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Observation of Exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ Production in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

We have observed exclusive γγ production in proton-antiproton collisions at √s = 1.96 TeV, using data from 1.11 \pm 0.07 fb\(^{-1}\) integrated luminosity taken by the Run II Collider Detector at Fermilab. We selected events with two electromagnetic showers, each with transverse energy ET > 48 GeV, with no other particles detected in pseudorapidity 1 < η < 7, for which the cross section can be estimated to be a factor of 2.48 \pm 0.35\(^{+0.40}_{-0.40}\) pb.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Qk, 12.38.Lg, 12.40.Nn, 14.80.Bn

In proton-(anti)proton collisions, two direct high-E\(_T\) photons can be produced at leading order by q\(\bar{q}\) → γγ and by gg → γγ through a quark loop. In the latter case it is possible for another gluon exchange to cancel the color of the fusing gluons, allowing the (anti)proton to emerge intact with no hadrons produced. For p\(\bar{p}\) collisions, this is the “exclusive” process p\(\bar{p}\) → p + γγ + p̅, for which the leading order diagram is shown in Fig. 1(a) [1,2]. The outgoing (anti)proton has nearly the beam momentum, and transverse momentum p\(_T\) ≤ 1 GeV/c, having emitted a pair of gluons in a color singlet. There is a pseudorapidity gap Δη > 6 adjacent to the (anti)proton. In Regge theory this is diffractive scattering via pomeron [3,4], exchange. The cross section for |η(γ)| < 1.0 and transverse energy E\(_T\)(γ) > 2.5 GeV is predicted [5,6] to be \(σ(γγ)\)\(^{\text{exclusive}}\) \sim 0.2–2 pb, depending on the low-x (unintegrated) gluon density. Additional uncertainties come from the cross section for g + g → γ + γ, the probability that no hadrons are produced by additional parton interactions (rapidity gap survival factor and Sudakov suppression [7]), and the probability that neither proton dissociates (e.g., p → pπ\(^+\)π\(^-\)) [5]. The calculation is also imprecise because of the low Q\(^2\), the squared 4-momentum transfer. The total theoretical uncertainty on the cross section can be estimated to be a factor of 1.3\(^{+3}_{-3}\) [8].

Apart from its intrinsic interest for QCD, the process tests the theory of exclusive Higgs boson production [1,2,5–13] p + p → p + H + p, Fig. 1(b), which may be detectable at the LHC. The leading order processes gg → γγ and gg → H are calculable perturbatively, but the more uncertain elements of the exclusive processes (mainly the unintegrated gluon densities, the Sudakov suppression, and the gap survival probability) are common to both (see Fig. 1). For a 120 GeV standard model Higgs boson the exclusive cross section at √s = 7 TeV is 3 fb with a factor of 1.3\(^{+3}_{-3}\) uncertainty [8].
Processes other than $gg \to \gamma\gamma$ can produce an exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ final state. Contributions from $q\bar{q} \to \gamma\gamma$ and $g\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ are respectively $\leq 5\%$ and $\leq 1\%$ of $gg \to \gamma\gamma$ [5]. Backgrounds to exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ events to be considered are $\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\eta\eta$, with each meson decaying to two photons, of which one is not detected. We also consider events where one or both protons dissociate, e.g., $p \to p\pi^+\pi^-$, to be background. These backgrounds are small.

We previously published a search for exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ production, finding three candidate events with $E_T(\gamma) > 5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.0$, using data from 552 pb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity [14]. The prediction of Ref. [5] was $0.8^{+1.5}_{-0.8}$ events. Two events had a single narrow electromagnetic (EM) shower on each side, as expected for $\gamma\gamma$, but no observation could be claimed. This Letter reports the observation of 43 events with a contamination of $<15\pi^0\pi^0$ events (at 95\% C.L.), after we lowered the trigger threshold on the EM showers from 4 GeV to 2 GeV and collected data from another 1.11 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. We used the QED process $p + \bar{p} \to p + \gamma'/\gamma' + \bar{p} \to p + e^+e^- + \bar{p}$ in the same data set, for which the cross section is well known, as a check of the analysis. The data were collected by the Collider Detector at Fermilab, CDF II, at the Tevatron, with $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The CDF II detector is a general purpose detector described elsewhere [15]; here we give a brief summary of the detector components used in this analysis. Surrounding the beam pipe is a tracking system consisting of a silicon microstrip detector, a cylindrical drift chamber (COT) [16], and a solenoid providing a 1.4 Tesla magnetic field. The tracking system is fully efficient at reconstructing isolated tracks with $p_T \geq 1$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 1$. It is surrounded by the central and end-plug calorimeters covering the range $|\eta| < 3.6$. Both calorimeters have separate EM and hadronic compartments. A proportional wire chamber (CES) [17], with orthogonal anode wires and cathode strips, is embedded in the central EM calorimeter, covering the region of $|\eta| < 1.1$, at a depth of six radiation lengths. It allows a measurement of the number and shape, in both $\eta$ and azimuth $\phi$, of EM showers (clusters of wires or strips). The anode-wire pitch (in $\phi$) is 1.5 cm and the cathode-strip pitch varies with $\eta$ from 1.7 cm to 2.0 cm. The CES provides a means of distinguishing single photon showers from $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ up to $E_T(\pi^0) \sim 8$ GeV. The region $3.6 < |\eta| < 5.2$ is covered by a lead-liquid scintillator calorimeter called the Miniplug [18]. At higher pseudorapidities, $5.4 < |\eta| < 7.4$, scintillation counters, called beam shower counters (BSC-1/2/3), are located on each side of the CDF detector. Gas Cherenkov detectors, with 48 photomultipliers per side, covering $3.7 < |\eta| < 4.7$, detect charged particles, and were also used to determine the luminosity with a 6\% uncertainty [19].

The data were recorded using a three-level on-line event selection system (trigger). At the first level we required one EM cluster with $E_T > 2$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$ and no signal above noise in the BSC-1 counters ($|\eta| = 5.4 - 5.9$). This rapidity gap requirement rejected a large fraction of inelastic collisions as well as most events with more than one interaction (pileup). A second EM cluster with similar properties was required at level two. A level three trigger selected events with two calorimeter showers consistent with coming from electrons or photons: i.e., passing the requirement (cut) that the ratio of shower energy in the hadronic (HAD) calorimeter to that in the EM (HAD:EM) be less than 0.125, and that the signal shape in the CES is consistent with a single shower.

We now describe the offline selection of events, with two isolated EM showers and no other particles except the outgoing $p$ and $\bar{p}$, which were not detected. Two central, $|\eta| < 1$, EM showers were required with $E_T > 2.5$ GeV to avoid trigger threshold inefficiencies. The energy resolution is $dE/E \sim 8\%$ from test beam studies and in situ $p/E$ matching for electrons. A refined HAD:EM ratio cut of $<0.055 + 0.00045E$ was applied, as well as an acoplanarity cut of $|\pi - \Delta \phi| < 0.6$. The trigger selection efficiency for single photons was measured using data collected with an interaction trigger (minimum bias). The BSC-1 gap trigger was taken to be 100\% efficient as the BSC-1 trigger threshold was clearly above the noise level and the offline selection criteria. We measured an overall trigger efficiency of $\varepsilon_{\text{trig}} = 92\% \pm 2\%$ (syst). A weighting process was necessary due to the different slope in $E_T$ of the minimum bias probe data compared to the signal. The trigger efficiency did not show any $\eta$ or $\phi$ dependence for $|\eta| < 1$. Monte Carlo signal simulation data samples were generated using the SUPERCHIC program (version 1.3) [11,20] based on recent developments of the Durham KMR model [2]. The Monte Carlo samples were passed through a simulation of the detector, CDFSIM 6.1.4.m including GEANT version 3.21/14 [21]. The systematic error was estimated by using the bin-wise uncertainty of the efficiency in the weighting process of the signal Monte Carlo sample. Taking into account a combined detector and offline reconstruction efficiency of $\varepsilon_{\text{rec}} = 55\% \pm 3\%$ (syst), and a photon identification efficiency of...
Distinct from photons, electrons leave tracks in the COT, and two were likely to be tracks in the COT, and four are in neither class. Visual tracking detectors and may radiate. The systematic uncertainty on the radiation probability was estimated by varying the exclusivity cuts by $\pm 10\%$. This $e^+e^-$ sample provides a valuable check of the exclusive $\gamma \gamma$ analysis.

The 43 events with no tracks have the kinematic properties expected for exclusive $\gamma \gamma$ production [20]. In particular the $M(\gamma \gamma)$ distribution [Fig. 2(b)] extending up to 15 GeV/$c^2$ is as expected, as well as the acoplanarity $\pi - \Delta \phi(\gamma \gamma)$ [Fig. 2(c)] and the 2-vector sum of $p_T$ [Fig. 2(d)]; in these plots [unlike Fig. 2(a)] the SUPERCHIC Monte Carlo prediction is normalized to the same number of events as the data. An important issue is whether some of these events could be $\pi^0\pi^0$, rather than $\gamma \gamma$. Note that $\gamma \pi^0$ events are forbidden by $C$ parity. The CES chambers give information on the number of EM showers. The minimum opening angle $\Delta \theta_{\min}$ between the two photons from $\pi^0$ decay is $2\tan^{-1}(m_{\pi^0}/p_{\gamma}) = 3.1^\circ$ for $p(\pi) = 5$ GeV, well separated in the CES chambers, which have a granularity $<0.5^\circ$. A $\pi^0$ can fake a $\gamma$ only if one photon ranges out before the CES, or falls in an inactive region ($8\%$) of the detector. All of the 68 $e^+e^-$ events in our sample, with similar energies, had matching showers in the CES chambers. A GEANT [21] simulation predicts the probability that a photon in our energy range produces a shower to be $\geq 98.3\%$.

We summed the number of reconstructed CES showers in the event, mostly 2 or 3 as shown in Fig. 3 (left). The distribution agrees very well with the $\gamma \gamma$ simulation, and
strongly disagrees with the $\pi^0\pi^0$ simulation. Fitting to the sum of the two components gives a best fit to the fraction $F(\pi^0\pi^0) = 0.0$, with a 95% C.L. upper limit of 15 events. Since obtaining this result, a new calculation of exclusive $\pi^0\pi^0$ production [26] predicts $\sigma_{\text{excl}}(\pi^0\pi^0) = 6-24$ fb for $E_T(\pi^0) > 2.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.0$, < \text{0.01}$ of our measured exclusive $\gamma\gamma$ cross section. In the cross section calculation we take this background to be zero. Exclusive $\eta\eta$ production is also expected to be negligible. The only other significant background could be undetected proton dissociation, about 10% for the QED $e^+e^-$ process but <1% for $p+\bar{p}\rightarrow\gamma+\gamma$ [5,27,28]. The cross section for both photons with $E_T(\gamma) > 2.5$ GeV and $|\eta(\gamma)| < 1.0$ and no other produced particles is given by:

$$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma,\text{excl}} = \frac{N(\text{candidates}) - N(\text{background})}{L_{\text{int}} \cdot \epsilon \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{excl}}}$$

where $\epsilon$ is the product of the trigger, reconstruction, identification, and conversion efficiencies (22.8%) in Table I.

**TABLE I.** Summary of parameters used for the measurement of the exclusive photon-pair cross section for $E_T(\gamma) > 2.5$ GeV and $|\eta(\gamma)| < 1.0$. Values for the $e^+e^-$ control study are also given. Note that b/g stands for background.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrated luminosity $L_{\text{int}}$</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.07 fb$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive efficiency</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.004 (syst)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon-pair efficiency</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02 (stat) ± 0.03 (syst)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of no conversions</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.06 (syst)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi^0\pi^0$ b/g (events)</td>
<td>0.0. &lt;15 (95% C.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation b/g (events)</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.14 (syst)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive $e^+e^-$ Events</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron-pair efficiency</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01 (stat) ± 0.02 (syst)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of no radiation</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.08 (syst)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation b/g (events)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 0.9 (syst)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The systematic uncertainty on the conversion probability was estimated by varying the exclusivity cuts by ±10%. We find $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma,\text{excl}}([\eta(\gamma)] < 1, E_T(\gamma) > 2.5$ GeV) = 2.48$^{+0.46}_{-0.33}$ (stat)$^{+0.40}_{-0.51}$ (syst) pb. The theoretical prediction [11] is strongly dependent on the low-$x$ gluon density, having central values 1.42 pb (MSTW08LO) or 0.35 pb (MRST99), with other uncertainties estimated to be a factor of about $\chi_2^2$ [28]. A comparison of our measurement with the only theoretical prediction available to date is shown in Fig. 4. The rates of $e^+e^-$ and $\gamma\gamma$ events with $E_T(e/\gamma) > 5$ GeV are consistent with those in our earlier studies [14,23].

In conclusion, we have observed the exclusive production of two high-$E_T$ photons in proton-antiproton collisions, which constitutes the first observation of this process in hadron-hadron collisions. The cross section is in agreement with the only theoretical prediction, based on $g + g \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$, with another gluon exchanged to cancel the color and with the $p$ and $\bar{p}$ emerging intact. If a Higgs boson exists, it should be produced by the same mechanism (see Fig. 1), and the cross sections are related.
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