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Abstract

The group of polycyclic polyether natural products is of special interest due to the fascinating structure and biological effects displayed by its members. The latter includes potentially therapeutic antibiotic, antifungal, and anticancer properties, as well as extreme lethality. The polycyclic structural features of this family can, in some cases, be traced to their biosynthetic origin, but in others that are less well understood, only to proposed biosynthetic pathways that feature dramatic, yet speculative, epoxide–opening cascades. In this review we summarize how such epoxide–opening cascade reactions have been used in the synthesis of polycyclic polyethers and related natural products.
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1. Introduction

Almost all families of oxygen–containing natural products, found in all kingdoms of life, have members that feature ether functionality in their structure. A subgroup of natural products...
characterized by the regular occurrence of multiple C–O–C motifs is designated the polyether family, and can be broadly divided into linear and polycyclic polyethers. The latter group is of special interest due to its fascinating structural diversity and the interesting biological activity of its members, which ranges from antibiotic, antifungal and anticancer properties to extreme toxicity. The structural features and biosynthetic origin of these molecules can be used as a foundation for their classification. The majority of polycyclic polyethers are of polyketide or terpene origin. Depending on their structural features that can generally be traced back to the biosynthetic pathways for production of these molecules, polycyclic polyethers can be divided into two groups. The first group includes molecules with multiple fused cyclic ethers that are postulated to be formed in nature via an all–endo cascade of epoxide openings. The other group consists of molecules that are produced via an all–exo biosynthetic cascade of epoxide–opening reactions and normally feature multiple rings that are interconnected by a carbon–carbon bond.

For purposes of this review, polycyclic polyethers will be classified in three major groups: polyether ionophores,[1] squalene derived polyethers,[2] and the ladder polyethers.[3] Each of these families of natural products will be discussed in the context of epoxide–opening cascade reactions. Such reactions are postulated to be involved in the biosynthesis of these natural products and could ultimately be utilized as a method to rapidly construct polyether frameworks in the synthesis of the members of these families.[4] Other related groups of naturally occurring substances such as annonaceous acetogenins from plants and diverse marine polycyclic polyethers are also discussed in context of their similarities to polyether ionophores and ladder polyethers.

2. Synthetic Considerations – Baldwin’s Rules

Baldwin’s rules are a three-criteria classification of ring-closing reactions: the size of the formed ring, the position of the bond that is broken relative to the smallest formed ring, and the geometry of the electrophile.[5] If the position of the bond broken during the ring closing reaction is exocyclic, outside of the formed ring, then the reaction is classified as exo. If the broken bond is within the smallest formed ring, the reaction is classified as endo (Figure 1).

In Baldwin’s classification, reactions involving sp<sup>3</sup> hybridized electrophiles are described as tet due to the tetragonal geometry of the electrophile, sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized electrophiles are trig, and sp electrophiles are digonal or dig. With such classification in mind, Baldwin formulated a simple set of guidelines to predict the relative feasibility of different ring closing reactions. [5] Although empirical, Baldwin’s rules also followed a number of studies based on stereoelectronic considerations (for example, the Bürgi–Dunitz trajectory).[5–7] Hence, the favored ring–closing reactions are those in which the length and nature of the linking chain enable the terminal atoms to achieve the proper geometries for the reaction. The disfavored ring closings, on the other hand, would require severe distortions of bond angles and bond distances. For instance, 5–endo–trig ring closing reactions are predicted to be disfavored over 4–exo–trig reactions (Figure 1).

With few exceptions, intramolecular epoxide–opening reactions favor the smaller heterocycle (e.g., tetrahydrofuran 2, likely arising from a spiro transition state, Figure 1), not the larger one (tetrahydropyran 3, from fused transition state, Figure 1).[8] Baldwin’s rules would classify the fused and spiro transition states as endo and exo, respectively. However, because the epoxide C–O bond that breaks is outside the newly formed ring in both cases, each may also be considered to be an exo process under the same construct (Figure 1). Thus, to avoid potential confusion, we prefer the distinct terms “fused” and “spiro”. [9] While Baldwin’s rules were not specifically formulated for epoxide–opening reactions, intramolecular epoxide openings tend to follow the rules that lie between those for tetrahedral and trigonal systems, generally favoring the exo processes which proceed via spiro transition state.[5]
2.1. Methods That Overcome Inherent Selectivity in Intramolecular Epoxide Opening

The development of efficient methods for enantioselective epoxidation such as the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of allylic and homoallylic alcohols,[10–12] Jacobsen epoxidation [13–16] and the Shi epoxidation of unactivated alkenes[17–20] made epoxides attractive intermediates in asymmetric synthesis.[21] These methods enabled efficient syntheses of many of the polyepoxides that will be discussed herein, thus catalyzing explorations in the field of epoxide–opening cascades.

To fully utilize epoxides as versatile intermediates in synthesis, effective ways to control the regioselectivity in epoxide–opening reactions are necessary. As noted by Baldwin,[5] the exo mode of cyclization is typically preferred, and therefore, methods to facilitate endo cyclization have constituted a particularly active area of research.

Most of the approaches to promote the desired outcome of intramolecular epoxide openings use directing groups covalently attached to the epoxides. These directing groups either stabilize (relative to an H atom) the desired transition states, enabling regioselective nucleophilic attack or, alternatively, make the undesired cyclization route less energetically favorable by changing the electronic properties of the epoxide. Currently available methods for endo–cyclization of epoxides rely on effects of alkenyl,[22–26] alkynyl,[27–30] alkyl,[31–33] and silyl[34–36] substituents that stabilize partial positive charge within the desired, fused transition state in the Lewis or Brønsted acid–catalyzed reactions (Scheme 1). The directing groups that promote endo cyclization via destabilization of the undesired spiro transition state, include sulfones [37–39] and methoxymethyl substituents in combination with a lanthanide Lewis acid.[40–42] (Scheme 2).

Catalytic antibodies[43–46] and transition–metal complexes[47,48] can also be particularly effective in promoting endo cyclization by lowering the energies of fused transition states in certain cases.

3. Polyether Ionophores

The polyether ionophores are lipophilic carboxylic acids that contain multiple five and six–membered cyclic ethers organized either as spiroketal or as linked bicyclic ethers (Figure 2). The first members of this family, X–206, nigericin and lasalocid (18, Figure 2), were isolated in 1951, but due to their toxicity did not initially draw much attention.[49,50] It was not until 1967, when an X–ray structure of monensin A (16, Figure 2) was disclosed,[51] and the cation binding abilities of these molecules were first examined,[52] that this family of natural products was thrust back into spotlight. Subsequent discoveries of their ability to control coccidiosis, [53] a devastating poultry disease, and their action as growth promoters in ruminant animals [54] (both of which capitalize upon the antibiotic activity of these structures) inspired a number of research groups to pursue the isolation of novel members of this family, study their biosynthesis, and put effort into their total synthesis. The biological function of polyether ionophores is directly related to their ability to selectively bind metal cations via coordination with multiple oxygen atoms and, due to their lipophilic nature, transport them through biological membranes.[52] By doing so, polyether ionophores disturb the delicate dynamic equilibria of cations across the cell membrane and thus disrupt regular cell function,[55] resulting in diverse effects, including antibiotic, antimalarial, anti–obesity, and insecticide activity among many.

Since the isolation of the first polyether ionophores in early 1950s, well over a hundred members of this family have been isolated and characterized.[1,56–59] Although most members of this family are produced by the Streptomyces genus, polyether ionophores have also been isolated from other actinomycetes.[4] A surge of interest in polyether ionophores...
generated a large body of data on their biosynthesis during the 1970s.[60–69] Feeding studies on multiple organisms that produce polyether ionophores revealed their polyketide origin. Studies on the origin of oxygen atoms in this class of natural products established that not all of them are derived from the corresponding carboxylates in acetate, propionate, and butyrate building units, but rather also from molecular oxygen from the growth medium.[65,68] This observation implied the involvement of mono–oxygenase enzymes in the biosynthetic routes to these natural products. Accumulated experimental data and earlier speculation by Westley [62] led the groups of Cane, Celmer and Westley to propose a unified stereochemical model of polyether antibiotic structure and biogenesis in 1983.[70]

According to the Cane–Celmer–Westley hypothesis, in the biosynthesis of monensin A an all–E polyene precursor (26, Figure 3), produced in a classic type I polyketide fashion from five acetates, seven propionates, and one butyrate unit, is oxidized to the corresponding polyepoxide (27, Figure 3). Nucleophilic addition of the C5 hydroxyl in 27 to the C9 ketone forms a hemiketal that triggers a cascade of all–exo epoxide–opening events leading to the formation of monensin A. Cane, Celmer and Westley further extended this proposal to the biosynthesis of all polyether ionophores known at the time and described the requisite polyene precursors and pathways to each of these.

The work on the biosynthesis of multiple polyether molecules that followed the original Cane–Celmer–Westley proposal has largely supported this hypothesis.[71–83] However, the failure of the producing organism to incorporate synthetic all–E premonensin triene 26 into the biosynthetic pathway and convert it to monensin A encouraged Townsend and Basak to propose an alternate biosynthetic route.[84,85] According to this hypothesis, monensin A may be produced from an all–Z triene precursor (an all–Z isomer of 26) through a series of oxidative cyclizations proceeding via a [2+2] mechanism that involves the action of a Fe–containing mono–oxygenase (Figure 4). Synthetic studies by Townsend himself,[84] and work on model systems closely related to the proposed all–Z premonensin triene by McDonald,[86,87] provided further support for this hypothesis. The issue of stereochemistry of the alkenes in the monensin A precursor was also raised by Leadlay. Speculating that the cascade of epoxide opening may be initiated by activation of the methyl ketone electrophile, Leadlay proposed that a Z,Z,E–alkene would be required en route to monensin A.[88]

In 2001 Leadlay, et al., described their efforts in sequencing the monensin biosynthetic gene cluster.[88] Their success ultimately led to significant advancement in understanding the polyether ionophores biosynthetic pathways. In their analysis of the polyketide gene cluster containing twelve modules responsible for the incorporation of the twelve acyl units of monensin A, Leadlay and coworkers identified genes monBI, monBII and monCI atypical for polyketide synthase gene clusters.[89] Although it was speculated that products of monBI and monBII may both act as isomerase enzymes, thus supporting Leadlay’s modification of the Cane–Celmer–Westley hypothesis involving a Z,Z,E–triene, studies on ΔmonCI mutant suggested otherwise. Deletion of monCI from the producing organism led to accumulation of all–E premonensin triene (26, Figure 3) suggesting that a single oxidase enzyme, the product of monCI, is involved in the production of triepoxide 27.[90] Disruption of monBI and monBII genes led to the production of partially cyclized intermediates that all proved to be chemically competent, leading to monensin A upon treatment with an acid.[91] Studies on the biosynthesis of nanchangmycin,[92–95] salinomycin,[96] nigericin[97] and tetronomycin[98,99] shortly followed pioneering studies on monensin A and provided strong support for the original proposal by Cane, Celmer and Westley.

A recent report by Oikawa, et al., provided the final, direct evidence for the involvement of an enzyme–catalyzed cascade of epoxide–opening reactions in the biosynthesis of lasalocid (18, Figure 2).[100] Analysis of lasalocid biosynthetic genes revealed significant homology of
lsd19 to the putative epoxide hydrolase genes monBI and monBII. The lsd19 gene was successfully cloned and expressed in *Escherichia coli* to afford Lsd19 in nearly pure form. This enzyme was then utilized in the efficient transformation of synthetic prelasalocid diepoxide 35 to lasalocid in vitro (Figure 5).[101] Further in vivo studies by Leadlay and colleagues focused on the production of lasalocid and isolasalocid in the producing organism and a mutant lacking lsd19.[102] These studies clearly demonstrated that the presence of Lsd19 changes the stereochemical course of polyether ring formation, channeling the polyepoxide intermediate to lasalocid as the major product. When Lsd19 is not present, the formation of the second ring proceeds exclusively by the kinetically favored pathway to form isolasalocid, thus demonstrating that Lsd19 is responsible for the final stage of the biosynthesis of lasalocid.

3.1. Polyethers Derived From Squalene

In recent years, a number of polycyclic polyethers derived from squalene with structures reminiscent of members of polyether ionophores have been isolated from diverse sources including marine sponges, red algae and tropical plants.[2] Discoveries in the biosynthesis of steroids from squalene oxide[103] found exciting continuation in proposed biosynthetic pathways to polycyclic polyether triterpenes such as teurilene and glabrescol (36 and 37, Figure 6). That these oxasqualenoids could be efficiently derived from squalene polypeoxide precursors was recognized soon after similar proposals were put forward for polyether ionophores and ladder polyethers.[2,104,105] Over the years a number of marine natural product structures consistent with this proposal have been isolated and contributed to the credibility of the hypothesis (Figure 6).

4. Ladder Polyethers

The group of ladder polyether natural products consists of molecules featuring anywhere from 4 to 32 five– to nine–membered cyclic ethers, fused to each other in a trans–syn–trans arrangement. This creates a repeating C–C–O sequence that stretches throughout the polycyclic core of these molecules (Figure 7). The first member of this family to be isolated, brevetoxin B (45), was reported by Nakanishi and Clardy in 1981[106] and was followed by numerous others, including maitotoxin (55),[107–111] the largest nonpolymeric molecule isolated from natural sources to date. The minimal availability combined with the unprecedented size of ladder polyethers have inspired heroic endeavors in the isolation and structural characterization of these molecules and have pushed the limits of the analytical methods used in these pursuits including chromatography, mass spectrometry, NMR and X–ray spectroscopy. The structural challenges associated with synthesizing these molecules have stimulated development of many novel synthetic methodologies.[112–115]

Ladder polyethers are notorious for their association with harmful algal blooms commonly referred to as red tides.[116] A rapid increase in concentration of dinoflagellate algae, e.g., the brevetoxin–producing *Karenia brevis*, leads to the increased production of red tide toxins, some of which are members of ladder polyether family. The effects of red tide are devastating killings of fish and marine mammals. However, some marine species not affected by red tide accumulate and, occasionally, further elaborate the toxins,[117] thus transferring them up the food chain, ultimately resulting in human poisoning by ingestion of shellfish exposed to a red tide.[118] Although several proposals are under investigation, the definitive ecological causes of red tides are still unknown.[119–122]

Despite their uniform structure, ladder polyethers exhibit various biological activities ranging from extreme toxicity[123–127] to beneficial anti–cancer[128–130] and antifungal[131] properties. Recently, a member of this family, brevenal (47, Figure 7), has been shown to protect the fish from neurotoxic effects of brevetoxins[132,133] and has been identified as a potential therapeutic for cystic fibrosis.[134] While their mode of action is not well understood on the
molecular level, it is known that brevetoxins and ciguatoxins bind and disrupt voltage-sensitive sodium channels.[135–140] Glycophorin A has been identified as the molecular target of yessotoxins. Binding of yessotoxin (Figure 7) to the transmembrane domain of glycophorin A causes the dissociation of oligomeric protein.[141] Although the target of maitotoxin has not yet been identified, it is known that it causes an influx of calcium ions into cells that in turn causes uncontrolled secretion of neurotransmitters and other messenger molecules, ultimately causing severe muscle contractions.[123,142–150]

Soon after structure of brevetoxin B was reported, Nakanishi[151] and Shimizu[152] hypothesized that the structural and stereochemical similarities among ladder polyethers are a direct consequence of their biosynthetic origin. Such similarity was proposed to arise through the transformation of a polyepoxide into a ladder polyether via a series or cascade of epoxide-opening events (Figure 8). The oxygen and two carbon atoms of each epoxide constitute the C–C–O backbone, and, with the proviso that all of the ring openings proceed with inversion of configuration at each epoxide derived from an \( \text{E} \) alkene, the \( \text{trans} \– \text{syn} \) topography is explained by this mechanism. Noteworthy is the fact that all alkenes in a hypothetical polyene precursor would require identical stereoselectivity of epoxidation to produce either an all–\((S,S)\) or all–\((R,R)\) polyepoxide, suggesting that a single promiscuous oxidase could be sufficient.[153] Despite its intellectual appeal the hypothesis relies upon a ring-opening process generally regarded to be disfavored. As discussed earlier, according to the Baldwin’s rules,[5] epoxide–opening reactions of this type typically favor the smaller heterocycle, e.g., THF over THP, which in the case of the proposed precursor to brevetoxin B, requires that cascade overcome ten separate disfavored epoxide openings.

In an effort to shed some light on the validity of Nakanishi’s hypothesis, labeling studies have been reported for brevetoxins A and B.[152,154,155] However, as these only provided insight into their polyketide origin, also supported by genetic studies,[156–159] and did not illuminate any subsequent epoxidation or cyclization steps, the proposal remains speculative. Some remote evidence in support of this hypothesis can be taken from biosynthetic studies on a related natural product, okadaic acid.[160,161] Labeling studies with \( ^{18}\text{O}_2 \) revealed that the oxygen atom incorporated at the fused THP diad of okadaic acid is derived from molecular oxygen, suggesting the involvement of an epoxide intermediate in the formation of this ladder polyether–like motif.

Regardless of the lack of strong experimental support in its favor, Nakanishi’s hypothesis is nonetheless in the forefront of the collective mind of the scientific community. It is interesting to note that the stereochemical uniformity inferred from the polyene to polyepoxide to ladder polyether pathway has served as the basis for speculative structural reassignment of two members of the ladder polyethers: the relatively small brevenal and the largest known natural product, maitotoxin. Total synthesis of the proposed structure of brevenal by Sasaki revealed that the originally proposed configuration required revision.[162] Upon closer inspection of spectral data and analysis of the proposed biosynthetic precursor, the irregularity in stereochemistry of one epoxide in the prebrevenal polyepoxide led to the reassignment of stereochemistry in the ring derived from the hypothesized precursor so as to bring that structure into the full agreement with Nakanishi’s proposal.[163] This structural reassignment of brevenal was confirmed by total synthesis of both the original and revised structure.[162,163] As for maitotoxin, a single exception to the rule of stereochemical uniformity in linear polyepoxide precursor placed the original structure under scrutiny. It was proposed that the JK ring junction of maitotoxin should be revised so that it adheres to the rule of a single epoxide configuration throughout the entire polyepoxide precursor to natural product.[153] Synthetic work by Nicolaou, however, provided support for the originally proposed structure, against the revision.[164,165]
In addition to Nakanishi’s proposal, Giner has suggested that ladder polyethers may be derived from an all–Z polyene precursor. Giner hypothesized that an epoxy ester intermediate may undergo cyclization with the carbonyl group of the ester as nucleophile, leading to the formation of an ortho–ester intermediate (Figure 9). Upon collapse of the ortho–ester, attack of the alcohol nucleophile on what used to be the second electrophilic site of the starting cis epoxy then produces the ring of a ladder polyether and regenerates an ester for the next ring–closing reaction. As yet, this hypothesis has not been tested experimentally. The Townsend–McDonald hypothesis for polyether ionophores can be extended to incorporate a similar all-Z polyene precursor to ladder polyethers.

5. Epoxide–Opening Cascades in the Synthesis of Topologically Interesting Molecules

The first epoxide–opening cascades were disclosed in the early 1950s. These early reports typically involved the rearrangement of 1,5–diepoxides that, under appropriate conditions, react with an external nucleophile to undergo a cascade of epoxide openings. Depending on the reaction conditions, these cascades may involve direct epoxide opening or formation of epoxonium ion intermediates, in either case producing tetrahydrofuran products in agreement with Baldwin’s rules. This strategy was later extended into a general method for the synthesis of substituted tetrahydrofuran rings and is often used in preparation of biologically relevant molecules such as nucleosides, and natural and unnatural monosaccharides.

Other early reports on epoxide–opening cascades were focused on rearrangements of topologically interesting molecules. In their pursuit of a postulated [2π+2π+2π] sigmatropic rearrangement, the groups of Simmons and Paquette independently reported transformation of triepoxide to hexaquinane (Scheme 3). Studies on the two diastereomeric triepoxides and labeling studies demonstrated that this transformation proceeds via a cascade of epoxide–opening reactions to form the first topologically non–planar molecule. The desired sigmatropic rearrangement was not observed. The proposed mechanism involves breaking a carbon–oxygen bond in Lewis acid–activated epoxide to form a tertiary carbocation, which is then trapped by the oxygen nucleophile from the neighboring epoxide.

In studies similar to those by Simmons and Paquette, de Meijere, et al., reported the synthesis of achiral triepoxide derived from barrelene and the cascade cyclization of this molecule to the corresponding, chiral D$_3$–trioxatrichomocubane (Scheme 4). In 2005 the de Meijere, Howard, Okamoto and Schreiner groups revisited studies on barrelene triepoxide and extended their studies to analogs derived from bullvalene. Bullvalene triepoxide is itself chiral, and can rearrange stereoselectively to the C$_3$–symmetrical oligocycle with propeller chirality (Scheme 4). Opening of the three C–O bonds in the epoxide moieties adjacent to the skeletal cyclopropane ring in (+)–68 would afford (–)–69. In contrast, opening at the C–O bond in the β–position would give the enantiomer, (+)–69. Theoretical considerations, computational, and experimental studies enabled de Meijere and coworkers to determine that this cascade indeed proceeds in the former fashion, forming exclusively (–)–69 starting from (+)–68. This can only occur via ring opening of all three C–O bonds of the starting epoxides at the α–position, which is in turn in agreement with the well known stabilizing effect of cyclopropyl substituents on adjacent positive charge.

Transannular epoxide–opening cascades on conformationally flexible substrates have also been studied. During their exploration of functionalized tris–(σπ)–homobenzenes, Prinzbach, et al., discovered reactions of triepoxides derived from (Z,Z,Z)–1,4,7–cyclononatriene and their analogs of type (Figure 10), including transannular epoxide–opening reactions.
Similarly, synthesis and reactions of tetraepoxides $72$ derived from $(Z,Z,Z,Z)$–1,4,7,10–cyclododecatetraene have been reported by Parrain, et al.[186] Also worth noting are the transannular epoxide–opening reactions of diepoxides derived from nine– and ten–membered cyclic dienes that have been studied by Martin, et al., and utilized in the synthesis of ladder polyether fragments (Figure 10b). [187, 188]

6. Epoxide–Opening Cascades in the Synthesis of Polyether Ionophores

The Cane–Celmer–Westley proposal[70] for the biosynthesis of polyether ionophores via a sequential epoxide–opening cascade quickly sparked great interest in the synthetic community, as the emulation of such biosynthetic pathway could provide a rapid, straightforward approach to a number of natural products in this family. Especially encouraging was the agreement of the proposed cascade reactions with empirical guidelines[5] for regioselectivity in epoxide–opening reactions.

Dolle and Nicolaou successfully induced an epoxide–opening cascade on diepoxide $76$ to construct the THF–containing central backbone of aurodox (Scheme 5),[189] a strategy reminiscent of the previously described methods for the construction of substituted THF motifs. Seeing the opportunity to extend this methodology to the synthesis of polyether antibiotics, these authors also examined a similar cascade on triepoxide substrate $78$ and reported their initial results in a side note. Although, more extensive reports on these studies are not available, this represents the first epoxide–opening cascade that affords the 2,5–linked bistetrahydrofuran motif present in a large number of polyether ionophores.

Further work was done by the Hoye group in efforts towards the total synthesis of uvaricin, where they reported studies on triepoxides $80$, $81$ and $82$. [190,191] Their strategy involved freeing an alcohol nucleophile via ester hydrolysis followed by a base–promoted Payne rearrangement. This unveiled a new secondary alcohol nucleophile that triggered a cascade of two consecutive epoxide–opening reactions to afford 2,5–linked bistetrahydrofuran products ($83$, $84$ and $85$, Scheme 6). To distinguish between the pathway which operates via Payne rearrangement and other possible pathways involving epoxide opening by water, Hoye and Jenkins conducted experiments on a diastereomeric mixture of diepoxides $86$ and $87$ in $^{18}$O labeled water (Scheme 7).[192] In these experiments $^{18}$O was incorporated only in the primary alcohols of $88$ and $89$ which is consistent with the proposed Payne rearrangement pathway. Although successful in forming the desired bistetrahydrofurans via what appears to be stereospecific epoxide opening, these cascades were burdened by racemization (enantioenriched starting material led to racemic product) due to initiation at both ends of the symmetrical triepoxide substrates. To address this problem, the Hoye lab developed a protecting group strategy for the desymmetrization of related diepoxides that takes advantage of the different rates of hydrolysis of different ester protecting groups compared to the rate of epoxide–opening cascade; certain esters were found to hydrolyze at a rate slower than epoxide opening, which allowed for cleanly unidirectional cascades.[193]

The first specific emulations of the alkene epoxidation/epoxide–opening cascade sequence from the proposed biosynthetic pathway to polyether ionophores came from the laboratories of Still[194] and Schreiber.[195] Taking advantage of the powerful stereocontrol effects of allylic chiral centers on epoxidations of macrocyclic alkenes by peroxyacids described by Vedejs, et al.,[196] Schreiber synthesized two diastereomers of diepoxides derived from a cyclic diene $90$ (Scheme 8). Diepoxides $91$ and $93$ formed in this process were then studied as substrates for a one–pot sequence of ester hydrolysis followed by an epoxide–opening cascade leading to 2,5–linked bistetrahydrofurans. Both bistetrahydrofuran product $92$, corresponding to C9–18 fragment of monensin B, and diastereomeric $94$ were isolated after acetonide
formation in remarkable yields irrespective of the stereochemistry of the C4–C5 epoxide in
the starting diepoxides.[195]

In a parallel report, Still and Romero have described the preparation of a tricycle closely related
to the C9–C23 fragment of monensin B.[194] Still used the resident chirality at C18 and C22
of 95 (carbon numbering as in premonensin B) to effectively direct stereocontrol in the
epoxidation reaction (Scheme 9). Triepoxide 96, isolated in 59% yield (74% corrected for
purity of 95), was then taken through a one pot ester hydrolysis and acid–catalyzed epoxide–
opening cascade to afford 97, a diastereomer of the C9–C23 fragment of monensin B.
Emulation of the proposed biosynthetic pathway leading from polyene to polyether via
polyepoxide afforded 97 containing three 2,5–linked tetrahydrofurans in a highly efficient
manner. More significantly, it provided solid support for feasibility of such proposal.

Shortly after the pioneering work by Still and Schreiber, Paterson and coworkers reported their
initial studies on epoxide–opening cascades that afford fragments of polyether ionophores.
[197] Instead of relying on the directing properties of alkyl substituents in allylic positions of
unsaturated macrocycles like Still and Schreiber, efforts were made to assure the
stereoselectivity of epoxidation of an acyclic substrate via Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation
protocols. Under acidic conditions, diepoxyesters 98 and 100 were converted to the
corresponding bistetrahydrofuran products 99 and 101 in good yields (Scheme 10).

It is worth noting that the stereochemical outcome of cascades similar to those reported by
Paterson may be compromised in cases where an electronic preference for 6–endo cyclization
exists. As noted by Jaud and coworkers, inversion of stereochemistry at C5 of 102 can be
observed in acid–catalyzed cyclizations.[198] Authors propose that initial cyclization via a 6–
endo pathway is operative, to produce an orthoester intermediate 105 with inverted
stereocchemistry at C5 (Scheme 11). Subsequent elimination of 2–methylpropene results in the
formation of a final five–membered lactone and liberates a tertiary alcohol which serves as
nucleophile for further epoxide opening.

Epoxide–opening cascades described so far have typically relied on acid or base catalysis. In
these regimes, a cascade can be initiated either via activation of an alcohol nucleophile by
deprotonation or, alternatively, via epoxide activation with Brønsted or Lewis acids. While
nucleophile activation allows for good control over the direction of the cascade, it is limited
to polyepoxide substrates with protic nucleophiles such as alcohols. Activation of the epoxide
with acid, on the other hand, typically suffers from unselective activation of any or all of the
epoxides in the polyepoxide substrate. The cascade can thus proceed in both directions, with
varying points of initiation, a problem that becomes increasingly pronounced as more epoxides
are added to the chain. Acid–catalyzed cascades are therefore typically limited to di– or
triepoxides.

Several research groups have offered alternative means of initiation in epoxide–opening
cascades that address some of these issues in the acid and base modes of activation. Perhaps
inspired by the cleavage of the thioester linkage between the polyepoxide substrate and the
acyl carrying protein in the proposed biosynthesis of polyether ionophores, a number of
cascades have been initiated by the release of a carboxylic acid nucleophile from an ester
through the action of esterase enzymes under mild conditions. Robinson and coworkers
subjected esters 107 and 110 to pig liver esterase in aqueous phosphate buffers at slightly basic
pH (Scheme 12).[199] Efficient cascades afforded 108 and 111 in good yields after prolonged
exposure. These cascades appeared to proceed in a stepwise fashion, allowing for the detection
of intermediate 109. The observation of intermediate 109 is consistent with the hypothesis that
the anionic carboxylate of hydrolyzed 107 initiates the cascade and is a more effective
nucleophile than is a secondary alcohol in the second stage leading from 109 to 108.
The concept of the selective generation of a reactive epoxonium intermediate on one side of a polyepoxide substrate was introduced by Murai, et al., in their work toward the synthesis of ladder-type polyethers (this work is discussed later, Scheme 38).[200] Introducing the same concept for control of cascade direction to the arena of polyether ionophores, Floreancig and coworkers have demonstrated that mesolytic benzylic carbon–carbon bond cleavage of the radical cations of homobenzylic ethers, such as 112–115, form oxonium ions that react with pendent epoxides to form epoxonium ions which can undergo further cyclization.[201, 202] They have shown that both cis and trans substituted epoxides 112 and 113 are competent in these reactions with preservation of stereochemical information during the cascade (Scheme 13). Disclosing examples in which mono and diepoxides with a pendent acetal nucleophile are efficiently transformed to bistetrahydrofuran products 116–119, Floreancig has demonstrated that single–electron oxidation is another effective method for the initiation of heterogenerative cascade cyclization.

Starting with bromomethylepoxides (120, Figure 11), the Marshall group successfully initiated epoxide–opening cascades through transient formation of allylic alkoxy zinc species (Figure 11).[203–205] These reactions are reminiscent of Nicolaou’s initial reports but avoid the basic conditions used in early cascades, replacing them with the milder treatment of starting material with metallic zinc in alcoholic solvents. The utility of this approach is clearly demonstrated through the preparation of a large number of bistetrahydrofuran products derived from diastereomeric triepoxyfarnesyl bromides (Figure 11).

A report on the initiation of epoxide–opening reactions in water at elevated temperatures was disclosed in 2008 by Qu and coworkers.[206] It is interesting to note that, these conditions preferentially afford the larger ring oxepane 134 over the tetrahydrofuran 135 that is typically obtained in both acid and base catalyzed cyclizations of 1,4–diepoxides as described earlier in this chapter (Scheme 14). This selectivity may result from the electronic properties of the trisubstituted epoxides involved in this cascade cyclization.

6.1. Applications of Epoxide–Opening Cascades in the Synthesis of Polyether Ionophores

Epoxide–opening cascade reactions described here have been inspired by the Cane–Celmer–Westley biosynthetic proposal for polyether natural products. Their development was typically driven by the search for chemical evidence in favor of this biosynthetic pathway. Equally important for synthetic community, these reactions were developed with specific targets in mind, and, similarly to cyclization of squalene oxide in the synthesis of steroids, they represent a classic example of the rapid generation of chemical complexity from relatively simple starting materials. Although inspired by nature and developed for the synthesis of natural products, epoxide–opening cascades were successfully used in preparation of artificial ionophores even before the biosynthetic proposal was put forth.[207] These early reports that involve polymeric materials with ionophoric properties, while intriguing, are not covered here due to lack of structural data.

A notable example of the use of exo–selective cascade reactions in the synthesis of materials with interesting cation binding properties is the synthesis of diastereomeric oligotetrahydrofuran motifs 139 and 140 by Koert, et al., (Scheme 15).[208] Worth noting is Koert’s departure from the usual polyene to polypeoxide pathway and development of the convergent syntheses of polyepoxides that rely on addition of enantiopure epoxyorganolithium reagents to α–alkoxy aldehydes.

Still and coworkers have shown that acid catalyzed epoxide–opening cascades can be extended from 1,5–diepoxides to 1,6–diepoxides in order to produce 2,6–linked oligotetrahydropyran fragments.[209] With the goal of producing novel ionophoric materials Still group synthesized
podands containing three and four 2,6–linked THP rings (143 and 146) and demonstrated that such structures ably bind cations of various metals (Scheme 16).

A number of research groups were successful in extending these reactions to the total synthesis of polyether ionophores or fragments of thereof. Notable contributions came from Paterson group in their investigations towards etheromycin (24, Scheme 17). In their first–generation approach, Paterson and coworkers extended studies on model diepoxides 98 and 100 (Scheme 10) to mixtures of more complex diastereomeric triepoxides 148 and 149, both featuring tert–butyl ester as the trapping nucleophile, undergone acid promoted cascade reaction to afford in good yield the CDE ring system of etheromycin 150 and its diastereomer 151.

In their second–generation approach the Paterson group planned a more elaborate polyepoxide cyclization that would allow the formation of the BC spiroacetal subunit of etheromycin during the cascade.[210] Exposure of diepoxide 152 to acidic conditions triggered deprotection of the secondary alcohol which forms the hemiketal. The hemiketal nucleophile initiates the cascade of epoxide openings, resulting in formation of 153 (Scheme 18a). As etheromycin features oxidation at C4 of the tetrahydropyran ring in the BC spiroacetal, Paterson and coworkers attempted to incorporate the appropriate substitution pattern in the starting diepoxide. Rapid elimination of the secondary alcohol to form a trisubstituted alkene in 155 was observed (Scheme 18b), however, further optimization led to successful incorporation of appropriate oxidation pattern of etheromycin. When diketone 156 was used instead of β–hydroxyketone 154, desired BCD fragment of etheromycin (157, Scheme 18c) was produced in a single step with good efficiency.[211]

In their efforts to construct CDE fragment of lonomycin A (23, Scheme 19), Evans, et al., elegantly incorporated an epoxide–opening cascade to construct bistetrahydrofuran CD ring system (161), by way of macrocyclic diepoxide 159.[212] Upon lactone hydrolysis, a cascade of epoxide openings afforded 161 in a straightforward manner. The E ring of lonomycin A was then constructed in a fashion that mimics the next step of the proposed biosynthetic cascade to produce tricycle 162 (Scheme 19). The authors note that a cascade starting from triepoxide 163 would, in principle, be more direct route to the lonomycin A backbone; however, a stereocontrolled synthesis of such substrate would have been considerably more complex.

The latest example of an epoxide–opening cascade in synthesis of polyether ionophores is the synthesis of the C17–C32 fragment of ionomycin (20, Scheme 20) by Marshall and Mikowski. Expanding on their zinc–initiated epoxide–opening cascades of terminal iodomethylepoxides, [205, 213] the Marshall group constructed bistetrahydrofuran motif on ionomycin (166) and transformed this material to 167, a fully elaborated C17–C32 fragment of ionomycin.[204]

7. Epoxide–Opening Cascades in the Synthesis of Squalene Derived Polyethers

As discussed earlier in section 6, the Hoye group examined diepoxides reminiscent of those required for the cascade synthesis of the central tetrahydrofuran ring of teurilene (36, Scheme 21). In the same vein, Franck and Lindel reported a similar cascade in their studies towards the synthesis of the same natural product.[214] Truncated diepoxide intermediate 168 was treated with a Brønsted acid, efficiently converting it to a diester 169 that features the C10–C15 fragment of teurilene, with the necessary alkenes and tertiary alcohols in place for an epoxidation/epoxide–opening sequence to the tricyclic core of teurilene (Scheme 21).

In their pioneering studies towards the total synthesis of glabrescol,[215] Corey and Xiong reported a rapid synthesis of proposed structure of glabrescol (37, Figure 6) from the
corresponding pentaepoxide $170$ in a single step under acidic conditions only to find that this material had physical and spectral properties different from natural glabrescol (Scheme 22). The authors also prepared three other diastereomers of pentaepoxide $170$, all of which cyclized to corresponding $C_5$–symmetric pentacyclic polyethers under the same conditions described for $170$. However, none of the produced polyethers were identical to natural glabrescol.

The correct structure of glabrescol was disclosed in a subsequent report by Morimoto.[216] Relying on hydroxyl–directed VO(acac)$_2$–catalyzed oxidative cyclizations of bishomoallylic alcohols, a strategy used by Shirahama in syntheses of thyrsiferol, venustatriol, and teurilene, [217–221] Morimoto was able to produce a number of structures diastereomeric to the proposed structure of glabrescol and demonstrate that natural glabrescol is in fact a $C_2$–symmetric molecule.

Corey and Xiong also investigated the possibility that glabrescol is a $C_2$–symmetric molecule and confirmed this revised structure of glabrescol through total synthesis.[222] Corey’s synthesis of the revised structure of glabrescol relies on a bidirectional double cyclization of a tetraol tetraepoxide $172$ (Scheme 23). The choice of acidic reaction conditions is crucial in this case in order to assure that cyclization to form the AB and A’B’ rings of glabrescol via the epoxide opening at more substituted positions is faster than the rate of cyclization to form C ring via exo–opening at the less substituted position of the epoxide. As such, bidirectional formation of AB and A’B’ proceeds in good yield rather than a unidirectional cascade that would form ABCB’ tetracycle.

A large body of work on the synthesis of squalene–derived polyethers via epoxide opening, in both cascade and iterative fashions, has been reported by Morimoto. For example, in the synthesis of aurilol epoxide–opening reactions were used extensively (39, Scheme 24). In the course of this linear synthetic sequence, Morimoto and coworkers use a base–promoted epoxide–opening cascade on diepoxide $175$[223, 224] to construct the C ring of aurilol. After transformation to epoxyalcohol $177$, Brønsted acid–catalyzed epoxide opening afforded the D ring of the natural product. Finally, reagent–controlled, silyl triflate–catalyzed opening of the trisubstituted epoxide $179$ with a tertiary alcohol nucleophile via 6–endo cyclization,[225] efficiently formed $180$ which contained B ring of aurilol. Elaboration of this intermediate led to the first total synthesis of aurilol and determination of its absolute configuration (Scheme 24).

Morimoto later adapted the aurilol strategy to the synthesis of the related natural product enshuol (40, Scheme 25).[226] Structural analysis of aurilol and enshuol reveals a single difference between the natural products. While enshuol contains a fifth ring, tetrahydrofuran E, aurilol features a diol that may originate from epoxide opening by water during biosynthesis. Accordingly, Morimoto used a cascade of epoxide openings on epoxyalcohol $181$ to construct both the C and D rings of enshuol in a single step (Scheme 25).

Morimoto, et al., took advantage of the $C_2$–symmetric nature of intricatetraol (38, Scheme 26) and reduced the synthetic challenge to synthesis of the appropriately functionalized half of the natural product. Subsequent dimerization produced the natural product.[227] Epoxide–opening cascades are the central theme in the synthesis of the requisite monomer (Scheme 26).

Diepoxide $186$ rearranged to the functionalized tetrahydrofuran $187$ under basic conditions. It is interesting that a single bicyclic side product $188$ was produced in this reaction, possibly via the initial Payne rearrangement followed by 5–exo epoxide opening, however, instead of opening of the terminal epoxide by base that produces $187$, the terminal epoxide would be opened by the tertiary alkoxide at C–7 position. The stereochemistry of the newly formed THF ring appears to place the two reactive species in a cis relationship, encouraging this side reaction.
Notable work on the squalene–derived polyether abudinol (44, Figure 6) was reported recently by McDonald and coworkers.[228,229] These studies will be discussed in the following chapter due to their conceptual similarities to the synthesis of ladder polyether natural products.

8. Epoxide–Opening Cascades in the Synthesis of Ladder Polyethers

Epoxide–opening cascades were initially, and nearly exclusively, explored in the context of the synthesis of polyether ionophores and other natural products that could arise from exo opening of epoxides. This is not surprising considering the breadth of data supporting Baldwin’s rules, which suggest that smaller rings are kinetically favored in intramolecular epoxide–opening reactions. Successful endo–selective cascades for preparation of ladder polyether–like fragments would thus require circumventing this inherent selectivity for smaller rings in epoxide–opening reactions.

8.1. Iterative Approaches

As discussed earlier, most methods for regioselective endo epoxide opening rely on the effects of directing groups directly attached to the epoxide. As these directing groups are typically not present in the target ladder polyethers, the fact that they are incorporated in products of such epoxide–opening reactions presents a major challenge for their successful utilization in total synthesis of ladder polyethers because of the need for their removal or extensive synthetic elaboration. If such reactions are extended to a cascade of epoxide openings, multiple directing groups would be incorporated at the ring junctions of the final product, thus creating the need for selective elaboration of each of the groups into H or Me groups, the exclusive substituents found at the ring junctions of ladder polyethers. As they are good directors of regioselectivity, methyl groups would appear to be the exception; however, they are typically present at only a few ring junctions in each ladder polyether and are rarely distributed in a uniform substitution pattern.

Despite the problems associated with the use of directing groups in cascades of epoxide–opening reactions, they have been of tremendous value in iterative approaches to ladder polyether synthesis. Such approaches depend on the type of the directing group used in the epoxide–opening reaction and require an efficient removal of this group after each iteration. If all requirements are met, a sequence of endo cyclization, removal of the directing group, and homologation to a new epoxide bearing the appropriate directing group for the next cyclization results in the formation of one cyclic ether per iteration.

The Nicolaou research group was the first to explore and report a successful iterative approach to ladder polyethers based on endo–selective epoxide opening (Scheme 27).[23] The epoxy alcohol 189 bearing an alkenyl directing group undergoes Brønsted acid–catalyzed cyclization with excellent endo–selectivity due to the ability of alkenyl substituent to stabilize partial positive charge in the transition state for the desired cyclization. Upon elaboration of the tetrahydropyran 190 to epoxy alcohol 192, another acid–catalyzed opening of alkenyl epoxide afforded diad 193 with excellent efficiency.

Mori, et al., reported a complementary approach to ladder polyethers that relies on endo–selective opening of epoxysulfones (Scheme 28).[37] Exposure of epoxysulfone 195 to Brønsted acid leads to 6–endo cyclization and subsequent loss of phenylsulfonate to yield ketone 196. A sequence involving alkylation of the sulfone–stabilized cis–oxyranyl anion completes the homologation process to 197, which contains an epoxide with the appropriate directing group for the next iteration. Repeating this protocol three times leads to formation of tetracycle 200. Mori and coworkers have also developed methods for larger oxygen heterocycles[230] and ring junction substitution patterns (Me and H)[39, 231] present in ladder polyether natural products.
The structural effects of a silyl group attached directly to an epoxide have been studied in detail by Hudrlik[232–234] and Paquette,[235] and the electronic properties of these substituents have been exploited in epoxide–opening reactions of epoxysilanes. For example, Heffron and Jamison developed an iterative approach to the synthesis of trans–fused oligotetrahydropyran fragments in this fashion (Scheme 29).[36] In contrast to epoxysulfones, where the sulfone deactivates the undesired site of epoxide opening, silyl groups are used to stabilize positive charge in the transition state leading to 6–endo epoxide opening. After cyclization, removal of the directing group can be achieved cleanly with TBAF. To enable homologation to the epoxysilane for next iteration, Jamison and coworkers developed a protocol for the synthesis of skipped enynes via phosphine–promoted coupling reactions of propargylcopper reagents with alkenyl iodides.[236] A Shi epoxidation of the intermediate vinyl silane completes the cycle,[237] and the utility of this approach was demonstrated by synthesis of THP triad (207, Scheme 29).

8.2 Epoxide–Opening Cascades Leading to Fused Polyether Systems

Early work in this area by the Murai group led to the development of methods for the endo–selective lanthanide–promoted opening of epoxides bearing methoxymethyl groups.[40] Murai and coworkers prepared polypeoxides 208, 213 and 217, which incorporate a methoxymethyl directing group at each epoxide.[238] Under conditions described for substrates containing one epoxide, diepoxide 208 was converted to a THP 209 diad with methoxymethyl groups present at the ring junctions (Scheme 30). The side products isolated in this reaction are suggestive of a pathway that proceeds in a stepwise fashion from the primary alcohol nucleophile, initially forms intermediate 211, and then affords 209 and 210. The authors also reported that the diastereomeric diepoxide 213 does not afford any of the corresponding THP diad (diastereomer of 209). It is postulated that intermediate 215 does not react further due to strain in the requisite boat–like transition state that would lead to 6–endo opening and also to steric repulsion between the two methoxymethyl substituents, as shown in Scheme 30. Murai has also demonstrated that cascades directed by methoxymethyl groups in combination with an appropriate chelating Lewis acid can be extended to larger ladder polyether type fragments such as triad 218, albeit in low yield.

McDonald reported the first cascade reactions that include formation of an oxepane ring and trans–fused bisoxepane motifs via endo–selective epoxide opening.[31,239] Also examined were a range of terminating nucleophiles such as ketones, esters, carbonates and acetals, demonstrating that endo regioselectivity in these Lewis acid–promoted polypeoxide cyclizations depends on the type of terminating nucleophile to some extent (Scheme 31).

McDonald, et al., extended this approach to polypeoxides for the synthesis of polyoxepane systems 230–233.[31,239] The efficiency of these reactions tends to drop as the number of epoxides in the polypeoxide precursor increases (Scheme 32). A possible reason for a non–linear decrease of yield in cascades that involve more than two epoxides may be unselective activation of any and all of the epoxides in the starting materials (vide supra, section 6). If selective activation of only the epoxide that is distal to the terminating nucleophile could be achieved, cascades would presumably proceed only in one direction, and higher yields should be observed. With this in mind, the McDonald group prepared substrates 238 and 239 that feature a vinyl and a methyl substituent instead of the two geminal methyl substituents on the terminal epoxides in the polypeoxide chain of 230–233 (Scheme 33).[240] Based on work on alkenyl epoxides by the Nicolaou group,[22–24] it was expected that the stabilization provided by the vinyl substituent would not only improve selectivity in epoxide–opening reactions, but also lead to selective activation of the alkenyl epoxide over the interior epoxides under finely tuned conditions. Optimization revealed Gd(OTf)3 and Yb(OTf)3 as the most efficient Lewis acids. Indeed, desired oxepane ring–containing products 240 and 241 were produced in higher
yield than in the corresponding reactions of substrates 230 and 231, which lack vinyl substituents.

The synthetic utility of these impressive cascades is, however, limited by the requirement for an alkyl directing group on each epoxide, resulting in the incorporation of the directing groups at every ring junction of the final cascade product. Were these cascades to be used in the synthesis of naturally occurring molecules, they would have to accommodate polyepoxides without directing groups (disubstituted epoxides) and allow for a variety of substitution patterns that would install methyl groups only at the desired positions of the final products. The McDonald group has offered two approaches to address these concerns. The first is based on the directing effects of silyl groups described by Paquette and Hudrlik and elaborated by Jamison. While silyl groups exhibit similar directing effects to those of alkyl groups, they can generally be removed after the cascade. McDonald showed that epoxide–opening cascades that use both alkyl and silyl direction are possible by Lewis acids promotion.[241] For example, 242 and 243 were converted to the corresponding cyclization product 244, with efficiencies comparable to those of cascades with only methyl–substituted epoxides (Scheme 34).

The McDonald group has also investigated cascades that would incorporate disubstituted epoxides with no directing groups present as in 245 and 246 (Scheme 34).[241] The difference between the electronic properties of disubstituted and trisubstituted epoxides may work in favor of the desired cascade through preferential activation of the epoxide distal to the terminating nucleophile in a fashion similar to cascades on alkenyl epoxides 238 and 239. Cascades of both triepoxide 246 and tetraepoxide 249 under standard Lewis acid activation proceed to form the desired tricyclic 247 and tetracyclic polyether 250 (Scheme 34). It is proposed that once the first epoxonium ion is formed at the distal end, the transition states leading to endo and exo opening of the disubstituted epoxonium ion are different in energy, with a higher degree of ring strain associated with the bicyclo[3.1.0] intermediate than for the bicyclo[4.1.0] intermediate formed as the product of endo opening. The authors also note that a directing group is required on the epoxide proximal to the trapping nucleophile, as there is minimal strain associated with either 5– or 6–membered carbonates formed at the end of the cascade when carbonate or carbamate nucleophiles are used. A directing group is therefore necessary to ensure endo regioselectivity in the opening of this last epoxide.

In addition to their studies on the use of epoxide–opening cascades in the construction of oxepanes, the McDonald group has also explored cascades directed toward synthesis of tetrahydropyrans in similar fashion. As in case of oxepanes, the effects of the terminating nucleophile on epoxide–opening cascades of 1,4–diepoxides 251–255 were examined first. [32] Depending on the nucleophile, these reactions can proceed with either retention or inversion of configuration at the ring junction (Table 1). It is apparent that stronger nucleophiles at elevated temperatures tend to favor the inversion of stereochemistry in the opening of internal epoxide, thus setting a trans geometry at the ring junction of 256. In contrast, less nucleophilic carbonates favor the production of diastereomeric product 257, corresponding to retention of stereochemistry.

These observations may be explained by the mechanism outlined in the Scheme 35, in which the terminating nucleophile intercepts a cationic intermediate at different points in the continuum between the extremes of epoxonium ion and tertiary carbocation. McDonald proposed that cis–fused products arise from fast nucleophilic addition to the tertiary carbocation, whereas trans–fused products are favored with a stronger nucleophile, which intercepts a tight ion pair intermediate structurally related to the epoxonium ion.[32]

The McDonald group extended these findings to epoxide–opening cascades of triepoxides 265 and 266, which carry directing groups on each of the epoxides. When activated by a Lewis
acid at an appropriate temperature, triepoxide 265 (with the carbamate terminating nucleophile) is transformed into the ladder polyether–like tricycle 267 in 31% yield. Unlike its carbamate analog, triepoxide 266 (with a carbonate nucleophile) surprisingly failed to afford any of the desired products and, instead, at low temperatures gave 268 (Scheme 36). Fused THF/THP product 268 is presumably produced through isomerization of the initially formed bicyclo[3.1.0]epoxonium intermediate, which leads to cis geometry at the ring junction, followed by 5–exo cyclization in the last epoxonium opening event of the cascade. To summarize, the choice of the terminal nucleophile not only dictates whether cyclization will proceed with retention or inversion, but in the case of 1,4,7–triepoxides, determines the regioselectivity of the cyclization of the epoxide proximal to the carbonyl nucleophile.[32]

Finally, the McDonald group investigated the dependence of the cascade outcome on the type of Lewis acid for 1,4–diepoxide substrates (Scheme 37).[242] Their studies on a THP precursor, diepoxide 269, homologous to diepoxide 228 used in the production of oxepane rings (Scheme 31), showed that the desired cascade reaction does not proceed when BF₃•Et₂O was used. Instead, the product of carbon–carbon bond cleavage (270, Scheme 37) was isolated in high yield. However, when trimethylsilyl triflate was used, the desired tetrahydropyran 271 was obtained as the sole isolable product. Interestingly, the reactivity patterns of triflates proved to be more complex than initial results suggested. When trimethylsilyl triflate was used with triepoxide 272, the product of an all–exo epoxide–opening cascade (274, Scheme 37) was isolated. Notably, the stereochemistry at C2 was preserved in this cascade, suggesting that epoxide opening occurs with retention of stereochemistry (or double inversion) at this site. In contrast, when tert–butyldimethylsilyl triflate was used, a fused THP diad (273) different from the desired product was isolated. Structural analysis of this material revealed syn geometry of the ring fusion, suggesting that opening of the epoxonium intermediate proceeds with retention of stereochemistry. Although the reasons for these apparent differences are unclear, it was proposed that the weakly nucleophilic triflate anion may compete with the epoxide oxygen in the opening of the epoxonium intermediate leading to double inversion and net retention of stereochemistry at the C5 position.

A conceptually novel way of promoting epoxide–opening cascades to ladder polyethers was investigated by Murai and coworkers. They envisioned that activation of an epoxy bromide with a silver salt would selectively generate an epoxonium ion at one end of the polyepoxide chain.[200] This epoxonium would then serve as an electrophile for the nucleophilic attack by the neighboring epoxide, forming a new ring and a new epoxonium intermediate, thus propagating the cascade. The direction of the cascade in these reactions is therefore controlled by the position of the halide, and the need for selective activation of only one of many epoxides in polyepoxide substrates is eliminated. These studies by Murai were the first studies directed toward epoxide–opening cascades in the synthesis of ladder–like polyether products.

Murai focused his attention on trans–disubstituted epoxide substrates without directing groups and showed that opening of the epoxonium ion derived from bromo epoxide 275 with an external nucleophile preferentially forms tetrahydropyran 276 over tetrahydrofuran 277 (Scheme 38a) However, when more than one epoxide is present in the starting material, the reactions proved to be more capricious, and diverse products from a number of different pathways were formed. External nucleophiles such as water present in the reaction mixture, competed with the epoxide oxygen in opening the epoxonium ion. If such nucleophilic species are not present and the reaction was activated with AgOTf, the triflate anion competes with the epoxide, thus producing yet another electrophilic species that, over time, undergoes another displacement reaction to give the cis geometry at the ring junction via double inversion at the C4 position of 279 and 283 (Scheme 38a). If such a trend were to hold in the case of a polyepoxide, then an all–cis polyepoxide could lead to formation of the trans–syn–trans fragments of ladder polyethers.[200] In this scenario, the initial epoxonium would be opened
by a triflate anion that would, in turn, be displaced by the neighboring epoxide to generate the new epoxonium thus propagating the cascade (Scheme 38b).

An extension of those studies have been reported by Heffron and Jamison.[243] The polyepoxide analog of 275 (290, Scheme 39) was treated with silver triflate to initiate the cascade. As in cascades of 278 and 282, the presence of an external water nucleophile led to opening of the epoxonium rather than propagation of the cascade. The intermediate 291 formed via this sequence can react further to generate 292 through 5–exo epoxide opening. All attempts to eliminate nucleophilic additives and anions in reactions of 290 and carry out a cascade similar to the one proposed by Murai under anhydrous conditions with AgOTf, AgPF₆ or AgSbF₆ were unsuccessful.[243]

As described in the section on polyether ionophores, Floreancig and coworkers have demonstrated that mesolytic benzylic carbon–carbon bond cleavage of the radical cations of homobenzylic ethers, such as 112–115 (Scheme 13), forms oxonium ions which react with pendent epoxides to form epoxonium ions capable of undergoing further nucleophilic attack. This strategy is conceptually similar to reactions of halo epoxides reported by Murai[200] and Jamison.[243] After their initial success in the synthesis of bistetrahydrofuran fragments of polyether ionophores, the Floreancig group published their experimental and computational studies in collaboration with Houk and coworkers on the structure/reactivity relationships for intramolecular additions to bicyclic epoxonium ions.[244] They observed that ring size has a significant impact on these processes, with endo–cyclizations being preferred for bicyclo[4.1.0] epoxonium ions bearing an alkyl directing group and exo–cyclizations being preferred for bicyclo[3.1.0] epoxonium ions, despite the presence of a directing group (Scheme 40). The authors propose that these effects can be attributed to the ability of the larger ring to accommodate a looser transition state with significant SN₁ character, thereby promoting the endo–process regardless of solvent polarity. As they had clearly demonstrated that the epoxonium ion structure is a significant determinant of regioselectivity under these kinetic cyclization conditions, Floreancig and coworkers then designed a number of extended substrates that undergo cascade cyclizations that form fused tricyclic systems under the oxidative conditions described earlier (Scheme 40).

As described earlier, the Jamison lab has successfully utilized the directing effects of trimethylsilyl groups to develop an iterative approach to the synthesis of oligotetrahydropyran fragments. However, when a cascade reaction under similar Lewis acid conditions was attempted on diepoxide 306, with suitably positioned directing groups, the only isolable product was bistetrahydrofuran 307.[245] Thorough evaluation of reaction conditions revealed that the outcome of this reaction was very different when a Brønsted base in alcoholic solvents was used (Scheme 41). Under these conditions diepoxide 306 undergoes a cascade to produce THP diad 308. Surprisingly, the trimethylsilyl directing group was absent from the ring junction in the product.

Further modifications to the design of polyepoxide substrates and reaction conditions resulted in the development of epoxide–opening cascades directed by “disappearing” silyl groups (Scheme 42).[245] These modifications include the construction of one THP ring prior to the cascade and the addition of CsF. The authors suggest that the cascades proceed as a sequence of silyl–directed epoxide opening followed by protodesilylation, which likely occurs via a homo–Brook rearrangement pathway. After each Brook rearrangement, removal of silyl group by fluoride reveals the alcohol nucleophile for the next stage of the cascade reaction.

While disappearing directing groups address problems related to the removal of substituents not present in the natural targets, these reactions developed by Jamison, et al., suffer from the inability to incorporate the methyl substituents found occasionally at the ring junctions. Thus,
a directing–group–free cascade reaction capable of incorporating various types of substitution present in ladder type polyethers is required. The Jamison group reasoned that in epoxyalcohol 318 (Scheme 43), where one THP is already in place, issues that might normally favor the undesired exo–transition state would be minimized and, instead, enthalpic contributions to the energies of the competing transition states would change.\[246\] *Trans*–bicyclo[4.4.0]decan derivatives are typically less strained than their *trans*–bicyclo[4.3.0]nonane counterparts, and were this difference in developing ring strain reflected in the transition states, the desired tetrahydropyran product might be favored in this templated system under appropriate conditions.

In investigating this hypothesis, Vilotijevic and Jamison discovered that regioselectivity of epoxide opening in epoxyalcohol 318 is dependent on the pH of the aqueous medium used to promote the cyclization.\[246\] The selectivity for the desired THP product 311 increases substantially as the pH of the reaction environment approaches neutrality (Figure 12). Templated cascades promoted by water were then examined with a diepoxide (320) and a triepoxide (321) that lack directing groups. Both cascades proceed with good yield in water at elevated temperatures, affording THP triad 207 and tetrad 322, subunits that are found in more than half of the known ladder polyether natural products.

Vilotijevic and Jamison proposed that a synergistic effect of the template and catalysis by water is responsible for the high endo selectivity in these reactions. A model that involves a network of hydrogen bonded water molecules interacting simultaneously with both the epoxide electrophile and the alcohol nucleophile was proposed. Such a network could bring the desired site of nucleophilic attack closer to the secondary alcohol on the THP template. Experiments that demonstrate that water is responsible for both an increase in selectivity and in the rate of cyclization are in agreement with this proposal (Figure 12). These models are currently under investigation.

### 8.3. Applications of Epoxide–Opening Cascades in the Synthesis of Ladder Polyethers

The epoxide–opening reactions used in the synthesis of ladder polyethers are generally based on the *endo*–selective opening of alkenyl epoxides developed by Nicolaou to form tetrahydropyran rings. This method has been used by the groups of Nicolaou, Yamamoto, Nakata, Mori and Sasaki in syntheses of hemibrevetoxin,[247–253] brevetoxin B,[254–267] brevetoxin A,[268–274] gambierol[275–284] and brevenal.[162,163] Although it can be implemented in a straightforward manner in a number of syntheses, this method is not amenable to cascades (more than one epoxide). Nevertheless, it has been used by Nicolaou in iterative synthesis of FG fragment of brevetoxin B (Scheme 44).[247] Nicolaou’s approach includes an acid–catalyzed opening of alkenyl epoxide to form both F and G ring of brevetoxin B. Epoxy alcohol 323 was efficiently transformed to a corresponding tetrahydropyran 324. Upon elaboration of 324 to 325, another Brønsted acid–catalyzed epoxide opening affords 326 which contains F and G ring of brevetoxin B.

Other iterative approaches have found use in the synthesis of both ladder polyether natural products and their fragments. Mori and coworkers reported a total synthesis of hemibrevetoxin B (53, Figure 13) that relies solely on their iterative strategy for construction of *trans*–fused tetrahydropyran rings. In combination with methods that allow for ring expansion of tetrahydropyran to oxepane systems,[230] hemibrevetoxin B was prepared in an iterative fashion using *endo*–selective intramolecular opening of epoxysulfones (Figure 13).

The Mori group was also successful in the preparation of ABCDEF–ring system of yessotoxins and adriatoxins (331, Figure 14). Especially appealing is the fact that the same epoxysulfone material 328 could be used for the construction of 4 out of the 6 rings. In order to introduce methyl substituents at the ring junction efficiently, Mori has developed two strategies.[39]
The first requires elaboration of a 3-ketooxepane ketone to a corresponding 3-methylidene compound followed by epoxidation and reduction of the epoxide with lithium triethylborohydride. The other method is inherently more convergent incorporation of a methyl substituent into the epoxysulfone (329).

An interesting cascade was utilized in total synthesis of hemibrevetoxin B by Holton and coworkers.[286] Although only one epoxide is involved in this reaction, two cyclic ethers of the natural product are nevertheless produced in a single operation. Computational studies by Houk[44,46] that suggest that alkyl group-directed 6–endo cyclization normally requires a loose, SN$_1$–like transition state prompted Holton to carry out the cascade in a strongly polar solvent—hexafluoroisopropanol. In a fashion conceptually complementary to work by Murai,[200] Jamison,[243] and Floreancig,[244] appropriate activation of the alkene in 335 was achieved with N–(phenylseleno)phthalimide, and the cascade leading to formation of the 7,6-fused CB ring system of hemibrevetoxin B proceeded in high yield (Scheme 45).

Elegant work on ent–abudinol B and the related terpenes ent–durgamone and ent–nakorone was recently reported by McDonald.[228,229] Although these molecules are squalene–derived polyether natural products, we discuss this work here because of the conceptual similarities to McDonald’s synthesis of ladder polyether fragments. In their first generation approach to ent–abudinol, McDonald and coworkers devised a convergent synthetic scheme that involves late-stage coupling of fragments derived from ent–durgamone and ent–nakorone (Scheme 46).[229] In the synthesis of subunit 339, a cascade of epoxide openings on diepoxide 337 was employed. Using tert–butyldimethylsilyl triflate as a Lewis acid, two endo–selective cyclizations directed by methyl substituents, with an enolsilane as trapping nucleophile leads to formation of bicyclic compound 338 that can be further elaborated to ent–durgamone (339). An analogous strategy was utilized in the synthesis of the more complex ent–nakorone. In addition to epoxide openings, a hybrid cascade of oxacyclizations and carbacyclizations was designed. Diepoxide 340, which carries a terminating propargyl silane nucleophile, underwent efficient TMSOTf–promoted cyclization, resulting in tricyclic allene 341. Further elaboration of these fragments into their corresponding vinyl triflates and subsequent modified Suzuki–Miyaura coupling produced ent–abudinol B.

A second–generation approach was based on the proposed biosynthetic pathway to ent–abudinol,[2,104,105] which involves a hybrid cascade of epoxide openings and carbacyclizations.[228] Similar to the first–generation approach, diepoxide 343 was treated with TMSOTf to produce 344, containing the tricyclic fragment of ent–abudinol (Scheme 47). A two–step elaboration of the cascade product 344 via Wittig methylenation and Shi epoxidation resulted in the formation of diepoxide 345, thus setting the stage for a cascade reminiscent of that used for ent–durgamone. Diepoxide 345, carrying a terminal alkene instead of an enol ether trapping nucleophile was subjected to the same conditions as in 340→341 to produce ent–abudinol, along with several isomeric products resulting from pathways enabled by the relatively low nucleophilicity of the terminating alkene. Despite the linear nature of this route to ent–abudinol, structural complexity is generated quickly, and this rapid synthesis demonstrates all of the advantages of cascade approaches to the synthesis of polyethers and related molecules.

Cascade cyclizations that involve epoxides have found use in many syntheses of natural product outside the polyether families discussed herein.[287] For instance, a hybrid biomimetic oxa/carbacyclization of 346 provided a rapid entry to the hexahydroxanthene core of the schweinfurthin natural products (Scheme 48).[288,289] It is also important to note that epoxide–opening cascades are in no way limited to applications in the synthesis of products that are postulated to be generated in similar fashion in nature. Combination with various non–oxygen nucleophiles or electrophiles other than epoxides themselves can lead to efficient...
methods for synthesis of a wide variety of structural motifs present in diverse natural products. An elegant example of this strategy is the endo–selective epoxide–opening cascade used in the synthesis of wortmannin by Shibasaki, et al. (Scheme 49).[290]

9. Summary and Outlook

Epoxide–opening cascades leading to 2,5–linked oligotetrahydrofuran products are almost always highly regioselective for the smaller rings and proceed in agreement with Baldwin’s rules. While regioselectivity in these reactions is not a major challenge, further development is necessary to accommodate more diverse substrates and better address problems in total synthesis. Development of mild conditions for better functional group compatibility and methods for selective activation of specific epoxides are imperative, especially in cascades that involve three or more epoxides in the starting materials.

Epoxide–opening cascades leading to the formation of fused polyethers are burdened by empirical rules of regioselectivity that generally regard endo–selective, intramolecular epoxide–opening reactions to be disfavored. Despite the body of work toward epoxide–opening cascades that produce large fragments of ladder polyethers in a single synthetic operation, this goal remains elusive. For a cascade to be successful it must be sufficiently flexible to accommodate all of the challenges posed by the target molecules, thus making the design of such reactions all the more difficult. For instance, the only currently available directing–group–free cascade reaction would have to accommodate a number of modifications while maintaining efficiency. Such methodology would have to be extended to the construction of larger cyclic ethers, and furthermore, methyl substitution on the epoxides would have to be tolerated in these reactions, overcoming the directing effects of alkyl substituents. Moreover, in addition to secondary alcohol nucleophiles, tertiary alcohols would also have to be accommodated in the cascade. Cyclizations must not be affected by alkyl substitution or oxidation of the carbon backbone in polyepoxide substrate. Finally and most importantly, the cascade products would need to be amenable to rapid elaboration and assembly into large fragments and, ultimately, entire natural products. We anticipate that such challenges will continue to stimulate research in the development of even more powerful synthetic methods.
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Figure 1.
Baldwin’s rules as they relate to intramolecular epoxide-opening reactions (Baldwin, 1976).
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Figure 2.
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Figure 3. Cane–Celmer–Westley hypothesis; a model of monensin A biogenesis.[70]
Figure 4.
Townsend–McDonald hypothesis; a model of polyether biogenesis via metal dependent oxidative cyclization.[84,86]
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Figure 7.
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Figure 8.
Nakanishi’s hypothesis; a model of brevetoxin B biosynthesis.[151]
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Figure 11.
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Figure 12.
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Retrosynthetic analysis for the iterative synthesis of hemibrevetoxin B (Mori, 1998).[253]
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Conceptual retrosynthesis of the ABCDEF ring fragment of yessotoxin and adriatoxin (Mori, 2003). [285]
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*Endo* cyclizations via directing group stabilized fused transition states.[24,33,36]
Scheme 2.
*Endo* cyclizations enabled by deactivation of *exo* pathway.[37,40]
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Scheme 5.
Construction of the central backbone of aurodox and related epoxide-opening cascade leading to 2,5-linked bistetrahydrofuran 79 (Nicolaou, 1985).[189]
Scheme 6.
Epoxide–opening cascades leading to formation of adjacent bistetrahydrofurans (Hoye, 1985).
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Scheme 7.
Studies supporting the Payne rearrangement mechanism in epoxide-opening cascades (Hoye, 1987).[192]
Scheme 8.
Preparation of diepoxides 91 and 93 and cascade transformation to corresponding 2,5-linked bistetrahydrofuran products (Schreiber, 1986).[195]
Scheme 9.
Preparation of triepoxide 96 and epoxide-opening cascade leading to tricyclic product 97 (Still, 1986).[194]
Scheme 10.
Epoxide-opening cascades reported by Paterson (1987).[197]
Scheme 11.
Stereochemical outcome of cascades with an electronic preference for 6–endo cyclization (Jaud, 1999). [198]
Scheme 12.
Epoxide–opening cascades initiated by pig liver esterase (Robinson, 1987).[199]
Scheme 13.
Initiation of cascade cyclizations via single electron oxidation of homobenzylic ethers (Floreancig, 2002, 2005).[201,202]
Scheme 14.
Epoxide-opening cascade on geraniol derived diepoxides in hot water (Qu, 2008).[206]
Scheme 15.Synthesis of tricyclic ionophores 139 and 140 via cascade of epoxide openings (Koert, 1994).
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Scheme 16.
Synthesis of oligotetrahydropyran ionophores 143 and 146 (Still, 1989). [209]
Scheme 17.
First generation cascade approach to etheromycin (Paterson, 1987).[197]
Scheme 18.
Second and third–generation cascade approach to etheromycin (Paterson, 1988, 1989).[210, 211]
Scheme 19.
Synthesis of the CDE ring system of lonomycin A (Evans, 1995).[212]
Scheme 20.
Synthesis of the C17–C32 fragment of ionomycin (Marshall, 2006).[204]
Scheme 21.
Synthesis of teurilene core via epoxide-opening cascade (Lindel, 1995).[214]
Scheme 22.
Synthesis of proposed structure of glabrescol and $C_5$-symmetric diastereomers (Corey, 2000).
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Scheme 23.
Bidirectional double cyclization of a tetraol tetraepoxide 172 to form glabrescol (Corey, 2000).
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Scheme 24.
Total synthesis of aurilol (Morimoto, 2005) [224]
Scheme 25.
Total synthesis of enshuol (Morimoto, 2007).[226]
Scheme 26.
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Scheme 27.
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Scheme 28.
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Scheme 29.
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Scheme 30.
Epoxide–opening cascades directed by methoxymethyl group (Murai, 2000)."}[238]
Scheme 31.
Lewis acid catalyzed cascades that form oxepanes from 1,5–diepoxides (McDonald, 2000, 2002).[31,239]
Scheme 32.
Methyl–directed epoxide–opening cascades forming polyoxepane systems (McDonald, 2000, 2002).[31,239]
Scheme 33.
Lewis acid–promoted cyclizations of polyepoxide substrates with terminal alkenyl epoxides
(McDonald, 2004).[240]
Scheme 34.
Synthesis of polyoxepane systems from substrates with divergent directing groups and without
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Scheme 35.
Mechanistic rationale for the stereochemical outcome of epoxide-opening cascades as function of the type of terminating nucleophile (McDonald, 2003).[32]
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Scheme 37.
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Scheme 38.
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Scheme 39.
Cascades of polyepoxy halides activated with silver salts (Jamison, 2006)[243]
Scheme 40.
Epoxide-opening cascades initiated by oxidative cleavage of homobenzylic ethers (Floreancig, 2007). [244]
Scheme 41.
Silyl directed cascade cyclizations of epoxysilanes (Jamison, 2006).[245]
Scheme 42.
Epoxide–opening cascades with a disappearing silyl group (Jamison, 2006).[245]
Scheme 43.
Epoxide-opening cascades promoted by water (Jamison, 2007).[246]
Scheme 44.
Iterative synthesis of FG fragment of brevetoxin B (Nicolaou).[247]
Scheme 45.
Application of a cascade involving epoxide in the synthesis of hemibrevetoxin B (Holton, 2003).[286]
Scheme 46.
Convergent synthesis of ent-abudinol via hybrid oxa/carbacyclization (McDonald, 2007). [229]
Scheme 47.
Biomimetic synthesis of ent-abudinol (McDonald, 2008).[228]
Scheme 48.
Application of hybrid epoxide–opening cascade in synthesis of hexahydroxanthene core of schweinfurthins by (Wiemer, 2008).
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Scheme 49.
Application of hybrid epoxide–opening cascade in synthesis of wortmannin (Shibasaki, 1996). [290]
**Table 1**

Effects of terminating nucleophile on distribution of products and stereochemical outcome in cascade reactions of 1,4-diepoxides (McDonald, 2003).[32]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate[a]</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Products (isolated yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>256 (&lt;4%), 257 (56%), 258 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>+40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>257 (65%), 258 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>251 (0.5 M)</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>257 (42%), 258 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>10[b]</td>
<td>257 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>10[b]</td>
<td>256 (35%), 257 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>2[b]</td>
<td>256 (55%), 257 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>10[b]</td>
<td>256 (32%), 257 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>10[b]</td>
<td>256 (34%), 257 (13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Concentration was 0.05 M of substrate in CH₂Cl₂ unless otherwise stated.

[b] The reaction mixture was subsequently stirred with aq NaHCO₃ for 2 h to hydrolyze iminium ions.