

MIT Open Access Articles

Compatibility with Killer Explains the Rise of RNAi-Deficient Fungi

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Drinnenberg, I. A., G. R. Fink, and D. P. Bartel. "Compatibility with Killer Explains the Rise of RNAi-Deficient Fungi." *Science* 333, no. 6049 (September 15, 2011): 1592-1592.

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1209575>

Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/83089>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2011 September 16; 333(6049): 1592. doi:10.1126/science.1209575.

Compatibility with Killer explains the Rise of RNAi-deficient fungi

Ines A. Drinnenberg^{1,2}, Gerald R. Fink^{1,3}, and David P. Bartel^{1,2,3,‡}

¹Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Abstract

The RNA interference (RNAi) pathway is found in most eukaryotic lineages but curiously is absent in others, including that of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Here, we show that reconstituting RNAi in *S. cerevisiae* causes loss of a beneficial dsRNA virus, known as killer virus. Incompatibility between RNAi and killer viruses extends to other fungal species, in that RNAi is absent in all species known to possess dsRNA killer viruses, whereas killer viruses are absent in closely related species that retained RNAi. Thus, the advantage imparted by acquiring and retaining killer viruses explains the persistence of RNAi-deficient species during fungal evolution.

RNAi, lost in a recent ancestor of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (fig. S1), can be restored by introducing Argonaute (*AGO1*) and Dicer (*DCR1*) from a close relative, *Saccharomyces castellii* (1). The reconstituted pathway silences endogenous transposons, which explains retention of RNAi in some lineages (1). But why is it lost in others? To address this question we determined the consequences of restoring RNAi to *S. cerevisiae*. Restoration of RNAi had little if any effect on growth measured under 50 different conditions, and RNA-Seq analyses indicated minimal changes in nonrepetitive mRNA accumulation (fig. S2–S6 and table S1 and S2). However, restoring RNAi to *S. cerevisiae* profoundly affected maintenance of killer, an endemic viral system cytoplasmically inherited as a dsRNA virus, L-A, and its satellite dsRNA, M (2). M encodes a protein toxin that kills nearby cells while conferring immunity to cells making the toxin, and L-A is required to maintain M (3). In the RNAi-competent *S. cerevisiae* strain, endogenous M and L-A dsRNAs were processed into siRNAs and then lost in most cells (Fig. 1A and fig. S7), thereby rendering cells susceptible to killing by toxin from cells that retained killer (fig. S7).

The loss of killer in the RNAi-competent strain illustrated a circumstance in which the viral-defense function of RNAi, known to be beneficial in other contexts (4), imparted a net selective disadvantage. This disadvantage, when considered together with the relatively benign effects of losing RNAi in *S. castellii* (fig. S8), which lacks active transposons (1), suggested a model to explain why yeasts that lost RNAi have nonetheless succeeded in evolution: Descendants of cells that lose RNAi can acquire and retain killer, which under some circumstances more than offsets the disadvantage of losing RNAi. The killer system is observed in close relatives of *S. cerevisiae* (i.e., yeasts of the *sensu stricto* clade) (5, 6) (fig. S9), whereas RNAi is absent in all sequenced *sensu stricto* species yet present in a close outgroup, *S. castellii*. Thus in our model loss of RNAi in a recent *sensu stricto* ancestor

[‡]To whom correspondence should be addressed. dbartel@wi.mit.edu.

enabled one of its descendents to acquire killer, which provided a net selective advantage over its RNAi-containing, non-killer and toxin-sensitive neighbors, ultimately giving rise to *S. cerevisiae* and other *sensu stricto* species.

To test if our model could apply throughout fungi, we performed whole-genome sequencing to identify RNAi genes in species with killer viruses and RNA analyses to search for dsRNA killer viruses in species with RNAi. RNAi was absent in all species known to possess dsRNA killer, whereas killer was absent in closely related species that retained RNAi (Fig. 1B, fig. S9, and table S3 and S4). This incompatibility between RNAi and dsRNA killer extended even to *Ustilago*, an evolutionary distant basidiomycete (fig. S10).

Analyses of synteny and phylogeny of Argonaute and Dicer proteins (fig. S11) indicated that the discontinuous presence of the RNAi pathway in fungi is best explained by its loss in at least nine independent lineages rather than by its acquisition through lateral transfer (Fig. 1B). At least four of these nine lineages included isolates with dsRNA killer viruses (Fig. 1B), which supports our model in which the ability of RNAi-deficient strains to host killer explains their evolutionary success. The other five lineages, for which dsRNA killer has not yet been reported in extant descendants, might have succeeded for other reasons. Alternatively, killer might have been acquired but then lost, just as some descendants of the *sensu stricto* radiation (including some *S. cerevisiae* strains) have lost killer (Fig. 1B and fig. S9). All nine RNAi losses were relatively recent, suggesting that individuals that lost RNAi earlier left no living descendants. Thus, although compatibility with the killer system can explain the persistence of RNAi-deficient fungal lineages for many millions of years, lineages that lose this elegant transposon defense might be doomed to extinction over the longer term.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Weinberg for performing some of the initial experiments, V. Auyeung, D. Weinberg, R. Wickner, and R. Berwick for helpful discussions, D. Jarosz for help with the compound screens, G. Bakkeren, M. Schmitt, R. Wickner, and A. Regev for fungal strains. Supported by NIH grants GM040266 (G.R.F.), GM0305010 (G.R.F.) and GM061835 (D.P.B.), and a BIF predoctoral fellowship (I.A.D.).

References and Notes

1. Drinnenberg IA, et al. *Science*. 2009; 326:544. [PubMed: 19745116]
2. Wickner RB. *Microbiol Rev*. 1996; 60:250. [PubMed: 8852903]
3. Schmitt MJ, Breinig F. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2006; 4:212. [PubMed: 16489348]
4. Ding SW, Voinnet O. *Cell*. 2007; 130:413. [PubMed: 17693253]
5. Naumov GI, Ivannikoiva Iu V, Naumova ES. *Mol Gen Mikrobiol Virusol*. 2005:38. [PubMed: 15790033]
6. Ivannikova YV, Naumova ES, Naumov GI. *Res Microbiol*. 2007; 158:638. [PubMed: 17890060]
7. Valle RP, Wickner RB. *J Virol*. 1993; 67:2764. [PubMed: 8474174]




Fig. 1. Incompatibility between RNAi and killer. **(A)** Killer activity of the parental (WT) and RNAi-reconstituted *S. cerevisiae* (+AGO1, DCR1) strains. Killing-zone assays (left) detected killing as clear halos surrounding colonies that had been transferred to plates with a lawn of a sensitive strain (7). Graphed (right) are results of four independent experiments (error bars, standard deviation). **(B)** Phylogenetic tree of representative fungal species, indicating the presence of dsRNA killer viruses (blue shading), the presence of RNAi (green shading), and inferred loss of RNAi (red x).