POISSON TRACES AND D-MODULES ON POISSON VARIETIES
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WITH AN APPENDIX BY IVAN LOSEV

Abstract. To every Poisson algebraic variety \( X \) over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, we canonically attach a right \( D \)-module \( M(X) \) on \( X \). If \( X \) is affine, solutions of \( M(X) \) in the space of algebraic distributions on \( X \) are Poisson traces on \( X \), i.e., distributions invariant under Hamiltonian flow. When \( X \) has finitely many symplectic leaves, we prove that \( M(X) \) is holonomic. Thus, when \( X \) is affine and has finitely many symplectic leaves, the space of Poisson traces on \( X \) is finite-dimensional. More generally, to any morphism \( \phi : X \to Y \) and any quasicoherent sheaf of Poisson modules \( N \) on \( X \), we attach a right \( D \)-module \( M_\phi(X, N) \) on \( X \), and prove that it is holonomic if \( X \) has finitely many symplectic leaves, \( \phi \) is finite, and \( N \) is coherent.

As an application, we deduce that noncommutative filtered algebras, for which the associated graded algebra is finite over its center whose spectrum has finitely many symplectic leaves, have finitely many irreducible finite-dimensional representations. The appendix, by Ivan Losev, strengthens this to show that in such algebras, there are finitely many prime ideals, and they are all primitive. This includes symplectic reflection algebras.

Furthermore, we describe explicitly (in the settings of affine varieties and compact \( C^\infty \)-manifolds) the finite-dimensional space of Poisson traces on \( X \) when \( X = V/G \), where \( V \) is symplectic and \( G \) is a finite group acting faithfully on \( V \).
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1. Introduction

Let \( A \) be a Poisson algebra. In this paper, we always work over an algebraically closed field \( \mathbb{K} \) of characteristic zero. A Poisson trace on \( A \) is a linear functional \( T : A \to \mathbb{K} \) such that \( T(\{a, b\}) = 0 \) for all \( a \) and \( b \) in \( A \), i.e., a Lie algebra character of \( A \). Thus, the space of Poisson traces of \( A \) coincides with the zeroth Lie algebra cohomology \( H^0_{\text{Lie}}(A, A^*) \), and is dual to the zeroth Lie algebra homology \( H^0_{\text{Lie}}(A, A) = A/\{A, A\} \), which is also the zeroth Poisson homology \( HP_0(A) \).

Unfortunately, in spite of the simplicity of their definition, Poisson traces remain rather poorly understood. This is partly because this definition is non-local with respect to \( \text{Spec}(A) \), so the machinery of algebraic geometry cannot be directly applied.
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In this paper, we develop a general theory of $D$-modules on Poisson varieties, which resolves this issue. This theory canonically attaches to every Poisson variety $X$ a certain right $D$-module $M(X)$ on $X$, which is local with respect to $X$, and whose zeroth cohomology is $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

More generally, in §2 we attach a right $D$-module $M_\phi(X)$ on $X$ to every Poisson variety $X$ together with a morphism $\phi : X \to Y$ to another variety $Y$. If $X = Y$ and $\phi = \text{id}$, then $M_\phi(X) = M(X)$. Still more generally, given a quasicoherent sheaf of Poisson modules $N$ on $X$ (the notion dates to at least [RVW96]; we recall the definition in §2.8 below), we define the right $D$-module $M_\phi(X,N)$ on $X$; if $N = \mathcal{O}_X$, it coincides with $M_\phi(X)$.

We show that, if $X$ and $Y$ are affine, the underived direct image of $M_\phi(X)$ under the map of $X$ to a point is $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}$; more generally, for $M_\phi(X,N)$ it is $N/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, N\}$. In particular, $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the underived direct image of $M(X)$.

Next, in §3, we show that, if $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves and $\phi$ is a finite morphism, the $D$-module $M_\phi(X)$ is holonomic, and so is $M_\phi(X,N)$ for a coherent sheaf of Poisson modules $N$. Since the direct image of a holonomic $D$-module is holonomic, this implies the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $X$ be an affine Poisson variety, $Y$ be another affine variety, and $\phi : X \to Y$ be a morphism. If $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves and $\phi$ is finite, then the space $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}$ is finite-dimensional. More generally, $N/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, N\}$ is finite-dimensional for any coherent sheaf of Poisson modules $N$ over $\mathcal{O}_X$.

**Corollary 1.2.** If $X$ is an affine Poisson variety which has finitely many symplectic leaves, then $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is finite-dimensional.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $X$ be an affine Poisson variety with finitely many symplectic leaves (for example, a symplectic variety) and $G$ be a finite group of Poisson automorphisms of $X$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_{X/G}, \mathcal{O}_X\}$ is finite-dimensional. In particular, the subspace of $G$-invariants, $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X/G})$, is finite-dimensional.

Note that the last statement of Corollary 1.3 also follows from Corollary 1.2 since, if $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves, so does $X/G$.

Corollary 1.3 in the case when $X$ is a symplectic vector space $V$ and $G$ acts linearly was proved in [BEG04, §7] (the last statement of the corollary in this case was previously conjectured by Alev and Farkas in [AF03]). In fact, the proof in [BEG04] is based on showing that the Fourier transform of the $D$-module $M_\phi(V)$ (where $\phi : V \to V/G$ is the tautological map) is generically defined by a holonomic system of differential equations, and the method of this paper is, essentially, an extension of the method of [BEG04] to general varieties.

Our results imply the following theorem in noncommutative algebra.

Let $A$ be a nonnegatively filtered associative algebra, such that $A_0 := \text{gr}(A)$ is a finitely generated module over its center $Z$, and let $X := \text{Spec}(Z)$ be the corresponding Poisson variety. Assume that $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves. More precisely, we assume that for some integer $d > 0$ there is a filtered linear lift $g : Z \to A$ of the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow A_0$ such that $[g(Z^i), F_j A] \subset F_{i+j-d}A$. In this case, $Z$ acquires a natural homogeneous Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ of degree $-d$, independent on the choice of $g$, such that for $a \in Z^i, b \in Z^j$, $\{a,b\}$ is the image of $[g(a), g(b)]$ in $F_{i+j-d}(A)$, and we assume that $X$ has finitely many symplectic...
Theorem 1.4. Under the above assumption, the space $HH_0(A) = A/[A, A]$ is finite-dimensional. In particular, $A$ has finitely many irreducible finite-dimensional representations.

Example 1.5. Let $V$ be a symplectic vector space and $G < Sp(V)$ a finite subgroup. For every conjugation-invariant function $c$ on the set of symplectic reflections of $G$, one may form the symplectic reflection algebra $A = H_{1,c}$ [EG02] (deforming the algebra $Weyl(V) \times \mathbb{K}[G]$), equipped with its natural filtration. The associated graded algebra, $A_0 = \text{gr} H_{1,c}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Sym} V \times \mathbb{K}[G]$, and hence is finite over its center $(\text{Sym} V)^G$ whose spectrum $V/G$ is a Poisson variety with finitely many symplectic leaves (with Poisson bracket of degree $-2$, i.e., $d = 2$). Hence, $A$ has finitely many irreducible finite-dimensional representations.

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.4 extends (with essentially the same proof) to the case of deformation quantizations of $A_0$. That is, we replace $A$ by a $\mathbb{K}[[h]]$-algebra $A_h$ such that $A_h \cong A_0[[h]] = \{ \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i h^i : a_i \in A_0 \}$ as an $\mathbb{K}[[h]]$-module, $A_h/(h) \cong A_0$ as an algebra, $A_0$ is a finitely generated module over its center $Z$, and $\text{Spec} Z$ is a Poisson variety with finitely many symplectic leaves. Then, $HH_0(A_h[1/h^{-1}])$ is finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{K}((h))$, and in particular, $A_h[1/h^{-1}]$ has finitely many irreducible finite-dimensional representations (over $\mathbb{K}((h))$). Note that this subsumes the above results, since if $A$ is a filtered quantization of $A_0$, then the completed Rees algebra $A_h := \hat{\bigoplus}_{i \geq 0} (F_i A) \cdot h^i$ is a deformation quantization of $A_0$. This setting applies to much more general $A_0$, since it need not be graded, and even if it is, $\{-, -\}$ is allowed to be homogeneous of nonnegative degree.

Remark 1.7. As explained in §3.4, these results extend in a straightforward manner from the setting of varieties to the more general setting of (not necessarily reduced) separated schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{K}$. In §3.5 we comment on the case of formal schemes.

In §4 we study the structure of the $D$-module $M(X)$ when $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves. In particular, we determine the structure of $M(X)$ for $X = V/G$, where $V$ is a symplectic variety, and $G$ is a finite group of symplectic transformations of $V$. We also compute the space of distributions on a compact symplectic manifold $V$ with an action of a finite group $G$ which are preserved by $G$-invariant Hamiltonian vector fields, and in particular show that this space is finite-dimensional.

The appendix, written by Ivan Losev, contains a generalization of Theorem 1.4 which states that, under the same hypotheses on $A$, it has finitely many prime ideals, and they

---

1For convenience, let us forbid the trivial situation when $A$ is finite-dimensional.

2For rational Cherednik algebras, this is well-known: all finite-dimensional representations belong to category $\mathcal{O}$, so the number of irreducible finite-dimensional representations is dominated by the number of irreducible representations of $G$.

3More precisely, similarly to above, we assume that for some integer $d > 0$, there is a linear lift $g : Z \to A$ such that for any $z \in Z$ and $a \in A$, $[g(z), a]$ is divisible by $h^d$ (this condition is equivalent to the condition that the center of $A/h^dA$ is free as a $\mathbb{K}[h]/h^d$-module). In this case, $Z$ acquires a natural Poisson bracket $\{a, b\} := h^{-d}[g(a), g(b)] \mod h$ independent of the lift, and we assume that $\text{Spec} Z$ has finitely many symplectic leaves with respect to this bracket. In the case when $A_0$ is commutative, the requirement is that $[A, A] \subset h^d A$, and $d$ is the maximal integer with this property.
are all primitive. Moreover, the result extends to deformation quantizations $A_\hbar[\hbar^{-1}]$ as in Remark 1.6 as algebras over $\mathbb{K}((\hbar))$.

Finally, in a second appendix, we pose some questions (suggested by Losev) which would generalize the results of Losev's appendix.
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2. The $D$-module $M_\phi(X)$

2.1. The smooth affine case. Let $X$ be a smooth affine Poisson algebraic variety, and $b$ be the corresponding Poisson bivector (i.e., biderivation of $\mathcal{O}_X$). Let $D_X$ denote the algebra of differential operators on $X$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, define the Hamiltonian vector field $\xi_f \in \text{Vect}(X) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ by

$$\xi_f(g) = \{f, g\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $\text{HVect}(X) \subseteq \text{Vect}(X)$ denote the subspace of Hamiltonian vector fields.

Definition 2.2. The right $D$-module $M(X)$ attached to $X$ is the quotient

$$M(X) := \langle \text{HVect}(X) \rangle \setminus D_X \quad (2.3)$$

of $D_X$ by the right ideal generated by $\text{HVect}(X)$.

Next, let $Y$ be another affine variety, and $\phi : X \to Y$ a morphism. Let $\text{HVect}(X, \phi^*\mathcal{O}_Y) \subseteq \text{HVect}(X)$ denote the subspace of Hamiltonian vector fields of functions pulled back from $Y$.

Definition 2.4.

$$M_\phi(X) := \langle \text{HVect}(X, \phi^*(\mathcal{O}_Y)) \rangle \setminus D_X. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that $M(X) = M_{\text{id}}(X)$.

Example 2.6. Let $X$ be a symplectic variety. Then, we claim that $M(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Omega$, the right $D$-module of volume forms on $X$. Indeed, there is a homomorphism $\eta : M(X) \to \Omega$ sending 1 to the canonical volume form on $X$ (it exists because the volume is preserved by Hamiltonian vector fields). Since $\Omega$ is irreducible, $\eta$ is surjective, and it is easily seen to be injective by considering the associated graded morphism.

Remark 2.7. It is clear that $M_\phi(X)$ is independent of $Y$ in the following sense. Suppose that $\iota : Y \to Y'$ is a closed embedding. Then, $M_\phi(X) = M_{\iota\phi}(X)$. So, we may assume that $\phi$ is a dominant morphism (taking the minimal possible $Y$). This corresponds to the situation when the morphism $\phi^* : \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_X$ is injective.
2.2. The singular case. Suppose now that \( X \) is not necessarily smooth, but still affine. Then, while right \( D \)-modules are not the same as modules over an algebra of differential operators, there is still a canonical right \( D \)-module, \( D_X \), which represents the functor of global sections on the category of right \( D \)-modules on \( X \).

The \( D \)-module \( D_X \) carries an action of \( O_X \) and a compatible Lie algebra action of \( \text{Vect}(X) \) by endomorphisms. Thus, the above definitions go through verbatim.

Explicitly, if \( i : X \to V \) is an embedding of \( X \) into a smooth affine variety \( V \), then by Kashiwara’s theorem, the functor \( i_* \) defines an equivalence between the category of right \( D \)-modules on \( X \) and the category of right \( D \)-modules on \( V \) supported on \( X \). Under this equivalence, \( D_X \) maps to the quotient \( (I_X) \setminus D_V \) of \( D_V \) by the right ideal generated by the defining ideal \( I_X \subset O_X \) of \( X \), and it is easy to show that \( M(X) \) maps to the quotient of \( D_V \) by the right ideal generated by \( I_X \) and vector fields on \( V \) tangent to \( X \) whose restrictions to \( X \) are Hamiltonian. We denote this \( D \)-module on \( V \) by \( M(X, i) \). Similarly, given \( \phi : X \to Y \) as before, \( M_\phi(X) \) maps to the quotient of \( D_V \) by the right ideal generated \( I_X \) and vector fields on \( V \) tangent to \( X \) and specializing at \( X \) to \( \xi_f \) for some \( f \in O_Y \). We denote this \( D \)-module by \( M_\phi(X, i) \).

2.3. Compatibility with algebraic group actions. Now suppose that, in the setting of the previous subsection, \( X \) carries an algebraic action of an affine algebraic group \( G \) preserving the Poisson bracket, and that the map \( \phi \) is \( G \)-invariant (where \( G \) acts on \( Y \) trivially). Then, it is easy to see that \( M_\phi(X) \) has a natural structure of weakly \( G \)-equivariant \( D \)-module on \( X \). So, if \( G \) is finite, \( M_\phi(X) \) is naturally a \( G \)-equivariant \( D \)-module.

Remark 2.8. Note that, since the \( D \)-module \( M_\phi(X) \) does not change when the Poisson bracket is rescaled, these statements remain true when \( G \) preserves the Poisson bracket on \( X \) only up to scaling.

2.4. The behavior of \( M_\phi(X) \) under taking quotients by finite groups. Let \( G \) be a finite group, \( X \) be an affine \( G \)-variety, and \( \pi : X \to X/G \) be the tautological map. Let \( \pi_*^G = \pi_*^G \) be the equivariant pushforward functor from the category of \( G \)-equivariant right \( D \)-modules on \( X \) to the category of right \( D \)-modules on \( X/G \) (it is the composition of taking the direct image and passing to \( G \)-invariants).

Lemma 2.9. \( \pi_*^G(D_X) = D_{X/G} \).

Proof. Let \( \text{Ind} \) be the induction functor from \( O \)-modules to right \( D \)-modules on any algebraic variety \( Y \), i.e., \( \text{Ind}(M) = M \otimes_{O_Y} D_Y \). Then, \( \text{Ind}(O_Y) = D_Y \). Also, \( \text{Ind} \) commutes with direct images, since \( \text{Ind} \circ \pi_* = \pi_* \circ \text{Ind} \) for all proper morphisms \( \pi \). Therefore,

\[
\pi_*^G(D_X) = \pi_*^G(\text{Ind}(O_X)) = \text{Ind}(\pi_*^G(O_X)) = \text{Ind}(O_{X/G}) = D_{X/G}. \tag*{\square}
\]

Next, let \( X \) be Poisson and \( G \) act by Poisson automorphisms. Let \( Y \) be another affine variety and \( \phi : X \to Y \) be a \( G \)-equivariant morphism (where \( G \) acts trivially on \( Y \)).

Corollary 2.10. \( \pi_*^G(M_\phi(X)) = M_\phi(X/G) \).

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.9 since both \( M_\phi(X) \) and \( M_\phi(X/G) \) are the \( D \)-modules of coinvariants of Hamiltonian vector fields associated to functions pulled back from \( Y \). \( \square \)
2.5. **The case of general varieties.** Next, we generalize the definition of $M_\phi(X)$ to the case when $X$ is not necessarily affine. We use the same definition, except where $HVect(X)$ is viewed as a subsheaf of the tangent sheaf on $X$, and similarly $HVect(X, \phi^*\mathcal{O}_Y)$ in the case of a morphism $\phi : X \to Y$. In particular, the definition is local, in the following sense:

**Lemma 2.11.** If $j : U \to X$ is an open embedding of Poisson varieties, then $M_\phi(U) = j^!M_\phi(X) = j^*M_\phi(X)$ is the restriction of $M_\phi(X)$ to $U$.

2.6. **The behavior of $M_\phi(X)$ under closed Poisson embeddings.**

**Proposition 2.12.** Let $i : Z \to X$ be a closed Poisson embedding and $\phi : X \to Y$ be a morphism. Then, there is a natural epimorphism $\theta : M_\phi(X) \to i_*M_\phi(Z)$.

**Proof.** By definition, $i_*M_\phi(Z)$ is the quotient of $D_X$ by the right ideal generated by regular functions vanishing on $Z$ and vector fields on $X$ which are tangent to $Z$ and specialize there to Hamiltonian vector fields associated to functions pulled back from $Y$. This ideal contains the Hamiltonian vector fields on $X$ associated to functions pulled back from $Y$, since they are all tangent to $Z$ (by definition of a Poisson embedding). □

2.7. **The direct image of $M_\phi(X)$ to the point.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be affine.

**Proposition 2.13.** Let $p : X \to pt$ be the projection. The space $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}$ is the (underived) direct image $p_!(M_\phi(X))$ of $M_\phi(X)$ under $p$. In particular, $p_0(M(X))$ is the zeroth Poisson homology $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

**Proof.** Let $i : X \to V$ be a closed embedding of $X$ into a smooth affine variety $V$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\} = M_\phi(X, i) \otimes_{D_V} \mathcal{O}_V$, which implies the statement. □

**Remark 2.14.** Define the Poisson-de Rham homology of an affine Poisson variety $X$ to be the full direct image $p_*(M(X))$ (the de Rham cohomology of $M(X)$), i.e., $HP_j^{DR}(X) := L_jp_0(M(X))$, $j \geq 0$. This gives a new homology theory of affine Poisson varieties (which can be extended in an obvious way to non-affine varieties, with homology living in degrees $-\dim X \leq d \leq \dim X$). If $X$ is symplectic of dimension $n$, then $HP_j^{DR}(X) = HP_j(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^{n-j}(X, \mathbb{K})$. Furthermore, Proposition 2.13 implies that, for arbitrary affine $X$, $HP_0^{DR}(X) = HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X)$. However, this is not true for higher homology, in general.

**Corollary 2.15.** If $M_\phi(X)$ is holonomic, then $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}$ is finite-dimensional.

**Proof.** The direct image of a holonomic $D$-module is holonomic (see [Kas03]). □

2.8. **The case of Poisson modules.** The above definitions and statements naturally generalize to the context of Poisson $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. We first recall their definition.

Let $B$ be a Poisson algebra.

**Definition 2.16.** A $B$-module $M$ is called a Poisson module if it is endowed with a Lie algebra action $\{,\} : B \otimes M \to M$ that satisfies the Leibniz rules:

$$\{a, bm\} = \{a, b\}m + b\{a, m\}, \quad \{ab, m\} = a\{b, m\} + b\{a, m\}.$$ 

The equality $HP_i(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^{n-i}(X, \mathbb{K})$ also holds for smooth and complex analytic symplectic manifolds ([Bry88]). This implies that for such manifolds, Poisson homology (in particular, $HP_0$) need not be finite-dimensional. For instance, consider the complex analytic manifold $X = \mathbb{C}^* \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with the standard symplectic structure $dz \wedge dw$; its $H^2$ is infinite-dimensional, so $\dim HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X) = \infty$. 
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Example 2.17. (1) $B$ is a Poisson module over itself. More generally, if $B$ is contained in a larger Poisson algebra $C$, then $C$ is a Poisson module over $B$.

(2) Let $B = \mathcal{O}_X$, where $X$ is a symplectic variety. Then a Poisson module over $B$ is the same thing as a (left) $D$-module on $X$. More generally, if $X$ is an arbitrary affine Poisson variety, then a Poisson module over $\mathcal{O}_X$ is an $(\mathcal{O}_X$-module $M$ with flat connections along symplectic leaves (which glue together to give a global action of Hamiltonian vector fields). In particular, $D_X$ is always a Poisson module over $\mathcal{O}_X$, and for smooth $X$, if $M$ is a left $D$-module on $X$, then $M$ is naturally a Poisson module over $\mathcal{O}_X$ (but not conversely).

(3) Let $A_\hbar$ be a (not necessarily commutative) flat deformation of a commutative algebra $A_0$ over $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$. Let $M_\hbar$ be an $A_\hbar$-bimodule which is topologically free over $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ and almost symmetric, i.e. such that for any $a \in A_\hbar$ and $m \in M_\hbar$, $am \equiv ma \pmod{\hbar}$. Then $M_0 = M_\hbar/\hbar M_\hbar$ is naturally a Poisson module over $A_0$.

(4) Let $A_0$ be an associative algebra, and $A_\hbar$ a flat deformation of $A_0$ over $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$. Let $Z$ be the center of $A_0$, and assume that $Z$ admits a linear lift $g : Z \to A$ which is central modulo $\hbar^d$. Then $Z$ inherits a Poisson structure from the deformation, as in Remark 1.6, and the Hochschild homology and cohomology of $A_0$ are Poisson modules over $Z$. In particular, $A_0/\{A_0, A_0\}$ is a Poisson module over $Z$. Indeed, let $d : Z = HH^0(A_0) \to HH^1(A_0)$ be the first nonzero differential in the spectral sequence computing the Hochschild cohomology of $A$ (i.e., $d = d_d$). Then the Lie algebra action of $Z$ on the Hochschild homology and cohomology of $A_0$ is given by the formula $(z, a) = L_{d_d}(a)$, where $L_{d_d}$ is the Lie derivative with respect to the outer derivation $dz$ of $A_0$.

(5) Generalizing the previous two examples, let $A_\hbar, A_0$, and $Z$ be as in Example 4 and $M$ an $A$-bimodule which is topologically free over $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ and almost symmetric of degree $d$, in the sense that $g(z)m \equiv mg(z) \pmod{\hbar^d}$ for all $m \in M$ and $z \in Z$. Then, the Hochschild homology and cohomology of $A_0$ with coefficients in $M_0$ are Poisson modules over $Z$. Indeed, both $A_0$ and $M_0$ are $Z$-modules, and to each $z \in Z$ one can attach a simultaneous derivation $D_z$ of $A_0$ and $M_0$ which is defined up to adding inner derivations, by $D_z(a_0) = h^{-d}[g(z), a] \pmod{\hbar}, D_z(m_0) = h^{-d}[g(z), m] \pmod{\hbar}$ for any lifts $a \in a_0 + (\hbar)$, and $m \in m_0 + (\hbar)$ of $a_0$ and $m_0$ to $A$ and $M$ modulo $\hbar$. Here, being simultaneous means that

$$D_z(a_0 m_0) = D_z(a_0)m_0 + a_0 D_z(m_0), \quad D_z(m_0 a_0) = D_z(m_0)a_0 + m_0 D_z(a_0).$$

Simultaneous derivations act on Hochschild (co)homology of $A_0$ with coefficients in $M_0$, and inner derivations act trivially. Hence, the map $z \mapsto D_z$ yields a well-defined derivation on this Hochschild (co)homology (as a module over $Z$), which gives a Lie algebra action of $Z$ on the cohomology. Moreover, $D_{zw} = wD_z + zD_w$, which implies that the action induces a Poisson module structure over $Z$.

Definition 2.18. Let $M$ be a Poisson module over a Poisson algebra $B$. The zeroth Poisson homology $HP_0(B, M)$ is the zeroth Lie algebra homology, i.e., the space of coinvariants $M/\{B, M\}$.

Proposition 2.19. Let $A$ be a nonnegatively filtered algebra with $gr(A) = A_0$, and let $Z$ be the center of $A_0$. Then the space $gr(A/[A, A])$ is a quotient of $HP_0(Z, A_0/[A_0, A_0])$. 


Note that, in the case when $A_0$ is commutative, Proposition 2.19 says that $\text{gr } HP_0(A)$ is a quotient of $HP_0(A_0)$. This follows from the Brylinski spectral sequence.

**Remark 2.20.** A similar proposition holds, with the same proof, in the more general setting of a flat deformation $A_h$ of $A_0$ over $\mathbb{K}[[h]]$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $\text{gr}([A, A]) \supseteq \{Z, A_0\} + [A_0, A_0]$. This follows since, for homogeneous $a_0, b_0 \in A_0$, if $[a_0, b_0] \neq 0$ then $\text{gr}([a, b]) = [a_0, b_0]$ for any lifts $a, b$ of $a_0, b_0$ to $A$; similarly, if $\{z, a_0\} \neq 0$ for homogeneous $z \in Z, a_0 \in A_0$, then $\text{gr}(z, a) = \{z, a_0\}$ for any lift $a$ of $a_0$ to $A$. $\square$

**Remark 2.21.** Alternatively, the result follows using the spectral sequence for the Hochschild homology of $A$. The zeroth homology of the first page is $A_0/[A_0, A_0]$. The first nonzero differential, $d = d_d : HH_1(A_0) \to A_0/[A_0, A_0]$, sends the cocycle $z \otimes a \in Z^1(A_0)$ for $z \in Z, a \in A_0$ to the element $\{z, a\}$, and hence the image of $d$ contains $\{Z, A_0/[A_0, A_0]\} \subseteq A_0/[A_0, A_0]$. In the case that $A_0 = Z$, this is just the Brylinski spectral sequence.

For any Poisson variety $X$, we will abbreviate “quasicoherent sheaf of Poisson modules over $\mathcal{O}_X$” as “Poisson module on $X$,” and “coherent sheaf of Poisson modules over $\mathcal{O}_X$” as “coherent Poisson module,” analogously to the terminology for $D$-modules.

**Definition 2.22.** Let $X$ be a Poisson variety, and $N$ be a Poisson module on $X$. Then the right $D$-module $M(X, N)$ on $X$ is defined by the formula

$$M(X, N) = (\text{HVect}(X)) \setminus N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} DX,$$

where the action of vector fields is diagonal.

More generally, if $Y$ is another variety and $\phi : X \to Y$ is a map, one may define

$$M_\phi(X, N) = (\text{HVect}(X, \phi^*(\mathcal{O}_Y))) \setminus N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} DX.$$

**Remark 2.23.** Let $i : X \to V$ be an embedding of $X$ into a smooth variety. Then $i_*M(X, N)$ can be defined explicitly as the quotient of $N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} DV$ by the left action of vector fields on $V$ that preserve the ideal of $X$ and restrict to Hamiltonian vector fields on $X$, and by the left action of functions that vanish on $X$. Similarly, $i_*M_\phi(X, N)$ is the quotient of $N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} DV$ by the left action of vector fields on $V$ that preserve the ideal of $X$ and restrict to Hamiltonian vector fields on $X$ associated to functions pulled back from $Y$, and by the left action of functions that vanish on $X$.

**Example 2.24.** $M_\phi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = M_\phi(X)$.

The following proposition is immediate from (and motivates) the definitions.

**Proposition 2.25.** Let $X$ be affine, and $p$ be the map of $X$ to a point. Then the underived direct image $p_0(M(X, N))$ is naturally isomorphic to $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_X, N)$. Similarly, given a Poisson map $\phi : X \to Y$ of affine Poisson varieties, $p_0(M_\phi(X, N)) \cong HP_0(\mathcal{O}_Y, N)$. In particular, if $M_\phi(X, N)$ is holonomic, then $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_Y, N)$ is finite-dimensional.

Similarly, the other results of this section all extend to the setting of Poisson modules:

**Proposition 2.26.** (i) If $G$ is a finite group acting on $X$ by Poisson automorphisms, $\pi : X \to X/G$ the quotient map, $\phi : X \to Y$ a morphism factoring through $\pi$, and $N$ a $G$-equivariant Poisson module, then $\pi^*_G(M_\phi(X, N)) = M_\phi(X/G, \pi_*(N)^G)$. 
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(ii) Given an open subset \( U \subseteq X \), \( M_\phi(U, N) \) is the restriction of \( M_\phi(X, N) \) to \( U \).

(iii) If \( i : Z \to X \) is a closed Poisson embedding, then there is a natural epimorphism \( \theta_i : M_\phi(X, N) \to i_*M_\phi(Z, i^*N) \).

**Remark 2.27.** Analogously to Remark 2.14, one may define the Poisson-de Rham homology of \( X \) with coefficients in a Poisson module as \( HP_\phi^{DR}(X, N) := L_\phi p_0 M(X, N) \), i.e., the \( j \)-th derived functor of \( HP_\phi(X, \cdot) \) on the category of Poisson modules, applied to \( N \). Again, when \( X \) is symplectic, this coincides with the de Rham cohomology of \( X \) with coefficients in the \( D \)-module \( N \) (cf. Example 2.17).

### 3. The holonomicity theorem and applications

#### 3.1. The holonomicity theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that \( X \) has finitely many symplectic leaves. Then, for any finite morphism \( \phi : X \to Y \) and any coherent Poisson module \( N \) on \( X \), the \( D \)-module \( M_\phi(X, N) \) is holonomic. In particular, the \( D \)-module \( M_\phi(X) \) is holonomic for any finite morphism \( \phi \).

**Remark 3.2.** Curiously, [Kal06] calls varieties with finitely many symplectic leaves “holonomic”. This terminology fits perfectly with Theorem 3.1.

**Proof.** The question is local, so we may assume that \( X \) and \( Y \) are affine. Denote the symplectic leaves of \( X \) by \( X_j \), for \( j = 1, ..., m \). Let \( X'_j \) be the set of points \( x \in X_j \) such that the rank of the linear map \( d\phi(x)|_{T_x X} \) equals \( r \).

Fix an embedding \( i : X \to V \) of \( X \) into a smooth affine variety \( V \). It suffices to show that the \( D \)-module \( i_*M_\phi(X, N) \) is holonomic. To this end, we will show that the singular support of \( i_*M_\phi(X, N) \) is Lagrangian in \( T^*V \).

Let \( Z \subseteq T^*V \) be the variety of pairs \((x, p)\) such that \( x \in X \) and \( b(p, d\phi^*(f)(x)) = 0 \) for every \( f \in \mathcal{O}_Y \) (where \( b \) denotes the Poisson bivector of \( X \)). Then, it is easy to see that \( Z \) is an isomorphism \( T_x X' \to T_\phi X' \) on the category of Poisson modules, applied to \( N \). Again, when \( X \) is symplectic, this coincides with the de Rham cohomology of \( X \) with coefficients in the \( D \)-module \( N \) (cf. Example 2.17).

Let \( \tilde{X}_j^r \) be the preimage of \( X_j^r \) in \( Z \). Then, \( \tilde{X}_j^r \) is a vector bundle over \( X_j^r \) of rank \( d - r \).

3.2. **Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 and Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3.** Theorem 3.1 together with Corollary 2.15 and Proposition 2.25 implies Theorem 1.1. Corollary 1.2 follows immediately, as does Corollary 1.3 once we set \( Y = X/G \), and let \( \phi : X \to X/G \) be the projection.

To prove Theorem 1.4, note that Theorem 1.1 implies that \( HP_\phi(Z, A_0/[A_0, A_0]) \) is finite-dimensional. Then, \( A/[A, A] \) is finite-dimensional by Proposition 2.19. We deduce that \( A \) has finitely many irreducible finite-dimensional representations because the characters of
distinct irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $A$ are linearly independent linear functionals on $A/[A,A]$.

### 3.3. Invariant distributions supported at a point.

Let $X$ be an algebraic variety, and $x \in X$ be a point. Define a distribution on $X$ supported at $x$ to be a linear functional $\xi : O_{X,x} \to \mathbb{K}$ on the local ring of $X$ at $x$ which annihilates a power of the maximal ideal $m_x$.

Now, let $X$ be a Poisson variety, $Y$ be another variety, and $\phi : X \to Y$ be a morphism. Let $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x)$ be the space of distributions on $X$ supported at $x$ which are invariant under Hamiltonian vector fields associated to functions pulled back from $Y$. In the special case $X = Y$, $\phi = \text{id}$, we denote $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x)$ by $\mathcal{M}(X,x)$. The following proposition follows directly from definitions.

**Proposition 3.3.** There is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}(X), \delta_x)$, where $\delta_x$ is the delta-function $D$-module of $x \in X$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}(X,x) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}(X), \delta_x)$.

**Remark 3.4.** It is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}(X,x) \neq 0$ if and only if $x \in X$ is a one-point symplectic leaf. More generally, if $x$ is a point of an arbitrary (locally closed) symplectic leaf $X_0 \subseteq X$, then $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x) \neq 0$ if and only if the restriction of $d\phi$ to $T_x X_0$ is zero. Note also that, when $X$ and $Y$ are affine, $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x)$ is a subspace of the space $(O_X/\{O_Y, O_X\})^*$.

Now suppose that $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves, and that $\phi$ is a finite morphism. In this case, Theorem 1.1 implies that $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x)$ is finite-dimensional. We now obtain an explicit upper bound for the dimension of this space.

We may assume that $X$ and $Y$ are affine. Fix a closed embedding $i$ of $X$ into a finite-dimensional vector space $V$, so that $x$ maps to the origin. Let $Z$ be the closed subscheme of $T^*V$ defined by the equation $b(p, d\phi^*(f))(v) = 0$, $v \in i(X)$ (its reduced part is the variety $Z$ from the proof of Theorem 3.1). Let $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ be generators of $O_Y$, and $w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, be the Hamiltonian vector fields on $X$ associated to the functions $\phi^*(f_j)$. Let $v_j$ be liftings of $w_j$ to vector fields on $V$, and let $g_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, r$, be generators of the defining ideal $I_X$ of $X$ in $V$. For every $p \in V^*$, define the ideal $J'_p \subseteq O_V$ generated by the elements $g_k$ and $(v_j, p)$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 that, for Zariski generic $p$, the ideal $J'_p$ has finite codimension. Furthermore, let $J_p \supseteq J'_p$ be the primary component of $J'_p$ corresponding to the point $(0, p)$. Note that, for generic $p$, the codimension of $J_p$ is the multiplicity of the component $V^*$ of the scheme $Z$ (which is well-defined since $Z$ is Lagrangian).

**Proposition 3.5.** If $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves and $\phi$ is finite, then the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,x)$ is dominated by the codimension of the ideal $J_p$ for all $p \in V^*$.

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 3.3 since the dimension of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_\phi(X), \delta_x)$ is dominated by the multiplicity of $V^*$ in the singular support of $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X,i)$, which is the codimension of $J_p$ for generic $p$, and hence the minimal codimension.

As remarked above, this upper bound on the dimension of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_\phi(X), \delta_x)$ is the multiplicity of the component of $V^*$ in the scheme $Z$.

**Remark 3.6.** Note that the proof of Proposition 3.5 has a purely local nature. It does not involve direct images to the point, and uses only basic properties of holonomic $D$-modules. In particular, it also applies to analytic varieties, for which, as we mentioned, $HP_0$ may be infinite-dimensional (for a reference on the theory of $D$-modules on analytic varieties, see, e.g., [Björk 93]).
Proposition 3.7. Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are affine, and that $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y$ are nonnegatively graded with finite-dimensional graded components. Assume also that the Poisson bracket on $X$ is homogeneous, and that the map $\phi^*$ preserves degree. Then, under the assumptions of Proposition 3.5 $M_\phi(X,x) = (\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\})^*$. Hence, $\dim(\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}) \leq \text{codim}(J_p)$.

Remark 3.8. In the case that the Poisson bracket has negative degree, the assumption that each graded component is finite-dimensional is superfluous. Indeed, it is enough to show that the degree-zero part is finite-dimensional. Since it is Poisson central, if it were not finite-dimensional, then the Poisson center itself would be infinite-dimensional, and in particular admit infinitely many distinct characters. However, for every character of the Poisson center, the associated subvariety is Poisson and hence contains at least one symplectic leaf, so there can only be finitely many.

Remark 3.9. In the graded situation of the proposition, $J_p = J_p'$, since its support, projected to $X$, is conical and zero-dimensional.

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that the space $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}$ is nonnegatively graded and finite-dimensional. The second statement follows from the first one and Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3.7 combined with computer algebra systems can be used to obtain explicit upper bounds for the dimension of $\mathcal{O}_X/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X\}$ in specific examples, e.g., when $X = V$ is a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space and $Y = V/G$, where $G \subset \text{Sp}(V)$. This will be discussed in more detail in a subsequent paper.

3.4. Generalization to Poisson schemes of finite type. It is straightforward to generalize the above results to the case where $X$ and $Y$ are separated Poisson schemes of finite type, which may be non-reduced. Indeed, if $X$ is a Poisson scheme, $Y$ is another scheme (both separated and of finite type over $K$), and $\phi : X \to Y$ is a morphism, then we may define $M_\phi(X)$, and hence also $M(X)$, in the same way as when $X$ and $Y$ were varieties.

The results of §2 continue to hold without change.

Recall from [Kal03, Corollary 1.4] that, if $X$ is a Poisson scheme, its reduction, $X_{\text{red}}$, is also Poisson. We say that $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves if so does $X_{\text{red}}$. Using this terminology, we claim that Theorem 3.1, and hence all the results of §1, extend to the case of Poisson schemes of finite type.

Indeed, it suffices to show that, if $X$ is affine, and $i : X \to V$ is a closed embedding of $X$ into a smooth affine variety $V$, then the singular support of $i_* M_\phi(X, N)$ is Lagrangian in $T^* V$. This follows as in the reduced case, replacing $X$ and $Y$ with $X_{\text{red}}$ and $Y_{\text{red}}$.

3.5. The case of formal schemes. We expect that the above results can be generalized to the setting of formal schemes of finite type, at least under the assumption that the schemes in question are affine. In this paper, we will give only two results in this direction, in order to prove Corollary 3.13 and its generalization, Corollary 3.19, which are used in the appendix.

Let $X$ be an affine formal scheme of finite type over $K$ with a unique closed point $x$. This means that $A = \mathcal{O}_X$ is a local $K$-algebra which is separated, complete, and topologically finitely generated in the adic topology defined by its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x \subset A$. Assume that $X$ is a Poisson scheme (i.e., $A$ is a Poisson algebra), and that the Poisson bracket vanishes.

5Recall that a $\mathcal{D}$-module on $X$ is, by definition, the same as a $\mathcal{D}$-module on the reduced part $X_{\text{red}}$. 
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at \(x\) (i.e., \(m_x\) is a Poisson ideal). In this case, the finite-dimensional algebras \(A_n := A/m^n_x\) are Poisson, and the maps \(A_{n+1} \to A_n\) induce surjections \(HP_0(A_{n+1}) \to HP_0(A_n)\).

**Proposition 3.10.**

(i) The subspace \(\{A, A\}\) is closed in \(A\).

(ii) \(HP_0(A) = \varprojlim HP_0(A_n)\).

**Proof.** Part (ii) follows immediately from (i), since \(\varprojlim HP_0(A_n) = A/\{A, A\}\). To prove part (i), suppose that \(A\) is topologically generated by \(x_1, \ldots, x_n \in m_x\). Then, \(\{A, A\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{x_i, A\}\). Therefore, (i) follows from the following (well known) lemma, by setting \(V := A^n, W := A,\) and \((g_1, \ldots, g_n) = \sum_i \{x_i, g_i\}\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \(V, W\) be linearly compact topological vector spaces (i.e., projective limits of finite-dimensional vector spaces), and let \(L : V \to W\) be a continuous linear operator. Then, the image of \(L\) is closed.

**Proof.** The continuous duals \(V^*, W^*\) are ordinary vector spaces (possibly infinite-dimensional), and \(\text{Im}(L) = (\text{Ker}L^*)^\perp\). \(\square\)

**Proposition 3.12.** Let \(A\) and \(X\) be as above, and assume that \(X\) has finitely many symplectic leaves. Then, the space \(HP_0(A)\) is finite-dimensional.

**Proof.** Let \(HP_0(A)^*\) be the space of continuous linear functionals on \(HP_0(A)\) (in the topology of inverse limit). Then \(HP_0(A)^* = \mathcal{M}(X, x)\), where \(\mathcal{M}(X, x)\) is defined in the same way as in the case when \(X\) is a variety. Thus, by the formal analogue of Proposition 3.5 (which is proved similarly to the case of usual varieties) we deduce that \(HP_0(A)^*\) is finite-dimensional. Hence, so is \(HP_0(A)\). \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.13.** Let \(A_0 = \mathcal{O}_X\) be a local \(\mathbb{K}\)-algebra which is separated and complete in the adic topology. Let \(A\) be a flat formal deformation of \(A_0\) over \(\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]\), such that \(A/\hbar A = A_0\). If \(\text{Spec} A_0\) has finitely many symplectic leaves with the Poisson structure induced from the commutator on \(A\), then \((A/[A, A])[\hbar^{-1}]\) is a finite-dimensional vector space over \(\mathbb{K}((\hbar))\).

**Proof.** There is a canonical inclusion \(((A/[A, A])[\hbar^{-1}])^* \subseteq (HP_0(A_0)((\hbar)))^*\), taking duals over \(\mathbb{K}((\hbar))\), which follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.19; see also Remark 2.20. By Proposition 3.12, the latter is finite-dimensional. \(\square\)

3.6. **Poisson modules for formal schemes.** The results of §3.3 §3.5 generalize without significant changes to the setting of Poisson modules. Since the proofs are generalized in a straightforward manner, we will give the statements only. Our main goal is Corollary 3.19 which is used in the appendix.

We keep the notation of §3.3. Let \(N\) be a coherent Poisson module on \(X \subseteq V\). Define a distribution on \(N\) supported at \(x \in X\) to be a linear functional \(\xi : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X N \to \mathbb{K}\) which vanishes on \(m_x^n N\) for large enough \(n\).

Let \(\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N, x)\) be the space of distributions on \(N\) supported at \(x\) which are invariant under Hamiltonian vector fields defined by functions \(\phi^* f, f \in \mathcal{O}_Y\). In particular, if \(X = Y\) and \(\phi = \text{id}\), this space will be denoted by \(\mathcal{M}(X, N, x)\).

The following proposition is a direct generalization of Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 3.14.** There is a natural isomorphism \(\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N, x) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N), \delta_x)\).
Now let us assume that $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves and $\phi$ is finite, and give an explicit upper bound for the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N, x)$ (in particular, showing that it is finite-dimensional). For $p \in V^*$, let $N_p \subset N$ be the $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodule defined by

$$N_p = \sum_j (v_j, p)|_X N$$

(so, if $N = \mathcal{O}_X$ then $N_p$ is the image of $J_p$ in $\mathcal{O}_X$). Then $N_p$ has finite codimension in $N$ for generic $p$. Namely, this codimension is the generic rank on $V^* \subset X \times V^*$ of the module $N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)/\langle(v_j, p)\rangle$, which is defined by the classical limits of the equations defining $i_*\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N, x)$.

We have the following direct generalizations of Propositions 3.5 and 3.7

**Proposition 3.15.** If $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves and $\phi$ is finite, then the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N, x)$ is dominated by the codimension of the submodule $N_p$ for all $p \in V^*$.

**Proposition 3.16.** Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are affine, and that $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{O}_Y$, and $N$ are non-negatively graded with finite-dimensional graded components. Assume also that the Poisson bracket on $X$ and the action of $\mathcal{O}_X$ on $N$ are homogeneous, and that the map $\phi^*$ preserves degree. Then, under the assumptions of Proposition 3.15, $\mathcal{M}_\phi(X, N, x) = (N/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, N\})^*$. Hence, $\dim(N/\{\mathcal{O}_Y, N\}) \leq \text{codim}(N_p)$.

Now let us consider the setting of formal schemes with one closed point, as in Subsection 3.5. Let $N$ be a coherent Poisson $A$-module, and let $N_n := N/m_A^nN$. Then we have surjective maps $HP_0(A_{n+1}, N_{n+1}) \to HP_0(A_n, N_n)$.

**Proposition 3.17.**

(i) The subspace $\{A, N\}$ is closed in $N$.

(ii) $HP_0(A, N) = \varprojlim HP_0(A_n, N_n)$.

This allows us to obtain the following generalization of Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.13

**Proposition 3.18.** Assume that $A$, $X$, $N$ are as above, and $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves. Then, the space $HP_0(A, N)$ is finite-dimensional.

**Proof.** Let $HP_0(A, N)^*$ be the space of continuous linear functionals on $HP_0(A, N)$ (in the topology of inverse limit, defined thanks to Proposition 3.17). Then $HP_0(A, N)^* = \mathcal{M}(X, N, x)$, where $\mathcal{M}(X, N, x)$ is defined just as in the case $X$ is a variety. By the formal analogue of Proposition 3.15, $HP_0(A, N)^*$ is finite-dimensional. Hence, so is $HP_0(A, N)$. □

**Corollary 3.19.** Let $A_0 = \mathcal{O}_X$ be a local $\mathbb{K}$-algebra which is separated and complete in the adic topology. Let $B_0$ be an algebra over $A_0$ which is finitely generated as an $A_0$-module, and whose center is $A_0$. Let $B$ be a flat formal deformation of $B_0$ over $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$, such that $B/\hbar B = B_0$, and assume that the Poisson bracket on $X$ induced by this deformation corresponding to some $d > 0$ (as in Remark 1.6) has finitely many symplectic leaves. Then $(B/[B, B])[[\hbar^{-1}]]$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}((\hbar))$.

**Proof.** By the proof of Proposition 2.19 (cf. Remark 2.20), $\dim_{\mathbb{K}((\hbar))}(B/[B, B])[[\hbar^{-1}]]$ is dominated by $\dim HP_0(A_0, B_0/[B_0, B_0])$. Since $B_0/[B_0, B_0]$ is a coherent Poisson module over $A_0$, Proposition 3.18 implies that the space $HP_0(A_0, B_0/[B_0, B_0])$ is finite-dimensional. □
4. The structure of $M(X)$ when $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves

4.1. The general structure of $M(X)$. For a variety $X$, let $IC(X)$ denote the intersection cohomology (right) $D$-module on $X$, which is the intermediate extension $j_!(\Omega_U)$, for any open embedding $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ of a smooth dense subvariety $U$.

Let $X$ be a Poisson variety.

**Proposition 4.1.** If $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves, then $M(X)$ has a finite Jordan-H"older series, in which all composition factors are intermediate extensions of irreducible local systems (i.e., $\mathcal{O}$-coherent right $D$-modules) on symplectic leaves of $X$. Moreover, the only such local system supported on each open symplectic leaf is the trivial local system $\Omega$, with multiplicity one. Hence, the Jordan-H"older series of $M(X)$ contains $IC(X)$ with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** Let $i : X \rightarrow V$ be a closed embedding of $X$ into a smooth variety. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 that the singular support of $M_{id}(X, i)$ is the union of the conormal bundles in $T^*V$ of the symplectic leaves $X_j$ of $X$. Now recall that if $W$ is any smooth algebraic variety with a finite stratification, and $M$ is a holonomic $D$-module on $W$ whose singular support is contained in the union of the conormal bundles of the strata, then all members of the composition series of $M$ are irreducible extensions of irreducible local systems from the strata (see [Kas03]). This implies the first statement of the Proposition.

The second statement follows from Lemma 2.11. Indeed, if $U \subset X$ is an open symplectic leaf, then by Lemma 2.11 $M(X)|_U = M(U) = \Omega_U$.

**Remark 4.2.** The proposition extends to the case of $M_\phi(X)$, where $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is finite and $X$ has finitely many symplectic leaves, provided we replace the symplectic leaves in the statement of the proposition by the loci in the symplectic leaves of constant rank of $d\phi$, which we denoted by $X_j^\circ$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4.2. An example of a computation of $M(X)$. Let $X$ be a Kleinian surface of type ADE (with its standard Poisson structure), and $j : X \setminus 0 \hookrightarrow X$ be the corresponding open embedding. In this case, the composition factors of $M(X)$ are the intermediate extension $j_!(\Omega)$ of $\Omega$, i.e., the intersection cohomology $D$-module, $IC(X)$, which occurs with multiplicity 1, and the $\delta$-function $D$-module, $\delta$, at the origin.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $X$ be an irreducible affine variety of dimension $d$ with a $\mathbb{K}^\times$-action having a unique fixed point 0, which is attracting (i.e., $X$ is a cone). Let $U = X \setminus 0$. Assume that $U$ is smooth. Let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be the corresponding open embedding. Then, for $m > 0$,

$$\text{Ext}^m(j_!(\Omega), \delta) = \text{Ext}^m(\delta, j_!(\Omega)) = H^{d-m}(U, \mathbb{K})^*.$$ 

**Proof.** The first equality follows easily from Verdier duality, since the $D$-modules $\delta$ and $j_!(\Omega)$ are self-dual. We prove the second equality. Let $i : 0 \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Using the adjunction of $i^!$ and $i_*$, we have

$$\text{Ext}^m(\delta, j_!(\Omega)) = \text{Ext}^m(i_*\mathbb{K}, j_!(\Omega)) = \text{Ext}^m(\mathbb{K}, i^!j_!(\Omega)).$$

Now, since $i^!$ and $i_*$ are interchanged by Verdier duality, we have

$$\text{Ext}^m(\mathbb{K}, i^!j_!(\Omega)) = \text{Ext}^m(\mathbb{K}, i_!j_!(\Omega)) = \text{Ext}^m(i^!j_!(\Omega), \mathbb{K}) = H^{-m}(i^!j_!(\Omega))^*.$$
There is a standard exact triangle
\[ \rightarrow j_* (\Omega) \rightarrow j_* (\Omega) \rightarrow K \rightarrow, \]
where \( K \) is a complex concentrated at 0 and in nonnegative degrees. Applying \( i^* \) to this triangle, we obtain
\[ \rightarrow i^* j_* (\Omega) \rightarrow i^* j_* (\Omega) \rightarrow i^* K \rightarrow, \]
where \( i^* K \) is concentrated in nonnegative degrees. Since \( i^* j_* (\Omega) \) is concentrated in negative degrees, \( i^* j_* (\Omega) = \tau^{<0} (i^* j_* (\Omega)) \), where \( \tau^{<0} \) is the truncation. Thus, \( H^{-m} (i^* j_* (\Omega)) = H^{-m} (i^* j_* (\Omega)) \). Since \( X \) is conical, this is naturally isomorphic to \( H^{d-m} (X, j_* (\Omega)) \), and hence to \( H^{d-m} (U, \Omega) \cong H^{d-m} (U, \mathbb{K}) \).

**Corollary 4.4.** If \( X \) is a Kleinian surface, then
\[ \text{Ext}^1 (\delta, j_* (\Omega)) = \text{Ext}^1 (j_* (\Omega), \delta) = 0. \]

**Proof.** In the Kleinian case, \( d = 2 \) and \( H^1 (U) = 0 \), since \( U = (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0) / \Gamma \), where \( \Gamma \) is a finite subgroup in \( SL_2 \). Thus, the corollary is a special case of Lemma 4.3.

**Corollary 4.5.** If \( X \) is a Kleinian surface, then \( M (X) = j_* (\Omega) \oplus n \delta \), where \( n \) is the Milnor number of \( X \).

**Proof.** By Corollary 4.4, \( M (X) = j_* (\Omega) \oplus n \delta \), for some nonnegative integer \( n \). Also, it is known that the intersection cohomology of the Kleinian surface equals its ordinary cohomology, since the Kleinian surface is the quotient of an affine plane by a finite group. The \( i \)-th intersection cohomology group is \( H^{i-\dim X} (p_* (IC (X))) = H^{i-\dim X} (p_* (j_* (\Omega))) \), where \( p_* \) is the (derived) direct image under the projection \( p : X \rightarrow pt \). Thus, the derived direct image \( p_0 (j_* (\Omega)) \) is zero, and hence \( \dim p_0 (M (X)) = n \). By Proposition 2.13, \( \dim HP_0 (\mathcal{O} X) = n \). On the other hand, [AL98] shows that \( \dim HP_0 (\mathcal{O} X) = \mu \). We deduce that \( n = \mu \).

### 4.3. The local systems attached to symplectic leaves.

We now give more precise information about the structure of \( M (X) \).

For each symplectic leaf \( S \) of \( X \), let \( U \) be the complement in \( X \) of \( S \setminus S \), and \( i_S : S \rightarrow U \) be the corresponding closed embedding. Set \( L_S = H^0 (i^*_S (M (U))) \). It is easy to see that \( L_S \) is a local system. Moreover, there is a surjective adjunction morphism \( \phi_S : M (U) \rightarrow (i_S)_* L_S \). In particular, the intermediate extensions of all composition factors of \( L_S \) are composition factors of \( M (X) \).

To compute the local systems \( L_S \), we use the equality
\[ (4.6) \quad \text{Hom} (L_S, N) = \text{Hom} (M (U), (i_S)_* (N)) = (i_S)_* (N)^{O_U}, \]
for any local system \( N \) on \( S \), where the superscript \( O_U \) denotes the invariants with respect to Hamiltonian vector fields.

For \( s \in S \), let \( X_s \) be the formal neighborhood of \( s \) in \( X \). By the formal Darboux-Weinstein theorem ([Wei83]; see also [Kal06, Proposition 3.3]), \( X_s \) is Poisson isomorphic to the product \( X_s^0 \times S_s \), where the “slice” \( X_s^0 \) is a reduced formal Poisson scheme with a unique closed point \( 0 \) and a Poisson structure vanishing at this point.

Consider the space \( HP_0 (\mathcal{O} X^0_s) \). Since \( X_s \) has finitely many symplectic leaves (which are the intersections of \( X_s \) with the symplectic leaves of \( X \)), so does \( X_s^0 \), and thus \( HP_0 (\mathcal{O} X^0_s) \) is finite-dimensional by Proposition 3.12.

Let \( \delta_S := (i_S)_* (\Omega) \) be the delta-function \( D \)-module of \( S \subset X_s \). Let \( M (X_s, S) := \text{Hom} (M (X_s), \delta_S) \).
Lemma 4.7. The space $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)^*$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(X_s, S_s)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, $M(X_s) \cong M(X_0^0) \boxtimes M(S_s) \cong M(X_0^0) \boxtimes \Omega_{S_s}$, and $\text{Hom}(M_{X_s}, \delta_0) = \mathcal{M}(X_s, 0) \cong HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)^*$. □

Hence, the space $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)$ is canonically attached to the point $s$, and is independent (up to a canonical isomorphism) of the Darboux-Weinstein decomposition of $X_s$.

Proposition 4.8. The family $s \mapsto HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0) = \mathcal{M}(X_s, S_s)^*$ is a vector bundle on $S$ which carries a natural flat connection. In other words, $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)$ is a local system.

Remark 4.9. To be more precise, a vector bundle with a flat connection is naturally a left $D$-module, while we are working in the setting of right $D$-modules. So, strictly speaking, we must tensor our flat bundles with the canonical sheaf. Luckily, all flat bundles we consider are on symplectic varieties, so the canonical sheaf is canonically trivial, and the issue does not arise.

Proof. Any Darboux-Weinstein decomposition $\zeta : X_s \cong X_0^0 \times S_s$ trivializes the family in question in the formal neighborhood of $s$, which shows that this family is indeed a vector bundle. Moreover, this trivialization defines a flat connection $\nabla_\zeta$ on this bundle in the formal neighborhood of $s$. Since the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on $X_s$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{M}(X_s, S_s)$, the connection $\nabla_\zeta$ does not really depend on the choice of $\zeta$, and hence is defined globally on $S$. □

Proposition 4.10. There is a natural isomorphism of local systems $\psi_S : L_S \cong HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)$.

Proof. Consider first the case when $S = s$ is a single point (and $X = U$). In this case, let $\delta := \delta_s$ be the delta-function $D$-module of $s \in S$. By (4.6),

$$L_S(s) = \text{Hom}(M(X), \delta)^*,$$

But, $\text{Hom}(M(X), \delta)$ is the space of Hamiltonian invariant distributions on $X$ supported at $s$, which is canonically isomorphic to $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)^*$.

In the general case, for each $s \in S$ fix a Darboux-Weinstein trivialization $X_s \cong X_0^0 \times S_s$, as explained above. Then the above construction defines an isomorphism $\psi_s : L_S(s) \cong HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)$. It is easy to check that this isomorphism does not depend on the choice of the Darboux-Weinstein trivialization (by relating any two such trivializations by a formal Hamiltonian automorphism). Therefore, the collection of maps $\psi_s$, $s \in S$, defines a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles $\psi_S : L_S \cong HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^0)$. Moreover, $\psi_S$ preserves the flat connections on these bundles, since upon a choice of a Darboux-Weinstein trivialization, the two bundles and connections become trivial, and the map $\psi_s$ becomes independent on $s$. □

Example 4.11. The following is an example of computation of $L_S$, which also demonstrates that the local systems $L_S$ (and hence the local systems in Proposition 4.1) need not have regular singularities.

Let $Z$ be the cone of the elliptic curve $F = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$, equipped with the standard Poisson structure, given generically by the symplectic form $\frac{dx \wedge dy \wedge dz}{df}$. This Poisson structure has degree zero, so the Euler derivation $E : \mathcal{O}_Z \to \mathcal{O}_Z$ is Poisson. Let $X = Z \times \mathbb{A}^2$, where $\mathbb{A}^2$ has coordinate functions $p$ and $q$ with $\{p, f\} = Ef$ and $\{q, f\} = 0$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_Z$, and $\{p, q\} = 1$. Then, $X$ is a Poisson variety with two symplectic leaves: the open four-dimensional leaf, and the two-dimensional leaf $S = 0 \times \mathbb{A}^2$. 16
Proposition 4.12. $L_S$ is isomorphic to $N_0 \oplus 3N_1 \oplus 3N_2 \oplus N_3$, where $N_m, m \geq 0$, is the quotient of the algebra of differential operators in $p$ and $q$ by the right ideal generated by $\partial_q - m$ and $\partial_p$ (i.e., $N_0 = \Omega$).

Proof. According to [AL98], the space $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Jacobi ring of the elliptic singularity $F = 0$ (i.e., the ring generated by $x, y, z$ with the relations $F_x = F_y = F_z = 0$). This ring has a basis $(1, x, y, z, xy, xz, yz, xyz)$. This implies that $\dim HP_0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 8$, and the eigenvalues of $E$ on $HP_0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ are $0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2,$ and $3$. So, the result follows from Proposition 4.10 and the equalities $\{p, f\} = Ef$ and $\{q, f\} = 0$. □

4.4. The structure of $M_\phi(X)$ for linear symplectic quotients. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space, $G$ be a finite subgroup of $Sp(V)$, and $\phi : V \to V/G$ be the tautological map. We call a subgroup $K \subset G$ parabolic if there exists $v \in V$ such that $K = G_v$, the stabilizer of $v$. Let $\text{Par}(G, V)$ denote the set of parabolic subgroups. For a parabolic subgroup $K$, denote by $H(K)$ the space $\mathcal{O}_{\langle V^K \rangle} / \{\mathcal{O}^{K}_{\langle V^K \rangle}, \mathcal{O}_{\langle V^K \rangle}\}$. Let $i_K : V^K \to V$ be the embedding of $V^K$ into $V$.

Theorem 4.13. There is a canonical $G$-equivariant isomorphism

$$M_\phi(V) \cong \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G, V)} H(K) \otimes (i_K)_*(\Omega_{V^K}).$$

Proof. Define a stratification of $V$ into strata $(V^K)^o := \{v \in V \mid \text{Stab}(v) = K\} \subset V^K$, for $K \in \text{Par}(G, V)$. The rank of $d\phi$ is constant on $(V^K)^o$ and equal to $\dim(V^K) = \dim(V^K)^o$. Thus, it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 that all composition factors of $M_\phi(V)$ are of the form $(i_K)_*(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the intermediate extension of a local system $L$ on $(V^K)^o$.

We claim that $L$ (and hence $\mathcal{L}$) is necessarily the trivial local system. To see this, it suffices to restrict $M_\phi(V)$ to the formal neighborhood of $(V^K)^o$, which is of the form $(V^K)^o \times ((V^K)^\perp)_0$, where $((V^K)^\perp)_0$ is the formal neighborhood of the origin in $(V^K)^\perp$. Then, the statement reduces to the case $K = G$, with $V^K = 0$, which is trivial.

Moreover, we claim that the multiplicity space for this local system is naturally identified with $H(K)$. This reduces in the same way to the case $K = G$, where the multiplicity space $\text{Hom}(M_\phi(V), \delta_0)^* = M_\phi(V, 0)^*$ equals $\mathcal{O}_V / \{\mathcal{O}_{V/G}, \mathcal{O}_V\} = H(G)$ by Proposition 3.7.

Finally, let us show that $\text{Ext}^1$ between any two $D$-modules of the form $(i_K)_*(\Omega_{V^K})$ is zero. This will imply that $M_\phi(V)$ is semisimple, and the desired result will follow.

To this end, note that all the vector spaces $V^K$ are symplectic, i.e., even-dimensional. Hence, the vanishing of $\text{Ext}^1$ is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.14. Let $V_1, V_2 \subset V$ be two subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space $V$, and $\delta_{V_i}$ be the right delta-function $D$-modules of $V_i$. Then, if $\text{Ext}^1(\delta_{V_1}, \delta_{V_2}) \neq 0$ then either $V_1 \subset V_2$ and $\dim V_2/V_1 = 1$, or $V_2 \subset V_1$ and $\dim V_1/V_2 = 1$.

Proof. First, recall that for right $D$-modules on the line, one has

$$(4.15) \quad \text{Ext}^1(\Omega, \Omega) = \text{Ext}^1(\delta, \delta) = 0.$$

Next, pick a basis $B = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ of $V$ compatible with $V_1, V_2$. For any $j \in [1, n]$ and $i = 1, 2$, let $M_j^i$ be the $D$-module on the line $\mathbb{K} v_j$ defined by the rule: $M_j^1 = \Omega$ if $v_j \in V_1$ and $M_j^2 = \delta$ if not. Then we have

$$\delta_{V_i} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^n M_j^i.$$
Using this decomposition, equality \((\ref{eq:1})\), and the Künneth formula, we see that if \(\text{Ext}^1(\delta v_1, \delta v_2) \neq 0\) then \(M_1^j = M_2^j\) for all but precisely one \(j\), i.e. \(v_j \in V_1\) if and only if \(v_j \in V_2\), except for exactly one \(j\). Thus, \(V_1 \subset V_2\) or \(V_2 \subset V_1\), and the quotient is 1-dimensional, as desired. \(\Box\)

For any parabolic subgroup \(K\) in \(G\), let \(N(K)\) be the normalizer of \(K\) in \(G\), and \(N^0(K) := N(K)/K\). Let \(i_K : V^K/N^0(K) \to V/G\) be the corresponding closed embedding.

**Corollary 4.16.** There is a canonical isomorphism

\[
M(V/G) \cong \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G,V)/G} H\text{P}_0(\mathcal{O}_{(V^K)^+}/K) \otimes (i_K)_* IC(V^K/N^0(K)).
\]

**Proof.** By definition, \(M(V/G) = \phi g M_{\phi}(V)\). Thus, the corollary follows from Theorem \([4.13]\) and Corollary \([2.10]\) using that \(\pi_{\phi}^g(\Omega_X) = IC(X/G)\) for every smooth \(G\)-variety \(X\). \(\Box\)

4.5. **Generalization to the non-linear case.** We now generalize the result of the previous subsection to the case when \(V\) is a smooth connected symplectic variety with a faithful action of a finite group \(G\).

Let \(T\) be a symplectic representation of a finite group \(K\). Denote by \(H(T)\) the \(K\)-representation

\[
H(T) := \mathcal{O}_T/\{\mathcal{O}_{T/K}, \mathcal{O}_T\}.
\]

By \([\text{BEGG}]\) \([7]\) (or our Corollary \([1.3]\) with \(X = T\)), \(H(T)\) is finite-dimensional.

Now let \(E\) be a symplectic vector bundle on a connected variety \(Y\). Assume that \(E\) carries a fiberwise faithful symplectic action of a finite group \(K\). In this case, for every \(y \in Y\), we can define the vector space \(H(E_y)\) as above. All these spaces are isomorphic, as \(K\)-modules, to \(H(T)\), where \(T\) is a certain symplectic representation of \(K\). Since \(H(T)\) is finite-dimensional, \(H(E)\) is an algebraic vector bundle on \(Y\).

**Proposition 4.17.** The bundle \(H(E)\) carries a canonical flat algebraic connection \(\nabla\). This connection defines an \(O\)-coherent \(D\)-module with regular singularities.

**Proof.** Let us first explain what \(\nabla\) is in topological terms, assuming \(K = \mathbb{C}\). If \(y_0\) and \(y_1\) are any two points of \(Y\) and \(y_t\), for \(t \in [0, 1]\), is any path from \(y_0\) to \(y_1\), pick a continuous family \(g(t)\) of isomorphisms of \(K\)-modules \(E_{y_0} \to E_{y_1}\), with \(g(0) = \text{Id}\), and define the transport of \(v \in H(E_{y_0})\) along \(y_t\) to be \(g(1)v\).

We claim that this is well-defined. Indeed, if \(g_1(t)\) and \(g_2(t)\) are two such families, then \(b(t) := g_1(t)^{-1}g_2(t)\) belongs to the group \(L = \text{Sp}(E_{y_0})^K\), and hence to the connected component \(L_0\) of the identity in this group. However, \(\text{Lie}(L_0) \subset O_{E_{y_0}}^K\), so \(b(t)v = v\), and thus \(g(1)v\) does not depend on the choice of \(g\).

If \(y_0\) and \(y_1\) are infinitesimally close, this makes sense algebraically, and defines a flat algebraic connection \(\nabla\) on \(H(E)\). It is easy to see that this connection has regular singularities, as its sections are algebraic. \(\Box\)

By the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, if \(K = \mathbb{C}\), the algebraic \(D\)-module \((H(E), \nabla)\) is determined by the monodromy of the connection \(\nabla\). This monodromy is the composition of the maps \(\rho : \pi_1(Y, y_0) \to \pi_0(L) = L/L_0\) and \(\theta : L/L_0 \to \text{GL}(H(E_{y_0})) = \text{GL}(H(T))\). The map \(\theta\) depends only on the isomorphism class of the representation \(T\).
Let us describe $\rho$. Let $R_r, R_q,$ and $R_c$ be the sets of irreducible (complex) representations of $K$ of real, quaternionic, and complex type modulo dualization, respectively. These correspond to the irreducible symplectic representations of $K$, via tensoring with the symplectic vector space $\mathbb{K}^2$, equipping with the canonical symplectic form, and sending a pair of non-isomorphic dual representations $Q, Q^*$ to the space $Q \oplus Q^*$ with the standard symplectic pairing, respectively. Let $T_Q := \text{Hom}_K(Q, T)$ (over $\mathbb{K}$, for an arbitrary representation $Q$). Then,

$$L = \prod_{Q \in R_r} \text{Sp}(T_Q) \times \prod_{Q \in R_q} \text{O}(T_Q) \times \prod_{Q \in R_c} \text{GL}(T_Q),$$

and hence,

$$L/L_0 = \prod_{Q \in R_q: T_Q \neq 0} \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Now, for any quaternionic representation $Q$ of $K$ which occurs in $T$, $E_Q = \text{Hom}_K(Q, E)$ is an orthogonal vector bundle on $Y$.

**Proposition 4.18.** The $Q$-th coordinate of $\rho$ is the first Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(E_Q) \in H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(Y, y_0), \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the definition of the first Stiefel-Whitney class. \qed

Now consider $V, G$ as above, and $K \in \text{Par}(G, V)$. Note that the connected components of the fixed point variety $V^K$ are symplectic. Denote by $C_K$ the set of connected components of $V^K$. For each $Z \in C_K$, let $i_Z : Z \to V$ be the corresponding closed embedding.

Then, we obtain the following nonlinear generalization of Theorem 4.13, whose proof is parallel to the linear case and omitted.

**Theorem 4.19.** There is a canonical $G$-equivariant isomorphism

$$M_\rho(V) \cong \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G, V)} \bigoplus_{Z \in C_K} (i_Z)_*(H(TV|_Z/TZ)).$$

**Corollary 4.20.** Let $d_Z$ be the dimension of $Z$. Then,

$$\mathcal{O}_V/\{\mathcal{O}_{V/G}, \mathcal{O}_V\} = \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G, V)} \bigoplus_{Z \in C_K} H^{d_Z}(Z, H(TV|_Z/TZ)),$$

and hence

$$HP_0(\mathcal{O}_{V/G}) = \left( \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G, V)} \bigoplus_{Z \in C_K} H^{d_Z}(Z, H(TV|_Z/TZ)) \right)^G.$$
**Theorem 4.21.** There is a canonical isomorphism

\[ M(V/G) \cong \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G,V)/G} \bigoplus_{Z \in C_K/N(K)} (i_Z)_*(H_Z). \]

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.16 and is omitted.

4.6. **The \( C^\infty \) case.** Corollary 4.20 can be generalized to the complex analytic and \( C^\infty \) settings. Moreover, in the \( C^\infty \)-setting, the statement simplifies due to the following lemma from elementary representation theory.

**Lemma 4.22.** Let \( T \) be a real symplectic representation of a finite group \( K \). Then, the centralizer \( L := Sp(T)^K \) is connected.

*Proof.* Let \( R^r_e, R^c_e, \) and \( R^q_e \) be the sets of irreducible real representations of \( K \) of real, complex, and quaternionic type, respectively (i.e., with endomorphism algebras \( \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \) and \( \mathbb{H} \)). That is, \( Q \in R^r_e \) if and only if \( Q_C := Q \otimes \mathbb{C} \) is irreducible, \( Q \in R^c_e \) if and only if \( Q_C \) is the sum of two non-isomorphic complex representations of \( K \) which are dual to each other, and \( Q \in R^q_e \) if and only if \( Q_C \) is the direct sum of two copies of an irreducible complex representation of \( K \).

Let \( T_Q := \text{Hom}_K(Q, T) \). Then, for \( Q \) of real, complex, or quaternionic type, \( T_Q \) is a vector space over \( \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \) or \( \mathbb{H} \), respectively, which has a natural nondegenerate skew-Hermitian form with values in the corresponding division algebra. Moreover, the group \( Sp(T)^K \) is the product over \( Q \) of the groups of linear transformations of \( T_Q \) (over \( \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \) or \( \mathbb{H} \)) which preserve the skew-Hermitian form.

Let the dimension of \( T_Q \) over the corresponding division algebra be \( d = d_Q \). Then, the group of symmetries of the skew-Hermitian form is \( Sp(d) \) in the real case, \( U(p, q) \) for some \( p = p_Q \) and \( q = q_Q \) with \( p + q = d \) in the complex case, and \( O^*(2d) \) in the quaternionic case (see [Hil78]). Thus,

\[ L = \prod_{Q \in R^r_e} Sp(d_Q) \times \prod_{Q \in R^c_e} U(p_Q, q_Q) \times \prod_{Q \in R^q_e} O^*(2d_Q). \]

The maximal compact subgroups of \( Sp(d), U(p, q), \) and \( O^*(2d) \) are \( U(d/2), U(p) \times U(q), \) and \( U(d) \), respectively; they are connected, so \( L \) is a connected group, as desired. \( \square \)

Let \( V \) be a compact symplectic \( C^\infty \)-manifold and \( G \) be a finite group acting faithfully on \( V \) by symplectic diffeomorphisms. Lemma 4.22 implies (using the notation of the previous subsection) that for any \( Z \in C_K \), the local system \( z \mapsto H(T_zV/T_zZ) \) on \( Z \) is trivial.\(^6\) Note that the fibers \( H(T_zV/T_zZ) \) should now be defined using \( C^\infty \)-functions, but the result is the same as in the case of polynomial functions, so they are in particular finite-dimensional.

Denote by \( H_Z \) the space of sections of this local system; it is naturally identified with every fiber. The space \( H_Z \) carries a natural action of the group \( N^0_Z(K) \).

**Proposition 4.23.** Let \( D \) be the space of distributions on \( V \) that are invariant under Hamiltonian flow produced by \( G \)-invariant Hamiltonians. Then,
(i) $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G,V)} \bigoplus_{Z \in C_K} H^*_Z$.

In particular, the space $\mathcal{D}$ is finite-dimensional, and

$$\dim \mathcal{D} = \sum_{K \in \text{Par}(G,V)} \sum_{Z \in C_K} \dim H^*_Z.$$  

(ii) The space $\mathcal{D}^G$ of invariant distributions on the symplectic orbifold $V/G$ is finite-dimensional, and is given by

$$\mathcal{D}^G = \bigoplus_{K \in \text{Par}(G,V)/G} \bigoplus_{Z \in C_K/N(K)} (H^*_Z)^{N_0(K)}.$$  

**Proof.** Let $T$ be a symplectic real vector space, $K \subset Sp(T)$. By Proposition 3.3, the space of distributions on $T$ supported at 0 and invariant under $K$-invariant Hamiltonian flow is naturally identified with $H(T)^*$. Moreover, the same is true about the space of such distributions on $T \times X$ which are supported at 0 \times X, where $X$ is any connected symplectic manifold. Now, by an equivariant version of the Darboux theorem, for every $K \in \text{Par}(G,V)$, $Z \in C_K$, and $z \in Z$, $V$ can be represented near $z$ in the form $T \times X$ in a $K$-compatible way (where $X$ is an open set in the standard symplectic vector space of the appropriate dimension). Gluing together such representations along $Z$, we see that every element $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ can be canonically written as a sum of contributions $\xi_Z$ supported on $Z \in C_K$, for $K \in \text{Par}(G,V)$, and each such contribution belongs to $H^0(Z, H(TV|_Z/TZ)^*)$. By Lemma 4.22, the local systems $H(TV|_Z/TZ)$ are trivial. This proves (i). Statement (ii) follows from (i) by taking $G$-invariants. \hfill \square
APPENDIX A. Prime and primitive ideals

By Ivan Losev

Let $A$ be an associative unital algebra equipped with an increasing exhaustive filtration $F_i A, i \geq 0$. Consider the associated graded algebra $A_0$ of $A$ and the center $Z$ of $A_0$. Then $Z$ is a graded commutative algebra. Let $Z^i$ denote the $i$-th graded subspace.

We assume that there is an integer $d > 0$ and a linear embedding $g : Z \to A$ satisfying the following conditions

- $g(Z^i) \subset F_i A$ for all $i$ and, moreover, the composition of $g$ with the projection $F_i A \to F_i A/F_{i-1} A$ coincides with the inclusion $Z^i \hookrightarrow F_i A/F_{i-1} A$.
- $[g(Z^i), F_j A] \subset F_{i+j-d} A$ for all $i, j$.

Under this assumption the algebra $Z$ has a natural Poisson bracket: for $a \in Z^i, b \in Z^j$ for \{a, b\} take the image of $[g(a), g(b)]$ in $F_{i+j-d} A/F_{i+j-d-1} A$ (this image lies in $Z^{i+j-d}$). The claim that the map $\{(a, b) \mapsto \{a, b\}\}$ extends to a Poisson bracket on $Z$ is checked directly. It is also checked directly that this Poisson bracket is independent on the choice of the embedding $g$.

For example, if $A$ is almost commutative, that is $Z = A_0$, then for $d$ one can take the maximal integer with $[F_i A, F_j A] \subset F_{i+j-d} A$ for all $i, j$ (and for $g$ the direct sum of sections of the projections $F_i A \twoheadrightarrow F_i A/F_{i-1} A = Z^i$).

Another example, where $d$ and $g$ exist is that of symplectic reflection algebras (see Example 1.5), there $d = 2$.

The goal of this appendix is to prove the following claim.

**Theorem A.1.** Suppose that there are $g : Z \to A$ and $d > 0$ as above. Further, suppose that

- $Z$ is finitely generated and its spectrum has finitely many symplectic leaves.
- $A_0$ is a finitely generated module over $Z$.

Then the following assertions hold

1. $A$ has finitely many prime ideals,
2. every prime ideal is primitive.

We start by deriving part (2) from part (1). It is enough show that every prime ideal of $A$ is the intersection of primitive ideals containing it (if this is the case one says that $A$ is a Jacobson ring).

Consider the Rees algebra $A_h$ of $A$ defined by $A_h := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (F_i A)h^i \subset A[h]$. This is a graded algebra. The quotient $A_h/h A_h$ is naturally identified with $A_0$, while for $a \neq 0$ we have $A_h/(h - a)A_h \cong A$.

Consider the algebra $A_h/h^d A_h$ and let $Z(d)$ denote its center. The existence of $g$ implies that the image of $Z(d)$ in $A_0$ under the natural epimorphism $A_h/h^d A_h \twoheadrightarrow A_0$ coincides with $Z$. Let $Z_h$ denote the preimage of $Z(d)$ in $A_h$. Then $Z_h$ is a graded subalgebra of $A_h$ and $\tilde{A}_0 := Z_h/h Z_h$ is commutative. Moreover, the kernel of the natural epimorphism $\tilde{A}_0 \to Z$ is naturally identified with $A_0/Z$. The square of the kernel is zero. So the kernel is a finitely generated $Z$-module. It follows that $\tilde{A}_0$ is finitely generated. Now we remark that $Z_h$ is flat and hence free as a graded $\mathbb{K}[h]$-module. The algebra $\tilde{A} := Z_h/(h - 1)Z_h$ is equipped with a filtration induced from the grading on $Z_h$. Its associated graded is $\tilde{A}_0$. So
we can apply Quillen’s lemma, see e.g., [MR01], 9.7.3, to \( \tilde{A}[x] \) to get that \( \tilde{A}[x] \) acts by a character on any irreducible module. The algebra \( \tilde{A}[x] \) is \( \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \)-filtered (\( \mathbb{K}[x] \) has degree 0) and \( \text{gr} \tilde{A}[x] = \tilde{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{K}[x] \) is finitely generated. Applying [MR01], Proposition 1.6 and Lemma 1.2, we see that \( \tilde{A} \) is Jacobson. But the embedding \( Z_h \hookrightarrow A_h \) gives rise to a homomorphism \( \tilde{A} \to A \) and this homomorphism is surjective. Being a quotient of a Jacobson ring, \( A \) is Jacobson too.

Now let us prove part (1). We will do this in seven steps.

**Step 1.** To a two-sided ideal \( I \subset A \) assign the ideal \( R_h(I) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (I \cap F_i A) h^i \) in \( A_h \).

This ideal is homogeneous and \( h \)-saturated in the sense that \( ha \in R_h(I) \) implies \( a \in R_h(I) \). Of course, an ideal \( I_h \subset A_h \) is \( h \)-saturated if and only if \( A_h/I_h \) is \( \mathbb{K}[h] \)-flat. Conversely, to a homogeneous \( h \)-saturated ideal \( I_h \subset A_h \) assign the ideal \( I_h/(h-1)I_h \) in \( A \). It is easy to check that the maps \( I \mapsto R_h(I), I_h \mapsto I_h/(h-1)I_h \) are mutually inverse bijections. Moreover, the ideal \( R_h(I) \) is prime if and only if the ideal \( I \) is prime. So we need to check that there are finitely many homogeneous \( h \)-saturated prime ideals in \( A_h \).

Recall that to any finitely generated module \( M \) over a Noetherian algebra one can assign its Gelfand-Kirillov dimension \( \text{Dim} \ M \). Now to a finitely generated \( A_h \)-module \( M_h \) one assigns its associated variety \( V(M_h) \subset \text{Spec}(Z) \). By definition, \( V(M_h) \) is the support of \( M_h/hM_h \).

We can define the associated variety of a finitely generated \( A \)-module \( M \) by equipping \( M \) with a filtration and setting \( V(M) = V(R_h(M)) \). We have \( \dim V(M) = \text{Dim} \ M, \dim V(M_h) = \text{Dim} \ M_h - 1 \).

Let \( Y \) be a symplectic leaf on \( \text{Spec}(Z) \). Consider the set \( \mathcal{P}_Y \) consisting of all homogeneous \( h \)-saturated prime ideals \( I_h \subset A_h \) such that \( Y \) is an irreducible component of maximal dimension in the associated variety \( V(A_h/I_h) \). Since there are only finitely many symplectic leaves, it is easy to show that each set \( \mathcal{P}_Y \) is finite.

**Step 2.** We are going to compare the set \( \mathcal{P}_Y \) with the set of prime ideals in a certain completion of \( A_h \). A similar technique was used in [Los10].

Pick a point \( y \in Y \) and let \( m_y \) be its maximal ideal in \( Z \). Let \( \tilde{m}_y \) denote the preimage of \( m_y \) in \( Z_h \) under the natural epimorphism \( Z_h \twoheadrightarrow Z \). Consider the completion \( A^\wedge_h := \varprojlim_k A_h/\tilde{m}_y^k A_h \).

Since \( [A_h, Z_h] \subset h^d A_h \), we see that \( A_h/\tilde{m}_y^k \) is actually a two-sided ideal coinciding with \( \tilde{m}_y^k A_h \). Therefore \( A^\wedge_h \) acquires a natural complete topological algebra structure. Moreover, \( (A_h/\tilde{m}_y^k)(A_h/\tilde{m}_y^l) = A_h/\tilde{m}_y^{k+l} \). One can easily show that \( A^\wedge_h/hA^\wedge_h = A^\wedge_0 := \varprojlim_k A_0/A_0 m_y^k \). The algebra \( A^\wedge_0 \) is finite over the completion \( \varprojlim_k Z/m_y^k \). The latter is the completion of a commutative finitely generated algebra and hence is Noetherian. Therefore \( A^\wedge_0 \) is also Noetherian. It follows that the algebra \( A^\wedge_h \) is Noetherian too.

For a finitely generated \( A_h \)-module \( M_h \) consider the completion \( M^\wedge_h := \varprojlim_k M_h/\tilde{m}_y^k M_h \).

To ensure good properties of completions one needs an analog of the Artin-Rees lemma from Commutative algebra, see [Eis95], Chapters 5 and 7. The following lemma incorporates all results related to the Artin-Rees lemma we need.

**Lemma A.2.**

1. The blow-up algebra \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_h \tilde{m}_y^i \) is Noetherian.
2. The Artin-Rees lemma holds for any submodule \( N_h \) in a finitely generated left \( A_h \)-module \( M_h \). That is, there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( N_h \cap \tilde{m}_y^{k+1} M_h = \tilde{m}_y^k (N_h \cap \tilde{m}_y^k M_h) \) for all \( l \geq 0 \).
3. The completion functor \( M_h \mapsto M^\wedge_h \) is exact and isomorphic to \( M_h \mapsto A^\wedge_h \otimes A_h M_h \).
4. If \( M_h \) is \( \mathbb{K}[h] \)-flat, then \( M^\wedge_h \) is \( \mathbb{K}[[h]] \)-flat.
(5) The quotient \( M^\wedge_h / \mathbb{h}M^\wedge_h \) coincides with the completion of the \( Z \)-module \( M_h / \mathbb{h}M_h \) at \( y \).

(6) \( M^\wedge_h = \{ 0 \} \) if and only if \( y \not\in V(M_h) \).

(7) Any finitely generated \( A^\wedge_h \)-module is complete and separated in the \( \mathfrak{m}_y \)-adic topology.

(8) Any submodule in a finitely generated \( A^\wedge_h \)-module is closed in the \( \mathfrak{m}_y \)-adic topology.

**Proof.** Let us prove (1). The remaining statements are more or less standard corollaries of (1) and are proved analogously to the corresponding statements in Subsection 2.4 of [Los08] (the Artin-Rees lemma (assertion 2) is not proved there explicitly). The algebra \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^\wedge_h \tilde{m}_y^i \) is generated by \( A^\wedge_h \) (= the component of degree 0) as a module over the blow-up algebra \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{m}_y^i \). Since \( A^\wedge_h \) is a finite \( \mathbb{Z}_h \)-module, we see that \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^\wedge_h \tilde{m}_y^i \) is finite over \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{m}_y^i \).

The algebra \( Z_h / \mathbb{h}Z_h \) is commutative and finitely generated (see the proof of the implication (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) above). From here it is easy to deduce that \( Z_h \) is Noetherian. So \( \tilde{m}_y \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_h \)-module. It follows that \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{m}_y^i \) is a finitely generated module over \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{m}_y^{2i} \). Indeed, the former is generated by \( \tilde{m}_y \) (its component of degree 1) over the latter.

Therefore it is enough to check that \( \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{m}_y^{2i} \) is Noetherian. This will follow if we check that the pair \( \tilde{m}_y^2 \subset Z_h \) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.4.2 in [Los08]. We have already checked that \( Z_h / \mathbb{h}Z_h \) is commutative and Noetherian. Also \( [\tilde{m}_y^2, \tilde{m}_y^2] \subset \mathbb{h}\tilde{m}_y^2 \) because \( [\tilde{m}_y, \tilde{m}_y] = [Z_h, Z_h] \subset h^dZ_h \).

Now let us construct a certain derivation \( D \) of \( A^\wedge_h \). Consider the derivation \( D \) of \( A^\wedge_h \) induced by the grading: \( D(a) = ka \) for \( a \in A^\wedge_h \) of degree \( k \). Then \( D \) is continuous in the \( \tilde{m}_y \)-adic topology so we can extend it to \( A^\wedge_h \), the extension is the derivation we need.

Let \( I^\wedge_h \) be a homogeneous ideal in \( A^\wedge_h \). Then its completion \( \overline{I} \) with respect to the \( \tilde{m}_y \)-adic topology is a \( D \)-stable ideal in \( A^\wedge_h \). Assertions (3) and (4) of Lemma \[A.2\] imply that \( I^\wedge_h \) is \( h \)-saturated whenever \( I^\wedge_h \) is. By assertion (7), \( I^\wedge_h \) is closed in \( A^\wedge_h \) with respect to the \( \tilde{m}_y \)-adic topology.

To a two-sided ideal \( J \subset A^\wedge_h \) we assign its inverse image \( J^{fin} \) under the natural homomorphism \( A^\wedge_h \to A^\wedge_h \). Clearly, \( J^{fin} \) is homogeneous and \( h \)-saturated provided \( J \) is so.

**Step 3.** Now we would like to decompose \( A^\wedge_h \) into the completed tensor product of a Weyl algebra and of some "slice" algebra. Let \( \tilde{X} \) denote the spectrum of \( Z_h / \mathbb{h}Z_h \). This is a non-reduced Poisson scheme, the corresponding reduced scheme is \( X \).

Consider the completions \( \tilde{X}^\wedge, Y^\wedge \) of \( \tilde{X}, Y \) at \( y \). Then \( Y^\wedge \) is a symplectic leaf of the Poisson formal scheme \( \tilde{X}^\wedge \). According to [Kal06], Proposition 3.3, \( \tilde{X}^\wedge \) can be decomposed into the product \( Y^\wedge \times \tilde{X}^\wedge \), where \( \tilde{X}^\wedge \) is a Poisson formal scheme such that a point \( y \in \tilde{X}^\wedge \) forms a symplectic leaf. We are going to show that one can lift this decomposition to a decomposition of \( A \).

More precisely, let \( W^\wedge_h \) denote the completed Weyl algebra of \( T_y Y \), i.e., the algebra \( \mathbb{K}[[T_y Y \mathbb{h}]] \) of formal power series in \( T_y Y \) and \( \mathbb{h} \), where the multiplication is given by the Moyal-Weyl star-product \( f \star g = \mu \exp(\mathbb{h}^2 P f \otimes g) \). Here \( \mu \) denotes the multiplication map, \( P \) is a Poisson bivector on \( T_y Y \). We claim there is a complete topological subalgebra \( A^\wedge_h \subset A^\wedge_h \) such that \( \text{Spec}(A^\wedge_h / (\mathbb{h})) = \tilde{X}^\wedge \) and

\[
(A.3) \quad A^\wedge_h = W^\wedge_h \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{h}]} A^\wedge_h,
\]
where \( \otimes \) stands for the completed (in the \( \mathfrak{m}_y \)-adic topology) tensor product.
Similarly to the proof of Proposition 7.1 in [Los09], we see that the embedding $\mathbb{K}[Y]_y^\wedge \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]_y^\wedge$ lifts to an embedding $W_h^\wedge \hookrightarrow Z_h^\wedge \subset A_h^\wedge$. For $A_h^\wedge$ take the centralizer of $W_h^\wedge$ in $A_h^\wedge$. We have a natural topological algebra homomorphism $W_h^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]} A_h^\wedge$. We need to check that it is an isomorphism. This is done analogously to the proof of Proposition 3.3.1 from [Los08].

In the sequel, we identify $A_h^\wedge$ with the completed tensor product above.

**Step 4.** We want to construct a bijection between the set of $h$-saturated two-sided ideals in $A_h^\wedge$ and the analogous set for $A_h^\wedge$. It is easy to see (compare with Lemma 3.4.3 in [Los10]) that any $h$-saturated two-sided ideal $J_h \subset A_h^\wedge$ (which is automatically closed, see Lemma A.8) is of the form $W_h^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]} J_h$ for a uniquely determined $h$-saturated two-sided ideal $J_h \subset A_h^\wedge$. Also

\[(\mathbf{A.4}) \quad V(A_h^\wedge/I_h^\wedge) = Y^\wedge \times V(A_h^\wedge/I_h^\wedge).\]

**Step 5.** Recall that we are primarily interested in $D$-stable $h$-saturated ideals in $A_h^\wedge$. So we want to construct a derivation $D$ of $A_h^\wedge$ such that $D(h) = h$ and $J_h$ is $D$-stable provided $J_h$ is $D$-stable.

Pick a lagrangian subspace $U \subset T_y Y$. Note that

\[(\mathbf{A.5}) \quad A_h^\wedge := (A_h^\wedge/A_h^\wedge U)^{ad U}.\]

Pick a basis $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in U$. We claim that there is $a \in A_h^\wedge$ such that

\[(\mathbf{A.6}) \quad [u_1, a] = \hbar^d D(u_1).\]

Indeed, choose a complimentary lagrangian subspace $U' \subset T_y Y$ and pick the basis $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in U'$ dual to $u_1, \ldots, u_k$. There are unique elements

\[P_i \in \mathbb{K}[[u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_2, \ldots, v_k, \hbar]] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]} A_h^\wedge\]

such that $D(u_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P_i v_i$. Then $a := \int D(u_i) dv_1 := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P_i v_i$ satisfies (A.6).

Now $D + \frac{1}{\hbar^d} \text{ad}(a)$ induces a derivation $D^{(1)}$ of

\[\mathbb{K}[[u_2, \ldots, u_n, v_2, \ldots, v_n, \hbar]] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]} A_h^\wedge = (A_h^\wedge/A_h^\wedge u_1)^{ad u_1},\]

with $D^{(1)}(h) = h$.

Proceeding in the same way, we get a derivation $D'$ of $A_h^\wedge$ with $D'(h) = h$.

Now suppose that $D$ is a derivation of $A_h^\wedge$ such that $D(h) = \alpha h$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$. We can uniquely extend $D$ to $A_h^\wedge$ by requiring that $D$ acts on $T_y Y$ by $\alpha \frac{d}{dt}$ id.

**Lemma A.7.** There is a derivation $D$ of $A_h^\wedge$ such that $D(h) = h$ and $D - D = \frac{1}{\hbar^d} \text{ad}(a)$ for some element $a \in A_h^\wedge$.

**Proof.** Set $D_0 := D - D'$. This is a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$-bilinear derivation of $A_h^\wedge$. Recall a basis $u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_k \in T_y Y$. Replacing $D_0$ with $D_0 + \frac{1}{\hbar^d} \text{ad}(\int D_0(u_1) dv_1)$ we get $D_0(u_1) = 0$. Therefore $D_0(v_1)$ commutes with $u_1$. In other words,$$
D_0(v_1) \in \mathbb{K}[[u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_2, \ldots, v_n, \hbar]] \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]} A_h^\wedge.
$$

So replacing $D_0$ with $D_0 - \frac{1}{\hbar^d} \text{ad}(\int D_0(v_1) dv_1)$ we get $D_0(u_1) = D_0(v_1) = 0$. Proceeding in the same way, we get $D_0|_{T_y Y} = 0$. Hence $D_0(A_h^\wedge)$ commutes with $T_y Y$. Therefore $D_0$ is induced by a derivation $D_0$ of $A_h^\wedge$ such that $D_0(h) = 0$. We can put $D := D' + D_0$. \(\square\)
We see that an \( \hbar \)-saturated ideal \( J_\hbar \) is \( D \)-stable if and only if \( J_\hbar \) is \( D \)-stable.

**Step 6.** Let \( \text{Pr}_\text{fin} \) denote the set of all prime \( D \)-stable \( \hbar \)-saturated ideals \( J_\hbar \subset A_\hbar^\wedge \) such that the \( \mathbb{K}[[\hbar]] \)-module \( A_\hbar^\wedge /J_\hbar \) is of finite rank.

Consider a map \( \text{Pr}_\text{fin} \to \text{Pr}_Y \) given by \( J_\hbar \mapsto J_\hbar^\text{fin} \), where, as on Step 4, \( J_\hbar := W_\hbar \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}[[\hbar]] J_\hbar \).

We claim that this map is surjective.

First of all, let us note that \( J_\hbar \) gives a bijection between \( \text{Pr} \) and the set \( \text{Pr}_Y \) of all prime \( D \)-stable \( \hbar \)-saturated ideals \( J_\hbar \subset A_\hbar^\wedge \). Now the claim that the map in consideration is surjective stems from the following lemma.

**Lemma A.8.** Let \( I_\hbar \subset \text{Pr}_Y \). Then any minimal prime ideal \( J_\hbar \) of \( I_\hbar^\wedge \) lies in \( \text{Pr}_Y \) and \( J_\hbar^\text{fin} = I_\hbar \).

**Proof.** Clearly, \( V(A_\hbar^\wedge /J_\hbar) \subset V(A_\hbar^\wedge /I_\hbar^\wedge) \). The right hand side coincides with \( Y^\wedge \) by Lemma [A.2]. On the other hand, \( Y^\wedge \) is a symplectic leaf, so \( V(A_\hbar^\wedge /J_\hbar) \) needs to coincide with \( Y^\wedge \). Since \( I_\hbar^\wedge \) is \( D \)-stable, so is every its minimal prime ideal.

We have \( I_\hbar \subset J_\hbar^\text{fin} \) whence \( V(A_\hbar^\wedge /J_\hbar^\text{fin}) \subset V(A_\hbar^\wedge /I_\hbar) \). It follows that \( \dim(A_\hbar^\wedge/I_\hbar) \geq \dim(A_\hbar^\wedge/J_\hbar^\text{fin}) \). On the other hand \( Y \subset V(A_\hbar^\wedge/J_\hbar^\text{fin}) \) and \( \dim V(A_\hbar/I_\hbar) = \dim Y \). So \( \dim(A_\hbar^\wedge/I_\hbar) = \dim(A_\hbar^\wedge/J_\hbar^\text{fin}) \). Applying [BK76], Corollary 3.6, we see that \( I_\hbar = J_\hbar^\text{fin} \).

**Step 7.** To complete the proof of assertion (1) of the theorem it is enough to check that \( \overline{\text{Pr}_\text{fin}} \) is finite. By Corollary [3.19] \( (A_\hbar^\wedge /\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]])[\hbar^{-1}] \) is finite-dimensional over \( \mathbb{K}((\hbar)) \). Hence, as in Theorem [1.4], \( A_\hbar^\wedge[\hbar^{-1}] \) has finitely many finite-dimensional irreducible representations. To each distinct \( (\hbar \text{-saturated}) \) ideal \( J_\hbar \in \text{Pr}_\text{fin} \) we can associate a distinct ideal \( J_\hbar[\hbar^{-1}] \subset A_\hbar^\wedge[\hbar^{-1}] \). Each of these finite codimension ideals is the kernel of at least one irreducible finite-dimensional representation of \( A_\hbar^\wedge[\hbar^{-1}] \). Thus, the set \( \overline{\text{Pr}_\text{fin}} \) must be itself finite.
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**APPENDIX B. POSSIBLE GENERALIZATIONS**

In this second appendix, we briefly discuss some possible generalizations of the preceding appendix which were suggested by I. Losev (in particular, he suggested questions (1)–(3) below).

One can ask the following more general questions of \( A \), which seem to have positive answers in all known cases:

(1) If \( \dim A = \infty \), is it true that \( A \) is not residually finite-dimensional? I.e., is the intersection of the kernels of all finite-dimensional representations of \( A \) nontrivial?

(2) Is it true that the category \( \text{Rep}_{f.d.}(A) \) of finite-dimensional representations of \( A \) is equivalent to the category \( \text{Rep}_{f.d.}(A') \) for a finite-dimensional algebra \( A' \)? Equivalently: (i) Does \( \text{Rep}_{f.d.}(A) \) have enough projectives? (ii) Does \( A \) have a minimal finite-codimensional ideal?

(3) Is \( A \) of finite length as an \( A \)-bimodule?

We claim that (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (1). Moreover, we expect that all three are equivalent in the more general deformational setting of Remark [1.6].

First we show the implications \( \Rightarrow \). Indeed, for a fixed algebra \( A \), property (3) implies (2), since if \( A \) has finite length as an \( A \)-bimodule, then in particular there is a bound on the
codimension of any finite-codimensional ideal, so the intersection of all finite-codimensional ideals is the minimal such. Next, again for a fixed algebra $A$, (2) implies (1), since if $A$ has a minimal ideal of finite codimension, then this must lie in the kernel of every finite-dimensional representation.

Next, we show that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that (1) holds for all algebras $A$ satisfying the assumptions of the appendix. Take such an algebra $A$. We claim that the intersection of all finite-codimensional ideals of $A$ has finite codimension. Let $J$ be this intersection. Consider the new algebra $A' = A/J$. Then, in $A'$, the intersection of all finite-codimensional ideals is zero, i.e., $A'$ is residually finite-dimensional. On the other hand, if $Z$ is the center of $\text{gr} A$, then $\text{gr} A'$ is finite over $Z/(Z \cap \text{gr} J)$, and the latter is a Poisson subscheme of $\text{Spec} Z$, whose symplectic leaves are therefore a subset of the symplectic leaves of $\text{Spec} Z$ itself, and therefore there are finitely many. Hence, by (1), $A'$ is itself finite-dimensional, as desired.

Finally, let us consider the analogue of the above questions in the setting of deformation quantizations $A_h$ as in Remark 1.6. In this case, one is interested in ideals of $A_h[h^{-1}]$ over $\mathbb{K}((h))$. We expect that all three questions are then equivalent (and specialize to the case of filtered quantizations $A$ of a graded algebra $A_0$, by letting $A_h$ be the $h$-adic completion of the Rees algebra of $A$). Here, we explain why (2) for such $A_h$ implies (3) for filtered quantizations. Fix $A_0$ and a filtered quantization $A_h$. Let $A_h$ be the Rees algebra of $A$, and let $Z$ be the center of $A_0$. We claim that any strictly decreasing chain of ideals of $A_h[h^{-1}]$ is finite. We can replace $A_h$ by its completion $A_h^\wedge$ at a closed point $y \in \text{Spec} Z$. By (A.3), it is enough to show the claim for the slice algebra $A_h^\wedge$. As above, every ideal of $A_h^\wedge[h^{-1}]$ has finite codimension over $\mathbb{K}((h))$ (its associated graded has zero-dimensional support, at the unique closed point of $\text{Spec} Z(A_h^\wedge[h^{-1}])$ over $\mathbb{K}((h))$). Hence, it follows from (2) that the chain is finite.
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