The Commercial Spaceport

by

Scott T. Ferebee

B.S. Architecture
University of Virginia, 2003

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ARCHITECTURE
AT THE
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

FEBRUARY 2011

©2011 Scott T. Ferebee. All rights reserved.

The author hereby grants to MIT permission to reproduce
and to distribute publicly paper and electronic
copies of this thesis document in whole or in part
in any medium now known or hereafter created.

Signature of Author: ________________________________

Department of Architecture
January 14, 2011

Certified by: _______________________________________

Joel Lamere
Lecturer, Architectural Design
Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by: _______________________________________

Takehiko Nagakura
Associate Professor of Design and Computation
Chair of the Department Committee on Graduate Students
The Commercial Spaceport

by

Scott T. Ferebee

B.S. Architecture
University of Virginia, 2003

Submitted to the Department of Architecture
on January 14, 2011 in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in
Architecture

ABSTRACT

Space tourism is poised for dramatic growth in the coming decades, as an industry once
considered the exclusive purview of the military-science elite ramps up to serve the interests
of mass commerce. The cost to private citizens for a trip to space today is over twenty million
dollars, which buys an extra seat on a ten-day maintenance mission to the International Space
Station. The cost to private citizens in 2040 will be twenty thousand dollars, enough to
purchase a seat on a purpose-built spaceplane and spend two weeks in an orbiting hotel.

In this context, the commercial spaceport represents a new transportation typology. It must
respond to the new technical demands of space travel, as well as acknowledge its cultural
import as a gateway for millions of people to the last and greatest of frontiers. Here, the stakes
are raised: not only is the commercial spaceport responsible for the education, training, and
rehabilitation of passengers, but also for ‘wowing’ them. Here, everyone gets their money’s
worth.

The site is Las Vegas, that most renown of frontier artifices. From the nuclear weapons explo-
sions visible for decades from the strip to the ethos of excess that subverts the diurnal cycle,
Las Vegas has always been a testing ground. As a threshold to the fringe, the spaceport is a
natural extension of this narrative.
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The 21st-century Spaceport

Spaceports are coming. In a country such as the United States, where the National Aeronautics Space Administration (NASA) is poised to be supplanted in technological innovation by a burgeoning private industry, they are coming. In a country such as China, where the military-run space program is very publicly drawing up plans to land an astronaut on the moon by 2020, they are coming.

Subjected for decades to stop-start long-term planning and attritional budget cuts, NASA is now about to step back from its traditional role as an all-in-one space agency. NASA will still produce its own heavy-lift launch vehicles for possible returns to the Moon or a new mission to Mars; however, with regard to the emerging space tourism industry, NASA's role will be largely one of official oversight over a growing number of grass-roots private enterprises ready to develop their own proprietary space vehicles.¹

No such fickle politics have slowed China, which in 2003 placed an astronaut into orbit with proprietary launch hardware despite being almost totally frozen out of the international space community for the duration of its space program. Already, construction is underway in what is to become “China’s Kennedy Space Center,” a city (Wenchang)

that will also feature a space theme park and nearby resorts.2

These are only two, albeit arguably the two to watch, of a number of nations ramping up their space commerce industries. In an increasingly globalized world, high-technology jobs are coin of the realm. The lucrative prospect of space tourism fits the bill, and surely no other industry captures the thrill of a new frontier and the menace of an unknown quantity, or is so loaded with geopolitical historical implications, as space travel.

The site is Las Vegas, that most renown of frontier artifices. From the nuclear weapons explosions visible for decades from the strip to the ethos of excess that subverts the diurnal cycle, Las Vegas has always been a testing ground for technological, sociological, and recreational experimentation. It is home to the bizarre, and literally, the alien; Area 51 is only a litte more than a hundred miles away. As a threshold to the fringe, the spaceport is a natural extension of this narrative. The spectacle of space travel, be it in the human centrifuge which simulates the G-forces of takeoff and landing, the boarding of the spaceplane, or the rocket ignition of the stage 2 craft high in the sky above the city, represents a new era of hyperactivity in the de facto capital of frontiersmanship.

2 “Aiming High: China is moving heaven and Earth to put a man on the moon,” The Economist, October 22 2009.
The Politics of Space Exploration

Long before space travel per se, space exploration was an epistemological question. In the western world, Aristarchus of Samos is credited with the inaugural proposition of a heliocentric universe. While the democratic nature of governments of ancient Greek city-states varied widely, Aristarchus was nonetheless fortunate to be born into a culture in which empirical skepticism was valued.

Over a thousand years later, with the Christian Catholic church in ascendancy in Europe, such scientific curiosity was outright dangerous. The church's authority was predicated on the control of information, and on its exclusive rights of interpretation of scientific phenomena. Questions pertaining to the shape of the world and its geographic position in the firmament went straight to the heart of the Catholic church's authority. Among the things known then, God lived in the sky, the Earth was flat, and the Earth was the center of the universe. The Bible was the definitive arbiter of truth, and biblical interpretation was the exclusive purview of the Catholic clergy.

This state of affairs was feasible because of widespread illiteracy. Even after the innovation of Gutenberg's movable type printing press in the latter half of the 15th century, literacy rates would remain relatively small for centuries. While perhaps unfair to the majority of educated clergy at the time, it is worth noting that the Copernicus' De revolutionibus was not stricken from the index of prohibited books until 1835.
The sky may no longer be considered the literal location of God, but little else has changed in way that space exploration has remained politicized. In this century, as in any other, space exploration has been deployed in the service of imperialism. In fact, if anything, the stakes have been raised with the rate of globalization. Its gains are always measured to enhance one nation, or one religion or one ethnicity, at the expense of others.

The launch of the first artificial satellite by the Soviet Union in 1957, was an occasion of public trepidation and crisis meetings among the circles of leadership in the United States. If it was received as a shot across the bow in anticipation of war, it was because the Soviet Union welcomed such an interpretation. Though war never came, the events of the so-called “space race” remains affixed to the respective reputations of both countries. In the widely accepted nationalist narrative of the United States, a concerted effort of science and industry was able to overcome an initial deficit to a sworn enemy and record a resounding victory by completing the first manned moon mission in 1969.

Fifty years later, space exploration continues to be one of the most iconic metrics by which to judge national progress. China, grooming itself as the heir apparent to the United States as planetary superpower, has announced plans to send a manned mission to the moon in 2013. No matter that no manned missions to the moon have been
undertaken since 1975, on account of no scientific basis to make the effort worthwhile; for the Chinese, the prestige of being the second nation to send a man to the moon is worth the cost. To be the first this nation this century, and the first nation to have done it in pristine, high-definition video, and to have done so largely independently of any international cooperation, is an immense source of pride.

The United States has consistently refused to share technology with the Chinese program, on account of the Chinese program’s official status as a branch of the military. Now, with the United States grounding its own fleet of vehicles amid safety concerns and budget cuts, the narrative of China’s space program looks set to become wrapped into a much larger one about the well-being of one superpower and the rise of the next.

Indeed, amidst the controversy surrounding the weapons programs of so-called rogue nations, one of the few topics compelling enough to divert the public attentions of the governments of Iran and North Korea away from the issue of nuclear weapons remains the launch of proprietary space vehicles. The two are interrelated, of course; the projects of space exploration and war-waging have been technologically linked since the launch of the Sputnik satellite on a rocket designed for a payload of a nuclear warhead. When North Korea staged what is widely believed to be another failed missile test in 2009, it did so under the guise of a satellite launch. State-controlled media declared the
launch a success, and television reports within North Korea included audio playback of nationalist songs purported to be broadcast from the satellite in orbit.

Into this contest now enters the industries of mass commerce. Virgin Galactic, based in New Mexico, plans to begin flights above the 100km threshold in the year 2011. Other companies are already in the advanced stages of design of either space vehicles or space habitat modules.

As National boundaries no longer define the nature of the participants. As selfish as governments, yet unburdened by national narratives, private corporations are free to grow and subsume one another as markets allow. Soon, privately-funded excursions to space will be the primary means of access for the overwhelming majority of space travelers. With the exception of certain major infrastructural components (ie moon base), government intervention into space travel will be limited to specification oversight and best practice regulation.
Spaceport Program Guide

I. General Conditions

Space tourism demands more from its participants than any current form of commercial travel. Therefore, while similar in many respects to modern airport terminals, the Spaceport Passenger Terminal (SPT) features additional programmatic requirements.

Passengers and staff must develop and teach new knowledge bases and new skill sets. These include training in take-off and reentry protocols, familiarity with the life support systems of a space suit, fluency in microgravity habitation protocols (including exercise, meal, and restroom routines), and the capacity for safe and alert conduct within any permanent space hotel or habitation facility.

Also required is a rehabilitation center for those returning from extended stays in a microgravity environment. Depending on the duration of microgravity exposure, the recuperation time can last from days to weeks. Space travel carries a number of known health risks, and therefore returning tourists must be able to avail themselves of medical facilities as well as physical rehabilitation facilities. The medical facility will also include a necessary research component, where biometric data can be stored and analyzed, contributing to a safer, more enjoyable space travel experience.
I. Passenger Terminal

The majority of space tourists will embark on extra-orbital flights in two-stage spaceplanes with horizontal take-offs and landings. Runway take-off and landing segments of spaceplane travel are very similar to modern airline travel; in fact, most standard high-traffic airport runways are long enough to accommodate spaceplanes. For this reason, space tourism may be seen as a logical extension of the commercial airline industry. As such, modern airport terminal design is a logical precedent for commercial spaceport terminal design.

Spaceplane vehicles already exist, and have been demonstrated as viable and cost-effective for passenger flights beyond the 100km altitude threshold. Perhaps the most well-known of these vehicles is SpaceShipOne, which won the 2009 Ansari X-prize for becoming the first reusable craft to break the 100km altitude threshold twice within a span of 14 days. Virgin Galactic subsequently acquired the rights to SpaceShipOne and has continued development of the vehicle for its New Mexico spaceport, Spaceport America.

Current spaceplane vehicles, including SpaceShipOne, consist of two stages: a carrier airplane and a smaller, air-launched rocket-propelled craft. The first stage, the carrier airplane, takes off horizontally and climbs to a prescribed altitude, at which time the second stage detaches from the carrier and uses rocket propulsion to escape earth’s atmosphere. Both stages subsequently land horizontally. While orbital altitudes are still not achievable with existing spaceplane vehicles, the proof of concept has been demonstrated. Orbital flights with spaceplanes using existing technology are projected to occur within 10 years.
II. Space Hotel

The future of any space tourism network lies in the eventual realization of a permanent, orbiting place of habitation in space. Designs for so-called 'space hotels' have been underway for decades. What has been missing, until now, is for the technology of launch vehicles to become accessible and affordable enough for the private sector to consider funding the construction of such projects.
III. Rehabilitation and Medical Research Facility

Astronauts are renown for their physical and mental fitness, but the bodily demands of space flight per se are not the reason for such high fitness thresholds. Rather, it is simply a function of cost. Space flights are extremely expensive – the approximate cost of a Space Shuttle launch to service the International Space Station is $500 million – and much of this cost is invested into launch hardware that is not reusable. With so much at stake, all mission risks must be minimized, including those relating to the health and competency of the crew.

As of May 2010, 517 individuals had traveled beyond the altitude of 100km, the internationally-recognized boundary of the earth’s atmosphere. While the health effects of space travel on these individuals are well-documented, this number is only a small sample size of a relatively small fitness demographic. The health effects of space travel on humans in anything less than peak physical condition are still largely unstudied. As such, the burgeoning space tourism industry requires a necessary medical research program to study the effects of space travel and develop health protocols to educate tourists and treat symptoms of microgravity habitation.

Many of the known deleterious health effects experienced in microgravity appear as forms of accelerated aging. These include bone loss, muscle atrophy, reduced cardiovascular performance, and impairment of the neurovestibular system. Muscle atrophy and loss of bone mass can begin by the tenth day spent in a zero gravity environment. Bone loss occurs at a rate of approximately 1 to 1.5% per month, the effects of which are similar to osteoporosis.

When muscles lose mass, they and their connective tissues become more susceptible to injury and fatigue. All muscles will atrophy to some extent in microgravity, but of particular note are the muscles in the lower extremities, so used to resisting gravity, and the heart, which uses less force to pump blood throughout the circulatory system. In addition to muscle atrophy in the lower extremities, bodily fluids in general become redistributed away from the extremities to the torso and head.

The neurovestibular system is the network of sensory, motor, and brain functions that
Ill. Rehabilitation and Medical Research Facility (cont.)

governs balance, vision, and the understanding of bodily orientation in space. Micogravi-

ty habitation has been demonstrated to impair this system's performance and cause
disorientation and the loss of muscular coordination.

The health effects of space travel are not entirely undesirable. Due to reduced bodily
exertion in microgravity environments, many astronauts report feeling rested after only
three to four hours of sleep per night. Of course, these effects require further study;
while they may be perceived as an improvement in the short-term, prolonged periods of
reduced sleep among tourists require more research and observation.

Fortunately, these effects are reversible. Upon returning to Earth, space tourists are
encouraged and sometimes required to spend time rehabilitating their bodies to re-
acclimate to Earth's gravity. Along with physical rehabilitation of bones and muscles,
returning space tourists should receive healthy amounts of natural sunlight. Hyperbaric
chambers can also speed the recovery process, as they have been noted for their heal-
ing effects and success in combating symptoms of aging, which are similar to the effects
displayed by the human body after significant time spent in zero gravity.
PART TWO

LAS VEGAS SPACEPORT
Precedent: Pleasure Garden

The precedent for translating escapist, even utopic, aspirations into form is the pleasure garden of premodern European aristocracy. A theme park must establish a clear boundary between what lies within and what lies without. Within its walls, behavioral norms and the exigencies of daily life disappear; nature is to be controlled, even manipulated to a degree of unreality. Witness the sculpted, sometimes maze-like forms of hedges, and the mastery of gravity itself in the form of fountains. Mountains rise out of earth or simulated materials.

Traditional notions of scale are warped. The physical size and mass of objects may vary according to intended effect (replica mountains, boats, cars, and buildings). Time also becomes variable, as the notional present is displaced by projections of the past and future.
As the gardens of Marseilles establish geometric order, so too does the Las Vegas Spaceport organize itself according to the exigencies of its site.
The prototypes of kinesthetic diversion are found in the original amusement parks of Coney Island. Constructed and popularized around the turn of the twentieth century, these parks became sites of social and industrial experimentation. The rides themselves represented a new kind of entertainment spectacle, one in which physical forces could be harnessed and unleashed as a wholly original, collective experience.
The educational aspect of the theme park is presaged in the tradition of the World’s Fair trade exposition. The most iconic examples evince a technological fetish: Paxton’s Crystal Palace, which housed the inaugural World’s Fair in 1851; the Eiffel Tower, built for the 1889 Fair in Paris; the generator farms that powered the 1893 Fair in Chicago; the geodesic dome that would appear at multiple expositions during the twentieth century. As part of the exhibitions, these structures and machines were meant to be informative. As symbols of technical progress, they conveyed optimism in an industrialized future. No less branded and commodified than the wares they served, these were symbols of commerce as well, elevated to the status of cultural iconography.
Just as electric generators were objects of wonder at the Columbian Exposition, so too shall the spaceplane inspire awe.
Precedent: Theme Park

The prototype of the modern theme park, Disneyworld combines elements of the Pleasure Garden, Amusement Park, and World’s Fair. It establishes a formal logic on what lies within its boundary, provides the thrill of moving rides and exposure to the elements, and celebrates the potential to innovate our artificial environments. The Theme Park is collection of nested worlds, each one uniquely themed geographically, culturally, and temporally. Theme Parks collapse time - one area may be set up to celebrate the past, while an adjacent area celebrates the future. Each nested world features its own internal logic with regard to circulation, framed views, and delineation between public and private spaces. The theme park is pure artifice; on a vacant slab of land framed by highways, Walt Disney planted forests and carved out river-beds.
**Nested Worlds**

*Disneyland is organized into two levels of nested worlds: 1) Disneyworld, hotels and parking lots separated from site, and 2) Disneyworld itself partitioned into 4 distinct zones.*

1. Adventureland
2. Frontierland
3. Fantasyland
4. Tomorrowland
As of 2009, approximately 19,000 man-made objects larger than 10cm orbit the Earth. Most of these objects exist in Low Earth Orbit (LEO). This number is set to increase exponentially as the commercial space tourism industry ramps up and collisions between objects produce more even more debris.

Debris image source: NASA Orbital Degree Program Office
**Vehicles and typical travel times**

- **Y axis:** altitude (kilometers)
- **X axis:** time (seconds)
- *values in logarithmic scale*

*Proposed Spacebus in orange*

---

**Geosynchronous Earth Orbit**

*35,000 kilometers*

**Internationally Recognized Boundary of Space**

*100 kilometers*

**Breathable Air Limit**

*4.5 kilometers*

**360 KILOMETERS**

International Space Station

(1) orbit every (90) minutes

**18 KILOMETERS**

Concorde supersonic cruising altitude

*New York-Paris flight time: (3.5) hours*

**0.9 KILOMETERS**

Montgolfier balloon

first instance of manned ascent

*flight time: 25 minutes*
Existing Vehicles vs. Spacebus

1 WhiteKnightTwo
2 Boeing 747-400
3 Spacebus

Virgin Galactic

vehicles: WhiteKnightTwo
SpaceshipTwo
Designer: Burt Rutan,
Scaled Composites

Las Vegas Spaceport

vehicles: Spacebus (two stages)
Designer: David Ashford,
Bristol Spaceplanes

No. of passengers per trip
Existing Spaceports

- Federal Spaceport
- Private Spaceport

1. California Spaceport
2. Vandenberg Air Force Base (AFB)
3. Mojave Air and Space Port
4. Edwards AFB
5. Las Vegas Spaceport (proposed)
6. Spaceport America
7. White Sands Missile Range
8. Oklahoma Spaceport
9. Space Florida Spaceport
10. Cape Canaveral Air Force Station
11. Cecil Field Spaceport
12. Mid-Atlantic Regional Spaceport
13. Wallops Flight Facility
LEAVE YOUR TROUBLES BEHIND ON A VACATION IN SPACE
A gently rotating cylinder would provide enough gravity to keep water at its edges, yet also allow swimmers the opportunity to push off from the wall and float across the space.

Da Vinci's sketches can become a reality in microgravity, when the air is thick enough to breath - and thus provide gliding ability - and yet missing the crucial constraint of Earth's gravity.

source: David Ashford
Sonic boom sound wave profile of returning spaceplane (20 second intervals)

Flight path of two-stage spaceplane (stage 2 air launch occurs directly over Las Vegas)

Commercial airspace

Military airspace
Las Vegas Population Density
Illustrates eventual southward expansion

1960

1970

1980

1990

2000

2015 (projected)
Mixed-use Zoning (Existing)
Current zoning calls for southward expansion of high-density mixed-use building typology along the strip
The Strip
The Las Vegas Spaceport occupies a place along the future development of the strip
1 Shops
2 Aquarium
3 Bleachers
4 Human Centrifuge
5 Garage
6 Exhibition Space
7 Boarding Area
8 Casino (below)
9 Subway Terminal
10 Hotel
11 Medical Center
12 Hangars

East-West Section

Section Perspective
THE (5) SPECTACLES
OF SPACE TOURISM

1 Human centrifuge as roulette wheel viewed from satellites
2 Stage 2 rocket ignition in sky (air launch from carrier craft)
3 Aquarium (for suit testing) viewable from the strip and hotel
4 Boarding process visible from cars on the strip
5 Boarding process visible from bleachers and hotel
East - West Section Perspective
(NTS)
Public bleachers create a framed view of the boarding process for cars passing by on the strip.
The boarding process is also observable from the hotel.
View from the strip
sidewalk entrance to human centrifuge
view of courtyard from hotel
Hilton SatView

10:46:15 pm MST
eye ALT: 30,171 km
Las Vegas Spaceport
Longitude: 115.17
Latitude: 36.08

MANUAL        AUTO

NEXT SPIN: 15 min 12 sec

WIN AN ALL-EXPENSES PAID TRIP TO SPACE!

TODAY’S WINNING NUMBERS: 97-27

PREVIOUS WINNING NUMBERS: 41-91-14-30

centrifuge roulette played from space hotel
Unesco Building

Nervi varies the structural depth of the roof plate to resist moment forces, allowing for a greater span.
Human Centrifuge
Garage Access
Instead of varying roof plate according to moment forces, here the roof plate undulates to allow various user groups access to the centrifuge and exhibition space below.
Human Centrifuge
Exhibition Roof
Human Centrifuge
Courtyard Entrance
Human Centrifuge
Restaurant Balcony
Human Centrifuge
Sidewalk entrance