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Research Objectives

• Develop the underlying logic of the strategic advantage of the lean enterprise
• Determine if there is an argument in support of the multi-stakeholder value perspective
• Establish the theoretical relation between leading and lagging indicators in lean enterprise transformation
• Utilize the Lean Enterprise Self-Assessment Tool (LESAT) to test hypotheses about lean enterprise transformation
• Propose empirically-based methodologies for accelerating Lean Enterprise Transformation
• Create a methodology for integrating Enterprise Strategic Objectives into a lean enterprise transformation methodology
The Lean Enterprise Defined

• A lean enterprise is an integrated entity that efficiently creates value for its multiple stakeholders by employing lean principles and practices (Murman, Allen, et al, 2002)
The Strategic Value of the Lean Enterprise

- The Lean Enterprise is rooted in an operational strategy.
- It must meet the needs of both corporate strategies and business strategies.
- The operational strategy will be a driver of functional strategy and process strategies.
- Competitive advantage arises from doing things differently than competitors, leading to higher value delivery under constant or improved resource utilization - this is what the lean enterprise aims to do by employing an operational strategy that results in performance gains over competitors.
The Lean Enterprise - a Source of Competitive Advantage

• There are Arguments against competing based on knowledge such as best practices, because of inappropriability and ease of transfer

• However, Lean is more than best practices, and while lean information is out there, it is difficult to create a lean enterprise for three reasons
  • Non-zero marginal cost of information acquisition
  • Absorptive capacity for understanding new knowledge
  • Organizational behavior at the heart of operating a lean enterprise

• Lean manufacturing has been difficult enough for many, now imagine extending the optimization problem throughout all functions, processes, and extended enterprise members!!!!

• Few, if any aerospace businesses have created a truly lean enterprise, thus the first to achieve the transformation will experience a period of sustained competitive advantage
Multi-stakeholder Value Optimization - the Goal of the Lean Enterprise

• Some businesses focus solely on the customer, others on the shareholders - this may be a sub-optimal operating point

• The acknowledgement and consideration of all enterprise stakeholders may lead to greater enterprise value delivery

• Additionally, the interdependence of stakeholder value functions may lead to the discovery of win-win scenarios that increase total enterprise value delivery

• An enterprise that operates at a higher value delivery point would be expected to be more sustainable than competitors, all else being equal, as it creates more stakeholder value with an equal amount of inputs compared to competitors - this is the lean enterprise.
Multi-Stakeholder Value

- If there exist stakeholder values that are not a function of customer value, then maximum enterprise value exists in a state that requires considering more than just customer value.
- i.e. $V_{employee_i} = f(\text{employee’s opinion valued by others})$, which is not a function of customer value, assumes independence.
- This moves to a point of higher total enterprise value by moving in another direction on the value plane.
The Multi-Stakeholder Approach Need not be a Zero-Sum Game

- Furthermore, there may be stakeholder value function interdependencies, which could imply a non-zero sum game (i.e. productivity is dependent on the contribution of employees)
- If employees feel like their opinions are considered and valued, then they may be more willing to contribute process improvement ideas, which can lead to better processes and better productivity, which leads to better customer value
- This shifts the value plane upwards
Tools Supporting Lean Enterprise Transformation - the LAI Tool Triad

Implementation Issue

What are the key lean principles and practices?

How do I transform my enterprise to lean?

How do I assess my progress?

Enterprise Tool

Lean Enterprise Model (LEM)

Enterprise Transition to Lean Roadmap (TTL)

Lean Enterprise Self Assessment Tool (LESAT)
Assessing Enterprise Transformation Progress

- Enterprise TTL application highlighted need for assessment tool
- Lean Enterprise Self-Assessment Tool (LESAT) developed by joint industry / government / MIT team in collaboration with UK LAI
- LESAT supports both
  - “As-Is” Analysis
  - “To-Be” Vision
- Targeted at Enterprise Leadership Team (enterprise leader and direct reports)
The Lean Enterprise Self-Assessment Tool (LESAT)

- A tool for self-assessing the present state of “leaness” of an enterprise and its readiness to change
- Comprised of capability maturity model for assessing
  - (1) Enterprise leadership
  - (2) Life cycle and enabling processes
  - (3) Enabling infrastructure
- Supporting materials: (Facilitator’s Guide, Glossary, etc.)

Source: Lean Aerospace Initiative, MIT © 2001
LESAT is Consistent with a Process Architecture View of the Lean Enterprise

Life Cycle Processes
(Source of Revenue)

Enabling Infrastructure Processes
(Supporting Role)

Enterprise Leadership Processes
(Transformation Enabler)

LESAT Section I

LESAT Section II

LESAT Section III

Source: Lean Aerospace Initiative, MIT © 2001
Generic Capability Maturity Levels
(Facilitator’s Guide, pp.13)

Level 5
Exceptional, well-defined, innovative approach is fully deployed across the extended enterprise (across internal and external value streams); recognized as best practice.

Level 4
On-going refinement and continuous improvement across the enterprise; improvement gains are sustained.

Level 3
A systematic approach/methodology deployed in varying stages across most areas; facilitated with metrics; good sustainment.

Level 2
General awareness; informal approach deployed in a few areas with varying degrees of effectiveness and sustainment.

Level 1
Some awareness of this practice; sporadic improvement activities may be underway in a few areas.

Maturity Level Definitions Simplified

World Class

Level 5: Recognized Best Practice → Transformer

Level 4: Continuous Improvement → Reformer

Level 3: Systematic Approach → Performer

Level 2: General Awareness → Adopter

Level 1: Minimal Awareness → Traditional

Least Capable

Lean Maturation
LESAT Subsections

LESAT Section I - Lean Transformation/Leadership
I.A. Enterprise Strategic Planning
I.B. Adopt Lean Paradigm
I.C. Focus on the Value Stream
I.D Develop Lean Structure and Behavior
I.E. Create & Refine Transformation Plan
I.F. Implement Lean Initiatives
I.G. Focus on Continuous Improvement

LESAT Section II - Lifecycle Processes
II.A. Business Acquisition and Program Management
II.B. Requirements Definition
II.C. Develop Product and Process
II.D. Manage Supply Chain
II.E. Produce Product
II.F. Distribute and Service Product

LESAT Section III - Enabling Infrastructure
III.A. Lean Organizational Enablers
III.B. Lean Process Enablers
I.A. Enterprise Strategic Planning
   I.A.1 Integration of Lean in strategic planning process
   I.A.2 Focus on customer value
   I.A.3 Leveraging the extended enterprise

I.B. Adopt Lean Paradigm
   I.B.1 Learning and education in “Lean” for enterprise leaders
   I.B.2 Senior management commitment
   I.B.3 Lean enterprise vision
   I.B.4 A sense of urgency

I.C. Focus on the Value Stream
   I.C.1 Understanding current value stream
   I.C.2 Enterprise flow
   I.C.3 Designing future value stream
   I.C.4 Performance measures

I.D Develop Lean Structure and Behavior
   I.D.1 Enterprise organizational orientation
   I.D.2 Relationships based on mutual trust
   I.D.3 Open and timely communications
   I.D.4 Employee empowerment
   I.D.5 Incentive alignment
   I.D.6 Innovation encouragement
   I.D.7 Lean change agents

I.E. Create & Refine Transformation Plan
   I.E.1 Enterprise-level Lean transformation plan
   I.E.2 Commit resources for Lean improvements
   I.E.3 Provide education and training

I.F. Implement Lean Initiatives
   I.F.1 Development of detailed plans based on enterprise plan
   I.F.2 Tracking detailed implementation

I.G. Focus on Continuous Improvement
   I.G.1 Structured continuous improvement processes
   I.G.2 Monitoring lean progress
   I.G.3 Nurturing the process
   I.G.4 Capturing lessons learned
   I.G.5 Impacting enterprise strategic planning
Example LESAT Practice  
- I.B Adopt Lean Paradigm - 

I.B. Adopt Lean Paradigm - Transitioning to lean requires a significant modification to the business model of the enterprise. It is imperative that the enterprise leadership understands and buys into the lean paradigm since they will be required to create a vision for doing business, behaving and seeing value in fundamentally different ways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic Questions</th>
<th>Capability Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Do enterprise leaders and senior managers understand the lean paradigm at the enterprise level?</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Do all senior leaders and management enthusiastically support a transformation to lean?</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Has a common vision of lean been communicated throughout the enterprise and within the extended enterprise?</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Has a compelling case been developed for the Lean transformation?</td>
<td>Level 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LP#</th>
<th>Lean Practices</th>
<th>Capability Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.B.3. Lean Enterprise Vision</td>
<td>Senior leaders have varying vision of lean, from none to well-defined.</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New mental model of the enterprise</td>
<td>Senior leaders adopt common vision of lean.</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean vision has been communicated and is understood by most employees.</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Common vision of lean is shared by the extended enterprise.</td>
<td>Level 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholders have internalized the lean vision and are an active part of achieving it.</td>
<td>Level 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lean Indicators**

• The role that lean plays in achieving the vision is clearly defined
• The vision has been communicated to all levels and has extensive buy-in by most employees.
• The vision incorporates a new mental model of how the company would act and behave according to lean principles and practices

**Evidence**

**Opportunities**

LESAT as a Leading Indicator of Improved Enterprise Value Delivery

State of Enterprise Leanness
(LESAT - Leading Indicators)

Life Cycle Processes
(LESAT Sec II)

Enabling Infrastructure Processes
(LESAT Sec III)

Enterprise Leadership Processes
(LESAT Section I)

Customer focus of Sec II creates

Reduced waste in Sec II & III cuts costs and creates

Lean in Sec I, II & III creates a more involved and empowered workforce

Customer Value

Financial Value

Employee Value
Proposed Causal Relations in Lean Enterprise Transformation

Life Cycle Processes (LESAT Sec II)

Enabling Infrastructure Processes (LESAT Sec III)

Enterprise Leadership Processes (LESAT Section I)

Leads, Enables

Enables
Hypotheses

• H1) Enterprises that exhibit a greater value of Enterprise Transformation and Leadership Process maturity will exhibit a greater value of Lifecycle Process maturity

• H2) Enterprises that exhibit a greater value of Enterprise Transformation and Leadership Process maturity will exhibit a greater value of Enabling Infrastructure Process maturity

• H3) Enterprises that exhibit a greater value of Enabling Infrastructure Process maturity will exhibit a greater value of Lifecycle Process maturity
Experiment Sample

- 29 aerospace enterprises provided LESAT data (22 US, 7 UK)
- Average of 11 leadership participants per enterprise
- Assessments were conducted at the business unit level, business site level, and in one case the program level
- The sample includes both prime contractors and subcontractors
Results of Primary Hypotheses

- $R=0.797$ (n=28)
- There is a strong indication that lean transformation leadership maturity (LSM1) is highly related to lean lifecycle process (LSM2) maturity, as measured by the LESAT.

The scatter plot shows a linear relationship with the equation:

$$y = 0.9979x + 0.2157$$

and $R^2 = 0.6356$.
Results of Primary Hypotheses

- $R=0.796 \ (n=28)$
- There is also a strong indication that lean transformation leadership maturity (LSM1) is highly related to enabling infrastructure process (LSM3) maturity, as measured by the LESAT.

![Graph showing the relationship between LSM1 and LSM3](image-url)
Results of Primary Hypotheses

- $R=0.774$ (n=28)
- There is a strong indication that enabling infrastructure process (LSM3) maturity is highly related to enabling infrastructure process (LSM3) maturity, as measured by the LESAT. Even controlling for LSM1, $R=0.382$ (sig 0.025)
Results

- The three hypotheses hold, suggesting that there may be a causal link between setting the lean transformation/leadership environment as a precursor to lean enterprise maturity
- The Transition to Lean (TTL) Roadmap represents a proposed transformation activity sequencing, which can also be tested using the data,
- LESAT Section 1 maps directly to the TTL
- The existence of highly correlated data acts to disprove the null hypothesis that there is no inferred causality amongst the sequence of TTL elements
Enterprise Transition to Lean (TTL) Roadmap

**Entry/Re-entry Cycle**
- **I.A** Enterprise Strategic Planning
- **I.B** Adopt Lean Paradigm

**Long Term Cycle**
- **I.C** Focus on the Value Stream
- **I.D** Develop Lean Structure & Behavior

**Short Term Cycle**
- **I.E** Create & Refine Transformation Plan
- **I.F** Implement Lean Initiatives

- Decision to Pursue Enterprise Transformation
- Initial Lean Vision
- Environmental Corrective Action Indicators
- Detailed Lean Vision
- Detailed Corrective Action Indicators
- Outcomes on Enterprise Metrics
- Lean Transformation Framework
- Enterprise Level Transformation Plan
Enterprise Transition to Lean (TTL) Roadmap

**Entry/Re-entry Cycle**

- **I.A** Enterprise Strategic Planning
  - Decision to Pursue Enterprise Transformation
- **I.B** Adopt Lean Paradigm
  - Build Vision
  - Convey Urgency
  - Foster Lean Learning
  - Make the Commitment
  - Obtain Senior Mgmt. Buy-in

**Long Term Cycle**

- **I.C** Focus on the Value Stream
  - Map Value Stream
  - Internalize Vision
  - Set Goals & Metrics
  - Identify & Involve Key Stakeholders
- **I.D** Develop Lean Structure & Behavior
  - Organize for Lean Implementation
  - Identify & Empower Change Agents
  - Align Incentives
  - Adapt Structure & Systems

**Short Term Cycle**

- **I.E** Create & Refine Transformation Plan
  - Identify & Prioritize Activities
  - Commit Resources
  - Provide Education & Training
- **I.F** Implement Lean Initiatives
  - Develop Detailed Plans
  - Implement Lean Activities
- **I.G** Focus on Continuous Improvement
  - Monitor Lean Progress
  - Nurture the Process
  - Refine the Plan
  - Capture & Adopt New Knowledge

**Outcomes on Enterprise Metrics**

- Environmental Corrective Action Indicators
- Detailed Corrective Action Indicators
- Lean Transformation Framework

**TTL Roadmap**

- Initial Lean Vision
- Detailed Lean Vision
Testing Macro-Variables from the Transition to Lean Roadmap

- Define Leadership Commitment (LC) to transform to a lean enterprise as the average of LESAT practice I.A and I.B maturity
- Define the creation of the lean enterprise Change Environment (CE) as the long-term loop of the TTL, the average of the LESAT practice I.C and I.D maturity
- Define the lean enterprise Change in Practice (CP) as the short-term loop of the TTL, the average of the LESAT practices I.D, I.E, and I.F.
- If the logic of the TTL holds, then we would expect to see
  - A high correlation between LC and CE
  - A high correlation between CE and CP
Lean Change Environment as a Function of Leadership Commitment

- R=0.835 (n=28)
- There is a strong indication that creating a mature lean Change Environment is highly related to mature lean enterprise Leadership Commitment (LC).

![Graph showing the relationship between Leadership Commitment (LC) and Change Environment (CE)].

The equation of the line is: $y = 0.7259x + 0.4986$ and $R^2 = 0.6972$. 

For more information, visit [web.mit.edu/lean](http://web.mit.edu/lean).
Lean Change in Practice as a Function of Lean Change Environment

- $R=0.709 \ (n=28)$
- There is also a strong indication that lean Change in Practice (CP) maturity is highly related to lean enterprise Change Environment (CE) maturity.

$$y = 0.7147x + 0.5651$$

$$R^2 = 0.5032$$
Results of TTL Structure Test

- If we test the TTL roadmap using the industry data, then the correlations between progressive steps can be used as a measure of the validity of the tool.
- As the table highlights, there is a moderate to strong correlation between each of the TTL steps, indicating that (to first order) the proposed sequencing of lean transformation steps appears valid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bivariate Correlation $r(\cdot)$ = $\text{sig.} r^2 \cdot 1 - r^2_{\text{IBIA}}$</th>
<th>$r^2_{\text{ICIB}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enterprise Transition to Lean (TTL) Roadmap

**Long Term Cycle**

1. **Entry/Re-entry Cycle**
   - I.A: Enterprise Strategic Planning
   - I.B: Adopt Lean Paradigm
   - Decision to Pursue Enterprise Transformation

2. **Short Term Cycle**
   - I.C: Focus on the Value Stream
   - Initial Lean Vision
   - Environmental Corrective Action Indicators
   - Outcomes on Enterprise Metrics

3. **Long Term Cycle**
   - I.D: Develop Lean Structure & Behavior
   - Detailed Lean Vision
   - Lean Transformation Framework
   - Enterprise Level Transformation Plan

4. **Create & Refine Transformation Plan**
   - I.E: Create & Refine Transformation Plan
   - Detailed Corrective Action Indicators

5. **Implement Lean Initiatives**
   - I.F: Implement Lean Initiatives

---

**Notes:**
- web.mit.edu/lean
- EVSMA Alpha Kick-Off - Mar 2003 34
LESAT Enabled Lean Enterprise Transformation - Case study results

• Enterprises are interpreting and acting on results in several manners
  • Improve lowest maturity practices
  • Come to consensus on highest variability areas
  • Address lowest maturity practices in Section I only in anticipation that they will lead to improvement in Sections II and III
  • Sequence the improvements in a manner consistent with the TTL (considers LESAT practice interdependencies)
  • Prioritizing improvements and change by cross-referencing improvement activities with strategic objectives

• Set future state 1-2 years out based on strategic needs of 5 year plan

• Observed 3 Categories of lean transformation management
Observed Categories of Lean Transformation Management

- Category 1 - Open Loop Assessment
- Category 2 - Independent Closed-Loop Control
- Category 3 - Integrated Closed-Loop Control
Category 1 - Open Loop Assessment

- Using LESAT
  - Will improve the lean enterprise vocabulary of the participants
  - Will have little or no direct effect on transformation
Category 2 - Independent Closed-Loop Control

- **Using LESAT**
  - Will have impact on transformation and improvement activities, with uncertain outcome
  - Can result in competition of objectives (and for resources)
Category 3 - Integrated Closed-Loop Control

- Using LESAT
  - Will result in impact on enterprise transformation with much less uncertainty than first two models
  - Manages competing desires and resources to move the enterprise in an agreed-upon strategic direction

![Diagram showing the relationship between Leadership Desires, Improvement Plan, Enterprise, LESAT, and Output]
Perceived Cost/Benefit of LESAT in Industry

• Benefits
  • Assessment process as valuable as results
  • Increased executive communication
  • Creation of common vocabulary
  • Identify and support those who need education
  • Open identification of enterprise-level issues
  • Clear picture of maturity of enterprise
  • Next level of maturity obvious
Perceived Cost/Benefit of LESAT

• Costs
  • 4-6 hours for intro session, rating, and report-out
  • Additional resources to conduct the assessment and deal with logistics and data
  • Migration actions to next maturity level is unclear
  • Starting point of improvement efforts is unclear
  • Coordinating executives is difficult
Major Observations on LESAT use to Date

- Transformation is a continuous process that takes years
- LESAT acts as a “sensor” for closed-loop lean enterprise management control
- LESAT users fall into 3 categories of enterprise management
  - 1 - Open Loop
  - 2 - Independent Closed-Loop
  - 3 - Integrated Closed-Loop
- Significant investment of time deemed worthwhile
- Increases understanding of the lean enterprise
- Sustainable transformation successes will likely be closely linked to Category 3 enterprises
## Linking LESAT to Strategic Objectives - Completing the Management Control Loop

### Rank Order Increasing LESAT Practice Maturity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LESAT Practices</th>
<th>Assessed Maturity</th>
<th>Strategic Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Improvement activities should target cross of lowest maturity practices and highest strategic importance.

### Rank Order Increasing Importance in Strategic Objectives
Thinking of 4-Space Enterprise Management

• In the context of this presentation, there are four major variables associated with prioritizing lean transformation, namely
  • Strategic Needs (SN)
  • Stakeholder Value (SV)
  • LESAT Practices Interdependencies (LPI)
  • Lean Maturity (LM) as measured by the LESAT

• Deciding where to focus transformation improvement efforts needs to consider decision making in a 4 dimensional space made up of these measures (SN, SV, LPI, LM), with the intention of improving the most important and least mature areas of the enterprise

• Coupled with the transformation progression of the TTL, considering these variables should lead to a more effective and accelerated lean enterprise transformation that improves total enterprise value delivery
Summary

- The Lean Enterprise is a source of competitive differentiation, and rests on creating value for all enterprise stakeholders.
- There are no true lean enterprises in the aerospace industry yet (as measured by the LESAT).
- There is a strong correlation between Transformation/Leadership lean maturity and lean Lifecycle Process and Enabling Infrastructure maturity (the source of revenues and costs).
- The TTL tool is a guide for transitioning to a lean enterprise (empirical evidence supports the logic of the roadmap) - this can lead to transformation acceleration versus ad hoc improvement efforts that only address “low-hanging fruit.”
- Linking enterprise-level maturity measures with strategic objectives helps create closed-loop transformation by prioritizing improvement activities that minimizes resource utilization and internal competition, while maximizing impact on transformation - this is a source of lean enterprise transformation acceleration.
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