Some of my colleagues in the Indonesian Project at the Center feel that with respect to the sensitive political issues related to foreign investment, I have said "either too much or too little". I am anxious to avoid misunderstanding of my views on these matters, and since it is too late to say less, perhaps I had better say more.

Foreign Investment and Nationalisation

With respect to the relationship of foreign investment policy to nationalisation, I want to make it absolutely clear that I am myself making no recommendations. I am merely making the observation that the major political parties in Indonesia do not seem to attach high priority to nationalisation of industry and agriculture, using "nationalisation" in the usual sense, to mean taking over private enterprises into government hands. Accordingly, my discussion is based on the assumption that the Indonesian economy will remain essentially a private enterprise economy for some time to come.

There is, of course, interest in "nationalisation", in the sense of "converting the colonial economy into a national economy". No doubt most political parties in Indonesia would like to see foreign enterprise replaced by Indonesian enterprise, as quickly as is possible without hampering economic progress. Political opinion varies only with respect to the speed with which this replacement can take place, and to the relative importance of economic development and achievement of a "national economy". So far, however, positive measures to achieve a "national economy" have consisted more of reservation of certain fields of commercial activity to Indonesian firms, than of taking over foreign enterprises into government hands.

However, having mentioned the goal of "converting the colonial economy into a national economy" I may as well go further, and express my own conviction that the only feasible route to this goal is the one that has been followed by the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. That is, Indonesia can become a "national economy", and achieve its economic development objectives at the same time, if
policy is directed towards improving Indonesian agriculture, and
developing Indonesian industries, rather than by restricting or
discouraging foreign enterprise. As the Indonesian economy grows,
the importance of the foreign sector will diminish, as it has in
the above-mentioned countries. The recent influx of American and
British capital into Canada, which has made the Canadian dollar
the strongest currency in the world, does not make Canadians fear
that their "national economy" may be reconverted into a "colonial"
one.

If Indonesia were to expropriate foreign assets without
compensation, there would obviously be no hope of attracting new
foreign capital, and the shortage of technical and managerial skills
would become even more serious. If compensation were paid, Indo-
esia's slender supply of domestic savings (including taxes) would
be absorbed in transferring ownership, instead of being used to
expand the Indonesian economy. Moreover, compensation in rupiahs
would be tantamount to expropriation for foreign industries, and
compensation in foreign exchange would be virtually impossible with
present foreign exchange reserves.

Foreign investment and foreign policy

With respect to Indonesia's "neutralist" foreign policy, I
should again like to make it clear I am making no recommendations.
I have personally a good deal of sympathy for the "neutralist"
posiiton; but I am not a political expert and my views on this sub-
ject are of little interest or importance. In my memorandum I am
merely stating what seems to me an obvious fact: that, rightly or
wrongly, most potential private investors, and perhaps most Western
Governments as well, would look more favorably on investment in
Indonesia if Indonesia were more closely aligned with the Western
Bloc. Similarly, capital assistance from the Soviet Bloc would
obviously be more easily obtained if Indonesia were a member of
the Soviet Bloc. I might add that on balance, assistance would
appear to be available from the West with less interference in
domestic affairs than it is from the Soviet Bloc.

In this connection, there is growing evidence that the
present Administration in Washington is impressed by the failure of
its foreign policy in Southeast Asia, and is giving serious consi-
deration to a foreign aid programme which would be completely
divorced from military aid, which would have no strings attached
to the use of funds (other than expenditure for worthwhile develop-
ment projects) which would be on a much greater scale than hitherto,
and which would be carried out in larger measure through interna-
tional agencies rather than bilaterally. If these plans achieve
concrete form, and are accepted by Congress, Indonesia may ultima-
tely obtain larger-scale assistance, without unpalatable concessions
in foreign policy, than would have been forthcoming without the
"nationalist policy". If these new trends in Western thought contiune, substantial foreign aid may be available to Indonesia
with no departure from "nationalism" more serious than willingness
to accept large-scale capital and technical assistance, from an
international agency in which Western nations predominate. Even
some marriage of Western and Soviet Bloc assistance may ultimately
be possible. If such a programme works successfully and harmonious-
ly, it is not impossible that private capital may become available
as well, with no further change in official foreign policy in
Indonesia.

Strategic factors in foreign investment

One final point, implicit in the memorandum, should perhaps
be spelled out. Legislation and publication of a liberal set of
regulations governing foreign investment is now necessary, to
attract substantial amounts of foreign capital to Indonesia, if
only because such regulations have been promised by the Indonesian
Government for a long time. However, such regulations are only a
"necessary" condition for an inflow of capital; they are not a
"sufficient" condition. Existing foreign firms, and potential
foreign investors, will be more concerned with the day-to-day treat-
ment accorded to foreign enterprise than with any official set of
regulations. The most generous regulations in the world will not
create a climate attractive to foreign enterprise if life and pro-
perty of foreigners is insecure, if visas for necessary managers
and technicians are not available, if decisions in labour disputes
impose crippling conditions on foreign employers, and if tax and
transfer conditions are much more unfavorable than those of other
countries.