

MIT Open Access Articles

A System of RNA Modifications and Biased Codon Use Controls Cellular Stress Response at the Level of Translation

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Dedon, Peter C., and Thomas J. Begley. "A System of RNA Modifications and Biased Codon Use Controls Cellular Stress Response at the Level of Translation." *Chemical Research in Toxicology* 27, no. 3 (March 17, 2014): 330–337. © 2014 American Chemical Society.

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx400438d>

Publisher: American Chemical Society (ACS)

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/96761>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.




A System of RNA Modifications and Biased Codon Use Controls Cellular Stress Response at the Level of Translation

Peter C. Dedon^{*,†} and Thomas J. Begley^{*,‡}

[†]Department of Biological Engineering, Center for Environmental Health Science, Infectious Disease Interdisciplinary Research Group, Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

[‡]State University of New York College of Nanoscale Science and Engineering, Albany, New York 12203, United States

ABSTRACT: Cells respond to environmental stressors and xenobiotic exposures using regulatory networks to control gene expression, and there is an emerging appreciation for the role of numerous postsynthetic chemical modifications of DNA, RNA, and proteins in controlling transcription and translation of the stress response. In this Perspective, we present a model for a new network that regulates the cellular response to xenobiotic exposures and other stresses in which stress-induced reprogramming of a system of dozens of post-transcriptional modifications on tRNA (tRNA) promotes selective translation of codon-biased mRNAs for critical response proteins. As a product of novel genomic and bioanalytical technologies, this model has strong parallels with the regulatory networks of DNA methylation in epigenetics and the variety of protein secondary modifications comprising signaling pathways and the histone code. When present at the tRNA wobble position, the modified ribonucleosides enhance the translation of mRNAs in which the cognate codons of the tRNAs are highly over-represented and that represent critical stress response proteins. A parallel system may also downregulate the translation of families of proteins. Notably, dysregulation of the tRNA methyltransferase enzymes in humans has also been implicated in cancer etiology, with demonstrated oncogenic and tumor-suppressive effects.



CONTENTS

1. Introduction	330
2. Systems for Regulating Transcription by Reprogramming DNA and Histone Modifications	330
3. Regulatory Potential of RNA Methyltransferases and tRNA Modifications	331
4. Systems-Level Quantification of tRNA Modifications to Define Transcendent Properties	332
5. Stress-Induced Tuning of tRNA Modifications: Biomarker Signatures of Exposure	333
6. Gene-Specific Biases in Codon Use: In Search of a Code of Codons in Translational Control of Cell Response	333
7. Stress Response Regulatory Mechanism That Links tRNA-Modification Reprogramming and Selective Translation of mRNAs with Biased Codon Use	334
Author Information	336
Corresponding Authors	336
Author Contributions	336
Funding	336
Notes	336
Abbreviations	336
References	336

1. INTRODUCTION

Cells respond to environmental signals and stresses using a variety of mechanisms that link the external stimuli to changes in cell phenotype by myriad biochemical reactions that ultimately lead to changes in gene expression and protein activity. Well-defined pathways of signal transduction affect transcription, mRNA stability, protein levels, and protein secondary modification, with the altered protein function and metabolite levels defining a new cell state. Among the mechanisms of cell response, the link between translation and environmental changes is the least understood. Here, we describe the emerging evidence for a new system by which cells respond and adapt to environmental stresses by reprogramming dozens of modified ribonucleosides in tRNA, which leads to selective translation of codon-biased mRNAs. This system exploits features of both genetics, in the form of a code of codon use in families of genes, and postsynthetic DNA and protein modifications, in the idea that editable modifications affect gene expression, with signal transduction pathways linking the environmental stress to controlled changes in gene expression at the level of translational elongation.

Special Issue: Systems Toxicology

Received: November 26, 2013

Published: January 14, 2014




Figure 1. Nucleic acid and protein modifications regulate gene expression in transcription and translation. (A) DNA and histone methylation marks regulate transcript levels to affect gene expression. Dynamic RNA methylation signals have recently been demonstrated to regulate how well a transcript is translated to affect gene expression. (B) Structures of 5-methylcytosine (m^5C) in DNA ($X = H$) and RNA ($X = OH$) and the tRNA wobble modification mcm^5U . (C) Structures of four of >120 modified ribonucleosides in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. The RNA modification database can be accessed to view more modification structures (<http://mods.rna.albany.edu/>). The nucleosome image was prepared by David S. Goodsell and the RCSB PDB (<http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/101/motm.do?momID=7>) and is used with permission.

2. SYSTEMS FOR REGULATING TRANSCRIPTION BY REPROGRAMMING DNA AND HISTONE MODIFICATIONS

As a parallel to the system of RNA modifications in control of translation, epigenetics is classically defined as heritable changes in gene expression without changing the DNA sequence. The prime example involves formation of m^5C by DNA methyltransferases (DNMT's) as a well-established regulator of gene expression,^{1–4} with methylation patterns in promoter regions dramatically altered in response to environmental stimuli or in different cancers.^{5,6} Although DNA methylation patterns are heritable and the patterns previously presumed to be stable in a specific cell type, global reprogramming of m^5C patterns in the genome has now been observed in response to exposure to drugs and toxicants,⁵ which illustrates a dynamic role for epigenetic signals in cellular response and adaptation. Methylation of histone tails by protein methyltransferases (PMT's) functions in a similar manner to DNA methylation as a well-recognized regulator of gene expression.⁷ As part of an integrated system with DNA methylation, histone methylation is theorized to be part of a complicated histone code that is composed of a variety of other posttranslational modifications and that is altered by environmental signals and disease pathologies to control gene expression. For example, lysine N^7 -methylation (H3K4, H3K36) in histone H3 and subsequent

demethylation are considered dueling signals that regulate transcription.⁷ At their simplest, both promoter and histone methylation affect gene expression by regulating how much of a transcript is made, with these signals altered in cancer pathogenesis and reprogrammed after some environmental exposures (Figure 1A). However, the complexity of epigenetic DNA marks has become more complicated by the emergence of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, 5-formylcytosine, and 5-carboxycytosine modifications of DNA⁸ and by the diversity of histone modifications, including acetylation and phosphorylation.⁷ In this context of transcriptional control by DNA and histone modification, we introduce the concept of a system of dozens of RNA modifications, including RNA methylation, that reprogram in response to environmental changes and control gene expression at the level of translation.

3. REGULATORY POTENTIAL OF RNA METHYLTRANSFERASES AND tRNA MODIFICATIONS

Similar to DNMT's and PMT's, RNA methyltransferases (RNMT's) have also been implicated in the pathophysiology of human disease,^{9,10} but a clear understanding of their mechanism of action in human cells has been elusive until recently. For example, the modification of mRNA with N^6 -methyladenosine (m^6A) by the methyltransferase METTL3

and removal of m⁶A by the FTO demethylase are emerging as determinants of mRNA stability and translational efficiency.¹⁰ Here, we focus on tRNA, with recent work with the model eukaryote *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* demonstrating that RNA-methylation enzymes specific to tRNA (tRNA) are vital to cell viability after exposure to agents that generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and DNA damage. Specifically, defects in the m⁵C tRNA methyltransferase 4 (Trm4, also called Ncl1) and mcm⁵U tRNA methyltransferase 9 (Trm9) lead to damage-induced growth and cell cycle phenotypes.^{11,12} This highlights an important connection between tRNA and stress response: modified ribonucleosides in tRNA. tRNA molecules are initially transcribed with U, A, C, and G bases, but the nucleobases and ribose sugars in a tRNA molecule are subject to chemical modification by a large system of enzymes. There are >100 chemical tRNA modifications throughout phylogeny, with ~25–30 in all tRNA species in a cell, including *S. cerevisiae* and humans.¹³ *S. cerevisiae* have an average of 11 modifications spread throughout the ~70 bases in tRNA, whereas the average mammalian tRNA contains 13 modifications (Figure 1B,C).¹⁴ In general, tRNA methyltransferases transfer the methyl group from S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) to the 2'-OH of the ribose sugar, to the heterocyclic or exocyclic nitrogen atoms of the nucleobase, or to nucleophilic sites in modification intermediates. There are 18 known Trm enzymes in *S. cerevisiae*, with genomic analyses predicting 36 human Trms.⁹ In many cases, and for both Trm4 and Trm9, there are two or more human homologues for each yeast tRNA methyltransferase, which suggests diversification or specialization of Trm activity to new modifications in humans, modification of different tRNAs, or functions other than tRNA modification. Regardless of enzyme identify or regulation, modified ribonucleosides can promote tRNA structural stability and folding, translational fidelity, frame-shift prevention, and translation efficiency, with evidence for roles in tRNA quality control, cellular stress responses, and cell growth.^{15–21} The modifications are located in conserved positions throughout the four loops and termini of the tRNA molecule, with a large diversity of chemical structures occurring in the anticodon loop and the wobble position of the anticodon in particular.¹⁶ This is not surprising in light of the role of the wobble ribonucleotide in determining anticodon–codon interactions between tRNA and mRNA during translational elongation.

The diversity of both the chemical structures and locations of tRNA modifications suggests a role for modified ribonucleosides in controlling translation as part of a regulatory system. Simplistically, wobble base tRNA modifications can allow or prevent specific anticodon–codon interactions, which gives them great regulatory potential as a result of their ability to control the rate of translational elongation.^{17,22} Some wobble base modifications are only found on a subset of tRNAs for specific amino acids that interact with select codons, which supports the idea that regulation by tRNA modification can be very specific to a particular codon. If tRNA modifications are part of a such a regulatory system, then they must satisfy at least two criteria: (1) that they increase or decrease in response to specific changes in cell state and (2) that changes in the levels of the modifications alter the codon-reading properties of the associated tRNA and, in some cases, the selection of redundant codons. These behaviors transcend the chemical structure or location of individual ribonucleoside modifications and require a coordinated system with rules beyond the primary genetic code. Only recently have analytical and informatic technologies

provided a means to define these transcendent properties of tRNA modifications.

4. SYSTEMS-LEVEL QUANTIFICATION OF tRNA MODIFICATIONS TO DEFINE TRANSCENDENT PROPERTIES

In the field of systems biology, the development of convergent technologies to quantify the thousands of individual components of the transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome has led to the discovery of regulatory networks and interactions that would not have been observed in single-molecule or -pathway analyses. The same has been true in the study of tRNA modifications. The power of liquid chromatography-coupled mass spectrometry (LC–MS) for identifying and quantifying modified ribonucleosides has recently been recognized by several groups.^{23–27} To explore the regulatory potential for tRNA modifications in cellular stress responses, we developed a systems-oriented LC–MS platform to measure changes in the relative quantities of all tRNA modifications in an organism (Figure 2).^{26,27} The platform involves artifact-free RNA isolation, purification of individual noncoding RNA species by HPLC,²⁸ hydrolysis and HPLC resolution of individual ribonucleosides, and mass spectrometric identification and quantification of stress-induced changes in all modified ribonucleosides by quadrupole time-of-flight and tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry, respectively. The data set is




Figure 2. Platform for tRNA modification analytics and computational analysis of codon usage, which allows definition of the link between tRNA modifications and selective translation of MoTTs in the cell stress response. tRNA modifications are identified and quantified by HPLC-coupled mass spectrometry techniques to identify highly up- and downregulated ribonucleosides. Critical modifications are then mapped to wobble positions in specific tRNA species, the anticodon of which specifies a codon that is subjected to genomic analysis. Biased use of this codon in gene families specifies potential MoTTs. In parallel, proteomic analysis of stress-altered protein levels reveals codon-biased translation of MoTTs. Ultimately, the stress-altered tRNA reprogramming is linked to selective translation of codon-biased mRNAs, with patterns of gene expression unique to each stress.

subjected to bioinformatic and statistical analysis to define patterns of change and then to define pathways linked to altered ribonucleosides. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, our LC–




Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of exposure- and genetic-induced changes in RNA modification levels. RNA modification data from wild-type cells exposed to different agents and mock-treated cells were identified and quantitated by mass spectrometry. Log-based fold-change values were determined relative to untreated, wild-type cells, and these data were hierarchically clustered. Image reproduced from ref 27.

MS method is capable of quantifying 23 of the ~25 known ribonucleoside modifications in cytoplasmic tRNA in *S. cerevisiae*,^{29,30} with limited detection of two modifications (Ar(p) and ncm⁵Um) in positive ion mode. Of critical importance here is the sensitivity of detection, because low-level modifications are those most likely to be found at wobble positions of specific tRNA species, as opposed to more abundant modifications found in many tRNA species. LC–MS analysis reveals that modifications occur roughly at high (D, m⁵C, m¹G, m₂²G, m¹A, and Y), medium (ac⁴c, t⁶A, m⁵U, Cm, Gm, m⁷G, m²G, i⁶A, and Am), and low levels (ncm⁵Um, mcm⁵s²U, ncm⁵u, mcm⁵U, Um, m¹I, I, and m³C),²⁷ which generally reflects their presence in all or specific tRNA species as well as their presence at multiple or single positions in tRNA. These features make the sensitivity, precision, and accuracy of the analytical method particularly important in first-pass studies of stress-induced changes in tRNA modifications. For example, Trm4 catalyzes the formation of m⁵C in over 34 species of tRNA,³⁰ yet tRNA^{Leu(CAA)} is the only tRNA with m⁵C at the anticodon wobble position 34 in addition to position 48 between the variable and TΨC loops.³⁰ The observation of stress-dependent changes in m⁵C levels may thus depend on

the ability to detect small changes in the total quantity of m⁵C in the tRNA population. Similarly, Trm9 catalyzes two modifications, mcm⁵s²U and mcm⁵U, at wobble positions in five tRNA species (tRNA^{Arg-UCU}, tRNA^{Gly-UCC}, tRNA^{Lys-UUU}, tRNA^{Gln-UUG}, and tRNA^{Glu-UUC})^{31,32} such that changes could occur in any or all of the tRNA species. Ultimately, individual tRNA species must be isolated and analyzed for changes in tRNA-modification levels in an analysis of the regulatory properties of modified ribonucleosides, and this is accomplished by quantitative localization of modifications using combinations of RNase cleavage and oligonucleotide-based affinity purification along with LC–MS analysis.²⁵

5. STRESS-INDUCED TUNING OF tRNA MODIFICATIONS: BIOMARKER SIGNATURES OF EXPOSURE

As noted earlier, tRNA modifications fulfill a regulatory function in cell response if they increase or decrease following specific stresses. Application of the tRNA-modification analysis platform to yeast exposed to different chemical stresses revealed that this is indeed the case in yeast.^{12,27} Cells were exposed to three equitoxic doses of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), sodium arsenite (NaAsO₂), and sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), and changes in the levels of 23 modified ribonucleosides in total tRNA were quantified by LC–MS analysis. Application of multivariate statistical analysis to the fold-change data (e.g., hierarchical clustering) revealed that specific groups of tRNA modifications were uniquely up- or downregulated for each agent and for individual doses of each agent, as shown in Figure 3. The highly reproducible changes in tRNA-modification spectra demonstrate that the exposures promote reprogramming of the system of RNA modifications,^{27,33–36} which has been referred to as the ribonucleome.²⁴ More recently, we quantitatively compared changes in the complete set of tRNA modifications in yeast exposed to four different oxidizing agents and five different alkylating agents. Multivariate statistical analysis revealed class-specific features that distinguished oxidizing agents from alkylating agents, with 14 modifications forming the basis for a data-driven model that predicted the chemical class of toxicant exposure with greater than 80% sensitivity and specificity (Chan et al., submitted). Furthermore, signature changes in tRNA modification spectra distinguished S_N1 from S_N2 alkylating agents (Chan et al., submitted). These systems-level changes in tRNA modifications are analogous to the stress-specific patterns of changes in mRNA levels in transcriptional profiling or to proteomic and metabolomic signatures of cell state, which suggests a role for RNA modifications in regulating gene expression after ROS stress and DNA damage. Recent evidence for codon biases across the genome has provided a basis for linking tRNA-modification reprogramming to selective translation of codon-biased transcripts as the regulatory mechanism in question.

6. GENE-SPECIFIC BIASES IN CODON USE: IN SEARCH OF A CODE OF CODONS IN TRANSLATIONAL CONTROL OF CELL RESPONSE

The second criterion for a translational regulatory role for tRNA modifications involves their ability to recognize information in mRNAs that is separate from the simple amino acid-specifying codon. More specifically, understanding how changes in the levels of specific tRNA modifications can




Figure 4. Calculation of biases in gene-specific codon usage. (A) Fold-difference in the average codon frequency of the 425 identified yeast MoTTs when compared to genome averages is noted. Those codons overused in the MoTTs are colored yellow ($P < 10^{-5}$), whereas those under-represented are colored purple ($P < 10^{-14}$), with the sum frequency that all degenerate codons are used for each amino acid = 1. (B) Run of 25 codons used at the C-terminal end of the *YEF3* transcript is highly enriched ($n = 24$) for those over-represented in MoTTs. Notably, there are two $(\text{AAG})_4$ codon runs and one $(\text{AAG})_5$ codon run represented in this sequence, with 21 codons specific to Trm9 (AAG, GAA, and AGA) and Trm4 (UUG).

affect gene expression requires insight into codon–anticodon interactions and the patterns of usage of so-called redundant codons in the genome. The general dogma in thinking about codons is that the rate by which they are translated by the ribosome is tightly linked to the concentration of the decoding tRNA, with reported correlations between genome-wide codon bias, tRNA copy number, and gene-expression levels in many model organisms.³⁷ Simply put, current models correlate the most highly translated transcripts with possession of the most frequently used codons, which are specified and decoded by corresponding tRNA species whose genes have the highest number of copies in the genome and the highest number of tRNA copies in the pool.³⁷ Although this model holds true for the expression of many genes, it suffers from being a static model: it cannot account for stress-induced regulation of translation. There are also many exceptions to the model, as revealed by transcripts showing clustering of low-frequency codons, distinct mRNA secondary structure, and internal ribosome binding sites.

So there is a need to better understand the information content of biased codon usage in genes and to identify a mechanistic link between codon-usage patterns and specific tRNA modifications. Developing rules, though, is a challenge because of the fact that there are 20 standard amino acids, 64 codons, 76 unique tRNA species, 300 tRNA genes, and >23 tRNA modifications in *S. cerevisiae* as a model organism. The complexity can be simplified by concentrating on wobble base modifications in specific tRNAs and then analyzing patterns of codon usage in specific transcripts, but an appreciation of the degeneracy of the genetic code is required to move the model to the next level. There are 64 standard codons possible from the four canonical bases found in mRNA, with several (i.e., synonymous) codons translated into the same amino acid. This degeneracy is illustrated well by leucine and arginine. Both amino acids have the maximum number of six degenerate

codons in whole- (four) and split- (two) codon boxes (Figure 4A). In split-codon boxes, the wobble base tRNA modifications $m^5\text{C}$ and $m\text{cm}^5\text{U}$ can influence codon–anticodon affinity by dramatically enhancing interactions with one codon (i.e., TTG for Leu and AGA for Arg). Transcripts in which one codon from the split box is over-represented therefore have great potential for their translational efficiency to be tied to the levels of specific wobble base modifications. We can extend this idea further by proposing that specific transcripts may have over-representation of many specific codons from split boxes for multiple amino acids, which could lead to translational regulation by multiple tRNA modifications. We term the mRNAs from these codon-biased genes as modification tunable transcripts (MoTTs), and we have identified 425 of them in *S. cerevisiae* using a recently developed codon-analysis algorithm (Figure 4). These 425 genes contain statistically significant deviations in the usage of 29 codons when compared to all transcripts in the *S. cerevisiae* genome.^{11,38} Several recent studies have validated the use of the term MoTT by establishing a link between the dynamics of stress-induced tuning of tRNA wobble modifications and the selective translation of codon-biased mRNAs that represent critical stress response genes.^{12,27,39}

7. STRESS RESPONSE REGULATORY MECHANISM THAT LINKS tRNA-MODIFICATION REPROGRAMMING AND SELECTIVE TRANSLATION OF mRNAs WITH BIASED CODON USE

The evidence for stress-induced reprogramming of tRNA modifications and for a link between specific tRNA modifications and biased codon used in MoTTs suggests that the system of tRNA modifications composes a mechanism for regulating cellular responses to environmental changes at the level of translation (Figure 5). Several recent studies confirm




Figure 5. RNA modifications and biased codon use form a system that controls cellular stress response at the level of translation. Emerging evidence supports a model in which stress induces a reprogramming of tRNA modifications that leads to selective translation of codon-biased mRNAs (i.e., MoTTs) representing critical stress response proteins.

this model, with regulation of codon-biased transcripts demonstrated for MoTTs linked to m^5C and mcm^5U modifications. Specifically, Trm4-catalyzed modification of C to m^5C at the wobble position of anticodon of $tRNA^{Leu(CAA)}$ has been shown to increase in response H_2O_2 exposure, with this increase driving increased translation of mRNAs (MoTTs) derived from the 38 genes in yeast in which 90% or more of the leucines are encoded by UUG.¹² Among these UUG-enriched MoTTs is that for the ribosomal protein, Rpl22a.¹² Of importance here is the fact that Rpl22a is one of two alternative proteins for Rpl22, with the gene for its paralogue, Rpl22b, lacking significant enrichment of UUG. H_2O_2 exposure did not increase the rate of translation of Rpl22b, and only loss of the gene for Rpl22a rendered the cells sensitive to killing by oxidative stress.¹² These results provide a direct link between stress-induced increases in a specific wobble tRNA modification and selective translation of codon-biased mRNAs for critical stress response genes.

Similar to Trm4, Trm9-specific mcm^5U and mcm^5s^2U modifications have been demonstrated to be regulated in response to DNA damage and are required to increase the translation of codon-biased MoTTs.^{11,36} In *S. cerevisiae*, the mRNAs for yeast translation elongation factor 3 (*YEF3*) and ribonucleotide reductases 1 (*RNR1*) and 3 (*RNR3*) are over-represented with AGA, GAA, and AAG codons, and the basal translation of these proteins is dramatically decreased in *trm9Δ* cells lacking mcm^5U and mcm^5s^2U .^{11,38} This again illustrates the concept of MoTTs. Proteins corresponding to transcripts with average codon usage (i.e., non-MoTTs) were found to occur at similar levels in wild-type and *trm9Δ* cells.¹¹ Notably,

mRNA levels for *RPL22A*, *YEF3*, *RNR1*, and *RNR3* were identical in wild-type, *trm4Δ* (for *RPL22A*), and *trm9Δ* (for *YEF3*, *RNR1*, and *RNR3*) cells, which further demonstrates that tRNA-modification-dependent gene expression operates at the level of translational regulation.

Computational analysis of the MoTTs *RPL22A*, *YEF3*, *RNR1*, and *RNR3* indicates that each of these transcripts is significantly over-represented in specific groups of codons, with protein analysis technologies clearly demonstrating that their protein levels can be regulated by specific tRNA modifications. The computed codon signature is an indicator of a MoTT and is limited at this point from the perspective of developing regulatory rules, as it is a trend for the whole gene. Notably, it does not provide any location-specific information detailing where these over-represented codons fall in the gene, and there will most likely be transcript regions that are more severely biased in certain codons and thus represent key regulatory motifs. As an example, the 3'/C-terminal region of *YEF3* is shown in Figure 4B. In a span of 25 codons, it contains 21 codons whose regulation can be linked to Trm4 (UGU) and Trm9 (AGA, GAA, and AAG), thus representing a local transcript region that is predicted to be highly dependent on specific tRNA modifications for translation. Furthermore, development and testing of computational rules governing the precise mechanism of translational regulation of MoTTs is needed to identify the most significant regulatory regions where modifications regulate the translation of specific transcripts.

MoTTs are a new regulatory term, but they have been described before. There is an important precedent in the form of selenocysteine-containing proteins that also illustrates the concept of MoTTs, RNA modifications, and stress response proteins. Selenocysteine (Sec) is commonly called the 21st amino acid, and it is found in cellular detoxification and stress response proteins that include members of the glutathione peroxidase (GPX) and thioredoxin reductase (TRXR) families.^{40,41} Importantly, these Sec-containing proteins can detoxify H_2O_2 (GPX1 and GPX3) and lipid peroxidation products (GPX6) and contribute to the regulation of ribonucleotide reductase enzymes (TrxR1 and TrxR2). Sec lacks its own dedicated codon, and it is incorporated into proteins using a novel mechanism termed stop-codon recoding, which requires a number of key signals. The UGA codon (i.e., the stop codon) is normally used to signal the end of translation, but in stop-codon recoding, an internal UGA codon is used in conjunction with other factors to signal for the insertion of Sec. Importantly, the wobble base tRNA modification mcm^5U , which is catalyzed by human and mouse ALKBH8, is required for efficient stop-codon recoding.^{40–43} As a side note, it has been proposed that the oxidative demethylation activity of ALKBH8 could serve as an off switch by reversing wobble methylation modifications, akin to DNA and histone demethylation, but no such activity has been demonstrated.⁸ Transcripts that encode Sec-containing proteins can be thought of as extreme MoTTs because they are over-represented with stop codons and need mcm^5U for efficient translation. Transcripts for Sec-containing proteins also fit into the theme of MoTTs because they correspond to important stress response proteins, with specific GPX and TRXR activities well established as cellular detoxification enzymes.^{40–43}

In conclusion, the connection between RNA modifications, biased codon use, and translational regulation of stress response protein highlights a complicated set of mechanisms to regulate gene expression. This parallels other methylation-based signals,

as understanding regulation of transcription by m⁵C and histone methylation is also complicated, required new tools at their outset, and can have species-specific rules. It is important to note that the DNA, protein, and RNA modification activities and modifications specified by DNMT's, PMT's, and RNMT's share a common theme of regulating gene expression by enzyme-catalyzed methylation, with all of them reprogrammable by environmental conditions and during some disease pathologies. There are significant challenges for better defining the roles and mechanisms of MoTTs and RNA modifications because codon usage and modification patterns change when studying different organisms and there are numerous, varied, and specialized instrumentation required for the study of RNA modifications. The study of MoTTs and RNA modifications is therefore required in multiple model systems and settings to define further and to develop general and then species-specific rules. We note that one possible path to make the study of RNA modifications simpler and more accessible is to follow the example set by researchers studying DNA and histone methylation signals: to develop antibodies for each modification.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: pcdedon@mit.edu (P.C.D.).

*E-mail: tbegley@albany.edu (T.J.B.).

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

NIH ES017010 (T.J.B.), and National Science Foundation CHE-1308839 and the National Research Foundation of Singapore through the Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology (P.C.D.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ABBREVIATIONS

ac⁴C, N⁴-acetylcytidine; Am, 2'-O-methyladenosine; Ar(p), 2'-O-riboseadenosine phosphate; Cm, 2'-O-methylcytidine; D, dihydrouridine; DNMT, DNA methyltransferases; Gm, 2'-O-methylguanosine; GPX, glutathione peroxidase; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; I, inosine; i⁶A, N⁶-isopentenyladenosine; LC-MS, chromatography-coupled mass spectrometry; m¹A, N¹-methyladenosine; m³C, 3-methylcytidine; m⁵C, 5-methylcytidine; mcm⁵U, 5-methoxycarbonylmethyluridine; mcm⁵s²U, 5-methoxycarbonylmethyl-2-thiouridine; m¹G, 1-methylguanosine; m²G, 2-methylguanosine; m²₂G, N²,N²-dimethylguanosine; m⁷G, N⁷-methylguanosine; m¹I, N¹-methylinosine; MMS, methyl methanesulfonate; MoTT, modification tunable transcript; m⁵U, 5-methyluridine; NaAsO₂, sodium arsenite; NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; ncm⁵U, 5-carbamoylmethyluridine; ncm⁵Um, 5-carbamoylmethyl-2'-O-methyluridine; PMT, protein methyltransferases; RNMT, RNA methyltransferases; RNRI, ribonucleotide reductase 1; RNR3, ribonucleotide reductase 3; RPL22a, ribosomal protein large subunit 22A; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SAM, S-adenosyl methionine; t⁶A, N⁶-threonylcarbamoyladenine; Trm, tRNA methyltransferases; tRNA, transfer RNA; TRXR, thioredoxin reductase;

Um, 2'-O-methyluridine; Y, pseudouridine; YEF3, yeast elongation factor 3

REFERENCES

- (1) Esteller, M. (2002) CpG island hypermethylation and tumor suppressor genes: A booming present, a brighter future. *Oncogene* 21, 5427–5440.
- (2) Esteller, M. (2005) Aberrant DNA methylation as a cancer-inducing mechanism. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 45, 629–656.
- (3) Kennedy, B. K., Liu, O. W., Dick, F. A., Dyson, N., Harlow, E., and Vidal, M. (2001) Histone deacetylase-dependent transcriptional repression by pRB in yeast occurs independently of interaction through the LXCXE binding cleft. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 8720–8725.
- (4) Lee, D. Y., Hayes, J. J., Pruss, D., and Wolffe, A. P. (1993) A positive role for histone acetylation in transcription factor access to nucleosomal DNA. *Cell* 72, 73–84.
- (5) Thomson, J. P., Moggs, J. G., Wolf, C. R., and Meehan, R. R. (2013) Epigenetic profiles as defined signatures of xenobiotic exposure. *Mutat. Res.* [Online early access], DOI: 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2013.08.007, Published Online: August 31.
- (6) Baylin, S. B., and Jones, P. A. (2011) A decade of exploring the cancer epigenome – biological and translational implications. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 11, 726–734.
- (7) Chi, P., Allis, C. D., and Wang, G. G. (2010) Covalent histone modifications – miswritten, misinterpreted and mis-erased in human cancers. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 10, 457–469.
- (8) Fu, Y., and He, C. (2012) Nucleic acid modifications with epigenetic significance. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 16, 516–524.
- (9) Towns, W. L., and Begley, T. J. (2011) Transfer RNA methyltransferases and their corresponding modifications in budding yeast and humans: Activities, predications, and potential roles in human health. *DNA Cell Biol.* 31, 434–454.
- (10) Sibbritt, T., Patel, H. R., and Preiss, T. (2013) Mapping and significance of the mRNA methylome. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: RNA* 4, 397–422.
- (11) Begley, U., Dyavaiah, M., Patil, A., Rooney, J. P., Drenzo, D., Young, C. M., Conklin, D. S., Zitomer, R. S., and Begley, T. J. (2007) Trm9-catalyzed tRNA modifications link translation to the DNA damage response. *Mol. Cell* 28, 860–870.
- (12) Chan, C. T. Y., Pang, Y. L. J., Deng, W., Babu, I. R., Dyavaiah, M., Begley, T. J., and Dedon, P. C. (2012) Reprogramming of tRNA modifications controls the oxidative stress response by codon-biased translation of proteins. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 937.
- (13) Machnicka, M. A., Milanowska, K., Osman Oglou, O., Purta, E., Kurkowska, M., Olchowik, A., Januszewski, W., Kalinowski, S., Dunin-Horkawicz, S., Rother, K. M., Helm, M., Bujnicki, J. M., and Grosjean, H. (2013) Modomics: A database of RNA modification pathways – a 2013 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D262–267.
- (14) Sprinzl, M., Horn, C., Brown, M., Ioudovitch, A., and Steinberg, S. (1998) Compilation of tRNA sequences and sequences of tRNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 148–153.
- (15) El Yacoubi, B., Bailly, M., and de Crecy-Lagard, V. (2012) Biosynthesis and function of posttranscriptional modifications of transfer RNAs. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 46, 69–95.
- (16) Phizicky, E. M., and Hopper, A. K. (2010) tRNA biology charges to the front. *Genes Dev.* 24, 1832–1860.
- (17) Motorin, Y., and Helm, M. (2010) tRNA stabilization by modified nucleotides. *Biochemistry* 49, 4934–4944.
- (18) Alexandrov, A., Chernyakov, I., Gu, W., Hiley, S. L., Hughes, T. R., Grayhack, E. J., and Phizicky, E. M. (2006) Rapid tRNA decay can result from lack of nonessential modifications. *Mol. Cell* 21, 87–96.
- (19) Thompson, D. M., and Parker, R. (2009) Stressing out over tRNA cleavage. *Cell* 138, 215–219.
- (20) Netzer, N., Goodenbour, J. M., David, A., Dittmar, K. A., Jones, R. B., Schneider, J. R., Boone, D., Eves, E. M., Rosner, M. R., Gibbs, J. S., Embry, A., Dolan, B., Das, S., Hickman, H. D., Berglund, P., Binnik, J. R., Yewdell, J. W., and Pan, T. (2009) Innate immune and

chemically triggered oxidative stress modifies translational fidelity. *Nature* 462, 522–526.

(21) Emilsson, V., Naslund, A. K., and Kurland, C. G. (1992) Thiolation of transfer RNA in *Escherichia coli* varies with growth rate. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20, 4499–4505.

(22) Agris, P. F. (2004) Decoding the genome: A modified view. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 223–238.

(23) Ni, J., Pomerantz, C., Rozenski, J., Zhang, Y., and McCloskey, J. A. (1996) Interpretation of oligonucleotide mass spectra for determination of sequence using electrospray ionization and tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 68, 1989–1999.

(24) Suzuki, T., Ikeuchi, Y., Noma, A., and Sakaguchi, Y. (2007) Mass spectrometric identification and characterization of RNA-modifying enzymes. *Methods Enzymol.* 425, 211–229.

(25) Meng, Z., and Limbach, P. A. (2006) Mass spectrometry of RNA: Linking the genome to the proteome. *Briefings Funct. Genomics Proteomics* 5, 87–95.

(26) Chan, C. T., Chionh, Y. H., Ho, C. H., Lim, K. S., Babu, I. R., Ang, E., Wenwei, L., Alonso, S., and Dedon, P. C. (2011) Identification of N⁶,N⁶-dimethyladenosine in transfer RNA from *Mycobacterium bovis* Bacille Calmette-Guerin. *Molecules* 16, 5168–5181.

(27) Chan, C. T., Dyavaiah, M., DeMott, M. S., Taghizadeh, K., Dedon, P. C., and Begley, T. J. (2010) A quantitative systems approach reveals dynamic control of tRNA modifications during cellular stress. *PLoS Genet.* 6, e1001247-1–e1001247-9.

(28) Chionh, Y. H., Ho, C. H., Pruksakorn, D., Ramesh Babu, I., Ng, C. S., Hia, F., McBee, M. E., Su, D., Pang, Y. L., Gu, C., Dong, H., Prestwich, E. G., Shi, P. Y., Preiser, P. R., Alonso, S., and Dedon, P. C. (2013) A multidimensional platform for the purification of non-coding RNA species. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, e168.

(29) Rozenski, J., Crain, P. F., and McCloskey, J. A. (1999) The RNA modification database: 1999 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 196–197.

(30) Czerwoniec, A., Dunin-Horkawicz, S., Purta, E., Kaminska, K. H., Kasprzak, J. M., Bujnicki, J. M., Grosjean, H., and Rother, K. (2009) Modomics: A database of RNA modification pathways: 2008 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D118–121.

(31) Johansson, M. J., Esberg, A., Huang, B., Bjork, G. R., and Bystrom, A. S. (2008) Eukaryotic wobble uridine modifications promote a functionally redundant decoding system. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 3301–3312.

(32) Johansson, M. J. O., and Bystrom, A. S. (2005) Transfer RNA modification and modifying enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, In *Topics in Current Genetics: Fine-Tuning of RNA Functions by Modification and Editing* (Grosjean, H., Ed.) pp 87–120, Springer, Berlin.

(33) Begley, U., Sosa, M. S., Avivar-Valderas, A., Patil, A., Endres, L., Estrada, Y., Chan, C. T., Su, D., Dedon, P. C., Aguirre-Ghiso, J. A., and Begley, T. (2013) A human tRNA methyltransferase 9-like protein prevents tumour growth by regulating lin9 and hif1-alpha. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 5, 366–383.

(34) Chan, C. T., Pang, Y. L., Deng, W., Babu, I. R., Dyavaiah, M., Begley, T. J., and Dedon, P. C. (2012) Reprogramming of tRNA modifications controls the oxidative stress response by codon-biased translation of proteins. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 937.

(35) Patil, A., Chan, C. T., Dyavaiah, M., Rooney, J. P., Dedon, P. C., and Begley, T. J. (2012) Translational infidelity-induced protein stress results from a deficiency in trm9-catalyzed tRNA modifications. *RNA Biol.* 9, 990–1001.

(36) Patil, A., Dyavaiah, M., Joseph, F., Rooney, J. P., Chan, C. T., Dedon, P. C., and Begley, T. J. (2012) Increased tRNA modification and gene-specific codon usage regulate cell cycle progression during the DNA damage response. *Cell Cycle* 11, 3656–3665.

(37) Iben, J. R., and Marais, R. J. (2012) tRNAomics: tRNA gene copy number variation and codon use provide bioinformatic evidence of a new anticodon: Codon wobble pair in a eukaryote. *RNA* 18, 1358–1372.

(38) Tumu, S., Patil, A., Towns, W. L., Dyavaiah, M., and Begley, T. J. (2012) The gene-specific codon counting database: A genome-based

catalog of one-, two-, three-, four- and five-codon combinations present in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genes. *Database*, bas002-1–bas002-9.

(39) Bauer, F., and Hermand, D. (2012) A coordinated codon-dependent regulation of translation by elongator. *Cell Cycle* 11, 4524–4529.

(40) Moustafa, M. E., Kumaraswamy, E., Zhong, N., Rao, M., Carlson, B. A., and Hatfield, D. L. (2003) Models for assessing the role of selenoproteins in health. *J. Nutr.* 133, 2494S–2496S.

(41) Papp, L. V., Holmgren, A., and Khanna, K. K. (2010) Selenium and selenoproteins in health and disease. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* 12, 793–795.

(42) Carlson, B. A., Xu, X. M., Gladyshev, V. N., and Hatfield, D. L. (2005) Selective rescue of selenoprotein expression in mice lacking a highly specialized methyl group in selenocysteine tRNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 5542–5548.

(43) Songe-Moller, L., van den Born, E., Leihne, V., Vagbo, C. B., Kristoffersen, T., Krokan, H. E., Kirpekar, F., Falnes, P. O., and Klungland, A. (2010) Mammalian ALKBH8 possesses tRNA methyltransferase activity required for the biogenesis of multiple wobble uridine modifications implicated in translational decoding. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 30, 1814–1827.