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Abstract

Over the years, Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) in the Internet protocol (IP) suite has become the most widely used form of networking between computers. With the recent developments in high-speed networking and applications that use TCP, performance issues in TCP are of increasing interest and importance. The performance (e.g. throughput, number of dropped packets, etc.) during the start-up epoch is of particular concern, because an increasing number of applications use TCP for short data transfers, which complete before TCP even settles into its steady-state behavior. During this initial epoch, as TCP hunts for reasonable operating parameters, its congestion control and avoidance schemes display interesting transient dynamics that affect the performance.

One reasonable approach to examining such performance issues is to study and understand the dynamics of current TCP implementations. Thus, this thesis makes observations on the subtle congestion control and avoidance dynamics of one particular implementation of TCP, U.C. Berkeley's BSD Net/2 release, with specific attention to the start-up epoch. Based on these observations, this thesis proposes some simple changes to this implementation to improve its performance during the start-up epoch.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

This thesis studies the subtle start-up dynamics of one particular implementation of Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), U.C. Berkeley’s Net/2 release. Based on these observations, this thesis proposes simple changes to this implementation to improve its performance during the start-up epoch.

1.1 Motivation for This Thesis

Over the years, TCP, a reliable, connection-oriented stream transport protocol in the Internet protocol (IP) suite, has become the most widely used form of networking between computers[23]. Although some users complain about TCP’s performance, what the protocol may lack in performance, it compensates with its robustness. It is able to hide failures and even bugs of the network and of the TCP implementations themselves. Because TCP achieves its primary function of reliable data delivery, many application developers and users have not been very concerned with the details of TCP’s mechanisms and how they affect TCP’s performance. However, with the developments in high-speed networking and applications that use TCP, many perceive an opportune time to improve current implementations of TCP and address performance issues in TCP.
One paper that centers on such performance issues is the work of L. Brakmo, S. W. O'Malley, and L. L. Peterson on TCP Vegas [3]. The initial focus of this project was simply to reproduce the results of that paper in a simulator environment. This original intent dictated the choice of the parameters and the topology used in our simulations. Our preliminary simulation results showed interesting dynamics within TCP’s congestion control and avoidance schemes, especially during the start-up epoch. Thus, our focus shifted into the goals of this thesis: (1) to examine closely TCP’s congestion control and avoidance dynamics that affect the start-up performance and (2) to suggest implementation changes that may help TCP’s start-up performance.

1.2 Understanding TCP’s Start-up Dynamics Through Simulations

We have a strong interest in understanding TCP dynamics, because such understanding is relevant to performance improvements. We are also interested in the complexity of this seemingly simple protocol. Since the 1981 release of its specification [17], which left many performance issues such as window size up to the implementors, implementations of TCP have been augmented with several performance-enhancing mechanisms, such as congestion control, fast retransmission, and fast recovery [1, 11, 23]. With these mechanisms and their interactions, many will agree that the complexity of TCP has made its detailed behavior very difficult to comprehend without close scrutiny using simulations, emulations, or visualization tools.

Thus, the work of this thesis involves enhancing an existing network simulator, Netsim [10], to examine one particular implementation, U. C. Berkeley’s BSD Net/2 TCP. This thesis focuses on the start-up epoch, because TCP’s performance (e.g. throughput, number of dropped packets, etc.) during this epoch is important. In particular, many of TCP’s congestion control and avoidance dynamics occur dur-
ing this epoch as it hunts for reasonable operating parameters. With the increased complexity and size of networks, this period of probing the network for operating parameters is longer in duration. Moreover, a large number of applications, e.g. Telnet, FTP, Mosaic, etc., use TCP for short data transfers, which complete before TCP settles into its steady-state behavior. Thus, the start-up epoch is a significant portion of the duration of most data transfers over TCP connections.

1.3 Organization of This Thesis

Chapter 2 summarizes the work related to ours. Subsequently, in Chapter 3, we briefly describe the TCP features and mechanisms that are of interest. In Chapter 4, we discuss the simulator and the issues related to the simulations studied in this thesis. Chapter 5 presents the results from the simulations and the observations of the start-up dynamics of the Net/2 implementation of TCP. In Chapter 6, we evaluate the relevance of the simulation parameters. Based on the observations in Chapter 5, we suggest potential performance improvements during the start-up epoch in Chapter 7. Finally, Chapter 8 draws conclusions and discusses future work.
Chapter 2

Related Work

Related work can be categorized into two main areas: (1) observation on the dynamics of TCP and its congestion control schemes in particular and (2) network congestion avoidance and control schemes in general.

This thesis differs in motivation from the work mentioned in Section 2.1 in that this thesis focuses on the start-up dynamics of one specific implementation of TCP. The work in Section 2.2 proposes general congestion avoidance and control schemes that have a broader scope and intent than this thesis.

2.1 Observation on TCP Dynamics

Van Jacobson's important paper [11] defines his congestion avoidance and control schemes, generally known as slow start. These schemes are now a essential part of the TCP implementations. We refer to these schemes in Chapter 3.2.2.

Selective acknowledgments [2, 12, 13] is an extension to TCP that has been proposed. Using selective acknowledgments, the receiver of data can inform the sender about all the segments\(^1\) that have arrived successfully, so the sender need retransmit

\(^1\)In this thesis, \textit{segments} and \textit{packets} have different meanings. In particular, \textit{segments}, which can have variable lengths, refer to the blocks of user data that TCP sends and receives enclosed in internet datagram "envelopes". Such an "envelope", along with the segment it contains, is referred to as a packet.
only the segments that have been lost. This thesis proposes an alternative mechanism to deal with multiple packet losses within one round-trip time of a TCP connection in Section 7.2.

Shenker and Zhang [21] use simulations to make some observations about the behavior of the congestion control algorithm in the 4.3-Tahoe BSD TCP implementation. They note and explain two main observations. First, packets from individual one-way connections originating from the same host are separated into completely individual clusters, instead of being interleaved. Second, every connection loses a single packet during each congestion epoch. The motivation of their paper differs from that of this thesis in that their paper focuses on the steady-state behavior of the algorithm and omits the initial start-up transients in their data set.

As an extension of the paper above, Zhang, Shenker, and Clark [28] examines the dynamics of the same congestion control algorithm, but this time focusing on the effects of two-way traffic. The paper makes two new observations: ACK-compression and out-of-phase queue synchronization. Again, this paper only focuses on TCP's steady-state behavior.

From simulations of TCP/IP network operations, Zhang and Clark [27] examine and document the data traffic oscillation phenomena that have been observed both in operational networks and in simulations. Mogul [16] shows how to observe in "real life" some of the phenomena described in the previous work by analyzing traces of a busy segment of the Internet and how to measure their frequency and their effects on performance.

Brakmo and Peterson [3] propose an alternative implementation of the TCP specification, claiming better performance. The new implementation, TCP Vegas, compares the measured throughput rate with an expected throughput rate (defined as window size divided by the minimum of all measured round trip times) and uses this information to determine changes in the congestion window. The implementation also proposes other additions such as spike suppression, more accurate RTT calculations,

Two papers point out various performance problems of some TCP implementations. First, in a paper available by ftp, Brakmo and Peterson [4] describe some problems in the BSD 4.4-Lite version of TCP and propose some fixes that may increase the throughput. Some of the problems reported lie in header prediction, retransmit timeout estimates, and acknowledgments. Second, Floyd [8] focuses on the problem of Tahoe and Reno TCP implementations that result from invoking the fast retransmit mechanism more than once in one round-trip time. This thesis provides some simulation data to corroborate similar problems in the Net/2 TCP implementation as well.


### 2.2 Congestion Control and Avoidance on a Network

There are several proposed approaches for congestion control and avoidance. Ramakrishnan and Jain [18] propose a congestion avoidance scheme that uses one bit in each packet as feedback from the network to adjust the amount of traffic allowed into the network. The servers in the network detect congestion and set a congestion indication bit on packets flowing in the forward direction. When the receiver detects that the bit is set, it advertises a smaller window to the sender, even though it may have ample storage space.
Jain’s CARD (Congestion Avoidance using Round-trip Delay) [14] approach is based on an analytical derivation of a socially optimum window size for a deterministic network. The window size is adjusted once every two round-trip delays (RTT). If the product \((\text{current window size} - \text{old window size})(\text{current RTT} - \text{old RTT})\) is positive, the window size is decreased by one eighth. Otherwise, the window size is increased by one maximum segment size. Therefore, the window changes during every adjustment and oscillates around its optimal point.

Wang and Crowcroft’s Tri-S scheme [24] is based on using the flattening of the sending rate as an indication that the network is approaching congestion. Every RTT, the window size is increased by one segment, and the achieved throughput is compared to the throughput when the window was one segment smaller. If the difference is less than one-half the throughput achieved when only one segment was in transit, window is decreased by one segment. Throughput in this scheme is defined by the number of bytes outstanding in the network divided by the RTT.

Wang and Crowcroft’s DUAL algorithm [25] checks to see if the current RTT is greater than the average of the minimum and maximum RTT’s seen so far every two round trip delays. If so, the scheme decreases the congestion window by one-eighth.

Keshav’s Packet-Pair rate probing technique [15] sends two back-to-back segments and determines an estimate of the available bandwidth from the delay between the ACK’s.

NETBLT [5, 6] is a high throughput transport protocol based on flow control by rate. The protocol uses selective acknowledgments.
Chapter 3

TCP: Points of Interest

This chapter describes the salient aspects of the TCP protocol and of the particular BSD Net/2 implementation of TCP. First, we give a brief overview of TCP. We then point to a key mechanism of TCP, the self-clocking (using ACK’s) mechanism. This mechanism keeps data flowing on TCP connections and thus has an essential role in congestion avoidance and control.

Next, we discuss a particular implementation of TCP, BSD Net/2. We briefly frame the Net/2 release chronologically in the history of BSD networking code releases and point out the key features that are implemented in Net/2 TCP.

3.1 Background on TCP, the Protocol

This section gives an overview of TCP, and points out important mechanisms of the protocol.

3.1.1 A Brief Overview of TCP

Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) is a reliable connection-oriented stream transport protocol in the Internet protocol (IP) suite. Its identifying features include
explicit and acknowledged connection initiation and termination, reliable, in-order, unduplicated delivery of data, congestion control, and out-of-band indication of urgent data. Details of the protocol specification are in [17].

TCP operates on top of the IP architecture. IP itself makes no guarantee to deliver packets reliably. TCP provides a reliable byte stream with end-to-end flow control by using checksums, sequence number, acknowledgments (ACK's), and windows. Once the connection is established between end hosts, data is communicated by the exchange of segments. The window dictates the amount of data a sender can send. It indicates the allowed number of octets that the sender can transmit before receiving further permission. Because the segments may be lost, to ensure reliable delivery, TCP retransmits after a timeout. When segments are received, the sequence and acknowledgment numbers and other information flags in the segments are used to verify their acceptability and are also used to determine the next TCP state and corresponding actions (e.g. send new data, retransmit data, close down connection, etc.).

In the IP architecture, data from applications on the end hosts is passed to TCP and then to the network. Data is transported by IP switches, which generally use first-in-first-out queuing schemes. When the aggregate queuing of packets from multiple connections causes buffer overflow in the switches, packets are dropped. Based on the assumption that packet loss caused by data corruption during transit, which results in checksum test failure, is rare (much less than 1% of all the packet losses [11]), we can infer from a packet loss that a packet has been dropped at a bottleneck switch, and thus a packet loss can be used as an indication of congestion in the implementations.

TCP's use of ACK's to implement reliable data delivery builds into the protocol a fundamental property, the self-clocking mechanism. We touch on this important mechanism in the following subsection.

1The queuing schemes used in switches are evolving with recent developments.
3.1.2 TCP’s Self-Clocking Mechanism

TCP’s self-clocking mechanism is fundamental to keeping the data flowing on a connection. In TCP, the receiver acknowledges data segments received, and these ACK’s trigger the sending of new data segments when they return to the sender, because they advance the window. Thus, the ACK’s can be viewed as a “clock signal” to strobe new packets into the network. Thus, the protocol is “self-clocking”, since the ACK’s can be generated no faster than data packets can get through the network. A more detailed discussion on this is in [11].

Ideally, this mechanism can be exploited for congestion avoidance. Data packets arrive at the receiver no faster than the rate of the bottleneck link bandwidth. If the receiver’s ACK’s arrive at the sender with the same spacing, then by sending new data packets at the same rate the sender can avoid overloading the bottleneck link. Although the mechanism is subjected to distortion by ACK’s that do not arrive with the same spacing or ACK-compression [28], over a large time scale, the distortion is transient. In any case, ACK-compression is not a significant factor within the scope of this thesis. We raise this issue for completeness, but we will not offer further detailed discussions.

The self-clocking mechanism is at the center of Jacobson’s idea of “conservation of packets” [11]. A connection is said to be in equilibrium if it is running stably with a full window of data in transit. So, a connection in equilibrium is “conservative” if a new packet isn’t put into the network until an old packet leaves. To relate to the self-clocking mechanism, since an ACK indicates that a packet has been received or has left the network, it gives a good signal that a new packet can be injected. Such a “conservative” connection would be robust in the face of congestion.

During the start-up epoch, the challenge for TCP is to reach the equilibrium quickly without overshooting, with very little information available about the network. These ideas will resurface later when we discuss simulator results, since they
are the basis of the congestion control and avoidance scheme in the particular TCP implementation we used in the simulator.

Our simulation results will demonstrate that this mechanism is essential to the basic operation and performance of TCP. In Section 5.3.1, the simulation results show this mechanism at work. In contrast, in Section 5.3.2, the results indicate what happens when the mechanism is disrupted by other features of the TCP implementations. For example, when faced with congestion, the congestion control scheme can momentarily stop the sender from transmitting any more segments to avoid further congestion. As a result, ACK’s stop coming back, and no new packets can be clocked out. Thus, the connection is temporarily “dried up”. Once this is detected, the self-clocking mechanism can be restored. However, such dry spells are damaging to TCP’s performance.

3.2 BSD Net/2 Implementation of TCP

This section describes some key features in the Net/2 TCP implementation\(^2\) studied in our simulations. We build an understanding of a set of terminology related to the implementation, and the set will be used in the discussion of the results.

Figure 3.1 (adapted from [26]) frames the Net/2 release in a chronology of the various BSD releases, indicating important additions of features with each release. As seen in the Figure, the TCP code in the Net/2 release is functionally the same as that of the better known TCP implementation, Reno. The releases shown on the left side in the figure are publicly available source code releases, which contain all of the networking code: the protocols, the kernel routines for networking interface, and many of the applications and utilities.

\(^2\)From this point on, we refer to the Net/2 implementation as “the implementation”.
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4.1cBSD (1982)  
first release

4.2BSD (1983)  
first widely available release of TCP/IP

4.3BSD Tahoe (1988)  
slow start, congestion avoidance, fast retransmit

BSD Networking Software  
Release 1.0 (1989): Net/1

4.3BSD Reno (1990)  
fast recovery, TCP header prediction, SLIP header compression, routing table changes

BSD Networking Software  
Release 2.0 (1991): Net/2

4.4BSD (1993)  
multicasting, long fat pipe modifications

4.4BSD-Lite (1994)

Figure 3.1: A history of BSD releases and important TCP features added with each release. The diagram is adapted from [26].
3.2.1 Implementation of the TCP Specification

We briefly discuss the implementation of some key ideas in the TCP specification: acknowledgment, reassembly, and retransmission timeout.

A well-known mechanism of TCP is that it acknowledges received data. A unique sequence number is assigned to each octet of data transmitted, and when a segment arrives, a receiver acknowledges the highest in-order sequence number seen so far. (We later refer to this as snd_una\(^3\).) This implementation uses delayed ACK, and this feature is describe in Section 3.2.3. However, for simplicity, in this subsection, we assume that ACK's are not delayed. To illustrate how acknowledgments work, suppose the sender sends several segments of size 512 bytes. (For simplicity, we suppose that the first segment covers sequence numbers 1-512; the second covers 513-1024, and so on.) Let's assume that the second segment is lost. So, at the receiver, the reception of the first segment generates an ACK for sequence number 512. Since the second segment is lost, when the third packet arrives, the receiver generates a duplicate ACK, an ACK that acknowledges the same sequence number as the last ACK, for sequence number 512, the highest in-order sequence number seen so far. The third segment is put on the reassembly queue, which caches out-of-order segments for reassembly later when the missing packets, which may be retransmissions, arrive. Until the second packet is received, each additional segment that arrives at the receiver is cached in the reassembly queue, and a duplicate ACK is generated. Once the second segment arrives, TCP uses that segment and the segments in the reassembly queue to reassemble the longest in-order sequence of segments possible and generates an ACK for the highest sequence number in that sequence.

As mentioned in the previous section, TCP uses retransmission to ensure reliable data delivery. It specifies that if an ACK for a segment is not received within a timeout interval, the segment is retransmitted. Because of the variability of the networks

\(^3\)Whenever possible, we use the terminology closest to that of the TCP specification or the code of the implementation.
that make up an internetwork system and the various uses of TCP connections, this retransmission timeout must be dynamically determined.

For this implementation, this timeout value is calculated using measured round-trip delays of segments. Upon sending a packet, if no segment is currently being timed, a round-trip timer is set. This round-trip timer has fairly coarse granularity; it is incremented only every 500ms. It is stopped when the ACK for the segment timed is received. A variable, smoothed round-trip time (SRTT), keeps track of an approximation of the average of all the round-trip times measured so far, and another variable, retransmission timeout (RTO), is constantly updated based on the RTT and its variance. The details of these calculations can be found in [11] and the code.

The retransmit timer is set to the current RTO, and decremented every 500ms. When an ACK is received, the retransmit timer is restarted with the latest, dynamically calculated RTO. When the retransmit timer is decremented down to zero, we call it a retransmission timeout.

3.2.2 Slow Start and Congestion Avoidance

We briefly discuss the mechanisms for congestion control and avoidance in this implementation. The schemes are generally known as slow start. More details and intuition on this topic are in [11, 26].

Using these schemes, a sender is in one of two modes: slow start or congestion avoidance. The two modes differ primarily in that the sending rate of data flow increases more aggressively in the former mode than in the latter. A sender is in slow-start mode under two conditions: (1) when it first starts transmitting data and (2) immediately after a retransmission timeout. A sender shifts from the slow-start mode to the congestion-avoidance mode at a certain threshold or immediately after a fast retransmit, which is discussed in Section 3.2.4. Using the ideas discussed in Section 3.1.2, the goal of slow-start mode is to quickly fill a empty “pipeline” until the
connection is approximately at equilibrium. At that point, the sender shifts in to the less aggressive congestion-avoidance mode, which continues to probe the maximum network capacity. Evidently, the choice of the threshold, which is essentially an approximation of the equilibrium point of the connection, is key to the performance of these schemes.

In practice, slow start and congestion avoidance are implemented together. To implement these congestion control and avoidance schemes, the sender keeps track of three variables: snd.wnd, cwnd, and ssthresh. Snd.wnd is the window size advertised from the receiver to sender. This advertised window size gives the sender an estimate of the available window at the receiver. Cwnd is the congestion window, and ssthresh is the slow-start threshold, which determines when a sender shifts from the slow start mode into the congestion avoidance mode.

In slow-start mode, cwnd is initialized to one segment. Each time an ACK is received, cwnd is incremented by one segment. At any point, the sender sends the minimum of snd.wnd and cwnd. Thus, cwnd reflects flow control imposed by the sender, and snd.wnd is flow control imposed by the receiver.

We see that following the description above, cwnd increases exponentially. To be more specific, assuming that the ACK's are not delayed, the sender starts by transmitting one segment and waiting for its ACK. When the ACK is received, cwnd is incremented from one to two, and two segments are sent. When each of the two segments is acknowledged, cwnd is incremented by one. The value of cwnd becomes four. This exponential pattern continues similarly until cwnd becomes greater than or equal to ssthresh. From then on, the sender shifts into the congestion avoidance mode.

We note that in this implementation the ssthresh is initialized arbitrarily to the maximum window size of the implementation, 65535. Later in the discussions of the simulations, we will see that this high initial threshold leads to multiple packet losses very soon after the connection starts. We also mention here that cwnd can never be
increased beyond the maximum window size of 65545.

In congestion avoidance mode, $cwnd$ is incremented by \( \frac{(\text{maximum segment size})^2}{cwnd} \) plus \( \frac{\text{maximum segment size}}{8} \) each time an ACK is received\(^4\). This in effect additively increases $cwnd$ in contrast with the exponential increase in the slow-start mode.

Congestion is indicated by a retransmission timeout or the reception of three duplicate ACK's. When congestion is detected, $ssthresh$, which dictates when the sender changes from slow-start mode to congestion-avoidance mode, is adjusted to one-half of the current window (the minimum of $\text{snd.wnd}$ and $cwnd$). This makes sense in most cases, since the detection of congestion implies that the current threshold is too high. The sender is too aggressive and thus is losing packets. The threshold is lowered so that the sender shifts from exponential increase of its congestion window to additive increase sooner in hope that the sender can slow down enough to avoid congestion in the future.

### 3.2.3 Delayed Acknowledgment

In this implementation, TCP does not send an ACK the instant it receives a packet. Instead, it delays the ACK, so that if there is data going the same direction as the ACK, the ACK can be sent along, or "piggyback", with the data. An exception is when out-of-order segments are received. In such cases, a duplicate ACK is generated immediately. Even with the delayed acknowledgment mechanism, most of the time, the receiver acknowledges every two segments in our simulations. This is because in this implementation, when the available window at the receiver differs from the last advertised window to the sender by twice the maximum segment size or more, the receiver sends a window update, and ACK's (if any) can piggyback on this update. In any case, no ACK is ever delayed for more than 200 msec in this implementation.

\(^4\)We refer to $\frac{\text{maximum segment size}}{8}$ as the $\frac{1}{8}$ factor for the rest of this document. This factor is a known error, and it should be left out in future implementations [26]. In Section 5.1, we show some simulation results that demonstrate why the factor is a problem.
since every 200 msec, a timeout takes place, and an ACK is generated if any is waiting to be sent.

3.2.4 Fast Retransmits and Fast Recovery

The fast retransmit mechanism, described below, allows earlier detection of a missing segment. Based on the duplicate ACK's, it makes an educated prediction about which segment is missing. Without this mechanism, the sender would have to wait for a long retransmission timeout, which is on the order of seconds, before it would detect that a segment was lost. Under the fast recovery mechanism, the sender enters the congestion-avoidance instead of the slow-start mode after a fast retransmit. We discuss the implementation details of the two mechanisms below. To get the above events to occur, the code manipulates various variables. These details can be seen later in the seemingly strange variations in the graphs of the simulation results.

Upon receiving three duplicate ACK's, the fast retransmit mechanism deduces that a segment has been lost. TCP assumes that the missing segment starts with a sequence number immediately after the number acknowledged by the duplicate ACK's, and the missing segment is retransmitted.

So, TCP first lowers ssthresh to half of the window size to avoid future congestion. It then retransmits the missing segment. This is accomplished by adjusting the snd.nxt to that sequence number and closing down cwnd to one segment and calling tcp_output(). After the output is done, cwnd is readjusted to be ssthresh plus one segment, and snd.nxt is readjusted to the highest sequence number that is outstanding so far on the connection (snd.max). This is so that the sender can continue to send new data. The fast recovery mechanism refers to the way cwnd and ssthresh are adjusted so that the sender enters the congestion-avoidance mode after a fast retransmit.
Chapter 4

The Simulations: Environment and Methodology

This chapter describes the simulations. We first define the network model used in the simulator, Netsim. We then discuss the necessary modifications to Netsim to conduct the simulations presented in the following chapters. After an overview of the simple network topology and parameters used, we point to some performance metrics. We then discuss how to read the graphs of the simulation results. Finally, we evaluate the limitations of the simulations.

4.1 The Simulator

4.1.1 The Network Model and the Corresponding Components

The packet-by-packet, event-driven simulator is based on the model that a computer network consists of an interconnected set of communication and switching components. The communication components, such as ethernet and point-to-point links, are characterized by bandwidth and delay. Networks like the internet make no guarantee to deliver packets reliably, and this is modeled in the simulator with the error
probability parameter in a point-to-point link component. However, for the simulations in this thesis, to isolate the effect of TCP mechanisms, the probability is set to zero. A switching component is characterized by its speed, processing delay, size of input queues, and size of output queues. The switching components in the simulator use the simple first-in-first-out queuing algorithm in the input and output queues, and when the buffer overflows, the latest arriving packet is dropped.

4.1.2 A Connection

The network model described supports end-to-end communication through TCP connections. A connection is established through three other components in the simulator: the host component, the TCP component, and the user component. The host component simulates the end hosts on which a TCP may reside, and it is connected to the network fabric. The TCP component is associated with the end host component and contains a particular implementation of the TCP algorithms. The user component represents a user process, communicating over the network using a TCP connection.

4.1.3 BSD Net/2 TCP Component

We introduced a new TCP component to the existing Netsim simulator. To create this TCP component, we made minor modifications (which do not affect the behavior of TCP) to the actual BSD Net/2 code to conform with the simulator environment and requirements. This is the TCP component we used to produce the simulations results in Chapter 5. The TCP component used for the results in Chapter 7 is also based on this component with the implementation changes we propose.
4.1.4 Network Topology and Parameters

For all the simulations in this paper, we use a very simple topology shown in Figure 4.1. The buffer size of each switch is 10 packets. The segment size for transfer is 1024 bytes, and the maximum window size of the connection is 50 segments (51200 bytes). We transfer 1 Mbyte across a simple one-way TCP connection. We then graph the simulation data using the graphical method modeled after [22]. This method is explained briefly in Section 4.3.

![Network topology](image)

Figure 4.1: Network topology used in the simulations

The parameters chosen may limit the relevance of the results to real situations. For example, a more reasonable number for the buffer size parameter, may be the bandwidth-delay product of the bottleneck link \( \frac{\text{bandwidth} \times \text{delay}}{\text{packet size used for transfer}} \), which is 20, in our case. More discussion on this is in Section 6.1, after we have had a chance to look at some simulations. We picked a smaller buffer size, 10, which may have led to more loss events. However, since this thesis is a part of the results obtained from a attempt to reproduce Figure 6 in [3], our simulations use the same topology and parameters as the experiments conducted in that paper. Another point is that although this thesis does look at some performance issues, the focus is on TCP's start-up transient behavior, i.e. how TCP reacts when congestion emerges. Since such behavior occurs over a wide range of parameters, as long as the parameters are within the range, the exact values of the parameters used is not of utmost importance. In most cases, varying the parameters within the range only varies the timing and duration of such behavior. Simulation results using other parameters will be organized and presented in a later paper.
4.2 Performance Metrics

As mentioned before, although the focus of this thesis is not completely on performance issues, we briefly list some important measures for the performance of a TCP congestion control and avoidance schemes [19]:

- Control stability:
  1. reliable detection of congestion
  2. robustness to noise
  3. low parameter sensitivity
  4. appropriate sensitivity to transient congestion, e.g. bursts and synchronization between flows.

- Timely response to changes in network utilization

- Efficiency: not a lot of unused bandwidth in the network but also no “abused” bandwidth, e.g. excessive, unnecessary retransmission of data.

- Low delay, high throughput

- Control parameters not constrained by link speeds and network sizes

- Distributed control

- Fairness: identical source requirements should get approximately the same bandwidths.

- Reasonable buffering requirement in switches

- Reasonable implementation

Of course, some of the above are conflicting goals that require algorithms to make tradeoffs to reach a delicate balance. These measures give a guideline of how to evaluate congestion control and avoidance schemes. Also, we want to keep these
guidelines, as well as the "conservation of packets" principle, in mind, when proposing changes to TCP implementations.

4.3 Reading the Graphs

The simulation results are presented in two types of graphs: time-segment-number graphs and simple graphs tracing the congestion window parameter of a TCP connection. Both types of graphs show data collected from the sender's perspective. The graphic convention used in the time-segment-number graphs is similar to that developed in [22]. To make these graphs, we converted sequence numbers into segment numbers\(^1\) to make the graphs more readable and the discussions simpler. We occasionally draw circles around the regions of interest in the graph.

In a time-segment-number graph such as the one in Figure 4.2, the x axis represents time, and the y axis represents segment numbers. Each small vertical line segment with arrows (in the leftmost circled region) at the ends represents a segment sent at that time. The length of a small vertical lines give an indication of the length of the segment represented. There are two lines that bound the small vertical line segments: the bottom one indicates the last acknowledged segment number or snd.una, and the top one indicates snd.wnd plus snd.una at any particular time. Small tick marks on the bottom bounding line (in the rightmost circled region) indicates that a duplicate ACK has been received.

In Figure 4.3, we show another time-segment-number graph. The figure displays the same information for an 1-Mbyte transfer. Because of the lack of resolution, this figure does not show many details. However, we occasionally show a picture like this to display more general information about the transfer.

\(^1\)We make the simplifying assumption that the segment number of a segment is the closest integer to the quotient of the highest sequence number in that segment divide by the maximum segment size, 1024 bytes.
Figure 4.2: An example of a detailed time-segment-number graph

Figure 4.3: An example of a time-segment-number graph for an entire 1-Mbyte transfer
In a congestion window trace such as the one in Figure 4.4, the x axis represents time and the y axis represents the size of the congestion window, $cwnd$, in bytes. The small crosses show changes in the value of ssthresh.

![Figure 4.4: An example of a congestion window graph](image)

4.4 Limitations of the Simulations

As indicated in [7], simulations have their limitations, since they eliminate the "noise" and the "randomness" in real networks. So, some behavior in simulations may not be observed in real networks, and some situations in real networks may not be present in a simulator. However, a useful characteristic of the simulation environment is that it is a controlled environment. Various effects and behavior can be isolated by controlling the setup topology or simulation parameters. Also, the simulator allows easy access to any part of the complete information of all network traffic. This advantage aids in the analysis of data and is useful in the process of testing various hypothesis. Therefore, simulations can be a good initial approach to examine various networking issues.

Despite the limitations of simulations, our observations on TCP's dynamics presented in the next chapter are in fact in real networks. [22] shows many TCP traces
of real networks, and many of the phenomena we observe in Chapter 5 can be easily found in those traces.
Chapter 5

Observations on the Start-up Transients of the Net/2 Implementation of TCP

Because many applications use TCP for short data transfers, the performance of TCP (e.g. throughput, number of dropped packets, etc.) during the start-up epoch of a TCP connection is of particular interest to some users. In this chapter, we document some interesting observations on the start-up transients of a 1-Mbyte transfer over a one-way Net/2 TCP connection simulated in the Netsim simulator [10]. Some of the phenomena have been briefly discussed in other sources [4, 3, 8, 26].

We first look at the effects of increasing congestion window by more than one segment every round-trip (an additional factor of $\text{maximum segment size} \over 8$ is added per acknowledgment) in the congestion-avoidance mode in Section 5.1. In Section 5.2, we point out the impact of acknowledging pairs of packet, instead of every packet, in changing the shape and the rate of the sending patterns during the exponential slow-start mode. In Section 5.3, we discuss fast retransmits in Net/2 TCP. More specifically, in the case of a single packet loss, fast retransmit works well. We also note a “grouping” effect in the sending pattern following the recovery by a fast retransmit. We explain why it often does not work, i.e. it still needs to wait for a retransmission timeout to recover from multiple losses during one round-trip time.
We also observe that false fast retransmits can occur during the exponential slow-start mode following a retransmission timeout. In Section 5.4, we examine the effect of a group of nonsequential packet losses, caused by bottleneck buffer overflow, leading to the damping of the exponential expansion of the congestion window during the slow-start mode.

5.1 Congestion Window

As mentioned in Section 3.2.2, the additional $\frac{1}{8}$ factor added to the congestion window in the congestion-avoidance mode has been known to lead to excessive expansion of the congestion window and thus packet losses. If its current congestion window is greater than $ssthresh$, the sender is in congestion-avoidance mode, and the congestion window is opened linearly, i.e. it increases by $\frac{(\text{maximum segment size})^2}{cwnd} + \frac{\text{maximum segment size}}{8}$ per acknowledgment. Here, using simulation results, we simply demonstrate how this additional factor excessively speeds up the expansion of the congestion window. As a result of the expansion, the buffer in the switch overflows faster, and more packets are dropped.

In Figure 5.1, the top graph traces congestion window size with the additional factor added to $cwnd$. In this simulation, the 1 Mbyte transfer took 12 secs, and 19 packets were dropped due to the buffer overflow in the switch. As a result of several episodes of multiple packet losses during one round-trip time, often unrecoverable by fast retransmission, to be explained in Section 5.3, the transfer suffered in performance from the long waits of three retransmit timeouts. The retransmission timeouts can be located on the graphs, since after a retransmit timeout, the congestion window is immediately closed down to one segment and begins to ramp up subsequently. The deep fades indicate fast retransmits, to be explained later.

In the same figure, the bottom graph shows congestion window size with the $\frac{1}{8}$ factor eliminated in the code. In the congestion-avoidance mode (e.g. the phase after
Figure 5.1: Effect of the extra maximum segment size factor added to the congestion window with each acknowledgment: the top graph traces congestion window size during the 1-Mbyte transfer on the TCP connection, and the bottom graph shows the congestion window size with the maximum segment size factor eliminated.
time 3 sec), the congestion window is opened by only one segment per window, and packet loss does not occur after time 3 sec. In this case, the transfer only took 8.85 seconds, and experienced 1 retransmit timeout and only 13 packet losses.

We point out some details of interest in Figure 5.2, which is a blowup of the top graph of Figure 5.1. The deep fades in the congestion window (e.g. at times 1.15 sec, 1.37 sec, and 2.78 sec on the bottom graph) indicate that fast retransmit was activated at those times. We also that at those times, the ssthresh is reduced in half. For a description of the adjustment of cwnd and ssthresh during that epoch, see Section 3.2.4. With each additional duplicate ACK that is received, indicating another packet is cached in the reassembly queue on the receiver side, the congestion window on the sender side is opened up by one maximum segment size to account for each packet cached on the receiver side to conserve the number of packets in transit on the network. When a non-duplicate ACK is finally received, the inflated congestion window is retracted to the current ssthresh value, accounting the drops (in the circled regions) around times 1.3 sec and 1.47 sec. At time 2 sec on the same graph, a retransmit timeout occurred. As a result, the congestion window dropped to one maximum segment size, and began to open up with slow start again.

5.2 Delayed Acknowledgment

This section briefly discusses the effects of delayed acknowledgment, specifically in the exponential increase of slow start. In this phase, an ACK of in-order segments triggers more segments to be sent. The number of segments triggered is determined by the number of segments that were just acknowledged plus one more segment (since the congestion window is increased by one segment per ACK).

In Figure 5.3, we show the effects of delayed acknowledgment on the sending pattern. To isolate this effect, we left out the \( \frac{1}{8} \) factor described in the previous section in the code for the simulations in this section.
Without delayed ACK's, every ACK received acknowledges 1 segment and triggers two additional segments to be sent. However, with delayed ACK, segments are acknowledged in pairs (as explained in Section 3.2.3), so every ACK for two segments received triggers three segments to be sent. As seen in the top graph in contrast to the bottom graph, the exponential expansion of the congestion window is slower when ACK’s are delayed. The reason for the difference is that every ACK indicates that a packet has been received by the receiver. Whereas this is true if the receiver acknowledges every packet, in this implementation, with delayed ACK’s, every ACK actually acknowledges two packets.

Evidently, acknowledging every packet allows the “pipe” to be filled more quickly. However, this aggressiveness does not automatically translate into higher throughput, because acknowledging every packet expands the congestion window faster. Such expansion leads to buffer overflow and thus packet losses. As we will see in Section 5.3), the sender must wait for retransmit timeouts, since multiple losses in one round-trip time may not be recoverable by fast retransmit,
Figure 5.3: Effects of delayed acknowledgments during the slow-start mode: the top graph shows slow start with delayed acknowledgments, and the bottom graph shows the more familiar exponential slow start with every packet acknowledged.
To compare the performance, using delayed ACK’s, the 1 Mbyte transfer took 12 seconds and experienced 3 retransmit timeouts and 19 packet losses. On the other hand, acknowledging every packet allows more speedy expansion of the congestion window in the exponential of slow start. However, the same transfer took 12.8 sec, since it suffered from 5 retransmit timeouts and 40 packet losses. We note the tradeoff here. Although acknowledging every packet allows the sender to open up the congestion window faster and thus to pump segments into the network faster, the sender also loses more packets as a result of the aggressiveness.

We note that this result may be sensitive to the buffer size chosen. Because of time constraint, we leave this issue as a part of future work.

5.3 Fast Retransmits

In this section, we discuss the fast retransmit mechanism. The mechanism is briefly discussed in Section 3.2.4. In this section, we discuss the mechanism being invoked under two circumstances: (1) single packet loss and (2) multiple packet losses during one round-trip. We also look at cases in which a fast retransmit is falsely invoked.

For the simulations studied in the rest of this section, we eliminate the factor described in Section 5.1 and changed the code to acknowledge every packet. The choice to acknowledge every packet is based on the original effort to match the conditions of [3]. Figure 5.4 shows the entire transfer and labels the relevant events. For the discussions that follow, we examine the blowup of each relevant region.

5.3.1 Single Packet Loss

Figure 5.5 shows the connection successfully recovering from a single packet loss using fast retransmit. Segment 579 was lost. At time right before 5.43 sec, the connection starts receiving duplicate ACK’s. Upon three duplicate ACK’s, a fast retransmission
Figure 5.4: An 1-Mbyte transfer (acknowledging every packet and eliminating the $\frac{1}{8}$ factor) and its relevant events.
of segment 579 is invoked, and the congestion window size is reduced. Right before
the fast retransmission occurred, the connection has a congestion window of size
say \textit{old.cwnd}, and therefore, \textit{old.cwnd} segments are outstanding. In the bottom
graph, we see that with each duplicate ACK that continues to come in after the fast
retransmit, the congestion window is opened by one packet. At time 5.52sec, we
see that the congestion window is opened to the value \textit{old.cwnd}. As the congestion
window continues to open, the connection is able to have more segments outstanding
and send new segments. At time right before 5.6 sec, an ACK for a large number of
segments, including segment 579, is received. This ACK causes the inflated congestion
window (to account for cached segments at the receiver side) to be retracted to
\textit{ssthresh}, and the sender enters the congestion-avoidance mode as a result of the fast
recovery mechanism.

In Figure 5.6, we make the observation that the group of segments sent between
5.52 sec and 5.6 sec triggers the ACK’s to come back in a similar pattern, triggering the
next group of packet to be sent out in a similar pattern, and so on. This “grouping”
effect becomes less obvious after 6.2 sec, as the pipeline is being filled and the ACK’s
are coming in continuously. This effect is a good demonstration of TCP using the
acknowledgments as a self-clocking mechanism.

\subsection{Multiple Packet Losses}

In this section, we show that multiple losses during one round-trip may not be re-
coverable by the fast retransmit mechanism, and thus the connection must wait for a
retransmission timeout. We show one particular case in Figure 5.7. As seen in the fig-
ure, the large congestion window at time right before 0.7 sec allows a burst of closely
spaced packets into the network. At the bottleneck switch, the buffer overflows, since
the sender pumps the packets into the network at twice the speed at which the switch
is able to drain the buffer. Every other packet sent during that epoch overflows the
buffer and is lost.
Figure 5.5: The connection recovers from a single packet loss after a fast retransmit.
Figure 5.6: The “grouping” effect during the recovery phase after a fast retransmit triggered by a single packet loss
Figure 5.7: As a result of multiple packet losses, fast retransmit did not work here. The sender has to wait for a retransmit timeout. The bottom graph shows the corresponding congestion window size.
Segment number 51 is the first segment lost, and we see in the graph that around the time right before 0.83 sec (in the circled region), the sender receives a duplicate ACK acknowledging segment number 51. At this point, the sender is not able to send any further since a full window of segments is outstanding. As time proceeds, a group of closely spaced duplicate ACK's, triggered by the large surge of segments sent earlier, is received. On the third duplicate ACK, segment 51 is fast retransmitted, and this segment is acknowledged at time right before 1 sec.

As mentioned before, every other segment is lost starting with segment number 51. So, segment 53 is lost as well and needs to be retransmitted. Only two mechanisms can cause a retransmission to occur: (1) a fast retransmission and (2) a retransmission timeout. Note the value of the congestion window right before the last fast retransmission is 51200 bytes, which is the maximum window size. The surge of segments the sender transmits before 0.83 sec triggers the duplicate ACK's seen between time 0.83 sec and 1 sec. When the fast retransmission occurs, the congestion window is reduced to approximately half. (Recall from Section 3.2.4.) With each duplicate ACK the sender receives, it is able to open the congestion window by one, as seen in Figure 5.7. However, since the sender is not allowed to have more than maximum window size of data outstanding, it cannot send any more segments until non-duplicate ACK's comes in. Without any further transmission, no further ACK's can be triggered, and thus fast retransmission cannot be used to retransmit segment 53. The only other way for retransmission to occur is to wait for the retransmission timeout, which finally occurs at time 1.5 sec.

The underlying issues of this episode are (1) the initial value of $ssthresh$, which allowed the sender to clock out a large surge of packets in exponential slow-start mode leading to multiple packet losses and (2) the failure of fast retransmit and recovery to recover the lost packets. The result is that the sender must wait for the retransmission timeout, which drastically reduces the performance. The first issue results, because the arbitrary initial value of $ssthresh$, 65535, is too high. With such a high threshold, the sender aggressively increases its sending rate even though it may
have already saturated the bottleneck queues leading to the multiple losses.

The other issue is the failure of fast retransmit and recovery mechanisms to recover multiple packet losses. In this case, the two mechanisms interfere with TCP's self-clocking property as discussed in Section 3.1.2. In the face of possible congestion, the two mechanisms performed their function of limiting the congestion window to avoid further network congestion. However, until the multiple packet losses are recovered, each new packet sent only triggers duplicate ACK's. So, once the sender has sent out the full window of data, it comes to a halt waiting for non-duplicate ACK's. The problem occurs when the sender stops sending new data, and no new data can be acknowledged. During this dry spell of its fundamental clock signal (the non-duplicate ACK's), the self-clocking mechanism breaks down, and the sender sits idle waiting for the only other way to recovery, the time-consuming retransmission timeout.

5.3.3 False Fast Retransmits

In some cases, false fast retransmits can occur. We observe a particular case at time 1.95 sec (in the circled region) in Figure 5.8. In this figure, the sender is in the exponential slow-start mode, immediately after a retransmission timeout to recover from an episode of multiple packet losses. As explained in the Section 5.3.2, right before the epoch shown in the figure, the sender pumps a continuous surge of packets into the network, overflowing the buffer in the switch. As a result, every other packet in that surge of packets is dropped at the switch as overflow. More specifically, segments, numbered 51, 53, 55, 57, 59, and so on (every other packet up to packet 99) are lost. When the receiver receives out of order segments (segments 52, 54, 56, etc.), it stores them in the reassembly queue until the missing packets come in. Since the fast retransmit is only able to recover a single segment, segment number 51, shown in Figure 5.7, a retransmission timeout occurs.

To explain Figure 5.8, we tabulate the beginning of the recovery process from the sender's perspective in Table 5.1.
Figure 5.8: False fast retransmit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>segments Sent</th>
<th>ACK's Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Segment 53 is retransmitted</td>
<td>The retransmission of segment 53 at time 1.5 sec leads to an ACK of two segments: segments 53 and 54. The receiver acknowledges segment 54 as well, since segment 54 is already cached in the reassembly queue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>Segments 55 and 56 are retransmitted</td>
<td>The retransmission of segment 55 leads to an ACK of two segments: segments 55 and 56, since segment 56 is already cache in the reassembly queue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td></td>
<td>The retransmission of segment 56 at time 1.61 sec leads to a duplicateACK of segment 56.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: False fast retransmit
The above pattern continues similarly. Following the pattern, we see that the retransmission of a segment that was not lost, i.e. it is already cached at the receiver, generates a duplicate ACK. We see that around time 1.97 sec, the retransmission of a group of segments that are cached at the receiver leads to a series of duplicate ACK’s and thus a fast retransmit (in the circled region). We call this a false fast retransmit, since the mechanism is activated even though there is no segment loss. False retransmits result from the interaction of the reassembly queue at the receiver and the fast retransmit mechanism. In this case, the false retransmit mistakenly forces the sender to go into the less aggressive congestion-avoidance mode, when there is really no congestion. Such false fast retransmit degrades the performance.

In the same circled region, we also note a large spike right before the false retransmit. This spike is due to the large ACK that arrived, which acknowledged most of the outstanding segments. We discuss this further in Section 5.4.

5.4 Damped Exponential Phase of the Slow Start

The exponential phase of the slow start is designed so that the window opens up quickly to fill the “pipe” and probes the network capacity. As shown in Section 5.2, for exponential expansion of the congestion window, each segment transmitted should be acknowledged individually. However, we observe in Figure 5.8 (which captures the slow-start mode after a retransmission timeout) and Section 5.3.3 that even though the delayed acknowledgment mechanism is purposely turned off, because of the segments cached in the reassembly queue at the receiver, each segment retransmitted does not produce an individual ACK.

Thus, immediately after a retransmission timeout, the exponential slow-start mode is “damped”. The magnitude of this effect can be seen by the comparison shown in Figure 5.9. Both graphs show about 0.8 sec of transfer. The top graph shows the exponential slow-start mode at the beginning of the connection. The bottom graph shows the damped slow-start mode after a retransmission timeout. In the
same amount of time, approximately twice as much data is transferred during the exponential slow-start shown in the top graph, compared to the bottom graph.

In the bottom graph, we also see more complicated sending pattern since during this phase, the connection is still trying to recover lost segments. The large ACK at around 1.93 sec occurs, because the segments retransmitted at around 1.82 sec repaired the "holes" missing on the reassembly queue of many segments. Once those "holes" are filled, the receiver is able to ack the entire sequence of the segments on the reassembly queue. The large ACK also generates a large spike of segments that are sent. Such closely spaced transmissions can lead to lost segments, depending on the relative size of cwnd and the buffer. We discuss this issue briefly in Chapter 7. We also see the false fast retransmission as discussed in Section 5.3.3.
Figure 5.9: The top graph shows the exponential slow-start mode at the beginning of the connection. The bottom graph shows the damped slow-start mode after a retransmission timeout.
Chapter 6

Simulation Parameters

After the discussion of the simulation results, one important question is how sensitive are the results to the parameters and topology used. We question whether the specific setup we used contributed to the particular loss events in the simulations.

In Section 6.1, we discuss the relevance of the buffer size in the switch. We note that the same loss events still occur even with a larger buffer size. However, we also observe that the exact timing and dynamics of the congestion control and avoidance mechanisms are sensitive to simulation parameters. We illustrate this in Section 6.2 using the parameter round-trip delay as an example.

6.1 Why Not Pick A Larger Buffer Size?

As mentioned before, since this thesis is a part of the results obtained from attempts to reproduce Figure 6 in [3], we choose parameters to conform with those in the paper. One may argue that if more suitable parameter values were selected, multiple packet losses during one round-trip time may not have occurred, and none of the episodes mentioned would occur anyway. One important parameter would be the buffer size of the bottleneck switches, which is 10 packets for all previous simulations.
To explore how the buffer size can affect the start-up dynamics, we examine another set of simulations using a more reasonable number for the buffer size, 20. We choose this number, since it is the bandwidth-delay product. Figure 6.1 shows the same 1 Mbyte transfer using a buffer size of 20 in the switches. We observe the same time-critical episode, i.e. a surge of segments being sent followed by the failure of fast retransmit to recover from the multiple packet losses.

Figure 6.1: The transfer using a larger buffer size, 20, in the bottleneck switch.

This result is expected, since the episode really results from the surge of segments being sent closely spaced such that the packets overflow the buffer in the bottleneck switch. When the connection starts, $ssthresh$ (the threshold at which the sender changes from slow start to congestion avoidance) is arbitrarily set at the maximum value, which is 65535 bytes in our case. This means that the congestion window opens up exponentially until it reaches 65535 bytes. This large initial value of $ssthresh$ allows congestion window to open up quickly, but so quickly that a large surge of closely-spaced packets are sent. As the “pipe” is being fed beyond its capacities, packets have to be dropped.
6.2 Sensitivity of Results to Simulation Parameters

Although in the previous section we found that the same loss events occur even with a larger buffer size in the switch, in many other respects, we note that the exact behavior of TCP's congestion mechanisms is sensitive to the parameters used.

To illustrate, in Figure 6.2, we show three traces of the congestion window for an 1-Mbyte, one-way transfer using a link with three slightly different one-way delays. As seen in the figure, the slight change in the delay translates into very different timing of the variations in the congestion window.

From this perspective, we find reproducing exactly other's TCP results difficult, since the differences in the TCP implementations used, the methodologies, the models and assumptions about the network, and the setup parameters can all contribute to varying behavior.
Figure 6.2: From top to bottom, the graphs shows the trace of congestion window of a 1 Mbyte transfer using a link with a one-way delay of 45 ms, 50 ms, and 55 ms.
Chapter 7

Implementation Suggestions for Better Performance

In Chapter 5, we made some observations on the start-up dynamics of TCP. To improve TCP’s performance during the epoch, it is useful to review the observations and note the episodes of events that was time-critical. Figure 7.1 illustrates well the critical path. The figure is a time-segment-number graph of an 1-Mbyte transfer using the original Net/2 TCP code without any changes. It doesn’t show any details, but clearly we can see the time-consuming episodes of a surge of packets being sent, leading to multiple packet losses and an unsuccessful attempt to recover those packets using fast retransmission. With each of those episodes, the end result is the long wait for the retransmit timeout, which is shown in the figure as the flat portion of the graph during which the sender is not able to send any segments.

To deal with these episodes, there are two simple approaches: (1) curtail the surge of packets that lead to multiple packet losses and (2) change the fast retransmit mechanism so that it may help the connection recover from multiple packet losses during one round-trip time and thus reduce the need to wait for the retransmit timeouts. The first approach can be implemented by finding a good initial value of $ssthresh$, and the second requires a more aggressive attempt to recover lost segments.

We discuss these approaches below, and we show some initial simulation results. We also mention briefly a way to deal with the large spike in the circled region of
From the previous section, we see that the initial value of $ssthresh$ is critical. One way to avoid the large surge of packet that leads to multiple packet losses is to pick a lower $ssthresh$. A lower $ssthresh$ would allow the congestion window to open exponentially, aggressively up to $ssthresh$ and then open additively (one segment per window), probing the capacity of the "pipe" instead of overfeeding it. However, if the initial $ssthresh$ is set too low, the performance suffers. The congestion window prematurely switches to the additive increase mode. As a result, although there is no packet loss, the sender is sending so slowly that the transfer takes significantly longer.

So, we need to find a good estimate of the threshold at which the sender is closely
approaching the full network capacity and thus should slow down and probe the remaining capacity. An example of such estimate would be the bandwidth-delay product. We now have the problem of how to estimate the bandwidth and the round-trip delay. Below, we discuss one simplified way to estimate this value. We show some preliminary results, and we plan to improve on the method to obtain this estimate.

As mentioned in Section 3.1.2, data packets, which are sent closely spaced, arrive at the receiver at the rate of the bottleneck link bandwidth. If the receiver's ACK's arrive at the sender with approximately the same spacing, using the ACK's and the time at which they arrive, we can calculate an approximation of the bandwidth. The round-trip delay can be approximated by timing one segment, reading the timestamp upon sending the segment and reading the timestamp again once the ACK for the segment is received. We can then calculate the bandwidth-delay product. We set the $ssthresh$ to the byte-equivalent of this product.

In Figure 7.2, we show the simulation results from initializing $ssthresh$ with bandwidth-delay product. First, we timed the $SYNC$ segment, the first segment transmitted by a sender for synchronization between the receiver and the sender, to get an approximation of the round-trip delay. Once the connection is established, the bandwidth is calculated by using the least-squares estimation on three closely-spaced ACK's received at the sender and their respective time of receipt. (This is similar to the Packet-Pair algorithm in [15].) The resulting estimate, 20 or 20480 bytes, is expectedly accurate. As a result, we see a very smooth transfers without retransmit timeouts, since the good guess of the initial $ssthresh$ value prevented the episodes of the surge of packets that led to multiple packet losses. The occasional single packet loss is effectively recovered by the fast retransmit mechanism.

The estimation method works well for our transfer, since our topology is simple and involves only one unilateral transfer. In reality, we may not be able to obtain an estimate as good or as quickly. Also, we need to take into account more sample points, and use the statistical average instead just using the value of a single sample. A part of our future work involves developing the estimation further.
Figure 7.2: An 1-Mbyte transfer initializing the \textit{ssthresh} with the byte-equivalent of bandwidth-delay product
However, even if the resulting estimate is higher than the "right" value, it would be better than the arbitrary maximum value of 65535, which allows the sender to open the congestion window too aggressively and leads to many packet losses. Admittedly, on the other hand, if the estimate is too low, the sender may end up being too conservative, and the resulting performance can be worse than having to lose multiple packets and waiting for a retransmit timeout to recover. This is an issue we have to address in future work.

7.2 Recovery from Multiple Packet Losses

In the event that multiple packet losses occur, Section 5.3 shows that the sender runs out of enough duplicate ACK's to activate a fast retransmit (recall that it takes three duplicate ACK's to activate it) for each of the packet losses, and ultimately has to wait for a retransmit timeout. To recover from multiple packet losses, a more aggressive fast retransmit algorithm is needed. We propose a change to the fast retransmit mechanism so that it may recover from multiple packet losses more effectively.

There are two parts to the change once the fast retransmit mechanism is activated. First, in an attempt to keep the "flywheel" going, with every two duplicate ACK's we receive, we force out a new segment with sequence number $snd_{nxt}$. In the second part, we take notice of the highest sequence number sent before the fast retransmission, call it $highest_{seq}$. We define the fast retransmit phase as the time between when we first invoke the fast retransmission upon three duplicate ACK's and when the segment with sequence number $highest_{seq}$ is finally acknowledged.

Assuming that the segment fast retransmitted is the only segment lost, the sender expects the ACK of that segment retransmitted to acknowledge all segments up to $highest_{seq}$. Therefore, if the sender see an ACK for sequence number $m$ less than $highest_{seq}$, the sender has a very good indication that the segment with the sequence number $m$ is lost as well. So, instead of waiting for three duplicate ACK's to activate
a fast retransmit, we retransmit the segment starting with the sequence number \( m \) immediately. As long as we are in the fast retransmit phase, we repeat this algorithm until we have seen the ACK for the segment with sequence number \( \text{highest_seq} \). At that point, we have recovered from the multiple packet losses during the round-trip time. We demonstrate the new mechanism in the simulation results shown in Figure 7.3\(^1\).

![Graph showing recovery from multiple packet losses using the proposed new fast retransmit mechanism and setting ssthresh initially to the estimate of the bandwidth-delay product.](image)

Figure 7.3: Recovery from multiple packet losses using the proposed new fast retransmit mechanism and setting \textit{ssthresh} initially to the estimate of the bandwidth-delay product.

Using this algorithm, it takes \( n \) round-trip time to recover \( n \) packet losses. However, in a typical connection, the number of packet losses per round-trip delay is expected to be small. In addition, in the time it takes to wait for a retransmission timeout (about 1 sec) in the previous simulations, the new mechanism can recover up to 10 lost packets without waiting for a timeout, since round-trip delay is 100ms. Thus, the new mechanism offers a performance improvement. The mechanism can

\(^1\)Note that the mechanism can be more aggressive, i.e. update \textit{highest_seq} with each transmission during the fast retransmit phase. We will address this in future work.
work even better if combined with setting the $ssthresh$ to bandwidth-delay product, as mentioned in the previous section. Setting $ssthresh$ to a good estimate of the bandwidth-delay product reduces the number of packets that overflow the buffer in the first surge of packets sent, and thus, the new mechanism would have less packets to recover.

As mentioned before in Section 2.1, this mechanism is an alternative to selective acknowledgments. One may argue that selective acknowledgment is more effective and efficient in the recovery of multiple packet losses. This may be true in some cases. However, selective acknowledgment relies on the receiver, while the new mechanism described above offers a way to deal with multiple packet losses from a unilateral, sender’s perspective. In addition, whereas the new mechanism is consistent with the current TCP specification, implementing selective acknowledgment may require changing the original specification of TCP. Comparatively, the new mechanism is much simpler to implement, yet it offers reasonable performance improvement.

The concept of a fast retransmit phase can also be used to fix the problem of false fast retransmits as pointed out by [8]. Since duplicate ACK’s that acknowledge segments from the same window as the segments from a previous fast retransmit are not an indication of continued congestion, the sender can ignore all duplicate ack’s as long as it is in the fast retransmit phase.

### 7.3 Limiting the Output of Successive Packets

As mentioned in Section 5.3.3, during the recovery of multiple packet losses, a large ACK can trigger a sudden surge of segments to be sent as shown in the circled region of Figure 5.8. This sudden surge may lead to further loss of segments. One way to deal with this problem is to limit the number of segments TCP can output successively in such a situation. We leave the analysis of this issue for future work.
Chapter 8

Conclusions and Future Work

We briefly summarize the results from this thesis, and we discuss further extensions to this work.

8.1 Summary of Results

This thesis makes some interesting observations on the start-up transients of Net/2 implementation of TCP. From the effects observed, results, and we plan to improve on the method to obtain this estimate we realize the complexity of the interactions between the different mechanisms in TCP. We also observe the importance of the "conservation of packets" in the network [11] from all the subtle effects observed. Ultimately, we need to keep the pipeline moving, since only by sending packets, can ACK's be triggered, and only ACK's in turn can trigger more packets to be sent.

By learning from the dynamics noted, we make two simple proposals that may help TCP's performance during the start-up epoch. The first involves making an educated guess of a good ssthresh value in the hope of avoiding the large spike of packets that leads to multiple packet losses seen in the simulations. The second proposes a slightly more aggressive mechanism to recover from multiple packet losses.
We also learned about simulations. We realized that some results are very sensitive to the parameters and topology used, whereas others are less sensitive and are based more on the fundamental mechanisms in the protocol studied. Distinguishing the two is important when comparing the performance of two implementations. One implementation may perform better than the other because of the particular setup used and not necessarily because of the fundamental mechanism in that implementation.

8.2 Future Work

One important part of our future work is simulating with other parameters and topologies. This will give us an opportunity to observe other dynamics and issues. In this thesis, to isolate the effects, we only studied a single, unilateral connection. However, simulations of multiple two-way connections would provide more insight, which will help us to refine and develop our suggested changes.

As mentioned before, we realize that simulations have limitations. An interesting extension to this work would be to move the experiments on to real networks.

We note that TCP is very dependent on its self-clocking property. An interesting question to investigate would be whether a different clocking signal can lead to better performance. So, one topic to explore is a rate estimator that clocks out segments at a "suitable rate". For instance, if we are able to estimate a reasonable rate to pump packets into the network and keep packets in transit during the period between the time period 0.85 sec and 1 sec in Figure 5.7, the ACK's returning would have been able to trigger additional fast retransmits to avoid the long wait for the retransmission timeout. This may improve the performance during the start-up epoch. Perhaps, using such a rate estimator, we could have avoided the multiple packet losses altogether. In addition, we observe that the TCP phenomena described in this paper are discrete in nature and arise from interactions between independent mechanisms. A continuous rate estimator, which decides when segments are to be sent, may help connections to start up more smoothly and suffer from less loss events.
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