Nonlocal cancellation of multi-frequency-channel dispersion
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We present an investigation of the temporal correlation of time-energy entangled photon pairs propagating through multi-frequency-channel dispersive media, in which photon spectra spread over multiple discrete frequency channels with dispersions. We have observed more complex coincidence structures including double coincidence envelopes and dependence on frequency detuning that are absent in the single-channel case. Our results on the correlation of the time-energy photonic entanglement in dispersive media with channel divisions would impact the fields of quantum metrology and communication.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum interference can occur nonlocally. For example, Franson predicted [1] that when normal and anomalous dispersion are applied separately to the two photons of a time-energy entangled photon pair, the effect of dispersion can be remotely canceled in their time correlation. Several interesting and inspiring experiments have been performed, including cancellations through nonlocal [2,3] and local [4–6] interferences. Applications of dispersion cancellation range from quantum communication [7] and clock synchronization [8] to quantum-optical coherence tomography [9]. In particular, large-alphabet quantum key distribution (QKD) [10] with dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) was recently proposed [11] that would take advantage of the classical DWDM fiber-optic communication infrastructure. Classical DWDM systems use multiple frequency (wavelength) channels with passbands that are slightly smaller than the channel spacing, and dispersive components for DWDM are designed to operate within each passband. However, in quantum optics, the spectra of the correlated photons can spread over multiple discrete DWDM channels with dispersions. What would the photon correlation be after time-energy entangled photon pairs propagate through multi-frequency-channel dispersive media rather than continuously dispersive media that are typically assumed in previous quantum interference measurements [1–6]? Answering this question would be critically important for DWDM QKD systems in general for achieving photon-efficient, large-alphabet secure communications. However, such investigations, both theoretical and experimental, have not been reported.

We theoretically and experimentally investigate the effects of dispersion on time-energy entangled photons and its cancellation nonlocally in multi-frequency-channel dispersive media. The system studied here is presented in Fig. 1(a). The difference between this system and the original one proposed by Franson [1] is that the dispersive components include discrete frequency channels and the spectra of signal and idler photons span over multiple frequency channels. For simplicity, we use fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs), dispersive components commonly used in DWDM systems, which contain frequency channels, each with the same frequency bandwidth and the same amount of group-velocity delay (GVD), as shown in Fig. 1(b). We observe the decrease of the temporal correlation, when normal or anomalous dispersion is applied only to signal or idler photons, and the recovery of the temporal correlation, when normal and anomalous dispersions are applied to signal and idler photons, respectively. We also observe unique and more complex coincidence structures including double coincidence envelopes and dependence on frequency detunings that are absent in the single-channel case. We discuss potential applications of this effect in sensitive spectroscopy and quantum communications.

Our paper is organized as follows: Sec. II presents the theory to model the temporal correlation of time-energy entangled photons propagating through multi-frequency-channel dispersive media. Section III shows the experimental investigation and the comparison between theoretical and experimental results. Section IV provides an intuitive, graphic explanation of the double coincidence envelopes to further illustrate the physics of nonlocal cancellation of multi-frequency-channel dispersion. Section V concludes the paper.

II. THEORY

We first model the temporal correlation of time-energy entangled photons propagating through multi-frequency-channel dispersive media and predict what we would see in experiments. The joint detection probability of the detectors D1 and D2 between t1 and t2 = t1 + τ is given by [1–3,5]

\[ P(\tau) = |\langle 0| E^+ (x_2, t_2) E^+ (x_1, t_1) |\Psi \rangle|^2, \]

where \( E^+ (x_i, t_i) = \int \sum_{\omega_i} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{i k_{i\omega} x_i}}{e^{i k_{i\omega} x_i}} \right) \right) \] is the positive frequency part of the electric field operator on detector \( D_i \) (\( i = 1,2 \)); \( j = \sqrt{-1} \); \( |0\rangle \) is the vacuum state; \( \phi_i (\omega_i) \) is an implicit function of \( x_i \). \( |\Psi\rangle = \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \rho (\omega_1, \omega_2) a_1^\dagger (\omega_1) a_2^\dagger (\omega_2) |0\rangle \) is the biphoton-state wave function. \( \rho (\omega_1, \omega_2) \) is the
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joint biphoton spectrum. The list of symbols is also listed in Supplemental Material (SM) Sec. I [12]. The biphoton state can be alternatively written as [1] \( \Psi \propto \int_0^{\infty} d\omega_1 f^2(\omega_1) e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)}|0\rangle \), where \( (k_1, \omega_1), (k_2, \omega_2) \) characterize the modes of signal, idler, and pump photons, respectively. Frequency anticorrelation gives \( \omega_1 = \omega_p/2 + \epsilon \) and \( \omega_2 = \omega_p/2 - \epsilon \). We assume the spectra of signal and idler photons shown in Fig. 1(b) to be Gaussian spectra, \( f(\omega) = e^{-\omega^2-\omega_0^2/2\sigma^2}/2\pi \sigma^2 \). Therefore, the coincidence probability can be calculated by

\[
P(\tau) \propto \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon e^{-\epsilon^2/\sigma^2 + \phi_1(\epsilon) + \phi_2(\epsilon) + j\epsilon\tau} \right]^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) are the phases accumulated by propagating through dispersive media. The detailed derivation of Eq. (1) is shown in SM Sec. III.

Now we consider the phases, \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \). In Fig. 1(b) [also see Fig. S9(b) in the SM], the signal-idler spectra cover multiple frequency channels, which are labeled as \( N = \ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots \). The channel containing \( \omega_p/2 \) is assigned to be \( N = 0 \) and the center of this channel is defined as \( \omega_0 \).

The width of each channel is \( 2\sigma_g \) and the maximum group delay is \( \pm T \). The group delay can be analytically expressed as \( R(\omega,N) = T/\sigma_g (\omega - (\omega_p + 2N\lambda \sigma_g)) \) and \( R^h(\omega,N) = -T/\sigma_g (\omega - (\omega_p + 2N\lambda \sigma_g)) \), where \( \lambda_g \) and \( \lambda_h \) are the channel numbers of signal photons through the normal dispersive component and idler photons through the anomalous dispersive component, respectively. We further define \( \delta \) as the detuning, \( \delta = \omega_p/2 - \omega_0 \), and \( s \) as the sign function of \( \delta \). Because of frequency anticorrelation, the following relations are valid for a particular pair of photons: \( \lambda_g + \lambda_h = 0 \), or \( \lambda_g + \lambda_h = s \). Because \( \phi = -\int \tau(\omega) d\omega \), the phases due to propagation through dispersive media \( N \) and \( \lambda \) are

\[
\phi^N(\epsilon,N) = -\frac{T}{2\sigma_g} [\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon(\delta - 2N\lambda \sigma_g)] + \phi^N_0 \tag{2}
\]

and

\[
\phi^\lambda(\epsilon,N) = \frac{T}{2\sigma_g} [\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon(\delta - 2N\lambda \sigma_g)] + \phi^\lambda_0, \tag{3}
\]

respectively. Equations (2) and (3) should use the plus (minus) sign if the corresponding dispersive component is placed in the stop (start) channel (SM Sec. IV).

We can explicitly write down (SM Secs. V to VIII) the coincidence probability from Eqs. (1)–(3):

\[
P(\tau) \propto \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon e^{-\epsilon^2/\sigma^2 + \phi_1(\epsilon) + \phi_2(\epsilon) + j\epsilon\tau} \right]^2, \tag{4.1}
\]

\[
\sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-jN\delta\omega_p} \int T(2N+1)\sigma_{g-\delta} d\epsilon e^{-\epsilon^2/\sigma^2 + \phi_1(\epsilon) + \phi_2(\epsilon) + j\epsilon\tau} \right]^2, \tag{4.2}
\]

\[
\sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-jN\delta\omega_p} \int T(2N-1)\sigma_{g-\delta} d\epsilon e^{-\epsilon^2/\sigma^2 + \phi_1(\epsilon) + \phi_2(\epsilon) + j\epsilon\tau} \right]^2, \tag{4.3}
\]

\[
\sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} R(2N+1)\sigma_{g-\delta} d\epsilon e^{-\epsilon^2/\sigma^2 + \phi_1(\epsilon) + \phi_2(\epsilon) + j\epsilon\tau} \right]^2 + \sum_{N=-\infty}^{+\infty} R(2N-1)\sigma_{g-\delta} d\epsilon e^{-\epsilon^2/\sigma^2 + \phi_1(\epsilon) + \phi_2(\epsilon) + j\epsilon\tau} \right]^2, \tag{4.4}
\]

Equations (4.1)–(4.4) are coincidence probability with no dispersion, only normal dispersion, only anomalous dispersion, and both dispersion regimes, respectively. We define \( R = e^{-t^2/\sigma^2} \) as the instrument response function, in which \( \sigma_{g} \) is dominated by the timing jitter of the coincidence counting system. The coincidence probability, considering timing jitter, is the convolution of \( R \) and \( P \):

\[
P_j(t) \propto R(t) \otimes P(\tau).
\]

We can obtain the following insights about nonlocal cancellation of multi-frequency-channel dispersion by carefully examining Eq. (4). With no dispersive components along the path of photon-pair propagation, the coincidence
probability, $P(\tau)$ in Eq. (4.1), is analytically integrable: 

$$P(\tau) \propto \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2}},$$

showing a Gaussian coincidence histogram with a full width at half maxima (FWHM) of $2\ln 2/\sigma_t$ (SM Sec. V). Equations (4.2) and (4.3) represent the noncancellation cases with one photon in the pair propagating through normal and anomalous dispersive components, respectively. Similar to Franson’s original case without channel divisions [1], the $\pm j(T/2\sigma_g)e^{2\tau}$ terms broaden the histogram; additionally, absent in Franson’s case, a detuning ($\epsilon$)- and channel ($N$)-dependent phase term, $j(T/2\sigma_g)2\epsilon(2N-2\pi\sigma_g)$, in each integral, complicates the coincidence structure. We solve this numerically for these two cases. What is particularly interesting is the dispersion-cancellation case in Eq. (4.4). Similar to Franson’s case [1], the $\pm j(T/2\sigma_g)e^{2\tau}$ terms are absent, showing the cancellation of GVD. In the case that $\sigma_g \ll \sigma_t$, i.e., the photon spectrum spans over many channels, $P(\tau)$ can be well approximated by (SM Sec. IX for the approximation and SM Sec. II for the definitions of various functions) 

$$\text{Gauss}^2(\tau+2T\delta/\sigma_g)\otimes[\text{sinc}^2(\tau + 2T\delta/\sigma_g) \times \text{Comb}(\tau+2T\delta/\sigma_g)] + \text{Gauss}^2(\tau + 2T\delta/\sigma_g - 2sT)\otimes[\text{sinc}^2(\tau + 2T\delta/\sigma_g - 2sT) \times \text{Comb}(\tau + 2T\delta/\sigma_g - 2sT)],$$

which guides us towards the following predictions: (1) $P(\tau)$ is composed of two groups of Gaussian peaks, modulated by sinc$^2$ functions, and the physical origin of the two groups, instead of one group or three or more, is due to the two time differences between correlated photons; (2) these two sinc$^2$ functions center at $-2T\delta/\sigma_g$ and $2T(s-\frac{1}{2})/\sigma_g$. (3) The FWHM of the Gaussian peaks is $2\ln 2/\sigma_t$, identical with the FWHM in the case without dispersive components; (4) the spacing between adjacent Gaussian peaks in each group is $\frac{1}{\sigma_g}$; (5) the maximum of the first group of peaks, centered at $-2T\delta/\sigma_g$, is proportional to $(\sigma_g - |\delta|)^2$; the maximum of the second group of peaks, centered at $2T(s - \frac{1}{2})$, is proportional to $\delta^2$; (6) the distance between two first zeros of the first sinc$^2$ function is $\frac{\delta}{\sigma_g}$, and therefore, the FWHM of this sinc$^2$ function is approximately $\frac{\pi}{\delta}$, the distance between two first zeros of the second sinc$^2$ function is $\frac{2\pi}{\sigma_g}$, and therefore, the FWHM of this sinc$^2$ function is approximately $\frac{\pi}{\sigma_g}$. Finally, carefully examining Eq. (4.4) leads to observation (7): At special detuning $\delta = 0$, $\sigma_t$, and $-\sigma_t$, Eq. (4.4) is identical to Eq. (4.1), representing a complete cancellation of both GVD and group-velocity mismatch. The sensitive dependence of the centers, maxima, and widths of the two envelopes on the detuning, $\delta$, would be useful for sensing the shift of the biphoton spectrum, in applications such as spectroscopy and quantum communications. In what follows, we present our experimental observations.

III. EXPERIMENT

We measured the temporal correlation of time-energy entangled photons after propagation through multi-frequency-channel dispersive components, using the setup shown in Fig. 1(a). Photon pairs were generated by pumping a 15-mm-long periodically poled potassium titanyl phosphate (PPKTP) waveguide (from AdvR) with a Ti:sapphire tunable laser. The wavelength of the laser was initially tuned to be 778.820 nm ($\omega_0/2\pi = 384.932 \text{ THz}$) and its linewidth was approximately 500 kHz. The temperature of the PPKTP was stabilized at 24.8 °C. Type II quasi-phase matching in the PPKTP waveguide produced orthogonally polarized signal and idler photons, separable by a fiber polarizing beam splitter. The spectra of signal and idler photons were measured by a spatial grating and a charge-coupled device. The signal and idler photons were degenerate at the central wavelength of 1557.640 nm ($\omega_0/2\pi = 192.466 \text{ THz}$) with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 1.6 nm (2$\sqrt{\ln 2}/2\pi = 198$ GHz) [14], as shown in Fig. 1(b). Two FBG’s (from Teraxion) were used as multi-frequency-channel dispersive components. The channel widths for both FBGs were 0.4 nm ($2\sigma_g/2\pi = 48 \text{ GHz}$). The center of channel 0 was at 1557.54 nm ($\omega_0/2\pi = 192.478 \text{ THz}$). The maximum positive and negative group delays, $T$ and $-T$, in each channel, were 350 and $-350$ ps, respectively. The GVD in each channel, $\beta_2L$, is therefore calculated to be $\pm 2321 \text{ ps}^2$. The spectra of group delay [13] are plotted in Fig. 1(b). Temporal correlations were measured by coincident counting using two self-differencing InGaAs/InP single-photon avalanche diodes (SPADs) [14] and a time-to-amplitude converter (HydraHar 400 from PicQuant). The SPADs were individually and sinusoidally gated at 628.5 MHz and their outputs were sent to start and stop channels of the HydraHarp with 4-ps timing resolution and $<$20-ps timing jitter for acquiring coincidence histograms. The two gate signals could be delayed relative to each other using an electronic phase shifter. At each gate delay, $\tau$, coincidence counts were recorded and accidental counts were then subtracted.

We first measured the temporal correlations of the photon pairs at one detuning, $\delta/2\pi = 0.5\sigma_g/2\pi = 12 \text{ GHz}$. In Fig. 2, open squares are experimental data; error bars are one standard deviation according to Poissonian statistics of the photon pairs. Figure 2 also present the numerical results of $P(\tau)$ and $P_2(\tau)$ in dashed and solid lines, respectively. In Fig. 2(a), without dispersive components, the measured photon correlation shows a FWHM of 353 ps through Gaussian fitting; the broadening was dominated by the instrument response time of the coincidence counting system. Thus, we approximated $\sigma_t$ to be $353/(2\sqrt{\ln 2})$ ps. In Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), with only normal or only anomalous dispersion, Gaussian fittings to the data show FWHMs of 623 and 659 ps, respectively, indicating the decrease of correlations. We found by numerical simulation that in these two cases with only normal or anomalous dispersion, the width of $P_2$ is almost independent of the detuning, $\delta$, in our studied regime $\sigma_t \gg \sigma_g$. In Fig. 2(d), with both dispersions, the data clearly show double peaks, corresponding to the two sinc$^2$ envelopes with broadening by $R(\tau)$. The data show the widths of 370 ps (left) and 361 ps (right) for these two peaks. Although numerically similar to the original width in Fig. 2(a), these widths in Fig. 2(d) are the result of combined effects of the cancellation of GVD and the channel division of the dispersive components. This double-peak feature in the temporal correlation is dramatically different from what was predicted [1] and observed [2] previously, without channel divisions. Our theoretical results, $P_2(\tau)$, match the experimental data. Limited by the timing resolution of the SPADs, we unfortunately could not resolve...
the individual Gaussian peaks, as shown in dashed lines, in each envelope. These Gaussian peaks with spacings $\frac{\pi}{\sigma_g} = 21\text{ps}$ might be barely resolvable by superconducting-nanowire single-photon detectors [15] with sub-30-ps timing jitter [16]. Using dispersive components with smaller $\sigma_g$ would relax the stringent requirement for the timing resolution of the single-photon detectors.

We then investigated temporal correlations after GVD cancellation at different detuning, $\delta$. Because of the periodic nature of dispersion of the FBGs used, the correlation histogram with a detuning of $\delta$ is equivalent to and theoretically identical to the correlation histogram with a detuning of $\delta - 2N\sigma_g$. We therefore tuned the pump wavelength so that the signal-idler spectra swept over one period, from 1557.54 nm ($w g /2\pi = 192.478\text{THz}$) to 1557.34 nm ($w g + 2\sigma_g /2\pi = 192.503\text{ THz}$), with a step size of $-0.05\text{nm}$ (3.1 GHz). Our calculation based on the phase-matching condition of the current waveguide spontaneous-parametric-down-conversion source shows that changing the pump wavelength from the degenerate pumping wavelength by $0.2\text{nm}$ would yield a $0.02\text{nm}$ (5% of the channel width) nondegeneracy of signal and idler photons; thus, we assume their degeneracy throughout our theoretical modeling. Figures 3(a)–3(h) show the evolution of the observed double peaks at different detunings, $\delta$. Experimental and numerical data are normalized to the maxima of their counterparts in panel (a). Two observed peaks are labeled as 1 and 2. (i) Relative total coincidence [normalized to the total coincidence in case (a)] in each peak obtained through fitting. (j) Peak timing obtained through fitting. Black squares, peak 1; red (gray) dots, peak 2; dashed lines, theoretical results.
way and the total coincidences are kept constant. The timing of the two envelopes both moves towards the \(-\tau\) direction with increasing \(\delta\), with the time difference between these two envelopes kept constant: \(2T = 700\) ps. At special detunings, \(\delta = 0\) and \(\delta = \sigma_g\), we only observed one peak and the other peak diminished, representing complete nonlocal dispersion cancellation of not only GVD but also the group-delay mismatch. These observations are all summarized in Figs. 3(i) and 3(j), showing the relative total number of coincidence included in each peak and the timing of the peaks, respectively. The experimental results quantitatively agree with the theory.

IV. A GRAPHIC EXPLANATION OF THE DOUBLE OR SINGLE COINCIDENCE ENVELOPE RESULTING FROM NONLOCAL CANCELLATION OF MULTI-FREQUENCY-CHANNEL DISPERSION

To further illustrate the physics of nonlocal cancellation of multi-frequency-channel dispersion, we provide an intuitive, graphic explanation of the double envelopes at general detunings (\(\delta \neq 0\) and \(\delta \neq \sigma_g\)) and the single peaks at special detunings (\(\delta = 0\) and \(\delta = \sigma_g\)). (a) At a general detuning (\(\delta \neq 0\) and \(\delta \neq \sigma_g\)), the signal and idler photons experience different group delays resulting from the dispersive components. The group-delay differences have only two values: for the biphotons falling into the spectral region filled with open and filled circles, the group-delay differences are \(\Delta T_1\) and \(\Delta T_2\), respectively, as shown in panel (b). These two group-delay differences correspond to two envelopes in the coincidence histogram. (c) At the detuning of \(\delta = 0\), the signal photon and its twin idler photon always experience the same group delay, corresponding to one peak at \(\tau = 0\) in the coincidence histogram. (d) At the detuning of \(\delta = \sigma_g\), the signal photon and its twin idler photon always experience the same group delay, corresponding to one peak at \(\tau = 0\) in the coincidence histogram.

FIG. 4. (Color online) A graphic explanation of the double envelopes at general detunings (\(\delta \neq 0\) and \(\delta \neq \sigma_g\)) and the single peaks at special detunings (\(\delta = 0\) and \(\delta = \sigma_g\)). (a) At a general detuning (\(\delta \neq 0\) and \(\delta \neq \sigma_g\)), the signal and idler photons experience different group delays resulting from the dispersive components. The group-delay differences have only two values: for the biphotons falling into the spectral region filled with open and filled circles, the group-delay differences are \(\Delta T_1\) and \(\Delta T_2\), respectively, as shown in panel (b). These two group-delay differences correspond to two envelopes in the coincidence histogram. (c) At the detuning of \(\delta = 0\), the signal photon and its twin idler photon always experience the same group delay, corresponding to one peak at \(\tau = 0\) in the coincidence histogram. (d) At the detuning of \(\delta = \sigma_g\), the signal photon and its twin idler photon always experience the same group delay, corresponding to one peak at \(\tau = 0\) in the coincidence histogram.

V. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the temporal correlation of time-energy entangled photon pairs propagating through multi-frequency-channel dispersive components. Our investigations and results, in particular the unique features in coincidence histogram, would impact quantum metrology and communication. Because the total coincidences included in each envelope and the timing of each envelope are both sensitive to the detuning, as shown in Figs. 3(i) and 3(j), either of them could be used for photon spectroscopy and Doppler velocimetry. Even narrower channel widths, \(2\sigma_g\), are technically feasible; therefore, a tiny wavelength shift, \(\delta\), of the biphoton can be sensed. This effect can also be used in quantum communication to detect eavesdropping that may result in spectral changes of the photon pairs. In DWDM QKD systems, when photon pairs are tuned at the center or edges of a channel of the dispersive
components, their correlations can be fully recovered through multi-frequency-channel dispersive propagation.
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