substantial germ cell loss (C) are compared to a wildtype testis (A). Occasionally mutant testes were seen in which adjacent tubules had dramatically different numbers of germ cells (D).



**Figure 7** Detection of apoptotic cells with an antibody for cleaved Caspase-3. Degenerating tubules from knockout mice (B,C) contain increased numbers of apoptotic cells when compared **with** tubules from wildtype mice **(A).** Based on the size and position of the **dylng** cells, spermatogonia, spermatocytes and round spermatids **all** appeared affected in mutant tubules.







Even though *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* males formed plugs when mated with wildtype females, only 4 of 22 *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* males were able to sire progeny, and these males produced only 1 small litter each. By contrast, *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* males and *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* females were fertile. The germ cell loss in *Cdyl*- males certainly played a role in their reduced fertility, but we expected more *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* males to be able to sire offspring, because most *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* males appeared from histological analysis to produce spermatozoa (in at least one testis) when they were young. We therefore examined the epididymal sperm of Cdyl<sup>1</sup> males between 6-8 weeks of age. In *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* males from which epididymal sperm was recovered, 70%-90% of the sperm exhibited a vaxiety of morphological head defects, including narrow, rounded, and otherwise misshapen heads **(Fig** 8). These sperm were motile when resuspended in PBS, but further study using computer assisted analysis of sperm motility is needed to establish whether the knockout sperm have minor reductions in motility. The abnormal morphology of their spermatozoa likely contributes to the reduced fertility of *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* males.

To investigate the hypothesis that CDYL participates in the hyperacetylation of histone **H4** in spermatids, we compared the acetylation status of histone **H4** in spermatids of wildtype mice to that of spermatids in knockout mice. Immunohistochemica1 staining using an antibody against hyperacetylated histone **H4** resulted in a similar intensity of shining between wildtype and mutant spermatids (Fig 9). As a control, imnaunohistochemistry using a CDYL antiserum (anti-CDYL #2) demonstrated that CDYL is expressed in the elongating spermatids of wildtype mice, but not in the spermatids of *Cdyl* knockout mice (Fig 9). Additionally, antibodies against four different mono-acetylated forms of histone **H4 (H4** K5, **H4 K8, H4** K12, **H4** K16) stained both wildtype and

**Figure 8 Observation of epididymal sperm.** (A) A **normal wildtype sperm. (B-F) A wide range of abnormalities, including rounded or narrow heads, was observed among the knockout sperm.** 



**Figure** 9 Immunohistochemical staining of testis sections with an antibody against hyperacetylated histone H4 **(A,B)** or anti-CDYL **#2** (C,D). No differences in staining of spermatids was seen between wildtype and mutant sections for hyperacetylated histone H4. However, CDYL staining was observed in wildtype but not mutant sections. Presence of hyperacetylated histone H4 or CDYL is revealed by a brown stain. The spermatids that stain brown are the cell types near the middle of the tubules, closest to the lumen.



Figure 10 Immunohistochemical staining of testis sections with antisera against histone H4 acetylated on lysine 5 **(A,B),** lysine 8 (C,D), lysine 12 **(E,F)** or lysine 16 (G,H). Spermatids from knockout mice and wildtype mice stained for **all** four acetylated histone H4 residues. Spermatids staining brown for the acetylated lysine residues are found in the middle of the tubules.





knockout spermatids with similaz intensities (Fig 10). We therefore conclude that the global acetylation status of histone H4 is not significantly altered in Cdyl<sup>-/-</sup> spermatids.

To address whether *Cdyl* is required for chromatin condensation during spermiogenesis, we performed electron microscopy on spermatids from wildtype and mutant mice. In accordance **with** the observation that many mature sperm from *Cdyl*- mice had abnormal head morphology, most spermatids from the mutant mice appeared misshapen (Fig 11). Electron microscopy also revealed that most **Cdyl** knockout spermatids **had** acrosomes. However, testes from both wildtype and mutant mice contained spermatids with **highly** condensed chromatin, which suggests that there is no requirement for *Cdyl* in this process (Fig 11). Consistent with this **fmdmg,** late stage spermatids in **Cdyl** knockout mice stained with antisera for protamine 1 and protamine 2 (Fig 12).

Evidence that **Cml** regulates transcription led us to assess the expression status of some known spermatogenesis genes in *Cdyl*-testes (Caron et al., 2003). Because it is unclear which genes **Cwl** may regulate **in** the testes, genes on this panel were chosen for a variety of reasons, including their having an expression pattern similar to **Cdyl** or their producing a phenotype similar to **Cdyl** when knocked out. However, none of the genes that we tested appeared to be significantly upregulated or downregulated in **Cdyl** knockout testis **(Fig** 13). Although some genes, such as protarnine 1, appeared to be expressed at lower levels in *Cdyl*-testis, we believe this reflects a reduction in the haploid germ cell content of the mutant testis rather **than** a difference in transcriptional regulation.

In conclusion, *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice displayed partial lethality, and had modest reductions in body weight when young. These findings reflect the observation that *Cdyl* is expressed in many mouse tissues and demonstrate that *Cdyl* has an

66

important role in development. In addition, spermatogenesis was severely disrupted in the *Cdyl-1-* mice. When younger, most *Cdyl-1-* mice did produce mature sperm, but these sperm had aberrant head morphology. However, extensive degeneration of spermatogenesis and death of germ cells occurred in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice as they grew older, such that by about 5 months of age the seminiferous tubules contained few germ cells and essentially no mature sperm. The severe reduction in fertility observed in the *Cdyl-1-* mice suggests that loss of the homologous human gene **CDY** is a contributing factor to infertility in human males. However, because mouse *Cdyl* and human CDYare not orthologous, this work does not conclusively demonstrate a role for human *CDY* in spermatogenesis.

**Figure** 11 Transmission Electron microscopy of spermatids from wildtype **(A)** and knockout (B,C) mice. Even though the mutant spermatid heads have a deformed shape, the chromatin appears **highly** condensed **as** judged by the smooth, homogenous texture of the heads.



**Figure** 12 Immunohistochemical staining of testis sections with antisera against protamine 1 **(A,B)** or protamine 2 (C,D). Protamine 1 and Protamine 2 are expressed in wildtype and mutant testis. Spermatids expressing the protamines stain brown and are the cells found closest to the lumen of the tubule.

Figure 12



**Figure 13** RT-PCR panel of testis-expressed genes. No significant **differences in expression were observed between wildtype and mutant testes. Some genes, such as protarnine 1 and E-map-115, are likely expressed at lower levels in knockout testis because they are found exclusively in spermatids, and many knockout testes have reduced numbers of spermatids.**
## Figure 13



#### III

# Rescue of *Cdyl* Knockout Mouse

## With Human CDY

All work performed by Jesse Potash, except BAC selection for CDY transgenic *mouse line, performed by Bruce Lahn.* 

As  $Cdyl$  mice displayed a marked spermatogenic phenotype, we sought to directly demonstrate a function for human CDYby rescuing this phenotype with a human CDYtransgene. Several observations provide the rationale for this experiment:  $(1)$  of all known mouse genes,  $CdyI$  is the mouse gene that has the highest homology to human CDY **(AA** identity = 6 1%); (2) both CDY and CDYL possess in **vitro** HAT activity that is specific for histones H4 and **H2A,** and (3) both CDY and CDYL are expressed in elongating spermatids.

Mice transgenic for human CDY were produced through male pronuclear injection of a human Y chromosomal BAC contaming CDY. Pronuclear injections were performed on a (C5'7BL/6xSJL)F2 background. Of 32 founder mice, 8 tested positive for human CDY using a PCR assay on tail DNA. TgCDY founders were backcrossed onto the C57BL/6 background, and we present here the characterization of one line that was backcrossed 10 generations.

Usmg PCR assays specific to the human Y chromosome, we determined that a 33-40 kb fragment of human genomic DNA is present in the TgCDYmice (Fig 1). CDYis the only known gene contained in this fragment of DNA. However, when the BAC used for injection was mapped using these assays, we found that it is approximately 140 kb in size, suggestmg that only a fraction of the BAC became integrated into the TgCDYmice.

Northern blotting with a human CDY probe demonstrated that a CDY transcript approximately 2.5 kb in size is expressed in the testis of TgCDYmice, but not in somatic tissues, including the brain, kidney, and liver (Fig 2A). The size of the CDY transcript found in the transgenic mouse testis agrees with the

**Figure** 1 STS mapping of the transgene. To estimate the size of the transgene in Tg *CDY* mice, **PCR** assays (# 1 - 15) that detect the human Y chromosome were performed. Based on these assays, a 33kb-40kb piece of the human Y chromosome that contains *CDYis* present in *TgCDY* mice. As a positive control for these assays, human male genomic DNA was used, and as a negative control, wildtype mouse genomic DNA was used. Additionally, the BAC that was iqjected to produce the transgenic mice **was**  estimated to be about 140kb **using** these assays, meaning **only part** of the BAC became integrated in the transgenic mice.



**Figure 8** Expression of human CDY (A) Northern analysis **with** a probe for human  $CDY$ . A 2.5 kb  $CDY$  transcript is expressed highly in the testis of TgCDY mice, but no expression was detected in several somatic tissues. Additionally, this CDY probe does not cross-hybridize **with** mouse *Cdyl,* as no signal was detected in wildtype testis. (B) Western blotting **with**  antisera for CDY. CDY protein of the expected size, 62 kd, is present in adult TgCDY testes and **human** testis extract, but not in adult wildtype testis. Additionally, CDY is not detected in 21 day old TgCDY testes, suggesting that expression of CDY is restricted to haploid germ cells.



previously reported size of CDY transcripts from the human testis (Lahn and Page, 1999). As an additional control, no CDY expression was detected in wildtype testes.  $R$ T-PCR products for the open reading frame of  $\mathcal{CDY}$  were generated from the testis of a  $\text{TSCDY}$  mouse and sequenced, confirming the absence of point mutations in the coding region of CDY. Furthermore, western blotting with antisera raised against a peptide from CDY demonstrated that CDY protein of the predicted size, 62 kd, is expressed in the testis of TgCDYmice but not **WT** mice **(Fig** 2B). The level of CDY expression in the transgenic mouse appears similar to the level of endogenous CDYL expression (see Chapter 2, **Fg**  2), suggesting that the expression level of CDY should be adequate to rescue a loss of CDYL.

To move the CDY transgene onto a Cdyl<sup>-</sup>- background, C57BL/6 Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup> TgCDY mice were mated with C57BL/6 Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup> mice to generate C57BL/6 Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup> TgCDY mice (Fig 3). The C57BL/6 Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup> TgCDY mice were then mated with  $Cdy'$  mice on one of three backgrounds-C57BL/6, BALB/c, or 129-to generate  $Cdyl'$  TgCDY mice. Even though the original characterization of  $Cdyl'$  mice was performed on a pure BALB/c background, we were hindered fkom **using** this strain background for the rescue experiments because the transgene was only present on a pure C57BL/6 background.

It was found when progeny from Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>xCdyl<sup>+/-</sup> TgCDY crosses were genotyped at 2 weeks of age that  $Cdyl'$ -mice on a C57BL/6, C57BL/6x129, or C67BL/6xBALB/c background exhibit partial inviability. On these backgrounds, only 3.7%, 6.2%, and 3.6% of mice, respectively, were *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>*, instead of the expected 12.5% (Table 1). Not surprisngly, as the expression of the transgene appears to be restricted to the testis, the inviability phenotype was not rescued in Cdyl<sup>1</sup> TgCDY mice; on the three above strain backgrounds, only 1.9%, 3.1%, and

**Figure 3** (A) Breeding scheme for the rescue of *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice with TgCDY mice. (Legend: B6=C57BL/6; Tg=TgCDY; +/+, +/-, -1- refer to genotype at the Cdyl locus.) (B) Examples of the multiplex PCR that was used to genotype mice generated during this breeding; from left to right, the four example genotypes are Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup> TgCDY, Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup> TgCDY, Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>, and Cdyl<sup>1</sup> TgCDY.

## Figure 3



B

#### Gentoyping



-Human CDY

-Neo Cassette  $-Cdyl$  exon 4

**Table 1** Progeny ratios obtained at two weeks of age from *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>x Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* TgCDY crosses on a C57BL/6xBALB/c background (A), a C57BL/6x129 background (B), and a C57BL/6 background (C). **Only** data from males is presented. (C57BL/6xBALB/c *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* · TgCDY mice were from a second transgenic line produced from an independent insertion event).

## Table 1

 $\mathbf{A}$ 



 $N= 111$  male mice

B



N=129 male mice

 $\overline{C}$ 



 $N= 161$  male mice

5.4% mice, respectively, were  $Cdyl$ -TgCDY (Table 1). Additionally, almost all knockout mice still alive at 2 weeks on each of these three backgrounds had reduced bodyweights as adults and died by 5 months of age. Even though BALB/c  $Cdyl'$  mice also exhibited partial inviability shortly after birth, BALB/c  $Cdyl'$ mice still alive at 2 weeks of age were healthy as adults and **had** normal lifespans.

We next studied spermatogenesis in  $Cdyl'$ -mice on each of the three strain backgrounds available for the rescue experiment. Examination of testis histology from 2-4 month old Cdyl<sup>1</sup> mice on a C57BL/6 background (N=3 mice), a C57BL/6x129 background (N=3 mice), or a C57BL/6xBALB/c background (N=3 mice) revealed only mild defects when cornpared to the severe germ cells loss we observed in BALB/c Cdyl knockout mice (Fig 4). On the C57BL/6xBALB/c background and the C57BL/6x129 background, both testes fiorn all mice examined appeared only shghtly abnormal, with between four and eight germ cell-depleted seminiferous tubules present in an entire testicular cross section. As these empty seminiferous tubules were not observed in age matched Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup> controls, we believe this germ cell loss represents a subtle yet reproducible phenotype.  $Cdy\rightarrow$  mice on a C57BL/6x129 background or on a C57BL/6xBALB/c background also produced normal spermatozoa and sired normal sized litters (data not shown). On the C57BL/6 background, 2 month old  $Cdyt/m$ ice also exhibited the same subtle spermatogenic phenotype, with only a small number of empty tubules, but older mice **(>3** months old) exhibited shghtly mer numbers of empty tubules (Fig 4). However, C57BL/6 *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* also produced normal spermatozoa and sired normal sized litters (data not shown).

We next examined the testis histology in a small number of  $Cdyl^{\prime}$  TgCDY mice. Both testes from a 2 month old C57BL/6  $Cdyt$  TgCDY mouse and a 3 month old C57BL/6 Cdyl<sup>1</sup> TgCDY mouse contained several germ cell depleted

seminiferous tubules and appeared similar to age matched C57BL/6 Cdyl<sup>1</sup> testes **(Fig 5). Similarly, both testes from a two month old C57BL/6x129 Cdyl<sup>1</sup> TgCDY** mouse exhibited several defective tubules. In addition, a second line of CDY transgenic mice produced from an independent insertion event did not rescue the germ cell loss phenotype when the transgene was present on a C57BL/6xBALB/c Cdyl<sup>1</sup> background (data not shown). This preliminary data suggests that the CDY transgene is not able to rescue the spermatogenic phenotype in Cdyl<sup>1</sup>mice.

In conclusion, we were successful in generating a transgenic mouse that **hghly** expressed the human gene CDYin its testis. The level of CDYtransgene expression appeared comparable to that of mouse  $Cdyl$  (See Fig 1 and Fig 2, Chapter 2). However, when this CDY transgene was moved onto a Cdyl $\prime$ background, it was not capable of rescuing the germ cell loss that occurs in  $Cdyl'$ testes. Also, in the course of these experiments, we found that the germ cell loss phenotype in Cdyl-1- mice is **highly** dependent on strain background: Spermatogenesis in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice on the BALB/c background is much more severely affected than is spermatogenesis in  $Cdyl'$  mice on a C57BL/6 background, a C57BL/6x129 background, or a C57BL/6xBALB/c background.

**Figure 4** Testis histology from *Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* mice. Shown are testis sections from 3 month old *Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Cdyl<sup>+</sup>* C57BL/6xBALB/c mice (A,B); 3 month old *Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Cdyl<sup>+/-</sup>* C57BL/6x129mice (C,D); 2 month old *Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* C57BL/6 mice (E,F); and 3 and 6 month old C57BL/6 *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice (G,H).

# Figure 4





**Figure 5** Testis histology of *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* TgCDY mice. Shown are the left (A) and right (B) testes of a 2 month old mouse on the C57BL/6 background and the left (C) and right (D) testes of a 3 month old mouse on the C57BL/Bx129 background.

# Figure 5



# IV

# Discussion

Further characterization of the two mouse **Cdyl** transcripts revealed that they actually code for two distinct protein isoforms of CDYL. These two isoforms both contain a conserved chromodomain and a conserved ECH domain, but they diverge in sequence at the amino terminus. While I do not rule out the possibility that the two protein isoforms are functionally diverged, I believe it is more likely that the two distinct *Cdyl* transcripts are produced as a result of transcriptional regulation of **Cdyl** within specific cell populations of the testis: The long transcript is found in sornatic cells of the testis, whereas the short transcript appears to be restricted to haploid germ cells (Lahn et al., **2002).** Even though innmunohistochemical staining only detected the presence of CDYL in haploid germ cells, western blotting revealed that both protein isofomns axe expressed in the testis; however, the 594 **AA** isoform coded for by the short transcript is much more abundant **than** the 545 **AA** protein isoform coded for by the long transcript. Therefore, I expect that some testicular somatic cells do express CDYL, but perhaps at low levels.

I have demonstrated that the mouse gene **Cdyl** is needed for normal spermatogenesis. **Cdyl** knockout mice on a BALB/c background exhibit a Category 111 (germ cell loss) phenotype and produce sperm with misshapen heads. As a result, *Cdyl* knockout mice have severely reduced fertility. Even though variability in germ cell loss was observed among individual mutant mice, by 5 months of age **ad** BALB/c **CqYl** knockout mice had an extremely low number of germ cells in their tubules. Because a pure BALB/c strain background **was** used for anaJysis, strain background variation was not responsible for the phenotypic variability. However, the primary cause of the germ cell loss in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice is not known. I have preliminary evidence that testosterone levels may be reduced in Cdyl<sup>1</sup>- mice, as adult Cdyl<sup>1</sup>- mice appeared to have smaller seminal vesicles than

controls. I believe further work comparing the levels of testosterone between *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>*- and wildtype mice is warranted. However, if testosterone levels are affected in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>*- mice, it is not clear whether this is a cause of or a consequence of the germ cell loss. Also, I do not rule out the possibility that a defect in the proliferation of spermatogonial stem cells is responsible for the germ cell loss. I feel this is unlikely, though, because previously described mutant mice with defects in spermatogonial proliferation, such as *Plzf* and *Taf4b* mutants (Buass et al., 2004; Falender et al., 2005), do not have increased numbers of apoptotic germ cells (as *Cdyl* mutant mice do), and germ cell loss in *Cdyl-1-* mice is first observed during the spermiogenesis phase of the first wave of spermatogenesis, which is not consistent with a defect in the spermatogonial proliferation phase. Additionally, we have no evidence that *Cdyl* is expressed in spermatogonia.

Most of the spermatozoa produced by BALB/c *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice before spermatogenesis becomes derailed have abnormal head morphology. While the mechanisms involved in reshaping the spermatid nucleus are poorly understood, a structure called the microtubule manchette is thought to be integral to the process (Russell et al., 1991). A microtubule manchette was observed in the spermatids of BALB/c *Cdyl<sup>-/-</sup>* mice using EM, but it is possible that this structure does not function properly in *Cdyl-1-* mice. More extensive ultrastructural and biochemical analysis (such as immunohistochemistry against tubulin and other manchette-associated proteins) may reveal abnormalities of the microtubule manchette in *Cdyl-<sup>1</sup>*- mice.

**As** mouse *Cdyl* is widely expressed and found in many tissues other than testis, it was not surprismg that BALB/c *Cdyl-1-* mice had other phenotypes in addition to spermatogenic failure. BALB/c *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice displayed partial lethality, and had minor reductions in body weight when young. Because I was primarily

interested in spermatogenesis, I did not thoroughly investigate these defects. However, **Cdyl** clearly has an important role outside of spermatogenesis. Histological analysis of different tissues from newborn *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice may help to elucidate additional functions of **Cdyl.** Additionally, I can not rule out the possibility that defects in non-testicular cells me responsible for impairing spermatogenesis in *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice. Because BALB/c *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice are healthy as adults, this is unlikely to be the case for knockout mice on the BALB/c background. However, **Cdyl** knockout mice on other strain backgrounds were not healthy as adults (see below), so the possibility of non-testicular cells influencing spermatogenesis in *Cdyl*-*/*- mice on other strain backgrounds is greater.

Assuming the spermatogenic failure in **Cdyl** knockout mice is testicular in origin, I do not know whether this failure is due to defects in the germ cells themselves, or rather due to defects in testicular somatic cells, such **as** Sertoli or Leydig cells. Performing spermatogonial transplantation experiments would allow me to determine whether **Cdyl** is required **in** somatic cells of the testes, germ cells, or both. In such an experiment two reciprocal transfers would be performed: *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* spermatogonia would be transplanted into wildtype testes that **had** been chemically depleted of germ cells, and wildtype spermatogonia would be transplanted into *Cdyl*- testes. If abnormal spermatogenesis is observed in the former transfer, I could conclude that germ cells require *Cdyl* function, whereas if abnormal spermatogenesis is observed in the latter transfer, I could conclude that somatic cells of the testis require *Cdyl* function.

A related question is whether both *Cdyl* transcripts are required for normal spermatogenesis. This point could be addressed by generating two additional **Cdyl** knockout mice, one in which only the longer widely expressed transcript is disrupted, and one in which only the shorter germ cell specific

transcript is disrupted. It should be possible to disrupt one transcript while leaving the other intact, as the transcripts have different **6'** ends. If both transcripts are required for normal spermatogenesis, this experimental approach might allow us to finely dissect the spermatogenic functions of **Cdyl.** For example, a mouse in which only the longer transcript is disrupted may produce normal spermatozoa but exhibit germ cell loss, while a mouse in which only the shorter transcript is disrupted may exhibit no germ cell loss, but produce spermatozoa with misshapen heads. Alternatively, I may find that one transcript but not the other functions in spermatogenesis.

Previously, Lahn et al. hypothesized that **CDYL** participates in the hyperacetylation of histone H4 during spermiogenesis. However, hyperacetylated histone H4 was still obsemred in the spemnattid nuclei of BALB/c **Cdyl** knockout mice. Additionally, chromatin in the spermatids of BALB/c *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice appeared to condense normally. These results show that **Cdyl** is not required for global H4 histone acetylation or for chromatin condensation in spermatid nuclei. Additionally, antisera for four mono-acetylated forms of Histone H4 stained similarly between wildtype and knockout sections, suggesting that a reduction in the acetylation status of one particular lysine residue does not occur in **Cdyl**  knockout spermatids. It remains possible histone H4 hyperacetylation levels in Cdyl<sup>1</sup> spermatids underwent a small reduction that we were not able to detect. Western blotting may provide a more quantitative means of assessing whether small reductions in global histone H4 hyperacetylation occurred in **CWl-** testes. It is also possible that changes in the acetylation status of histone H4 in *CdyI*<sup>1</sup> testes were not global, but were confined to specific genetic loci and therefore were not detected. Also, even though histone H4 hyperacetylation appeared to occur in the elongating spermatids of *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* testis, which is the same

developmental time point it occurs at in wildtype testis, there may be subtle perturbations of the timing of acetylation in the mutant testis.

A mouse paralogue of *Cdyl*, called *Cdyl2*, was recently cloned (Dorus et al., 2003). Cdyl2, like Cdyl, is expressed in the testis. It is not known whether CDYL2 is expressed in spermatids. Further expression studies of CDYL2, includmg assessing whether it is upregulated in the Cdyl knockout testis, **will** be useful in interpreting the Cdyl knockout mouse phenotype. Perhaps CDYL and CDYL2 both function to acetylate histones in spermatid nuclei, and in Cdyl knockout mice, CDYL2 can compensate for the missing CDYL function. Study of Cgyk2 mutant mice and mice carrying mutations in both Cdyl genes **will** be necessary to address this possibility. Also, in Cdyl/Cdyl2 double knockout mice, I may find that other phenotypes of the  $Cdyl'$ - mouse, such as germ cell loss, are exacerbated; this would further demonstrate functional redundancy between the two genes.

Recently, CDYL was reported to function as a transcriptional co-repressor and to be a component of the CtBP repressor complex. (Caron et **al.,** 2003 and Shi et **al.,** 2003) Even though the biochemical mechanism by which CDYL contributes to repression is unclear, these fhdmgs raise the possibility that the defects observed in  $Cdyl'$ - mice are due to the misexpression of genes whose regulation is normally controlled by complexes containing CDYL. However, the *E-cadherin* gene, which is a known target of CtBP mediated repression (Shi et al., 2003), was not upregulated in  $Cdyl$  testes. Identification of potential CDYL target genes in the mouse testis **will** likely require a systematic approach, such as microarray analysis. Transcriptional profiling has already been camied out on Ctbp/- mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Grooteclaes et **al.,** 2003). Perhaps this data could be of assistance when analyzing transcriptional differences between *Cdyl<sup>+/+</sup>* 

and *Cdyl*- $\prime$  testes, as genes that are significantly misexpressed in both *Cdyl* mutants and *Ctbp* mutants could be **highly** relevant target genes.

Apropos of the model that CDYL contributes to transcriptional repression, I would like to suggest another possible role for CDYL in spemnatogenesis. It has been demonstrated by measuring [3H]uridine incorporation that step 8-10 spermatids undergo a global repression of transcription that results in a complete cessation of transcriptional activity for the duration of spermatogenesis (Kierszenbaun and Tres, 1974; **Zhao** et **al.,** 2004). As CDYL is known to become dramatically upregulated during this time, I propose that CDYL may participate in this global repression. Additional work examining transcriptional activity in *Cdyl*- $\epsilon$  spermatids is needed to address this possibility.

I observed marked differences in the *Cdyl* knockout mouse phenotype when it was moved to different strain backgrounds. In contrast to the BALB/c background, *Cclyl* knockout mice had poor viability **as** adults on the C57BL/6 background and on C57BL/6 mixed backgrounds (C57BL/6xBALB/c and C57BL/6x129); almost all *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice on these backgrounds died by 5 months of age. However, *Cclyl-1-* mice had much less pronounced spermatogenic failure on these backgrounds than on a BALB/c ground. *Cdyl<sup>1</sup>* mice on a C57BL/6 background or a C57BL/6 mixed background produced normal looking spermatozoa, and exhibited only mild germ cell loss compared to BALB/c *Cdyl*<sup>1</sup> mice.

As I was initially waaware of the effects that strain background **has** on the *Cdyl-1-* phenotype, I regrettably backcrossed the transgenic CDYmice to the C57BL/6 background rather than to the BALB/c background. Because *Cdyl--* mice have poorer viability and less pronounced spemnatogenic failure on a C57BL/6 background or a C57BL/6 mixed background than on a BALB/c background, it

was difficult to establish whether human CDY can rescue spermatogenesis in Cdyl<sup>1</sup>- mice using these C57BL/6 and C57BL/6 hybrid mouse strains. Ideally, these rescue experiments would be performed on a BALB/c background, where the phenotypic signal is much greater and it may be possible to detect partial rescue. Nonetheless, I collected preliminary data that suggests human CDYis not able to rescue the relatively mild loss of germ cells observed on these backgrounds.

If these preliminary observations are substantiated with further work on a BALB/c background, one of the following possibilities may explain the failure to rescue: (1) CDY has diverged from CDYL, such that it can no longer properly function in the mouse (e.g., CDY can't interact with the mouse orthologue of a human protein it normally binds to); (2) CDY has evolved to perform a completely new function in primates that is not required in the mouse; or (3) the CDY transgene is not expressed in the testicular cell type(s) responsible for the germ cell loss. Although the first two possibilities are difficult to distuguish between, additional work carefully analyzing protein expression of CDYL and the CDY transgene within the testis, as well as spermatogonial transplantation experiments (described above) may be able to address the third. If this third possibility is true, perhaps a human Cdyl transgene would be more successful in rescuing the germ cell loss in Cdyl-1- mice. **A** human Cdyl transgene would also be expected to rescue the partial lethality of  $Cdyl/m$ ice, as human  $Cdyl$  is expressed widely in somatic tissues. Also, because  $Cdyl/r$  mice on a C57BL/6, a C57BL/6xBALB/c, or a C57BL/6x129 background do not produce spermatozoa with abnormal head morphology, I could not address in my preliminary experiments whether the human CDYtransgene can rescue this phenotype. It is

**possible that rescue experiments on a BALB/c background will reveal a role for**  human **CDY in sperm head morphogenesis.** 

## $\boldsymbol{\mathrm{V}}$

# Materials and Methods

**GENOMIC DNA PCR ANALYSIS** Mouse tail samples were incubated overnight at  $55$  C in 100 ul lysis buffer (100 mM Tris ph 8.5,  $5$  mM EDTA, .2% SDS, 200mM NaC1, .3 mg/ml proteinase K). Samples were then diluted 1: 10 in H20, and 5 ul was used in a 20 ul reaction. PCR cyclmg conditions are as follows: 96 for 4', 95 for l', 60 for l', 72 for 30", repeat steps 2-4 34 times, 72 for 5'. Primers used for genotyping and transgene mapping are given in Table 1.

**RNA Analysis.** RNA was extracted from mouse tissue using TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen). For RT-PCR, samples were incubated at room temperature for 15' with DNAase (Invitrogen) and then at 65 C for 15' with 25 mM EDTA. Reverse transcription was carried out at 42 C for 2 hours using Stratascript RT (Stratagene) and random hexamer primers. PCR was performed using the same cycling conditions listed above. For RT-PCR primers, see Table 2. 3' RACE was performed using Marathon-Ready liver cDNA (Clontech) with the primer AAGCAGGTGGTCCAGTGTCTGTGAGTCA. For northern analysis RNA was separated on a glyoxal gel (Ambion) and transferred to a Brightstar nylon membrane (Ambion). Probes were generated with PCR using the following primers: Cdyl5' probe F:AGCATCCCACTTGTTCCTTG and R:GCTGGCAGGTGTTATCATCA; Cdyl internal probe F: GATGGCTTCACCCACATCTT and R:GCTGGGCCATTAACAGCTAC; Cdyl3' probe F: GAGCACTAGGCTGCTCTTGG

and R:CACAGACACTGGACCACCTG; human CDY probe:

F: ACACCACAGGTCCAAAAACC and R: GCTGGCACTTTCTGTTAGCC. Probes were labeled with <sup>32</sup>P-CTP using the Megaprime DNA Labeling System (Amersham). Hybridizations were carried out according to manufacturer's directions using ExpressHyb Hybridization Solution (Clontech), and blots were exposed to film.

**Mouse Construction A** genomic clone containing exon 4 of Cdyl was obtained by screening the mCIT library (Research Genetics). The knockout mouse targeting construct was generated by ligating a 7kb genomic fragment located **6'** of *Cdyl* exon 4 and a 5 kb genornic fragment located **3'** of exon 4 into the Aat **11** and **Sal** I sites, respectively, of pPGKRN (a *gift* from R. Jaenisch), such that the two arms **flank** the Neo selection cassette. 129 ES cells were electroporated **with** the construct, DNA isolated from G418 selected cells was digested **with** Xba I, and southern blotting with a probe 5' of exon 4 was used to identify correctly targeted cells. Chimeric mice were generated with these ES cells by DNX Transgenic Sciences through blastocyst injection. *CDY* transgenic mice were generating by male pronuclear injection of the Research Genetics Library B BAC 16L1 **into**  (C57BL/6xSJL)F2 fertilized eggs; microinjections were performed by DNX Transgenic Sciences.

**Antibody Production and Western Blotting** Antisera against mouse CDYL (anti-CDYL #1) was generated by injecting rabbits with the synthetic peptide EKAEQPTDDNTC conjugated to KLH (Covance). Anti-CDYL #1 antisera was afflnty purified using the Sulfolink Kit (Pierce Biotechnology). CDY antisera was generated by injecting rabbits with the synthetic peptide VKHLRNNRNTASLC coqjugated to **KLH** (Covance). Mouse proteins for western blotting were extracted by homogenizing mouse tissue in ice cold elution buffer (1% Triton-X, 5mM EDTA, 20mM tris-HCL ph 8,150 mM **NaCl).** The protein lysates were mixed with Laernlli loading buffer and heated for **6'** at **95** C. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on a 10% tris-HCL gel running at 170 V. Proteins were transferred

onto a .45 micron nitrocellulose membrane for 1 hour at 250 milliamps. The membrane was blocked overnight at 4 C in 5% dry **milk,** rinsed 3X **with** PBS, incubated with primary antibody for one hour (CDYL antisera at 1 :50, CDY antisera at 1:300, AKAP antisera (from Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 1:100, or Cam KIV antisera (from Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 1:50), rinsed 3X with PBS, incubated **with** HRP Coqjugated secondary antibody at 1: 10,000 for 40 minutes (Amersham), rinsed 3X **with** PBS, incubated for 1' **with** ECL reagent (Amersham), and exposed to film.

**Immunohistochemistry and Histology** Mouse testes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde or Bouins fixative for up to 1 week and washed **into** 70% ethanol. Samples were embedded in paraffm, sectioned, and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histology or left unstained for immunohistochemistry. Unstained slides were heated for 10' at 55 C, rinsed 2x5' in xylene, 2x5' in 100% ethanol, 2x5' in 90% ethanol, 2x5' in 80% ethanol, 2x5' in 70% ethanol, and **2x2'**  in dH20. Antigen retrieval was performed by immersing samples in 10 **mM**  sodium citrate buffer (pH=6) and heating for 2x10' at HIGH in a microwave. Samples were aJlowed to cool, rinsed 2x2' with dH20, incubated for 10' in 3% hydrogen peroxide, rinsed 2x2' with PBS, blocked for 2 hours at 4 C in 3% goat semun, and rinsed 2x2' with PBS. Incubation with primary antibody wes performed overnight at 4 C using the following dilutions: hyperacetylated H4 (1:500), H4 AC K5 (1:1000), H4 AC K8 (1:120), H4 AC K12 (1:200), H4 Ac K16 (1:500), H2A Ac **K5** (1:5000), cleaved caspase-3 (1: 1000), protamine 1 (1: 1 SOO), protamine 2 (1:2000), and anti-CDYL **#2** (1:200). All antisera for acetylated histones were from Upstate Cell Signaling Solutions; Caspase-3 antisera was from

Cell Signaling Technology; anti-CDYL #2 antisera was from Abeam; protamine antisera was a *gtft* from R. Balhorn. After primary antibody incubation, samples were rinsed 2x2' with PBS, incubated for 30' with biotinylated secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories), rinsed 2x2' with PBS, incubated for 30' with ABC reagent (Vector Laboratories), rinsed 2x2' with PBS, and incubated with **DAB**  substrate (Vector Laboratories) for 2-6 minutes. Samples were then rinsed in tap water, stained with hematoxylin, and dehydrated.

**Microscopy** Epididymal sperm were collected by mincing the epididymis in PBS or Ham's F-10 Media and observed at 600x magntfication usmg a Nikon Eclipse TE300 microscope. Testes for EM analysis were fixed in 2.5% gluteraldehyde, 3% paraformaldehyde with 5% sucrose in O.1M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4). Tissue was then post fixed in 1% OsO4 in veronal-acetate buffer. The tissue was stained in block overnight with 0.5% uranyl acetate in veronal-acetate buffer (pH6.0), then dehydrated and embedded in epon-8 12 resin. Sections were cut on a Leica ultra cut UCT at a thickness of 7Onm using a diamond knife, stained with 2.0% uranyl acetate followed by 0.1% lead citrate and examined using a Philips EM410.

**Table 1** 

<b>ASSAY</b>	<b>FORWARD</b>	<b>REVERSE</b>
	Cdyl (exon4) (genotyping) CTCCAAGACCAACTCCAAAG	<b>GTCCATGTTCTTGCGGTTTG</b>
Human CDY	(genotyping) ACACCACAGGTCCAAAAACC	GCTGGCACTTTCTGTTAGCC
	Neo Cassette (genotyping)   AGACAATCGGCTGCTCTGAT	ATACTTTCTCGGCAGGAGCA
<b>Transgene Assay 1</b>	<b>IATGGCAGAATGTAAATGGGG</b>	ITGTGTCAGAAATTTTAACCTAGCC
<b>Transgene Assay 2</b>	CAGGAAGCCCATCACAATCT	<b>CCAGGTCGAACTACGTCACA</b>
Transgene Assay 3	<b>TTTTGGACAACCTTACTTTGACTG</b>	CAACAGTGAGTTTTGGTTTTCC
<b>Transgene Assay 4</b>	<b>TTTTCACTACACCAGTGGTGACA</b>	TGGCAGCAAATAACTTGCG
<b>Transgene Assay 5</b>	AAGACACGGGATTGGAACAG	TCGTCATAGCAACCACAATTAAA
<b>Transgene Assay 6</b>	<b>CAAGCCCTCAGAAATAATCTTA</b>	<b>CTTTGTCAGATGAGTAGGTTGTG</b>
<b>Transgene Assay 7</b>	<b>CCACTTGACCCCTGTCACATC</b>	<b>GTCCATCAGCCTAGAACCTAGACT</b>
<b>Transgene Assay 8</b>	AGGAGATGTCAGGACTATCAGC	TCCATCCAGCTGGTCATATT
<b>Transgene Assay 9</b>	TGGTTTGATTGTCTATTATGCCA	<b>CCATCTTGGCCTCATTAAGTGA</b>
Transgene Assay 10	TGGGCATTTAGTGCTATCCG	ACAAAGAGCCTTCCAGACCAC
<b>Transgene Assay 11</b>	<b>CGAATGTCCTAGTGGGGAAA</b>	<b>GGCCTTCAGCTGATTCACA</b>
<b>Transgene Assay 12</b>	TTTCGCTCTGCTGGAATTTT	AGTGCTACCCTCTTAGGGAACT
Transgene Assay 13	TTGTCATCACAGCCATTTTGA	<b>ITTACGTTTTTCTCTGGGCG</b>
Transgene Assay 14	TCCTGCAAAGCCAAAGAGTT	ATCCCTTGAACCTGGGAGTT
Transgene Assay 15	GTCGTGGTATTGCGTTCCTT	<b> TTCTATGGCCCACCTGTCTC</b>

#### **Table 2**



# VI

# References

- Akama, T.O., Nakagawa, H., Sugihara, K., Narisawa, S., Ohyama, C., Nishimura, S., O'Brien, D.A., Moremen, K. W., Millan, J.L., and Fukuda, M.N. (2002). Germ cell survival through carbohydrate-mediated interaction **with** Sertoli cells. Science 295,124- 127.
- Balhorn, R., Weston, S., Thomas, C., and Wyrobek, A. J. (1984). DNA packaging in mouse spermatids. Synthesis of protamine variants and four transition proteins. Exp Cell Res 150,298-308.
- Bannister, A.J., Zegerman, P., Partridge, J.F., Miska, E.A., Thomas, J.O., Allshire, R.C., and Kowarides, T. (2001). Selective recognition of methylated lysine 9 on histone H3 by the HP1 chromo domain. Nature 410, 120-124.
- Bannister, L.A., Reinholdt, L.G., Munroe, R. J., and Schimenti, J.C. (2004). Positional cloning and characterization of mouse mei8, a disrupted aUelle of the meiotic cohesin Rec8. Genesis 40,184-194.
- Beumer, T.L., Kiyokawa, H., Roepers-Gajadien, H.L., van den Bos, L.A., Lock, T.M., Gademan, I.S., Rutgers, D.H., Koff, A., and de Roolj, D.G. (1999). Regulatory role of p27kipl in the mouse and human testis. Endocrinology 140,1834-1840.
- Blaise, R., Grober, J., Rouet, P., Tavernier, G., Daegelen, D., and Langin, D. (1999). Testis expression of hormone-sensitive lipase is conferred by a specific promoter that contains four regions binding testicular nuclear proteins. J Biol Chem 274,9327-9334.
- Blaise, R., Guillaudeux, T., Tavernier, G., Daegelen, D., Evrard, B., Mairal, A., Holm, C., Jegou, B., and Langin, D. (2001). Testis hormone-sensitive lipase expression in spermatids is governed by a short promoter in transgenic mice. J Biol Chem 276, 5109-5115.
- Braun R.E. (2001). Repackaging paternal chromosomes with Protamine. Nat Genet 28, 10-12.
- Brehm, A., Tufteland, **K.R.,** &land, R., and Becker, P.B. (2004). The many colours of chromodomains. Bioessays 26, 133-140.
- Buaas, F.W., Kirsh, A.L., Shamna, M., McLean, D.J., Morris, J.L., Griswold, M.D., de Rooij, D.G., and Braun, R.E. (2004). Plzf is required in adult maJe germ cells for stem cell selfrenewal. Nat Genet 36,647-652.

Caron, C., Pivot-Pajot, C., van Grunsven, L.A., Col, E., Lestrat, C., Rousseaux, S., and Khochbin, S. (2003). Cdyl: a new transcriptional co-repressor. EMBO Rep 4,877-882.

- Chang, C., Chen, Y.T., Yeh, S.D., Xu, Q., Wang, R.S., Guillou, F., Lardy, H., and Yeh, S. (2004). Infertility **with** defective spermatogenesis and hypotestosteronemia in maJe mice lacking the androgen receptor in Sertoli cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U** S A 101,6876- 688 1.
- Chinnadurai, G. (2002). CtBP, an unconventional trarnscriptional corepressor in development and oncogenesis. Mol Cell Q, 213-224.
- Cho, C., Bunch, D.O., Faure, J.E., Goulding, E.H., Eddy, E.M., Primakoff, P., and Myles, D.G. (1 998). Fertilization defects in sperm from mice lacking fertilin beta. Science 281, 1857-1859.
- Cho, C., Willis, W.D., Goulding, E.H., Jung-Ha, H., Choi, Y.C., Hecht, N.B., and Eddy, E.M. (2001). Haploinsufficiency of protamine-1 or -2 causes infertility in mice. Nat Genet 28, 82-86.
- Chung, S., Wang, S.P., Pan, L., Mitchell, G., Trasler, J., and Hermo, L. (2001). Infertility and testicular defects in hormone-sensitive lipase-deficient mice. Endocrinology 142, 4272-428 1.
- Cooke, H. J. and Saunders, P.T. (2002). Mouse models of male infertility. Nat Rev Genet 3, 790-80 1.
- Costoya, J.A., Hobbs, R.M., Barna, M., Cattoretti, G., Manova, K., Sukhwani, M., Orwig, K.E., Wolgemuth, D.J., and Pandolfi, P.P. (2004). Essential role of Plzf in maintenance of spermatogonial stem cells. Nat Genet 36,653-659.
- Czermin, B., Melfi, R., McCabe, D., Seitz, V., Imhof, A., and Pirrotta, V. (2002). DrosophiIa enhancer of Zeste/ESC complexes have a histone H3 methyltransferase activity that marks chromosomal Polycomb sites. Cell 111, 185-196.
- De Gendt, K., Swinnen, J.V., Sanders, P.T., Schooqjans, L., Dewerchin, M., Devos, A,, Tan, K., Atanassova, N., Claessens, F., Lecureuil, C., Heyns, W., Carmeliet, P., Guillou, F., Sharpe, R.M., and Verhoeven, **G.** (2004). A Sertoli cell-selective knockout of the androgen receptor causes spermatogenic mest in meiosis. Pmc Natl Acad Sci **U** S A 101,1327-1332.
- de Rooij, D.G. (2001). Proliferation and differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells. Reproduction 121,347-354.
- Dorms, S., Gilbert, S.L., Forster, M.L., Barmdt, R.J., and Lahn, B.T. (2003). The CDY-related gene family: coordinated evolution in copy number, expression proflle and protein sequence. Hum Mol Genet 12, 1643-1650.
- Eddy, E.M., Washburn, T.F., Bunch, D.O., Goulding, E.H., Gladen, B.C., Lubahn, D.B., and Korach, K.S. (1996). Targeted disruption of the estrogen receptor gene in male mice causes alteration of spermatogenesis and infertility. Endocrinology 137,4796-4805.
- Edelmann, W., Cohen, P.E., Kane, M., Lau, K., Morrow, B., Bennett, S., Umar, A., Kunkel, T., Cattoretti, G., Chaganti, R., Pollard, J.W., Kolodner, R.D., and Kucherlapati, R. (1 996). Meiotic pachytene arrest in MLH1-deficient mice. Cell 85,1125- 1 134.
- Edelmann, W., Cohen, P.E., Kneitz, B., Winand, N., Lia, M., Heyer, J., Kolodner, R., Pollard, J.W., and Kucherlapati, R. (1999). Mammalian MutS homologue 5 is required for chromosome pairing in meiosis. Nat Genet 21, 123-127.
- Esnault, C., Maestre, J., and Heldmann T. (2000). Human LINE retrotransposons generate processed psuedogenes. Nat Genet 24,23-27
- FaJender A.E., Freiman R.N., Geles K.G., Lo K.C., Hwang K., Lamb D. J., Morris P.L., Tjian R., Richards J.S. (2005). Maintenance of spermatogenesis requires TAF4b, a gonadspecific subunit of TFIID. Genes Dev 19, 794-803.

Fawcett, D.W. (1975) The mammalian spermatozoon. Dev Biol 44, 394-436.

Gehin, M., Mark, M., Dennefeld, C., Dierich, A., Gronemeyer, H., and Chambon, P. (2002). The function of TIF2/GRIP1 in mouse reproduction is distinct from those of SRC-1 and p/CIP. Mol Cell Biol 22, 5923-5937.
- Gerlt, J.A. and Babbitt, P.C. (1 998). Mechanistically diverse enzyme superfamilies: the importance of chemistry in the evolution of catalysis. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2, 607-612.
- Grooteclaes, M., Deveraux, Q., Hildebrand, J., Zhang, Q., Goodman, R.H., and Frisch, S.M. (2003). C-terminal-binding protein corepresses epithelial and proapoptotic gene expression programs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100,4568-4573.
- Hagaman, J.R., Moyer, J.S., Bachrnan, E.S., Sibony, M., Magyar, P.L., Welch, J.E., Smithies, O., Krege, J.H., and O'Brien, D.A. (1998). Angiotensin-converting enzyme and male fertility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95,2552-2557.
- Hazzouri, M., Pivot-Pajot, C., Faure, A.K., Usson, Y., Pelletier, R., Sele, B., Khochbin, S., and Rousseaux, S. (2000). Regulated hyperacetylation of core histones during mouse spermatogenesis: involvement of histone deacetylases. Eur J Cell Biol 79,9 50-9 60.
- Honarpour, N., Du, C., Richardson, J.A., Hammer, R.E., Wang, X., and Herz, J. (2000). Adult Apaf-1-deficient mice exhibit male infertility. Dev Biol 218, 248-258.
- Hu, J., Chen, Y.X., Wang, D., Qi, X., Li, T.G., Hao, J., Mishina, Y., Garbers, D.L., and Zhao, G.Q. (2004). Developmental expression and function of Bmp4 in spermatogenesis and in maintaining epididymal integrity. Dev Biol 276, 158-171.
- Ikawa, M., Nakanishi, T., Yamada, S., Wada, I., Kominami, K., Tanaka, H., Nozaki, M., Nishimune, Y., and Okabe, M. (2001). Calmegin is required for fertilin alpha/beta heterodimerization and sperm fertility. Dev Biol 240, 254-261.
- Ikawa, M., Wada, I., Kominami, K., Watanabe, D., Toshimori, K., Nishimune, Y., and Okabe, M. (1997). The putative chaperone calmegin is required for sperm fertility. Nature 387, 607-611.
- Kang-Decker, N., Mantchev, G.T., Juneja, S.C., McNiven, M.A., and van Deursen, J.M. (2001). Lack of acrosome formation in Hrb-deficient mice. Science 294, 1531-1533.
- Kashiwabara, S., Noguchi, J., Zhuang, T., Ohrnura, K., Honda, A., Sugiura, S., Miyamoto, K., Takahashi, S., Inoue, K., Ogura, A., and Baba, T. (2002). Regulation of spermatogenesis by testis-specific, cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase TPAP. Science 298, 1999-2002.
- Keeney, S., Giroux, C.N., and Kleckner, N. (1 997). Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. Cell 88, 375-384.
- Kierszenbaum, A.L. and Tres, L.L. (1975). Structural and transcriptional features of the mouse spermatid genome. J Cell Biol  $65$ , 258-270.
- Kimura, T., Ito, C., Watanabe, S., Takahashi, T., Ikawa, M., Yomogida, K., Fujita, Y., Ikeuchi, M., Asada, N., Matsumiya, K., Okuyama, A., Okabe, M., Toshimori, K., and Nakano, T. (2003). Mouse germ cell-less as an essential component for nuclear integrity. Mol Cell Biol 23, 1304-1315.
- Kleene, K.C., Distel, R. J., and Hecht, N.B. (1 984). Translational regulation and deadenylation of a protamine mRNA during spermiogenesis in the mouse. Dev Biol  $105$ , 71-79.
- Komada, M., McLean, D.J., Griswold, M.D., Russell, L.D., and Soriano, P. (2000). **E-MAP-**1 15, encoding a microtubule-associated protein, is a retinoic acid-inducible gene required for spermatogenesis. Genes Dev 14,1332-1342.
- Kotaja, N., De Cesare, D., Macho, B., Monaco, L., Brancorsini, S., Goossens, E., Tournave, H., Gammuller, A., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (2004). Abnormal sperm in mice with targeted deletion of **the** act (activator of CAMP-responsive element modulator in testis) gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 10620-10625.
- Krishnamurthy, H., Danilovich, N., Morales, C.R., and Sairam, M.R. (2000). Qualitative and quantitative decline in spermatogenesis of the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor knockout (FORKO) mouse. Biol Reprod 62, 1146-1159.
- Kurmnochi-Miyagawa, S., Kimura, T., niri, T.W., Isobe, T., Asada, N., F'uJita, Y., Ikawa, M., IwaJ, N., Okabe, M., Deng, W., Lin, H., Matsuda, Y., and Nakano, T. (2004). Mili, a mammalian member of piwi family gene, is essential for spermatogenesis. Development 131,839-849.
- Kuroda-Kawaguchi, T., Skaletsky, H., Brown, L.G., **Minx,** P.J., Cordum, H.S., Waterston, R.H., Wilson, R.K., Silber, S., Oates, R., Rozen, S., and Page, D.C. (2001). The AZFc region of the Y chromosome features massive palindromes and uniform recurrent deletions in infertile men. Nat Genet 29,879-286.
- Lachner, M., O'Carroll, D., Rea, S., Mechtler, K., and Jenuwein, T. (2001). Methylation of histone H3 lysine 9 creates a binding site for HP1 proteins. Nature 410, 116-120.
- Lahn, B.T. and Page, D.C. (1999). Retroposition of autosomal mRNA yielded testis-specific gene family on human Y chromosome. Nat Genet 21,429-433.
- Lahn, B.T., Tang, Z.L., Zhou, J., Barndt, R.J., Parvinen, M., Allis, C.D., and Page, D.C. (2002). Previously uncharacterized histone acetyltransferases implicated in mammalian spermatogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99,8707-8712.

Leatherman, J.L., Kaestner, K.H., and Jongens, T.A. (2000). Identification of a mouse germ cell-less homologue **with** conserved activity in Drosophila. Mech Dev 92,145-153.

- Lee, K., Haugen, H.S., Clegg, C.H., and Braun, R.E. (1995). Premature translation of protamine 1 mRNA causes precocious nuclear condensation and arrests spermatid differentiation in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92, 12451-12455.
- Lee, S.B., Huang, K., Palmer, R., Truong, V.B., Herzlinger, D., Kolquist, K.A., Wong, J., Paulding, C., Yoon, S.K., Gerald, W., Oliner, J.D., and Haber, D.A. (1999). The Wilms tumor suppressor WT1 encodes a transcriptional activator of amphiregdin. Cell 98, 663-673.
- **Li,** Q., Ishikawa, T.O., Miyoshi, H., Oshima, M., and Taketo, M.M. (2004). A targeted mutation of Nkdl impairs mouse spermatogenesis. J Biol Chem  $O$ ,  $O$ - $O$ .

Liu, D., Matzuk, M.M., Sung, W.K., Guo, Q., **Wang,** P., and Wolgemuth, D.J. (1998). Cyclln A1 is required for meiosis in the male mouse. Nat Genet 20,377-380.

Ma, X., Dong, Y., Matzuk, M.M., and **Kumar,** T.R. (2004). Targeted disruption of luteinizing hormone beta-subunit leads to hypogonadism, defects in gonadal steroidogenesis, and infertility. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U** 8 A 101,17294-1 7299.

- Medina, J.F., Recalde, S., Prieto, J., Lecanda, J., Saez, E., **Funk,** C.D., Vecino, P., vas Roon, M.A., Ottenhoff, R., Bosma, P.J., Bakker, C.T., and Elferink, R.P. (2003). Anion exchanger 2 is essentid for spemniogenesis in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci **U** 8 A 100, 15847-15852.
- Meistrich, M.L., Trostle-Weige, P.K., Lin, R., Bhatnagar, Y.M., and **Allis,** C.D. (1992). Highly acetylated H4 is associated with histone displacement in rat spermatids. Mol Reprod Dev 31, 170-181.

Mendoza-Lujambio, I., Burfeind, P., Dixkens, C., Meinhardt, A., Hoyer-Fender, S., Engel, W., and Neesen, J. (2002). The Hook1 gene is non-flmctional in the abnormal spermatozoon head shape (azh) mutant mouse. Hum Mol Genet 11, 1647-1658.

Miki, K., Qu, W., Goulding, E.H., Willis, W.D., Bunch, D.O., Strader, L.F., Perreault, S.D., Eddy, E.M., and O'Brien, D.A. (2004). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase-8, a sperm-specific glycolytic enzyme, is required for sperm motility and male fertility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 16501-16506.

Mori, C., Allen, **J.W.,** Dix, D.J., Nakamura, N., FQJioka, M., Toshimori, K., and Eddy, E.M. (1999). Completion of meiosis is not always required for acrosome formation in HSP70-2 null mice. Biol Reprod  $61.813-822$ .

Mruk D.D., Cheng C.Y. (2004). Sertoli-Sertoli and Sertoli-germ cell interactions and their significance in germ cell movement in the seminiferous epithelium during spermatogenesis. Endocr Rev 25, 747-806.

Mu, X., Lee, Y.F., Liu, N.C., Chen, Y.T., Kim, E., Shyr, **C.R.,** and Chang, C. (2004). Targeted inactivation of testicular nuclear orphan receptor 4 delays and disrupts late meiotic prophase and subsequent meiotic divisions of spermatogenesis. Mol Cell Biol 24, 5887-5899.

Nakamoto, T., Shiratsuchi, A., Oda, H., Inoue, R., Matsumura, T., Ichikawa, M., SaJto, T., Seo, S., Maki, K., **&a,** T., Suzuki, T., Hangaishi, A., Yamagata, T., Aizawa, S., Noda, M., Nakanishi, Y., and Hirai, H. (2004). Impaired spermatogenesis and male fertility defects in CIZ/Nmp4-disrupted mice. Genes Cells 9, 575-589.

Nakamura, T., Yao, R., Ogawa, T., Suzuki, T., Ito, C., Tsunekawa, N., Inoue, K., **Ajima,** R., Miyasaka, T., Yoshida, Y., Ogura, A., Toshimori, K., Noce, T., Yamamoto, T., and Noda, T. (2004). **Oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia** in mice lacking Cnot7, a regulator of retinoid X receptor beta. Nat Genet 36,528-533.

Nebel, B.R., Amarose, A.P., and Hackett E.M. (1961). Calendar of gametogenenic development in the prepuberal male mouse. Science 134, 832-833.

Neilson, **L.I.,** Schneider, P.A., Van Deerlin, P.G., Klriakidou, M., Driscoll, D.A., Pellegrini, M.C., Millinder, S., Yamamoto, K.K., French, C.K., and Strauss **JF,** 3.r.d. (1999). cDNA cloning and characterization of a **human** sperm antigen (SPAG6) with homology to the product of **the** Chlamydomonas PF16 locus. Genomics 60,272-280.

Nordentoft, I. and Jorgensen, P. (2003). The acetyltransferase 60 kDa trans-acting regulatory protein of **HIV** type 1-interacting protein (Tip6O) interacts with the translocation E26 transforming-specific leukaemia gene (TEL) and functions **as**  Biochem J 374, 165-173.

- Ohta, H., Tohda, A., and Nishimune, Y. (2003). Proliferation and differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells in the w/wv mutant mouse testis. Biol Reprod  $69$ ,  $1815-1821$ .
- Oishi, K., Barchi, M., Au, A.C., Gelb, B.D., and **Diaz,** G.A. (2004). Male infertility due to germ cell apoptosis in mice lacking the **thiamin** carrier, Thtl. A new insight into the critical role of thiamin in spermatogenesis. Dev Biol 266, 299-309.
- Pierce, J.G. and Parsons, T.F. (1981). Glycoprotein hormones: structure and function. Annu Rev Biochem 50,465-495.
- Rane, S.G., Dubus, P., Mettus, R.V., Galbreath, E.J., Boden, G., Reddy, E.P., and Barbacid, M. (1999). Loss of Cdk4 expression causes insulin-deficient diabetes and Cdk4 activation results in beta-islet cell hyperplasia. Nat Genet 22, 44-52.
- Raymond, C.S., Murphy, M.W., O'Sullivan, M.G., Bardwell, V. J., and Zarkower, D. (2000). Dmrtl, a gene related to worm and fly sexual regulators, is required for mammalian testis differentiation. Genes Dev 14, 2587-2595.
- Roest, H.P., van Klaveren, J., de Wit, J., van Gurp, C.G., Koken, M.H., Vermey, M., van Roijen, J.H., Hoogerbrugge, J.W., Vreeburg, J.T., Baarends, W.M., Bootsma, D., Grootegoed, J.A., and Hoeijmakers, J.H. (1 996). Inactivation of the HR6B ubiquitinconjugating DNA repair enzyme in mice causes male sterility associated with chromatin modification. Cell 86,799-810.
- Romanienko, P.J. and Camerini-Otero, R.D. (2000). The mouse Spoll gene is required for meiotic chromosome synapsis. Mol Cell 6,975-987.
- Rossi, P., Dolci, S., Bette, C., and Geremia, R. (2003). Moleculaz mechanisms utilized by alternative c-kit gene products in the control of spermatogonial proliferation and spermmediated egg activation. Andrologia 35,7 1-78.
- Russel, L.D., Ettlin, R.A., **Hikim,** A.S., and Clegg, E.D. (1990) Histological and Histopathological Evaluation of the Testis. Published by Cache River Press.
- Russell L.D., Russell J.A., MacGregor G.R., Meistrich M.L. (1991). Linkage of manchette microtubules to the nuclear envelope and observations of the role of the manchette in nuclear shaping during spermiogenesis in rodents. Am J Anat 192,97-120.
- Sapiro, R., Kostetskii, I., Olds-Clarke, P., Gerton, G.L., Radice, G.L., and Strauss 111, J.F. (2002). Male infertility, impaired sperm motility, and hydrocephalus in mice deficient in sperm-associated antigen 6. Mol Cell Biol 22, 6298-6305.
- Sarkar, P.S., Paul, S., Han, J., and Reddy, S. (2004). Six5 is required for spermatogenic cell survival and spermiogenesis. **Hum** Mol Genet 13,1421-1431.
- Shan, L.X., Bardin, C.W., and Hardy, M.P. (1997). Immunohistochemical analysis of androgen effects on androgen receptor expression in developing Leydig and Sertoli cells. Endocrinology 138, 1259-1266.
- Shi, Y., Sawada, J., Sui, G., Mar el, B., Whetstine, J.R., Lan, F., Ogawa, H., Luke, M.P., Nakatani, Y., and Shi, Y. (2003). Coordinated histone modifications mediated by a CtBP co-repressor complex. Nature 422,735-738.
- Shirley, C.R., Hayashi, S., Mounsey, S., Yanagimachi, R., and Meistrich, M.L. (2004). Abnormalities and reduced reproductive potential of sperm from Tnpl- and Tnp2-null double mutant mice. Biol Reprod **m,** 1220-1229.
- Slee, R., Grimes, B., Speed, R.M., Taggart, M., Maguire, S.M., Ross, A., McGill, N.I., Saunders, P.T., and Cooke, H. J. (1 999). A human DAZ transgene confers partial rescue of the mouse Dazl null phenotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96,8040-8045.
- Tourtellotte, W .G., Nagarajan, R., Auyeung, A., Mueller, C., and Milbrandt, J. (1 999). Wertility associated **with** incomplete spermatogenic arrest and oligozoospermia in Egr4-deficient mice. Development 126, 5061-5071.
- Tsai-Morris, C.H., Sheng, Y., Lee, E., Lei, K.J., and Dufau, M.L. (2004). Gonadotropinregulated testicular RNA helicase (GRTH/Ddx25) is essential for spermatid development and completion of spermatogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 6373-6378.
- Wang, S.P., Chung, S., Soni, K., Bourdages, H., Hermo, L., Trasler, J., and Mitchell, G.A. (2004). Expression of **human** hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) in postmeiotic germ cells confers normal fertility to HSL-deficient mice. Endocrinology 145,5688-5693.
- Weber, P., Cammas, F., Gerard, C., Metzger, D., Chambon, P., Losson, R., and Mark, M. (2002). Germ cell expression of the transcriptional co-repressor TIFlbeta is required for the maintenance of spermatogenesis in the mouse. Development  $129$ ,  $2329-2337$ .
- Weiss, J., Meeks, J.J., Hurley, L., Raverot, G., Frassetto, A., and Jameson, J.L. (2003). 80x3 is required for gonadaJ f'unction, but not sex determination, in males and females. Mol Cell Biol 23, 8084-8091.
- Welch, J.E., Brown, P.L., O'Brien, D.A., Magyar, P.L., Bunch, D.O., Mori, C., and Eddy, E.M. (2000). Human glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase-2 gene is expressed specifically in spermatogenic cells. J Androl 21, 328-338.
- Wu, J.Y., Ribar, T.J., Cummings, D.E., Burton, K.A., McKnight, G.S., and Means, A.R. (2000). Spermiogenesis and exchange of basic nuclear proteins are impaired in male germ cells lacking Camk4. Nat Genet 25, 448-452.

Xu, X., Toselli, P.A., Russell, L.D., and Seldin, D.C. (1 999). Globozoospermia in mice lacking the casein kinase II alpha' catalytic subunit. Nat Genet 23, 118-121.

- Yan, D., Wallingford, J.B., Sun, T.Q., Nelson, A.M., Sakanaka, C., Reinhard, C., Harland, R.M., Fantl, W.J., and Williams, L.T. (200 1). Cell autonomous regulation of multiple Dishevelled-dependent pathways by mammalian Nkd. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98, 3802-3807.
- Yao, R., Ito, C., Natsume, Y., Sugitani, Y., Yamana&a, H., Kuretake, S., Yamgida, K., Sato, A,, Toshimori, K., and Noda, T. (2002). Lack of acrosome formation in mice lacking a Golgi protein, GOPC. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99, 11211-11216.
- Yelick P.C., Kwon Y.H., Flynn J.F., Borzorgzadeh A., Kleene K.C., Hecht N.B. (1989). Mouse transition protein 1 is translationally regulated during the postmeiotic stages of spermatogenesis. Mol Reprod Dev 1,193-200
- Yu, **Y.E.,** *Zhang,* Y., Unni, E., Shirley, C.R., Deng, J.M., Russell, L.D., Weil, M.M., Behringer, R.R., and Meistrich, M.L. (2000). Abnormal spermatogenesis and reduced fertility in transition nuclear protein 1-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97,4683-4688.

**Zhang,** D., Penttila, T.L., Morris, P.L., Teichmann, M., and Roeder, R.G. (200 1). Spermiogenesis deficiency in mice lacking the Trf2 gene. Science 292, 1153-1155.

- Zhang, Z., Kostetskii, I., Moss, S.B., Jones, B.H., Ho, C., Wang, H., Kishida, T., Gerton, G.L., Radice, G.L., and Strauss **JF,** 3 .r.d. (2004). Haploinsufficiency for the murine orthologue of Chlamydomonas PF20 disrupts spermatogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 12946-12951.
- Zhao, G.Q. and Hogan, B.L. (1996). Evidence that mouse Bmp8a (Op2) and Bmp8b are duplicated genes that play a role in spermatogenesis and placental development. Mech Dev 57, 159-168.
- Zhao, G.Q., Liaw, L., and Hogan, B.L. (1 998). Bone morphogenetic protein **8A** plays a role in the maintenance of spermatogenesis and the integrity of the epididymis. Development 125, 1103-1112.
- Zhao, M., Shirley, C.R., Hayashi, S., Marcon, L., Mohapatra, B., Suganuma, R., Behringer, R.R., Boissonneault, G., Yanagimachi, R., and Meistrich, M.L. (2004). Transition nuclear proteins are required for normal chromatin condensation and functional sperm development. Genesis 38, 200-213.
- Zhao, M., Shirley, C.R., Yu, Y.E., Mohapatra, B., Zhang, Y., Unni, E., Deng, J.M., Arango, N.A., Terry, N.H., Weil, M.M., Russell, L.D., Behrmger, R.R., and Meistrich, M.L. (200 1). Targeted disruption of the transition protein 2 gene affects sperm chromatin structure and reduces fertility in mice. Mol Cell Biol 21, 7243-7255.
- Zhou, X., Kudo, A., Kawakami, H., and Hirano, H. (1996). Immunohistochemical localization of androgen receptor in mouse testicular germ cells during fetal and postnatal development. Anat Rec 245, 509-518.
- Zindy, F., van Deursen, J., Grosveld, G., Sherr, C.J., and Roussel, M.F. (2000). INK4ddeficient mice are fertile despite testicular atrophy. Mol Cell Biol 20, 372-378