

MIT Open Access Articles

Bringing physics to life at the submesoscale

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Lévy, Marina et al. "Bringing Physics to Life at the Submesoscale." Geophysical Research Letters 39.14 (2012).

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012gl052756

Publisher: American Geophysical Union (AGU)

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/75746

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0



1	Bringing physics to life at the submesoscale
2	
3	
4	Marina Lévy ^{1*} , Raffaele Ferrari ² , Peter J.S. Franks ³ , Adrian P. Martin ⁴ , Pascal Rivière ⁵
5	
6	
7	(1) LOCEAN-IPSL, CNRS/UPMC/IRD/MNHN, Paris, France
8	(2) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA
9	(3) Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD, La Jolla, USA
10	(4) National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK
11	(5) LEMAR-IUEM, CNRS/IRD/UBO, Plouzané, France
12	* Corresponding author, marina@locean-ipsl.upmc.fr
13	
14	Draft, resubmitted version for GRL "Frontier Article", June 2012
15	
16	

- 16 Abstract
- 17

A common dynamical paradigm is that turbulence in the upper ocean is dominated by three 18 classes of motion: mesoscale geostrophic eddies, internal waves and microscale three-19 dimensional turbulence. Close to the ocean surface, however, a fourth class of turbulent 20 21 motion is important: submesoscale frontal dynamics. These have a horizontal scale of O(1-10) km, a vertical scale of O(100) m, and a time scale of O(1) day. Here we review the 22 physical-chemical-biological dynamics of submesoscale features, and discuss strategies for 23 24 sampling them. Submesoscale fronts arise dynamically through nonlinear instabilities of the 25 mesoscale currents. They are ephemeral, lasting only a few days after they are formed. Strong submesoscale vertical velocities can drive episodic nutrient pulses to the euphotic zone, and 26 27 subduct organic carbon into the ocean's interior. The reduction of vertical mixing at submesoscale fronts can locally increase the mean time that photosynthetic organisms 28 spend in the well-lit euphotic layer and promote primary production. Horizontal stirring 29 30 can create intense patchiness in planktonic species. Submesoscale dynamics therefore can change not only primary and export production, but also the structure and the functioning of 31 the planktonic ecosystem. Because of their short time and space scales, sampling of 32 33 submesoscale features requires new technologies and approaches. This paper presents a critical overview of current knowledge to focus attention and hopefully interest on the 34 35 pressing scientific questions concerning these dynamics.

36

37 **1- Introduction**

The ocean's storage of carbon and ability to regulate atmospheric carbon dioxide is 38 crucially dependent on primary production, the creation of organic matter from inorganic 39 40 nutrients and carbon through photosynthesis. The transport of limiting nutrients to the sunlit 41 surface ocean (the euphotic zone) plays a central role in controlling primary production. It has been argued that turbulent eddy motions are an important vehicle for this transport 42 (Falkowski et al., 1991; Flierl and Davis, 1993; Oschlies and Garcon, 1998; Mahadevan and 43 Archer, 2000; Martin and Richards, 2001; Levy et al., 2001; Williams and Follows, 2003). 44 Although the subject of some debate (Oschlies, 2002; McGillicuddy et al., 2003; Martin and 45 Pondaven, 2003) it has been claimed that in some regions of the ocean as much as half of the 46 47 nitrate supply may be driven by eddy-induced vertical motions (McGillicuddy et al., 1998). The other essential ingredient for photosynthesis is light. Turbulent motions modulate the 48 availability of light by moving phytoplankton through the euphotic zone (Sverdrup, 1953; 49 Lévy et al., 1998; Taylor and Ferrari, 2011a). The nutrient and light environments that 50 regulate global primary production, the export of fixed carbon to depth and ultimately the 51 52 efficiency of the ocean's biological carbon storage, are thus intimately intertwined with these turbulent motions. 53

54 Until a few years ago, the dynamical paradigm was that turbulence in the upper ocean is dominated by three classes of motion: mesoscale geostrophic eddies, internal waves and 55 56 microscale three-dimensional turbulence. Geostrophic eddies are generated through barotropic and baroclinic instabilities of the mean currents at mesoscales of O(10-100) km 57 and dominate the eddy kinetic energy of the ocean. The mesoscale eddies twist and fold 58 59 tracer filaments resulting in a cascade of tracer variance to smaller scales, while they interact and pair resulting in a cascade of energy to larger scales. Internal waves, 60 generated by surface winds and tidal forcing at scales O(0.1-10) km, interact and drive 61

a transfer of energy toward smaller spatial scales. Microscale turbulence at scales O(0.01) km and less arises from three-dimensional instabilities driven by air-sea fluxes in the turbulent boundary layers and from breaking internal gravity waves in the interior. The absence of an energy cascade to smaller scales separates mesoscale turbulence from internal waves and microscale turbulence that transfer energy to molecular dissipation scales.

68 There is a rich literature on the impact of these three phenomena on biological dynamics in the ocean. Geostrophic eddies can regulate both the lateral (e.g., Williams and Follows, 69 1998; Oschlies, 2002; Lévy, 2003; Ferrari et al., 2008; Lehahn et al., 2011; Chelton et al., 70 2011) and vertical (e.g., McGillicuddy et al., 1998; Uz et al., 2001; Cipollini et al., 2001; 71 Martin and Richards, 2001; McGillicuddy et al., 2007) transport of biomass and nutrients. 72 Internal waves affect production by periodically heaving biomass into the euphotic zone (e.g., 73 74 Holloway and Denman, 1989). Microscale turbulence maintains well-mixed biomass and nutrients within the turbulent surface boundary layer as well as driving nutrient fluxes into 75 the mixed layer (e.g., Lewis et al. 1986) and particulate and dissolved organic carbon out of it 76 (e.g., Ruiz et al., 2004). 77

Recent observations and numerical simulations, however, suggest that close to the ocean 78 surface a fourth class of turbulent motion is important: submesoscale frontal dynamics (e.g. 79 Thomas et al., 2008; Ferrari, 2011). Submesoscale fronts arise at scales just smaller than the 80 mesoscale: a horizontal scale of O(1-10) km, i.e., less than the first baroclinic deformation 81 radius; a vertical scale of O(100) m, i.e., thinner than the main thermocline; and a time scale 82 83 of O(1) day. Submesoscale fronts arise dynamically through advective interactions involving mesoscale currents (and thus are distinct from inertial-gravity waves on 84 85 comparable spatial scales). They are, importantly, influenced by Earth's rotation and 86 by density stratification (unlike microscale turbulence). Most importantly, submesoscale

fronts are distinct from the filaments generated by mesoscale stirring, because they are 87 characterized by density jumps and sharp velocity jets. Mesoscale stirring is inefficient 88 at transferring potential (density filaments) and kinetic (narrow jets) energy to scales 89 90 below the first deformation radius. Frontogenesis at the ocean surface (and other boundaries) breaks this constraint and results in a transfer of energy from the 91 mesoscale to fronts and then all the way to dissipation through secondary frontal 92 instabilities, as we discuss below. From the perspective of this review, the emergence of 93 submesoscale fronts is particularly important because they can regulate the exchange of 94 95 properties between the turbulent boundary layer and the ocean interior.

The relative contribution of the various turbulent motions to the evolution of a tracer is best illustrated in terms of the Reynolds-averaged equation – the equation describing the dynamics of the long-time average of the tracer. For illustrative purposes, let us consider the concentration of nutrient *N* averaged over a time/spatial scale larger than the mesoscale field. The average evolves according to:

101
$$\partial_{t}\overline{N} + \underbrace{\overline{u}}_{mean} \underbrace{\overline{N}}_{mean} = -\underbrace{\nabla_{H}}_{mesoscale} \underbrace{\overline{u'N'}}_{submesoscale} - \underbrace{\partial_{z}\overline{w'N'}}_{submesoscale} + \underbrace{\partial_{z}\left(\overline{k_{z}\partial_{z}N}\right)}_{microscale} + \underbrace{\overline{B(N)}}_{bio \log y}$$
(1)

102 where overbars indicate averages and primes denote eddy fluctuations over this spatiotemporal scale. For clarity, we have separated the microscale Reynolds fluxes, which are 103 associated with irreversible mixing of water masses, and the mesoscale and 104 105 submesoscale Reynolds fluxes, which represent advective transport of material properties along density surfaces without irreversible mixing. The horizontal advective 106 Reynolds fluxes are dominated by mesoscale eddies (Ledwell et al., 1998). The vertical 107 advective Reynolds fluxes are dominated by mesoscale eddies in the ocean interior away 108 from boundaries, but there is growing evidence that in the upper few hundred meters of the 109

110 oceans they are dominated by submesoscale circulations at fronts (e.g., Capet et al., 2008; 111 Klein and Lapeyre, 2009); evidence of the more active role of submesoscales in the vertical Reynolds flux is presented in section 3.3. The effect of microscale turbulence often 112 referred to as vertical mixing, is particularly strong in the turbulent boundary layers, where it 113 114 keeps tracers and momentum well mixed. In this equation it is parameterized as a vertical 115 diffusivity term, with k_z the vertical diffusion coefficient. Finally, B(N) denotes all biological processes affecting the concentration of N. Typically, for nitrate B(N) includes uptake by 116 117 phytoplankton and production through nitrification. An equation similar to Eq. (1) holds for phytoplankton, except that the "biology" term accounts for phytoplankton growth minus 118 losses such as death and respiration. 119

The potential role of the submesoscale has only recently been recognized; thus, compared to the other terms in the above equation little is known about its magnitude, distribution and contribution to vertical and horizontal fluxes. This paper presents a critical overview of current knowledge to focus attention and hopefully interest on the pressing scientific questions concerning these dynamics.

The paper is organized into 6 parts. Section 2 reviews the main characteristics of submesoscale dynamics. Section 3 examines how these dynamics are likely to affect the nutrient and phytoplankton budget of the euphotic layer. Section 4 focuses on the impact of submesoscale dynamics on the structure and spatial distributions of the planktonic ecosystem. Section 5 discusses the observational difficulties associated with investigating submesoscale heterogeneities. Finally, section 6 comprises the Conclusions.

131

2- Submesoscale dynamics

134 Stirring by large-scale ocean currents and mesoscale eddies creates a convoluted web of filaments of all oceanic tracers, including temperature, salinity, nutrients and phytoplankton. 135 136 However, only close to the ocean surface does the filamentation of hydrographic properties evolve into sharp density fronts with associated strong submesoscale circulations (Fig.1). The 137 theory of frontogenesis at the ocean surface is well understood and the interested reader 138 139 is referred to the many excellent in-depth reviews (e.g., Hoskins, 1982; Thomas et al., 2008; Klein and Lapeyre, 2009). Here we offer a heuristic argument to explain why 140 submesoscale fronts are generated preferentially at the ocean surface. Note that fronts 141 142 can also be generated at the ocean bottom, but our focus is on the impact of fronts on 143 ocean productivity in the upper ocean.

At the mesoscale, i.e., scales larger than the first deformation radius of O(10-100) 144 145 km (Chelton et al., 1998), the pressure gradients associated with horizontal density fronts are balanced by the Coriolis acceleration due to Earth's rotation: the so-called "geostrophic 146 147 balance". The degree of geostrophic balance is quantified in terms of the Rossby number Ro=U/fL, where U is the characteristic velocity at a front, L is the frontal width and f is the 148 149 Coriolis parameter: geostrophic balance holds if Ro<<1. In the ocean interior, density 150 surfaces are very flat with a steepness smaller than O(Ro). Whenever the mesoscale or 151 large-scale velocity field is locally convergent and acts to compress and steepen density surfaces, an overturning circulation develops that promptly brings the surfaces back 152 toward the horizontal (reducing their steepness) and restores the geostrophic balance 153 154 (increasing L). Hence submesoscale motions with Ro=O(1) do not arise spontaneously in the ocean's interior. A convergent velocity field, however, compresses passive tracers 155 156 that have no feedback on the dynamics. Indeed mesoscale turbulence in the ocean interior continuously generates sharp tracer filaments, a process referred to as a 157

forward cascade of enstrophy and tracer variance (Charney, 1971). It is only density
surfaces that remain smooth (Lapeyre et al., 2006; Klein et al., 2008).

The situation is quite different at the ocean surface. In regions of flow convergence 160 where density surfaces are brought together, the overturning circulation, that in the 161 interior slumps surfaces back to the horizontal, becomes purely horizontal at the 162 surface because water cannot cross the air-sea interface. The horizontal circulation acts 163 164 to further accelerate the convergence of density surfaces resulting in frontogenesis – the formation of sharp density fronts in a time of a few days (Hoskins and Bretherton, 165 166 1972; Spall, 1995). As the fronts form, the slope of the density surfaces increases (the slope is further increased by microstructure turbulence in the surface mixed layer 167 which mixes away any vertical stratification) and *Ro* becomes O(1). The increase in *Ro* 168 169 results in strong ageostrophic submesoscale circulations that drive a forward energy 170 cascade and excite local microstructure turbulence (Molemaker and McWilliams, 2010; Taylor and Ferrari, 2010). The increase in slope is accompanied by the development of 171 172 intense upwelling and downwelling on the warm and cold sides of the front respectively: the ratio of vertical to horizontal velocities scales with the slope of density surfaces and 173 it is therefore much larger at fronts. In the ocean interior, with frontal aspect ratios of 174 $O(10^{-4} - 10^{-3})$ and horizontal velocities of O(0.1) m/s, the vertical velocities reach $O(10^{-5} - 10^{-4})$ 175 m s⁻¹ or O(1-10) m d⁻¹. Near the surface the vertical velocities reach O(10⁻³) m s⁻¹ or O(100) 176 m d⁻¹ (Mahadevan and Tandon, 2006; Legal et al., 2007; Klein and Lapeyre, 2009). These 177 large vertical velocities extend from just below the surface down to a few hundred meters and 178 drive a rapid exchange of properties between the turbulent boundary layer and the permanent 179 180 thermocline (Fig.2a).

Submesoscale fronts are ephemeral and typically last only a few days after they are formed. This is either because the flow convergence ceases as currents and mesoscale eddies

evolve, or because the fronts become unstable. During frontolysis (frontal decay) the vertical 183 velocity and the associated exchange of properties with the ocean interior progressively 184 decrease. The shutdown is particularly rapid and extreme when frontolysis is associated with 185 186 frontal instabilities (Boccaletti et al., 2007; Capet et al., 2008; Thomas and Ferrari, 2008), sometimes taking just a few hours. There is a rapidly growing literature on the details of how 187 such instabilities develop. In the first stage, light waters flow over dense waters in what is 188 called symmetric instability, a process that has recently been observed at the Kuroshio 189 (D'Asaro et al., 2011) and Gulf Stream fronts (Thomas and Joyce, 2010). Later, meanders 190 191 and eddies develop along the front and slumping accelerates as a result of baroclinic instability (Fox-Kemper et al, 2008). Other forms of instability have also been reported when 192 the lateral shear at the front is particularly intense (McWilliams, 2010). Regardless of the 193 194 details of specific processes, the instabilities typically result in restratification and 195 suppression of vertical mixing within the turbulent boundary layer (i.e. a strong decrease of k_z in Eq. 1). 196

Thomas (2005) points out that frontolysis can be arrested by winds. If the winds blow in the same direction as the frontal current, they act to steepen the front and prevent further slumping by frontal instabilities. In such situations turbulent mixing is enhanced at fronts, rather than being reduced, and no restratification takes place (e.g., Franks and Walstad, 1997). If the winds blow in the opposite direction of the frontal current they act to slump the front, further accelerating restratification by frontal instabilities.

In summary, one expects frontogenesis whenever large-scale currents or mesoscale eddies converge to bring together different water masses. During this phase strong vertical velocities develop which promote exchange of properties between the surface ocean and the permanent thermocline. Once the convergent flow weakens, frontolysis effectively suppresses turbulent mixing at the front except when winds blow in the direction of the frontal current.

3- Response of phytoplankton to submesoscale dynamics

209 The response of phytoplankton to submesoscale dynamics will typically depend on what factor exerts the main control over phytoplankton growth, light or nutrients. In 210 211 case of nutrient limitation, the contribution of the submesoscale is mostly through the supply of nutrients into the nutrient starved euphotic layer. However, some of the most 212 productive regions are in the high latitudes, where spring blooms are light limited. In 213 214 the case of light limitation, the impact of submesoscales is mostly to modulate the 215 strength of vertical mixing and thus the light exposure of phytoplankton. Moreover, in 216 both cases, submesoscale processes will export phytoplankton out of the surface layer. 217 These mechanisms, how they combine and their potential impact on large scale fluxes, are presented in this section. 218

219

3.1 Response to submesoscale vertical transport

Over much of the ocean, phytoplankton growth is constrained by the availability of 220 nutrients, which are abundant beneath the euphotic zone. The upward component of the 221 222 submesoscale vertical circulation enhances the nutrient flux into the euphotic layer, stimulating phytoplankton growth (Fig. 2a) (Mahadevan and Archer, 2000; Levy et al., 2001; 223 Allen et al., 2005; Lapeyre and Klein, 2006b; Nagai et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2010; 224 Pidcock et al., 2010). Submesoscale upwelling can also drive deep phytoplankton biomass 225 upward, alleviating light limitation of growth (Lévy et al., 2001). The downward branch, 226 227 however, has a negative impact on primary production by subducting phytoplankton, together 228 with other organic matter, out of the euphotic zone (Fig. 2a) (Kadko et al., 1991; Fielding et al., 2001; Levy et al., 2001; Niewiadomska et al., 2008; Thomas and Joyce, 2010). This 229 230 subduction acts as a physical carbon pump and modifies the properties of intermediate mode waters (Karleskind et al., 2011a,b). Submesoscale vertical motions will occur in all regions, 231

not just those that are nutrient limited. Purely from a perspective of vertical transport, therefore, the net biogeochemical effect of submesoscale dynamics may vary with region, representing a changing balance of the two antagonistic effects (Lathuilière et al., 2010). The strength of the submesoscale vertical advection also varies in space and time depending in part on the intensity of the eddy activity, and can be enhanced by winds through the generation of inertial motions (Franks and Walstad, 1997) that interact with the submesoscale frontogenetic dynamics (Lévy et al., 2009).

Much of our knowledge on this topic comes from models. For example, simulations 239 suggest that submesoscale turbulence increases phytoplankton abundance in the open ocean 240 241 (Lévy et al., 2001, Oschlies, 2002, McGillicuddy et al., 2003) but decreases it in eastern boundary upwelling regions (Lathuilière et al., 2011). Some studies also suggest that in 242 243 regions where nutrients are plentiful, such as the subpolar North Atlantic or eastern boundary 244 upwelling systems, submesoscale vertical circulations could cause a loss of nutrients from the euphotic layer (Lévy et al., 2000; Oschlies, 2002, McGillicuddy et al., 2003, Gruber et al., 245 246 2011). More generally, the regional net flux of nutrients due to submesoscale vertical advection depends on the often strongly localized distribution of enhanced vertical circulation 247 248 and the rate of removal of upwelled nutrients from the upwelling regions by horizontal advection (Martin et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2003; Pasquero et al., 2005). Typically, 249 250 capturing the full strength of submesoscale vertical movements requires horizontal model resolution of the order of one tenth of the internal Rossby radius of deformation. This would 251 require a resolution of O(1) km at mid-latitudes, though this depends on the mixed-layer 252 253 depth. Studies with a coarser resolution will not fully capture the vertical circulation.

There are only a few observational studies to complement these model results: the balance between upwelling and subduction of nutrients, phytoplankton and other organic material is inherently difficult to assess purely from observations, let alone quantifying how 257 this balance varies with the intensity of submesoscale turbulence. The magnitude of 258 submesoscale turbulence can be evaluated from mean properties such as eddy kinetic energy or descriptors such as the Lyapunov exponent of the flow. This approach to quantifying the 259 260 link between the vertical transport of nutrients and the strength of the submesoscale flow was applied by Rossi et al. (2008) and Gruber et al. (2011) in eastern boundary upwelling regions 261 and by Calil and Richards (2010) in the oligotrophic open ocean. These studies report a 262 positive correlation between productivity and eddy kinetic energy derived from altimetry in 263 the open ocean, but the opposite relationship for eastern boundary upwelling regions. 264 265 Although it is too early to discern any clear pattern, these results are at least consistent with the modelling studies described earlier. 266

Submesoscale upwelling of nutrients to the surface also depends on how deep the 267 submesoscale vertical velocities extend into the water column. The strength of the 268 269 submesoscale vertical circulation is typically maximal at the base of the mixed layer. In situations of nutrient limitation, the largest vertical gradient of dissolved nutrients, the 270 271 nutricline, is found at the base of the euphotic layer. The mixed layer is often shallower than the nutricline. In this case submesoscale upwelling will not be effective in mixing nutrients 272 273 into the euphotic zone. A few studies have suggested that significant submesoscale vertical 274 velocities can, in some circumstances, penetrate deeper than the mixed layer, potentially 275 reaching the nutricline (Capet et al., 2008; Lévy et al., 2010). In contrast to the submesoscale, the mesoscale vertical circulation is maximal at the zero crossing of the first baroclinic mode, 276 which is often found deeper in the water column (~500-1000 m). 277

The time scales associated with upwelling will also determine its influence on primary production: the delivery of nutrients by mesoscale eddies may be more efficient than submesoscale motions for biological growth (McGillicuddy et al., 2007). Though the vertical velocities associated with mesoscale eddies are much smaller than the submesoscale ones due 282 to the different *Ro* of the two regimes, the residence time of nutrients in the euphotic layer is longer for mesoscale eddies than for submesoscale fronts, potentially allowing for more 283 complete uptake of the upwelled nutrients. Submesoscale fronts bring nutrients so rapidly in 284 and out of the euphotic layer that it is unclear whether phytoplankton can fully utilize them. 285 286 Indeed, typically, the time scale of nutrient supply at the surface by submesoscale vertical velocities is of the order of 0(1-10) day, which corresponds to the time scale of 287 nutrient uptake by phytoplankton. Our current — rather incomplete — view of how the 288 relationship between submesoscale and mesoscale vertical velocities varies with depth and 289 290 time is a topic that clearly requires further research.

291 Finally, in terms of carbon, how the air-sea CO₂ exchange is affected by submesoscale vertical transport is not straightforward. For instance, there are compensating effects of the 292 small-scale upwelling of nutrients and cold temperatures, which tend to decrease oceanic 293 294 pCO₂, and the upwelling of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), which tends to increase it (Mahadevan et al., 2004; Mahadevan et al., 2011). The leading term of this balance depends 295 296 on the relative vertical gradients of DIC (and alkalinity), nitrate and temperature. In the Northeast Atlantic, large submesoscale surface pCO₂ gradients have been observed, but 297 attributed to stirring by mesoscale eddies rather than to vertical advection associated with 298 299 submesoscale circulations (Resplandy et al., 2009).

300

3.2 Response to reduced vertical mixing at submesoscale fronts

When light is the main factor limiting phytoplankton production, such as in large parts of the Southern Ocean or at high latitudes prior to the spring bloom, the reduction of vertical mixing induced by submesoscale dynamics can locally increase the mean time that photosynthetic organisms spend in the well-lit euphotic layer and promote primary production. **This can be rationalized by the reduction of** k_z **in Eq. 1, with the consequence**

306 of limiting phytoplankton excursions out of the euphotic layer (Fig. 2b). Models suggest 307 that this reduction of vertical mixing can either result in a reduction in the mixed-layer depth (Lévy et al., 1998) or in a reduction of mixing intensity within the mixed-layer (Taylor and 308 309 Ferrari, 2011a,b). This may lead to the beginning of a bloom prior to seasonal stratification, and has been reported in the North Atlantic by Townsend et al. (1994) and Joyce et al. 310 (2009), as well as in the Adriatic by Santoleri et al. (2003). Model studies of this 311 phenomenon in the Mediterranean Sea (Lévy et al., 1999, 2000) suggest that it only modifies 312 the annual mean budget of phytoplankton production in the absence of strong seasonality. 313 314 Otherwise, the main effect seems to be restricted to the earlier onset of the bloom (Lévy et al., 315 2005; Taylor and Ferrari, 2011a,b). The importance of this process over large-scale, lightlimited regions (such as in the Southern Ocean) remains to be assessed. 316

317 3.3 Response to large-scale changes of the circulation induced by submesoscale 318 dynamics

319 The effects on nutrients of local mesoscale and submesoscale perturbations of the 320 velocities do not cancel out when averaged over a regional scale. This is due to the non-linear 321 nature of advection. Mathematically, this local effect is associated with the Reynolds terms 322 (see Eq. 1). However, a complete picture of the impact of submesoscale turbulence on nutrients has to account not only for the local Reynolds fluxes but also for how the 323 324 distribution of the large-scale circulation and nutrient fields (and hence the mean advection 325 and vertical diffusion) are modified by submesoscale phenomena. For instance, the feedback 326 of the submesoscale motions on the mean circulation may influence the position and strength 327 of western boundary currents, and through the thermal wind balance, the global equilibration of the thermocline and nutricline (Lévy et al., 2010). In this sense there is an effect that is 328 non-local in space and time, and can be thought of as the remote effect of the submesoscale 329 330 dynamics. To demonstrate this impact it is necessary to run model experiments long enough to allow the model mean state to equilibrate in the presence of submesoscale dynamics.
Clearly there is a practical issue of the computational demands associated with this. One
example, however, is provided by the model study of Levy et al. (2012). Here, phytoplankton
abundance at equilibrium was found to be less in the submesoscale-resolving (1/54°) model
than in the model without submesoscale processes. This result arises from the different largescale distributions of the nutricline depth and mixed-layer depth in the two model equilibria.

337 An attempt to quantify the contribution of submesoscales to the annual nitrate balance in the euphotic layer at mid-latitudes is presented here in the context of the Lévy et al. (2012) 338 model. A seasonally varying double-gyre is simulated, representative of an idealized sector of 339 340 the Northwest Atlantic or Pacific. A strong surface jet, the model's equivalent of the Gulf Stream or Kuroshio, flows eastward at ~30°N (Fig. 3a). The instability of this jet generates 341 342 intense mesoscale turbulence which is maximum in the vicinity of the jet but can be found 343 throughout the region 20-40°N. The submesoscale circulation can be seen in the form of submesoscale jets (Fig. 3a), accompanied by intense upwellings and downwellings on 344 345 either sides of the jets (Fig. 3b). The nutrient concentration at the surface is characterized by a large-scale gradient, characteristic of the North Atlantic, and 346 347 distorted by mesoscale stirring (Fig. 3c). The long model spin-up (50 years) allows the 348 annual mean position of the jet, as well as the thermocline and nutricline depths to reach equilibrium, integrating the feedback of submesoscale processes on large-scale quantities. 349

The model domain is large enough to encompass different biological regimes: an oligotrophic regime in the subtropical gyre (from ~20-30°N) where winter nitrate concentrations are less (Fig. 3c), a strong spring bloom in the subpolar gyre north of ~40°N and a mid-latitude regime with a moderate bloom between 30-40°N in the inter-gyre region. This north-south gradient in productivity is reflected by the structure of the biological term B(N) (Fig. 4). At equilibrium, an annual integration of all the terms in equation (1) implies that $\partial_t N$ is zero and thus the biological term B(N) is exactly balanced by the sum of the four physical terms. After integration over the euphotic depth, this leads to:

359
$$\int_{1year} \int_{z=0}^{Zeupho} \left[\underbrace{-\overline{u} \cdot \nabla \overline{N}}_{remote} - \underbrace{\nabla_{H} \cdot \overline{u'N}}_{mesoscale(local)} - \underbrace{\partial_{z} \overline{w'N'}}_{submesoscale(local)} + \underbrace{\partial_{z} \left(\overline{k_{z} \partial_{z} N}\right)}_{remote} \right] dz dt = \int_{1year} \int_{z=0}^{Zeupho} \underbrace{\overline{B(N)}}_{bio \log y} dz dt$$
(2)

The remote effect of submesoscales enters this equation through the mean advection and the 360 vertical mixing terms. This is because submesoscale dynamics influence the mean currents, 361 the mixed-layer depth and the mean distribution of nutrients. The local effects enter the 362 equation through the horizontal and vertical Reynolds fluxes. Here, the separation 363 between mean and eddy fields was done with a space filter with a cut-off scale of O(100) 364 365 km. Thus the Reynolds terms potentially contain the contribution of both the mesoscale and the submesoscale. However, spectra of horizontal and vertical nitrate flux vs. 366 wavenumber k show that the horizontal flux spectrum (slope of $\sim k^{-2}$) is steeper than the 367 vertical flux spectrum (slope of $\sim k^{-1}$) and implies that the integral over wavenumbers is 368 dominated by the largest scales (small wavenumbers) in the case of the horizontal flux, 369 but it is strongly affected by the smallest scales (large wave numbers) in the case of the 370 371 vertical flux. The overall vertical tracer flux is thus strongly affected by the submesoscale fronts. 372

The contribution of the different terms in Eq. 2 to the annual balance of nutrient supply to the euphotic layer varies regionally (Fig. 4), as does the relative importance of the local (Reynolds) and remote (mean) submesoscale contributions. Vertical mixing dominates the balance in the regions where the mixed layer gets deeper than the euphotic depth over the seasonal cycle. This is the case north of 40°N and in the eastern sector. In these regions the local submesoscale term is negligible. In contrast, in the intergyre region (30-40°N), the mean and mesoscale advection terms are larger than vertical mixing and tend to oppose each other. In this region the local submesoscale term has a magnitude comparable to the other advective terms and is always positive. Thus, in this region local submesoscale advection is efficiently supplying nitrate to the euphotic layer; this supply makes a substantial contribution to the overall balance.

384

4- Ecosystem response to submesoscale dynamics

All the submesoscale dynamics described previously have the potential to change not only the primary and export production, but also the structure and the functioning of the planktonic ecosystem. Strong submesoscale vertical velocities can drive episodic nutrient pulses into the euphotic zone, while horizontal stirring can create intense patchiness in planktonic species. These processes have been investigated with both models and data.

Many field studies have observed systematic changes in phytoplankton community structure across trophic gradients: the fraction of total biomass contributed by the smallest cells decreases strongly with increasing biomass (e.g., Yentsch and Phinney, 1989; Chisholm, 1992; Ciotti et al., 2002; Li, 2002; Irigoien et al., 2004; Uitz et al., 2006). Biomass is typically added in successively larger size classes as the total biomass increases, while smaller size classes remain relatively unchanged (Landry, 2002).

These large-scale patterns are also seen in ecosystem responses to the episodic addition of limiting nutrients. Cavender-Bares et al. (2001) measured size-abundance spectra of phytoplankton in mesocosms of Sargasso Sea water enriched with NO₃ and PO₄ and found that waves of enhanced biomass propagated from small to large sizes over 5 days. Similar analyses inside iron-fertilized patches during IronEx II showed peaks and troughs of particle abundance propagating toward the larger sizes of the size spectrum over 8 days with large pennate diatoms dominating the increase in phytoplankton biomass (e.g., Coale et al., 1996;

403 Landry et al., 2000). This ecosystem response to enrichment is an emergent property driven 404 by the size-dependencies of fundamental biological rates such as growth, production and grazing (e.g., Rassoulzadegan and Sheldon, 1986; Fuchs and Franks, 2010; Poulin and 405 406 Franks, 2010). Pulses of biomass propagating to larger size classes after a nutrient injection reflect changing balances of growth and predation with size and time. These imbalances can 407 lead to disproportionate growth of larger phytoplankton and efficient food chains fueling 408 pelagic fish production (e.g., Moloney and Field, 1991). They can also lead to episodic 409 410 particle fluxes and locally enhanced carbon sequestration (e.g., Guidi et al., 2007). It is thus 411 critical to measure and understand the size-dependencies of phytoplankton growth and microzooplankton grazing rates in submesoscale features where such pulses may be focused. 412

The changes in the size structure of the planktonic community driven by nutrient pulses 413 will lead to local patches of distinct species abundances. Such patches will become stirred 414 415 and distorted by the mesoscale and submesoscale horizontal velocity fields (Martin et al., 2001). By combining multisatellite data, notably high-resolution ocean-colour maps of 416 417 dominant phytoplankton types and altimetry-derived Lagrangian diagnostics of the surface transport, d'Ovidio et al. (2010) demonstrated that the phytoplankton landscape is organized 418 into submesoscale patches, often dominated by a particular phytoplankton group, separated 419 by physical fronts induced by horizontal stirring. These physical fronts effectively delimit 420 421 ephemeral ecological niches by encircling water masses of similar history and whose lifetimes are comparable to the timescale of the biological response (a few weeks). This 422 submesoscale structuring of the plankton community is a direct consequence of horizontal 423 424 stirring by the turbulent circulation.

425

427 **4.1 Size-dependent ecosystem response to a nutrient pulse**

To explore the size-dependent community response to a nutrient pulse, we used the Poulin and Franks (2010) (hereafter PF10) size-structured ecosystem model, which allows for an arbitrary number of different size classes of phytoplankton P and zooplankton Z (typically >500 size classes of each). The model is similar to the Fuchs and Franks (2010) sizestructured model: it is based on a simple NPZ model structure, but includes potential sizedependence of all biological processes (growth, grazing, assimilation efficiency, etc.). The results shown here were obtained with a herbivore-only model.

The PF10 model was initialized at steady state with a total nutrient concentration 435 (phytoplankton+zooplankton+dissolved nutrients) of 10 mmolN m⁻³ and given a nutrient 436 pulse of 5 mmolN m⁻³ over 1 day (Fig. 5). There was an immediate growth response across 437 all phytoplankton size classes. Over the days following the initial response, the smallest 438 439 phytoplankton showed the largest increase in biomass, followed by an increase in the biomass 440 of their grazers. The increase of the grazers led to eventual net decreases in the smallest 441 phytoplankton. This grazer-induced decrease in the smallest phytoplankton decreased their competitive ability, allowing growth of the larger phytoplankton. Over the next 10 442 443 days (and longer), a pulse of high biomass propagated from the smallest phytoplankton toward the largest. The duration of the biomass pulse depends on the phytoplankton growth 444 445 and zooplankton grazing rates; the pulse propagates more slowly and is of longer duration as 446 it reaches the larger (slower-growing) phytoplankton. The phytoplanktonic biomass pulse 447 in a given size class is ultimately terminated by a subsequent biomass increase of the 448 herbivores. These results suggest that in a Lagrangian sense, nutrient pulses to the euphotic zone should lead to a patchy, distinct, and evolving planktonic community 449 structure compared to surrounding waters. The resonance between the time scales of 450 451 submesoscale-driven nutrient injections (O(1) day, e.g., D'Asaro et al., 2011) and

452 phytoplankton and protist grazer growth rates (O(1) d⁻¹) are expected to lead to
453 significant modification of the planktonic community and its dynamics in the vicinity of
454 submesoscale features.

455

4.2 Spatial diversity driven by submesoscale nutrient pump

The PF10 model is presently too computer intensive to run at full resolution in a 3D 456 457 submesoscale-resolving model. To investigate the effects of submesoscale dynamics on spatial patterns of phytoplankton diversity, a reduced NPPZD (nutrient-phytoplankton-458 phytoplankton-zooplankton-detritus) model was run with a SQG (surface quasi-geostrophic) 459 physical model (Perruche et al., 2011). In this model the phytoplankton community 460 comprises two size classes of phytoplankton (P_1 and P_2), representing nano and micro 461 462 phytoplankton respectively, using the Moloney and Field (1991) parameterization of growth rates. In particular, because of competitive exclusion there is no region of the parameter space 463 464 (total nutrient C_o vs. irradiance I) in which P_1 and P_2 can coexist at equilibrium (Fig. 6c).

When coupled to the SQG model, the local perturbations of nutrients by physical 465 processes nevertheless allow the coexistence of the two phytoplankton types (Fig. 6ab): P_2 466 dominates in the long thin filaments between eddies formed by the straining of the 467 concentration fields; P_1 dominates inside eddies, but is also found in the filaments. Both the 468 469 coexistence and shifts in the balance of this coexistence over short length scales can be 470 explained by considering the response time scales of the system (Fig. 6c). The similarity 471 between the short time scales associated with strong submesoscale vertical nutrient injections 472 and the ecosystem response time scale (given along the red line, Fig. 6c) favours dominance by the largest phytoplankton size class. On the other hand, the longer time scales associated 473 474 with eddies with low rates of vertical nutrient injection favor the smallest phytoplankton class. The potential resonances between different components of the plankton and different 475

scales of physical forcing lead to strong spatial and temporal heterogeneities in communitystructure and dynamics.

These nascent modelling studies underscore the importance of resolving submesoscale 478 479 features in models and in sampling. Biological processes such as growth, grazing, aggregation and predation are all expected to be enhanced in submesoscale upwellings. 480 481 Nutrient pulses are able to propagate through the trophic web, driving strong changes in community structure. The spatial and temporal heterogeneity of nutrient pulses, combined 482 with horizontal stirring, alters the competitive balance among different phytoplankton 483 species. We thus expect to see strong spatial and temporal gradients in planktonic community 484 485 structure forced by submesoscale physical dynamics. Furthermore, Cotté et al. (2011) and Tew-Kai et al. (2009) have recently shown that the effects of submesoscale dynamics extend 486 through the food web to affect the top predators' foraging behaviour. Thus, the combined 487 488 effects of submesoscale features, even though a relatively small fraction of the total area, may be disproportionately important to biological dynamics. 489

490

5- Observational considerations

A major obstacle to testing current predictions from theories and models is the difficulty of adequately sampling the submesoscale. As mentioned above, the phenomena of interest are both ephemeral and localized, taking just a few days to wax and wane and only being of O(1-10) km in width despite being up to hundreds of kilometers in length. A major aspect of the observational challenge, therefore, is one common to the purely physical study of the submesoscale: the need to be able to survey a region at sufficiently high temporal and spatial resolution.

498Gliders(e.g.,SPRAYspray.ucsd.edu/pub/rel/index.php,Slocum499www.webbresearch.com/slocumglider.aspx,Seaglider

www.irobot.com/gi/maritime/1KA_Seaglider) are fast becoming the platform of choice in a wide range of oceanographic applications. Typically a glider can cover 1 km horizontally in an hour with an ascent angle of around one in five. Steeper angles of ascent are possible but with the consequence of slower horizontal progress. Even for larger submesoscale features of O(10) km width a glider would require half a day for one transect. The slow speed also means that the strong directional currents associated with many of the submesoscale features of interest have the potential to displace the glider significantly over even one transect.

A more traditional alternative is a ship-towed undulating device, such as the SeaSoar 507 (Pidcock, 2011) or Triaxus (D'Asaro et al., 2011) platforms. These allow sampling typically 508 509 10-20 times faster than a glider, permitting much better time resolution of the evolution of submesoscale features. Furthermore, the physical connection to the ship allows the use of 510 511 sensors whose power demands would significantly curtail the range of a glider. The costs of 512 and demands on research vessels mean that such studies are nevertheless limited in duration relative to gliders, which can continue to sample a region for months even during the winter 513 514 period.

515 The relevant submesoscale timescales for a study impose other constraints on a study of 516 their biogeochemistry. An obvious intention might be to record biogeochemical processes such as primary production and export of carbon over an annual cycle at a resolution 517 518 sufficient to allow the contribution of the submesoscale to be assessed. Given the fleeting existence of any specific submesoscale feature this annual budget may best be addressed 519 using Eulerian sampling, building up a statistical picture of the cumulative effect of 520 521 submesoscale processes at a fixed location. The problem then arises of how temporal signals in biogeochemistry can be disentangled from simple advection of spatial variability through 522 the site. Having a collection of moorings spaced at distances sufficient to resolve 523 524 submesoscale spatial variability might be one approach. The spatial coverage would also be

required to separate out the regional change in time associated with biological processes such as nutrient uptake, population growth and sedimentation. This approach to studying the underlying physical processes of the submesoscale is to be tried, for example, by the UK OSMOSIS program, with the moorings augmented by gliders.

If study of the dynamics associated with a specific submesoscale feature is of interest then 529 a Lagrangian approach is required, as the mesoscale circulation may advect any feature tens 530 of kilometers in just one day. For example, D'Asaro et al. (2011) used a neutrally buoyant 531 float to mark a submesoscale front in the Japan Sea. They used this as a moving reference 532 point for repeated Triaxus surveys of the physical characteristics. A similar observational 533 534 strategy, augmented with fluorescence and backscattering measurements, was followed during the 2008 North Atlantic spring bloom experiment (Fennel et al., 2011; Alkire et al., 535 2012; D'Asaro, pers. comm.). A major program of the US Office of Naval Research targeting 536 537 the physics of the submesoscale will also use a Lagrangian approach. Two recent developments that show considerable potential for Lagrangian studies involve the use of 538 539 multiple drifters. The Autonomous Underwater Explorer (http://jaffeweb.ucsd.edu/node/81) 540 is a very compact (2 L) float with active buoyancy control that can carry multiple biogeochemical sensors and can be deployed in groups, collecting information on spatial 541 variability through triangulation by means of acoustic communication links as they disperse. 542 543 A similar idea lies behind the Wire Walker (Rainville and Pinkel, 2001; Pinkel et al., 2011) which maintains a float at the surface but uses wave power to constantly yo-yo a sensor 544 545 package beneath it. Deploying a number of these would provide a high-frequency and 546 irregularly spaced but 3D map of biogeochemical and physical processes. These vehicles can 547 collect complete vertical profiles through 250 m of water with less than 10 minutes between 548 profiles; the time scales are shorter if the profiling depth is decreased.

549 Current satellite altimeters do not resolve the submesoscale, but do provide information 550 on the mesoscale field which can be used to diagnose where submesoscale fronts (d'Ovidio et al., 2004; 2009; Lehahn et al., 2007; Deprès et al. 2011) and submesoscale vertical transport 551 552 (Legall et al., 2007; Isern-Fontanet et al., 2008) should occur. The Indo-German LOHAFEX iron fertilization experiment (March 2009) and French KEOPS2 natural fertilization 553 experiment in the Southern Ocean (Nov 2011) used an innovative sampling strategy based on 554 555 this concept, with real-time identification of transport structures from the analysis of multisatellite altimetry data and surface buoy release. This approach is aimed at identifying 556 557 environments naturally isolated by the structure of the surface circulation, where it becomes 558 possible to study the time evolution of biophysical processes in a Lagrangian sense. More 559 generally, the use of altimetry should soon become common practice to adjust sampling 560 strategy at sea in real time (for instance, with repeated sections across submesoscale fronts, as 561 in Legall et al., 2007) according to the position of the frontal structures that can be forecast with such data. In the near future, development of wide-swath altimetry by both the NASA 562 563 SWOT and ESA Wavemill programs would take the spatial resolution of geostrophic currents to a few km, which should significantly improve our ability to identify in real time where 564 submesoscale fronts may be generated. 565

566 Colour satellites have long provided information on phytoplankton distributions at a 567 resolution capable of resolving the submesoscale (e.g., Gower et al., 1980), though such information is often discarded by averaging the data into weekly or monthly composites. 568 569 Recent developments using satellites to study the biogeochemistry of the submesoscale in 570 more detail include nitrate estimates (Goes et al., 2004) and algorithms to probe community composition by using the array of frequencies on multi-spectral sensors to fuller extent (e.g., 571 572 Alvain et al., 2005; Uitz et al., 2009). One concern with the former is that the nitrate algorithm is based on sea-surface temperature and chlorophyll measurements, so it could be 573

574 argued that it is not an independent measurement. It would additionally need to be 575 ascertained whether the empirical relationship underpinning it holds at the submesoscale where biogeochemistry will often be far out of equilibrium. There is also the question of 576 577 whether, to obtain an acceptable signal to noise ratio, it would be necessary to spatially 578 average the signal up to scales that would preclude the submesoscale from being 579 resolved accurately. Nevertheless, if it proves possible to allay such concerns, the approach is an appealing one as it potentially allows a much more thorough mapping of the surface 580 581 nitrate field than could be achieved by any ship or glider survey at a resolution which should 582 capture much of the submesoscale variability.

583 Returning to *in situ* observations, it has long been a problem that very few biogeochemical properties can be accurately measured using compact autonomous sensors. It 584 585 is only recently that ultraviolet-based sensors capable of robust measurements of nitrate with 586 a sensitivity suitable for open ocean biogeochemistry have been developed (Pidcock et al., 587 2010; Johnson et al., 2010). The most exciting development for submesoscale studies, 588 perhaps, is the emergence of lab-on-chip technology; the ability to use advanced engineering 589 techniques to build low energy, sophisticated but small sensors, with obvious potential for deployment on any of the platforms discussed above. Of particular relevance to submesoscale 590 biogeochemistry are wave-guide-based sensors for a range of nutrients (Adornato et al., 591 592 2009); miniaturized flow cytometers (e.g., Barat et al., 2010) and species-specific RNA probes (e.g., Tsaloglou et al., 2011). The latter two in particular offer huge potential for 593 594 starting to tease apart the complexities of community composition at the submesoscale.

595

596

598 **6- Conclusions**

599 Submesoscale dynamics dominate at time and space scales that make them uniquely important to the structure and functioning of planktonic ecosystems. Resulting from 600 601 interactions within the mesoscale eddy field, submesoscale flows can generate intense vertical motions at fronts, driving nutrients into the euphotic zone, and subducting organic 602 603 carbon beneath it. The efficacy of submesoscale dynamics in influencing primary production 604 and ecosystem structure depends on the local hydrography, euphotic depth, and nutrient 605 distributions. Our lack of knowledge of the physical flows and biogeochemical responses at the submesoscale is due both to their dynamic complexity, and the practical difficulties in 606 607 sampling at the appropriate time and space scales. However, recent advances in physical models, planktonic ecosystem models and ocean sampling technologies makes this an ideal 608 609 time to explore the physical-chemical-biological interactions at these scales. In particular we 610 need to gain understanding of how the intense vertical motions at the submesoscale contribute to regional-average properties such as vertical carbon and nitrogen fluxes. The 611 612 strong spatial patchiness in planktonic community structure induced by submesoscale 613 motions may lead to a significant fraction of the vertical flux being restricted to similarly small spatial and temporal scales—scales that would be missed by measurements that average 614 615 over inappropriately large spatial and temporal scales. Improved understanding of the 616 importance of submesoscale dynamics will come only through targeted interdisciplinary field and modelling programs. 617

- 618
- 619

621

622 Ackowledgements

623	All authors contributed equally to this paper. This review builds on the outcomes of a
624	conference funded by EUR-OCEANS and Europôle Mer: Influence of meso- and sub-
625	mesoscale ocean dynamics on the global carbon cycle and marine ecosytems, Centre de la
626	Mer, Aber Wrac'h, France, June 2010. This work was supported by the joint CNRS-INSU-
627	LEFE program & EUR-OCEANS flagship "TANGGO" (Lévy, Rivière, Martin), by the
628	CCE-LTER program (Franks, Rivière), by NSF award 1155205 (Ferrari) and by the NERC
629	Oceans 2025 program (Martin).

630

631 **References:**

Adornato, L., A. Cardenas-Valencia, E. Kaltenbacher, R.H. Byrne, K. Daly, K. Larkin, S.
Hartman, M. Mowlem, R. D. Prien and V. C. Garçon (2009), In Situ Nutrient Sensors
for Ocean observing systems, Proceedings of the Oceanobs'09 : Sustained Ocean
observations and Information for Society Conference (Vol 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25
September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison, D.E. and Stammer D. Eds., ESA Publication WPP306.

Alkire et al. (2012) Estimates of net community production and export using highresolution, Lagrangian measurements of O2, NO3-, and POC through the evolution of a spring diatom bloom in the NorthAtlantic, Deep-Sea Research I, 64, 157-174.

- Allen, J., Brown, L., Sanders, R., Moore, C., Mustard, A., Fielding, S., Lucas, M., Rixen, M.,
 Savidge, G., Henson, S. (2005), Diatom carbon export enhanced by silicate upwelling
 in the Northeast Atlantic, Nature, 437, 728–732.
- Alvain. S., Moulin C., Dandonneau Y. and Bréon F.M (2005), Remote sensing of
 phytoplankton groups in case 1 waters from global SeaWiFS imagery, Deep Sea Res. I,
 52, 1989-2004.
- Barat, D., G. Benazzi, M. Mowlem, J. M. Ruano and H. Morgan (2010), Design, simulation
 and characterisation of integrated optics for a microfabricated flow cytometer. Optics
 Communications, 283, (9), 1987-1992, doi:10.1016/j.optcom.2009.12.046.

- Boccaletti G., R. Ferrari, and B. Fox-Kemper (2007), Mixed Layer Instabilities and
 Restratification, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 37, 2228-2250.
- Calil, P.H.R., and K.J. Richards (2010), Transient upwelling hot spots in the oligotrophic
 North Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C02003, doi:10.1029/2009JC005360.
- Capet, X., McWilliams, J., Molemaker, M., Shchepetkin, A. (2008), Mesoscale to
 submesoscale transition in the California current system. part i: Flow structure, eddy
 flux, and observational tests, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 38, 29–43.
- Cavender-Bares, K. K., Rinaldo, A. and Chisholm, S. W. (2001), Microbial size spectra
 from natural and nutrient enriched ecosystems. Limnol. Oceanogr. 46, 778–789.

Chelton, D. B., R. A. Deszoeke and M. G. Schlax (1998), Geographical Variability of the First Baroclinic Rossby Radius of Deformation, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 28, 433-460

- Chelton, D. B., P. Gaube, M. G. Schlax, J.J. Early and R. M. Samelson (2011), The Influence
 of Nonlinear Mesoscale Eddies on Near-Surface Oceanic Chlorophyll, Science 334,
 328, 10.1126/science.1208897.
- Chisholm, S. W. (1992) Phytoplankton size. In Falkowski, P. G. and Woodhead, A. D. (eds),
 Primary Productivity and Biogeochemical Cycles in the Sea. Plenum Press, pp. 213–
 237.
- Ciotti, A. M., Lewis, M. R. and Cullen, J. J. (2002) Assessment of the relationships between
 dominant cell size in natural phytoplankton communities and the spectral shape of the
 absorption coefficient. Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 404–417.
- 671 Cipollini, P. et al. (2001), Rossby waves detected in global ocean colour data, Geophys. Res.
 672 Lett., 28, 323–326, doi:10.1029/1999GL011231.
- 673 Cotté C., d'Ovidio F., Chaigneau A., Lévy M., Taupier-Letage I., Maté B., Guinet C. (2011),
 674 Scale-dependent interactions of Mediterranean whales with marine dynamics.
 675 Limnology and Oceanography, 56, (1), 219-232.
- b'Asaro E, C. Lee, L. Rainville, R. Harcourt, L. Thomas (2011), Enhanced turbulence and
 energy dissipation at ocean fronts, Science, 332(6027), 318-22.
- Deprès, A., G. Reverdin and F. D'Ovidio (2011), Mechanisms and spatial variability of meso
 scale frontogenesis in the northwestern subpolar gyre, Ocean Modelling, 39, 97-113.
- Falkowski, P., D. Ziemann, Z. Kolber and P. K. Bienfang (1991), Role of eddy pumping in
 enhancing primary production in the ocean, Nature, 352, 55-58.

Fennel, K., Cetinic, I., D'Asaro, E., Lee, C., Perry (2011), M.J., Autonomous data describe North Atlantic spring bloom, EOS Transactions AGU, Vol. 92, No. 50, 465-466, doi:10.1029/2011EO500002.

Ferrari, R., J. C. McWilliams, V. Canuto, and D. Dubovikov (2008), Parameterization of
eddy fluxes at the ocean boundaries, J. Climate, 31, 2770-2789.

- 687 Ferrari, R. (2011), A frontal challenge for climate models, Science, 332, 316-317.
- Fielding, S. et al. (2001), Mesoscale subduction at the Almeria-Oran front. Part 2: biophysical
 interactions, J. Marine Systems, 30, (3-4), 287-304, doi:10.1016/S09247963(01)00063-X.
- Flierl, G. R., and Davis, C. S. (1993), Biological effects of Gulf stream meandering, J.
 Marine Res., 51, 529–560.
- Fox-Kemper, B., R. Ferrari, and R. Hallberg, 2008. Parameterization of mixed layer eddies. I:
 Theory and diagnosis, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 38, 1145-1165.
- Franks, P.J.S. and L.J. Walstad (1997), Phytoplankton patches at fronts: a model of formation
 and response to transient wind events, J. Marine Res., 55, 1-30.
- Fuchs, H.L. and Franks, P.J.S. (2010), Plankton community properties determined by
 nutrients and size-selective feeding. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 413, 1-15.
- Goes, J.I., H. Do, R. Gomes, T. Saino, C.S. Wong and C.W. (2004), Exploiting MODIS data
 for estimating sea surface nitrate, Eos, 85, 449 & 454.
- Gower, J., Denman, K., Holyer, R., (1980), Phytoplankton patchiness indicates the
 fluctuation spectrum of mesoscale oceanic structure, Nature, 288, 157.
- Gruber, N. et al. (2011), Eddy-induced reduction of biological production in eastern boundary
 upwelling systems, Nature Geoscience, 4,787–792, doi:10.1038/ngeo1273
- Guidi, L., Stemmann, L., Legendre, L., Picheral, M., Prieur, L. and Gorsky, G. (2007),
 Vertical distribution of aggregates (>100 mm) and mesoscale activity in the
 northeastern Atlantic: Effects on the deep vertical export of surface carbon. Limnol.
 Oceanogr., 52, 7-18.
- Holloway, G. and Denman, K. L. (1989), Influence of internal waves on primary production,
 Journal of Plankton Research, 11, 409-413.
- Hoskins, B. J., and F. P. Bretherton (1972), Atmospheric frontogenesis models: Mathematical
 formulation and solution, J. Atmos. Sci., 29, 11–37.

Hoskins, B. J. (1982), The mathematical theory of frontogenesis, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., 14, 131-151.

- 715 Irigoien, X., Huisman, J. and Harris, R. P. (2004) Global biodiversity patterns of marine
 716 phytoplankton and zooplankton. Nature 429, 863-867.
- Isern-Fontanet, J., G. Lapeyre, P. Klein, B. Chapron and M. W. Hect (2008), Threedimensional reconstruction of oceanic mesoscale currents from surface information, J.
 Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2007JC004692.
- Johnson, K. S. S. C. Riser and D. M. Karl (2010), Nitrate supply from deep to near-surface
 waters of the North Pacific subtropical gyre, Nature, 465, 1062-1965.

- Joyce, T. M., L. N. Thomas and F. Bahr (2009), Wintertime observations of SubTropical
 Mode Water formation within the Gulf Stream, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L02607,
 doi:10.1029/2008GL035918.
- Karleskind, P., M. Lévy, and L. Mémery (2011a), Modifications of mode water properties by
 sub-mesoscales in a bio-physical model of the Northeast Atlantic, Ocean Modelling,
 39, 47–60.
- Karleskind, P., M. Lévy and L. Memery (2011b), Subduction of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen
 in the Northeast Atlantic, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, C02025.
- Kadko, D., L. Washburn and B. H. Jones (1991), Evidence of subduction within cold
 filaments of the N. California Coastal Transition Zone, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 1490914926.
- Klein, P., Hua, B., Lapeyre, G., Capet, X., Gentil, S.L. and Sasaki, H.S. (2008), Upper ocean
 turbulence from high 3-d resolution simulations, Journal of Physical Oceanography 38,
 1748–1763.
- Klein, P. and Lapeyre, G. (2009), The oceanic vertical pump induced by mesoscale and
 submesoscale turbulence, Annual Review of Marine Science, 1, 351–375.
- Landry, M.R. (2002), Integrating classical and microbial food web concepts: evolving views
 from the open-ocean tropical Pacific. Hydrobiologia, 480, 29-39.
- Landry, M.R., Ondrusek, M.E., Tanner, S.J., Brown, S.L., Constantinou, J., Bidigare, R.R.,
 Coale, K.H. and Fitzwater, S. (2000), Biological response to iron fertilization in the
 eastern equatorial Pacific (IronEx II). I. Microplankton community abundances and
 biomass. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 201, 27-42.
- Lapeyre, G., Klein, P., Hua, L., (2006a), Oceanic restratification by surface frontogenesis. J.
 Phys. Oceanogr., 36, 1577–1590.
- Lapeyre, G. and Klein, P. (2006b), Impact of the small scale elongated filaments on the
 oceanic vertical pump, Journal of Marine Research, 64, 835-851.
- Lapeyre, G. and Klein, P. (2009), The oceanic vertical pump induced by mesoscale
 eddies, Ann. Rev. Marine Science, 1, 351-375.
- Lathuilière, C., M. Lévy and V. Echevin (2011), Impact of eddy-driven vertical fluxes on
 phytoplankton abundance in the euphotic layer, J. Plankton Res. 33, 5, 827-831, doi:
 10.1093/plankt/fbq131.
- Lathuilière, C., V. Echevin, M. Lévy and G. Madec (2010) On the role of mesoscale
 circulation on an idealized coastal upwelling ecosystem. J. Geophys. Res., 115,
 C09018, doi:10.1029/2009JC005827.
- Ledwell, J. R., A. J. Watson, and C. S. Law (1998), Mixing of a tracer in the pycnocline, J.
 Geophys. Res., 103 (C10), pp. 21,499-21,529.

- Legall, C. P. Klein and A.-M. Tréguier (2007), Diagnosis of the Vertical Motions in a
 Mesoscale Stirring Region, J. Phys. Ocean., 37, 1413-1423.
- Lehahn, Y., F. d'Ovidio, M. Lévy and E. Heitzel (2007), Stirring of the Northeast Atlantic
 spring bloom: a lagrangian analysis based on multi-satellite data, J. Geophys. Res., 112,
 C08005, doi:10.1029/2006JC003927.
- Lehahn, Y., F. d'Ovidio, M. Lévy, Y. Amitai and E. Heifetz (2011), Long range transport of a
 quasi isolated chlorophyll patch by an Aghulas ring, Geophys. Res Let., 38, L16610,
 doi:10.1029/2011GL048588.
- Lévy, M., L. Mémery and G. Madec (1998), The onset of a bloom after deep winter
 convection in the North Western Mediterranean Sea: mesoscale process study with a
 primitive equation model, J. Mar. Syst., 16, 7-21.
- Lévy, M., M. Visbeck and N. Naik (1999), Sensitivity of primary production to different
 eddy parameterizations : a case study of the spring bloom development in the North
 Western Mediterranean Sea, J. Mar. Res., 57, 427-448.
- Lévy, M., L. Mémery, and G. Madec (2000), Combined effects of mesoscale processes and
 atmospheric high-frequency variability on the spring bloom in the MEDOC area, DeepSea Res, 47, 27-53, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00051-5.
- Lévy, M., P. Klein and A.-M. Tréguier (2001), Impact of sub-mesoscale physics on
 production and subduction of phytoplankton in an oligotrophic regime, Journal of
 Marine Research, 59, 535-565.
- Lévy, M. (2003), Mesoscale variability of phytoplankton and of new production: Impact of
 the large scale nutrient distribution, J. Geophys. Res., 108, C11, 3358
 10.1029/2002JC001577.
- Lévy, M., M. Gavart, L. Mémery, G. Caniaux, and A. Paci (2005), A 4D-mesoscale map of
 the spring bloom in the northeast Atlantic (POMME experiment): results of a
 prognostic model, J. Geophys. Res., 110, C7, C07S21, doi: 10.1029/2004JC002588.
- Lévy, M. P. Klein and M. Ben Jelloul (2009), New production stimulated by high-frequency
 winds in a turbulent mesoscale eddy field, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, L16603.
- Lévy, M. P. Klein, A.-M. Tréguier, D. Iovino, G. Madec, S. Masson and K. Takahashi (2010)
 Modifications of gyre circulation by sub-mesoscale physics. Ocean Modelling,
 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2010.04.001, 34, 1-15.
- Lévy, M., D. Iovino, L. Resplandy, P. Klein, A.-M. Tréguier, G. Madec, S. Masson and K.
 Takahashi (2012), Large-scale impacts of submesoscale dynamics on phytoplankton :
 local and remote effects, Ocean Modelling. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.12.003, 43-44,
 77-93.
- Lewis, M. R., et al. (1986), Vertical nitrate fluxes in the oligotrophic ocean, Science, 234,
 870-873.

- Li, W. K. W. (2002) Macroecological patterns of phytoplankton in the northwestern North
 Atlantic Ocean. Nature 419, 154–157.
- Mahadevan, A. and D. Archer (2000), Modelling the Impact of Fronts and Mesoscale
 Circulation on the Nutrient Supply and Biogeochemistry of the Upper Ocean, Journal
 of Geophysical Research, 105, 1209-1225.
- Mahadevan, A, Lévy, M. and L. Mémery (2004), Mesoscale variability of sea surface
 PCO2: What does it respond to? Glob. Biogeoch. Cyc., 18, 1, GB1017
 10.1029/2003GB002102.
- Mahadevan, A. and A. Tandon (2006), An analysis of mechanisms for submesoscale vertical
 motion at ocean fronts, Ocean Modelling, 14 (3-4), 241–256.
- Mahadevan, A., A. Tagliabue, L. Bopp, A. Lenton, L. Mémery and M. Lévy (2011), Impact
 of episodic vertical fluxes on sea surface pCO2, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A, 369, 20092025, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2010.0340.
- McGillicuddy, D. J., Robinson, A.R., Siegel, D.A., Jannasch, H.W., Johnson, R., Dickey,
 T.D., McNeil, J., Michaels, A.F., and A.H. Knap (1998), Influence of mesoscale eddies
 on new production in the Sargasso Sea, Nature, 394, 263-266.
- McGillicuddy, D. J., Anderson, L.A., Doney, S.C. and M.E. Maltrud (2003), Eddy-driven
 sources and sinks of nutrients in the upper ocean: results from a 0.1 degree resolution
 model of the North Atlantic, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 17, doi:
 10.1029/2002GB001987.
- McGillicuddy, D. J. et al. (2007), Eddy/Wind Interactions Stimulate Extraordinary Mid Ocean Plankton Blooms, Science, 316, 1021-1026.
- Martin, A.P. and Richards, K.J. (2001), Mechanisms for vertical nutrient transport within a
 North Atlantic mesoscale eddy, Deep-Sea Research II, 48, (4-5), 757-773,
 doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00096-5.
- Martin, A.P., Richards, K.J. and Fasham, M.J.R. (2001), Phytoplankton production and
 community structure in an unstable frontal region, Journal of Marine Systems, 28, (12), 65-89, doi: 10.1016/S0924-7963(00)00084-1.
- Martin, A.P., Richards, K.J., Bracco, A. and Provenzale, A. (2002), Patchy productivity in the
 open ocean, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16, 10251033,
 doi:10.1029/2001GB001449.
- Martin, A.P. and Pondaven, P. (2003), On estimates for the vertical nitrate flux due to eddy
 pumping, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, (C11), 03359,
 doi:10.1029/2003JC001841.
- Martin, A.P. (2003), Phytoplankton patchiness: the role of lateral stirring and mixing,
 Progress in Oceanography, 57, (2), 125-174, doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(03)00085-5.

- McWilliams, J.C. (2010), A perspective on submesoscale geophysical turbulence. In:
 IUTAM Symposium on Turbulence in the Atmosphere and Oceans, D. Dritschedl, ed.,
 Springer, 131-141.
- Molemaker, M.J. and J.C. McWilliams and X. Capet (2010), Balanced and Unbalanced
 Routes to Dissipation in an Equilibrated Eady Flow, J. Fluid Mech. 654, 35–63.
- Moloney, C. L. and Field, J. G. (1991), The size-based dynamics of plankton food webs. I. A
 simulation model of carbon and nitrogen flows. J. Plankton Res., 13, 1003–1038.
- Niewiadomska, K. Claustre, H., Prieur, L. and D'Ortenzio, F. (2008), Submesoscale
 physical-biogeochemical coupling across the Ligurian Current (northwestern
 Mediterranean) using a bio-optical glider, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 2210-2225.
 doi:10.1029/2000JC000275.
- Nagai, T., A. Tandon, N. Gruber and J. McWilliams (2008), Biological and physical impacts
 of ageostrophic frontal circulations driven by confluent flow and vertical mixing.
 Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans 45, 229–251.
- 845 Oschlies, A. (2002), Can eddies make ocean deserts bloom? Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
 846 16, 1106, doi: 10.1029/2001GB001830.
- Oschlies, A. and V. Garcon (1998), Eddy-induced enhancement of primary production in a
 model of the North Atlantic Ocean, Nature, 394, 266-269.
- d'Ovidio, F., C. López, E. Hernández-García, V. Fernández (2004), Mixing structures in the
 Mediterranean sea from Finite-Size Lyapunov Exponents, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31,
 L17203.
- d'Ovidio, F., J. Isern-Fontanet, C. Lopez, E. Hernandez-García and E. Garcia-Ladona (2009),
 Comparison between eulerian diagnostics and finite-size lyapunov exponents computed
 from altimetry in the Algerian basin, Deep Sea Research Part I, 56, 15–31.
- d'Ovidio, F., S. De Monte, S. Alvain, Y. Dandonneau and M. Lévy (2010), Fluid dynamical
 niches of phytoplankton types, PNAS, doi/10.1073/pnas.1004620107
- Pasquero, C., A. Bracco and A. Provenzale (2005), Impact of the spatio-temporal variability
 of the nutrient flux on primary productivity in the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 110,
 C07005, doi:10.129/2004JC002738.
- Perruche, C., P. Rivière, P. Pondaven and X. Carton (2010), Phytoplankton competition and
 coexistence: intrinsic ecosystem dynamics and impact of vertical mixing, J. Mar. Syst.,
 862 81, 99–111.
- Perruche C., P.Rivière, G. Lapeyre, X. Carton and P. Pondaven (2011). Effects of SQG
 turbulence on Phytoplankton competition and coexistence. Journal of Marine Research,
 69, 105-135
- Pidcock, R., Srokosz, M., Allen, J., Hartman, M., Painter, S., Mowlem, M., Hydes, D. and A.
 Martin, (2010), A Novel Integration of an Ultraviolet Nitrate Sensor On Board a Towed

- Vehicle for Mapping Open-Ocean Submesoscale Nitrate Variability, Journal of
 Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 27, (8), 1410-1416,
 doi:10.1175/2010JTECHO780.1.
- Pidcock, R. (2011) Thesis (Ph.D.) University of Southampton, School of Ocean and Earth
 Science, pp242.
- Pinkel, R., Goldin, M. A., Smith, J. A., Sun, O. M., Aja, A. A., Bui, M. N. and Hughen, T.
 (2011), The Wirewalker: A Vertically Profiling Instrument Carrier Powered by Ocean
 Waves. J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 28, 426–435, doi:10.1175/2010JTECHO805.1
- Poulin, F.J. and Franks, P.J.S. (2010), Size-structured planktonic ecosystems: constraints,
 controls and assembly instructions. J. Plankton Res., 32, 1121-1130.
- Rainville, L., and Pinkel, R. (2001), Wirewalker: An autonomous wave-powered vertical
 profiler. Jour. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 18, 1048–1051.
- Rassoulzadegan, F. and Sheldon, R.W. (1986), Predator-prey interactions of
 nanozooplankton and bacteria in an oligotrophic marine environment. Limnol.
 Oceanogr., 31, 1010-1021.
- Resplandy, L., M. Lévy, F. d'Ovidio and L. Merlivat (2009), Impact of submesoscale
 variability in estimating the air-sea CO2 exchange: Results from a model study of the
 POMME experiment, Glob. Biogeo. Cyc., 23, GB1017, doi:10.1029/2008GB003239.
- Rossi V., C. Lopez, J. Sudre, E. Hernandez-Garcia and V. Garçon (2008). Comparative study
 of mixing and biological activity of the Benguela and Canary upwelling systems.
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 3, L11602.
- Ruiz, J., D. Macias, et al. (2004). Turbulence increases the average settling velocity of
 phytoplankton cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
 States of America, 101, 17720-17724.
- Santoleri, R., V. Banzon, S. Marullo, E. Napolitano, F. D'Ortenzio and R. Evans (2003).
 Year-to-year variability of the phytoplankton bloom in southern Adriatic Sea (1998-2000): SeaWiFS observations and modelling study. J. Geophys. Res., 108, C9, 8122, DOI:10.1029/2002JC001636.
- Spall, M.A. (1995), Frontogenesis, subduction, and cross-front exchange at upper ocean
 fronts. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 2543–2557.
- Taylor, J., and R. Ferrari (2010), Buoyancy and wind-driven convection at a mixed-layer
 density fronts, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 1222-1242.
- Taylor, J., and R. Ferrari (2011a), The role of density fronts in the onset of phytoplankton
 blooms, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L23601, doi:10.1029/2011GL049312.
- Taylor, J., and R. Ferrari (2011b), A shutdown of turbulent convection can trigger the spring
 phytoplankton bloom, L & O, 56, 2293-2307.

- Tew Kai, E., Rossi, V., Sudre, J., Weimerskirch, H., Lopez, C., Hernandez-Garcia, E.,
 Marsac, F. and Garçon, V. (2009), Top marine predators track Lagrangian coherent
 structures PNAS, doi:10.1073/pnas.0811034106.
- 907 Thomas (2005), Destruction of potential vorticity by winds, J. Phys. Ocean., 35, 2457-2466.
- Thomas, L. and Ferrari, R. (2008), Friction, frontogenesis, and the stratification of the surface
 mixed layer, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 38, 2501–2518.
- Thomas L. N. and T.M. Joyce (2010), Subduction on the Northern and Southern Flanks of the
 Gulf Stream, J. Phys. Oceanogr, 40, 429-438, doi:10.1175/2009JPO4187.1.
- Townsend, D. W., L. M. Cammen, P. M. Holligan, D. E. Campbell and N. R. Pettigrew
 (1994), Causes and consequences of variability in the timing of spring phytoplankton
 blooms, Deep Sea Res. Part I, 41, 747.
- Tsaloglou, M.-N., M. M. Bahi, E. M. Waugh, H. Morgan and M. Mowlem (2011), On-chip
 real-time nucleic acid sequence-based amplification for RNA detection and
 amplification. Analytical Methods, 3, 2127-2133, doi:10.1039/C1AY05164D.
- Uitz J., H. Claustre, B. Gentili, D. Stramski (2010), Phytoplankton class-specific primary
 production in the world's oceans: Seasonal and interannual variability from satellite
 observations, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 24, GB3016, 1-19,
 doi:10.1029/2009GB003680.
- Uz, B. et al. (2001), Pumping of nutrients to ocean surface waters by the action of
 propagating planetary waves, Nature, 409, 597–600, doi:10.1038/35054527.
- Williams, R.G. and M.J. Follows (2003), Physical transport of nutrients and the maintenance
 of biological production, In 'Ocean Biogeochemistry: The role of the ocean carbon
 cycle in global change', Edited by M. Fasham, Springer, ISBN: 3-540-42398-2, pp 1951.
- Yentsch, C. S. and Phinney, D. A. (1989) A bridge between ocean optics and microbial
 ecology. Limnol. Oceanogr. 34, 1694–1705.



Figure 1. Three-dimensional views looking southeastward from Point Conception (California, USA) showing MODIS satellite remote sensing data combined with *in situ* glider data (www.sccoos.org/data/spray/). Top: temperature. Bottom: chlorophyll *a*. Ocean temperature is a good proxy for density in this part of the ocean. The surface mesoscale patterns seen in the temperature and chlorophyll *a* can also be seen as subsurface fluctuations in the isopycnal surfaces. The strong fronts and eddies are sites of strong submesoscale dynamics which can drive local responses of the phytoplankton.



939

Figure 2. Schematic representation of how submesoscale advection and diffusion impacts 940 biogeochemestry. a) Advection: the upwelling branch of the ageostrophic circulation at a 941 submesoscale front provides nutrient to the euphotic layer while the downwelling branch 942 943 exports excess nutrient and organic material below the euphotic layer, along isopycnals. These processes prevail in situations where primary production is controlled by the 944 availability of nutrients; in such cases the mixed-layer is shallower than the euphotic depth. 945 b) Vertical mixing: the reduction of vertical diffusivity at a mesoscale front is illustrated here 946 as a reduction in the the mixed-layer depth and in vertical mixing coefficient (K > ks); this 947 948 process prevails when primary production is inhibited by strong vertical diffusivity, which causes phytoplankton to be mixed in and out of the euphotic layer; at the front, this mixing is 949 reduced and phytoplankters remain in the well lit euphotic zone, which favors their growth 950 951 with respect to out of front areas.





Figure 3. Snapshots of a) modulus of horizontal velocity (U), b) vertical velocity (W)
and c) Nitrate concentration (NO₃, in log scale) from an idealized submesoscaleresolving model simulation representative of the Northwest Atlantic or Northwest
Pacific subtropical to subpolar regions. Model fields are shown at 50 m depth, in winter
(Dec 1st). d) Co-spectra of U * NO₃ and W* NO₃, plotted in log-log scale.





Figure 4. Contribution of all terms in the nitrate ($N=NO_3$) equation (Eq. 1) from an 959 idealized model simulation representative of the Northwest Atlantic or Northwest Pacific 960 subtropical to subpolar regions. The terms are averaged over the year and over the euphotic 961 depth, as in Eq. 2 The model is at equilibrium, hence the biological term 962 $\int_{1year} \int_{z=0}^{Zeupho} \overline{B(N)} dz dt$ is exactly balanced by the sum of the four physical terms 963 $\int_{1_{vear}} \int_{z=0}^{Zeupho} \left[-\overline{u} \cdot \overline{NN} - \nabla_{H} \cdot \overline{u'N'} - \partial_{z} \overline{w'N'} + \partial_{z} \left(\overline{k_{z}} \partial_{z} \overline{N} \right) \right] dz dt.$ The black contour shows the annual 964 mean location of the model's idealized Gulf Stream or Kuroshio current. The mean of the 965 fields, denoted with an overbar, is defined in this computation as a coarse-grained running 966 average of 2° width. 967







980

Figure 6. Upper panels: spatial distribution snapshot of two phytoplankton size classes 981 (a: P_1 and b: P_2) in a submesoscale-resolving model (colour bar in mmol N m⁻³). Panel c: 982 equilibrium community structure under different total nitrogen (C_0) and irradiance (I) 983 conditions (note that irradiance axis is logarithmic). White letters indicate the state variables 984 that exist at equilibrium for the given irradiance and nutrient concentration. Note that P₁ and 985 P₂ do not coexist at equilibrium. Time scale to reach equilibrium (in days) is indicated in 986 colours (white area corresponds to limit cycles at equilibrium). Dashed line separates the two 987 regions in which either P₁ or P₂ exists at equilibrium. Red line indicates the position in the 988 parameter space corresponding to the SQG simulation with a fixed irradiance level of 10 989 Wm⁻² (adapted from Perruche et al., 2010, 2011). The coexistence of P1 and P2 in the SQG 990 991 model is due to the perturbations of the ecosystem by mesoscale and submesoscale motions, 992 maintaining the ecosystem out of equilibrium.