# **Optimization under Uncertainty**

Robert M. Freund

April 30, 2002

# Motivation

- Classical constrained optimization models assume all data is known with certainty.
- Inexactness of data, uncertainty, etc., is very common
- This can be incorporated in models
- But the models can become quite large.

# **Brief History**

- 1950s, Dantzig and Beale started to work on linear optimization under uncertainty
- 1962, solution method developed by Benders
- Many and varied applications in the linear, nonlinear, and discrete form
  - Electric utility capacity planning
  - Financial Planning and Control
  - Supply chain optimization
  - Airline Planning (fleet assignment)
  - Water Resource Modeling
  - Forestry Planning
  - Many others ...

# Gemstone Tool Company

	Wrenches	Pliers	Availability (Capacity)
Steel (lbs.) Molding machine (hours) Assembly machine (hours) Demand limit (tools/day) Contribution to earnings (\$/1,000 units)	1.5 1.0 0.3 15,000 \$130	1.0 1.0 0.5 16,000 \$100	27,000 lbs./day 21,000 hours/day 9,000 hours/day

# Gemstone Tool Company

Maximize contribution = 130W + 100P

subject to:

Wrench demand:	$oldsymbol{W}$	≤ 15
Plier demand:		$P \leq 16$
Steel availability:	1.5W +	$P \leq 27$
Molding machine usage:	W +	$P \leq 21$
Assembly machine usage:	0.3W +	$0.5P \leq 9$
	$oldsymbol{W}$	$\geq$ 0
		P > 0

# Gemstone Tool Company

### **Optimal Solution**

#### $W^* = 12$ , $P^* = 9$

### Contribution to earnings is $2,460 = 130W^* + 100P^*$ .

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

For the current quarter, GTC had contracted with a steel supplier for the delivery of 27,000 lbs. of steel per day.

Now suppose that GTC is planning for next quarter, and that they would like to determine how much steel to contract for with local suppliers for the next quarter.

The market price for such contracts is \$58.00/1,000 lbs. of steel.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 6

- The market price of steel is \$58.00/1,000 lbs.
- The utilization of steel, assemby machine hours, and molding machine hours in wrenches and pliers is the same as given in the original problem.
- The molding machine capacity is the same as in the original problem, namely 21,000 hours/day.
- The demand for wrenches and pliers is the same as in the original problem, namely 15,000 wrenches per day and 16,000 pliers per day.
- The unit contribution to earnings of production of pliers is the same as in the original problem, namely \$100/1,000 units.

7

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094

- The assembly machine capacity for next quarter is uncertain: either be 8,000 hours/day (with probability 0.5) or 10,000 hours/day (with probability 0.5).
- The unit contribution to earnings of production of wrenches next quarter is uncertain: either \$90 (with probability 0.5) or \$160 (with probability 0.5).

	Wrenches	Pliers	Availability
Steel (lbs.)	1.5	1.0	S
			(to be determined)
Molding Machine (hours)	1.0	1.0	21,000 hours/day
Assembly Machine (hours)	0.3	0.5	either 8,000 hours/day
			or 10,000 hours/day
Demand Limit (tools/day)	15,000	16,000	
Contribution	either \$160		
to Earnings (\$/1,000 units)	or \$90	\$100	

### **Time Line of Events**

Time	Event or Action
Today:	GTC must decide how much steel per day to contract for
	for the next quarter.
Soon thereafter:	<ul> <li>GTC will discover the actual assembly machine availability</li> </ul>
	for next quarter (either 8,000 or 10,000 hours/day).
	<ul> <li>GTC will discover the actual unit earnings contribution</li> </ul>
	of wrenches for next quarter (either \$160 or \$90/1,000 units).
Next quarter:	GTC must decide the production quantities
	of wrenches and pliers.

### **States of the World**

(Scenarios)

State of	Assembly Machine	Unit Earnings	
the World	Capacity	<b>Contribution of Wrenches</b>	Probability
1	8,000 hours/day	\$160/1,000 units	0.25
2	10,000 hours/day	\$160/1,000 units	0.25
3	8,000 hours/day	\$90/1,000 units	0.25
4	10,000 hours/day	\$90/1,000 units	0.25

The four possible states of the world for next quarter.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

15.094 **10** 

### **Stage-2 Variables**

- $W_1$  = number of wrenches per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 1 transpires,
- $P_1$  = number of pliers per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 1 transpires,
- $W_2$  = number of wrenches per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 2 transpires,
- $P_2$  = number of pliers per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 2 transpires,

### **Stage-2 Variables**

- $W_3$  = number of wrenches per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 3 transpires,
- $P_3$  = number of pliers per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 3 transpires,
- $W_4$  = number of wrenches per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 4 transpires,
- $P_4$  = number of pliers per day to produce next quarter, in 1,000s, if state-of-the-world 4 transpires.

### **Two-Stage Model**

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & 0.25 \cdot (160W_1 + 100P_1) + 0.25 \cdot (160W_2 + 100P_2) + \\ & 0.25 \cdot (90W_3 + 100P_3) + 0.25 \cdot (90W_4 + 100P_4) - 58.00S \end{array}$ 

subject to :

- Steel1:  $1.5W_1 + 1.0P_1 S \le 0$
- $Molding1: 1.0W_1 + 1.0P_1 \le 21$
- $\textbf{Assembly1:} \quad 0.3W_1 + 0.5P_1 \leq 8$

 $\mathrm{W-demand1}:~W_1 \leq 15$ 

- $\mathrm{P-demand1}: \ \ P_1 \leq 16$
- Steel2:  $1.5W_2 + 1.0P_2 S \le 0$
- $Molding 2: 1.0W_2 + 1.0P_2 \le 21$
- $\text{Assembly2:} \quad 0.3W_2 + 0.5P_2 \leq 10$
- $\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{demand2}:\ W_2\leq 15$
- $P-demand 2: P_2 \leq 16$

#### **Two-Stage Model**

Steel3:  $1.5W_3 + 1.0P_3 - S < 0$ Molding3:  $1.0W_3 + 1.0P_3 < 21$ Assembly3:  $0.3W_3 + 0.5P_3 \le 8$  $W-demand3: W_3 < 15$  $P-demand3: P_3 < 16$ Steel4:  $1.5W_4 + 1.0P_4 - S \le 0$ Molding4:  $1.0W_4 + 1.0P_4 \le 21$ Assembly 4:  $0.3W_4 + 0.5P_4 \le 10$  $W-demand4: W_4 < 15$  $P-demand4: P_4 \leq 16$ Nonnegativity :  $S, W_1, P_1, W_2, P_2, W_3, P_3, W_4, P_4 > 0$ .

### **Two-Stage Model**

#### **Optimal Solution...**

Decision	Optimal
Variable	<b>Solution Value</b>
igsim S	27.25
$W_1$	15.00
$P_1$	4.75
$W_2$	15.00
$P_2$	4.75
$W_3$	12.50
$P_3$	8.50
$W_4$	5.00
$P_4$	16.00

The optimal solution of the linear optimization model of the GTC steel supply planning problem.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

### **Two-Stage Model**

... Optimal Solution

State of the world	Production of Wrenches (units/day)		Production of Pliers (units/d	of ay)
Next Quarter	Decision Variable Value		<b>Decision Variable</b>	Value
1	$W_1$	15,000	$P_1$	4,750
2	$W_2$	15,000	$P_2$	4,750
3	$W_3$	12,500	$P_3$	8,500
4	$W_4$	5,000	$P_4$	16,000

The optimal production plan for next quarter for the GTC steel supply planning problem.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

### **Two-Stage Model**

#### Interpretation

17

- Today, GTC should contract for 27,250 lb./day of steel for next quarter.
- Next quarter, if assembly hour availability is 8,000 hours/day and the contribution of wrenches is \$160, then GTC should produce 15,000 wrenches per day and 4,750 pliers per day.
- Next quarter, if assembly hour availability is 10,000 hours/day and the contribution of wrenches is \$160, then GTC should produce 15,000 wrenches per day and 4,750 pliers per day.
- Next quarter, if assembly hour availability is 8,000 hours/day and the contribution of wrenches is \$90, then GTC should produce 12,500 wrenches per day and 8,500 pliers per day.
- Next quarter, if assembly hour availability is 10,000 hours/day and the contribution of wrenches is \$90, then GTC should produce 5,000 wrenches per day and 16,000 pliers per day.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094

We could have modeled different probabilities for different possible states of the world. For example:

 $P( ext{assembly machine hours} = 8,000) = 0.8,$   $P( ext{assembly machine hours} = 10,000) = 0.2$ and  $P( ext{wrench contribution} = \$160) = 0.7,$  $P( ext{wrench contribution} = \$90) = 0.3.$ 

### **Different Probabilities**

State of	Assembly Machine	Unit Earnings	
the World	Capacity	<b>Contribution of Wrenches</b>	Probability
1	8,000 hours/day	\$160/1,000 units	0.56=0.8 imes0.7
2	10,000 hours/day	\$160/1,000 units	0.14=0.2 imes 0.7
3	8,000 hours/day	\$90/1,000 units	0.24 = 0.8  imes 0.3
4	10,000 hours/day	\$90/1,000 units	0.06=0.2 imes 0.3

The four possible states of the world for next quarter, with different probabilities of transpiring.

© 2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 **19** 

Suppose that there are seven different assembly machine capacity levels that might transpire next quarter, and that there are six different wrench unit earnings contributions levels that might transpire next quarter.

Then we would have  $42 = 7 \times 6$  possible states of the world, and would need  $1 + 42 \times 2 = 85$  decision variables, and  $42 \times 5 = 210$  constraints in the model.

### **Multi-stage Models**

We might want to model more than two stages: today, next quarter, the next quarter after that, etc. The same modeling principles illustrated here would then apply, but the resulting linear optimization model can become much more complicated, as well as much larger.

# Block-Ladder Structure



# Block-Ladder Structure

Block ladder structure of two-stage stochastic linear optimization.

# Two-Stage Model

### **Constructing the Model**

#### Procedure for Constructing a Two-Stage Linear Optimization Model under Uncertainty

- Determine which decisions need to be made in stage-one (today), and which decisions need to be in stage-two (next period).
- 2. Enumerate the possible states of the world that might transpire next period, what the data will be in each possible state of the world, and what is the probability of each state of the world occurring.

# Two-Stage Model

- **3. Creating the decision variables:** Create one decision variable for each decision that must be made in stage-one. Create one decision variable for each decision that must be made in stage-two, for each possible state of the world.
- **4. Constraints:** Create the necessary constraints for each possible state of the world.
- **5. Objective function:** Account for the contribution of each of today's decisions in the objective function. Account for the expected value of the objective function contribution of each of next period's possible states of the world.

# Two-Stage Model

The first-stage variables are x and are subject to constraints:

$$Ax=b$$
 ,  $x\geq 0$  .

The direct contribution of the x decisions on the objective function is  $c^T x$  for some vector c.

The second-stage variables are y and are subject to constraints:

$$Bx+Dy=d$$
 ,  $y\geq 0$  .

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 25

# Two-Stage Model

The direct contribution of the y decisions on the objective function is  $f^T y$  for some vector f.

If there were no uncertainty, then the problem would look like:

$$egin{aligned} ext{minimize}_{x,y} & c^Tx \ + & f^Ty \ ext{s.t.} & Ax & = b \ & Bx \ + & Dy \ = d \ & x \ge 0 & y \ge 0 \end{aligned}$$

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

# Two-Stage Model

We assume that the values of the data B, D, d, f are uncertain.

There are  $\omega = 1, \ldots, K$  possible future scenarios, with scenario  $\omega$  having a probability  $\alpha_{\omega}$  of being realized, for  $\omega = 1, \ldots, K$ .

The data B, D, d, f takes on values  $B_{\omega}, D_{\omega}, d_{\omega}, f_{\omega}$  with probability  $\alpha_{\omega}$  for  $\omega = 1, \dots, K$ .

We only learn the values of this data *after* we have made our first-stage decisions x.

# Two-Stage Model

Once the values of B, D, d, f are known, we then make our second-stage decisions y.

Let  $y_{\omega}$  denote the decisions y under the condition that scenario  $\omega$  is realized, for  $\omega = 1, \ldots, K$ .

# Two-Stage Model

The objective is to choose x and  $y_{\omega}, \omega = 1, \ldots, K$ , so as to solve the following optimization model:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{minimize} & c^Tx \,+\, \alpha_1 f_1^T y_1 \,+\, \alpha_2 f_2^T y_2 \,+\, \cdots \,+\, \alpha_K f_K^T y_K \\ x, y_1, \ldots, y_K \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax & = b \\ B_1x \,+\, D_1y_1 & = d_1 \\ B_2x & +\, D_2y_2 & = d_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_Kx & +\, D_Ky_K \,=\, d_K \end{array}$$

 $x,y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_K\geq 0$ 

© 2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 29

The newly unified nation of Timoria must invest in a system of power plants to meet its current and future demand for electrical power.

These plants are to be built for the first year only, and are expected to operate over the next 15 years.

The budget for construction of power plants is \$10 billion, which is to be allocated for four different types of plants: gas turbine, coal, nuclear power, and hydroelectric.

#### **Investment Costs**

Plant	Cost per GW capacity
Gas Turbine	\$110 million
Coal	\$180 million
Nuclear	\$450 million
Hydroelectric	\$950 million

Investment cost per GW of capacity.

Since hydroelectric energy depends on the availability of rivers which may be dammed, the geography of the country constrains the hydroelectric power capacity. In the case of Timoria, no more than 5.0 GW of power may be produced by hydroelectric plants.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 **31** 

### **Load Duration Curve**

32



Projected load duration curve for the first year of demand.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094

#### **Discretized Load Curve**

Demand Block	Demand (GW)	Duration (hours)
#1	10.0	490
#2	8.4	730
#3	6.7	2,190
#4	5.4	3,260
#5	4.3	2,090

Power demand in the first year.

### **Demand Growth Rate**

Growth	Probability
-1%	20%
1%	20%
3%	20%
5%	20%
7%	20%

#### Projected yearly growth in power demand

### **Plant Operating Costs**

Plant	Cost per KWH
Gas Turbine*	3.92 ¢
Coal*	2.44 ¢
Nuclear	1.40 ¢
Hydroelectric	0.40 ¢
External Source	15.0 ¢

Operating cost of power generation. \*Gas turbine and coal plant operating costs are expected values.

#### **Gas Turbine Costs**

Cost per KWH	Probability
3.1 ¢	10%
3.3 ¢	20%
3.9 ¢	40%
4.5 ¢	20%
<b>4.9</b> ¢	10%

Probability distribution of gas turbine operating costs.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

#### **Coal Plant Costs**

Cost per KWH	Probability
<b>1.7</b> ¢	10%
2.1 ¢	20%
2.4 ¢	40%
2.9 ¢	20%
3.1 ¢	10%

Probability distribution of coal plant operating costs.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved.

**Stage-1** Variables

 $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  is the gigawatts of capacity to be built for each type of plant.

(1. Gas turbine, 2. Coal, 3. Nuclear, 4. Hydroelectric)

The cost vector c is also a four-dimensional vector representing the investment costs shown earlier.

**Stage-2 Variables** 

 $y_{ijk}$  denotes the amount of electricity capacity used to produce electricity by power plant type *i* for demand block *j* in year *k*, for  $i = 1, \ldots, 5, j = 1, \ldots, 5$ , and  $k = 1, \ldots, 15$ .

 $y_{5jk}$  is the amount of electricity capacity purchased from the external source.

The units of the  $y_{ijk}$  variables are in GW.

For example,  $y_{312}$  represents the amount of nuclear power used at peak demand time during the second year.

**Stage-2 Variables** 

It is evident that the optimal value of the second-stage variables depends on the stochastic problem data.

Each possible value of the problem data is referred to as a **scenario**, which will be indexed by  $\omega$ .

There are five different possible demand growth rates, five possible operating costs for gas turbines, and five possible operating costs for coal plants.

 $K = 5 \times 5 \times 5 = 125$  scenarios.

 $\omega = 1, \ldots, 125.$ 

The second-stage variable is then written as a function of the scenario, as  $y_{ijk\omega}$ .

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 **40** 

 $f_i(\omega)$  is the operating cost for the various electricity sources, in cents/KWH, for i = 1, ..., 5 and  $\omega = 1, ..., 125$ .

The duration in hours of each demand block is the scalar  $h_i$  for  $i=1,\ldots,5$ 

The total expected cost (in \$ million) is then written as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 c_i x_i + E(\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^{15} (10^6 \text{ KW/GW}) \cdot (10^{-8} \text{ million }/\phi) \cdot (f_i(\omega) \phi/\text{KWH}) \cdot (h_j \text{ hours}) \cdot (y_{ijk\omega} \text{ GW}))$$

© 2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 **41** 

#### **The Full Model**

$$egin{aligned} & \min_{x,y} & \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i x_i + \sum_{\omega=1}^{125} lpha_\omega \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^{15} 0.01 f_i(\omega) h_j y_{ijk\omega} \ & ext{ s.t. } & \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i x_i \leq 10,000 & ext{ (Budget constraint)} \ & x_4 \leq 5.0 & ext{ (Hydroelectric constraint)} \ & y_{ijk\omega} \leq x_i & ext{ for } i=1,\ldots,4, ext{ all } j,k,\omega & ext{ (Capacity constraints)} \ & \sum_{i=1}^5 y_{ijk\omega} \geq D_{jk\omega} & ext{ for all } j,k,\omega & ext{ (Demand constraints)} \ & x \geq 0, \quad y \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $lpha_\omega$  is the probability of scenario  $\omega$ 

 $D_{jk\omega}$  is the power demand in block j and year k under scenario  $\omega$ .

© 2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 **42** 



43

There are 4 first-stage variables and 5  $\times$  5  $\times$  15  $\times$  125 second-stage variables

Total of 46,879 variables.

There is one budget constraint, one hydroelectric constraint,  $4 \times 5 \times 15 \times 125$  capacity constraints and  $5 \times 15 \times 125$  demand constraints.

Total is 46,877 constraints.

### **Investment Decisions**

# **Solution**

Plant	Optimal Construction Decision	Optimal Construction Decision		
	based on	based on		
	stochastic data	expected demand and costs		
Gas Turbine	4.66 GW	1.92 GW		
Coal	4.57 GW	3.33 GW		
Nuclear	4.68 GW	4.0 GW		
Hydroelectric	5.0 GW	5.0 GW		
Expected Cost	\$16.933 billion	\$17.794 billion		

Power plant capacity construction decisions.

Increase in expected cost would be 5.1% under decisions obtained from expected data.

# **Operating Decisions**

#### **under Optimal Investment**

45

Plant	Demand Block				
i idint	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
Gas Turbine	4.66	4.66	3.02	0.00	0.00
Coal	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.24	1.40
Nuclear	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.68
Hydroelectric	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
External Source	6.87	2.74	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cost (million \$)	689.6	575.2	685.4	610.5	249.0

GW of power during 15th year, given the optimal capacity construction decisions, assuming a 7% growth rate, 3.9e gas turbine and 2.4e coal operating cost.

Solution

The total operating cost for this year and scenario is \$2.81 billion for the optimal capacity allocation.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094

# **Operating Decisions**

Solution

under Average-Case Investment

Plant	Demand Block				
	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
Gas Turbine	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.59	0.00
Coal	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	2.08
Nuclear	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Hydroelectric	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
External Source	11.53	7.40	3.02	0.00	0.00
Cost (million \$)	960.5	978.8	1497.5	710.5	263.2

GW of power during 15th year, given the capacity construction decisions based on expected demand and costs, assuming a 7% growth rate,  $3.9 \notin$  gas turbine and  $2.4 \notin$  coal operating cost.

The total operating cost for this year and scenario is \$4.41 billion with the sub-optimal capacity construction decisions.

©2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology. All rights reserved. 15.094 **46** 

# References

D. Anderson. Models for determining least-cost investments in electricity supply. *The Bell Journal of Economics*, 3:267–299, 1972.

D. Bertsimas and J. Tsitisklis. *Introduction to Linear Optimization.* Athena Scientific, 1997.

J. Birge and F. Louveaux. *Introduction to Stochastic Programming.* Springer-Verlag, 1997.