

#### LONG PERIOD WIND **FLUCTUATIONS**

#### IN THE TROPICAL STRATOSPHERE

**by**

John Michael Wallace

Submitted to the Department of Meteorology on 22 August **1966** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of the thesis is to examine the long period variations in the atmosphere associated with the so-called "biennial oscillation" from an observational point of view, with hopes of gaining insight into the physical mechanisms involved. The variations are not assumed to be periodic; spectral and harmonic analysis techniques are not used in presenting the data.

An examination of daily wind data for the tropical stratosphere shows that the synoptic scale features, which appear to take the form of shear lines, represent truly small perturbations imbedded in a strong, slowly varying, mean zonal flow. Hence the monthly mean zonal wind is a meaningful statistic. The relatively small variation of monthly mean zonal wind with longitude in the same region suggests that zonal averaging is also a meaningful procedure.

Monthly mean, zonally averaged zonal wind data for the region between **320N** and *2005,* **100-7** mb are presented for the **general period 7/57-12/64, in** the form of time-height sections and meridional cross-sections. The features associated with the "biennial wind oscillation" emerge in considerable detail. Even subtle features appear consistently in independent data from a wide range of latitudes. The wind regimes repeat themselves at two year intervals prior to **1963,** but since that time the "period" has lengthened considerably. The downward propagation of the wind regimes is essentially synchronous at all latitudes within **200** of the equator. There is also evidence of a semi-annual variation in the winds at subtropical latitudes.

The momentum balance equation is derived **by** performing monthly and zonal averaging on the equation of motion for the zonal component. It is shown that the zonal accelerations are determined, for the most part, **by** the divergence of the horizontal eddy momentum flux and **by** the mean meridional and vertical motions. Data are presented which show that long period variations in eddy fluxes can account for the appearance of a series of alternating

 $\cdot$   $\cdot$ 

easterly and westerly wind regimes above 20 mb. It is deduced that at the equator, advection **by** mean vertical motions is responsible for the observed downward propagation. At other latitudes mean meridional motions may also be important.

It is shown that the long perlod variations in the mean zonal flow are geostrophic to well within  $1 \text{ km of the equator, and thus wind fluctuations.}$  It is tions cannot exist without corresponding temperature fluctuations. suggested that changes in the zonal wind field give rise to mean vertical motions, which, in turn, produce the temperature fluctuations. Energy considerations indicate that the reverse sequence of cause and effect is extremely unlikely. On this basis it is argued that radiation plays a dissipative role in the "biennial oscillation", rather than a causative one.

The variations in the eddy fluxes responsible for the zonal wind fluctuations in the tropics apparently extend into middle latitudes with large amplitudes, above **50** mb. Since the summer fluxes are negligible, these variations are due to year to year differences in the winter fluxes. There appears to be an overall winter to winter modulation of the intensity of the eddy fluxes and this is reflected in the poleward transports of other quantities, including ozone. Long records of ozone data indicate that there has been a tendency for a two year periodicity in the intensities of the winter seasons, particularly during the decade **1953-63.** Possible mechanisms for producing such a periodicity are discussed. It is suggested that interactions between the eddy circulations in middle latitudes and the mean zonal flow in the tropics could possibly produce the observed effects.

Various other manifestations of the "biennial oscillation" in the stratosphere and troposphere are examined. It is shown that a reported two year periodicity in temperature in the polar stratosphere results from year to year differences in the timing of the final warmings. Data on two year periodicities in zonal winds in the middle latitude stratosphere suggest different processes responsible in the two hemispheres. These effects, together with periodicities near two years in certain tropospheric parameters appear to be fundamentally related to the long period variations under investigation.

Interhemispheric differences and relations between troposphere and stratosphere are discussed. The troposphere is considered as a possible source of the long period variations. It is concluded that, although the troposphere is the energy source for the circulations of the lower stratosphere, and hence, in a manner of speaking, for any variations which occur in them, the stratosphere probably holds the key to the cause of the "biennial oscillation".

Thesis Supervisor: Reginald **E.** Newell Title: Associate Professor of Meteorology

#### Acknowledgments

It has been my pleasure to do this thesis under the guidance of Professor Reginald **E.** Newell, who has been both a conscientious advisor and a **highly** respected colleague in this work. I also appreciate the interest which Professor Victor P. Starr has shown in this study and have profited from the many discussions we have had on the subject. Dr. Robert Dickinson and Messrs. James Miller and John Kidson have also contributed helpful suggestions and criticisms.

I was relieved of many of the data processing problems in having the help of **Mr.** Charles Nason, who performed much of the data handling, Miss Judith Roxborough and Mrs. Judith Copeland, who wrote most of the necessary computer programs, and Miss Isabel Kole, who drafted the diagrams. Mr. Howard Frazier and the staff of Travelers Research Corporation provided considerable technical assistance in processing the data from the MIT library, and the staff of the MIT Planetary Circulations Project were instrumental in performing many of the computations and in typing the manuscript. In the preparation of this thesis I have relied heavily upon the assistance of all these people.

The Atomic Energy Commission provided financial support which covered the data acquisition and processing costs. These costs were minimized **by** the generous allowance of time which the MIT Computation Center made available free of charge. During the course of this work my tuition and living expenses were paid for a year **by** the Ford Foundation and for two years **by** the Fannie and John Hertz Engineering Scholarship Foundation.

-iii-

 $\dot{N}$ 

 $\cdot$ 

## TABLE OF **CONTENTS**

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{v}^{(i)}$  .

 $\bullet$ 





### LIST **OF** FIGURES

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

 $\sim 40$   $\mu$ 



# Title Page Figure







 $\langle \sigma \rangle$  .

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ 



## **LIST** OF **TABLES**



#### CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

# 1. **1** Discovery of the "Biennial Oscillation"

The explosion of Krakatoa in **1882** produced a dust cloud dense enough to cause optical and climatological effects throughout the world for a number of years. The spread of this cloud during the first few weeks of its lifetime gave scientists their first view of the wind circulations of the tropical stratosphere. "Apart from offshoots toward Japan and South Africa immediately after the explosion, the main body of the cloud moved from east to west at an average speed of **73** miles per hour, completing at least two circuits of the earth in equatorial latitudes". (Wexler **(1951)).** Von Berson's upper air studies over Africa in **1908-9** with balloons unexpectedly showed evidence of a westerly circulation in the same region. From that time, until the late 1950's the belief in the coexistence of the two opposing flow regimes prevailed. Palmer (1954) described Von Berson's westerlies as "a narrow 'thread' of steady winds whose axis lies at about 2<sup>0</sup>N and whose base lies near 20 km. The upper transition to the Krakatoa winds varies from month to month and year to year". With the advent of more regular soundings evidence on the variability of the wind structure increased. Korshover (1954) showed that the transition level between westerlies and easterlies varied between 21 and **27** km in a series of observations spanning several years. McCreary **(1959)** followed the movement of this transition level over the course of several years and found that it moved downward until the upper regime eventually replaced the lower. This led him to suggest that a dynamic view of the tropical stratospheric circulation better fitted the observations than the traditional steady state description. **A** few months later, Reed **(1960)** announced the discovery of what was to be called the biennial or **26** month oscillation in the wind structure of the tropical stratosphere.

#### **1.** 2 Comments on Previous Research

Since the time of their discovery it has usually been assumed, explicitly or implicitly, that these long period wind fluctuations are periodic, or at least quasi periodic, and the use of the language of the harmonic oscillator has become common in both observational and theoretical works on the subject. Harmonic and spectral analysis techniques have become the tools of the trade, so to speak, with amplitude, phase and period information taking precedence over untreated time series as a form of data presentation.

The use of harmonic and spectral analysis techniques has been subject to shortcomings in some cases. There is no doubt that harmonic analysis, when used correctly, can be a useful tool for obtaining amplitude

 $-2-$ 

and phase information on cycles known to be present in a data record. However, it must be used with caution in cases where periodic behavior is only suspected. Its very use involves the assumption that the periodicity actually exists, and it does yield amplitude and phase information, regardless of whether the assumption is correct. Power spectrum analysis is a more objective means of obtaining information on periodic elements in a data record, but its application to short records will not yield reproducible results unless the cycle in question is truly periodic. In addition to these shortcomings both types of analysis suffer from the fact that they are so **highly** specialized, being designed, as they are, to yield information specifically related to the periodic elements present in time records. In singling out this kind of information, they ignore all other details inherent in the data. There are times when the resulting simplification is **highly** desirable. However, in data which contain much information which has yet to be assimilated, analysis **by** these techniques alone may leave important features unnoticed.

### **1. 3** Purpose of this Thesis

ŀ

Many of the papers on this subject which have employed harmonic and/or power spectrum analysis have done so judiciously and have obtained information which is reliable and useful. However, it is the contention of the author that the traditional view of these long period varia-

 $-3-$ 

tions as a periodic phenomenon, whose period varies from one cycle to the next leaves something to be desired, and therefore it may be profitable to look at the data without any preconceived notions regarding periodicities. The purpose of this thesis is to provide an unsophisticated presentation of the data relevant to the subject with a view toward an understanding of the basic physical processes involved. Consequently, the use of the language of harmonic oscillators is avoided, except where it is possible to use it in the precise sense (e. **g.,** in relation to the annual cycle), or where its usage is necessary to refer to material in the literature. The data are presented in the simplest possible form. Twelve month running means is the most complicated type of smoothing used, and even that is avoided wherever possible.

## **1.** 4 Preview of the Subject Material

Chapter II provides some background material on the day to day variations of the wind in the tropical stratosphere. This brief excursion from the main theme of the thesis is justified **by** the need to investigate **(1)** to what extent monthly means may be taken to represent the situation on individual days within the month and (2) the type of disturbances which are responsible for the eddy transports of momentum in this region. The treatment is intended only as a pilot study of the daily wind data; a more detailed study would have to deal with some serious problems from the

 $-4-$ 

standpoint of data availability.

Ļ

Having obtained information on these items, the discussion returns to the main theme of the thesis. The long period wind variations of the tropical stratosphere are considered in Chapter III. After a detailed presentation of the mean monthly zonal wind data of the region, the variations are examined from the standpoint of the requirements of the momentum budget, geostrophic balance and the heat budget, respectively. It is found that the simultaneous satisfaction of these requirements can be obtained, given a specified field of mean meridional motions and appropriate values of the relevant radiative parameters. Energy considerations suggest that long period variations in the eddy fluxes of momentum are the immediate cause of the observed variations of wind and temperature in the tropics.

The quest for a cause of the long period variations in the momentum fluxes leads to an investigation of the seasonal circulations of the stratosphere at middle latitudes in Chapter IV. It is found that at least in the northern hemisphere, the winter seasons exhibit year to year differences in the intensity of eddy activity. The nature, and possible causes of this phenomenon are discussed and it is concluded that the atmosphere is capable of producing the observed effects through interactions between the mean and eddy circulations.

**-5-**

Chapter V contains a survey of various other parameters outside the tropical stratosphere which show signs of being connected with the so called **26** month oscillation. The multiplicity of these parameters precludes any possibility of an all-embracing mechanism which could be called upon to account for all of them. However, wherever possible suggestions are made as to possible linkages between the more closely related phenomena.

The concluding chapter (VI) discusses certain questions concerning the global nature of this phenomenon, such as the relationship between northern and southern hemispheres and between troposphere and stratosphere, and the ultimate cause of long period variations in the atmosphere.

## CHAPTER II

## **SYNOPTIC SCALE** DISTURBANCES IN THE TROPICS

Although the tropical stratosphere has been the subject of considerable attention with regard to long period wind fluctuations, it has been almost entirely neglected as far as synoptic scale disturbances are concerned. This neglect is probably not so much due to oversight as simple lack of interest. The weak, barely noticeable disturbances of this region are far less intriguing than the distinctive and sometimes spectacular features of the polar vortices at higher latitudes. And to a student of the tropics, the variety of phenomena in the lower troposphere which exert a far more direct influence on man's environment provides a virtually limitless field for research. Even if there were more reason to be interested in the tropical stratosphere lack of data presents an almost insurmountable obstacle to any detailed synoptic study. From Fig. **A,** which shows the location of all the northern hemisphere stations for which data was available for this study it is clear that with the exception of the West Indies and western Pacific, the tropics are almost entirely devoid of stratospheric data. Moreover, the disturbances under consideration are so weak that observational inaccuracies and mesoscale phenomena create a noise level high enough to distort and mask many of

**-7-**



Figure **A** The distribution of northern hemisphere stations used in this study. The latitude bands into which the stations were grouped are denoted **by** Roman numerals. Blank areas denote regions with no data.

the synoptic scale features, an effect which is particularly troublesome in the absence of a dense station network. Unless the satellite program makes a real contribution to the acquisition of stratospheric data there is not likely to be any significant improvement in this situation within the forseeable future.

For reasons stated in the introduction this chapter will concern itself with these synoptic scale perturbations. Because of the very major difficulties outlined above, and since this chapter is, in a sense, only background material for the main part of the work to follow, only a rather brief treatment of the subject will be given here. The scarcity of papers on this topic in the literature requires that the discussion be based main**ly** upon data presented herein.

## 2. **1** Presentation of Daily Wind Data

t.

Time height sections in the **125-10** mb layer were prepared for the zonal and meridional wind components computed directly from daily and sometimes twice daily soundings. In all cases the meridional sections fail to show any coherent structure that is consistent from station to station, which suggests that the noise level is too high to permit an effective representation **by** this method. The zonal component, on the other hand, shows distinct large scale features which stand out above the noise level.

**-9-**

Fig. 2. **1** shows zonal wind sections based on twice daily soundings at Balboa and San Juan. Despite the rather wide geographical separation of the stations, the same features are evident in both sections. The large space scale of these disturbances and the fairly long time scale suggests that a judiciouschoice of averaging procedures can be applied to the data to reduce the noise level without smoothing out the features under consideration. Accordingly, the data for all the subsequent sections appearing in this chapter were processed in the following manner:

(a) Whenever two or more observations were available at a station on the same day they were averaged together to form a mean for that day

**(b)** Daily means from the stations indicated on the sections were averaged together to form daily means for the station group.

In order to study the synoptic scale fluctuations in the zonal wind as a function of latitude and season, stations in two regions were examined during one winter month (January, 1964) and one summer month (July, 1964). The station groups are located **by** necessity, in the two regions of the best data coverage: The West Indies and the western Pacific. In both areas enough data was available to divide the stations into two subgroups; one centered around  $10^{\circ}$ N and the other near  $20^{\circ}$ N.

Figure 2. 2 contains the winter section for the West Indies region.

**-10-**







Figure 2.2 Time-height sections of zonal wind for two station groups in the West Indies, January, 1964. Solid lines are at intervals of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure **2.3** Time-height sections of zonal wind for two station groups in the western Pacific, January, 1964. Solid lines are at intervals of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 2.4 Time-height sections of zonal wind for two station groups in the West Indies, July, 1964. Solid lines are at intervals of 10  $m \sec^{-1}$ .



Figure **2.5** Time-height sections of zonal wind for two station groups in the western Pacific, July, 1964.  $\mathbf{S}^{1}$  lines are at intervals of  $10 \text{ m}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>

It is reassuring to find largely the same features as appear on the individual sections for Balboa and San Juan in Fig. 2. **1,** but with better resolution. The most marked difference between the sections at different latitudes is in the amount of vertical shear. The western Pacific winter sections in Fig. 2. **3** bear the same relationship to one another, the shear also being larger at the lower latitude. The summer sections (Figs. 2. 4 and 2. **5)** differ from the winter sections in that the disturbances are weaker and more sporadic. Aside from these differences the synoptic patterns in all eight sections are quite similar, displaying the common properties:

**1. A** time scale in the order of one week

2. **<sup>A</sup>**horizontal extent of at least **100** in latitude, **300** in longitude

**3. A** variable range in the vertical. Some disturbances appear to extend from the upper troposphere to the **10** mb level while others are limited to the upper or lower parts of the layer.

4. Little, if any, phase dependence upon latitude or height. (The resolution of the sections is not fine enough to preclude the possibility of a phase lag or a day or two between the lower and higher latitudes).

**5.** Larger variations of the zonal wind component than of the meridional wind component.

The smallness of the meridional component imposes some limitations on the form which the disturbances may take. It would seem that

the wavelike disturbances observed at middle latitudes would be ruled out **by** such restrictions. The absence of strong meridional shears in the mean winds (see Fig. **3.** 4) precludes the possibility that small meanders in a narrow jet could cause variations in the zonal wind without disturbing the meridional wind field. **A** more likely, and perhaps the only possible form that the disturbances could take would be that of a shear line, similar, in some respects, to those observed in the tropical troposphere. Riehl and Higgs **(1960)** found that such a model best described an individual disturbance over the West Indies which they analyzed in some detail. Fig. 2. **6** shows a sample of their map analyses. Such disturbances would undoubtedly be capable of transporting momentum, the direction of the transport being determined **by** the orientation of the shear line.

## 2. 2 Monthly Statistics

Another measure of the short term variability of the wind is the monthly statistics, particularly the temporal standard deviations of the zonal and meridional wind components. These statistics have been computed, and a detailed presentation of the results is in preparation. Some of the data on the meridional wind component is already available in published form (Newell, Wallace and Mahoney **(1966)). A** brief summary of the data for both components is given in Table 2. **1** which shows the

**-17-**



Winds at **110,000** ft and 10-mb temperatures, **31** January **1960.**



Successive positions of equatorial shearlines **28** to **31** January **1960** and rates of mean 24-hr displacement (knots).

(After Riehl and Higgs **(1960) ).** Figure 2. **6.**

# Table 2. **1.** Temporal standard deviations of the wind components and temperature as a function

of latitude, height and season.

 $\lambda$ 



 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 

seasonal means for a four year period **(5/58-4/62)** as a function of latitude, height and season. The following is a brief review of these in light of the model deduced above from a limited inspection of daily data.

**1.** The standard deviation of the zonal wind component is larger than that of the meridional component at low latitudes **by** about a ratio of **3:2.** This is perhaps not quite as large as would be expected from the time height sections. It is possible that the instrumental and mesoscale<sup>\*</sup> noise level places a lower limit on the values of standard deviations.

2. The standard deviations of both components are largest during the winter seasons; particularly at higher latitudes. During the winter they increase with latitude, while during the summer they do the opposite.

**3.** At **50** mb and above, typical values for the standard deviation of the zonal component are in the range of  $4-5$  m sec<sup>-1</sup>; for the meridional component **3** m sec-1. The former figure agrees with the time-height sections.

4. Variability decreases from **100** to **50** mb, and increases with height above **50** mb, as in the sections.

\*Some irregularities in the wind soundings at these levels appear to be real features. For a discussion of these phenomena, see Newell, Mahoney and Lenhard **(1966).**

### CHAPTER III

# THE WIND **AND** TEMPERATURE VARIATIONS **IN** THE TROPICAL STRATOSPHERE

As far as this study is concerned, the most important result of the examination of daily data carried out in the previous chapter is that the monthly mean wind profile is a close approximation to the instantanious wind profile on a given day, and conversely, that the average of the wind profiles for several scattered days within a month yields an accurate estimate of the monthly mean. In other words, the monthly mean zonal wind is a meaningful and stable statistic for the region of the atmosphere under consideration. This fact is the basis for the extensive use of monthly mean wind data in this chapter.

## **3. 1** The Question of Zonal Averaging

The same criteria may be applied to the process of zonal averaging to determine whether it will prove useful in further reduction of the data. Belmont and Dartt (1964) have analyzed time-height sections for a number of tropical and subtropical stations. Their results show a strong similarity between sections for stations at neighboring latitudes, regardless of longitude. This suggests that despite the very poor longitudinal distribution of stations with available data, it may be possible to

derive meaningful zonal averages. This is equivalent to saying that the eddy disturbances appearing on mean monthly maps are small compared to the mean zonal flow.

On this basis, zonal averaging was applied to the data with hopes that the appearance of the resulting sections would further serve to justify the validity of this procedure. Zonal means were formed **by** apportioning the stations into latitude belts. (see the Appendix for a list of stations in each belt). In the averaging, stations were weighted **by** the number of observations which they comprise. This eliminates the possibility of giving undue weight to a station with very few observations. The number of observations at individual stations varies considerably from month to month and from level to level. Moreover, not all stations report at the same levels, or from the same period of record. Hence the values at different points in the sections are based on different station distributions. In view of this heterogeneity of the data, the smoothness of the sections in Fig. **3. 1** and **3.** 2 is perhaps the best evidence of the validity of the assumption of zonal symmetry. Only poleward of **200** and below **80** mb was any smoothing required in piecing together data from different longitudes.

## **3.** 2 Time-height Sections

Time-height sections as a method of presentation of zonal wind

-22-











Figure 3.1(c) Time-height sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles as indicated. Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies.
data have been employed previously **by** Reed **(1961)** and Belmont and Dartt (1964) for individual stations. The justification for their use in the present work is to take advantage of the more extended coverage and improved resolution which the zonally averaged data affords, and to provide a more detailed account of the variation of the wind structure with latitude. **A** comprehensive view of the behavior of the zonal wind as a function of latitude, height and time will serve as an historical account of the winds in this region for an extended time period and as a convenient starting point for the discussion of the long period fluctuations with which this thesis is primarily concerned. The sections span the period from the beginning of the IGY to the most recent time for which data is available.

The gross features of the biennial oscillation, such as its amplitude and phase distribution and its combination with the annual cycle to produce the effects observed in subtropical latitudes, have been well documented in previous works, (Reed, (1964a), Belmont and Dartt (1964) and no attempt is made to reiterate them here. Emphasis is placed upon some of the more subtle features which are evident in the time-height sections presented herein.

**1.** The superposition of annual and biennial cycles presents only an approximate description of the zonal wind behavior in this region. Each

**-26-**

individual regime of easterlies or westerlies appears to have its own peculiar characteristics, which are not accidental features of the data sample, but real physical occurrences. For example, the strong easterly regime of **1962-63** and its rapid disappearance during late **1963** are evident in all the sections within **200** of the equator. Even short period features cover a wide range of latitude. For example, the double maximum in the westerlies during the **1960-61** northern hemisphere winter is discernible as far south as **80N** and the strong westerlies which occurred prior to the January **1963** warming and their subsequent disappearance are features which actually appear to extend across the equator at high levels.

2. One feature common to all wind regimes is the tendency for westerlies<sup>th</sup> to propagate downward more rapidly than easterlies. This is best seen close to the equator where the annual cycle is not present. This difference in propagation rate causes easterly regimes to become progressively flattened in the vertical as the move downward.

**3.** At very low latitudes the wind regimes prior to the beginning of **1963** repeat themselves at intervals of very close to two years. Since **1963,** the duration of the regimes has been decidedly longer; so much so that **by 1965** there are easterlies at levels where westerlies would be expected if the two year periodicity had continued.

The annual cycle is clearly the dominant feature in all sections the lower or leading edge of a westerly regime

\*

**-27-**

more than 10<sup>°</sup> of latitude from the equator. Its presence obscures, to a large extent, the other long period features, particularly at subtropical latitudes. Reed (1964a) and Belmont and Dartt (1964) have both attempted to remove the annual component from the data; Reed **by** a harmonic analysis of the annual and **"26** month" cycles, and Belmont and Dartt **by** subtracting what they estimated to be the annual cycle from the time-height sections for several stations. Both studies showed the amplitude of the long period features to be decreasing with latitude so as to be barely detectable at  $20^{\circ}$ , and the time sequence of these features, (i. **e.,** "The phase of the biennial or 26 month component") to be basically independent of latitude.

The data available in the present study provides an opportunity to remove the annual component **by** another method: **by** averaging pairs of sections at corresponding latitudes in the northern and southern hemispheres. Figure **3.** 2 shows the results of applying this procedure to the sections at 8<sup>°</sup> and 20<sup>°</sup>, together with the section for 3<sup>°</sup>S which represents the long period variations near the equator.

The similarity between the **80** section and the equatorial section, even in some of the more subtle details is quite remarkable. That the two sections with completely independent data should show essentially the same features is further proof of the broad extent of the wind variations under consideration and the ability of the data to represent them accurately and in considerable detail with this form of analysis.



Figure **3.2** Time-height sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles as indicated. The lower two sections are the averaged of pairs **of** sections in Figure 3.1. Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies. December values are placed on tie marks.

The major differences between the equatorial and **80** sections are (a) the decreased amplitude of the features in the **80** section which confirms the results of Reed (1964a) and Belmont and Dartt (1964) and **(b)** a slightly more westerly long term mean in the equatorial section, an effect which has also been previously noted (Reed (1964a)).

The section for  $20^{\circ}$  is more irregular, but it is still evidently quite strongly related to the lower latitude sections. In this respect it also confirms the findings of the earlier studies. An unexpected feature noticeable in this section is the suggestion of a semi annual periodicity with easterly maxima in February and August. In retrospect, the lower latitude sections also show some evidence of the same cycle at the highest levels, particularly toward the end of the record where **7** and **10** mb data are more abundant.

The appearance of a semi-annual oscillation in winds above **10** mb in the tropical stratosphere is not without precedent **;** Reed **(1965)** suggested the existence of such a cycle on the basis of the rocket data from Ascension Island shown in Fig. **3. 3.** In this figure the cycle is detectable at the **10** mb level **(32** km) and its amplitude increases with height above that level. The phase at **32** km matches well with that at the **10** mb level in Fig. **3.** 2. Thus the results of the present study may be interpreted as indicating an extension of Reed's semi-annual cycle to lower levels in the subtropics.



Figure 3. 3 Zonal wind velocity at Ascension Island. Solid circles: individual observations; open circles: monthly means. Curves were drawn objectively by summing the first, second and fourth harmonics obtained from harmonic analyses of the monthly means. (After Reed (1965))

Although it is tempting to speculate on the nature and cause of this semi-annual cycle, it will be difficult to do justice to the subject until rocket data provide a much more comprehensive view of its behavior at high levels in both hemispheres over an extended time period. The present study will concern itself primarily with the longer period features.

## **3. 3** Meridional Cross Sections

In order to provide an instantaneous picture of the wind structure at regular intervals, meridional cross sections have been prepared using the same data as in the time-height sections for alternate months from July **1957** to November, **1963.** These are shown in Fig. **3.** 4.

This sequence supports the contention that the long period wind variations repeat themselves at intervals of two year prior to **1963.** The similarity between sections two years apart during that period is quite strongly evident. It is possible to trace the life history of successive regimes in the sections as they propagate downward, which shows that they maintain their identity despite the seasonal reversals associated with the annual cycle.

The slow, systematic evolution of the zonal wind structure of the tropical stratosphere as seen in the time-height sections and the meridional

**-32-**







Figure 3.4(b) Meridional cross sections **of** zonal wind averaged around latitude circles for months indicated. Solid lines are placed at increments of **10** m sec . Shaded areas are westerlies.

 $-34-$ 



Figure 3.4(c) Meridional cross sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles for months indicated. Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies.



Figure 3.4(d) Meridional cross sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles for months indicated. Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies.



Figure 3.4(e) Meridional cross sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles for months indicated. Solid lines are placed at ncrements of 10 m sec<sup>-</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies.



Figure **3.4(f)** Meridional cross sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles for months indicated. Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies.

 $-38-$ 



Figure **3.4(g)** Meridional cross sections of zonal wind averaged around latitude circles for months indicated. Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies.

 $-39-$ 

cross sections is suggestive of the motions of an extensive, axially symmetric vortex which is constantly adjusting itself in order to remain in equilibrium with its surroundings. This is a region where the non-symmetric disturbances represent truly small perturbations in a mean flow field which is undergoing change on a time scale much longer than the lifetime of the individual disturbances.

The remainder of this chapter will be devoted to examining the requirements which the global momentum and heat balances place upon this vortex, and the field of mean meridional motions which they induce.

#### Table **3. 1.** List **of Symbols**

- $\lambda$  = longitude in degrees
- $\varphi$  = latitude in degrees
- $\dot{\tau}$  = the radial coordinate with origin at the center of the earth
- *<sup>X</sup>***=** the vertical coordinate

 $\tau$  = time

- **<sup>L</sup>=** horizontal velocity component directed along latitude circles
- Y **=** horizontal velocity component directed along meridians

## List of Symbols (cont)

- *pr =* vertical velocity component
- . **=** the earth's angular velocity
	- **0- =** the earth's radius
	- $\mathcal{R}$  = the gas constant
	- $C_{p}$  = the specific heat of air at constant pressure
	- $\oint$  = the Coriolis parameter  $(2\mathbf{\Omega} \sin \mathbf{\varphi})$
	- **=** the acceleration of gravity

 $\rho$  = density

- **<sup>=</sup>**pressure
- **<sup>4</sup>=** stability parameter **=** rate of increase of potential temperature with height
- $\mathbf{F}$  = the frictional force per unit volume

 $H =$  time rate of temperature change due to radiative effects  $\mathbf{r}_i \int_{\mathbf{t}_i}$  ()d $\mathbf{t}$  = time average over one month  $(j' = ( ) - \overline{( )}$  = deviation from time average  $[(\lambda)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (\lambda)^{d\lambda} =$  zonal average  $(\hat{a}^* = (a - \hat{b})$  = deviation from a zonal average  $\omega$  = time rate of change of pressure following a parcel

### **3.** 4 The Momentum Budget

(a) Derivation of the momentum balance equation

The equation governing the balance of westerly momentum may be derived from the zonal equation of motion and the equation of continuity in the following manner:

the zonal equation of motion,

$$
\frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho \kappa \cos \gamma \partial \lambda} + f v + F_{\lambda} - 2 \Omega \cos \gamma w + \frac{uv}{T} \tan \gamma - \frac{u w}{T}
$$
 (1)

may be expanded and multiplied through **by** density to give

$$
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau \cos \beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} + \rho f v + \rho F_{\lambda} - 2 \Omega \cos \gamma \rho w + \rho \frac{\mu v}{\tau} \tan \gamma
$$
  
-  $\rho \frac{\mu w}{\tau} - \frac{\rho v}{\tau} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\rho u}{\tau \cos \gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda} - \rho w \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}$  (2)

The last five terms on the right may be further expanded in the forms

$$
-\frac{\rho u}{T} \frac{uv}{r} - \rho u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = -\frac{\rho u}{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r u = -\frac{1}{T^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r^3 \rho u + \frac{u}{T^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \rho w
$$
 (3)

$$
\frac{\rho\mu\nu}{\tau}\tan\beta - \frac{\rho\nu}{\tau}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} = -\frac{\rho\nu}{\tau\cos\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\arccos\beta = -\frac{1}{\tau\cos\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\rho\arccos\beta + \frac{u}{\tau\cos\beta\gamma}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \rho}
$$

$$
-\frac{\rho u}{\rho \cos \rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{1}{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) + \frac{u}{1} \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial \lambda}
$$
(3)

The last terms on the right in these three expressions, taken together, represent the divergence of the density weighted velocity vector in spherical coordinates, times the zonal wind. Making use of **(3)** together with the continuity equation, it is possible to write (2) in the form

$$
\frac{3}{2t} \rho u = -\frac{1}{t \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} + \rho f v + \rho f_{\lambda} - 2 \Omega \cos \varphi w
$$
  

$$
-\frac{1}{t \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \rho u w - \frac{1}{t \cos^2 \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \rho u v \cos^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{t \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2)
$$
 (4)

At this point several useful simplifications may be introduced.

(1) The radial coordinate  $\mathcal{F}$  may be regarded as a constant  $\mathcal{L}$ . equal to the earth's radius, wherever it is used as a multiplicative factor. Where used in a differential operator it may be replaced by  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ , the height above the earth's surface.

(2) The variations of density in space and time at a given level are much smaller than variations in the wind components. Therefore,

 $\rho$  may be treated as a function of  $\bar{z}$  only. With these assumptions, (4) becomes

$$
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\alpha \cos \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \lambda} + \rho f v + \rho f \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial \Omega \cos \theta}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\alpha \cos \rho} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\alpha \cos
$$

Next, the averaging processes, first over a month and then around the latitude circle, may be applied to **(5)** which then becomes, after dividing through **by** the density,

$$
\frac{\partial [x]}{\partial t} = f[\overline{v}] + [\overline{F}_s] - 2 \Omega \cos P[\overline{w}] - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{2}{\theta \epsilon} \rho \left\{ [\overline{u}][\overline{w}] + [\overline{u}^* \overline{w}^*] + [\overline{u}^* \overline{w}^*] \right\}
$$
\n(6)  
\n
$$
-\frac{1}{\alpha \cos^2 P} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \cos^2 P \left\{ [\overline{u}][\overline{v}] + [\overline{u}^* \overline{v}^*] + [\overline{u}^* \overline{v}^*] \right\}
$$

. . . . .

the terms with derivatives with respect to longitude having vanished in the zonal average.

The first term in each of the braces represents the effects of mean meridional motions. These may be expanded and combined in the form

$$
-\left[\overline{w}\right] \frac{\partial \left[\overline{u}\right]}{\partial \overline{z}} - \frac{\left[\overline{v}\right]}{a \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial \left[\overline{u}\right]}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\left[\overline{u}\right]}{\rho} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \rho \left[\overline{w}\right] - \frac{1}{a \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \rho \left[\overline{v}\right] \cos \varphi \right\}
$$

where the expression in braces vanishes from continuity considerations.

The frictional term represents the effects of all eddies of too small a time scale to be measurable with once daily observations. Since the momentum balance will be applied to latitudinal strips whose meridional dimension is two orders of magnitude larger than their vertical dimension and since the disturbances which are responsible for eddy viscosity are not likely to be as quasi-horizontal as this, it will be assumed that the main effect of friction is to exchange zonal momentum in the vertical **by** means of a correlation between zonal and vertical motions. This is basically the same mechanism **by** which momentum is exchanged in the vertical **by** synoptic scale eddies. Since neither term can be measured directly it is convenient to combine the two effects in the vertical flux term which will henceforth be understood to represent the effects of both synoptic and subsynoptic scale eddies.

The zonally averaged equation governing the balance of westerly

momentum may then be written as

$$
\frac{2\lceil \overline{u} \rceil}{2\overline{v}} = -\frac{1}{a\cos^2\varphi} \frac{3}{\partial \varphi} \cos^2\varphi \left\{ \left[ \overline{u}^T \overline{v}^T \right] + \left[ \overline{u}^2 \overline{v}^2 \right] \right\}
$$
\n(7)  
\n
$$
+ \left( 5 - \frac{1}{a\cos^2\varphi} \frac{9}{2\overline{\varphi}} \left[ \overline{u}^T \right] \cos\varphi \right) \left[ \overline{v}^T \right] - \frac{9}{2\overline{\varphi}^T} \left[ \overline{u}^T \right]
$$
\n(9)  
\n
$$
+ \left( 5 - \frac{1}{a\cos\varphi} \frac{9}{2\overline{\varphi}} \left[ \overline{u}^T \right] \cos\varphi \right) \left[ \overline{v}^T \right] - \frac{3}{2\overline{\varphi}^T} \left[ \overline{u}^T \right] \left[ \overline{u}^T \right]
$$
\n(1)  
\n(2)  
\n(3)  
\n(4)  
\n(5)  
\n
$$
- 2\Omega \cos\varphi \left[ \overline{u}^T \right] - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{3}{2\overline{\varphi}} \rho \left\{ \left[ \overline{u}^T \overline{u}^T \right] + \left[ \overline{u}^* \overline{u}^* \right] \right\}
$$

where the first term on the right represents the convergence of the horizontal transport of westerly momentum **by** transient and standing eddies, respectively, the second, third and fourth terms give the effects of the mean motions, and the final term gives the convergence in the vertical transport of westerly momentum **by** all scales of eddies.

# **(b)** Simplifications resulting from scaling considerations

Before discussing the momentum budget in general it is convenient to assess the importance of the last two terms in the above equation. The fourth term on the right 'hand side represents the advection of the earth's angular momentum **by** the mean vertical motions. It can be seen by comparing typical magnitudes of the coefficients of  $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$  in terms **(3)** and (4) that this effect is almost two orders smaller than that of the previous term and thus it can be neglected.

Term **(5)** represents the divergence of the vertical flux of momentum **by** all scales of eddies and includes frictional effects. Dickinson

-45-

**(1962)** has computed the contribution of synoptic scale eddies to this term, using adiabatically computed vertical velocities at middle latitudes. It was found that the vertical flux divergences associated with this scale of motion are about half an order of magnitude smaller than the horizontal divergences of term **(1),** and that the momentum transports are, in general, up gradient. It is possible that less organized, smaller scale motions might counteract this effect **by** transporting momentum down the gradient.

At very low latitudes the sections show significant vertical transports of momentum associated with the downward propagation of successive easterly and westerly wind regimes. However there are several difficulties involved in attempting to attribute this exchange to a vertical diffusion process.

**(1)** The very nature of the diffusion process implies a change in strength of the gradients down or up which the mixing takes place. It is evident from the time-height section for  $3^{0}$ S in Fig. 3. 1(b) that regions of strong vertical zonal wind gradient propagate downwards from 20 mb to **50** mb without any substantial diminution of strength. Below **50** mb, gradients tend to diminish with further downward propagation, which suggests that down gradient diffusion may be of importance there.

(2) Measurements of the vertical movements of trace substances in the tropical stratosphere **by** Friend et al **(1961),** suggest an eddy diffusion coefficient in the order of  $10^3$   $\text{cm}^2 \text{sec}^{-1}$ . If this value is applied to the

-46-

diffusion of momentum it is found to be almost an order of magnitude too small to account for the observed zonal accelerations at **50** mb and above.

Thus, with the possible exception of the region below **50** mb it appears reasonable to neglect the role of eddy processes in the vertical exchange of momentum associated with the long period wind fluctuations the tropics. With this assumption the right hand side of the momentum equation reduces to the first three terms. Term **(1)** represents the horizontal divergence of eddy momentum transports. Reed et al. **(1961)** has shown that this is the only mechanism capable of introducing westerly momentum into the equatorial region. Similarly it is the only mechanism capable of removing westerly momentum from the tropical region as a whole. It must therefore be responsible for all changes in vertically integrated westerly momentum which are symmetric about the equator. This last condition excludes the annual cycle.

(c) Transient eddies

Tucker (1964, **1965)** has already presented evidence of variations in the transport of westerly momentum **by** transient eddies. The large data sample available in the present study provided a means of describing this phenomenon in more detail. Figure **3. 5** shows 12 month running means of  $\left[\overline{\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{v}'}\right]$  for various latitude bands and levels. The following features are to be noted:

**1.** There do indeed appear to be long period variations in the eddy

-47-



e 3.5 12 month running means of momentum transport by transient eddies  $\boxed{u'v'}$  avera around latitude circles as indicated for five levels between 100 and 15 mb in the norther hemisphere. Units are in m<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-2</sup>. Means are centered on the dates indicated. Dece

momentum transports and their latitudinal divergence above **30** mb in the tropics and above **50** mb at higher latitudes. These are real features which show up in many basically independent sets of data at many different latitudes and levels.

2. Below **30** mb the data give little or no evidence for any definite year to year variation in the momentum transports at low latitudes.

**3.** The oscillation in momentum transports is practically simultaneous at all levels and latitudes where it appears.

These results will be discussed in more detail in section 4. 2a.

Because of its relatively large inertia the tropical stratosphere responds to the momentum transports accumulated over a period of months rather than to the individual disturbances which produce the transports. This unique property of this region of the atmosphere is responsible for the smoothness of the time-height sections. At 20 mb and above, the variations in the convergence of the transport of westerly momentum are almost of sufficient size<sup> $*$ </sup> and occur at the proper times to account for the observed long period zonal accelerations. However, this mechanism

\*From Fig. **3.** 4 it appears that the momentum transports increase from small values at the equator to alternating positive and negative values with an amplitude in the order of 2 m<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-2</sup> at 20<sup>o</sup>N. This produces convergences and divergences in the order of 10<sup>-6</sup> m sec<sup>-2</sup>. Typical zonal accelerations as deduced from Fig. **3. lb** are in the order of **5** m sec<sup>-1</sup> mo<sup>-1</sup> and extreme values reach 10 m sec mo<sup>-1</sup> during late 1963. In units comparable with the flux divergences, these correspond to 2 and  $4 \times 10^{-6}$  m sec<sup>-2</sup>, respectively.

cannot explain the propagation of the same regimes into the lower stratosphere, since the observed transports fail to exhibit any year to year differences in the tropics below **30** mb and there is no evidence of the phase shift in the vertical that is observed in the zonal winds. Thus it appears that some type of mean motion is also necessary to explain the observed wind field.

**(d)** Standing eddies

It is not possible to make a direct estimate of the contribution of standing eddies to the transport of momentum at low latitudes because the station distribution is far too sparse to permit any representation of the monthly mean winds around an entire latitude circle. However there is some indirect evidence regarding their importance as compared with transient eddies.

As seen in Table 3.2, typical station values of  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  for a given month rarely deviate from zero by more than  $2 \text{ m sec}^{-1}$  at these latitudes and more typical values are less than a meter per second. This suggests that the standard deviation of the meridional component in the standing eddies is smaller, **by** at least a factor of two, than it is in the transient eddies. The time-height sections provide some idea of the variability of the monthly mean zonal component along a latitude circle. The ease with which data from different longitudes could be pieced together, and the apparent reliability of the analyses suggests a standard deviation of





1964 for selected tropical stations. Units in m  $sec^{-1}$ .

 $\bar{.}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

**-51-**

considerably less than the value of 5 m sec<sup>-1</sup> which is typical of transient eddies. If these estimates are reasonable, it would seem that standing eddies are of secondary importance in the transport of momentum unless, for some reason, there is a very high correlation between  $\vec{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$  and  $\vec{\boldsymbol{v}}$ .

At higher latitudes in the winter hemisphere the situation is quite the reverse; it will be necessary to consider standing eddies again in the discussion of the hemispheric circulations in the next chapter. (Sec. 4. **2b).**

(e) Mean motions

Before considering the terms in the momentum balance which involve mean motions, it would be well to consider whether there is any limit on the size of mean motions which this region of the atmosphere can tolerate. It is apparent from Fig. **3. 1** that typical zonal accelerations in this region range from 5  $\mathrm{m\ sec}^{-1}\ \mathrm{mo}^{-1}$  at the equator to perhaps three times that value at **200** latitude; in more standard units, a range of **2-6** x **10** m sec<sup>-2</sup>. The momentum flux data in Fig. 3.5 suggest divergences ranging from 1  $m^2$  sec<sup>-2</sup> per degree of latitude near the equator to perhaps three times that value at  $20^{\circ}$ N. In standard units, these values correspond to  $1-3 \times 10^{-6}$  m sec<sup>-2</sup>, which is slightly smaller, but roughly the same order of magnitude as the zonal accelerations. If equation **(7)** is to be satisfied, the mean motion terms cannot be much larger than this unless they tend to compensate each other. Since continuity must be satisfied **by** the horizontal and vertical components of the mean motions, it is unlikely that such compensation will be a general rule. The Coriolis parameter is about 2.5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> at 10<sup>o</sup> and 5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> at 20<sup>o</sup> of latitude, which limits the mean meridional motions to values in the order of a tenth of a meter per second. Because of continuity, this also implies certain restrictions on vertical motions, which will be discussed below.

The second term on the right hand side of equation **(7)** represents the effects of mean meridional motions. Dickinson **(1962)** has pointed out that this is the only term which could be large enough to account for the seasonal wind reversals evident at subtropical latitudes in Figs. **3. 1** and **3.** 4. (He estimated that a mean drift of about **5** cm sec **1** from the spring hemisphere into the autumn hemisphere could bring about the observed accelerations. This would amount to a displacement amplitude of the order of a few degrees of latitude over the course of a year). However, it can be shown that within a degree of the equator, where the Coriolis parameter and the latitudinal gradients of the zonal wind are both very small, the magnitude of this term is small compared with the observed zonal wind accelerations. At  $1^{\circ}$  of latitude  $\int \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ sec<sup>-1</sup> and  $[\vec{v}] \sim 0.1$  m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Thus the Coriolis accelerations are in the order of  $.25 \times 10^{-6}$  m sec<sup>-1</sup>, which is an order of magnitude smaller than the observed accelerations. Fig. **3.** 4 suggests that the meridional

**-53-**

gradient of zonal wind is in the order of 1 m sec<sup>-1</sup> per degree of latitude near the equator or  $10^{-6}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> which is even smaller than the Coriolis parameter at **10.** Thus **by** the process of elimination, it appears that the third term, representing the advection of momentum **by** mean vertical motions must be responsible for the downward propagation of the wind regimes at the equator. This is essentially the same result as Tucker (1964) arrived at in his more theoretical formulation. This means that the slopes of the isotachs in the time-height section for  $3^{0}S$  (Fig. 3.1(b)) should give a good representation of the vertical motion field over the equator between about 20 and **50** mb. An inspection of Fig. **3. 1(b)** suggests a mean downward drift of a little less than **1** km/mo or about **. 03** cm sec<sup>-1</sup>. This method of inferring vertical motions is not applicable more than a degree or two away from the equator where mean meridional motions are also capable of effecting an exchange of momentum in the vertical.

The steeper descent rate of westerlies than easterlies at the equator, as mentioned in the previous section, implies that the mean downward motion is enhanced where the vertical wind shear is positive, and diminished where it is negative. It follows from continuity that the associated meridional motions would be adjusted **by** this differential descent rate in such a way as to effect a vertical exchange of momentum

in the same sense as the vertical motions. For example, if at the equator westerlies are replacing easterlies at some level, and downward motion is stronger than usual there, then at a slightly higher latitude, the flow is more equatorward than usual above this level, and more poleward than usual below. Coriolis torques are generating easterlies above and westerlies below, which has the same effect as a downward transport of westerly momentum at the higher latitude. Such a mechanism seems more likely to be responsible for the downward propagation of the the oscillation at subtropical latitudes than the advection of momentum **by** a mean downward drift, since as Tucker (1964) has shown, the mean meridional motions associated with a uniform mean downward drift within  $20^{\circ}$  of the equator of the size thus required would be in the order of  $50 \text{ cm sec}^{-1}$  at  $20^\circ$ . This would be large enough to wreak havoc with the momentum budget at these latitudes.

#### **3. 5** The Temperature Field and its Relation to the Wind Field

(a) Geostrophic balance at low latitudes

It has long been a recognized fact that the geostrophic equation is not valid for synoptic scale disturbances at low latitudes. However, the motions under investigation may be geostrophic to within a very short distance of the equator because of their very long time scale. To investigate this possibility it is necessary to use the equation of motion for

the meridional component.

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho a} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \varphi} - u \sin \varphi (2\Omega + \frac{u}{a \cos \varphi}) - \frac{u \rho v}{a} + F_1
$$
 (8)

where a  $\sim 6.4 \times 10^6$  m  $2\Omega \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>  $\mu \sim 10 \text{ m sec}^{-1}$ 

For these low latitudes,  $\cos \gamma \sim 1$  and  $\sin \gamma \sim \gamma/\epsilon$ 

where **y** is the distance from the equator.

It is readily seen that 2**2** >>  $\frac{1}{4}$  osy, and therefore the second term in parentheses may be dropped. The equation is then averaged, first over a month and then around a latitude circle. With the result

$$
\frac{\partial[\overline{v}]}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \overline{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \right] - \mathbf{f}[\overline{u}] - \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \overline{u} \cdot \overline{u} \right] + \left[ \overline{u} \cdot \overline{v} \cdot \overline{v} \right] + \left[ \overline{u} \cdot \overline{v} \cdot \overline{v} \right] \right]
$$
(9)

Now an order of magnitude estimate can be placed on each of the terms except the pressure gradient force:

**cfif**/**4t** may be approximated by  $\frac{df}{dt}$  for these very slow motions. In Sec. 3.4e, it was shown that typical values of  $[\overrightarrow{v}]$  are in the order of 10<sup>-1</sup> m sec<sup>-1</sup> or less and these variations occur on a time scale of several months, or **107** seconds. Accordingly, the accelerations should be in the order of  $10^{-8}$  m sec<sup>-2</sup>.

The Coriolis term evaluated at a distance of **1** km from the equator amounts to about  $20 \times 10^{-8}$  m sec<sup>-2</sup>.

The **MrV** correlation terms include the major effects of friction if all scales of eddies are taken into account. Assuming values of  $0.3 \times 10^{-2}$  m sec<sup>-1</sup> for  $\left[\vec{w}\right]$ , and  $10^{-1}$  m sec<sup>-1</sup> for  $\left[\vec{v}\right]$ , as deduced in Sec. 3.4e, the mean term is smaller than  $10^{-11}$  m sec<sup>-2</sup>. Previous estimates in Sec. **3.** 4d indicate that the transient eddy term should be the larger of the two remaining terms. Table 2. **1** suggests that **3** m sec<sup>-1</sup> is an appropriate value for the standard deviation of  $\mathbf{v}$ , and 10<sup>-2</sup> m sec<sup>-1</sup> should be a liberal estimate for the standard deviation *of wr* **,** according to Newell **(1963). A** correlation coefficient of **0.** 2 would lead to a value in the order of 10<sup>-9</sup> m sec<sup>-2</sup> for this term.

It is evident that the pressure gradient force is the only term large enough to balance the Coriolis force and hence the geostrophic equation is valid to well within 1 km of the equator for these long period, zonally symmetric motions. This is indicative of a strong relation between the zonally averaged wind and temperature fields in the tropical stratosphere. Reed **(1962, 1964b)** noted long period variations in the temperature field and succeeded in relating them geostrophically to the wind field. He has noted that because wind observations provide a relatively greater resolution of the long period variations, the best available estimate of the temperature variations is that deduced from the wind field using the thermal wind equation.

An important question arises as to the relation between the wind and temperature fields: namely, are the variations in one field indirectly responsible for the variations in the other, through the action of mean meridional motions? An answer to this question is implicit in any comprehensive model of these phenomena. For instance, the models of Staley **(1963),** Probert Jones (1964) and Lindzen **(1966)** tacitly assume that the temperature field plays the active role, as does any model which calls upon radiative effects to produce thermal variations in situ. The absence of theories which ascribe to the wind field the active role is probably due more to the difficulty in treating the eddy fluxes in the momentum equation than to any well founded belief that the wind field is of secondary importance. Thus far there is no evidence of any variability in solar output strong enough, and of the proper period to account for the observed temperature variations. On the other hand, the presence of long period variations in eddy fluxes of momentum is an observed fact. It will presently be shown how changes in the wind field resulting from these fluxes give rise to mean meridional motions<sup>\*</sup> which maintain the temperature field in geostrophic equilibrium with the wind field, thus explaining the observed temperature variations.

\* Mean meridional motions, **by** continuity considerations imply corres- ponding vertical motions.

**-58-**

### **(b)** The heat balance

It is most convenient to derive the heat balance equation in a system with pressure as the vertical coordinate. In this system, the derivation is similar to that of the momentum balance equation, and therefore it will be omitted. The derived equation as adapted from Saltzmann **(1961)** is of the form

$$
\frac{\partial [T]}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar v}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{\partial [T]}{\partial p} - \frac{[\bar{\omega}]}{c} \left( \frac{\partial [T]}{\partial p} - \frac{R[T]}{c} \right)
$$
  

$$
-\frac{1}{\alpha \cos \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left\{ [\bar{\omega}^T \bar{\tau}^T] + [\bar{\omega}^T \bar{\tau}^T] \right\} - \frac{2}{\alpha \rho} \left\{ [\bar{\omega}^T \bar{\tau}^T] + [\bar{\omega}^T \bar{\tau}^T] \right\}
$$
(10)  

$$
+ \frac{R}{c_{\rho \rho}} \left\{ [\bar{\omega}^T \bar{\tau}^T] + [\bar{\omega}^T \bar{\tau}^T] \right\} + H
$$

where the symbols are as listed in Table **3. 1.**

Since it is to be used only for a rough order of magnitude comparison of the various terms, equation **(10)** can be expressed with height as a vertical coordinate simply by replacing **W** by  $-\rho g w$  wherever it occurs and  $\frac{2}{9}$  by  $-\frac{1}{9}$   $\frac{2}{3}$ ;  $\frac{\partial [F]}{\partial t} = -\frac{[F]}{\alpha} \frac{\partial [F]}{\partial r} - \lambda [\overline{\mu}r] - \frac{1}{\alpha \cos r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\{ [r^{T}F] + [r^{T} \overline{r}^{T}] \right\}$  $-\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{3}{2a}$   $\rho\left\{\left[\overline{\omega^{+}\tau'}\right] + \left[\overline{\omega^{*}\tau^{*}}\right]\right\} - \frac{3}{c_{\text{aff}}}\left\{\left[\overline{\omega^{+}\tau'}\right] + \left[\overline{\omega^{*}\tau^{*}}\right]\right\}$  $(11)$ 

where  $\mu$  expresses the rate of increase of potential temperature with height.

**Of** the first two terms, which represent the advective effects of

the mean motions, the second is several orders of magnitude larger if the following are assumed as typical values in the region:



These estimates suggest heating rates in the order of tenths of a degree per day resulting from mean vertical motions.

Data on the magnitude of the eddy heat transports will be presented in the next chapter. For an order of magnitude estimate it will be noted from Fig. 4. 2 that the four year mean of the heat transport increases from near zero at the equator to about  $0.5^{\circ}$ C m sec<sup>-1</sup> at  $20^{\circ}$ N. This eddy flux divergence corresponds to a cooling rate in the order of a few hundredths of a degree Centigrade per day.

To determine the importance of the terms involving vertical eddy fluxes it is necessary to make some estimate of the variability of temperature and vertical motion. The results of Sec. **3.** 4d suggest that the temporal variability is probably a good estimate of the total. Using Newell's (1963) value of  $10^{-2}$  m sec<sup>-1</sup> as an upper limit of the standard deviation of the vertical wind component, a value of **20C** for the standard deviation of temperature, as suggested **by** Table 2. **1,** and a correlation

coefficient of 0.2, we arrive at an estimate of  $0.4 \times 10^{-2}$  <sup>o</sup>C m sec<sup>-1</sup> for  $\left[\mu\right]\left[\mathbf{r}\right]$ . Assuming a vertical scale of 10 km, this leads to a heating rate in the order of hundredths of a degree per day for the divergence of heat flux. The coefficient of the vertical flux term,  $\frac{1}{6\sqrt{1}}$ , is roughly  $0.5 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>-1</sup>, which suggests that this term is somewhat smaller than the divergence term.

The most recent estimate of the diabatic heating rate,  $H$ , for this region was made **by** Kennedy (1964). The heating rates which he computed vary considerably with altitude, season and cloudiness, but are generally in the order of tenths of a degree per day.

The only remaining term, the local time derivative of the temperature, is very small, since the temperature range is only a few degrees and the time scale is in the order of months.

(c) The maintenance of geostrophic equilibrium

Thus thermal equilibrium is to a large extent accomplished **by** a balance between radiation and vertical motions, with the other terms exerting only a small influence which can be neglected in this qualitative discussion.

To show how geostrophic equilibrium is maintained between the wind and temperature fields let us consider a temperature field in radiative equilibrium in an atmosphere with only zonal, geostrophic motions.
Let it be supposed that eddy fluxes produce a disturbance in the zonal wind field. The temperature field will immediately begin to adjust to a new equilibrium state, through the action of forced mean meridional motions. For simplicity, we will neglect any effects which the vertical motions might have in altering the distribution of the important radia- \* tive constituents of the atmosphere. In the absence of such effects, the radiative heating rate should increase in the adiabatically cooled regions and decrease in the adiabatically warmed regions so as to resist deviations from radiative equilibrium. If it were not for continued vertical motions, these changes in heating rates would eventually bring the temperature field back into radiative equilibrium. The speed with which this readjustment would occur could be described **by** the radiative relaxation time, i. **e.,** the time necessary for a disturbance to be attenuated to 1/e of its original value. (Manabe and Strickler's (1964) numerical experiments with the radiative transfer equation suggest a value in the neighborhood of 20 days for this parameter). In reality, of course, mean vertical motions will maintain the temperature disturbance so long as the disturbance in the wind field exists. The effect of radiative relaxation will be to require vertical motions larger than would be needed to establish the geostrophic temperature gradient in an adiabatic atmosphere. Moreover, the need for these motions will not cease once

**<sup>\*</sup>In** the real atmosphere, the effect of the motions upon the ozone and water vapor distributions and their radiative properties may not be negligible.

the gradient has become geostrophic; it exists so long as there is a temperature gradient to maintain against radiative relaxation. In fact, the order of magnitude estimate of the terms in the heat balance equation **(11)** suggests that the motions required to establish the gradient are negligible compared those necessary to counteract the dissipative effect of radiation **,** i. e. **,** *14*

**(d)** The energy cycle

From the standpoint of energetics it is apparent that the zonal available potential energy of the disturbance is continually being destroyed **by** radiation, on the time scale of the radiative relaxation time. The actual amount of zonal available potential energy in the disturbance is kept from decreasing **by** mean meridional motions which convert enough energy from the zonal wind field to supply what is lost **by** radiation. This results in a net drain on the zonal kinetic energy of the disturbance. The effect on the wind field is very slow to be felt because in this region of the atmosphere the kinetic energy is larger than the available potential **\*** energy **by** about a factor of 40 , and hence the dissipative time scale is

\*Lorenz **(1955)** showed that for the atmosphere as a whole the ratio of kinetic energy to available potential energy, *K/4* **,** is about **1/10.** When the tropical stratosphere alone is considered, the situation is quite different, for two reasons:

(a) The static stability  $, \lambda$ , is about four times as large as that which Lorenz used for the atmosphere as a whole.

**(b)** The meridional gradients of the zonally averaged temperature are only about a tenth the size which Lorenz estimated for the entire atmosphere.

Hence the available potential energy, which is proportional to the variance of temperature divided **by** static stability is only 1/400 the average value for the whole atmosphere. The kinetic energy of the region, on the other hand, should be typical of the global value. Hence the ratio 40:1 for *K/A.* in the order of **3** years. (40 relaxation times).

In retrospect, the difficulties in trying to explain the observed wind variations as resulting from variations in solar heating of the region are obvious. In the first place it is difficult to see how a small change in heating could create any substantial amount of available potential energy, which requires not heating, but a gradient of heating. Even if this were possible, the zonal available potential energy of the temperature field is but a small fraction of the kinetic energy of the wind field and thus differential heating would have to generate many times the amount of energy required to account for the temperature variations. The energy changes resulting from variations in the momentum transport are many times larger than those due to any known fluctuations in radiative heating.

# **3. 6** The Field of Vertical Motions

The assumption of a mean downward drift at the equator leads to the notion of a radiative heat sink in that region. Otherwise it would be impossible to account for the fact that the equator is colder than the subtropics at these levels. Because of uncertainties in dealing with the radiative transfer equations which are only now being dealt with (see Rodgers and Walshaw **(1966))** and the lack of data available on the distribution of ozone and water vapor at low latitudes it is difficult to either

support or refute this hypothesis from radiative considerations at present. (Only at the tropopause itself can a mean downward motion be ruled out from radiative considerations). Considering that our present understanding of the momentum budget of this region is at least as good as that of the heat budget, it would seem no less direct to infer the vertical motion field from the momentum budget than to infer the meridional motion field from the heat budget as was done **by** Murgatroyd and Singleton **(1961). A** mean downward drift in the order of .03 cm sec<sup>-1</sup>, based on the descent rate of the wind regimes, would lead to a required radiative cooling rate in the order of  $0.3^{\circ}$ C per day, which is of roughly the same magnitude as that computed **by** Kennedy (1964), but of opposite sign.

From geostrophic considerations, warm temperatures are required in the tropics relative to the subtropics in the region where the wind shear is positive, i. e., where westerlies are propagating downward into easterlies. Since the temperature is determined **by** a balance between radiation and adiabatic heating or cooling due to vertical motion, an increase in downward vertical motion is required in order to maintain a warm temperature in this region against radiative relaxation. The model proposed **by** Reed **(1964b),** which invoked a system of downward propagating mean meridional cells is based on essentially the same physical mechanism.

**-65-**

It is difficult to assess the magnitude of the vertical motions thus caused because of the lack of more reliable information on radiative relaxation times. For an order of magnitude estimate, let it be assumed that in the long term mean there is a net downward motion of  $.03 \text{ cm} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  which is balancing a cooling rate of **0. 3 C** per day. To maintain a temperature perturbation of 2<sup>o</sup>C from this mean state against a radiative relaxation time of 20 days this would require a corresponding change in vertical motion of about **. <sup>01</sup>**cm sec~1 , which is consistent with Reed's **(1964b)** results. Under these conditions, westerlies would propagate downward at approximately twice the speed of easterlies, and this is approximately what is observed. Thus it seems likely that the peculiar shape of the wind regimes in the time-height plane arises from the requirements of the heat balance. Furthermore, as stated in Sec. **3.** 4e, the meridional motions arising from the same vertical motion field may be responsible for the broad latitudinal extent of the wind variations.

The vertical motion field suggested **by** this analysis is similar to the models of both Tucker (1964) and Reed **(1964b);** in fact, it is a superposition of the two **-** a steady, descending current over the equator modulated **by** a weaker secondary cell which propagates downward with the zonal wind regimes. The fact that westerlies are observed to propagate downward more rapidly than easterlies lends support to this hypothesis.

# **3. 7** Concluding Remarks

The combination of low latitude with high static stability is a unique feature of the tropical stratosphere. The former condition severely restricts the amplitude of geostrophic temperature fluctuations, while the latter still further reduces the amount of available potential energy present in the temperature field. This combination of circumstances results in a situation where the greater part of the available energy of the region resides in the mean zonal kinetic energy of the wind field. This is in marked contrast to the atmosphere as a whole, where the kinetic energy constitutes only a small fraction of the available energy.

It appears that the long period zonally symmetric disturbances derive their energy from the eddy kinetic energy of the circulations at higher latitudes. As evidence of this, it can be seen **by** comparing Figs. **3.** 4 and **3. 5** that above **30** mb during the winter months (it will be shown in Sec. 4. 2 that this is where and when the important eddy fluxes take place) the momentum transports are usually countergradient. Mean meridional motions convert some of this energy into zonal available potential energy in order to maintain the latter against radiative relaxation. This chain of cause and effect is summarized in Fig. **3. 6.**

Although many of the terms in the momentum and heat balance equations, particularly those pertaining to radiation are known only to

**-67-**



Figure 3.6

within an order of magnitude, it would be difficult to conceive of an alternate description of the mechanics of the system that is internally consistent.

The treatment of the balance requirements in this chapter has purposely been kept as simple as possible in order to allow a clear qualitative understanding of the dynamical processes involved. It should not be difficult to formulate a more sophisticated model in which many of the smaller terms that have been neglected in the present treatment could be retained. The momentum and heat balance equations together with the thermal wind equation for the zonal component and the continuity equation comprise a system which can be solved for the variables  $[\bar{\mu}]$ ,  $[\bar{v}]$ ,  $[\bar{w}]$  and  $[\bar{r}]$ . given appropriate boundary conditions and realistic specifications of the eddy fluxes, radiation and friction. Eliassen **(1950)** and Kuo **(1956)** have shown how this system of equations can be solved for the mean meridional motions and the time derivatives of the zonal wind and temperature fields. The simplified analysis in this chapter has suggested what might be appropriate values for radiation and friction. The former may be dealt within terms of a heat sink above **50** mb over the equator, and a relaxation time for disturbances from the mean state, while the latter may perhaps be neglected, at least in a first approximation. The only remaining quantity to be specified would then be the eddy fluxes. These could, of course, be modeled after actual data such as that presented in this chapter. Such a

treatment would be of value in studying the effect of a given forcing function on the mean wind field, but it would fail to yield any insight into the ultimate cause of the wind and temperature variations in question. For that purpose it is necessary to know the cause of the long period variations in the momentum fluxes themselves. The next chapter is devoted to a study of the circulations at middle latitudes, which give rise to the momentum fluxes.

In a sense, the present chapter has been primarily concerned with the question of the effects produced **by** the eddy circulations on the mean wind and temperature fields. One of the purposes of the next chapter will be to determine what effects, if any, the mean fields have upon the eddy circulations.

# CHAPTER IV

# **LONG** PERIOD VARIATIONS IN THE HEMISPHERIC CIRCULATIONS OF THE STRATOSPHERE

Because of the marked year to year variations in the momentum transports at middle latitudes evident in Fig. **3. 5,** the hemispheric circulations of the stratosphere must be considered as an important link in the chain of cause and effect which gives rise to the so called biennial oscillation. Not only are these circulations involved in this phenomenon; they apparently are responsible for the effects observed in the tropics. The purpose of this chapter is to examine the nature of the long period variations in those aspects of the middle latitude circulations which have a direct effect on the energy budget of the tropics. **Of** special interest will be the question of cause and effect; i. **e.,** "Are these long period variations in the momentum fluxes inherent characteristics of the stratospheric circulation, or are they reflections of variations in some still more basic quantity? **"** To begin with, a brief discussion of the seasonal behavior of the hemispheric circulations will be helpful in understanding the longer period variations.

## 4. **1** The Seasonal Circulations

#### (a) Summer

Except at very low latitudes the summer and winter circulations in the stratosphere are dramatically different. The summer monsoon is marked **by** a gentle, undisturbed easterly flow above **50** mb at all latitudes. Warm temperatures over the pole give rise to an anticyclone which gradually grows in intensity with height above **50** mb. The air flows around this polar anticyclone in almost perfect circles so that the wind is very steady and uniform. Table 4. **1** shows that above **100** mb, typical temporal standard deviations are 3 m sec<sup>-1</sup> for the zonal component and 2 m  $\sec^{-1}$  for the meridional component, which is even smaller than in the tropics. The four year mean values of the heat and momentum fluxes during the summer months are too small to even establish a prevailing direction of the transport at and above **50** mb. This complete lack of correlation suggests that the wind measurements **(** except for the mean zonal flow) during this season may be near the instrumental and mesoscale noise level. In any case, the eddy fluxes are negligible. Below **50** mb there are still some remnants of the westerly flow associated with the jet stream, which are mainly confined to high latitudes. At lower latitudes, at least in the northern hemisphere, the land-sea influence is felt, the most spectacular feature of which is the Tibetan anticyclone and the



Table 4. **1.** Transient eddy statistics at 42 **0N.** Four year means

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\star$ 

associated tropical easterly jet. Since the present study is primarily concerned with the region above **50** mb, further details of the summer circulation of the lower stratosphere will not be discussed herein. An excellent reference for descriptive information on the seasonal circulations at **300, 100, 50, 30** and **10** mb is the series of daily hemispheric analyses for the northern hemisphere presented in Meteorologische Abhandlungen, the publication of the Free University of Berlin.

**(b)** Winter

The winter circulation is characterized **by** a deep polar low surrounded **by** a westerly current. In contrast to the undisturbed zonal flow in the summer easterlies, the westerly flow of the northern hemisphere winter is marked **by** strong deviations **from zonal symmetry. At 100 mb,** the disturbances fall into a wide range of wave numbers, but at higher levels the low wave numbers predominate, the smaller scale features having been filtered out. At **10** mb most of the kinetic energy resides in the zonal flow and the lowest two wave numbers, which represent the displacement of the center of the vortex from the pole and the bi-polarity of the vortex.

The long waves of the northern hemisphere stratosphere have certain preferred locations which result in semipermanent features like the Aleutian ridge. Godson and Wilson **(1963)** have shown that certain preferred regimes tend to recur winter after winter. Hemispheric analyses for these levels bear a strong resemblance to one another from one day to the next and the daily maps often look much like their respective monthly mean maps. In contrast, the daily maps for the troposphere vary markedly over the course of a month, and standing features are recognizable only in the long term averages.

The terms "transient" and "standing" eddies were first applied to planetary scale waves **by** Priestly (1949) to identify the components of the convariance of two quantities averaged with respect to time and latitude in that order.

# $\begin{bmatrix} \overline{AB} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A} \ \overline{B} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}^* \overline{B}^* \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}^* \overline{B}^* \end{bmatrix}$ <br>
"mean" "standing" "transient"

These terms lend themselves readily to physical interpretation for tropospheric studies in which transient eddies are real entities which are recognizable in the daily maps and vanish in the long term means. However, at high levels in the stratosphere the "transient eddy" term is more a reflection of day to day changes in the position and intensity of the semipermanent features within the averaging period, and the use of these terms can lead to ambiguities in certain cases. Let us consider, for example, a situation where the stratospheric circulation changes from one preferred regime to another quite different one. If this change occurs in the middle

of a month, the transport data are apt to reflect strong transient eddy contributions. The monthly mean map may be relatively featureless due to the cancellation effect and this would result in small standing eddy transports. If, on the other hand, the same change occurred between months, the transient effects would be smaller, and the two monthly maps, in reflecting the features of their respective regimes, would have much more character than in the previous case. This could result in much larger standing eddy transports. Thus it can be seen that the partition of the transports between transient and standing eddies is largely a matter of chance. However, the two terms taken together, still represent the total eddy contribution, and hence the above method of breaking down and evaluating the covariance of two quantities is no less valid than in the troposphere. The only difference is that the distinction between the terms, as suggested **by** their names, should not be taken too literally.

The data coverage of the southern hemisphere is not sufficient for hemispheric map analyses at these levels and hence the existence of semi-permanent features is still open to question. The lack of standing eddies in the southern hemisphere troposphere (Obasi, **1965)** suggests a more uniform zonal flow, less active long waves, and smaller eddy transports than in the northern hemisphere.

Figure 4. **1** compares a time height section for the stations in Latitude Band VII (42<sup>0</sup>N) with a section for Christchurch, N. Z. (43<sup>0</sup>S). The comparison of a zonally averaged section with one from a single station is justifiable in this case, since the latter section is **by** far the smoother of the two. If zonal averaging were to effect an unequal smoothing of the two sections, the opposite would be the case. It is apparent from the figure that short term variability is almost absent in the winter circulation of the southern hemisphere, as contrasted with the large month to month variations in the northern hemisphere. At Christchurch the westerlies increase steadily to a maximum in July and decrease smoothly thereafter. If there are disturbances in the polar night vortex they apparently do not extend below 20 mb at middle latitudes. The summer easterlies actually exhibit more irregularity \* than do the winter westerlies.

The winter vortex can be divided into two distinct centers of activity in the vertical at middle latitudes:

**1.** The region below **50** mb, where the westerly flow is the upper most extension of the jet stream. Here the westerly flow and the con-

<sup>\*</sup> This suggests the possibility of a coupling between hemispheres during<br>the northern hemisphere winter. In January of 1961 and '62 when the the northern hemisphere winter. In northern hemisphere winter circulation is interrupted **by** easterlies there are small minima in the southern hemisphere easterlies. This coincidence could be due to a mean meridional circulation which extends across the equator.

comitant eddy activity both decrease with height.

2. The region above **30** mb which is effectively the lowest extension of the polar night vortex. Here zonal wind speed and eddy activity increase with height.

The intermediate zone is marked **by** a distinct minimum in the mean westerly flow and in the intensity of the eddy circulations imbedded in it. The zone slopes slightly downward toward higher latitudes and it follows from the thermal wind equation that at any given level it marks the latitude of maximum temperature. Above and poleward of the zone the circulation is thermally direct, with solar radiation supplying energy to the temperature field **by** differential heating and some of this energy being converted into kinetic energy of the wind field. Below and equatorward of the zone the circulation is thermally indirect, with dynamical processes inducing a temperature gradient which is continually being destroyed **by** solar radiation. The energetics of this lower region are discussed in detail **by** Oort **(1963),** and Newell (1964c) has discussed the energetics of both regions and how they relate to the atmosphere as a whole.

In order to provide some background material on the typical magnitudes of the momentum and heat transports as a function of latitude and level, Fig. 4. 2 has been prepared. This shows meridional cross sections of the four year mean values of these parameters. At middle



(42<sup>o</sup>N) and Christchurch, N.Z. (43<sup>o</sup>S). Solid lines are placed at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Shaded areas are westerlies. Heavy shading indicates values in excess of 20  $m$  sec $^{-1}$ . December values are placed on tie marks.

 $-6L -$ 

latitudes values for the winter months are about double what is on the cross sections while values for the summer months are negligible. The two centers of activity of the winter circulation, and the transition region between them are evident in both sections.

The temporal variations of the winter vortex are often quite spectacular in the northern hemisphere. The zonal wind often undergoes abrupt changes in speed and sometimes the winter westerlies are actually interrupted **by** periods of easterlies following sudden warmings. (See Fig. 4. **1).** The heat and momentum flux statistics also show large month to month changes, even to the point of sign reversals. In view of this large short term variability, it would be helpful to examine some parameter at middle latitudes which somehow integrates the effect of the winter circulations over a period of several months, as the zonal wind does in the tropics. It appears that monthly mean total ozone content may be a useful parameter for this purpose.

Newell (1964c) has suggested that the spring maximum in total ozone observed at middle latitudes is due to the increased eddy activity during the winter months, which transports ozone poleward and downward from its photochemical source region. Ozone increases throughout the period of vigorous eddy activity to a peak, the height of which should be a measure of the strength of the winter circulations which produced it. Thus ozone data may provide some indirect evidence as to the relative strength of one winter versus another.

**-80-**



Figure 4.2 Meridional cross sections showing four year means (May **1958 -** April **1962)** of northward momentum and heat transport. Units in  $m^2$  sec<sup>-2</sup> and  $m^0C$  sec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

# 4. 2 Long Period Variability

(a) Transient eddy fluxes in the northern hemisphere

Figure 3.5 shows that the long period variations in the momentum transports in the northern hemisphere which give rise to the alternating wind regimes in the tropics extend well into middle latitudes. It will be noticed in Fig. **3. 5** that the curves, which represent twelve month running means are somewhat square in shape, with the flat sections centered on the winter months. Now when it is considered how running means are computed it may be seen that this shape can only arise from a situation where all the year to year differences are due to the winter months only. In the previous section it was shown that the momentum transports during the summer season are negligible, and this is apparrently what accounts for the shape of the curves. The long period variations in momentum transports arise then from winter to winter differences and Fig. **3. 5** suggests that the transient eddies for the winters of **1958-59, 59-60, 60-61, 61-62** and **62-63** alternate between large and small values.

From Fig. 4. **3** it is evident that the year to year variations in momentum transports are accompanied **by** similar variations in the



Figure 4.3 Twelve month running means of momentum transport **by** transient eddies  $\left[\overline{u^{\dagger}v^{\dagger}}\right]$ , together with corresponding curves for the temporal standard deviations of the zonal and meridional components. Units are  $m^2$  sec<sup>-2</sup> for  $\left[\vec{u}\cdot\vec{v}\cdot\right]$  and m sec<sup>-1</sup> for  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{v}$  The running means are centered on the dates indicated. December values are placed on tie marks.

temporal standard deviations of the zonal and meridional wind components. The winters with large poleward momentum transports **by** transient eddies at middle latitudes are marked **by** a larger than normal temporal variability of the wind, i. **e.,** more vigorous eddy activity. It should be noted, however, that variations in the amount of eddy activity alone cannot account for all the year to year differences in momentum transport. The subtropics, where the direction of the transport reverses sign from one year to the next give ample proof of the need for variations in the quality of the disturbances (i. **e.,** their shape in the horizontal plane) as well as in the quantity. Tucker (1964) has shown how the wave shape affects the sign of the transport.

Figure 4. 4 shows the unsmoothed monthly values of momentum and heat transport **by** transient eddies and the temporal standard deviation of the meridional wind component for **37,** 42 and **47<sup>0</sup> N.** The tendency for alternating large and small values during successive winters is evident in all three parameters at the higher levels. The winters of **1959-60** and **1961-62** could be classed as "strong" winters with respect to transient eddy phenomena, since they exhibit strong poleward transports of heat and momentum and large temporal variability of the wind. **By** the same standards, the winters of **1958-59** and **1960-61** would be classed as "weak". The **1962-63** winter is difficult to classify because of the very

-84-



Figure 4.4(a) Monthly values of momentum transport **by** transient eddies at 47, 42 and **370 N,** for several levels. Units are in  $m^2$  sec<sup>-2</sup>.



Figure 4.4(b) Monthly values of heat transport by transient eddies at 47, 42 and  $37<sup>o</sup>N$  for several levels. Units are in  $\degree$  o sec<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 4.4(c) Monthly values of the temporal standard deviation of the meridional wind component at 47, 42 and  $37^\circ$ N for several levels. Units are in m sec<sup>-1</sup>.

large values of all three parameters during the month of January which had an unusually strong stratospheric warming.

The reliability of the transient eddy statistics is somewhat open to question, because the distribution of data is **highly** asymmetric with respect to longitude. (See Fig. **A).** The complete lack of high level observations during this period from Russia and China, coupled with the sparsity of data over the underdeveloped countries and ocean areas creates a situation where most of the data are concentrated in three regions: North America, Europe and Japan. There is no way of knowing for certain whether the statistics as computed, reflect the time variations in the true zonally averaged quantities. In their defense all that can be said is that the statistics, as computed, display a pattern which is consistent with respect to latitude and height, and, as will be discussed in the Sec. 4. **3,** fit well with the momentum, heat, and ozone budgets of the region.

**(b)** Standing eddy fluxes in the northern hemisphere

It was possible in the tropics to demonstrate that standing eddies play only a minor role in transport processes as compared with transient eddies. However it is clear from the discussion in Sec. 4. **lb** that at middle latitudes, at least in the northern hemisphere, the effects of standing eddies on transport processes cannot be ignored. Computations

**-88-**

of seasonal wind and temperature statistics **by** the MIT Planetary Circulations Project, based on IGY data (for a summary, see Oort, **1963)** show that at middle and high latitudes, the transient and standing eddy effects are of comparable magnitude. It is to be expected that the shorter averaging period used in the present study would serve to increase the relative importance of the standing eddies.

The monthly mean hemispheric analyses of the geopotential height field published in Meteorologische Abhandlungen were used as a basis for computing the spatial standard deviation of the monthly mean meridional wind component taken around a latitude circle,  $\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^*}$ , and the momentum transport by standing eddies  $[\vec{u}^{\bullet}\vec{v}^{\bullet}]$ . The procedure used for calculating these quantities is discussed in the appendix. Unfortunately, at the time of this writing, monthly mean map analyses were not yet completed for the period **1960-63.** Therefore the only period available for comparison with transient eddy data is May **'58 -** Dec **'59.** Table 4. 2 shows a comparison of the transient and standing eddy statistics for the months of the **1958-59** winter. (The levels and latitudes for which the eddy statistics were computed are not compatable, so it was necessary to compare 47, 37 and  $28^{\circ}$ N for the transient eddies with 45, 35 and  $25^{\circ}$ N, respectively for the standing eddies, and **30** mb for the transient eddies with **25** mb for the standing eddies).

The statistics show that transient and standing eddy effects are of



**1958 - 59** winter.



 $\bullet$ 

comparable magnitude at 25<sup>°</sup>N, but the relative importance of the standing eddies increases rapidly with latitude. This suggests that at high latitudes the transient eddy statistics are not a suitable index of the general level of eddy activity. For this reason, data on transient eddies are not presented for latitudes poleward of 47 **0N.** However, at lower latitudes, where the transient eddies contribute a substantial fraction of the total, it is likely that the winter to winter variations in their intensity reflect a real variability in the strength of the eddies as a whole. If this were not the case, there would have to be some systematic negative correlation between the monthly values of the standing and transient eddies. There is no physical reason for expecting large poleward fluxes **by** standing eddies to accompany small poleward (or equatorward) fluxes **by** transient eddies, and the data in Table **5. 1** indicate no such tendency. On this basis, it is assumed in the following discussion that the transient eddy statistics are adequate for describing qualitatively the winter to winter variations in the overall level of eddy activity.

It will be interesting to compare the transient and standing eddy statistics over a longer time interval and to see whether the standing eddies also exhibit winter to winter variations. It is hoped that monthly mean maps for the missing years will soon be published. As a word of caution, it should be noted that the standing eddy momentum fluxes,

as computed from monthly maps are subject to some serious uncertainties because of sparse data coverage. Momentum transports depend upon the tilt of the waves which is, in regions of sparse data, often a matter of the analyst's artistic taste.  $\sqrt{[\vec{v}^*]}$  should prove a more reliable index of long period variations.

(c) **Eddy** fluxes in the southern hemisphere

There is to date no direct evidence of winter to winter differences in the eddy fluxes in the southern hemisphere. However, long term variations in ozone and temperatures at middle latitudes, which will be discussed in Sec. **6. 3,** suggest an alternating series of weak and strong winters as is observed in the northern hemisphere.

**(d)** The heat, momentum and ozone budgets

It was shown in the previous chapter that the behavior of the temperature field in the tropics is probably governed, for the most part, **by** mean meridional circulations, and eddy effects are relatively small. However at middle latitudes, where the eddy heat fluxes and their divergences are about an order of magnitude larger than in the tropics it is likely that these disturbances exert a noticeable influence on the temperature distribution. It can be seen from Fig. **5. 1** that the warm winters

at middle latitudes of the northern hemisphere are those winters which have exhibited strong eddy activity. This is consistent with the notion that poleward heat transport **by** the eddies is responsible for the warm temperatures at middle latitudes in the winter stratosphere.

It will be demonstrated in the next chapter that the wind at high levels of the northern hemisphere during the winter seasons exhibits a behavior which can best be described as an effect of the variations in the transport of momentum **by** the eddy circulations.

For reasons described in Sec. 4. **lb** the peak of the spring maximum in ozone concentration is an index of the strength of the winter circulation. Ramanathan **(1963)** produced evidence of a two year periodicity in the spring ozone maxima at middle latitude stations of both hemispheres. The data are reproduced in Fig. 4. **5.** It will be noticed that the "strong" winters of **1959-60** and **1961-62** are marked **by** relatively high ozone concentrations in the northern hemisphere. It is also apparent from comparing Figs. 4. **5** and **5. 1** that the warm southern hemisphere winters are those with high ozone peaks. This is also suggestive of a response to variable eddy intensity, with the winters of even years being the strong ones. Ramanathan's data suggest that this tendency for large ozone winters to alternate with small ones has prevailed throughout much of the past decade.

**-93-**



 $\bullet$ 

جبر

## 4. 3 The Two Year Periodicity

# (a) The nature of the phenomenon

It is interesting to note that throughout the period **1957-62** when, as noted in the previous chapter, the wind regimes in the tropics repeated themselves at two year intervals, the winter circulations also exhibited a two year periodicity in both hemispheres. This was evidently an interval during which the long period variations were phase locked with the annual cycle. The long record of ozone data from Arosa (Fig. 4. **6)** shows that this has not always been the case. Prior to **1953** the ozone peaks fail to show any two year periodicity, and since **1963** there appears to be a breakdown of the periodic pattern of the previous decade. **All** middle latitude stations in both hemispheres which exhibited the periodicity during the **1953-63** decade shared the subsequent breakdown, and the winds in the tropics began to depart strongly from their periodic behavior beginning in **1963.** This suggests that **1963** marks the end of an interval of phase locking with the annual cycle and the beginning of a period when the variations of the winds in the tropics are somewhat more irregular as they respond to what appears to be a series of winters whose intensities do not follow any regular pattern **.**

**(b)** The question of statistical significance

The question arises as to whether this decade of alternating



Figure 4. **6** Mean monthly ozone amounts at Arosa, Switzerland **1932-1965.** Units in **10 3** cm **@ STP.**

 $\pmb{\epsilon}$ 

high and low ozone years and the related five year series of alternating "strong" and "weak" winters represents a statistically significant tendency for a two year oscillation, phase locked with the annual cycle, or whether it is possibly a chance occurrence which requires no physical explanation. Unfortunately, the data for Arosa (Figure 4. **6** and Table 4..3) represent the only unbroken ozone record which extends into the period prior to **1953** when the decade of alternating winters began. There is some question as to whether these data are truly represent ative of the earlier period. Even within the **1953-63** decade, the periodicity in the Arosa data is not as impressive as that from other stations (see Figure 4. **5).** Table 4. **3** shows that the sequence of alternating values in the Arosa data was broken **by** the **1956** winter, while Rome and Aspendale show no such lapse. Moreover, the reliability of the earlier data may be questioned, since current measurement techniques were not employed prior to the 1950's. Thus it is perhaps too early to make any statement regarding the statistical significance of the two year periodicity at stratospheric levels. However, since tropospheric data suggest that such a periodicity is present in the atmosphere (see **Ch. 5)** it might be well to ask how such a phenomenon might be caused.

(c) Possible causes

The atmosphere contains within itself a number of mechanisms which may be capable of producing the observed tendency for a two year oscillation. The relatively undisturbed zonal current in the tropics **ex-**

**-97-**
Table 4. **3.** Largest monthly mean value of total ozone in each year. Units: cm x **10-3** at **S.** T. P.

						1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8				9
192								367	360	401
193			378		384 377	374		382 379	377	370
194	412	401	405	370	370	- 363	366	382	356	363
195	367	400		394 367	400	384 381 367			393	376
196	406	371								

Arosa, Switzerland 
$$
(46^{\circ}N - 9^{\circ}E)
$$

periences change on a much longer time scale than the rest of the atmosphere because friction is so small. Consequently the zonal flow at these levels "remembers" events which occurred a year previous. As an example, let us suppose that a "strong" winter with large poleward momentum fluxes gives rise to an easterly wind regime at the **10** mb level during a given winter. If the descent rates as deduced from Fig. **3. 1** (at **30S)** are typical, the following winter the same regime will be found in the neighborhood of the **50** mb level. In other words, the flow at **50** mb in the tropics will be a reflection of the strong winter which occurred a year previously. Now if there is any mechanism **by** which the zonal flow in the tropics can exert a negative feedback on the eddy circulations, so that, for instance, the easterly regime at **50** mb tends to favor a weak winter at middle latitudes **-** the type of winter opposite from that which produced it **-** this is all that is required for a two year cycle. In the hypothetical case in point, the weak winter favored **by** the easterlies at **50** mb would produce westerlies at **10** mb. **By** the next winter these would descend to **50** mb, and their location there would tend to favor a strong winter, which would produce easterlies at **10** mb, and so on.

Now let us consider the various means **by** which the mean zonal flow might produce such a feedback effect upon the eddy circulations of the winter season.

**-99-**

The direction of the zonal flow in the tropics has a noticeable effect on the appearance of the entire hemispheric circulation patterns during the winter season. Figure 4. **7** contrasts the months of March **1958** and **59.** In the former year the flow at low latitudes is westerly. **<sup>A</sup>**belt of high pressure covers the tropics and the polar vortex occupies most of the hemisphere, with westerly flow poleward of **20<sup>0</sup> N.** In the latter year easterly flow in the tropics displaces the high pressure belt northward into the subtropics, which is consistent with geostrophic considerations. This confines the polar vortex to narrower limits than in the previous year, and westerly flow is found only poleward of  $30^0$ N. These year to year differences are typical of all the months in the **1958** and **1959** winters.

This southward limit of the winter westerlies may affect the transmission of eddy energy from the troposphere into the stratosphere. Charney and Drazin's **(1961)** theoretical results indicate that westerly flow is essential for the upward propagation of the energy of planetary waves. If these results are truly applicable to this situation, and if the eddy disturbances in the upper, thermally direct region of the stratosphere receive a significant amount of their energy from the troposphere, then the effect of the zonal wind profile upon the upward transmission of wave energy could play an essential role in producing the observed two

**-100-**



Figure 4.7 Monthly mean geopotential height analyses of the **50** mb surface for March **1958** and March **1959,** as adapted from Meteorologische Abhandlungen.

year periodicity.

To test this hypothesis, monthly values of the correlation coefficient between the meridional wind component at **50** and **100** mb were computed for a number of latitude bands. It was found that at 22, **28** and **32<sup>0</sup> <sup>N</sup>**these showed a consistent year to year variation, with high values during the **1959-60,** and **1961-62** winters and low values during the **1958-59** and **1960-61** winters (Fig. 4. **8).** It can be seen from the section for 200 **N** in Fig. **3. 1** that in the layer between **50** and **100** mb the former winters are marked **by** westerlies and the latter ones **by** easterlies. Thus these results are in agreement with Charney and Drazin's findings. Although the year to year variations in the interlevel correlations are not large and they do not extend to levels above **<sup>5</sup>'0** mb, the fact that they are in the right sense at three independent latitudes is encouraging.

This then is one possible explanation for the tendency for a two year periodicity in the stratospheric circulation. The essential requirements for it to work are **(1)** the mean downward motion in the tropics which transports wind regimes from **10** mb to around **50** mb over the course of a year, (2) the ability of the mean zonal flow to regulate the upward transport of wave energy from the troposphere and **(3)** the dependence of the upper level disturbances upon energy from the troposphere.

-102-



Figure 4. **8** Twelve month running means of the zonally averaged interlevel correlation coefficient between the meridional wind components at **50** and **100** mb at three latitudes. Units are dimensionless. Running means are centered on dates indicated. December values are placed on tie marks.

It is possible to conceive of other mechanisms **by** which the mean zonal flow could influence the eddy circulations. As an example, it was shown in the previous chapter that the momentum fluxes are usually up the gradient of zonal wind. This condition usually arises wherever the flow is roughly two dimensional and the eddies are on the same (space) scale as the gradient of the zonal wind. Indeed, it is simple to demonstrate that the shear in the mean flow will always distort such eddies so as to cause them to transport momentum up the gradient. Thus it is possible for the mean zonal flow, as it changes in response to the momentum fluxes to exert a feedback upon the eddy disturbances, which influences their shape. This effect may be responsible for the alternating direction of the momentum transport at low latitudes.

The mean zonal flow at low latitudes may also influence the eddy disturbances in that it determines, to a large extent, the boundary condition at the outer edge of the polar vortex. It is possible that the stability criteria governing the growth rate of disturbances within the vortex are sensitive to this boundary condition, in which case, this could prove to be a very important mode of interaction.

The above discussion of feedback mechanisms has been confined to the stratosphere of one hemisphere for purposes of simplicity. The

-104-

questions of interactions between hemispheres and between troposphere and stratosphere will be reserved for the concluding chapter.

### 4. 4 The Modeling Problem

The relationships between the eddy fluxes and the budget of heat, momentum and ozone at various latitudes as discussed in this chapter are shown schematically in Fig. 4. **9.** The dashed line connecting the mean zonal flow in the tropics with the eddy fluxes represents the suggested feedback effect.

Evidence has been brought forth to show that there is a tendency for winters in the stratosphere to alternate between strong and weak eddy circulations. The investigation into the possible causes of this phenomenon suggests that the atmosphere may, of itself, contain all the necessary ingredients for producing a phase locking with the annual cycle:

**1. A** strong annual cycle in the stratosphere with a short very active winter season and a quiet summer season.

2. The capacity for "remembering" from one active winter season to the next. The "memory" is contained in the mean zonal circulations of the tropics.

**3. A** means for the active winter circulations to interact with the memory, so as to store information on the characteristics of one winter



Figure 4. **9**

(i. e., through the momentum transports) and to react to this information the next winter.

4. The possibility for this interaction with the memory to produce a negative feedback so that a vigorous winter one year will tend to produce a quiet one the next and vice versa.

There are a number of possible mechanisms **by** which the atmosphere may transmit information on the previous winter season from the memory back into the winter circulation. This is the least known link in the chain of cause and effect and undoubtedly the most difficult on to model accurately. It would be impossible to parameterize the eddy circulations in a model of the two year oscillation without making some assumption as to how this feedback mechanism works, and to make such an assumption would be to defeat the very purpose of such a model. **A** more informative experiment would be to allow the eddy disturbances to develop spontaneously in an initial value problem which could be integrated over a period of many years. Perhaps a simplified model containing only a few zonal wave numbers such as that of Peng **(1965),** coupled with a model such as that described in Sec. **3. 7** for dealing with the mean zonal and meridional circulations would contain all the necessary ingredients for simulating phase locking with the annual cycle.

**-107-**

#### CHAPTER V

## **LONG** PERIOD VARIATIONS IN OTHER PARAMETERS

There are certain parameters not discussed in previous chapters which also exhibit long period variations. It is difficult to relate these parameters directly to the dynamical processes considered in Chapters III and IV, and therefore to discuss them will contribute only in a minor way to our understanding of the underlying mechanism of the "biennial oscillation". Nevertheless, it is worthwhile doing so, for the sake of completeness, and to point out certain relationships within the atmosphere which deserve further study.

The subject of two year or **26** month periodicities has received a great deal of attention in the recent literature. Time records of literally dozens of parameters have been scrutinized, usually **by** means of spectral analysis techniques, with hopes of finding peaks near **26** months. Because the choice of quantities to be investigated was often made on the basis of what long period records happened to be available and without recourse to physical reasoning, the list of variables presently alleged to show **26** month periodicities includes such diverse quantities as tree ring thicknesses (Bryson and Dutton **(1961)),** lake levels (Wallen **(1913)),** cosmic ray intensity (Maeda **(1966)),** solar diameter (Newell (1964a)), stratospheric warmings (Labitzke **(1966)),** and hurricane frequency **(** Hanna **(1965))** a collection which defies any unified physical interpretation. **All** that can be safely said is that these studies have yielded positive results in a sufficiently large number of cases that it is difficult to ascribe the widespread appearance of such periodicities to chance.

It is important to distinguish between those quantities which exhibit periodicities which are apparent only in spectral analysis of long data records, spanning several decades or more, and those which, over the past decade have shown a strong persistent correlation with the zonal wind in the tropics. While the former quantities may show real periodicities, it is by no means certain that they are related to the tropical wind oscillation. Even if such a relation exists it is likely to be a weak one. The following discussion will be concerned primarily with those quantities which show evidence of variations associated with those in the tropical stratosphere. It is convenient to divide the parameters to be considered into two groups, depending upon whether they are chiefly observable in the stratosphere or troposphere.

# **5. 1** Parameters in the Middle and High Latitude Stratosphere

(a) Temperature

Angell and Korshover **(1962, 63)** were the first to discuss the extension of the "biennial oscillation" into middle and high latitudes at stratospheric levels. Their pole to pole representation of twelve month



running means of temperature at **50** mb (Fig. **5. 1)** is one of the most fascinating and perplexing pieces of evidence available on the subject. From their analysis, the following points are apparent:

**1.** At middle latitudes of the northern hemisphere the temperature maxima occur near the beginning of the even years, about six months earlier than at the same latitudes in the southern hemisphere. In both hemispheres the temperature maxima are approximately concurrent with the ozone maxima at these latitudes (see Fig. 4. **5)** which is to be expected if the year to year variations are caused **by** enhanced poleward fluxes of ozone and heat during alternate winters, as suggested in Sec. 4. **2d.**

2. In the northern hemisphere there is a phase reversal between middle and high latitudes; i. **e.,** the polar stratosphere is cold during the winters that middle latitudes are warm, while in the southern hemisphere there is no such reversal.

**3.** The **1957** winters at the poles do not appear to conform to the two year periodicity.

**(b)** Final warmings

The behavior of the high latitude temperatures becomes more plausible when one considers more recent findings **by** Labitzke **(1966)** and Barbe and.Reininger **(1966)** on the nature of the spring warmings in the two hemispheres. Labitzke contends that there is a strong relationship between the phase of the wind oscillation in the tropics and the timing



Monthly mean maps for March **1958-1961**

Figure **5.** 2(a) (After Labitzke **(1966) )**



**1962** - **1965**

Figure **5. 2(b)** (After Labitzke **(1966) )**

**-113-**

 $\bullet$ 

é

and nature of the final warmings in the northern hemisphere stratosphere. As evidence she cites the **10** mb northern hemisphere maps for the months of March for eight successive years (Fig. **5.** 2). The difference between the years **1958, 1960, 62, 63, 65** and **1959, 61, 63,** 64 is readily apparent. In the first set of years the polar vortex remains centered over the Arctic and the hemispheric circulation retains a considerable amount of zonal symmetry, while in the second set the vortex is displaced toward Siberia. Wave number one is important in the profiles of both sets of years but, as Labitzke points out, its amplitude is more than twice as large in the latter set, at the higher latitudes. There is also a slightly different phase of wave number one in the two sets of years; in the former the ridge position is over the Aleutians while in the latter it is positioned over western Canada.

The data available for the southern hemisphere warmings is much more limited. According to Barbe and Reininger **(1966),** the **1963** warming in the Antarctic occurred during the middle of November, a month later than the 1964 warming. There are no stratospheric analyses available prior to **1963;** in the absence of such data, the best indicator of the time of the stratospheric warmings is the **100** mb temperature at Antarctic stations. Phillpot (1964) has presented the **100** mb temperature records at a number of Antarctic stations for the years **1957-62;** two of these are

-114-



Figure **5. 3 100** mb temperatures at Amundsen-Scott and Wilkes during spring warmings, **1957-1962.** Units are in **<sup>0</sup> C.** (After Phillpot (1964) **)**

shown in Fig. **5. 3.** These suggest that the years **1957, 58, 60** and **62** were marked **by** relatively early or "accelerated" warmings, as opposed to **1959** and **61** in which the warmings occured about a month later. Phillpot's **50** mb data from Amundsen-Scott also suggest the same behavior.

The reason for the oscillation in the polar temperatures now becomes quite apparent. In winters with early warmings the stratospheric temperatures at high latitudes rise to summer levels about a month earlier than in the other winters. This early rise is reflected in the twelve month running means as a slightly increased temperature for the entire year centered around the month of the warming. In all cases where data on both polar temperatures and the time of the final warming are available, the years with warm winters are found to be those with early warmings. These results are summarized in Table **5. 1**

(c) Zonal winds

The time variations of zonal wind at middle latitudes in the stratosphere also show strong evidence of a two year periodicity. Rofe **(1963)** and Sparrow and Unthank (1964) have published data for Australia and New Zealand, some of which has been reproduced in Fig. **5.** 4. The oscillation is quite pronounced at **100** mb, and the square wave pattern centered on the winter months suggests that most of the year to year variations are due to the winter seasons.

**-116-**



Table **5. 1** Summary of stratospheric winters **1957-64.**

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\blacksquare$ 

**117-**



Figure 5.4 Twelve month running means of zonal wind at **60,000** ft. tor Australian stations. Units are in knots. Running means are centered on the dates as indicated. (After Sparrow and Unthank (1964) **)**

Angell and Korshover's **(1963)** analysis of northern hemispheric winds failed to produce such clear cut results. There was some evidence of a periodicity at some stations but the amplitude was very small. **A** more detailed examination of this region was included in the present study with hopes of obtaining more definitive information on the nature of the year to year variations. Monthly means at individual stations were averaged to<sup>o</sup>gether into latitude bands, as shown in Fig. 2.1. (See appendix for details). Twelve month running means were computed from the averages for the latitude bands and these are shown in Fig. **5. 5. A** consistent pattern of year to year variations appears over a wide range of latitudes and levels in this figure. Winter to winter differences account for most of the variance of the curves, as in the southern hemisphere. However, unlike the southern hemisphere, the oscillation is noticeable only above **30** mb at the higher latitudes.

Barbe and Reininger (1966) have suggested that the year to year variations in the southern hemisphere winds are only a manifestation of the difference in the time of the final warming from one year to the next. Years with early warmings are marked **by** a premature end of the winter westerlies and an early onset of the summer easterlies, and hence the circulation for the year is more easterly than normal. Although this effect problably does contribute to the year to year variations, it seems

**-119-**



Figure 5.5 Twelve month running means of zonal wind. Units are in m sec<sup>-1</sup>. The running means are centered on the dates as indicated. December values are placed on the tie marks.

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

unlikely that it is the major factor in causing them. In the first place, the running means in Fig. **5. 6** are not perfect square waves; the transitions between low and high values take place over the course of several months **-** specifically the winter months, rather than the one month over which the time of the warmings varies. It is also clear from Figs. **5. 3** and **5.** 4 that **1957** was marked **by** both an early warming and stronger than normal westerlies at mid-latitudes of the southern hemisphere, a combination contradictory to Barbe and Reininger's hypothesis.

Figure 4. **1** gives further evidence on how the two hemispheres differ in their response to the biennial oscillation. The section for Christchurch  $(43^{\circ}S)$  shows a marked similarity to that for stations at **<sup>20</sup> <sup>0</sup> <sup>S</sup>**(Fig. **3. 1)** in that the entire 100-20 mb layer is phase locked in the vertical in such a way that the peaks of the oscillation coincide with the winter seasons at all levels. It appears that perhaps this is the only mode in which middle latitudes can respond to the oscillation. It happens that throughout most of the period under investigation, the annual and biennial cycles were phased with respect to one another in such a way that the wind maxima in the tropics coincided with the southern hemisphere winters throughout most of the **10-100** mb layer. Figure **5. 6** shows this effect quite clearly.

The situation is effectively reversed in the northern hemisphere. Figure **5. 6** indicates that the 20 and **100** mb levels are out of phase with

-121-



Figure 5.6 Time height sections of zonal wind averaged around 3<sup>°</sup>S. Lower sections are formed **by** masking out sections of the upper. The purpose is to view the tropical wind structure during the winter seasons of the two hemispheres. Solid lines are at increments of 10 m sec<sup>-1</sup>. Westerlies are shaded.

respect to the biennial oscillation during the winter seasons. The middle latitude circulation is apparently unable to respond in this manner, since **by 32<sup>0</sup> N,** (Fig. **3. 1)** the oscillation has virtually disappeared. The winter to winter variation in zonal wind above **30** mb at higher latitudes (Fig. **5. 5)** may exist for completely different reasons from its southern hemisphere counterpart. Perhaps the vigorous wave disturbances which are characteristic of the northern hemisphere winters above **30** mb are strong enough to influence the strength of the westerlies, just as they apparently influence ozone and temperature. (See Sec. 4. **2d).** The fact that the winters of **1959-60** and **1961-62,** which had particularly strong eddy circulations and stronger than normal poleward momentum transports, were marked **by** relative easterlies in the subtropics and westerlies at mid-latitudes substantiates the hypothesis. In contrast, the middle latitude wind variations in the southern hemisphere may be brought about mean meridional circulations.

**(d)** Relationship to the tropical wind variations

Throughout the above discussion it has been tacitly assumed that the year to year differences evident in the middle and high latitude data are associated with the tropical wind fluctuations. Before concluding the discussion it would be well to test the validity of this hypothesis. Perhaps the simplest approach is to test for a correlation between tropical and high latitude events. To do this, one must select some parameter

characteristic of the zonal wind field in the tropics to compare with high latitude phenomena. Obviously there is a large number of parameters available to choose from; e. **g.,** the wind at any level, the tendency of the wind at any level, etc. Fortunately, all these parameters are **highly** correlated with one another at various lag times, so that in reality the choice is not as crucial as it might appear to be.

In her study of northern hemisphere final warmings, Labitzke **(1966)** selected the tendency of the wind at 20 mb over Canton Is. as the parameter representative of the zonal wind in the tropics. For all the northern hemisphere winters from **1958-65** there proved to be a correspondence between a tendency toward easterlies at Canton Is. and late final warmings. Unfortunately, this particular choice of parameter is not suitable for comparison with southern hemisphere winters since it happens that during those time periods the tendency is usually small or ambiguous.

**<sup>A</sup>**more convenient and probably no less relevant parameter proves to be the sign of the vertical shear of the zonal wind between **30** and **10** mb evaluated during the later months of the winter in question at **50** latitude of the winter hemisphere. This can be deduced from Fig. **3. 1** or **3.** 4. This quantity is usually not ambiguous and is well correlated with the type of winter warming in both hemispheres. Positive shear **-** i. e., westerlies above easterlies in the **30-10** mb layer **-** tends to be associated with early warmings, warm temperatures at the poles, and relative easterlies at

middle latitudes, but the correspondence is not perfect. The data in support of this generalization are summarized in Table **5. 1.**

Thus, the data suggest some interrelation between the parameters under consideration **-** enough to make it appear unlikely that the correspondence between them is a chance occurrence. However the relation does not seem to be a rigid one, and this makes it difficult and perhaps unwise to speculate on the reasons for it.

## **5.** 2 The Biennial Oscillation in the Troposphere

(a) Station data

Symptoms of the biennial oscillation are not so readily apparent in station data in the troposphere. This is understandable in view of the fact that the tropospheric circulation contains a much wider array of eddy sizes than that of the stratosphere and parameters at a given station are largely governed **by** the positions of the shorter waves which are probably not involved in the biennial cycle. It is indeed rather surprising that in spite of this effectively high noise level, there is evidence of a periodicity in so many tropospheric quantities.

Angell and Korshover **(1962, 63)** examined wind and temperature data at several tropospheric levels and found some evidence of a periodicity at around **26** months in the data from middle latitudes. However the results are based on a harmonic analysis of data spanning only two cycles of the oscillation, and must therefore be viewed with caution.

Landsberg **(1963)** and Landsberg et al (1964) have employed power spectrum analysis to study long records of surface data from a large number of stations and have found evidence of an oscillation with the following properties:

- **1.** a period of around **26** months\*
- 2. a tendency for tropical and middle-latitude stations to be out of pha
- **3.** a tendency for phase locking with the annual cycle
- 4. better organization of the oscillation at some times than at others; during such periods neighboring stations display a more coherent distribution of the phase of the oscillation.

The period of record of the data in Landsberg's study ends in **1950,** several years before reliable data became available on the stratospheric . oscillation. Hence there is not direct means of verifying that the two phenomena are related. It should be possible to correlate surface data and stratospheric events during more recent years and it is hoped that

**<sup>\*</sup>A** "period of **26** months" is not meant to imply a strictly periodic componer in the record but rather that in a power spectrum analysis of the data the power in the frequency band centered around **26** months is significantly greater in neighboring frequency bands.

such a study will be undertaken in the near future.<sup>\*</sup>

**(b)** Circulation indices

The inherent difficulties in tropospheric data, i. e., the fact that a record from one station is not representative of global scale features, can be largely eliminated **by** combining data from many stations to compute various indices of the global or hemispheric circulation. Shapiro (1964) performed a power spectrum analysis on a time record of the variance of surface pressure and found evidence of a **26** month periodicity. It is significant that the winter months contribute most of the variance to this oscillation. Wagner **(1965)** arrived at the same result in his study of zonally averaged surface pressure data.

The above studies suggest that there are long period variations in tropospheric data which bear a strong resemblance to those associated with the biennial oscillation in the stratosphere. The data of Miller, Woolf and Teweles **(1967)** are perhaps the best available evidence that the two phenomena are actually related. The former studied long term

 $\displaystyle{phantom M_{\rm X}^*}$  The most recent decade should prove to be a particularly good interval to examine tropospheric data since during part of this time the stratosphere was marked **by** a strong two year periodicity. This should be easily detectable in tropospheric data if it is present. Reitschel **(1929)** describes a world wide two-year oscillation in surface temperatures which prevailed during the interval **1900-12.**

**-127-**

variations in the poleward transport of westerly momentum as a function of wave number **by** performing a Fourier analysis in longitude on daily **500** mb hemispheric maps for a ten year period (approximately **1956-65).** Twelve month running means of momentum transports **by** the first six wave numbers show the following characteristics:

- **1.** There is, throughout most of the decade, a large two year periodicity in the momentum transports.
- 2. The winter months are almost entirely responsible for this periodicity
- **3.** Wave numbers **1,** 2 and **3** show the oscillation most clearly; wave number 2 is out of phase with **1** and **3;** i. e., in winters with large northward momentum transports **by** waves **1** and **3,** wave number 2 transports less than a normal amount of momentum northward. **-**

The marked similarities between these year to year variations in 500 mb momentum transports and those previously noted in stratospheri parameters, both within the same period of record, is the strongest evidence thus far presented in favor of a relation between stratosphere and troposphere in the biennial oscillation.

(c) Periodicities in long data records

If it is accepted that the biennial oscillation is perceptible in some large scale meteorological elements in the troposphere such as the positions of the long waves during the winter seasons, then it is not surprising that there are signs of the oscillation in long period records of a variety of more localized parameters. Tables **5.** 2 and **5. 3,** after Landsberg **(1962),** give some idea of the widespread occurrence of the phenomenon. It is evident from these tables that the oscillation covers a rather broad scale of frequencies between 2 and 2 **1/2** years. The wideness of the frequency band probably results from several factors:

**1.** The oscillation in the stratosphere is not truly periodic. It apparently undergoes intervals of phase locking with the annual cycle, when its actual period is exactly two years, and other intervals, such as **1963-65,** when its period is variable and in excess of two years. (Sec. 4. 3a).

2. Apart from the annual cycle, the noise (spoken of in the context of the discussion of Sec. **5.** 2a) inherent in tropospheric data accounts for most of the energy present in the power spectrum. For an infinitely long record of data this energy would fall into a spectrum whose frequency distribution would be featureless in the neighborhood of two years. However, for the finite records of data under consideration, the spectrum of this noise has features which are capable of shifting or even obliterating the spectral peak associated with the biennial cycle.

**-129-**



 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

Table **5.** 2 (After Landsberg **(1962)).**

*The 2 to 21/2* year *rhythm as indccated en various records of atmospheric elements*



Locality Element Interval Rhythm Author Year publ. Winter sea surf temp. Lake levels Sea surf. temp. Sunspots Solar constant Floods Varves Sunspots Varves Tree rings 1874-1904 **1774-1912 1750-1922 1918-1926** 641-1451 **18,000** yrs. **1750-1934 3,000** yrs. 2.0 **2.5-2.7** (2) **2.3** 2.1 2.0 2.2 2.2 **2-2.7 2.1, 2.7** PETTERSSON [11] **WALLEN** [12] **HELLAND-HANsEN** and **NANsEN [15] CLOUGH [19] ABBOT** [49] **BROOKs [26] BRooKs [27]** DouGLAs **[50] ANDERSON** [46] BRYSON and DUT-**TON** *[47]* Norweg. Coast Sweden North Atlantic Earth Earth Nile *N &* **S.** Am. India Scandinavia Earth Var. geol. format. West. U. **S. 1905** 1913/14 **1917** 1924 **1927 1928 1928 1936 1961 1961**

Indications of 2 to $2^{1}/_{2}$ year rhythm in other than meteorological time series			
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

×

z.

**3.** Because of interactions between the atmosphere and oceans on a time scale of years, a certain amount of persistence from one year to the next is to be expected in meteorological data. The effect of this persistence on the frequency distribution of disturbances in the atmosphere is to slightly reduce the amplitude of short period disturbances relative to longer period ones. This differenzial amplification of disturbances should be most noticeable for periods on the order of the time scale of the atmosphere ocean interactions. If the southern oscillation is typical of the time scale of such interactions, then this effect should be felt most strongly for periods in the order of several years. Nordo **(1965)** has noted that if there were a relative maximum at two years in the frequency spectrum of a meteorological variable, the effect of persistence would be to lengthen the apparent period of the peak. The amount of this "red shift" would be determined **by** the strength of persistence relative to the sharpness of the peak. This could vary considerably from one meteorological parameter to another and from one geographical location to another. Hence this effect might explain why meteorological variables exhibit a range of periods between 2 and 2 1/2 years rather than a single period at 2 years.

## **5. 3** Summary

Figure **5. 7** depicts in schematic form the principal results of this chapter. Each of the boxes represents some phenomenon believed

**-132-**



Figure **5. 7**

 $\bullet$
to be associated with the biennial oscillation. Wherever phenomena in different regions are related to one another **by** a known physical mechanism, the boxes are connected. The connecting lines are replaced **by** arrows in cases where the relationship is suggestive of cause and effect.

At present our understanding of the modes in which circulations at different levels and latitudes interact with one another is far from adequate for describing this complex network of interactions involving virtually the entire atmosphere. Thus it is not surprising that this chapter has fallen short of providing a comprehensive explanation of all the observed features of the biennial oscillation. Each missing link in the figure is worthy of an involved study in itself. To speculate on possible modes of interaction without undertaking such a study would not do justice to the subject and would tend to confuse those results for which there is a strong physical basis with those which are mere speculation. Perhaps the blocks should best be left conspicuously unconnected as suggestions for future studies.

-134-

## CHAPTER VI

# **LONG** PERIOD VARIATIONS **AS A** GLOBAL **PHENOMENON**

Chapters III, IV, and V have dealt with various aspects of the long period fluctuations in the atmosphere associated with the "biennial" or **"26** month" oscillation. The apportioning of the subject material among the three chapters was determined largely **by** convenience in discussing cause and effect relationships. The purpose of this final chapter is to view the fluctuations as a global phenomenon, thus integrating the results obtained in the earlier chapters. We will begin with a brief summary of these results.

## **6. 1 A** Review

 $\bullet$ 

Chapter III discussed the most noticeable and well documented features of "the biennial oscillation", i. e., the long period wind and temperature fluctuations in the tropical stratosphere. It was shown that long period variations in the eddy momentum fluxes are instrumental in producing the sequence of alternating easterly and westerly wind regimes in the tropics. It was argued that a mean downward drift is responsible for the propagation of these regimes into the lower stratosphere, and that small variations in this mean downward motion give rise to the temperature fluctuations which are necessary to keep the

wind and temperature fields in geostrophic balance. Thus, the inference was that both the observed wind and temperature variations in the tropical stratosphere are a response to variations in the eddy fluxes of momentum into and out of the region.

Chapter IV was an attempt to determine the nature and cause of the variations in momentum transport, with hopes of coming one step closer to the cause of the "oscillation". It was shown that at least in the northern hemisphere the variations in momentum transports are but one symptom of an overall modulation in the intensity of the eddy activity at middle latitudes. Since eddy activity is virtually confined to the winter season, this represents specifically a winter to winter variation. The winter temperatures and total ozone content at middle latitudes of the northern hemisphere were shown to exhibit year to year changes consistent with this modulation of eddy activity for the five winters for which there is data on both quantities. On this basis it was inferred, from a longer record of ozone data, that there is a tendency for a two year periodicity in the intensity of the winter circulations. It was suggested that such a periodicity could arise within the atmosphere from an interaction between the eddy circulations at middle latitudes and the mean circulations in the tropics. Since it was shown in Chapter III that the eddy circulations drive the mean circulations of the tropics, it was only

**-136-**

necessary to demonstrate that the mean circulations, in turn, exert a feedback upon the eddy circulations, to prove that such an interaction does exist. Three possible schemes for providing the necessary feedback were discussed: **(1)** a modulation **by** the mean zonal flow of the eddy energy coming into the region from below, (2) the influence of the horizontal shear in the mean zonal flow on the shape of the eddies and **(3)** the effect of the mean zonal flow at low latitudes upon the stability characteristics of the polar vortex.

Chapter V was devoted to some of the many manifestations of the long period variations which were not discussed in the previous chapters. Within the stratosphere, year to year variations in polar temperatures, final warming dates, and zonal winds at middle latitudes were discussed. It was suggested that polar temperatures are merely reflections of the timing of the final warmings. There appeared to be a basic difference in the character of the wind variations at middle latitudes in the two hemispheres, with those in the southern hemisphere being closely related to tropical wind regimes, and those in the northern hemisphere being more closely identified with the temperature and ozone variations at those latitudes which are believed to result from a modulation of eddy activity. Periodicities in tropospheric quantities were discussed and evidence was presented for a relation between troposphere

**-137-**

and stratosphere in these long period variations.

These results are summarized in Fig. **6. 1** which is a composite of Figs. **3. 6,** 4. **9** and **5. 7.** The reasons for the connections between tropospheric and stratospheric effects will be discussed in Sec. **6.** 4.

## **6.** 2 Interhemispheric Differences

It will not be possible to determine definitely whether any basic differences between hemispheres exist in these long period variations until data on eddy fluxes become available for the southern hemisphere. The data presently available show evidence of some distinct differences in other, less basic quantities such as zonal winds at middle latitudes (Sec. **5.** 1c) and temperatures at middle and high latitudes (Sec. **5.** 1a). It is difficult to explain even these dissimilarities without allowing for some basic differences in the dynamical processes taking place in the two hemispheres.

It is certainly not surprising that differences should exist in the winter circulations of the two hemispheres. It was shown in Sec. 4. la that the northern hemisphere winter exhibits a much stronger short term variability than its southern hemisphere counterpart. This is indicative of more vigorous disturbances within the northern hemisphere vortex. In view of this fact, it might be expected that the northern hemisphere circulation would exert the dominant influence on the mean flow in the



Figure **6.1**

tropics. The time-height section for **30 <sup>S</sup>**(Fig. **3. 1b)** suggests that above **30** mb, where the zonal accelerations are chiefly a response to the eddy transports, the large accelerations usually take place during the northern hemisphere winters, which lends support to this hypothesis.

If there is any essential difference in the roles which the two hemispheres play in these long period variations (i. **e.,** if the observed differences are not accidental features of the short record of data presently available) then, as Tucker **(1966)** has pointed out, it is likely that the troposphere is deeply involved in the dynamics of the phenomenon. For presumably, basic interhemispheric differences in the general circulation arise from the different land-sea distributions in the two hemispheres and this effect is felt primarily in the troposphere.

# **6. 3** The Role of the Troposphere

Newell **(1964b)** suggested that, inasmuch as the tropospheric heat engine at middle latitudes is the source of the energy for the motions of the lower stratosphere, it would be well to look to that region for possible causes of the biennial oscillation. From an energy standpoint this region is certainly more appealing than the upper atmosphere since its mass is two orders of magnitude larger and consequently "the tail doesn't have to wag the dog", so to speak.

The discussion of long period variations in tropospheric parameters in Sec. **5.** 2 suggests that the troposphere is indeed involved in

-140-

the "biennial oscillation", at least to a limited extent. However, as appealing as the idea may be, there are a number of serious difficulties involved in viewing the troposphere as the self contained source of the long period variations. The five year study of Krueger, Winston and Haines **(1965)** fails to show evidence of any significant year to year variations in the transformations between potential and kinetic energy in the zonal and eddy forms, (There are significant winter to winter variations in the same quantities in the stratosphere). **A** further difficulty is that the troposphere, of itself, lacks the capacity for remembering from one winter to the next, as in the stratosphere, where the mean zonal flow in the tropics performs the functions of a memory (Sec. 4. 3c). Teweles **(1965)** suggested that an interaction with ocean currents might serve as a memory for the troposphere, but surface temperatures and pressures for a number of ocean stations during the past decade fail to show any evidence of long period variations of the type occurring at higher levels.

As an alternative to a memory, as such, Newell (1964a) suggested that long period variations in incoming visible solar radiation might produce fluctuations in the intensity of the tropospheric heat engine and that these could, in turn, be transmitted to the stratosphere. This explanation also meets with difficulty, for the data of Miller et. al. **(1967)**

-141-

and Krueger, Winston and Haines **(1965)** cited above, argue in favor of variations in rather specific aspects of the tropospheric circulation, as opposed to a modulation of the overall intensity of the tropospheric heat engine.

The fact that the long period variations in question are primarily due to winter to winter differences is, in itself, a clue as to their origin. Although the tropospheric circulation is undoubtedly more vigorous in winter than in summer, the difference between seasons is only a matter of degree. This is in contrast to the stratospheric circulation (see Sec. 4. **1)** in which virtually all the eddy activity is confined to the winter season. Now the fact that the year to year differences, even in tropospheric quantities such as the **500** mb momentum transports, can be attributed almost entirely to the winter seasons suggests that the stratosphere, rather than the troposphere is the source region.

# **6.** 4 **A** Further Examination of Possible Causes

In Sec. 4. 3c we discussed a specific class of mechanisms which might be responsible for producing the long period variations. At that time it was not possible to consider the question of whether such mechanisms could account for all the observed manifestations of the "biennial oscillation", since high latitude and tropospheric effects had not yet been discussed. At this point, having considered the long period variations in these regions, we return to this question.

The mean zonal flow in the tropics determines the outer boundary condition on the polar vortex, (Sec. 4. 3c) and the upper boundary condition on a considerable portion of the troposphere. It was suggested in Sec. 4. 3c) that changes in the lateral boundary condition of the polar vortex might have an important effect on the stability of the vortex, and thus it might influence the timing of warmings. Similarly, if wave disturbances in the troposphere are sensitive to the zonal wind structure at this upper boundary then it may be possible for the long period variations within the stratosphere to induce variations in the structure of the waves in the troposphere.

As an example of such a boundary effect, we might consider the "work term", given **by** the correlation between pressure and vertical velocity on a horizontal surface at this boundary. This term represents the main contribution to the energy flow through this boundary (Miller, **1966).** If, as Charney and Drazin's **(1961)** results suggest, and the interlevel correlations (Sec. 4. 3c) support, the zonal flow does affect the vertical propagation of wave energy, then it may impose limitations on the size of pressure or vertical motion variations on this surface, or the correlation of the two quantities in wave disturbances.

There is also the possibility of direct coupling between the waves

-143-

in stratosphere and troposphere in the large winter disturbances. Julian and Labitzke **(1965)** and Labitzke **(1966)** have shown evidence that stratospheric warmings are related to blocking in the troposphere.

In postulating that the stratosphere contains the mechanism for producing the long period variations there is no intention of implying that energy flows from stratosphere to troposphere. On the contrary, the mechanisms suggested are consistent with and one of them actually depends upon the upward propagation of energy from troposphere to stratosphere. The implication is that the stratosphere is capable of regulating the amount of this energy coming into the region from below.

### **6. 5** Concluding Remarks

The purpose of this thesis has been to examine the long period variations in the atmosphere from an observational standpoint, using the simplest possible analysis techniques and making no assumptions regarding periodicities, with hopes of gaining some insight into the cause of the phenomenon.

It was found that the zonal wind data presently available in the tropics are adequate for defining these variations in considerable detail. The variations, though not strictly periodic at all times, do exhibit a very simple behavior which is remarkable in its consistency with respect to both latitude and longitude. Consideration of the momentum, heat and

#### -144-

energy budgets relevent to these motions led to the conclusion that they are driven **by** quasi-horizontal exchange processes involving the extratropical circulation of the winter hemisphere. This result is in agreement with the contention of Charney **(1963)** that "in the absence of condensation, tropical motions **......** [are] driven primarily **by** lateral coupling with extratropical and precipitating tropical motions".

In light of these findings it appears extremely unlikely that temporal variations in radiative heating could be the cause of the oscillation. Future work towards an explanation of its occurrence would do well to consider the question of year to year variations in the winter stratospheric circulations.

It is hoped that the data presented herein will be useful to those interested in modeling the stratosphere and that the discussion will be influential in shaping future models of "the **26** month oscillation".

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Angell, **J.** K. and **J.** Korshover, **1962:** The biennial wind and temperature oscillations and their possible extension to higher latitudes. Mon. Wea. Rev., **90, p. 127.**
- , , **1963:** Harmonic analysis of the biennial wind and temperature. Mon. Wea. Rev., **91, p. 537.**
- , 1964: Quasi-biennial variances in temperature, total ozone and tropapause height. **J.** Atmos. Sci. **,** 21, **p.** 479.
- Barbe, **G. D.** and **E.** Reininger, **1966:** On the existence of a quasi-biennial oscillation appearing in the zonal component of the stratospheric easterlies observed in summer over Kerguelen Island and in the period of the final spring warming of the Antarctic stratosphere. Paper presented at the symposium on Interaction between Upper and Lower Layers of the Atmosphere. IUGG Meeting, Vienna, May, **1966.**
- Belmont, **A. D.** and **D. G.** Dartt, 1964: Double quasi-biennial cycles in observed winds in the tropical stratosphere. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 21, **p.** 354.
- Buch, H., 1954: Hemispheric wind conditions during the year **1950.** Final' Report, Part 2, Planetary Circulations Project, Contract No. **AF19 (122)-153,** Dept. of Meteor. **,** M. I. T. **, 126 pp.**
- Bryson, R. **A.** and **J. A.** Dutton, **1961:** Some aspects of the variance spectra of tree rings and varves. Ann. **N.** Y. Acad. Sci. **, 95,** Art. **1 (1961) p. 581.**
- Charney, **J. G., 1963: A** note on large scale motions in the tropics. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 20, **p. 607.**
- Charney, **J. G.** and P. **G,** Drazin, **1961:** Propagation of planetary scale disturbances from the lower into the upper atmosphere. **J.** Geophys. Res., **66, p. 83.**
- Dickinson, R. **E. , 1962:** Momentum balance of the stratosphere during the IGY. Final Report, Planetary Circulations Project, Contract No. **AF19(604)5223,** Dept. of Meteor., M. I. T., **312 pp.**
- Friend, **J.** P., H. W. Feely, P. W. Krey, **J.** Spar, and **A.** Walton, **1961:** The high altitude sampling program **DASA 1300.** Vol. **3,** Final Report on Contract **DA** 29-044-xz-609, Isotopes, Inc., Westwood, *N. J.*
- Hanna, P., **1965:** Hurricane frequency and the **26** month period. Paper presented at 4th Technical Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology, Miami, November, **1965.**
- Julian, P. R. and K. B. Labitzke, **1965: A** study of atmospheric energetics during the January-February **1963** stratospheric warming. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 22, **p. 597.**
- Kennedy, *J. S.,* 1964: Energy generation through radiative processes in the lower stratosphere. Report No. **11,** Planetary Circulations Project, Contract No. AT(30-1)2241, Dept. of Meteor., M. I. T.

Korshover, **J.,** AFCRC AF19(604)-546 **,** Scientific Report No. 4.

- Krueger, **A.** F., *J. S.* Krueger and **D. A.** Haines, **1965:** Computations of atmospheric energy and its transformation for a recent **5** year period. Mon. Wea. Rev., **93, p. 227.**
- Labitzke, K. B., **1966:** The nearly two year cycle of the midwinter warmings and of the final spring warmings of the stratosphere. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Interaction between the Upper and Lower Layers of the Atmosphere. IUGG Meeting, Vienna, May, **1966.**
- Landsberg, H. **E., 1962:** Biennial pulses in the atmosphere. Beitr. Phys. Atmos., **35, p.** 184.
- Landsberg, H. **E., J.** M. Mitchell Jr., H. L. Crutcher and F. T. Quinlan, **1963:** Surface signs of the biennial atmospheric pulse. Mon. Wea. Rev., **91, p.** 549.
- Lindzen, R. **S., 1966:** Radiative and photochemical processes in mesospheric dynamics, Part II, Vertical propagation of long period disturbances at the equator. **J.** Atmos. Sci., **23, p.** 334.
- Lorenz, **E. N., 1955:** Available potential energy and the maintenance of the general circulation. Tellus, **7, p. 157.**

 $\bullet$ 

- Maeda, **K., 1966:** Quasi-biennial variations in cosmic ray intensities. Paper presented at the Symposium on Interactions between the Upper and Lower Layers of the Atmosphere. IUGG Meeting, Vienna, May, **1966.**
- Manabe, **S.** and R. F. Strickler, 1964: Thermal equilibrium of the atmosphere with a convective adjustment. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 21, **p. 361.**
- McCreary, F. **E., 1959:** Stratospheric winds over the tropical Pacific Ocean. Minneapolis meeting of **A.** M. **S., 1959.**
- Miller, **A. J., 1966:** Vertical motion atlas for the lower stratosphere during the IGY. Report No. **16,** Planetary Circulations Project, Contract No. AT(30-1)2241, Dept. of Meteor., M. I. T.
- Miller, **A. J.,** H. M. Woolf and **S.** Teweles, **1967:** Quasi-biennial cycles in the angular momentum transports at **500** mb. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 24, in press.
- Murgatroyd, R. **J.,** and F. Singleton, **1961:** Possible meridional circulations in the stratosphere and mesosphere. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **87, p. 125.**
- Newell, R. **E., 1963:** The general circulation of the atmosphere and its effects on the movement of trace substances. **J.** Geophys. Res., **68, p.** 3949.
- , 1964a: **26** month oscillation in atmospheric properties and the apparent solar diameter. Nature, 204, **p. 278.**
- , **1964b: A** note on the **26** month oscillation. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 21, **p. 320.**
- , 1964c: Stratospheric energetics and mass transport. **PAGEOPH, 58, p.** 145.
- Newell, R. **E., J.** R. Mahoney and R. W. Lenhard Jr., **1966:** Small scale wind variations in the stratosphere and mesosphere. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **92, p.** 41.
- Newell, R. **E., J.** M. Wallace and **J.** R. Mahoney, **1966:** The general circulation of the atmosphere and its effects on the movement of trace substances, Part 2. Tellus, **18,** in press.
- Nordo, **J., 1965:** personal communication.
- Obasi, **G. 0.** P., **1965:** On the maintenance of the kinetic energy of mean zonal flow in the southern hemisphere. Tellus, **17, (1).**
- Oort, **A.** H., **1963:** On the energy cycle of the lower stratosphere. Report No. **9,** Planetary Circulations Project, Contract No. AT(30-1)2241, Dept. of Meteor., M. I. T.
- Palmer, **C. E.,** 1954: The general circulation between 200 mb and **10** mb over the equatorial Pacific. Weather, **9, p. 156.**
- Peng, L., **1965: A** simple numerical experiment concerning the general circulation in the lower stratosphere. **PAGEOPH, 61, p. 191.**
- Phillpot, H. R., 1964: The springtime accelerated warming phenomenon in the Antarctic stratosphere. I. **A. C. C.** Technical Report No. **3,** 1964, Melbourne.
- Priestly, **C.** H. **B.,** 1949: Heat transports and zonal stresses between latitudes. Quart. J. R. Meteor. Soc., **75, p. 28.**
- Probert-Jones, **J.** R., 1964: An analysis of the fluctuations in the tropical stratospheric wind. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **90, p. 15.**
- Ramanathan, K. R., **1963:** Bi-annual variation of atmospheric ozone over the tropics. Quart. *J.* R. Meteor. Soc., **89, p.** 540.
- Reed, R. **J., 1960:** The circulation of the stratosphere. Paper presented at the 40th Anniversary meeting of the **A.** M. **S.,** Boston, January, **1960.**
- , **1962:** Wind and temperature oscillations in the tropical stratosphere. Trans. **A.G.U.,** 43, **p. 105.**
- , 1964a: **A** climatology of wind and temperatures in the tropical stratosphere between **100** mb and **10** mb. **(U. S.** Naval Weather Research Facility) NWRF **26-0564-092,** Norfolk, Va.
- , **1964b: A** tentative model of the **26** month oscillation in tropical latitudes. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **90, p.** 441.
- Reed, R. **J., 1965:** The quasi-biennial oscillation of the atmosphere between **30** and **50** km over Ascension Island. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 22, **p. 331.**
- Reed, R. **J.,** W. **J.** Campbell, L. **A.** Rasmussen and **D. G.** Rogers, **1961:** Evidence of a downward propagating wind reversal in the equatorial stratosphere. **J.** Geophys. Res., **66, p. 813.**
- Riehl, H. and R. Higgs, **1960:** Unrest in the upper stratosphere over the Caribbean Sea during January **1960. J.** Meteor., **17, p. 555.**
- Rietschel, **E., 1929:** Die **3-3** 1/2 jahrige und die 2 jahrige Temperaturschwankung. Veroff. Geophys. Inst. Leipzig, Ser. 2, 4, No. **1,** 47 **pp.**
- Rofe, **B., 1963:** Australian rocket sounding experiments. Technical Note **SAD 127,** Dept. of Supply, Salisbury, Australia, **18 pp.**
- Rodgers, **C. D.** and **C. D.** Walshaw, **1966:** The computation of infra-red cooling rates in planetary atmospheres. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **92, p. 67.**
- Saltzmann, **B., 1961:** Perturbation equations for the time average state of the atmosphere including the effects of transient disturbances. **PAGEOPH,** 48, **p.** 143.
- Shapiro, **R.,** 1964: **A** mid-latitude biennial oscillation in the variance of surface pressure distribution. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **90, p. 328.**
- Sparrow, **J. G.** and **E.** L. Unthank, 1964: Biennial stratospheric oscillations in the southern hemisphere. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 21, **p. 592.**
- Staley, **D. 0., 1963: A** partial theory of the **26** month oscillation of the zonal wind in the equatorial stratosphere. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 20, **p. 506.**
- Tucker, **G.** B., 1964: Zonal winds over the equator. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **90, p.** 405.

Tucker, **G.** B., **1966:** The role of large scale horizontal eddies and the vertical exchange coefficient for momentum in the approximately **26** month zonal wind oscillation in the lower stratosphere over the tropics. Paper presented at the Symposium on Interactions between Upper and Lower Layers of the Atmosphere. IUGG Meeting, Vienna, May, **1966.**

Wagner, **A. J., 1965:** personal communication.

- Wallen, **A., 1913:** Fleroriga Variationer Los Vattenstanden i Malaren, Nederborden i Uppsala och Lufttemperaturen i Stockholm. Meddel, Hydrografis. byran 4, 104 **pp.**
- Wexler, H., **1951:** Spread of the Krakatoa dust cloud as related to the high level circulation. Bull. **A.** M. **S., 32, p.** 48.
- Wilson, **C.** V. and W. L. Godson, **1963:** The structure of the Arctic winter stratosphere over a **10** year period. Quart. **J.** R. Meteor. Soc., **89, p. 205.**

#### APPENDIX

The discussion of data sources and data processing techniques contained in the main text is probably adequate for the reader interested in this work for the sake of the scientific results contained therein. The following, more detailed discussion is intended more as a help to those contemplating observational studies along the same lines as this one. The purpose is to acquaint the reader with the basic sources of radiosonde data for the stratosphere, particularly in the tropics, and to discuss some of the problems involved in making computations of the type done in this thesis.

#### **A-1** Data Sources

The daily data used in this thesis were taken from three general sources:

(a) The tapes of the MIT General Circulation Data Library, containing data for *249* northern hemisphere stations, plus Canton Island for the five year period May 1958-April **1963.** The reporting levels are **100, 70, 50, 30, 20, 15** and **10** mb, and the hour is OOZ only.

These data were originally extracted from Card Decks **524-525** and 545-645, in storage at the National Weather Records Center (NWRC), Asheville, **N.C.** These decks constitute the information contained in the Northern Hemisphere Daily Bulletins, published **by** NWRC. The former decks contain data for foreign stations, the latter for **U.S.** operated stations, which account for more than 90% of the stratospheric data available in the northern hemisphere during this period.

The locations of the stations are shown in Fig. **A,** and their names and other pertinent information are given in Table **A-1.** The **U.S.** operated stations have WABAN numbers in the table. Table **A-2** gives the number of individual daily observations during the five year period which contain both wind and temperature, as a function of latitude belt and level. **(70** mb is not included because it did not become a regular reporting level until the beginning of **1961).**

**A** small amount of supplementary data for 12Z and levels above **10** mb w as extracted directly from the Northern Hemisphere Bulletins. This was used to extend Figs. **3.1** and 3.4 to higher levels.

**(b)** In order to extend the period of record for tropical stations, data for the periods July 1957-April **1958** and May 1963-December 1964 for all the **U.S.** operated stations south of **250N** in Table **A-1** were purchased from NWRC, in the form of Card Decks 545 and 645. Both OOZ and 12Z data are included, and reporting levels include **80, 60,** 40, **25, 7** and **5** mb in addition to those listed in Item (a).

Items (a) and (b), taken together constitute an unbroken  $7\frac{1}{2}$  year data sample for about 40 northern hemisphere tropical stations. These data are being collected on a continuing basis at MIT, and an additional half year is available at the time of this writing.

(c) Southern hemisphere daily data were from the data centers of several countries:

**1.** Card Decks 545-645 were purchased from NWRC. These contain data for **U.S.** operated stations in South America north of **2508** and Ascension Is. The period of record is July 1957-December **1963.**

**-153-**

2. Data for all Australian stations north of **25 0 S** were purchased on cards from the Bureau of Meteorology of Australia. Reporting levels used were **60, 70, 80** and **90** thousand feet; the period of record is July, 1957-December, 1964.

**3.** Data for South African stations north of **25 0S** were purchased on cards from the Weather Bureau of the Union of South Africa. The period of record is July 1957-December **1960.** Reporting levels are **70, 50** and **30** mb.

4. Data for Portugese colonies in Africa were provided without charge **by** the Servico Meteorologico Nacional of Portugal in the form of tables. These were punched onto cards at MIT. The period of record is January, 1961-December, **1962.** Reporting levels are **100, 70, 50, 30** and 20 mb. In general, these data consist of once daily observations at various hours.

At the present time it does not appear that there is enough southern hemisphere data in the stratosphere to compute reliable momentum and heat flux statistics and therefore the only use made of it is in the monthly mean zonal winds. Table **A-3** contains pertinent information on the stations in this section.

In addition to daily data, a small amount of monthly mean zonal wind data was used in the sections. **Mr. J.** Korshover of **ESSA** provided the **1965** data for Canton Island, (Fig. **3.1)** and the New Zealand Meteorological Service provided the data for Christchurch, **N.Z.,** used in Fig. 4.1. The mean monthly maps published in Meteorologische Abhandlungen were used as a basis for the standing eddy computations.

-154-

#### **A-2** Preprocessing

Momentum flux statistics are extremely sensitive to any type of error in the daily data which produces abnormally large wind components. For instance, in the tropics, where the meridional wind component is usually very small, an erroneous wind direction can result in a conspicuously large computed value of momentum transport for the entire month at a given station.

In order to prevent contamination of the flux statistics **by** errors of this type, the wind data were edited **by** subjecting the values of the wind components to certain predetermined limits. These limits were arrived at **by** computing the mean zonal component, and the temporal standard deviations of both components as a function of latitude, level, and season. The zonal component was allowed to vary within a range of about 4 standard deviations from the appropriate mean, and the meridional component to vary within about 4 standard deviations from zero. The rejected winds were inspected and care was taken to see that an excessive number of rejections did not occur because of inappropriate limits. Rejection rates ranged from about a tenth of a percent most months to almost a percent during some of the very active winter months. **A** rough hydrostatic check was also applied to all the daily temperature data used in this study.

This method of editing data does not, **by** any means, remove all errors, nor is it possible to keep it from rejecting some correct data. However, with appropriate limits it does eliminate those errors most injurious to

**-155-**

the eddy flux statistics without screening out an unduly large amount of good data. These preprocessing procedures undoubtedly bias the flux statistics toward lower values. However, in view of the small rejection rates, it is doubtful that this effect is even noticeable, compared with the natural bias due to the difficulty in tracking balloons in high wind speeds. **And** since the statistics derived from these data are used more in a qualitative than in a quantitative manner, this type of bias does not cause serious objections.

#### **A-3** Calculation of the Transient **Eddy** Statistics

Monthly mean values,  $\overline{()}$ , were computed at each station for the following quantities.

**LA** zonal wind component

 $\sigma_{\mathcal{V}}$ 

*Ir* meridional wind component

- $\overrightarrow{u'v'}$  northward momentum transport by transient eddies
- $\overline{v''}$ <sup>T</sup>' northward heat transport by transient eddies
- ou temporal standard deviation of the zonal wind component

temporal standard deviation of the meridional wind component

Station values of each of the above quantities except  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  were then combined so as to obtain average values **[()] ,** for each latitude belt. The station values were weighted **by** the number of reports for the month so that, for instance, a station which reported on twenty days of the month would be ten times as influential as a station which reported only twice. This procedure does not recognize the fact that a small number of observations

spaced far apart in time within **a** month are more representative than the same number of observations if they should happen to occur on consecutive days. However this is probably not a serious objection, since such ambiguities arise only in situations where the data are sparse and these are given relatively little weight. **A** more serious objection is that strictly speaking, a zonal average should be derived **by** drawing maps for each quantity at each level and combining values at equally spaced grid points. Obviously, that is impossible in this case, due to the absence of data over large portions of each latitude belt. **A** slightly cruder method which also pays heed to longitudinal representation would be to weight each station **by** the longitudinal extent which its data represent, so that stations in regions of sparse data would be more influential than those which are densely spaced. However if isolated stations are used to represent the vast areas which surround them large errors will still result, since over the space of a few hundred miles, conditions can change markedly. While the method used in this study fails to produce mean quantities which are uniformly representative with respect to longitude, it does have the distinct advantage than random errors are minimized **by** the equal weighting of all observations.

The above arguments do not fully justify what has been done. The results are valid if and only if the time variations in the quantities derived **by** this method are representative of the time variations in the true zonal means. There is reason to hope that this may be the case for the parameters used in this study. Buch (1954) noted that latitudinal means of heat and momentum transports as calculated **by** averaging together

**-157-**

station data were almost identical to those obtained from map analyses. It is hoped that future studies with more complete data coverage will bear this out. For the present, the latitudinal means derived in this study can be said to apply mainly to the North American sector, from which the great majority of the data are taken.

The mean meridional wind  $[\vec{v}^{\cdot}]$ , and temperature,  $[\vec{\tau}]$ , have not been computed **by** this method. The former quantity is very small compared to typical station values within the latitude belt while the latter is strongly influenced **by** radiation corrections at individual stations which are in turn a function of solar elevation angle, and consequently of longitude. Hence these terms are extremely sensitive to the longitudinal distribution of stations.

Of the standard deviation terms  $\sigma u$  and  $\sigma v$ , the latter is more meaningful as an index of the intensity of the transient eddies. **A** trend in the zonal wind within a month can contribute significantly to the value of  $\sigma_{\mathbf{u}}$ , and therefore this term does not lend itself readily to physical interpretation. Monthly trend is less of a problem with  $\sigma v$ , since the range of  $\bar{v}$  is much less than that of  $\bar{u}$ . For this reason,  $0v$  data is more heavily stressed.

#### A-4 Calculation of the Standing **Eddy** Statistics

Standing eddy statistics were derived from the monthly mean geopotential height fields **by** computing the wind components at gridpoints, using the finite difference forms of the geostrophic equation. From these, the zonal average statistics were computed directly. Centered finite differences were used with grid points at **200** intervals in longitude and **50** in latitude.

**-158-**

**-159-**





 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 



 $\blacksquare$ 

 $\tilde{\textbf{t}}$ 

 $\pmb{\ast}$ 





**-162-**

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 

 $\tilde{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 





 $\hat{\textbf{S}}$ 



 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

**-165-**

ė

ă

	$100$ mb	$50$ mb	30 mb	$20 \text{ mb}$	15 mb	10 mb
$52^{\circ}$ N (V)	13,017	9,427	6,179	3,682	2, 245	816
$47^{\circ}$ N (VI)	29, 913	23,677	17,366	11, 419	6,889	2,766
$42^{\circ}$ N (VII)	34, 249	29, 496	25,781	16, 125	9,818	3,293
$37^{\rm O}{\rm N}$ (VIII)	29,040	22,852	19,260	12,364	7,178	3,494
$32^{\circ}$ N (IX)	30, 332	23, 467	20,677	14, 272	9,367	4,369
$28^{\circ}$ N (X)	22, 549	17, 177	14, 462	10,394	7,629	4,384
$22^{\circ}$ N (XI)	19.231	15, 344	12,071	6,986	4,311	1,877
$14^{\circ}$ N (XII)	14, 342	9.535	8, 204	6,236	4,455	2, 221
$8^{\circ}$ N (XIII)	7, 214	4,684	3,446	2, 407	1,791	1,105

Table **A-2.** Number of individual observations containing both wind and temperature as a function of latitude belt and level.

**-166-**

 $\bullet$ 

 $\pmb{\cdot}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

**-167-**

Table **A-3.** List of stations (southern hemisphere).

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\pmb{\lambda}$ 

 $\cdot$ 



# PUBLICATIONS DURING PERIOD OF JOINT SUPPORT BY **U. S.** AIR FORCE **AND** ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION **UNDER CONTRACTS AF19(604)-5223 AND** AT(30-1)2241

- Starr, V. **P., 1960:** Questions concerning the energy of stratospheric motions. Arch. **f.** Meteor. Geof. u. Biokl., 12, **1-7.**
- Barnes, **A. A., 1962:** Kinetic and potential energy between **100** mb and **10** mb during the first six months of the IGY. Final Report, Contract No. **AF19(604)-5223,** Department of Meteorology, M. I. T., **8-131.**
- Dickinson, R. **E., 1962:** Momentum balance of the stratosphere during the IGY. Final Report, Contract No. **AF19(604)-5223,** Department of Meteorology, M. I. T., **132-167.**

### PUBLISHED PAPERS **UNDER** AT(30-1)2241

- White, R. M. and **G.** Nolan, **1960: A** preliminary study of the potential to kinetic energy conversion process in the stratosphere. Tellus, 12, 145-148.
- Newell, R. **E., 1961:** The transport of trace substances in the atmosphere and their implications for the general circulation of the stratosphere. Geof. Pura e **Appl.,** 49, **137-158.**
- Barnes, **A. A., 1962:** General circulation of the stratosphere. Proceedings of the Conference on Radioactive Fallout from Nuclear Weapons Tests. November **15-17, 1961.** TID-7632. **U. S.** Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, **D. C.,** 204-209.
- Newell, R. **E., 1962:** The transport of ozone and radioactivity in the atmosphere; implications of recent stratospheric findings. Proceedings of the Conference on Radioactive Fallout from Nuclear Weapons Tests. November **15-17, 1961.** TID-7632, **U. S.** Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, **D. C. ,** 210-222.
- , **1963:** Transfer through the tropopause and within the stratosphere. **Q. J.** R. M. **S., 89,** 167-204.

 $\bullet$ 

, **1963:** Preliminary study of quasi-horizontal eddy fluxes from Meteorological Rocket Network data. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 20, **213-225.**

Ā

a

- , **1963:** The general circulation of the atmosphere and its effects on the movement of trace substances. **J.** Geophys. Res., **68, 3949-3962.**
- , 1964: The circulation of the upper atmosphere. Scientific American, 210, 62-74, March.
- , 1964: **A** note on the 26-month oscillation. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 21, **320-321.**
- 1964: Stratospheric energetics and mass transport. Pure and **Appl.** Geoph., **58, 145-156.**
- 1964: 26-month oscillation in atmospheric properties and the apparent solar diameter. Nature, 204, **278-279.**
- 1964: Further ozone transport calculations and the spring maximum in ozone amount. Pure and **Appl.** Geoph., **59, 191-206.**
- Oort, A. H., 1964: Direct measurement of the meridional circulation in the stratosphere during the IGY. Arch. **f.** Meteor., Geof., u. Biokl., 14, 131-148.
- , 1964: On the energetics of the mean and eddy circulations in the lower stratosphere. Tellus, **16, 309-327.**
- Newell, R. **E.** and **A. J.** Miller, **1965:** Some aspects of the general circulation of the lower stratosphere. Proceedings of the Second Conference on Radioactive Fallout from Nuclear Weapons Tests. November **3-6,** 1964. **U. S.** Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, **D. C.,** 392-404.
- Oort, A. H., 1965: The climatology of the lower stratosphere during the IGY and its implications for the regime of circulation. Arch. **f.** Meteor., Geof. u. Biokl., 14, **243-278.**
- Peng, L., **1965: A** simple numerical experiment concerning the general circulation in the lower stratosphere. Pure and **Appl.** Geoph., **61, 191-218.**
- **1965:** Numerical experiments on planetary meridional temperature gradients contrary to radiational forcing. Pure and **Appl.** Geoph., **62, 173-190.**
- Newell, R. **E., 1966:** The energy and momentum budget of the atmosphere above the tropopause. Problems of Atmospheric Circulation, Edited **by** R. V. Garcia and T. F. Malone, Spartan Books, Washington, **D. C. 106-126.**
- **1966:** Thermospheric energetics and a possible explanation of some observations of geomagnetic disturbances and radio aurorae. Nature, 211, **700-703.**
- Newell, R. **E.,** H. W. Brandli and **D. A.** Widen, **1966:** Concentration of ozone in surface air over Greater Boston in **1965. J. Appl.** Meteor., **5,** 740-741.
- Newell, R. **E., J.** R. Mahoney and R. W. Lenhard, Jr., **1966: A** pilot study of small-scale wind variations in the stratosphere and mesosphere. **Q. J.** R. M. **S., 92,** 41-54.
- Newell, R. **E., J.** M. Wallace and **J.** R. Mahoney, **1966:** The general circulation of the atmosphere and its effects on the movements of trace substances. Part 2. Tellus, **18, 363-380.**
- Wallace, **J.** M. and R. **E.** Newell, **1966: Eddy** fluxes and the biennial stratospheric oscillation. **Q. J.** R. M. **S.** , **92,** 481-489.
- Lagos, **C.** P. and **J.** R. Mahoney, **1967:** Numerical studies of seasonal and latitudinal variability in a model thermosphere. **J.** Atmos. Sci., 24, **88-94.**

## BIOGRAPHICAL **NOTE**

The author was born in New York City on October **28,** 1940. He graduated from Bayley-Ellard High School in Madison, **N.J.** in June, **1958.** He received his undergraduate training at Webb Institute of Naval Architecture in Glen Cove, N.Y. His work experience as part of the program included an apprenticeship at the New York Naval Shipyard, a tour of duty on a merchant ship, and several periods of employment as an engineering aid at David Taylor Model Basin. He was awarded a B.S. degree in naval architecture and marine engineering in June, **1962.**

While at M.I.T. he has been the receipient of a Ford Foundation fellowship for one year and a Fannie and John Hertz Engineering Scholarship Foundation fellowship for two years.

He has accepted a position as Assistant Professor in the Department of Atmospheric Sciences at the University of Washington starting in the fall of this year.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Starr, V.P. and **J.M.** Wallace, 1964: Mechanics of eddy processes in the tropical troposphere. PAGEOPH, **58, p. 138.**
- Wallace, J.M. and **J.A.** Copeland, 1964: Velocity field in a model of a spiral galaxy. Pub. Astr. Soc. Pac., **76.**
- Wallace, **J.M.** and R.E. Newell, **1965: Eddy** fluxes and the biennial oscillation in the stratosphere. Report No. 14, Planetary Circulations Project, Contract No. AT(30-1)2241, M.I.T. Dept. Meteor.
- Wallace, J.M. and R.E. Newell, **1966: Eddy** fluxes and the biennial stratospheric oscillation. Quart. **J.** R. Met. Soc., in press.