MIT OpenCourseWare <http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.175 Theory of Probability Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: [http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.](http://ocw.mit.edu/terms)

## Section 20

## Kantorovich-Rubinstein Theorem.

Let  $(S, d)$  be a separable metric space. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_1(S)$  the set of all laws on S such that for some  $z \in S$ (equivalently, for all  $z \in S$ ),

$$
\int_{S} d(x, z) \mathbb{P}(x) < \infty.
$$

Let us denote by

 $M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \{ \mu : \mu \text{ is a law on } S \times S \text{ with marginals } \mathbb{P} \text{ and } \mathbb{Q} \}.$ 

**Definition.** For  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_1(S)$ , the quantity

$$
W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \inf \left\{ \int d(x, y) d\mu(x, y) : \mu \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \right\}
$$

is called the *Wasserstein* distance between  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb Q$ .

A measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q})$  represents a transportation between measures  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We can think of the conditional distribution  $\mu(y|x)$  as a way to redistribute the mass in the neighborhood of a point x so that the distribution  $\mathbb P$  will be redistributed to the distribution Q. If the distance  $d(x, y)$  represents the cost of moving x to y then the Wasserstein distance gives the optimal total cost of transporting  $\mathbb P$  to  $\mathbb Q$ .

Given any two laws  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb O$  on S, let us define

$$
\gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int f d\mathbb{P} - \int f d\mathbb{Q} \right| : ||f||_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 1 \right\}
$$

and

$$
m_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \sup \Biggl\{ \int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} : f, g \in C(S), f(x) + g(y) < d(x, y) \Biggr\}.
$$

**Lemma 40** We have  $\gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = m_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proof.** Given a function f such that  $||f||_L \leq 1$  let us take a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $g(y) = -f(y) - \varepsilon$ . Then

$$
f(x) + g(y) = f(x) - f(y) - \varepsilon \le d(x, y) - \varepsilon < d(x, y)
$$

and

$$
\int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} = \int f d\mathbb{P} - \int f d\mathbb{Q} - \varepsilon.
$$

Combining with the choice of  $-f(x)$  and  $g(y) = f(y) - \varepsilon$  we get

$$
\left| \int f d\mathbb{P} - \int f d\mathbb{Q} \right| \le \sup \left\{ \int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} : f(x) + g(y) < d(x, y) \right\} + \varepsilon
$$

which, of course, proves that

$$
\gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \le \sup \Biggl\{ \int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} : f(x) + g(y) < d(x, y) \Biggr\}.
$$

Let us now consider functions f, g such that  $f(x) + g(y) < d(x, y)$ . Define

$$
e(x) = \inf_{y} (d(x, y) - g(y)) = -\sup_{y} (g(y) - d(x, y))
$$

Clearly,

$$
f(x) \le e(x) \le d(x, x) - g(x) = -g(x)
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} \le \int e d\mathbb{P} - \int e d\mathbb{Q}.
$$

Function e satisfies

$$
e(x) - e(x') = \sup_{y} (g(y) - d(x', y)) - \sup_{y} (g(y) - d(x, y))
$$
  
 
$$
\leq \sup_{y} (d(x, y) - d(x', y)) \leq d(x, x')
$$

which means that  $||e||_L = 1$ . This finishes the proof.

We will need the following version of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

**Theorem 48** (Hahn-Banach) Let V be a normed vector space,  $E$  - a linear subspace of V and U - an open convex set in V such that  $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . If  $r : E \to \mathbb{R}$  is a linear non-zero functional on E then there exists a linear functional  $\rho: V \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\rho|_E = r$  and  $\sup_U \rho(x) = \sup_{U \cap E} r(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $t = \sup\{r(x) : x \in U \cap E\}$  and let  $B = \{x \in E : r(x) > t\}$ . Since B is convex and  $U \cap B = \emptyset$ , the Hahn-Banach separation theorem implies that there exists a linear functional  $q: V \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup_U q(x) \leq \inf_B q(x)$ . For any  $x_0 \in U \cap E$  let  $F = \{x \in E : q(x) = q(x_0)\}\$ . Since  $q(x_0) < \inf_B q(x)$ ,  $F \cap B = \emptyset$ . This means that the hyperplanes  $\{x \in E : q(x) = q(x_0)\}\$  and  $\{x \in E : r(x) = t\}$  in the subspace E are parallel and this implies that  $q(x) = \alpha r(x)$  on E for some  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $\rho = q/\alpha$ . Then  $r = \rho|_E$  and

$$
\sup_{U} \rho(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup_{U} q(x) \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \inf_{B} q(x) = \inf_{B} r(x) = t = \sup_{U \cap E} r(x).
$$

Since  $r = \rho|_E$ , this finishes the proof.

**Theorem 49** If S is a compact metric space then  $W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = m_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_1(S)$ .

**Proof.** Consider a vector space  $V = C(S \times S)$  equipped with  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  norm and let

$$
U = \{ f \in V : f(x, y) < d(x, y) \}.
$$

Obviously, U is convex and open because  $S \times S$  is compact and any continuous function on a compact achieves its maximum. Consider a linear subspace E of V defined by

$$
E = \{ \phi \in V : \phi(x, y) = f(x) + g(y) \}
$$

so that

$$
U \cap E = \{ f(x) + g(y) < d(x, y) \}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

Define a linear functional  $r$  on  $E$  by

$$
r(\phi) = \int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} \quad \text{if} \quad \phi = f(x) + g(y).
$$

By the above Hahn-Banach theorem, r can be extended to  $\rho: V \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\rho|_E = r$  and

$$
\sup_U \rho(\phi) = \sup_{U \cap E} r(\phi) = m_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Let us look at the properties of this functional. First of all, if  $a(x, y) \ge 0$  then  $\rho(a) \ge 0$ . Indeed, for any  $c \ge 0$ 

$$
U \ni d(x, y) - c \cdot a(x, y) - \varepsilon < d(x, y)
$$

and, therefore, for all  $c \geq 0$ 

$$
\rho(d-ca - \varepsilon) = \rho(d) - c\rho(a) - \rho(\varepsilon) \le \sup_U \rho < \infty.
$$

This can hold only if  $\rho(a) \geq 0$ . This implies that if  $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$  then  $\rho(\phi_1) \leq \rho(\phi_2)$ . For any function  $\phi$ , both  $-\phi, \phi \leq \|\phi\|_{\infty} \cdot 1$  and, by monotonicity of  $\rho$ ,

$$
|\rho(\phi)| \le ||\phi||_{\infty} \rho(1) = ||\phi||_{\infty}.
$$

Since  $S \times S$  is compact and  $\rho$  is a continuous functional on  $(C(S \times S), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ , by the Reisz representation theorem there exists a unique measure  $\mu$  on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $S \times S$  such that

$$
\rho(f) = \int f(x, y) d\mu(x, y).
$$

Since 
$$
\rho|_E = r
$$
,  
\n
$$
\int (f(x) + g(y))d\mu(x, y) = \int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q}
$$

which implies that  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ . We have

$$
m_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \sup_{U} \rho(\phi) = \sup \left\{ \int f(x, y) d\mu(x, y) : f(x, y) < d(x, y) \right\} = \int d(x, y) d\mu(x, y) \ge W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}).
$$

The opposite inequality is easy because for any f, g such that  $f(x) + g(y) < d(x, y)$  and any  $\nu \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$
\int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} = \int (f(x) + g(y)) d\nu(x, y) \le \int d(x, y) d\nu(x, y).
$$
\n(20.0.1)

 $\Box$ 

This finishes the proof and, moreover, it shows that the infimum in the definition of W is achieved on  $\mu$ .

Remark. Notice that in the proof of this theorem we never used the fact that d is a metric. Theorem holds for any  $d \in C(S \times S)$  under the corresponding integrability assumptions. For example, one can consider loss functions of the type  $d(x, y)^p$  for  $p > 1$ , which are not necessarily metrics. However, in Lemma 40, the fact that d is a metric was essential.

Our next goal will be to show that  $W = \gamma$  on separable and not necessarily compact metric spaces. We start with the following.

## **Lemma 41** If  $(S, d)$  is a separable metric space then W and  $\gamma$  are metrics on  $\mathcal{P}_1(S)$ .

**Proof.** Since for a bounded Lipschitz metric  $\beta$  we have  $\beta(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\gamma$  is also a metric because if  $\gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  then  $\beta(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and, therefore,  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{Q}$ . As in (20.0.1), it should be obvious that  $\gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) =$  $m_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$  and if  $W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  then  $\gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{Q}$ . Symmetry of W is obvious. It remains to show that  $W(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q})$  satisfies the triangle inequality. The idea will be rather simple, but to have well-defined

conditional distributions we will need to approximate distributions on  $S \times S$  with given marginals by a more regular disributions with the same marginals. Let us first explain the main idea. Consider three laws P, Q, T on S and let  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\nu \in M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{T})$  be such that

$$
\int d(x,y)d\mu(x,y) \le W(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) + \varepsilon \text{ and } \int d(y,z)d\nu(y,z) \le W(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{T}) + \varepsilon.
$$

Let us generate a distribution  $\gamma$  on  $S \times S \times S$  with marginals  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  and marginals on pairs of coordinates  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, z)$  given by  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  by "gluing"  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in the following way. Let us generate y from distribution Q and, given y, generate x and z according to conditional distributions  $\mu(x|y)$  and  $\nu(z|y)$  independently of each other, i.e.

$$
\gamma(x, z|y) = \mu(x|y) \times \nu(z|y).
$$

Obviously, by construction,  $(x, y)$  has distribution  $\mu$  and  $(y, z)$  has distribution  $\nu$ . Therefore, the marginals of x and z are P and T which means that the pair  $(x, z)$  has distribution  $\eta \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{T})$ . Finally,

$$
W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{T}) \leq \int d(x, z) d\eta(x, z) = \int d(x, z) d\gamma(x, y, z) \leq \int d(x, y) d\gamma + \int d(y, z) d\gamma
$$
  
= 
$$
\int d(x, y) d\mu + \int d(y, z) d\nu \leq W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) + W(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{T}) + 2\varepsilon.
$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  proves the triangle inequality for W. It remains to explain how the conditional distributions can be well defined. Let us modify  $\mu$  by 'discretizing' it without losing much in the transportation cost integral. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , consider a partition  $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of S such that diameter $(S_n) < \varepsilon$  for all n. This can be done as in the proof of Strassen's theorem, Case C. On each box  $S_n \times S_m$  let

$$
\mu_{nm}^1(C) = \frac{\mu((C \cap S_n) \times S_m)}{\mu(S_n \times S_m)}, \ \mu_{nm}^2(C) = \frac{\mu(S_n \times (C \cap S_m))}{\mu(S_n \times S_m)}
$$

be the marginal distributions of the conditional distribution of  $\mu$  on  $S_n \times S_m$ . Define

$$
\mu' = \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mu_{nm}^1 \times \mu_{nm}^2.
$$

In this construction, locally on each small box  $S_n \times S_m$ , measure  $\mu$  is replaced by the product measure with the same marginals. Let us compute the marginals of  $\mu'$ . Given a set  $C \subseteq S$ ,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mu'(C \times S) &= \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mu_{nm}^1(C) \times \mu_{nm}^2(S) \\
&= \sum_{n,m} \mu((C \cap S_n) \times S_m) = \sum_n \mu((C \cap S_n) \times S) = \sum_n \mathbb{P}(C \cap S_n) = \mathbb{P}(C).\n\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,  $\mu'(S \times C) = \mathbb{Q}(C)$ , so  $\mu'$  has the same marginals as  $\mu, \mu' \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ . It should be obvious that transportation cost integral does not change much by replacing  $\mu$  with  $\mu'$ . One can visualize this by looking at what happens locally on each small box  $S_n \times S_m$ . Let  $(X_n, Y_m)$  be a random pair with distribution  $\mu$ restricted to  $S_n \times S_m$  so that

$$
\mathbb{E}d(X_n, Y_m) = \frac{1}{\mu(S_n \times S_m)} \int_{S_n \times S_m} d(x, y) d\mu(x, y).
$$

Let  $Y'_m$  be an independent copy of  $Y_m$ , also independent of  $X_n$ , i.e. the joint distribution of  $(X_n, Y'_m)$  is  $\mu_{nm}^1 \times \mu_{nm}^2$  and

$$
\mathbb{E}d(X_n, Y_m') = \int_{S_n \times S_m} d(x, y) d(\mu_{nm}^1 \times \mu_{nm}^2)(x, y).
$$

Then

$$
\int d(x,y)d\mu(x,y) = \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mathbb{E}d(X_n, Y_m),
$$

$$
\int d(x,y)d\mu'(x,y) = \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mathbb{E}d(X_n, Y'_m).
$$

Finally,  $d(Y_m, Y'_m) \leq diam(S_m) \leq \varepsilon$  and these two integrals differ by at most  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore,

$$
\int d(x,y)d\mu'(x,y) \le W(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) + 2\varepsilon.
$$

Similarly, we can define

$$
\nu' = \sum_{n,m} \nu(S_n \times S_m) \nu_{nm}^1 \times \nu_{nm}^2
$$

such that

$$
\int d(x,y)d\nu'(x,y) \le W(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{T}) + 2\varepsilon.
$$

We will now show that this special simple form of the distributions  $\mu'(x, y)$ ,  $\nu'(y, z)$  ensures that the conditional distributions of x and z given y are well defined. Let  $\mathbb{Q}_m$  be the restriction of  $\mathbb Q$  to  $S_m$ ,

$$
\mathbb{Q}_m(C) = \mathbb{Q}(C \cap S_m) = \sum_n \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mu_{nm}^2(C).
$$

Obviously, if  $\mathbb{Q}_m(C) = 0$  then  $\mu_{nm}^2(C) = 0$  for all n, which means that  $\mu_{nm}^2$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}_m$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivatives

$$
f_{nm}(y) = \frac{d\mu_{nm}^2}{d\mathbb{Q}_m}(y)
$$
 exist and  $\sum_n \mu(S_n \times S_m) f_{nm}(y) = 1$  a.s. for  $y \in S_m$ .

Let us define a conditional distribution of  $x$  given  $y$  by

$$
\mu'(A|y) = \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) f_{nm}(y) \mu_{nm}^1(A).
$$

Notice that for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mu'(A|y)$  is measurable in y and  $\mu'(A|y)$  is a probability distribution on  $\mathcal{B}$ , Q-a.s. over y because

$$
\mu'(S|y) = \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) f_{nm}(y) = 1 \ a.s.
$$

Let us check that for Borel sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$
\mu'(A \times B) = \int_B \mu'(A|y) d\mathbb{Q}(y).
$$

Indeed, since  $f_{nm}(y) = 0$  for  $y \notin S_m$ ,

$$
\int_{B} \mu'(A|y) d\mathbb{Q}(y) = \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mu_{nm}^1(A) \int_{B} f_{nm}(y) d\mathbb{Q}(y)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mu_{nm}^1(A) \int_{B} f_{nm}(y) d\mathbb{Q}_m(y)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n,m} \mu(S_n \times S_m) \mu_{nm}^1(A) \mu_{nm}^2(B) = \mu'(A \times B).
$$

Conditional distribution  $\nu'(\cdot|y)$  can be defined similarly.

Next lemma shows that on a separable metric space any law with the "first moment", i.e.  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_1(S)$ , can be approximated in metrics W and  $\gamma$  by laws concentrated on finite sets.

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 42** If  $(S, d)$  is separable and  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_1(S)$  then there exists a sequence of laws  $\mathbb{P}_n$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_n(F_n) = 1$ for some finite sets  $F_n$  and  $W(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}), \gamma(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}) \to 0.$ 

**Proof.** For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $(S_{nj})_{j\geq 1}$  be a partition of S such that  $\text{diam}(S_{nj}) \leq 1/n$ . Take a point  $x_{nj} \in S_{nj}$ in each set  $S_{nj}$  and for  $k \geq 1$  define a function

$$
f_{nk}(x) = \begin{cases} x_{nj}, & \text{if } x \in S_{nj} \text{ for } j \leq k, \\ x_{n1}, & \text{if } x \in S_{nj} \text{ for } j > k. \end{cases}
$$

We have,

$$
\int d(x, f_{nk}(x))d\mathbb{P}(x) = \sum_{j\geq 1} \int_{S_{nj}} d(x, f_{nk}(x))d\mathbb{P}(x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j\leq k} \mathbb{P}(S_{nj}) + \int_{S \setminus (S_{n1} \cup \dots \cup S_{nk})} d(x, x_{n1})d\mathbb{P}(x) \leq \frac{2}{n}
$$

for k large enough because  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_1(S)$ , i.e.  $\int d(x, x_{n1}) d\mathbb{P}(x) < \infty$ , and the set  $S \setminus (S_{n1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{nk}) \downarrow \emptyset$ .

Let  $\mu_n$  be the image on  $S \times S$  of the measure  $\mathbb P$  under the map  $x \to (f_{nk}(x), x)$  so that  $\mu_n \in M(\mathbb P_n, \mathbb P)$ for some  $\mathbb{P}_n$  concentrated on the set of points  $\{x_{n1}, \ldots, x_{nk}\}$ . Finally,

$$
W(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}) \le \int d(x, y) d\mu_n(x, y) = \int d(f_{nk}(x), x) d\mathbb{P}(x) \le \frac{2}{n}.
$$

Since  $\gamma(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}) \leq W(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P})$ , this finishes the proof.

We are finally ready to extend Theorem 49 to separable metric spaces.

**Theorem 50** (Kantorovich-Rubinstein) If  $(S, d)$  is a separable metric space then for any two distributions  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_1(S)$  we have  $W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}).$ 

**Proof.** By previous lemma, we can approximate  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb Q$  by  $\mathbb P_n$  and  $\mathbb Q_n$  concentrated on finite (hence, compact) sets. By Theorem 49,  $W(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{Q}_n) = \gamma(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{Q}_n)$ . Finally, since both  $W, \gamma$  are metrics,

$$
W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}_n) + W(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{Q}_n) + W(\mathbb{Q}_n, \mathbb{Q})
$$
  
=  $W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}_n) + \gamma(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{Q}_n) + W(\mathbb{Q}_n, \mathbb{Q})$   
 $\leq W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}_n) + W(\mathbb{Q}_n, \mathbb{Q}) + \gamma(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathbb{P}) + \gamma(\mathbb{Q}_n, \mathbb{Q}) + \gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}).$ 

Letting  $n \to \infty$  proves that  $W(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ .

 $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \mathbb{P} : \int |x|^p d\mathbb{P}(x) < \infty \}$  corresponding to the cost function  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^p$  by **Wasserstein's distance**  $W_p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ . Given  $p \geq 1$ , let us define the Wasserstein distance  $W_p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$  on

$$
W_p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})^p := \inf \left\{ \int |x - y|^p d\mu(x, y) : \mu \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \right\}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sup \left\{ \int f d\mathbb{P} + \int g d\mathbb{Q} : f(x) + g(y) < |x - y|^p \right\}.
$$
 (20.0.2)

Even though for  $p > 1$  the function  $d(x, y)$  is not a metric, equality in (20.0.2) for compactly supported measures  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb Q$  follows from the proof of Theorem 49, which does not require that d is a metric. Then one can easily extend (20.0.2) to the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover,  $W_p$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which can be shown the same way as in Lemma 41. Namely, given nearly optimal  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\nu \in M(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{T})$  we can construct  $(X, Y, Z) \sim M(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{T})$  such that  $(X, Y) \sim \mu$  and  $(Y, Z) \sim \nu$  and, therefore,

$$
W_p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{T}) \leq (\mathbb{E}|X - Z|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E}|X - Y|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (\mathbb{E}|Y - Z|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (W_p^p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (W_p^p(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{T}) + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

Let  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$