

# **1.011 Project Evaluation CEE Projects and Quality of Life: Case Studies**

**Carl D. Martland**

1. Textile mills in Lowell and New England
2. Chain of Rocks Water Treatment Plant, St Louis
3. Sewerage Treatment in Milwaukee

# Quality of Life: What is Involved?

- Basics
  - ▶ Clean water
  - ▶ Waste removal
  - ▶ Food & clothing
  - ▶ Shelter
- Availability of jobs
  - ▶ Safety, workload, long-term risks
- Aesthetics
  - ▶ Appearance of major buildings
- Activities (cultural, spritual, sports, recreation)

# Quality of Life: How Measured?

- Essentials
  - ▶ Are we willing to pay what it will cost? or will we move elsewhere? or will we restrict growth?
  - ▶ Will we be willing to pay if new technology offers cheaper solutions?
- Non-Essentials
  - ▶ Willingness to pay
  - ▶ Political decisions for public projects
  - ▶ Political guidelines for private projects (zoning, building codes, etc.)

# Comparison of Cases

|               | Lowell   | St. Louis   | Milwaukee                                    |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Driving Force | Textile Technology                               | Clean Water   | Reduce Pollution                             |
| Financing     | Private  | Public  | Public                                       |
| Result        | Quality of Life in New City                      | Ability of City to Grow; Reduced Threats of Disease | Elimination of Nuisance & Threats of Disease |
| Long-Term     | Competition Reduces Arch. & Quality of Mill Life | Modern Water Treatment in US                        | Increasing Environmental Awareness           |

# Textiles in New England

- Technological Motivation
  - ▶ Development of power loom for textiles
    - Vastly cheaper process allows mass production & distribution of clothing
    - Vastly cheaper clothing allows individuals to buy more clothes
  - ▶ Need water power to run the looms
- Project Motivation
  - ▶ RI and MA introduced power loom to US
  - ▶ Mills must be located where there is water power
  - ▶ Need people to move to where mills are located
  - ▶ US developers didn't want to repeat worst excesses of industrial revolution in England (working & living conditions)
- Lowell (1822 - 1880)
  - ▶ Symbol of best of US industrialization - efficient, beautiful mills; pleasant living conditions; open space and parks
  - ▶ One of few sites that Dickens visited in trip to US

# Expansion Throughout New England

- Phenomenally successful textile industry
  - ▶ New mills in Lowell and Lawrence, MA
  - ▶ New mills in Blackstone Valley, MA and RI
  - ▶ Highly profitable, which allowed:
    - Stately buildings, classic towers
    - Excellent housing & parks
- Competition led to lower prices & profits
  - ▶ Need to cut costs
    - Larger, less aesthetically pleasing mills
    - Fill in open spaces with more housing or mills
    - Cut back on wages & amenities for workers (immigrant labor)
  - ▶ Rising demand
    - More capacity needed

# Expansion to South

- New technology - electric power
  - ▶ Eliminate dependence on water power
  - ▶ Allow even larger mills
- Institutional changes
  - ▶ Unions in north fight for better work conditions
- Population shifts
  - ▶ Rapid growth in midwest and south
- South seen as preferred location for investment in textiles

# Expansion Worldwide

- Globalization of the economy
  - ▶ Very cheap transport (containers)
  - ▶ Highly mobile capital
  - ▶ Very cheap skilled labor in developing countries
  - ▶ Ubiquitous availability of electricity
- New textile mills constructed outside of US near cheap labor
- Demand - cheaper clothing results in individuals owning many much more clothing



# Water Quality Examples: Chain of Rocks Water Purification Plant, St. Louis

- Motivation
  - ▶ Provide clean water supplies for city
  - ▶ Eliminate silt and sediment
  - ▶ Eliminate bacteria - typhoid and cholera outbreaks
- Major option
  - ▶ Treat available water (Mississippi River) vs. bringing in clean water
- Chain of Rocks Water Purification Plant (1829 to 1915)
  - ▶ First "full treatment" water purification facility in US
  - ▶ Settling tanks, sand filtration, & flocculation to remove sediments and pollutants
  - ▶ Chemical disinfection (chlorine)

# Sewerage Treatment - Milwaukee

- Motivation
  - ▶ City at confluence of 3 rivers flowing into bay of Lake Michigan
  - ▶ Combined storm and sanitary drainage created increasing pollution as city grew
- Planning and panels (1879, 1889, 1911)
  - ▶ By 1911, problem viewed as health threat, not just aesthetics
  - ▶ 1913 - state created a "Sewerage Commission"
- Construct treatment plants
  - ▶ 1919-29 - Jones Island treatment plant
    - Filtration to remove large solids; activated sludge to remove "virtually all remaining pollutants"
    - Consolidation of sludge into fertilizer
  - ▶ South Shore Plant - no longer created fertilizer because of concerns about safety

# Water Quality - Comments

- Awareness of problem and technical solutions evolved over a period of decades
- New technology was key to solution
- As immediate problems are solved, others emerge
  - ▶ Is sludge safe to use as fertilizer?
  - ▶ Can we limit water use via pricing so as to reduce required capacity?
  - ▶ How much water is needed for consumption, for business, for agriculture?
  - ▶ What happens to plant and aquatic life when effluent enters the water supply? and how can effects be mitigated?