22.351 Systems Analysis of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle Spring 2003 Problem Set #10

Several laboratories worldwide have considered developing non-fertile fuels to burn weapons grade plutonium (i.e., fuel without U-238).

One way to accomplish this is to employ the non fertile fuel in the peripheral assemblies of PWRs. Recall that 1 gram of fissile material is fissioned per MWd.

(a) If 25 PWRs are employed in this manner, roughly how many years would it take in the U.S. to burn our excess WPu stockpile of ~ 50 MT of Pu_f. The reactors in question have the following characteristics:

TOTAL RATED POWER 3411 MWth

TOTAL U INVENTORY 88.2 MTHM (if all assy. are fertile)

TOTAL NO. OF ASSEMBLIES 193, of which

there are 48 on the periphery, which

operate at an avg. of 40% of core-average power: i.e.,

low-leakage management whether fertile or non-fertile type

PLANT CAPACITY FACTOR 80%.

- (b) If fertile-loaded assemblies are discharged containing 0.8 w/o Puf at a burnup of 54,240 MWd/MT, determine whether the same scheme could be used to destroy the bred plutonium produced in the core interior at steady state; suggest any necessary improvements to achieve this goal.
- (1) What are the advantages and disadvantages of this scheme compared to using MOX to consume Pu_f ?
- (2) What are the advantages and disadvantages of this scheme compared to using the same type of assemblies as a subcritical blanket driven by a spallation accelerator.