Thin, viscous fluid threads falling onto a moving belt behave in a way reminiscent of a sewing machine, generating a rich variety of periodic stitchlike patterns including meanders, W patterns, alternating loops, and translated coiling. These patterns form to accommodate the difference between the belt speed and the terminal velocity at which the falling thread strikes the belt. Using direct numerical simulations, we show that inertia is not required to produce the aforementioned patterns. We introduce a quasistatic geometrical model which captures the patterns, consisting of three coupled ordinary differential equations for the radial deflection, the orientation, and the curvature of the path of the thread’s contact point with the belt.

The geometrical model reproduces well the observed patterns and the order in which they appear as a function of the belt speed.
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No one who has played with pouring honey from a spoon onto toast can fail to have been fascinated by the peculiar dynamics of coiling and folding of the viscous stream on impact. This surprisingly complex behavior can be reproduced in a simple yet well-controlled experiment, where a viscous thread falls onto a moving belt: the patterns laid down by the thread are diverse and include meanders, alternating loops, a W pattern, and coiling (Fig. 1), as well as various resonant patterns such as double coils and double meanders [1–3]. This system has been extensively studied [1–5] but has lacked a simple explanation until now. The resemblance of these patterns to the stitch patterns of a sewing machine led Ref. [1] to call the system the “fluid mechanical sewing machine” (FMSM). Some patterns [see Fig. 1(a)] produce evenly spaced self-intersections which can serve as sacrificial bonds [6]: solidified fibers containing such a microstructure display a combination of high toughness and stretchability, revealed by mechanical tests [7], as they effectively reproduce nature’s design for spider silk [8]. Similar coiling patterns can be found in a number of industrial or everyday situations, such as the production of nonwoven textiles [9], the laying down of “squiggles” of icing on cakes, Jackson Pollock’s action painting, in which paint from a moving brush dribbles onto a stationary horizontal canvas [10], or when transoceanic fiber-optic cables are deposited from a vessel onto the ocean bed [11]. The latter are elastic rather than viscous [12–14], showing that the patterns are robust with respect to a change in the thread rheology.

In this Letter, we show that the FMSM patterns can be described quantitatively by three coupled non linear ordinary differential equations for three state variables only and
that are geometric in essence. This model builds upon previous work which revealed a connection between the FMSM patterns and the well-studied case of steady coiling: in the laboratory frame, the motion of the point where the thread makes contact with the belt involves multiples or simple ratios of the steady-coiling frequency $\Omega_c$ [5]. Accordingly, our geometric model uses the position of this point as a state variable, as well as the direction of the tangent to the thread. Before deriving the model we perform direct simulations of the FMSM with the Discrete Viscous Rods (DVR) algorithm [4,5] to propose a rationalization of the FMSM phase diagram when inertia is negligible, i.e., for moderate fall heights. Since this DVR algorithm is known to accurately predict the experimental FMSM patterns [4,5], we will not repeat a detailed comparison with experiments here.

Consider a thread with kinematic viscosity $\nu$ falling at a volumetric rate $Q'$ from a nozzle of dimensional height $H^*$ onto a conveyor belt moving horizontally at speed $V^*$. The thread is stretched by gravity (denoted $g$) during its fall so that the speed of the fluid increases with the distance from the nozzle. Balancing the gravitational stretching with the viscous dissipation yields a typical length scale $(\nu^2/g)^{1/3}$ and time scale $(\nu/g^2)^{1/3}$ that we use to nondimensionalize our equations. In particular, $H = H^*/(g/\nu^2)^{1/3}$ and $V = V^*/(\nu g)^{1/3}$ are the dimensionless height of fall and belt velocity, respectively. By varying these two parameters, one generates a phase diagram for the FMSM [5]. Herein, we work with the typical parameter values used in the literature [2,3] so that $0.5 \leq H \leq 1.4$. We artificially omit inertia in our simulations, an assumption which is valid in almost this entire range (specifically, for $H \leq 1.2$, see Sec. 1 in the Supplemental Material [15]). By doing so, we find that all of the simple patterns survive in this quasistatic limit (see Fig. 1), thereby confirming that inertia is irrelevant for moderate fall heights.

When the belt has velocity $V = 0$ the thread coils steadily with a radius $R_c$, a frequency $\Omega_c$, and a speed $U_c = R_c \Omega_c$ (steady coiling) [16]. When gradually increasing the belt velocity while keeping the other parameters constant, the coiling pattern is first simply translated on the belt (translated coiling) up to a certain critical value of $V$ where loops form alternatively on one side of the belt and then the other (alternating loops). For higher belt speeds the thread exhibits some meanders [17,18] which collapse to a straight line for a critical value of the belt velocity $V_c$. For velocities higher than $V_c$ the thread has a catenary shape and its contact point with the belt is stationary in the laboratory frame. In the rest of the Letter we concentrate on belt speeds in the range $0 \leq V \leq V_c$. Three points are of particular interest. First, no double patterns [5] such as the double coiling or double meanders were found in these quasistatic conditions. This was anticipated since such resonant patterns are typically observed for large values of $H$ where inertia is dominant in normal conditions [5]. Second, we found hysteresis in the critical belt velocity values corresponding to the transition between patterns. The data shown in Fig. 1(b) are for a slowly accelerating belt. The case of a decelerating belt is discussed at the end of the Letter. Third, we report the presence of another pattern, the $W$ pattern, which we found in limited portions of the diagram [see the overlay in Fig. 1(b)]. It appears in competition with the meanders after the alternating loops become unstable when the belt speed is increased—and only then.

For any height $H$, we can compute the steady coiling velocity $U_c \equiv R_c \Omega_c$ using the method of [19]. This yields the dashed curve in Fig. 1(b). The curve matches the lower boundary of the grey region (the straight pattern), which reveals that the onset of steady coiling matches accurately the critical velocity $V_c = U_c$. The central role played by the reduced velocity $V/U_c$ in the formation of the patterns becomes even more evident when one plots the phase diagram in terms of $V/U_c$ [see the inset in Fig. 1(b)]; then, all boundaries between the patterns become horizontal straight lines. This important finding shows that the only influence of the height of fall on the patterns is to set the value of the reduced velocity $V/U_c(H)$: the patterns can be rationalized solely in terms of the parameter $V/U_c(H)$. This is confirmed by the collapse of the experimental measurements from Ref. [3] (for low fall heights, hence negligible inertia) onto horizontal bands in Fig. 1(b).

The reason why $V/U_c$ is the only relevant parameter may be understood by analyzing the thread’s radius profile $a(z)$ for different $V$ while keeping $H$ constant, i.e., moving vertically in the phase diagram and browsing through the different patterns. We do so in Fig. 2 and find that all of the curves $a(z)$ collapse onto a single master curve. In the upper part of the master curve, called the tail, the thread is accelerated and stretched by gravity until it reaches a terminal radius $a_c$. Both this radius and the speed $Q/(\pi a_c^2)$ at which the thread arrives on the belt are found to be approximately independent of $V$ in the range $0 \leq V \leq V_c$. As a consequence the thread speed may be called the free-fall speed [1] and is equal to the coiling speed $U_c$ (observed when $V = 0$), which depends solely on $H$. In general $U_c$ and $V$ do not match and there is a small region near the lower end of the thread, called the heel in Fig. 1, where the thread bends and twists while keeping a constant radius. The patterns are produced as the heel is set in motion to satisfy the no-slip boundary condition at the contact point between thread and belt:

![FIG. 2 (color online). The thread’s radius distribution $a(z)$ normalized by the nozzle’s radius $a_0$ is identical for any pattern in the range $V < V_c$. Stretching is limited to the upper part of the thread, and the radius is constant near the belt.](image-url)
Here we use the notation introduced in Fig. 3: \( t \) is the unit tangent to the thread at the point of contact \( r \) with the belt, \( \mathbf{e}_x \) is a unit vector in the direction of belt motion. The limiting case of steady coiling corresponds to \( V = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{r} = U_c t \), and the case of a straight (catenary) pattern corresponds to \( \mathbf{r} = 0 \), \( t = -\mathbf{e}_x \), and \( V = U_c \). In the general case \( V/U_c < 1 \), the speed at which the thread arrives at the belt exceeds the belt’s ability to carry it away in a straight line (\( \mathbf{r} \neq 0 \) in equation above). This excess length of thread is accumulated on the belt in the form of patterns produced as the heel lays down on the belt. This agrees with our initial observation that the critical velocity at which the straight pattern appears is \( V_c = U_c \); see Fig. 1(b).

We now turn to the task of characterizing and modeling the heel boundary layer where the deposition takes place. Since bending stresses are dominant in the heel, we anticipate that the curvature \( \kappa \) of the thread at the point of contact plays a key role in the pattern formation. Working in the quasistatic inertialless limit, we assume that the shape of the hanging thread (and in particular its curvature near the point of contact) is only a function of the current boundary conditions applied to the thread. The boundary conditions at the nozzle are time invariant as the fall height and flow rates are fixed. Therefore, we view the curvature \( \kappa \) at the bottom of the hanging thread as a function of the position \( r \) of the point of contact and the orientation of the tangent \( t \). The equations for the hanging thread are cylindrically invariant, and therefore we have \( \kappa = \kappa(r, \phi) \), where \( \phi \) is the direction of the tangent relative to the line joining the projection of the nozzle \( O \) to the point of contact \( r \) (Fig. 3). The function \( \kappa(r, \phi) \) is found by fitting DVR simulations of translated coiling for the case of \( H = 0.6 \) and \( 0 < V/U_c < 0.4 \) [the darker red bar in the lower left corner of Fig. 1(b)]. As explained in the Supplemental Material [15], Sec. 2.1, the time series of \( (r, \phi, \kappa) \) for the translated coiling pattern are well approximated by the heuristic fit
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where \( A(\phi) = b^2 \cos \phi/(1 - b \cos \phi) \) and \( b = 0.715 \) and \( R_c \) is the radius of steady coiling [16]. Figure 4 shows the collapse of the numerical data obtained from Eq. (2). The result of this fitting procedure is robust with respect to the particular value of \( H \) chosen (see Sec. 2.2 of the Supplemental Material [15]).

Building on our previous observations, we now derive a quasistatic geometric model for the formation of the trace. The heel is modeled as a filament of uniform radius falling towards the belt at a velocity \( U_c \), which is bent and laid down quasistatically onto the belt. Let \( s \) be the arc length along the trace, with \( s = 0 \) corresponding to the point which contacted the moving belt at time \( t = 0 \) and \( s = U_c t \) corresponding to the current point of contact \( r \). We label material points in the trace by their (Lagrangian) coordinate \( s \). We also use \( s \) as a timelike variable and write \( \mathbf{r}(s) \) for the contact position at time \( t = s/U_c \). Let \( \mathbf{q}(s, t) \) be the position on the belt of the point \( s \) at time \( t \), with \( 0 \leq s \leq U_c t \). This point was deposited at time \( s/U_c \) at position \( \mathbf{r}(s) \), and it has subsequently been advected at velocity \( V \mathbf{e}_x \) by the belt. Thus

\[
\mathbf{q}(s, t) = \mathbf{r}(s) + V(t - s/U_c) \mathbf{e}_x. \tag{3}
\]

In our model of the thread, the dynamical quantities of interest are the contact position \( r \) and the tangent vector \( t \) and curvature \( \kappa \) at the point of contact. At any point \( s \), the tangent to the trace is \( \partial \mathbf{q}/\partial s \). In particular, at the point of contact \( \mathbf{t}(s) = \partial \mathbf{q}/\partial s |_{s=U_c t} = \mathbf{r}'(s) - V/U_c \mathbf{e}_x \), and we recover Eq. (1) with \( \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}/U_c \). Now let \( (r(s), \psi(s)) \) denote the polar coordinates of the contact point \( \mathbf{r}(s) \), as shown in Fig. 3, and let \( \theta(s) \) denote the angle from the \( x \) axis to \( \mathbf{t}(s) \). We resolve \( r', t, \) and \( e_x \) into the polar basis \( (\mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\theta) \) and use \( \phi = \theta - \psi \) to eliminate the dependence on \( \phi \):
\[ r' = \cos(\theta - \psi) + \frac{V}{U_c} \cos \psi, \tag{4a} \]

\[ r\psi' = \sin(\theta - \psi) - \frac{V}{U_c} \sin \psi. \tag{4b} \]

Finally, \( \theta' \) is the curvature of the trace at the contact point, which has been found in Eq. (2) in terms of a fitting function \( \kappa \):

\[ \theta' = \kappa(r, \theta - \psi). \tag{4c} \]

Equations (4a)–(4c) are a set of coupled ordinary nonlinear differential equations for the functions \( r = r(s) \), \( \psi = \psi(s) \), and \( \theta = \theta(s) \), depending on a single dimensionless parameter \( V/U_c \)—the parameter \( R_c \) in Eq. (2) sets a length scale for \( r \) and \( s \) and can be removed by rescaling. We refer to this system of differential equations as the geometrical model (GM). The kinematic equations (4a) and (4b) capture the coupling with the moving belt, while Eq. (4c) captures the shape of the hanging thread as set by the balance of viscous forces and gravity. We integrated the GM numerically, varying the velocity parameter in the range \( 0 \leq V/U_c \leq 1 \) (Fig. 5). The solutions \( \mathbf{r}(s) \) were found to settle into periodic orbits; see Fig. 5(a). The patterns corresponding to the different orbits can be identified by reconstructing the complete trace \( \mathbf{q} \) from Eq. (3) and then comparing it to those obtained by DVR simulations; see Fig. 5(b). With the aim of calculating the bifurcation thresholds accurately and identifying the nature of the bifurcations, we also investigated the stability domains of the periodic solutions of the GM using the continuation software AUTO-07P [20]; see Fig. 5(c).

All of the patterns originally observed with DVR in the quasistatic (noninertial) limit are captured by the GM. They appear in the correct order when \( V/U_c \) is varied, and there is a good agreement on the values of the pattern boundaries; see Fig. 5(c). Their shapes are accurately captured as well; see Fig. 5(b). Alternating loops and meanders are symmetric about \( y = 0 \) in their full domain of existence, both in DVR simulations and in the GM. The alternating loops and the amplitude of the meanders both decrease as the belt velocity increases, and the latter tends to zero when \( V = U_c \), as expected. Coils are symmetric at zero belt velocity, but then turn asymmetric at larger velocities. \( W \) patterns are, on the other hand, always asymmetric.

Interestingly, the GM sheds light on two subtle features of the FMSM. First, it accounts for the hysteresis observed in DVR when transitions between patterns occur at different values depending on whether the belt velocity is increasing or decreasing; the domains of stability of the various patterns predicted by the GM do indeed overlap; see Fig. 5(c). Second, it explains why the \( W \) pattern can be observed in DVR with an increasing belt velocity, but not with a decreasing one. Indeed, the layout of the stability diagram of the GM in Fig. 5(c) predicts that meanders will destabilize directly into alternating loops for a decreasing belt velocity, skipping the \( W \) pattern.

The geometrical model is formulated as an evolution problem for the position of the contact point, with an additional dependence on the tangent orientation. This dependence induces a memory effect which explains the complexity of the patterns, even in the absence of inertia. The central role of geometry explains the robustness of the patterns with respect to the rheology of the thread. By condensing the dynamics of the spatially extended thread to that of a single point, we could interpret the patterns using methods from the field of dynamical systems, rather than the field of pattern formation [21]. From the point of view of applications, the FMSM suits the inertialess environment associated with fabrication at the microscale [22].
Modulating the orientation and the lateral position of the belt or nozzle offers an interesting avenue toward extending the library of patterns, thereby offering a possible alternative to 3D printing [23] and electrospinning [24]; our GM allows these modulations to be designed in a rational way.
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