RESONANT PARAMETRIC EXCITATION IN LOWER-HYBRID HEATING OF TOKAMAK PLASMA *

E. Villalón

PFC/JA-80-2R July 1980 Revised Version

*Work supported by National Science Foundation Grant ENG77-00340.

To be published in Physics of Fluids.
Resonant parametric excitations in lower-hybrid heating of tokamak plasma

E. Villalon

Plasma Fusion Center and Research Laboratory of Electronics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139.

Abstract

Three wave parametric excitation in inhomogeneous plasmas is examined in a two-dimensional geometry relevant to supplementary rf-heating of tokamaks. The stabilization of resonant parametric excitation due to a linear mismatch in wavenumbers and to the Landau-damping rates of the decay waves is analyzed, assuming that the magnitude of the pump field is constant in time and in the spatial region where the resonant interaction takes place. Both types of temporally growing modes and spatially amplified instabilities are studied, using a WKB analysis. It is shown that either by increasing the strength of the mismatch $K'$ or the width of the pump $L$, the growth rate of the fastest growing normal mode will decrease. When the excited waves are slightly damped, it is shown that there exists a finite value of the product $K' L$, such that, above it, no temporal normal modes are excited. The amount of spatial amplification is also reduced by the mismatch in wavenumbers and by the damping rates of the excited waves. Because of the finite spatial extent of the pump electric field, the amplification length is found to be smaller than or equal to $L$, depending on the strength of the mismatch and damping rates.
I. INTRODUCTION

At the high power levels required for supplementary rf-heating of plasmas, a variety of nonlinear phenomena are likely to occur\(^1\), especially, in the very low density and temperature region of the plasma edge. For lower-hybrid heating, the rf-fields are principally electrostatics; they are launched into the plasma by phased waveguides array, and propagate inside the plasma along well-defined resonance cones. Among possible nonlinear effects, parametric instability is one of the most prominent. There are many different types of parametric processes that may take place during lower-hybrid heating, most of which have been discussed by several authors \(^1\)\(^\text{-}^3\). In this paper, we consider the resonant three wave parametric instability, where the pump field is assumed to decay into two others resonant waves, such as another lower-hybrid wave and a low frequency mode. Recent experimental results indeed show the presence of such excitations \(^4\) near the plasma edge region, which partially motivates this work. Because the plasma density gradient near the edge is always very large, it is very important to understand how this may affect the decay process. The plasma density gradient originates a phase mismatch which may saturate the resonant excitation. The low frequency mode is very likely to be slightly damped either by electrons or ions, which also contributes to the saturation of the instability.

These two aspects have not yet been sufficiently studied, and a correct treatment of this problem is still needed. The difficulty arises from the selection of the right boundary conditions that describe the saturation of the resonant excitation due to the wavenumber mismatch. The mode coupled equations are to be analyzed in the complex plane, so to impose the right boundary conditions for the excitation of either temporally growing or spatially amplified instabilities. These boundary conditions are different from the ones found in a homogeneous plasma \(^5\) due to the presence of the phase mismatch. We present a thorough analysis of the stabilization of the resonant three waves parametric excitation due to both mismatch in wavenumbers and linear damping rates of the excited waves, using a WKB analysis. The correct boundary conditions are found after examining the Stokes’ structure of the mode amplitudes, taking into account the
mismatch, the damping rates, and the finite spatial extent of the interaction region.

The frequency and wavevector of the three waves are assumed to be peaked around a certain \((\omega, \mathbf{k})\). The slowly varying pump amplitude is assumed constant in time and in space. The geometry of the interaction is two-dimensional, and this interaction may also evolve in time. The two-dimensional interaction has been shown\(^{6,7}\) to be equivalent to a one-dimensional one, which reduces the problem to two coupled differential equations in time and one spatial variable. We shall consider a linear mismatch gradient, and a rectangular pump profile of finite width \(L\).

One dimensional interaction in a pump of infinite spatial extent is fairly well understood\(^{8,9}\) for the case of a linear mismatch profile. It has been shown\(^9\) that no temporally growing modes are possible in the linear mismatch profile, and that the amount of spatial amplification \(^{8,9}\) is always finite and may be greatly reduced by the damping rates of the excited waves\(^{10}\). The one dimensional interaction in a pump of finite spatial extent is the case that concerns us now, and has been analyzed by a number of authors\(^ {11-17}\). In spite of this work the situation is not very clear. Specifically, some authors\(^ {11-14}\) agree that temporally growing modes will disappear, increasing the length of the interaction (i.e., the spatial region where the pump extends). Others\(^ {15-17}\) claim that temporal normal modes exist for any finite value of the length of the interaction, which does not seem to be consistent with the results in Ref.\(^9\). To our knowledge, no satisfactory resolution of this controversy has yet been presented, and the thresholds for the excitation of normal modes, as function of the pump width, are still unknown. The amount of spatial amplification may be considerably reduced when simultaneous damping of the excited waves, mismatch in wavenumbers, and finite extent of the interaction region are considered; this has not been explored sufficiently. In this paper, we attempt to answer these questions, and give a better insight into the nature of the resonant three wave parametric instability.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we formulate the problem and present the basic equations describing the evolution of the three waves parametric instability. Section III is divided in three subsections, in which we study the excitation of normal modes. We start by presenting the WKB solutions for the second order equation that gives the evolution of the
instability. The boundary conditions are formulated taking into account the Stokes' structure of these solutions in the complex plane. Next, we derive the dispersion relation that gives the eigenvalues of the possible normal modes. We show that the existence of these normal modes depend critically on the strength of the mismatch $K'$, width of the pump $L$, and damping rates of the excited waves. In fact, we find that either by increasing the length of the interaction $L$, or the strength of the mismatch $K'$, the growth rate of the fastest growing normal mode will decrease. Furthermore, if the excited waves are slightly damped, there exists a finite value of the product $K'L$, such that above it no temporal normal modes are possible. The thresholds for the existence of normal modes are presented in Sec. III C. In Sec. IV, we are concerned with spatially amplified instability. We calculate the spatial amplification factor, and we study how it is affected by the damping of the waves, the mismatch in wavenumbers, and the finite spatial extent of the pump field. In Sec. V, we present a brief discussion of the techniques used in the paper and a summary of our results. The Appendix contains a derivation of the coupled mode equations for the decay of the lower-hybrid pump into a sideband and a low frequency mode.

It should be noted that the analysis we present is quite general and could be applied to any three waves decay processes, even if the involved waves are not in the lower-hybrid frequency range, without major changes. The geometry of the problem has to be two-dimensional, and the pump field must have a finite spatial extent, for the application of this analysis.
II. BASIC EQUATIONS

Let us consider a two-dimensional plasma slab where \( x \) is in the direction of the plasma inhomogeneities (i.e., density, temperatures, and toroidal magnetic field inhomogeneities), and \( z \) is in the direction of the toroidal magnetic field \( \mathcal{B}_0^\perp \). An externally launched high frequency pump wave in the lower-hybrid frequency range,

\[
\omega_0 = \frac{\omega_{p1}}{(1 + \omega_{p1}^2 / \Omega_e^2)^{1/2}},
\]

is assumed to decay into two other resonant waves, such as another lower-hybrid wave \( \omega_1 \) and a low frequency resonant mode \( \omega_2 \) (e.g., ion cyclotron, ion Bernstein, and ion acoustic modes). The three waves are described by amplitudes

\[
A_i = a_i(x, z, t) \exp[i(-\omega_i t + k_{ix} z + k_{iy} y) + i \int_{x_0}^x k_{ix}(x') \, dx'],
\]

where \( a_i(x, z, t) \) are assumed to be slowly varying in space and in time. The pump wave vector \( \mathbf{k}_0^\perp \) is taken to be on the \( x-z \) plane [i.e., \( \mathbf{k}_0^\perp = (k_{0x}, k_{0z}) \)]; thus, the pump amplitude is assumed uniform in the \( y \) direction. The three waves satisfy the matching conditions: \( \omega_0 = \omega_1 + \omega_2, \ k_{0x} = k_{1x} + k_{2x} \) and \( k_{1y} = -k_{2y} \). The plasma inhomogeneities introduce a mismatch in the \( x \) component of the wavenumbers \( \Delta k(x) = k_{0x} - k_{1x} - k_{2x} \) to be determined from the local dispersion relation for each of the waves in the inhomogeneous plasma:

\[
K_i(k_{ix}, \omega_i, k_{iy}; x) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad k_{\perp} = (k_{ix}^2 + k_{iy}^2)^{1/2}
\]

and where \( k_{ix} \) and \( k_{iy} \) are constants independent of the inhomogeneity coordinate \( x \).

We assume that the amplitude \( a_0 \) is constant in time and in space. The complex wave packet amplitudes of the excited high and low frequency waves satisfy the equations (see the Appendix):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \gamma_1 + v_{1x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_{1z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) a_1 = \gamma_0 a_0^* \exp[i \int_{x_0}^x \Delta k(x') \, dx'],
\]
\[
\gamma_0 \exp[i \int_{x_0}^x \Delta k(x') \, dx']
\]

where \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are the damping rates of the excited waves, and \( v_{1x}, v_{1z} \) are their group velocity components along the \( x \) and \( z \) directions, respectively.

The coupling coefficient \( \gamma_0 \) is different from zero over the region where the pump extends:

\[
- \frac{\omega}{2} \leq z - \int_0^x \frac{v_{0x}(x')}{v_{0x}(x)} \, dx' \leq \frac{\omega}{2},
\]

where \( v_{0x} \) and \( v_{0x} \) are the group velocity components of the pump wave, and \( \omega \) is the width of the pump resonance cone (see Fig. 1). We find (see the Appendix),

\[
\gamma_0 = \frac{E_0}{2 B_0 \omega_0} \frac{\sin \phi}{\left( \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \omega} \right)_{\omega_i} \left( \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \omega} \right)_{\omega_2}^{1/2}} k_2 \chi_e(\mathbf{K}_2, \omega_2),
\]

where \( \left( \frac{\partial K_i}{\partial \omega} \right)_{\omega_i} \) is to be evaluated at the frequencies of the resonant waves, \( i = 1, 2 \); \( \sin \phi = \frac{k_{1y}}{k_{1z}} \), and \( E_0 \) is the pump electric field. The pump amplitude \( a_0 \) is related to \( E_0 \) as \( a_0 = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial K_0}{\partial \omega} \right)_{\omega_0} \right]^{1/2} E_0 \); similar expressions hold for \( a_1, a_2 \) and \( E_1, E_2 \). The electron susceptibility \( \chi_e \) for the resonant low frequency wave is given approximately by

\[
\chi_e(\mathbf{K}_2, \omega_2) \approx \frac{1}{k_2^2 \gamma_D} \frac{\omega_2}{k_{2z}^2 v_{Tz}} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\omega_2}{k_{2z}^2 v_{Tz}} \ll 1,
\]

\[
\approx - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_2^2} \frac{k_{2z}^2}{k_{2z}^2} \frac{\omega_2}{k_{2z}^2 v_{Tz}} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\omega_2}{k_{2z}^2 v_{Tz}} \gg 1,
\]

depending on whether the excited low frequency mode is an ion acoustic or an ion cyclotron mode, \( \omega_2/(k_{2z} v_{Tz}) \ll 1 \), or whether it is an ion Bernstein mode, \( \omega_2/(k_{2z} v_{Tz}) \gg 1 \). It should be noted that the group velocity components \( v_x \) and \( v_z \) for the three waves, the damping rates \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \), and the coupling coefficient \( \gamma_0 \), depend on the inhomogeneity coordinate \( x \). However, we assume that the spatial inhomogeneities are weak enough to treat them as constants over the finite region where the pump extends; we shall also find that the phase mismatch \( \Delta k(x) \) further localizes the
region of resonant interaction in the inhomogeneity coordinate $x$.

The two-dimensional interaction described by Eqs. (2) and (3) can be reduced to an equivalent one-dimensional one by means of the transformations that follows. Let us first rotate our coordinate system to lie along and perpendicular to the pump resonance cone: $z = (v_{0z} z + v_{0x} x)/v_0$ and $\bar{z} = (v_{0z} z - v_{0x} x)/v_0$, where $v_0 = (v_{0x}^2 + v_{0z}^2)^{1/2}$. Next, define a new coordinate system as

$$\tau = t, \quad \xi = \delta \bar{z}, \quad \theta = z - \alpha \bar{z} - \omega t,$$

(5)

where $\alpha = (v_{1z} - v_{2z})/(v_{1z} - v_{2z})$, $\omega = v_{1z} - \alpha v_{1z}$ ($v_{1z}$ and $v_{2z}$ are the group velocity components along and perpendicular to the pump cone), and $\delta = (v_{0z} + \alpha v_{0z})/v_0$. Under these transformations Eqs. (2) and (3) become

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + v_{1\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \gamma_1 a_1 = \gamma_0 a_2^* \exp[i \int_{\xi_0}^{\xi} \Delta k(\xi') + \frac{v_{0x}}{v_0} \frac{v_{0z}}{v_0} \delta \xi' d\xi' \right]$$

(6)

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + v_{2\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \gamma_2 a_2 = \gamma_0 a_1^* \exp[i \int_{\xi_0}^{\xi} \Delta k(\xi') + \frac{v_{0x}}{v_0} \frac{v_{0z}}{v_0} \delta \xi' d\xi' \right]$$

(7)

where $v_{i\xi} = \delta v_{i\bar{z}}$, ($i = 1,2$), $\xi_0 = x_0 - (v_{0z}/v_0) z_f$, and $\xi = x - (v_{0z}/v_0) z_f$. The independent variable $z_f$ is a free parameter which defines where in the plasma the resonant interaction is taking place; the interaction region in the pump cone extends along the line, $z = \alpha \bar{z} = z_f$ where $z_f$ is given as an initial condition. The coupling coefficient $\gamma_0$, the damping rates $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, and the group velocity components $v_{i\bar{z}}$ can now be defined at the values that they take for $z = z_f$ and $\bar{z} = 0$. Thus, Eqs. (6) and (7) describe a one-dimensional resonant interaction in the spatial coordinate $\xi$, and at a fixed given distance $z_f$ along the direction of propagation of the pump field (see Fig. 1). From now on we shall, for simplicity, omit the subscript $z_f$ in all the equations, but we always understand that the interaction is defined for a fixed given value of $z_f$.

We are looking for solutions to Eqs. (6) and (7) with a temporal dependence of the form
exp(p\tau) where \( p = s + iq \) is, in general, a complex number. Let us define a new set of amplitudes 7-17:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= \frac{\hat{a}_1}{(v_1 \xi)^{1/2}} \exp\left[ -\frac{\xi}{2} \left( \frac{p + \gamma_1}{v_1 \xi} + \frac{p + \gamma_2}{v_2 \xi} \right) + p\tau + \frac{i}{2} \int_0^\xi \Delta k(\xi') d\xi' \right], \\
a_2 &= \frac{\hat{a}_2}{(v_2 \xi)^{1/2}} \exp\left[ -\frac{\xi}{2} \left( \frac{p + \gamma_1}{v_1 \xi} + \frac{p + \gamma_2}{v_2 \xi} \right) + p\tau - \frac{i}{2} \int_0^\xi \Delta k(\xi') d\xi' \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting these expressions into Eqs. (6) and (7) we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \frac{1}{2} \beta(\xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right] \hat{a}_1 &= \text{sgn}(v_1 \xi) \lambda_0 \hat{a}_2, \\
\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \beta(\xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right] \hat{a}_2 &= \text{sgn}(v_2 \xi) \lambda_0 \hat{a}_1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta(\xi) = \eta + \imath(\Delta k(\xi) + q/v_1 \xi - q/v_2 \xi) \), \( \eta = (s + \gamma_1)/v_1 \xi - (s + \gamma_2)/v_2 \xi \), \( \lambda_0 = \gamma (v_1 v_2) \xi^{1/2} \) and \( \text{sgn}(v_1 \xi) = v_1 \xi/v_1 \xi \). We can now further eliminate \( \hat{a}_2 \) from Eq. (10), and \( \hat{a}_1 \) from Eq. (11), to find

\[
\frac{d^2 \hat{a}_i}{d\xi^2} - \left[ \text{sgn}(v_1 \xi v_2 \xi) \lambda_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \beta^2(\xi) + (-1)^i \frac{d \Delta k}{d\xi} \right] \hat{a}_i = 0.
\]

with \( i = 1, 2 \). In what follows we take \( \lambda_0^2 = |d \Delta k/d\xi|_b \) allowing us to neglect \( d \Delta k/d\xi \) in Eq. (12).

Then, the amplitudes \( \hat{a}_1 \) and \( \hat{a}_2 \) satisfy the same second order differential equation,

\[
\frac{d^2 \hat{a}_i}{d\xi^2} - \left[ \text{sgn}(v_1 \xi v_2 \xi) \lambda_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \beta^2(\xi) \right] \hat{a}_i = 0.
\]

The phase mismatch \( \Delta k(\xi) \) will always be assumed to be a linear function of \( \xi \). If the pump extension in the \( \xi \) coordinate is

\[
L = \frac{v_0 v_0^*}{v_0^2} v,
\]

we may write
\[ \Delta k(\xi) = \Delta k(0) + K' \xi, \]  

(15)

where \( K' = [\Delta k(L/2) - \Delta k(-L/2)]/L, \Delta k(\pm L/2) \) are the phase mismatches at both extremes of the pump cone (i.e., for \( \xi = \pm L/2 \)) as taken along the line of pulse response, \( z = \alpha z = z_f \), and where \( \Delta k(0) \) is the mismatch at the center of the pump cone. It should be noted that the trajectory of the pulse response covers a distance along the inhomogeneity coordinate \( x \), as it goes through the pump cone, which is precisely equal to \( L \) (see Fig. 1).

To determine the phase shift \( q \) let us substitute the mismatch \( \Delta k(\xi) \) in Eq. (15) into the definition of \( \beta(\xi) \) after Eq.(11). We find, \( \beta(\xi) = \eta + i(K' \xi + Q) \) where \( Q = \Delta k(0) + q/v_1 \xi - q/v_2 \xi \). Note that the zero-mismatch \( \Delta k(0) \) is independent of \( K' \) and \( L \). It comes from the fact that in a homogeneous plasma (i.e., for \( K' = 0 \)), the \( k \)-vectors of the three waves are not necessarily matched since they are independently obtained solving for their corresponding dispersion relations. This zero-mismatch originates a frequency shift \( q \) which is given by setting \( Q = 0 \), as

\[ q = -\frac{\Delta k(0)}{1/v_1 \xi - 1/v_2 \xi}. \]  

(16)

The complex function \( \beta(\xi) \) becomes now only a function of \( K' \), and independent of the zero-mismatch \( \Delta k(0) \):  

\[ \beta(\xi) = \eta + iK' \xi, \]  

(17)

where \( \eta \) is real and is defined after Eq.(11); from now on, we shall simply call mismatch to the function \( K' \xi \).
III. NORMAL MODES AND TEMPORAL GROWTH RATES

We wish to examine Eqs. (10) and (11) for temporally growing modes (i.e., for \( s > 0 \)), using a WKB analysis. Such time growing modes can only exist if \( v_1 v_2 \xi < 0 \).\(^{17} \)

A. WKB solutions and boundary conditions

Let us first start defining a new complex variable \( z \) as

\[
z = z_0 + i \frac{K' \xi}{2i \lambda_0}, \tag{18}\]

where \( z_0 = \eta/2 |\lambda_0| \) and \( \eta \) and \( \lambda_0 \) are defined after Eq. (11) (note that \( \eta \) depends on the eigenvalue \( s \) of the possible normal mode). The pump boundary limits \( \xi = \pm L/2 \), in the new variable \( z \) are \( c \) and \( c^* \) (the complex conjugate of \( c \)), where

\[
c = z_0 + i \frac{K' L}{4i \lambda_0}. \tag{19}\]

In the WKB approximation, two independent solutions of Eq. (13) are

\[
\psi_\pm(z) = \frac{1}{[\xi(z)]^{1/2}} \exp\left[ \pm \frac{2 |\lambda_0|^2}{K} \int_z^1 (1 - z'^2)^{1/2} dz' \right], \tag{20}\]

where \( \xi(z) = |\lambda_0|(1 - z^2)^{1/2} \). The turning points are \( z = \pm 1 \), and \( \xi(z) \) is real analytic in the cut complex plane of Fig. 2. Any linear combination of the form \( C_1 \psi_+(z) + C_2 \psi_-(z) \), with \( C_1 \) different from zero, is only defined in one semiplane of the complex plane [i.e., either for \( \text{Im}(z) \) greater or smaller than zero]. If \( z \) crosses the real axis intersecting the Stokes' line,

\[
\text{Im}\left[ \int_z^1 (1 - z'^2)^{1/2} dz' \right] = 0, \tag{21}\]

(\( \text{Im} \) denotes the imaginary part of the expression between brackets) lying along the real axis between \( z = 1 \) and \( z = -1 \) (see Fig. 2), such linear combination should be modified according to the connection formulae given, for instance, in Ref. 18.
Let us denote by $r$ and $r^*$ the points of intersection of the line $\text{Re}(z) = z_0$ with the anti-Stokes' lines

$$\text{Re}\left[ \int_{z_0}^{1} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \,dz \right] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

($\text{Re}$ denotes the real part of the expression between brackets). The rate of change of the magnitudes of $\psi_{\pm}(z)$ with respect to $z$, is given by

$$A_{\pm}(z) = \exp\left\{ \pm \frac{2\Lambda_0^2}{K} \text{Re}\left[ \int_{z_0}^{1} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \,dz \right] \right\}.$$ 

$A_{\pm}(z)$ is exponentially large for $|\text{Im}(z)| < \text{Im}(r)$, and becomes exponentially small for $|\text{Im}(z)| > \text{Im}(r)$. When $z = z_0$, the mismatch $K^* \xi$ is zero, and then $A_{\pm}(z_0)$ are maximal and minimal, respectively. Thus, the WKB solution, $\psi_{+}(z)$, decays in magnitude away from the zero-matching point $z = z_0$ as $|\text{Im}(z)|$ increases; the other WKB solution, $\psi_{-}(z)$, reaches its minimum value at the zero-matching point, and grows continuously in magnitude as $|\text{Im}(z)|$ increases (i.e., as the mismatch $K^* \xi$ increases).

The mode amplitude, $\hat{a}_i(z), (i = 1, 2)$, is given as two different linear combinations of $\psi_{\pm}(z)$, each of them defined for each of the semiplanes, $\text{Im}(z) > (<) 0$. The complex variable $z$ is now restricted to constant $\text{Re}(z) = z_0$ and to $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \text{Im}(c)$. The right linear combinations that defines $\hat{a}_i(z)$ are dictated by the boundary conditions, which depend on the location of $c$ and $c^*$ in the complex plane. We distinguish between the two cases: (a) when $c$ and $c^*$ are between the two anti-Stokes' lines defined in Eq. (22); and (b) when $c$ and $c^*$ are outside the region delimited by these anti-Stokes' lines and the imaginary axis. In case (b) [i.e., for $\text{Im}(c) > \text{Im}(r)$], the magnitude of $\hat{a}_i(z)$ must decay exponentially as $|\text{Im}(z)|$ becomes larger than $\text{Im}(r)$. This means that $\hat{a}_i(z)$ must be proportional to $\psi_{\pm}(z)$ for both $\text{Im}(z)$ greater and smaller than zero. However, $\psi_{\pm}(z)$ cannot be simultaneously defined as an approximate solution of Eq. (13), in both semiplanes of the complex plane. This implies that the eigenvalue problem cannot be solved under the conditions of case (b).
We shall discuss in Sec. III C the restrictions that this imposes on the eigenvalue \( s \) of the possible normal mode.

In case (a), both solutions \( \psi_{\pm}(z) \) are physically acceptable, since even if \( \psi_{-}(z) \) grows as \(|\text{Im}(z)|\) increases, its contribution to \( \hat{\psi}\) is always exponentially small; the dominant contribution is given by the well-behaved solution \( \psi_{+}(z) \). The mode amplitude \( \hat{\psi}(z) \) is given by two different linear combinations of \( \psi_{\pm}(z) \), for each of the semiplanes, \( \text{Im}(z) > (<) 0 \); these combinations are connected along the real axis [i.e., along the Stokes' line defined in Eq. (21)]. Next, we present these solutions and derive the dispersion relation that defines the eigenvalue \( s \) of the possible normal mode.

B. The dispersion relation

Assuming always that \( \text{Im}(c) < \text{Im}(r) \), the appropriate boundary conditions for the excitation of normal modes are \( \hat{\psi}_{1}(c^{*}) = \hat{\psi}_{2}(c) = 0 \). These boundary conditions give

\[
\hat{\psi}_{1}(z) = \frac{A}{[\kappa(z)]^{1/2}} \sin[-i \frac{2\beta_{0}^{2}}{K} \int_{c}^{z} (1 - z'^{2})^{1/2} dz'], \tag{23}
\]

\[
\hat{\psi}_{2}(z) = \frac{B}{[\kappa(z)]^{1/2}} \sin[-i \frac{2\beta_{0}^{2}}{K} \int_{c}^{z} (1 - z'^{2})^{1/2} dz'], \tag{24}
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are two integration constants which are related to each other in the way we shall specify. The solutions in Eqs. (23) and (24) are defined for \( \text{Im}(z) < 0 \) and for \( \text{Im}(z) > 0 \), respectively, and they have a common boundary along the real axis. The integration paths are now straight lines parallel to the imaginary axis, and are displaced from it a distance \( z_{0} \), which depends on the eigenvalue \( s \). The system of equations (10) and (11) must be exactly satisfied at \( z = z_{0} \), where the common boundary and the integration paths intersect:

\[
\frac{\eta}{2K} \hat{\psi}_{1}(z_{0}) + \lambda_{0} \hat{\psi}_{2}(z_{0}) = \hat{\psi}_{1}(z_{0}), \tag{25}
\]
\( - \frac{\eta}{2} \hat{a}'(z_0) + \frac{iK'}{2\lambda_0} \hat{a}'(z_0) = -\lambda_0 \hat{a}_i(z_0) \) \hspace{1cm} (26)

\( \hat{a}'(z_0) \) denotes differentiation with respect to \( z \) evaluated at \( z = z_0 \). Equations (25) and (26) together with Eqs. (23) and (24), yield a system of algebraic equations to be solved for \( A \) and \( B \).

The compatibility of this system gives the following dispersion relation for the eigenvalue \( s \) of the temporal growing mode,

\[
\tan \left[ -i \frac{2\lambda_0^2}{K} \int_c^{z_0} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} dz \right] = \left( \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{27}
\]

To solve for a real \( s \), we have to require that \( \lambda_0 \geq \eta/2 \). This implies that, for any given value of \( K' \) and \( L \), the eigenvalue \( s \) is always smaller than or equal to \( s_0 \) as given by,

\[
s_0 = \frac{2\lambda_0 - \gamma / \nu_1 \xi + \gamma_2 / \nu_2 \xi}{\nu_1 \xi - \nu_2 \xi}. \tag{28}
\]

Equations (25) and (26) also give the following relation between the two integration constants \( A \) and \( B \):

\[
\frac{B}{A} = -\frac{\eta/2 \sin \psi^* + (\lambda_0^2 - \eta^2/4)^{1/2} \cos \psi^*}{\lambda_0 \sin \psi}. \tag{29}
\]

where \( \psi = -i \frac{2\lambda_0^2}{K} \int_c^{z_0} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} dz \).

Let us now consider,

\[
\int_c^{z_0} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} dz = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c(1 - c^2)^{1/2} - c^* (1 - c^*2)^{1/2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \arcsin \left[ c(1 - c^2)^{1/2} - c^* (1 - c^*2)^{1/2} \right].
\]

If \( K' \) is assumed very small we can approximate \((1 - c^2)^{1/2}\) by \([1 - (\eta/2\lambda_0^2)^{1/2}]^{1/2}\). Equation (27) now becomes:

\[
\tan \left[ \lambda_0 \int_c^{z_0} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{K} \arcsinh \left[ \frac{K' L}{\lambda_0} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right] = \left( \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{30}
\]

The dispersion relation that gives the growth rates in a homogeneous plasma may now be recovered by letting \( K' \to 0 \) in Eq. (30), and it is, as presented in Ref. 5,
The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

The minimum thresholds for the excitation of normal modes are given by setting $s = 0$ in Eq. (27). If we assume that the damping rates are small, we find

$$\tan[^{2/3} \left( \frac{\eta^2}{4\lambda_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}] = -\left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\eta^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1/2}. $$

C. Instability thresholds

The zero-matching point between the pump and excited waves [i.e., the point where the coupling is as in a homogeneous plasma, see Eqs. (13) and (17)] is $\xi = 0$, or in the $z$ variable, $z = z_0$. This means that the strongest coupling between the three waves occurs half way across the pump cone along the trajectory $z = z_0$ for a given constant value of the free parameter $z_f$. This interaction will get weaker as the excited waves move through the pump cone, away from the zero-matching point, and the rate of decoupling is given by the product $K' L$. By increasing $K' L$ and keeping the eigenvalue $s$ fixed, $c$ and $c^*$ will go beyond the anti-Stokes' lines departing from $z = 1$, and then that particular mode $s$ or any one growing fastest cannot be excited. As explained in
Sec. III A, this happens because the magnitude of $\hat{a}_1(z)$ must decrease exponentially as $\text{Im}(z)$ moves away from the anti-Stokes' lines. Since no solution to Eq. (13) exists satisfying this requirement, we conclude that normal modes can only be excited if $c$ and $c^*$ are inside the region delimited by these anti-Stokes' lines and the imaginary axis.

These considerations allow us to find the maximum value, $s_m$, that the eigenvalue $s$ can take for given value $|\lambda_0|$ and for fixed decoupling rate $K'L$. If we define

$$z_m = \frac{1}{2|\lambda_0|} \left( \frac{s_m + \gamma_1}{\nu_1 \xi} - \frac{s_m + \gamma_2}{\nu_2 \xi} + \frac{i}{2} K'L \right),$$

the fastest growing mode has a growth rate smaller than or equal to $s_m$ as calculated from

$$\text{Re}\left[ \int_1^{z_m} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \, dz \right] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

The upper bound $s_m$ is always smaller than $s_0$, which is defined in Eq. (28), and as $K'L \to 0$, $s_m \to s_0$. By increasing the decoupling rate $K'L$ (i.e., by increasing either the length of the interaction $L$ or the strength of the mismatch $K'$), $s_m$ will become smaller. If $K'L$ is greater than the inverse of a certain critical length, $L_c$, no temporal normal modes are possible. This critical length $L_c$ depends on the linear damping rates $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, and is defined solving for

$$\text{Re}\left[ \int_1^{z_c} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \, dz \right] = 0,$$

where

$$z_c = \frac{1}{2|\lambda_0|} \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{\nu_1 \xi} - \frac{\gamma_2}{\nu_2 \xi} + \frac{i}{2L_c} \right).$$

As $(\gamma_1/\nu_1 \xi - \gamma_2/\nu_2 \xi)$ goes to zero, the critical length $L_c$ becomes smaller; when the damping rates become larger, so does also $L_c$. By letting the length of the interaction $L$ go to infinity, we find that $K'L$ is always larger than $1/L_c$, implying that, in a pump of infinite extent, no purely growing modes are possible. The thresholds defined through Eqs. (32), (33) and (34), (35), are depicted in Fig. 2.
Let us now assume that $K'L$ is smaller than $1/L_c$. By increasing the damping rates $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, the upper bound $s_m$, defined through Eqs. (32) and (33), becomes smaller. If the damping rates are large enough so that $(\gamma_1/v_1\xi - \gamma_2/v_2\xi) \geq 2|\lambda_0|$, that is, for

$$\gamma_2\left|\frac{v_1\xi}{v_2\xi}\right|^{1/2} + \gamma_1\left|\frac{v_2\xi}{v_1\xi}\right|^{1/2} \geq 2|\gamma_0|,$$  

(36)

we find that no temporal growing modes are possible for any value of $K'L$.

It should be noted that in the former discussions we have been assuming that the coupling coefficient $\gamma_0$ is constant. However, for fixed values of $K'L$, $\gamma_1/v_1\xi$ and $\gamma_2/v_2\xi$, the growth rate of the fastest growing mode increases with increasing $\gamma_0$ and tends to $s_0$, as defined in Eq. (28), when $|\gamma_0| \to \infty$. The critical length $L_c$ decreases with increasing $\gamma_0$, and as $|\gamma_0| \to \infty$, $L_c \to 0$. 


IV. SPATIAL AMPLIFICATION

For spatial amplification we require the eigenvalue \( \rho \) to be purely imaginary [i.e., \( \rho = i\eta \)], with \( \eta \) as defined in Eq. (16)], so that the instability may only grow in space for a certain finite plasma length smaller than or equal to the width of the pump \( L \). These excitations grow from initial thermal fluctuations, which develop at a certain point in the interaction region \( L \). The problem poses now as a boundary value problem with boundary conditions, which are defined at the point where the thermal source interacts with the pump field. Spatial amplification can occur in both cases \( \nu_1 \xi \nu_2 \xi > 0 \), and \( \nu_1 \xi \nu_2 \xi < 0 \). We first study the case \( \nu_1 \xi \nu_2 \xi > 0 \), for which we know that no temporal growing modes are possible.

A. Group velocities in the same direction

Let us consider the complex variable \( z \) as defined in Eq. (18), where now \( z_0 = \lambda D/2|\lambda_0| \) and \( \lambda_D = \gamma_1/v_1 \xi - \gamma_2/v_2 \xi \). The pump boundary limits \( \xi = \pm L/2 \) in the variable \( z \) are \( c \) and \( c^* \), with \( c = (\lambda_D + iK' L/2)/2|\lambda_0| \).

In the WKB approximation, two independent solutions of Eq. (13) are:

\[
\psi_\pm(z) = \frac{1}{[\xi'(z)]^{1/2}} \exp[i\frac{2|\lambda_0|^2}{k'} \int_{z_0}^{z} (1 + z^{'2})^{1/2} dz']
\]  
(37)

The turning points are \( z = \pm i \), and \( \xi'(z) = |\lambda_0|(1 + z^{'2})^{1/2} \) is real analytic in the cut complex plane of Fig. 3. Let us call \( r \) and \( r^* \) the points where the line \( \text{Re}(z) = z_0 \) intersect the anti-Stokes' lines,

\[
\text{Im}[\int_{\pm i}^{z} (1 + z^{'2})^{1/2} dz'] = 0.
\]  
(38)

The mode amplitudes \( \hat{a}_i(z) (i = 1, 2) \) are given as linear combinations of \( \psi_\pm(z) \); these combinations are dictated by the boundary conditions as follows. We distinguish between the two cases: (a) when \( K' L \) is small enough so that \( c \) and \( c^* \) lie in between the two anti-Stokes' lines; and (b) when
\(K'L\) is such that \(c\) and \(c^*\) are outside the region delimited by these anti-Stokes' lines and the imaginary axis.

In case (a), the boundary conditions are \(\hat{a}_1(c^*) = \hat{a}_2(c^*) = 0\) and \((d\hat{a}_1(z)/dz) = b\), where \(b\) is the level of the thermal fluctuations. The amplification factor \(\Gamma\), that gives the number of \(e\) foldings of the mode amplitudes \(\hat{a}_1\), is found to be

\[
\Gamma = -i \frac{2\lambda_0 l^2}{K'} \int_{c^*}^c (1 + z^2)^{1/2} dz. \quad (39)
\]

The path of integration is a straight line parallel to the imaginary axis which is displaced from it a distance \(z_0\), which depends on the damping rates of the excited waves. Let us next consider,

\[
\int_{c^*}^c (1 + z^2)^{1/2} dz = \frac{1}{2} \{c(1 + c^2)^{1/2} - c^*(1 + c^{*2})^{1/2} + \text{arcsinh} [c(1 + c^2)^{1/2} - c^*(1 + c^{*2})^{1/2}].
\]

Under the limit \(\lambda_D \to 0\) \(\Gamma\) becomes

\[
\Gamma = \frac{|\lambda_0 l^2}{2} \left[ 1 - (K'L)^2 \right]^{1/2} + \frac{\lambda_0 l^2}{K} \arcsin \left[ \left( \frac{K'L}{2\lambda_0} \right)^2 \left( 1 - (K'L)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (40)
\]

If we now let \(K' \to 0\), we recover the homogeneous limit

\[
\Gamma = \frac{|\gamma_0 l^2}{(\gamma_1 v_1 + \gamma_2 v_2)^{1/2}}.,
\]

as calculated in Ref. 5. The amplification factor for the mode amplitudes \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) [see Eqs. (8) and (9)] should be calculated as \(\Gamma = (\gamma_1 v_1 + \gamma_2 v_2) L/2\).

In case (b) [i.e., for \(|\text{Im}(c)| > \text{Im}(r)\)], the thermal source is located at, say, \(z = r^*\). The mode amplitudes must decrease exponentially as \(|\text{Im}(z)|\) becomes larger than \(\text{Im}(r)\). This implies that \(\hat{a}_i(z)\) must be proportional to \(\psi_i(z)\) for \(\text{Im}(z) = \text{Im}(r^*)\). For \(\text{Im}(z) < \text{Im}(r^*)\), \(\hat{a}_i(z)\) is proportional to \(\psi_i(z)\).

The solutions in the two different regions should be matched at \(z = r^*\). The modes \(\hat{a}_i(z)\) are continuous functions of \(z\), but their first derivatives at \(z = r^*\) are not; the discontinuity in the derivatives is due to the presence of the thermal fluctuations, and the amount of discontinuity is
given by the level of the fluctuations. This discontinuity can be represented by introducing an inhomogeneous term, proportional to the Dirac-delta function, on the right-hand side of Eq. (13). Then, because of the presence of the thermal source, we can find solutions to the boundary value problem, such that they are well-behaved for $|\text{Im}(z)| > \text{Im}(\tau)$, and amplify in the region between the anti-Stokes' lines.

The amplification factor $\Gamma$ for the modes $\hat{a}_i(z)$ is now

$$\Gamma = \pi \frac{|\gamma_0|^2}{K |\nu_1 \nu_2 \xi|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

The amplification factor for the modes $a_1$ and $a_2$, as given in Eqs. (8) and (9), is calculated as: $\Gamma = (\gamma_1 \nu_1 \xi + \gamma_2 \nu_2 \xi) L_{\text{eff}}^2$, where $L_{\text{eff}}$ is the amplification length which is given by

$$r = \frac{1}{2|\lambda_0|} (\lambda_2 \pm \frac{i}{\xi} K L_{\text{eff}}),$$ \hspace{1cm} (42)

$L_{\text{eff}}$ is the range for which the excited waves amplify inside the interaction region.

**B. Group velocities in opposite directions**

We next study the case $\nu_1 \xi \nu_2 \xi < 0$. The WKB-solutions, $\psi_\pm(z)$, are defined in Eq. (20), where $z_0$ is now equal to $\lambda_2/2|\lambda_0|$; the modes $\hat{a}_i(z)$ are given as certain linear combinations of $\psi_\pm(z)$. The Stokes structure of the complex $z$-plane has also been discussed in Sec. III A, and it is as presented in Fig. 2. We again have to distinguish between the two cases (a) and (b), depending on whether $c$ and $c^*$ are between the two anti-Stokes' lines in the semiplane $\text{Re} \ z \geq 0$, or whether $c$ and $c^*$ are beyond these anti-Stokes' lines, respectively. Case (a) has no interest here since if the instability grows [i.e., if $|\lambda_0|$ is above the thresholds given in Eq. (31)], it will build up in time in the way explained in Sec. III B.

In case (b) the instability can never build up in time because $K L$ is always greater than the inverse of the critical length $L_c$, as defined in Eq. (34) and (35). The thermal source is located at, say, $z = r^*$, and the first derivative of $\hat{a}_i(z)$ is discontinuous at this point. The amplitudes of the
modes must be such that they decrease in magnitude exponentially as $|\text{Im}(z)|$ becomes larger than $\text{Im}(r)$. The amplification factor is found to be:

$$
\Gamma = \frac{2|\alpha_0|^2}{K} \left[ \int_{r^*}^{z_0} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \, dz + \int_{r}^{z_0} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \, dz \right]. \tag{43}
$$

Equation (43) can also be rewritten in the more appropriate form,

$$
\Gamma = \frac{4|\alpha_0|^2}{K} \int_{z_0}^{1} (1 - z^2)^{1/2} \, dz, \tag{44}
$$

within the limit $\lambda_D \to 0$ (i.e., for $z_0 = 0$) we find that $\Gamma$ is as given in Eq.(41). The spatial amplification for $a_1$ and $a_2$ is calculated as $\Gamma = (\gamma_1/v_1 + \gamma_2/v_2) L_{\text{eff}}/2$, where $L_{\text{eff}}$ is the amplification length, which has been defined in Eq. (42).
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Three wave parametric excitations have been analyzed in this paper, assuming a pump electric field of finite spatial extent and of constant magnitude in space and in time. We have taken into account the wavenumber mismatch due to the plasma inhomogeneities, and the Landau-damping rates of the excited waves; the wavenumber mismatch has been assumed to obey a linear profile. The resonant excitation can either grow in time or in space, and both types of temporal normal modes and spatially amplified solutions have been studied using a WKB-type of analysis.

Temporal normal modes may be excited if the group velocities of the excited waves have different directions across the pump propagation cone. We have derived an implicit transcendental equation, Eq. (27), that gives the growth rates of the excited modes; the dispersion relation is shown to allow transition to the homogeneous limit. The growth rate \( s \) of the fastest growing mode is shown to be smaller than or equal to \( s_m \), as defined in Eqs. (32) and (33). This upper bound decreases upon increasing either the strength of the mismatch \( K' \) or the spatial width of the pump \( L \); if \( K' L \) goes to infinity, \( s_m \) goes to zero. Moreover, if one allows some small damping for the excited waves, it is shown that there is a finite critical value, \( L_{c}^{-1} \), of the product \( K' L \), such that above it no normal modes are possible; this critical value is given by Eqs. (34) and (35). By increasing the damping rates of the excited waves, \( L_{c}^{-1} \) becomes smaller and so also do the growth rates of the excited normal modes. If the damping rates are such that Eq. (36) is fully satisfied, then no normal modes are possible for any value of \( K' L \).

To obtain these results we have carried out a detailed examination of the Stokes' structure of the mode amplitudes in the complex plane. This allows us to select the correct boundary conditions, which are different from the ones found in a homogeneous plasma due to the existence of the wavenumber mismatch. The mismatch introduces complex turning points that, even
if they do not lie on the real space, are positively affecting the rate of growth of the instability. The spatial dependences of the mode amplitudes may change its direction of amplification at certain points ("turning points") inside the interaction region if $K'$ or $L$ becomes sufficiently large. When this happens that eigenmode, or anyone growing fastest, cannot be excited. This is because we cannot find solutions that are evanescent beyond the "turning points". In Refs. 15-17, the boundary conditions that were assumed, for any $K'$ and $L$, are $a_1(-L/2) = a_2(L/2) = 0$; these are not always correct in inhomogeneous plasmas. The WKB approximation is an useful technique to approximate the values of the "turning points" $r$ and $r^*$, as given by Eq. (22). This approximation is restricted to small values of $K'$ as compared with $\lambda_c$. To apply the WKB approximation, we have to be careful in writing the solutions for the two mode amplitudes within this approximation. In Ref. 15, this was not correctly done, since by requiring that the boundary conditions, at both extremes of the pump cone, to be imposed only on one of the modes (e.g., $a_1$) the fast and slow spatial variations were not appropriately treated. This leads to an ambiguity in the dispersion relation, as it becomes different upon imposing the boundary conditions on the other mode $a_2$.

If the group velocities of the excited waves have the same direction across the pump cone, or if they have different directions but $K' L$ is such that it is above $L_{c1}^{-1}$, the instability can only grow in space. The amplification factors have been obtained, taking into account the damping rates of the excited modes and the mismatch in wavenumbers. We have distinguished between two different cases: (a) when the strength of the mismatch and damping rates are small enough so that the pulse response amplifies along all its trajectory in the pump cone; and (b) when they are large enough so that, owing to the presence of complex turning points, the pulse response cannot amplify along all its trajectory in the pump cone. In case (a), the amplification length is equal to the pump width $L$; the amplification factor is given by Eq. (39). In case (b) the amplification length is always smaller than $L$, and it is defined in Eq. (42); the amplification factor is given by Eqs. (41) and (44), depending on the directions of the group velocities.
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APPENDIX

The weak coupled equations, Eqs. (2) and (3), have been derived in different physical situations by a number of authors. The derivation we are presenting is slightly different from what is usually found in the literature, and is particularized to the coupling of lower-hybrid waves.

We start writing Poisson's equation for the high frequency lower-hybrid waves

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ K \left( n_{nl} \right) \nabla \phi_{lh} (x, t) \right] = 0, \quad (A1)$$

where $K \left( n_{nl} \right)$ is the dielectric tensor evaluated at the nonlinear density $n_{nl}$. We assume that there are no free charges in the plasma, i.e., that all the charges are polarized and are contained in the nonlinear density $n_{nl}$. The dielectric tensor $K$ is an operator which operates on both space and time variables; it has the form:

$$K \left( n_{nl} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} K_\perp \left( n_{nl} \right) & i K_x \left( n_{nl} \right) & 0 \\ -i K_x \left( n_{nl} \right) & K_\parallel \left( n_{nl} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_y \left( n_{nl} \right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A2)$$

These components acting on a wave $(\omega, k)$ give:

$$K_\perp \left( n_{nl} \right) = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \left( n_{nl} \right)}{\omega^2}, \quad (A3a)$$

$$K_\parallel \left( n_{nl} \right) = 1 + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \left( n_{nl} \right) - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2 \left( n_{nl} \right)}{\omega^2}}{\Omega_e^2}, \quad (A3b)$$

$$K_x \left( n_{nl} \right) = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \left( n_{nl} \right)}{\omega \Omega_e}. \quad (A3c)$$

We shall simply write $K_\perp, K_\parallel, K_x$ when they are evaluated at the unperturbed density of the plasma $n_0$, which is assumed to be obtained from a Maxwellian distribution function.

For the decay of a lower-hybrid pump wave $(\omega_0, k_0)$ into another lower-hybrid wave $(\omega_1, k_1)$ plus a low frequency mode $(\omega_2, k_2)$, we simply have:
\[ \phi_{\ell A} = \phi_0 + \phi_1, \]  
\[ \phi_i = \frac{1}{2} \exp[i \psi_i(\vec{x})] \phi_{\ell i}(x, z, t) + c.c., \quad (i = 0, 1), \]  
\[ n_{\ell i} = n_0 + n_0 \{ \exp[i \psi_2(\vec{x})] \eta_i(x, z, t) + c.c. \}, \]  
\[ \psi_i(\vec{x}) = k_{ix} x + k_i y + \int_{x_0}^{x} k_{ix}(x') dx', \quad (i = 0, 1, 2), \]  
where \( \phi_{\ell i} \) and \( \eta_i \) are slowly varying complex amplitudes. Upon substituting Eqs. (A2) and (A4) into Eq. (A1), we find, up to first order in the spatial derivatives of \( \phi_{0z} \) and \( \phi_{1z} \):  
\[ L_0 \phi_{0z} = C_{01} \phi_{1z} \eta_1 \exp[-i \int_{x_0}^{x} \Delta k(x') dx'], \]  
\[ L_1 \phi_{1z} = C_{10}^* \phi_{0z} \eta_1^* \exp[i \int_{x_0}^{x} \Delta k(x') dx'], \]  
\[ L_1 = -k_{iz}^2 K_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) - k_{iz}^2 K_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) + 2i (K_{iz} k_{ix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + K_{iz} k_{iz} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}), \]  
\[ C_{01} = (K_{1z} - 1) k_{0z} k_{z} \cos \phi + (K_{0z} - 1) k_{0z} k_{z} + i K_{iz} k_{0z} k_{1z} \sin \phi, \]  
where \( \Delta k(x) = k_{0z} - k_{1z} - k_{2z}, \) and \( \sin \phi = k_{iz} k_{iz} \). If we assume \( K_{1z} \approx 1 \) and if \( K_{iz} \sin \phi \approx 1 \), one can simplify Eqs. (A5a) and (A5b) by neglecting the first two terms in Eq. (A5d); these approximations are called \( E \times B \) approximation.

The operator \( L_i (i = 0, 1) \) can be rewritten in a more convenient form by considering,  
\[ \frac{k_{ix}^2}{k_{iz}^2} K_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) + K_{iz}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = i \left( \frac{\partial K}{\partial \omega} \gamma + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} \right), \]  
\[ K_{iz} k_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + K_{iz} k_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = - \frac{k_{iz}^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial K}{\partial \omega} \right) \left( v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \]  
where \( \frac{\partial K}{\partial \omega} = 2/\omega \left( 1 + \omega_0^2 / \Omega^2 \right), \) \( (\omega, \Omega) \) stands for either \( (\omega_0, \Omega_0) \) or \( (\omega, \Omega) \), \( \gamma \) is the linear damping rate and \( v_x, v_z \) are the group velocity components. Combining Eqs. (A5) and (A6) and using the \( E \times B \) approximation, we find:
\[
(\gamma_p + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + v_{0x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\phi_{0z} = - \frac{K_{1x}^z k_{1z} \sin \phi}{(\partial K / \partial \omega)_{\omega_0} k_{0l}} \phi_{1z} \eta_1 \exp \left[ -i \int_{x_0}^x \Delta k(x') \, dx' \right] \tag{A7a}
\]

\[
(\gamma_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{1x} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + v_{1x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})\phi_{1z} = - \frac{K_{0x}^z k_{0l} \sin \phi}{(\partial K / \partial \omega)_{\omega_1} k_{1z}} \phi_{0z} \eta_1^* \exp \left[ -i \int_{x_0}^x \Delta k(x') \, dx' \right] \tag{A7b}
\]

where \( \gamma_p \) and \( \gamma_1 \) are the pump and sideband linear damping rates, respectively.

The nonlinear density \( \eta \) is due to the parallel ponderomotive force produced by \( \phi_0 \) and \( \phi_1 \) which acts on the electrons along \( \vec{B}_0 \). The ponderomotive potential is given by \( \phi_p = -e/m_e [(\vec{v}_0 \cdot \nabla)v_{1x} + (\vec{v}_1 \cdot \nabla)v_{0x}^* + c.c.] \), where \( \vec{v}_0 \) and \( \vec{v}_1 \) are the fluid velocities of the pump and sideband waves which are calculated from the fluid equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} = -e/m_e (\vec{E}_1 + \vec{E}_0 \times \vec{B}_0), \quad I = 0, 1.
\]

Hence, we find

\[
\phi_{ps} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{e}{m_e} \frac{k_{0x} k_{1x}}{\omega_0 \omega_1} + \frac{i}{B_0 \omega_0} k_{0x} k_{1x} \sin \phi \right) \phi_0 \phi_1^* \tag{A8}
\]

the \( E \times B \) approximation consists in neglecting the first term of the right-hand side of Eq. (A8).

The perturbed electron density can be found solving for

\[
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) \eta = - \frac{e}{m_e} \frac{df_{Me}}{dz} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\phi_p + \phi_{sc}). \tag{A9}
\]

where \( f_{Me} \) stands for a Maxwellian distribution function, and \( \phi_{sc} \) is the self-consistent potential to be determined from Poisson's equation. From Eq. (A9) one gets,

\[
\eta = \frac{\epsilon_0}{e n_0} k_2^2 x \phi_2. \tag{A10}
\]

The electron susceptibility \( x \) is an operator which acts on the low frequency electric potential \( \phi_2 \), where \( \phi_2 = \phi_p + \phi_{sc} \). For the ions the ponderomotive force is negligible and one finds: \( \eta_i = - (\epsilon_0/\epsilon n_0) k_2^2 x \phi_{sc} \). Putting together Eq. (A10) and \( \eta_i \) into Poisson equation we get

\[
K_2 \left( \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_2 = [1 + x_i (k_2^2, \omega_2)] \phi_p, \tag{A11}
\right)
\]

where \( K_2 \) is the dispersion relation operator for the low frequency wave.

If the low frequency wave is nonresonant [i.e., \( K_2 (k_2, \omega_2) \neq 0 \)], we may simply write \( \phi_2 = \)
[1 + \chi_i(K^2_2, \omega_2)]/K^2_2(\omega_2, \omega_2) \quad \phi_i; \text{ putting this together with Eqs. (A7), (A8), and (A10), we could obtain the quasi-mode coupled equations as presented in Ref. 3. However, in our case, the low frequency wave is resonant [i.e., } K^2_2(\omega_2, \omega_2) = 0]; \text{ we may then write, in lowest order,}

\[ K_2(\mathbf{v}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \phi_{2j} = i(\frac{\partial K^2_2}{\partial \omega})_{\omega_2} (\gamma_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_{2x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_{2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) \phi_{2r} \quad (A12) \]

where \( \gamma_2 \) is the linear damping rate, and \( v_{2x}, v_{2z} \) are the group velocity components for the low frequency mode.

Let us next define the wave packet amplitudes \( a_i \) as

\[ a_i = [(\frac{\partial K_i}{\partial \omega})_{\omega_0}]^{1/2} k_i \phi_{is} \quad (A13) \]

where \( i = 0, 1, 2, \) and \( E_i = 1/2 k_i \phi_{is} \) is the magnitude of the electric field. By combining Eqs. (A7) through (A13), we finally arrive at the coupled equations describing the resonant interaction between the three waves:

\[ (\gamma_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla) a_0 = -Ca_1 a_2 \quad (A14a) \]

\[ (\gamma_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla) a_1 = Ca_0 a_2^* \quad (A14b) \]

\[ (\gamma_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \nabla) a_2 = Ca_0 a_1^* \quad (A14c) \]

We have taken \( \omega_0 = \omega_1 \), where

\[ C = \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{1}{B_0 \omega_0} \frac{\sin \phi}{[(\frac{\partial K_0}{\partial \omega})_{\omega_0} (\frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \omega})_{\omega_1} (\frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \omega})_{\omega_2}]^{1/2}} k_2 \chi_i(K^2_2, \omega_2) \quad (A15) \]

If the pump is assumed constant, and if \( \gamma_0 = Ca_0 \), we obtain the system of coupled equations (2) and (3).
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Pump propagation cone and trajectory of the pulse response. The coordinates \((x, z)\) lie along the direction of the plasma inhomogeneities and toroidal magnetic field, respectively. The coordinates \((\bar{z}, \bar{z})\) lie along and perpendicular to the pump propagation cone. The line of the pulse response is \(\bar{z} - \alpha \bar{z} = z_f\) with \(z_f\) a free parameter defining where in the plasma the resonant interaction is taking place.

Fig. 2. The complex \(z\)-plane is cut at the turning points, \(z = \pm 1\). These cuts run from \(z = \pm 1\) to \(z = \pm \infty\). The anti-Stokes' lines are full lines departing from \(z = 1\). The Stokes' line is the broken line along the real axis. Normal modes may be excited for \(K' L < L_c^{-1}\). The interaction range extends along the line that joins \(c\) and \(c^*\), where \(z_0 = (\lambda_D + s (1/v_1 - 1/v_{2\xi})) / 2\alpha_0\), \(s\) is the growth rate of the possible normal mode, and \(c = z_0 + K' L/4\alpha_0\). The growth rate of the fastest growing mode is smaller than or equal to \(s_m\), as given by, \(z_m = (\lambda_D + s_m (1/v_1 - 1/v_{2\xi}) + i 2 K' L/2\alpha_0)\).

Fig. 3. The complex \(z\)-plane is cut at the turning points \(z = \pm i\). These cuts run from \(z = \pm i\) to \(z = \pm \infty\). The anti-Stokes' lines are full lines departing from \(z = \pm i\). The Stokes' line is the broken line along the imaginary axis. We distinguish between two cases (a) and (b), depending on whether the pump boundary limits \(c\) and \(c^*\), where \(c = (\lambda_D + i 2 K' L/2\alpha_0)\) lie between the two anti-Stokes' lines or not. In case (a) the amplification length is equal to the pump width \(L\). In case (b), it is \(L_{eff}\) defined through \(r = (\lambda_D + i 2 K' L_{eff}) / 2\alpha_0\).
\[ \frac{Z}{Z - \alpha X} = Z_t \]

**Figure 1**