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ABSTRACT

This paper reports on studies of the edge transport barrier and transition threshold of the High Confinement (H) mode of operation on the Alcator C-Mod tokamak [I. H. Hutchinson et al, Phys. Plasmas 1, 1511 (1994)], over a wide range of toroidal field (2.6-7.86 T) and plasma current (0.4-1.7 MA). The H-mode power threshold and edge temperature at the transition increase with field. Barrier widths, pressure limits and confinement are nearly independent of field at constant current, but the operational space at high B shifts towards higher temperature and lower density and collisionality. Experiments with reversed field and current show that scrape-off-layer flows in the high field side depend primarily on configuration. In configurations with the B×∇B drift away from the active X-point, these flows lead to more counter-current core rotation which apparently contributes to higher H-mode thresholds. In the unfavorable case, edge temperature thresholds are higher and slow evolution of profiles indicates a reduction in thermal transport prior to the transition in particle confinement. Pedestal temperatures in this case are also higher than in the favorable configuration. Both high field and reversed field results suggest that parameters at the L-H transition are influencing the evolution and parameters of the H-mode pedestal.

I. Introduction and Background

This paper reports on results obtained in recent H-mode experiments on the compact, high field, Alcator C-Mod tokamak 1 over extended operational parameters, in particular higher magnetic field B_T, up to 7.85 T, and unfavorable B×∇B drift direction. The high confinement, or “H-
mode” regime, first observed on ASDEX\textsuperscript{2}, is characterized by a steepening of edge temperature and density gradients due to reduced transport - the so-called edge “pedestal”. This in turn, through stiffness in the temperature profile\textsuperscript{3} and generally flat density profiles, leads to increases in total stored energy $W$ and global energy confinement time $\tau_E$; $W$ is well correlated on C-mod as several other experiments with the pedestal pressure $p_{\text{ped}}$\textsuperscript{4}. H-modes have now been observed on C-Mod for over ten years\textsuperscript{5}, and studied with an increasingly detailed array of high resolution diagnostics, as recently reviewed\textsuperscript{6}. H-mode regimes on C-Mod are somewhat different than on most other tokamaks. Most common, and most extensively studied, are the edge localized mode (ELM)-free regime, which has low particle transport and leads to transient H-modes with ramping electron density $n_e$ and radiated power $P_{\text{rad}}$, and the Enhanced D-alpha (EDA) regime. The latter is characterized by a continuous edge ‘quasicoherent’ (QC) mode, which increases effective edge particle diffusivity, $D_{\text{eff}}$ and enables long, steady H-modes with constant $n_e$ and lower $P_{\text{rad}}$\textsuperscript{7}. This regime is favored by higher safety factor, $q_{95}$, and pedestal collisionality, $\nu^{*}_{\text{ped}}$, and occurs at moderate edge pressure, with normalized pressure gradient $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ below the peeling-ballooning stability limit\textsuperscript{8}. When $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ is increased, the QC mode weakens or broadens, and small, irregular ELMs are seen. This regime is likely related to Type II or grassy ELMs seen on other devices, as recently reviewed\textsuperscript{9}. A regime of larger, discrete ELMs has recently been observed with strong shaping and low $\nu^{*}_{\text{ped}}$\textsuperscript{10,11}.

Alcator C-Mod relies exclusively on RF heating, primarily ion cyclotron resonance heating (ICRH), with 8 MW of source power. For near-central heating, the $B_T$ is thus restricted by the ICRH frequency and scenario. Half of the source power is fixed at 80 MHz, while the remaining 4 MW is tunable from 50-80 MHz. Most prior H-mode threshold and pedestal studies have been done at $B_T \sim 5.4$ T, with D(H) minority heating at 78-80 MHz, with extension in 2005 down to 2.6 T using 2\textsuperscript{nd} harmonic heating, and at $I_p$ range 0.4-1.5 MA. To summarize these results, $n_e$ and $T_e$ pedestal widths measured using high resolution Edge Thomson Scattering (ETS)\textsuperscript{12} are extremely narrow, typically 2-5 mm, and generally do not show systematic scalings with $B_T$, $I_p$, or pedestal density, $n_{\text{ped}}$\textsuperscript{13,14}, in contrast to some results elsewhere\textsuperscript{15,16}. There are some exceptions to this rule. In particular the density pedestal becomes wider at very low (for C-Mod) $n_{\text{ped}}$ ($\sim 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$)
and $I_p$ (~400 kA) or with strong shaping. Under normal operation, though, widths are fixed, and pedestal heights are dominated by scalings of the gradients in $n_e$, $T_e$ and $p_e$. These, in turn, appear to depend on transport in the barrier region. In EDA H-modes, pedestal $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ is restricted to a band which increases at lower $v_{\text{ped}}^*$, suggesting a possible connection to critical-gradient models as in the scrape-off layer (SOL)\(^{17}\). Analysis of the density sources and pedestal shows that, at the higher densities of most C-Mod operation, ionization is primarily in the SOL, and also that a particle diffusivity “well” exists in the pedestal region, with decreasing $D_{\text{eff}}$ at higher $I_p$. $n_{\text{ped}}$ thus scales strongly with $I_p$, with a weaker dependence on the density of the target plasma, $\sim n_{e,L}^{0.4}$. Gas fuelling efficiency into an established H-mode is very low, particularly at high $I_p$.\(^{18,19}\) Understanding the intrinsic scaling of the density pedestal is thus key to predicting the accessible pedestal parameters and need for other fuelling methods on future experiments such as ITER.

High power thresholds at the maximum C-Mod $B_T$ of 8 T, coupled with the need to use D(He\(^3\)) ICRH, which has lower single-pass absorption, make H-mode experiments challenging; a few short-lived 8 T H-modes were produced in early C-Mod operation which confirmed high L-H power thresholds\(^{20}\), but few high-resolution diagnostics were then available. Recent experiments to optimize D(He\(^3\)) heating succeeded in demonstrating efficiencies and confinement comparable to D(H) heating. New experimental results on H-mode pedestals and thresholds including $B_T$ and $I_p$ up to 7.85 T and 1.7 MA, as summarized in the operational space plot shown in Figure 1, are reported in Section II. These reveal in particular some differences in density pedestal scaling, and lower collisionality at higher field.

The transition from L-mode to H-mode has also been studied extensively on Alcator C-Mod\(^6\). Early experiments showed, for given $I_p$, $B_T$ and magnetic configuration, a local threshold condition in edge $T_e$ or a closely related parameter\(^{20}\). It was noted that this ‘threshold $T_e$’ increased with $B_T$, and was about a factor of two higher for plasmas with unfavorable magnetic configuration, i.e. with $B \times \nabla B$ drifts away from the active X-point, though the reason for the latter was unclear. Consistent results were also found on other tokamaks\(^{21,22}\). Recent SOL flow
measurements have provided insight into a possible reason for the configuration dependence on the threshold. Strong parallel flows are observed along field lines to the active divertor\textsuperscript{23,24}. These flows, apparently driven by ballooning-like transport fluxes, are highest at the high-field side (HFS), reaching near-sonic speeds. HFS flows reverse direction when the configuration is changed from lower single null (LSN) to upper null (USN) and are small in double null. Interestingly, these flows correlate well with core toroidal rotation $V_{\text{tor}}(0)$ in ohmic L-modes, apparently providing a variable boundary condition. It should be noted that all C-Mod plasmas are free of external torque. Intrinsic core flows also have other components, which are complex and poorly understood; $V_{\text{tor}}$ tends to increment in a co-$I_p$ direction when plasma pressure increases\textsuperscript{25}. Interestingly, $V_{\text{tor}}(0)$ was at about the same value at the L-H transition in all three configurations in the 5.4 T, 0.8 MA discharges studied, suggesting that a parameter related to the rotation is important for the transition and that the difference in edge flows may be responsible for the differences in threshold, though not necessarily for the L-H transition itself\textsuperscript{24}.

Comparisons of different configurations leave some ambiguities, since upper and lower divertor geometries on C-Mod are quite different. New experiments have been conducted which compare flows and L-H thresholds in the same configuration, with fields and currents reversed. These results are presented in Section III. Evolution of edge thermal transport before the transitions in the unfavorable case, similar in several respects to observations on ASDEX Upgrade\textsuperscript{22} is discussed. Comparisons of pedestal parameters also reveal some differences with field direction. These and other implications of the expanded C-Mod results, including apparent connections between threshold and pedestal parameters, are discussed in Section IV.

II H-mode experiments including high magnetic field

A. L-H threshold

As expected, the H-mode power threshold rises with $B_T$. Figure 2 compares total power $P_{\text{thresh}}$ ($P_{\text{RF}} + P_{\text{ohmic}}$, assuming 80% RF absorption efficiency) at the L-H transition (a) and $T_e$ at the 95% flux surface (b, measured at nearest preceding ETS profile) for discharges at 5.4 T and 7.85 T. $P_{\text{thresh}}$ is typically 2.7-4 MW at $B_T$ = 7.85 T, with a few cases as high as 5 MW at $I_p$ = 1.7 MA, though the $I_p$ dependence has not been systematically explored. Prior global scalings of $P_{\text{thresh}}$,
mainly at lower field, include \( P_{\text{thresh}}=1.42 \, n_0^{0.58} \, B_T^{0.82} \, R^{1.0} \, a^{0.81} \), from multi-machine scalings including C-Mod data, and \( P_{\text{thresh}}=1.49 \, n_0^{0.59} \, B_T^{1.05} \, R^{0.75} \, a^{0.84} \) from C-Mod data alone\(^\text{26}\). The new 8 T data suggest an even stronger dependence on \( B_T \). However, possible differences in RF absorption in the two heating scenarios could affect the coefficient, and more study would be needed before modifying scaling expressions. Edge \( T \), and \( \nabla T \), are also significantly increased at the higher \( B_T \). Transition temperature is correlated with \( P_{\text{thresh}}/n_0 \), as shown in Figure 2(c), indicating approximately constant edge transport. It should be noted that these were not carefully controlled threshold experiments with power slowly ramped or stepped in small increments, which accounts for the larger scatter than in prior studies\(^\text{20}\). However, the general trend is clear; edge \( T_e \) at the high-B transition, up to 400 eV, is comparable to H-mode \( T_{ped} \) in many lower B discharges. This initial condition very likely affects the subsequent \( n-T \) trajectory of the following H-mode.

**B. Pedestal parameter scaling**

Electron pedestal parameters have been determined for a large dataset of H-mode discharges at near-constant shape, now over an expanded range \( 0.4 \leq I_p \leq 1.7 \text{ MA} \) and \( 2.6 < B_T \leq 7.85 \text{ T} \). Pedestal heights and widths are determined using a fit to a modified tanh function\(^\text{13}\). All pedestal widths continue to be largely invariant with \( I_p \) and \( B_T \) despite the factor of 4 and 3 ranges respectively, essentially ruling out a simple functional dependence on \( \rho_{pol} \) or \( \rho_{tor} \). The dataset also spans a wide range of collisionality at the pedestal, \( \nu^{*}_{ped} = 0.44-12 \), defined as the ratio of the collision frequency to the bounce frequency, \( \nu_e^* = 6.921 \times 10^{18} q_{95} R_n Z_{eff} \ln \Lambda / (T_e^{2/3} \varepsilon^{3/2}) \). There is little evident dependence of widths on \( \nu_{ped}^{*} \).

As noted above, the exception seems to be wider pedestals at the lowest \( I_p \) and \( n_{ped} \). The most apparent difference in high B discharges is in the pedestal density. The new 7.85 T data fall significantly (~30 %) below the linear scaling dependence of \( n_{ped} \) with \( I_p \) found over the B range 2.6-6.5 T, as shown in Figure 3. There is still a fairly narrow range of \( n_{ped} \) for given \( I_p \) and \( B_T \), indicating that \( n_{ped} \) is largely determined by its target parameters. It should be noted that gas feedback is used to set the L-Mode target density and in most cases there is no external fuelling in H-mode. However, the 5-8 T data are better fit by an inverse dependence on \( q_{95} \), as indicated
by the blue and red points in Figure 4. A $q_{95}^{-1}$ dependence of $\nabla n$ would be consistent with particle diffusivity $D_{\text{eff}}$ scaling with $q_{95}^{14}$. However, lower B density pedestals lie systematically below such a scaling, as indicated by the green points (2.6-4 T) in Fig. 4. The density pedestal dependence is apparently more complex than previously suggested using data obtained in a narrower range of operating space.

While there is a range of pedestal pressures depending on the net power flux, the limiting pressure appears approximately independent of $B_T$ for given $I_p$. This is despite the fact that most of these H-modes do not show evident large-scale MHD but are either EDA or ELM-free. The $p_{\text{ped}}$ limit is consistent with a limiting $\alpha_{\text{MHD}} = 2\mu_0 q^2 R p' / B^2$, which depends on $I_p$ rather than B due to the q dependence. However, the lower $n_e$ at higher B (higher $q_{95}$) shifts the balance between $n_{\text{ped}}$ and $T_{\text{ped}}$ towards higher temperature. This is illustrated in Figure 5, which compares discharges of matched shape, $I_p=1.2$ MA and input power 2.5 MW, at 5.4 and 7.85 T. The 7.85 T discharge has lower $n_{\text{ped}}$ and 43% higher $T_{\text{ped}}$. Notably, the pressure profiles overlay closely. The similarity of $p_{\text{ped}}$ implies, through profile stiffness, that global stored energy and confinement are also roughly independent of B at fixed $I_p$, which is found to be the case. Other high field discharges have $T_{\text{ped}}$ up to 930 keV.

C. H-mode regimes and operation space

The higher $T_{\text{ped}}$ and lower $n_{\text{ped}}$ typical of high B discharges leads to significantly reduced $\nu^*_{\text{ped}}$. Figure 6 shows the operational space of $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$, calculated at the pedestal midpoint assuming $p_i=p_e$, vs $\nu^*$ at the pedestal for 7.8-7.9 T discharges. We take $Z_{\text{eff}}=1$ in this computation since accurate edge ion and impurity data are not always available. $\nu^*$ is thus a lower bound; average $Z_{\text{eff}}$ is typically between 1 and 2 in C-Mod. These are compared with a well characterized set of steady EDA discharges at 4.5-6 T, from a prior analysis of pressure pedestal scalings$.^{13}$ The high B discharges are at lower $\nu^*$ and/or lower $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ than the typical EDA operational space. Likely for this reason, most of these H-modes were transient in nature, with $n_e$ and $P_{\text{rad}}$ rising. Many (solid circles) were completely ELM-free. Some discharges at $q_{95} > 6$ had a quasi-coherent mode (open circles). However, its amplitude was apparently too weak to cause steady electron and impurity density. Previous studies have shown that the QC mode amplitude can vary
continuously between ELM-free (no QC) and steady EDA discharges, and is correlated with experimental $D_{\text{eff}}^{27}$. The weak EDA discharges continue the trend of higher $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ with reduced $\nu^*$, and extend the lower range of $\nu^*_{\text{ped}}$ for this regime to 0.44. Both of these trends enhance the regime’s interest for burning plasma experiments. One discharge, at 1.3 MA ($q_{95}=4.1$) developed a few large, discrete ELMs with low baseline $D_\alpha$, with $\sim 10\%$ drops in pedestal $T_e$.

III. Influence of field and current direction and magnetic configuration

A. Scrape-off-layer flows and plasma rotation

Motivated by the interesting results on SOL flows, threshold and rotation described in Section I, experiments were carried out to compare these parameters with different field directions. In typical C-Mod operation, referred to here as ‘Normal B’ or ‘Forward B’, $B \times \nabla B$ points downward to the closed lower divertor. Both field and current were reversed in direction in the ‘Reversed B’ case, keeping the same magnetic helicity but reversing $B \times \nabla B$ so that it pointed toward the top of the vacuum vessel. The Reversed B plasmas analyzed here had $B_T = 5.4$ T and $I_p = 800$ kA, as for previous comparisons of different magnetic configurations$^{24}$. As shown in Figure 7, the direction of parallel flows in the high-field-side SOL is unaffected by the change in field direction. In both cases the parallel Mach number, measured 2 mm outside the separatrix, is positive for lower single null (LSN) configurations (downward-pointing triangles) and negative for upper single null (USN). This is consistent with the flows being dominantly driven by transport fluxes which are largest at the outer midplane, and then flow along field lines to the active X-point$^{23}$. Such flows should depend only on configuration, not field or current direction. However, because the direction of $I_p$ as well as $B_T$ was reversed, the parallel component of these flows is counter-$I_p$ in Reversed B LSN, and co-$I_p$ in Normal B LSN. Conversely, flows are counter-$I_p$ in Normal B USN and co-$I_p$ in Reversed B USN. In contrast to the inner SOL, parallel flows in the outer, low field side, SOL tend to be smaller and show a near-symmetrical change in sign as the direction of the magnetic field is reversed. This response is consistent with the dominant flow components in the outer SOL being a combination of co-current plasma rotation and Pfirsch-Schluter ion currents. These details, as well as some shifts in the operational space of SOL profiles, will be presented elsewhere. The essential result for threshold
Core toroidal rotation in ohmic plasmas does change direction in the lab frame of reference, as shown by the top panel of Figure 8 which plots initial values of $V_{\text{tor}}(0)$ vs $\bar{n}_e$, before ICRF, for a number of LSN discharges. The sign convention is such that positive values are co-$I_p$ in Normal B and counter-$I_p$ in Reversed B. Ohmic rotation is counter-$I_p$ current in both cases, consistent with intrinsic rotation being current-related\(^2\). However, the $V_{\text{tor}}$ values vary; on average $V_{\text{tor}}$ is more counter-current ($\sim$30 km/s) in Reversed B LSN as compared to Normal B ($\sim$10 km/s). This is consistent with the counter-$I_p$ SOL flows adding to the core rotation in Reversed B (unfavorable case), and co-$I_p$ flows partially offsetting it in Normal B. The converse is true in USN plasmas, which are more strongly counter-current for Normal B (again the unfavorable case) than Reversed B.

B. L-H Thresholds and evolution of profiles and transport before the transition.

Figure 8 also shows the L-H total power thresholds for the same LSN plasma conditions (b). As expected, $P_{\text{L-H}}$ is significantly higher for the Reversed field (unfavorable) case, by a factor of 2 or more (2.7-3.7 MW, increasing weakly with density, compared to 1.1-1.7 MW for LSN). As shown in Fig. 8(c), edge $T_e$ at the transition increases by an even greater factor. As found in prior studies, $T_{e,95}$ for Normal B LSN is $\sim$100-200 eV; the discharges here were not controlled ramp discharges, and, as for the $B_T$ comparison, the points represent simply the nearest preceding ETS measurement. In Reversed B and at midrange densities, $\bar{n}_e$$\sim$1.8- x$10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, $T_{e,95}$ at the L-H transition is $\sim$400 eV, in approximate agreement with previous measurements in unfavorable drift direction\(^2\). Edge $VT_e$ increases by a comparable fraction. More unexpected is the strong increase at lower $n_e$, below $\bar{n}_e$=1.5 x$10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, with $T_{e,95}$ up to 770 eV. This behavior was not seen in a small number of prior Reversed B H-modes produced in 2000 at similar $n_e$, and H-modes in Normal B have been achieved at lower densities\(^2\), with a minimum in $P_{\text{thresh}}$ at about 0.9 x$10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$. While the increase might suggest proximity to a low density limit, there is not a corresponding increase in the power threshold. $T_{e,95}$ values at high density ($\bar{n}_e > 2 x10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$) are lower, typically 300 eV, approaching those seen in Normal B. Transition temperature is
plotted vs $P_{\text{thresh}}/n_e$ in Fig. 8(d). In contrast to the $B_T$ scan of Fig. 2, there is a strong non-linearity indicating changing edge thermal transport as discussed below.

Edge profiles and rotation in Reversed B LSN typically evolve over several energy confinement times before reaching the L-H threshold conditions, particularly evident for the lower $n_e$ cases. Figure 9 shows time traces for one such discharge, with ohmic target $\bar{n}_e = 1.1 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$. 3.4 MW of ICRH is turned on at 0.7 s, causing a gradual increase in $\bar{n}_e$ to $1.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$; there is no apparent change in density gradient, or in $D_\alpha$ emission, until a clear L-H transition at 0.802 s. For comparison, $\tau_E$ is 30-40 ms during the L-mode phase. During this interval $V_{\text{tor}}(0)$ gradually decreases from 30 km/s (counter-$I_p$) to $\sim$10 km/s. Edge $T_e$ and $T_i$ continuously increase, more rapidly starting at 0.772 s, when a break-in-slope is seen. Steep $T_e$ gradients develop in the outer 2-3 mm of the plasma, with $\nabla P_e/n_e$ reaching $\sim$200 keV/m. Since $P_i$ and $n_e$ are constant, edge thermal transport must be decreasing during this period; an edge power balance for $0.97 \leq \psi \leq 1$ for this discharge shows $\chi_{\text{eff}}$ dropping from 0.4 m$^2$/s to 0.14 m$^2$/s. Unlike the later L-H transition, this change appears to be a slow, second-order change in transport. It is accompanied by gradual changes in broadband fluctuations, seen most clearly on magnetic coils near the outer midplane; magnetic fluctuations $\tilde{B}$ decrease in the 50-100 kHz band but increase at $f > 150$ kHz. The integral of $\tilde{B}$ over 5-250 kHz decreases by typically 45%. Stored energy also increases, with $H$ rising to $\sim$1.6 in L-mode, similar to observations of ‘improved L-mode’ on ASDEX Upgrade$^{22}$. A more complete description and analysis of this slow change in confinement will be presented separately. It should be noted that this evolution in $V_{\text{tor}}$ and edge $T$ is also typically seen in Normal B USN plasmas$^{24,30}$, but has not been observed in favorable magnetic configuration, even when edge thresholds are comparably high as for example in 7.8 T H-modes.

The SOL flows, stronger ohmic counter-current rotation and subsequent decrease, higher power threshold, and higher edge $T$ and $V_T$ with Reversed B as compared to Normal B LSN discharges are all consistent with prior results varying magnetic configuration at fixed $B$ direction. In the ‘unfavorable case’, the SOL flows appear to increment the core rotation, and presumably conditions at the plasma edge, in such a way that ohmic conditions are further from the threshold 9
conditions for an H-mode transition. Higher input powers are then needed to counteract these unfavorable conditions and achieve the transition. If, as is widely held, a critical $E_r$ shear is needed, the flows either modify the threshold condition, or lead to $E_r$ components (through $V_{pol}$ or $V_{tor}$) which counteract the larger diamagnetic term $\nabla P/n$ before a transport bifurcation occurs.

C. H-mode pedestals and regimes of operation

Following the L-H transition in particle confinement, H-mode pedestals in both temperature and density develop as usual in Reversed B LSN discharges. Edge $\chi_{\text{eff}}$ drops sharply, to 0.05 m$^2$/s in the discharge shown in Fig. 9, and fluctuations decrease markedly across all frequency bands. The widths, pressure gradients and confinement in fully developed H-modes are similar to those in Normal B H-modes of similar I and B. The most apparent difference is, as was the case for high field H-modes, in the pedestal $T_e$ and $n_e$. Figure 10 compares $n-T$ operational spaces for 5.4 T, 800 kA Reversed B H-modes, including many of the discharges from Fig. 8, with a set of 5.4 T H-modes, mainly at 900 kA, in the same shape but at Normal B. Time slices are at least 40 ms after an L-H transition to avoid transients, and again no external fuelling is used during the H-mode. The target density and power ranges for the two data sets were similar, $n_e = 1.3 - 2.7 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, and $P_{\text{RF}} \leq 4.4$ MW. The bulk of the Reversed B H-modes are at higher $T_{\text{ped}}$, up to 900 eV, than the Normal B H-modes, which mainly have $T_{\text{ped}}$ 200-550 eV. Pedestal densities in Reversed B are lower. These differences have a large effect on $v^{*}_{\text{ped}}$, with most Reversed B pedestals at 0.33-2 (again assuming $Z_{\text{eff}} = 1$). $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ ranges typically from 6 to 13, higher than is usual at this field. Comparing with Figure 6, it is seen that the normalized operational space of 5.4 T Reversed B H-modes is more closely aligned with the 7.9 T Normal B pedestals than those at 5.4 T, an unexpected result. Likely related to this, and again similar to the 7.9 T case, most Reversed B H-modes tended to be rather transient in nature, as opposed to the steady EDA typical of Normal B 5.4 T H-modes. Some of the Reversed B LSN H-mode plasmas had weak QC modes, indicating the presence of EDA and providing the opportunity to measure the propagation direction of the mode in this configuration. Previous measurements have shown that the QC mode propagates with $k \cdot B$ approximately 0 in the electron diamagnetic drift (poloidal projection), counter-$I_p$ direction (toroidal projection)$^{31}$. In the reversed B, reversed $I_p$ LSN
configuration the QC mode propagation also reversed, remaining in the electron diamagnetic/counter-\(I_p\) direction. This observation rules out hypotheses that its direction is set by edge flows or x-point configuration.

**IV. Discussion and Conclusions**
The C-Mod experiments reported here have extended studies of the H-mode formation and pedestal to new operational parameters, in particular to higher field, and to unfavorable magnetic configuration with respect to the \(B \times \nabla B\) drift. Both of these aspects are challenging experimentally in that the H-mode power threshold is, as expected, high; \(P_{\text{thresh}}\) was typically > 3 MW in both cases, close to the power routinely available (~3-4.5 MW) at the time of these experiments. This tends to constrain the range of target density for which H-modes can be obtained, since \(P_{\text{thresh}}\) rises at both high and low \(\bar{n}_e\). Another common feature was that the edge temperatures, and \(\nabla T\), were significantly higher than in more typical 5.4 T, favorable configuration cases. \(T_{e,95}\) was often >400 eV at the L-H transition, a value typical of H-mode pedestals in low B, high \(n_e\) EDA H-modes. It is perhaps not surprising, then, that \(T_{\text{ped}}\) in fully developed H-modes was also high, in the range ~400-800 eV. The higher \(T_{\text{ped}}\) in the unfavorable configuration, with the same target plasma parameters, is particularly suggestive in this regard. Past studies varying target density at near-constant threshold \(T_e\) have shown that this affects the balance between \(n_e\) and \(T_e\) in the evolving H-mode, with lower \(n_e\) L-modes giving lower \(n_{\text{ped}}\) and higher \(T_{\text{ped}}\); apparently a similar effect results from changing L-mode \(T_e\). This implies a need to consider threshold and pedestal scaling physics in a more unified manner than is typically done.

Pedestal widths do not show systematic variation over the wide ranges of \(I_p\) and \(B_T\) explored here, or with magnetic configuration. Pressure gradients are also, to first order, constant for given \(I_p\). \(p_{\epsilon,\text{ped}}\) is thus essentially constant with B, or configuration. Through profile stiffness, which holds in all these conditions, core confinement and stored energy are also unchanged. The high field, and reversed field, discharges with higher \(T_{\text{ped}}\) thus also tended to have lower \(n_{\text{ped}}\); it is difficult to be certain of the causality in this relation. Because \(\nu^* \sim n/T^2\), even small shifts in the
n-T balance significantly reduce $v^*_{\text{ped}}$, which has been extended to lower values than in typical C-Mod H-modes. QC modes in EDA tend to be weaker and H-modes more transient. On the positive side, the trend of higher $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ at lower $v^*$ has continued, giving the prospect of higher pedestal pressures; the limits in this space have likely not yet been reached. Increasing B of course allows for higher $I_p$ and therefore $p_{\text{ped}}$, though the upper ranges have not yet been explored on C-Mod at maximum power. The results in this initial study motivate further high field H-mode experiments at higher $P_{\text{RF}}$, up to the 5-6 MW ICRH achieved during other campaigns\textsuperscript{32}, which should further expand the accessible pressure range and perhaps density range, potentially enabling study of the high $\beta$ ‘small ELM’ regime and likely extending $p_{\text{ped}}$ and $W$ to higher values.

Pedestal density at high B falls below the previously observed linear scaling with $I_p$. Probably more important than the empirical scaling remains the fact that $n_{\text{ped}}$ is difficult to vary following H-mode formation, responding very weakly to gas fuelling when barriers are strong and the SOL is opaque to neutrals. This is compounded when $P_{\text{thresh}}$ is high and close to the maximum available power, leaving less scope either for varying the target density, or for strong puffing which tends to cool the edge and can cause an H-L back-transition. ITER is expected to also have $P/P_{\text{thresh}}$ near one as well as an opaque SOL, indicating that scenarios for forming and fuelling H-modes to the desired target need to be carefully considered. ITER will likely be similarly difficult to fuel with gas\textsuperscript{32}, so that pellet fuelling is required. How this affects the H-mode parameters requires further study.

Experiments with reversed B and $I_p$, with fixed configuration, have provided important information on the variation of the L-H threshold. In particular, the invariance of high field side SOL flows with B direction is consistent with an origin in particle fluxes driven by radial transport localized to the low field side. These flows are near-symmetric between USN and LSN, confirming that differences in top and bottom divertor geometry are not the major influence. As noted, flows and profiles in the outer (LFS) SOL do show differences between favorable and unfavorable drift direction. The more counter-$I_p$ core rotation with unfavorable
drifts is consistent with an offset induced by SOL flows, and with the higher L-H power threshold, though the origin and scaling of this rotation remains complex and poorly understood. Also unclear is the physical mechanism by which the L-H threshold is affected by flows and rotation, e.g. by changing the threshold criterion or the net $E_r$ profiles at the edge. The observation of slowly evolving $T_e$ pedestals in the unfavorable case, before the clear L-H transition in particle confinement, is relevant to this. Strong $T_e$ and $p_e$ gradients build up in “L-mode”. This slow, apparently second order, transition contrasts with prior observations of the L-H bifurcation.$^{34,35}$

While the basic ‘improved L-mode’ phenomenology has been reported elsewhere$^{22}$, it has not been explained. The new observations of gradually changing fluctuations appear important in this regard. Further analysis of fluctuations, flows and $E_r$, and comparison with turbulence models is planned. Slow transitions in DIII-D with an X-point near the divertor floor, dubbed the ‘Intermediate Mode’, had several global features in common with this phenomenon, but were characterized by periodic bursts of fluctuations and flux not seen here$^{36}$. While the primary interest in this regime is for transport physics, it may also be of interest as a target for advanced scenarios since it has good confinement ($H_{\text{ITER89-P}} \leq 1.6$) but low density which is advantageous for external current drive. The fact that a modest change in $\chi_e$ over a 2 mm region in the plasma edge can cause a >50% increase in global confinement underscores the continuing importance of understanding this critical and complex region of the plasma.
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**Figure 1**: Operational space in $B_T$ vs $I_p$ for pedestal scaling studies reported in this paper, mainly with ‘Normal $B_T$’ (diamonds). For comparison, the smaller dashed rectangle indicates the parameters studied prior to 2005. Circles indicate the parameters of ‘Reversed $B_T$’ discharges.
Figure 2: Total power $P_{\text{thresh}}$ at the L-H transition (a) and $T_e$ at the 95% poloidal flux surface (b) vs $B_T$ for a set of C-Mod discharges. (c) $T_{e,95}$ vs $P_{\text{thresh}}/n_e$ for the same dataset.
Figure 3: Pedestal density vs $I_p$ for a set of H-mode discharges with near-constant shape, and no external fuelling or pumping during the H-mode. Pedestals at 2.6-6.3 T (open circles) scale approximately linearly with $I_p$ as shown in Ref. 14, while higher B H-modes (7.5-8 T, closed red points) fall below this scaling. $q_{95}$ ranges from 2.6 to 9.5.

Figure 4: (Color online). Pedestal density vs $q_{95}$ from the same set of H-mode discharges as Fig 3. Pedestals at 5-8 T (blue, red points) scale inversely with $q_{95}$, while lower B H-modes (green) fall below this scaling.
Figure 5: Comparison of electron pedestal profiles for 1.2 MA discharges at 5.4 T (shot 1050624022, blue diamonds) and 7.85 T (shot 1060426015, red squares). Both discharges had 2.5 MW ICRF. Note that pedestal pressures (c) overlay well, but that the higher B discharge has lower $n_{\text{ped}}$ (a) and higher $T_{\text{ped}}$ (b); this is reflected in the full datasets in these conditions.
Figure 6: (Color online). Normalized pressure gradient $\alpha_{\text{MHD}}$ vs $\nu^*_{\text{ped}}$, defined as in the text, for a set of 7.85 T discharges (red points) with $P_{\text{RF}}=1.2-4.8$ MW RF, $I_p=0.9-1.7$ MA, $n_e=1.3-2.2\times10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$. These H-modes were typically evolving in time and points represent single time slices; times within 20 ms of a transition are excluded. These are compared with dataset of steady EDA H-modes (blue points) at 4.5-6 T from Ref. 13. Solid points are ELM-free, while open red points had a quasicoherent mode, though not steady $n_e$. 
Figure 7: Mach number of parallel flows measured in the High Field Side SOL, 2mm outside the separatrix, vs $\bar{n}_e$ for LSN (downward triangles) and USN (upward triangles). Closed symbols represent normal field and current directions and open symbols reversed $B$ and $I_p$. Flows are positive with LSN and negative with USN, and of similar magnitude independent of $B$, $I$ direction.
Figure 8: Variation of rotation and L-H threshold with B direction for LSN plasmas. Red points are normal (favorable) direction, and blue are reversed I and B. (a) Core $V_{tor}$ vs $n_e$ for the ohmic target plasma, in lab frame; positive velocity is co-$I_p$ for normal B and counter-$I_p$ for reversed B. Rotation is counter-$I_p$ in each case, but larger on average in the unfavorable case. (b) L-H power threshold vs $n_e$ at the transition, higher in reversed B. $T_{e,95}$ at the transition (c) is also much higher, particularly near the low $n_e$ limit. (d) $T_e$ vs $P_{thresh}/n_e$ for the same dataset, showing non-linearity at high values.
Figure 9: Time evolution in L-mode in a 5.4 T, 0.8 MA reversed B LSN discharge. 3.4 MW of ICRF is turned on at 0.7 MW. (a) Average (solid line) and edge (ne,95, *) density increase initially due to outgassing, but no barrier develops until the L-H transition seen on \(D_\alpha\) at 0.082 s (e). (b). Edge \(T_e\) (solid) and \(T_i\) (dashed) increase continuously, more rapidly from 0.772 s. (c) Core \(V_{tor}\) (solid) continuously decreases, while edge \(V_{pol}\) (dashed, multiplied by 2 for clarity) decreases slightly at the \(T_e\) break-in-slope, and further at the L-H transition. (d) Stored energy \(W_{\text{MHD}}\) (solid, left axis) and normalized confinement time \(H_{\text{ITER}89-P}\) (dashed, right axis) also increase.
Figure 10: (Color online). Operational space of pedestal $T_e$ vs $n_e$ for sets of 5.4 LSN discharges with Forward B (open blue points) and Reversed B (closed green points). Points represent single time slices at least 40 ms from an L-H transition.
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