Visualizing Conversations

danah boyd

Based on a deep conversation between my me and my best friend, I diagrammed this image, representing the back and forth nature of the conversation, as we branched into each path and then returned and as we had a few interruptions. With equal strength and equal participation, the image looks like things are fairly even.

In particular, I was thinking that each statement by a participant swings the conversation in a direction, sometimes followed by the other person, but often partially swung back.
The important thing that I was trying to convey with this imagery is that the start of the conversation:

These two images are derived from thinking about the types of conversations present in soc.support.transgendered, following one thread within the conversation entitled "Poll: Sexual Orientation"

In addition to these two images, I marked up some of the important characteristics of the conversation:

A:
- doesn't get along with B
- used to "perform" het male, now ids as het female
- ids as feminine, looking for a male, masculine counterpart
- has 2 children
called bisexual by B

B:
- has ongoing petty fights with A; they used to date; says that A says that their relationship never existed
- believes that there is a range from het to homo with everything in between being bi

C:
- 
- ids as BI for simplicity, mostly attracted to female-bodied folk
- was raped by a man
in LTR with a woman
- (makes cracks in her responses)

D:
- attracted to women - one of her fav movies: The Opposite of Sex

E:
- was a married and monogamous male; still married to the same woman; still monogamous
- wife ids as straight and has a problem with transition
- in preop now; 1 year till postop
- has no sexual attraction to men

F:
- attracted to hetero men
sets up the scope, the landscape of the conversation. Each participant, in theory, joins in the conversation, contributing based on their response to someone else's comments (the outline of a response is the color of that which they are responding to). Their level of contribution varies (the thickness of the arrow). Sometimes, in the case of Monica, a post is intended to destroy the conversation. Other times, as in the case of Gloria, a message is posted with little meaning, or as a way of knocking on the previous poster. This behavior sets up a feeling of interaction.

The image on the right represents a similar conversation in comp.lang.c++ in a thread entitled "Summing the elements of the columns of an array." There is no notable information about the individual participants.

Note that the beginner of the conversation also ended it by saying thanks, implying no more information was necessary or wanted.

In this thread, everyone had positive participation, and added quite a bit of information, including code...
With the same formation, this is a drawing of alt.fan.britney-spears in a thread entitled "Britney is nasty" This conversation was a lot of bashing.

The following has been derived about the individuals based on that conversation:

A:
- partially through decides to defend Britney

B:
- (can't spell -your vs you're)
- (implies male)
- thinks Britney is the bomb

C:
- married to a man for 35 years
- 5 children, 3 girls, 2 boys
- thinks Britney is a whore
In addition, I made the following sketch randomly thinking about conversations back and forth. I was trying to figure out how paths follow, how color can be thought of over time, how to think about branching elements of a conversation, etc... thinking about paths in general over time.