

Quantum Mechanics: the Practice

Wave Mechanics
\n
$$
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\Psi(\vec{r},t) + V(\vec{r},t)\Psi(\vec{r},t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}
$$
\n
$$
\Psi(\vec{r},t) = \Psi(\vec{r})f(t)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\psi(\vec{r})} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r}) + V(\vec{r})\psi(\vec{r}) \right] = i\hbar \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial t}
$$
\n
$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \right] \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r})
$$

Slide 4

Stationary Schroedinger's equation
\n(a)
$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(\vec{r})\right]\psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})
$$

\n $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \hat{V}$
\n(b) $\hat{H}\psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r})$
\n• It's not proven – it's postulated, and it is confirmed experimentally
\n• It's an eigenvalue equation
\n• Boundary conditions (and regularity) must be specified

Interpretation of the Quantum Wavefunction
\n
$$
\left\| \Psi(\vec{r},t) \right\|^2 \text{ is the probability of finding an electron}
$$
\nIf V=V(r), it's separable: $\Psi(\vec{r},t) = \psi(\vec{r})f(t) = \psi(\vec{r})\exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et)$
\nRemember the free particle, and the principle of indetermination:if the momentum is perfectly known, the position is perfectly unknown
\n
$$
\Psi(\vec{r},t) = A \exp[i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)]
$$

 l

A Central Potential (e.g. the Nucleus)
\n
$$
\hat{n} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \qquad \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{n} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right] + V(r)
$$
\n
$$
\psi_{Elm}(\vec{r}) = R_{Elm}(r) Y_{lm}(\vartheta, \varphi)
$$
\n
$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \right) + \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} + V(r) \right] R_{El}(r) = E R_{El}(r)
$$

Slide 9

Solutions in a Coulomb Potential: the Periodic Table

http://www.orbitals.com/orb/orbtable.htm

Slide 11

$$
\langle \text{Bra} | \text{kets} \rangle
$$

$$
\psi = \psi(\vec{r}) = |\psi\rangle
$$

$$
\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \int \psi_i^*(\vec{r}) \psi_j(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} = \delta_{ij}
$$

$$
\langle \psi_i | \hat{H} | \psi_i \rangle = \int \psi_i^*(\vec{r}) \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}) \right] \psi_i(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} = E_i
$$

Slide 12

Variational Principle
\n
$$
E[\phi] = \frac{<\phi \mid \hat{H} \mid \phi>}{<\phi \mid \phi>}
$$
\n
$$
E[\phi] \ge E_0
$$

Slide 13

Slide 14

Two-electron atom
\n
$$
\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{2}^{2} - \frac{Z}{r_{1}} - \frac{Z}{r_{2}} + \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_{1} - \vec{r}_{2}|}\right]\psi(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) = E\psi(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2})
$$

Energy of a collection of atoms

- V_{N-N} : electrostatic nucleus-nucleus repulsion
- T_e : quantum kinetic energy of the electrons
- V_{e-N} : electrostatic electron-nucleus attraction (electrons in the field of all the nuclei)
- V_{e-e}: electron-electron interactions

$$
\hat{T}_e = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \nabla_i^2 \qquad \hat{V}_{e-N} = \sum_i \left[\sum_I V(\vec{R}_I - \vec{r}_i) \right] \qquad \hat{V}_{e-e} = \sum_i \sum_{j>i} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|}
$$

Mean-field approach

- Independent particle model (Hartree): each electron moves in an effective potential, representing the attraction of the nuclei and the AVERAGE EFFECT of the repulsive interactions of the other electrons
- This average repulsion is the electrostatic repulsion of the average charge density of all other electrons

Slide 17

Hartree Equations () () [|] [|] ¹ () [|] ()| ² 1 ² ² *^j ⁱ ⁱ ⁱ ⁱ ^I ^j ⁱ ^j ⁱ ⁱ ^I ⁱ ^j ^j dr ^r ^r ^r ^r ^V ^R ^r ^r* ^r ^r ^r ^r ^r ^r ^r ^r ^ϕ ^ϕ ⁼ εϕ [−] [−] [∇] ⁺∑ ∑ [−] ⁺ ∫ [≠] (,...,) () () () ¹ *ⁿ* ¹ ¹ ² ² *ⁿ ⁿ r r r r r* ^r ^L ^r ^r ^r ^r ^ψ ⁼^ϕ ^ϕ ^ϕ •The Hartree equations can be obtained directly from the variational principle, once the search is restricted to the many-body wavefunctions that are written – as above – as the product of single orbitals (i.e. we are working with independent electrons)

The self-consistent field

- The single-particle Hartree operator is selfconsistent ! I.e., it depends in itself on the orbitals that are the solution of all other Hartree equations
- We have *n* simultaneous integro-differential equations for the *n* orbitals
- Solution is achieved iteratively

Iterations to self-consistency

- Initial guess at the orbitals
- Construction of all the operators
- Solution of the single-particle pseudo-Schrodinger equations
- With this new set of orbitals, construct the Hartree operators again
- Iterate the procedure until it (hopefully) converges

Slater determinant

• An antisymmetric wavefunction is constructed via a Slater determinant of the individual orbitals (instead of just a product, as in the Hartree approach)

$$
\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \ldots, \vec{r}_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_\alpha(\vec{r}_1) & \varphi_\beta(\vec{r}_1) & \cdots & \varphi_\nu(\vec{r}_1) \\ \varphi_\alpha(\vec{r}_2) & \varphi_\beta(\vec{r}_2) & \cdots & \varphi_\nu(\vec{r}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_\alpha(\vec{r}_n) & \varphi_\beta(\vec{r}_n) & \cdots & \varphi_\nu(\vec{r}_n) \end{vmatrix}
$$

Pauli principle

• If two states are identical, the determinant vanishes (I.e. we can't have two electrons in the same quantum state)

Hartree-Fock Equations

•The Hartree-Fock equations are, again, obtained from the variational principle: we look for the minimum of the many-electron Schroedinger equation in the class of all wavefunctions that are written as a single Slater determinant

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 + \sum_i V(\vec{R}_i - \vec{r}_i)\right] \varphi_{\lambda}(\vec{r}_i) + \\
&\left[\sum_{\mu} \int \varphi_{\mu}^*(\vec{r}_j) \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i|} \varphi_{\mu}(\vec{r}_j) d\vec{r}_j\right] \varphi_{\lambda}(\vec{r}_i) - \\
&\sum_{\mu} \left[\int \varphi_{\mu}^*(\vec{r}_j) \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i|} \varphi_{\lambda}(\vec{r}_j) d\vec{r}_j\right] \varphi_{\mu}(\vec{r}_i) = \varepsilon \varphi_{\lambda}(\vec{r}_i) \\
&\psi(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_n) = \|Slater\|\n\end{aligned}
$$

Density-functional Theory

- Conceptually very different from Hartree-Fock variational principle on the charge density
- In practice, equations have the same form, but for the exchange energy – obtained from the density, not the wavefunctions
- It's exact in principle, but approximate in practice: different forms for the exchange-correlation density: LDA, GGA, hybrids (Hartree-Fock exchange + density-functional correlations)