Palladium-Catalyzed Hydroxylation of Aryl and Heteroaryl Halides Enabled by the Use of a Palladacycle Precatalyst
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ABSTRACT: A method for the hydroxylation of aryl and heteroaryl halides, promoted by a catalyst based on a biarylphosphine ligand 
(tBuBrettPhos (L5) and its corresponding palladium precatalyst (1), is described. The reactions allow the cross-coupling of both potassium and cesium hydroxides with (hetero)aryl halides to afford a variety of phenols and hydroxylated heteroarenes in high to excellent yield.

Phenols, hydroxylated heteroarenes, and phenol derivatives (e.g., alkyl (hetero)aryl ethers, bi(hetero)aryl ethers) represent important structural motifs found in natural products, materials, pharmaceuticals, and agrochemicals (Figure 1). Furthermore, phenol subunits are common building blocks and versatile synthetic intermediates. Phenols are traditionally prepared by nucleophilic aromatic substitution, hydrolysis of arenediazonium salts (Sandmeyer reactions), and deprotection of phenol precursors (e.g., ethers, esters, carbamates), but these methods generally exhibit limited substrate scope and may require harsh reaction conditions. Recently, methods to generate phenols from the corresponding boronic acids, and the copper-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling between (hetero)arylboronic acids and hydroxide ion. Unfortunately, these strategies may require multiple synthetic operations, depending on the availability of the organoboron starting materials. Alternatively, functionalized phenols may be synthesized via the iridium-catalyzed C–H activation/borylation/oxidation of arenes, as well as the transition-metal-catalyzed C–H activation/oxidation of arenes. However, these methods are restricted by the C–H activation step, which can suffer from a lack of regioselectivity or require neighboring group assistance.

The transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling of (hetero)aryl halides with hydroxide salts represents a direct, convenient, regioselective, and relatively atom-economical process to afford phenols and hydroxylated heteroarenes. The Cu-catalyzed hydroxylation of aryl bromides and iodides has been applied to prepare a wide range of functionalized phenols. These Cu-catalyzed protocols are generally limited to the use of electron-deficient aryl chloride coupling partners; however, the analogous Pd-catalyzed process is complementary in this regard and potentially capable of transforming a broader scope of aryl halides to phenols and derivatives thereof. In contrast to the Cu-catalyzed process, the Pd-mediated aryl C–OH bond formation had been challenging due to (1) the slow reductive elimination of the proposed (ligand)Pd(aryl)(hydroxo) intermediate and (2) competing arylation of the phenol product to form the corresponding biaryl ether. In 2006, we reported the Pd-catalyzed hydroxylation of (hetero)aryl halides using the biarylphosphine ligands tBuXPhos and Me4tBuXPhos (L1 and
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L2, Figure 2) as the supporting ligands. In this example, the use of sterically bulky L1 and L2 was shown to facilitate the selective arylation of hydroxide salts. Beller et al. then reported that the use of L3 (Figure 2) promoted the Pd-catalyzed arylation of KOH and CsOH at elevated and room temperatures, respectively. Subsequently, Chern et al. demonstrated a microwave-assisted hydroxylation of (hetero)aryl halides using a Pd catalyst based on the Herrmann palladacycle and L1. More recently, Stradiotto et al. reported the room-temperature Pd-catalyzed arylation of CsOH using the BippyPhos ligand L4 (Figure 2). We recently reported the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of methanol with (hetero)aryl halides in 1,4-dioxane at 80 °C. We reasoned that the same catalyst would also promote the cross-coupling of hydroxide salts with (hetero)aryl halides. In addition, the use of L5 as a sole ligand would be operationally more simple and practical for hydroxylation, compared with the previous use of the dual biarylphosphine ligands L1 and L2. Herein, we report a modified Pd-catalyzed hydroxylation method of (hetero)aryl halides, utilizing a catalyst system composed of pre-catalyst 1 and L5. This alternative reaction protocol features the use of a lower Pd-catalyst loading (2 mol %) and the compatibility of a wider scope of heteroaryl halides as coupling partners, compared with our previously reported protocol using catalyst systems based on Pd2dba3 (up to 4 mol % Pd) and both L1 and L2. We began our studies by examining the reaction of 4-chloroanisole with KOH (3 equiv) in 1,4-dioxane at 80 °C, using a Pd catalyst based on 1 (2 mol %) and L5 (2 mol %) (Table 1). In the presence of 20 equiv of water, the reaction occurred smoothly to afford 4-methoxyphenol (2) in 85% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The yield of 2 was decreased when the amount of added water was increased (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). Moreover, varying the stoichiometry of KOH also led to lower yields of 2, as exemplified in entries 4 and 5 (Table 1). Finally, no reaction occurred at 50 °C (Table 1, entry 6).

Next, we studied the substrate scope of Pd-catalyzed coupling of KOH with (hetero)aryl halides at 80 °C (Scheme 1). Both functionalized and sterically hindered aryl halides could be coupled with KOH to afford the phenols in high to excellent yields (3a–3e). Sterically hindered bromonaphthalenes were also suitable coupling partners (3f, 3g), and a variety of heteroaryl halides could be converted to the corresponding hydroxylated heteroarenes, including quinoxalines (3h), indoles (3i), benzoisothiophenes (3j), benzofurans (3k), benzo-2,1,3-thiadiazoles (3l), and dibenzothiophenes (3m). Further, the five-membered heterocyclic portion of 3-chloroindazole (3n) was cleanly hydroxylated to provide 3-hydroxyindazole.

We also examined conditions to effect the Pd-catalyzed hydroxylation of aryl halides at ambient conditions. Initial studies focused on the cross-coupling of the test substrate 1-bromo-2,4-dimethylbenzene with CsOH (3 equiv) at room temperature (Table 2), utilizing pre-catalyst 1 (2 mol %) and ligand L5 (2 mol %). Under these conditions, we found that the addition of 10 equiv of water led to complete conversion of the substrate to provide 2,4-dimethylphenol (4) in the highest yield (Table 2, entry 2). Of note, the use of THF instead of 1,4-dioxane as solvent did not further improve the yield of 4 even though extra water (10 equiv) was added (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). As depicted in Scheme 2, the coupling of both electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl bromides as well as sterically hindered aryl bromides with CsOH could be achieved at ambient temperature. A base-sensitive carbonyl and nitrile group were also well-tolerated under the reaction conditions (5b, 5f, Scheme 2).

Table 1. Optimization of Pd-Catalyzed Arylation of KOH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>KOH (equiv)</th>
<th>H2O (equiv)</th>
<th>conv. (%)b</th>
<th>yield of 2 (%)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>111c</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aReaction conditions: 4-chloroanisole (0.25 mmol), KOH (2–4 equiv), H2O (20–111 equiv), 1 (2 mol %), L5 (2 mol %), 1,4-dioxane (0.5 mL), 50–80 °C, 20 h; trace amounts of anisole and biaryl ether were detected by GCMS.*bDetermined by GC.*cVolume ratio of 1,4-dioxane to water = 1:1.*
In conclusion, we have developed an alternative system to effect the Pd-catalyzed hydroxylation of a variety of aryl and heteroaryl halides using a catalyst derived from commercially available 1 and L5. We anticipate that this catalyst will find use in a variety of settings in which the synthesis of phenols and hydroxylated heteroarenes is desired.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz NMR instrument at rt. All 1H NMR spectra were measured in parts per million (ppm) relative to the signals for residual DMSO in DMSO-d6 (2.50 ppm). Data for 1H NMR were reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, qu = quintet, sex = sextet, m = multiplet, ovrlp = overlap, br = broad), coupling constants, and integration. All 13C NMR spectra were reported in ppm relative to DMSO-d6 (39.52 ppm). All commercial materials were used as received unless otherwise noted. tBuBrettPhos (L5), Pd precatalyst 1, and 3-chloro-1-trityl-indazole (S1) were prepared according to the literature procedures.

Preparation of Standard Cesium Hydroxide Solution: (i) Mole Ratio of CsOH to H2O = 3:10. In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, an oven-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask (A) capped with a rubber septum was charged with CsOH·H2O (16.7 g, 99.5 mmol). The flask was then evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times), and degassed deionized water (4.17 mL, 232 mmol) was then added into the flask. The resulting reaction mixture was agitated until all CsOH·H2O dissolved to form a homogeneous solution. The bulk solution was kept under an argon atmosphere. The mole ratio of CsOH and H2O in the standard CsOH solution is always kept at 3:10 for simultaneous transfers of both CsOH and water in a desired mole ratio.

(ii) Mole Ratio of CsOH to H2O = 3:20. Following the procedure of (i), the standard CsOH solution (mole ratio of CsOH:H2O = 3:20) was prepared using CsOH·H2O (520 mg, 3.1 mmol) and deionized water (0.32 mL, 17.8 mmol).

(iii) Mole Ratio of CsOH to H2O = 3:30. Following the procedure of (i), the standard CsOH solution (mole ratio of CsOH:H2O = 3:30) was prepared using CsOH·H2O (520 mg, 3.1 mmol) and deionized water (0.5 mL, 27.8 mmol).
Optimization of Pd-Catalyzed Arylation of KOH (Table 1). An oven-dried 10 mL resealable screw-cap test tube (A) equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar was charged with LS (2.4 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %) and KOH (2–4 equiv, 28.1–56.1 mg). Tube A was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times), and 4-chloroanisole (0.50 mL) was added (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv). Tube B was then evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times), and 1,4-dioxane (0.50 mL) was added into tube A via syringe. The reaction mixture in tube B was stirred at rt for ∼1 min to form a homogeneous solution. The precipitate solution from tube B was transferred into tube A via syringe. The resulting reaction mixture in tube A was stirred at 80 °C in an oil bath for 18 h. After cooling to rt, the crude product was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL), 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (34.6 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added, and the mixture was then acidified with aqueous HCl solution (1 M, 2 mL). The resulting reaction mixture in the capped test tube was agitated until all of the solid was dissolved into the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (2 mL). A small fraction of the upper organic layer was filtered through a plug of silica gel and then subjected to GC analysis to determine the reaction conversion and the GC yields of product and 4-methoxyphenol, using 1,4-dimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

Optimization of Pd-Catalyzed Arylation of CsOH (Table 2). An oven-dried 10 mL resealable screw-cap test tube (A) equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar was charged with LS (2.4 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %) [except for Table 2, entries 1 and 5, in which the tube was then transferred into the N₂-filled glovebox, and CsOH·H₂O (126 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv) was added]. Tube A was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times), and 1-bromo-2,4-dimethylbenzene (46.3 mg, 0.34 mmol, 0.25 mmol) and standard aqueous CsOH solution prepared in the preceding procedures were then added into tube A via syringe (except for Table 2, entries 1 and 5) [For Table 2, entries 2 and 6: CsOH·H₂O = 3 equiv:10 equiv; CsOH (112.4 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv) dissolved in deionized water (90 mg, 0.50 mmol, 20 equiv). For Table 2, entry 4: CsOH·H₂O = 3 equiv:30 equiv; CsOH (112.4 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv) dissolved in deionized water (135 mg, 7.5 mmol, 30 equiv)]. A second oven-dried 10 mL resealable screw-cap test tube (B) equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar was charged with 1 (4.3 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %). Tube B was then evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times), and the solvent (1,4-dioxane or THF, 0.50 mL) was added into tube B via syringe. The reaction mixture in tube B was stirred at rt for ∼1 min to form a homogeneous solution. The precipitate solution from tube B was transferred into tube A via syringe. The resulting reaction mixture in tube A was stirred at rt for 18 h. The crude product was diluted with EtOAc (5 mL) and then acidified with aqueous HCl solution (1 M, 5 mL). The resulting reaction mixture in the capped test tube was agitated until all of the solid was dissolved. The reaction mixture was then neutralized into a separatory funnel and then neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (5 mL). The organic fraction was isolated, and the aqueous fraction was rinsed with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were concentrated in vacuo. The crude product residue was purified by flash chromatography using a solvent mixture (EtOAc/hexanes/methanol) as an eluent to afford the isolated product. The reported yields are of the isolated product and averages of two runs.

General Procedure B. An oven-dried 20 mL resealable screw-cap test tube (A) equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar was charged with LS (9.7 mg, 0.020 mmol, 2 mol %) and (hetero)aryl halide (if solid) (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv). Tube A was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times), and 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) was added into tube B via syringe. The reaction mixture in tube B was stirred at rt for ∼1 min to form a homogeneous solution. The precipitate solution from tube B was transferred into tube A via syringe. The resulting reaction mixture in tube A was stirred at 80 °C in an oil bath for 18 h. After cooling to rt, the crude product was diluted with EtOAc (5 mL) and then acidified with aqueous HCl solution (1 M, 5 mL). The resulting reaction mixture in the capped test tube was agitated until all of the solid was dissolved. The reaction mixture was then neutralized into a separatory funnel and then neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (5 mL). The organic fraction was isolated, and the aqueous fraction was rinsed with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic fractions were concentrated in vacuo. The crude product residue was purified by flash chromatography using a solvent mixture (EtOAc/hexanes/methanol) as an eluent to afford the isolated product. The reported yields are of the isolated product and averages of two runs.

10-n-Butyl-2-hydroxyacridin-9(10H)-one (3a). Following general procedure A, the title compound was prepared using 10-n-butyl-2-chloroacridin-9(10H)-one (286 mg, 1.0 mmol); EtOAc/hexanes (1:3), then EtOAc; 263 mg, 98%; sunset-yellow solid. m.p.: 239−240 °C. H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 9.70 (br s, 1 H), 8.32 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.78−7.71 (ovrlp, 3 H), 7.70 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (dd, J = 9.2 Hz, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 6.0 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.74 (qu, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ: 175.9, 152.0, 141.0, 135.2, 133.7, 126.7, 124.1, 122.8, 120.6, 117.5, 115.5, 109.2, 45.0, 29.1, 19.4, 13.7. Anal. Calc'd for C₇₉H₇₉NO₂C: 76.38; H: 6.41. Found: C: 76.09; H: 6.31. IR (neat cm⁻¹) 3278, 1591, 1568, 1499, 1460, 1357, 1270, 1229, 1174, 1078, 810, 752, 684, 638.

2-Hydroxy-9H-thioxanthene-9-one (3b). Following general procedure A, the title compound was prepared using 2-chloro-9H-thioxanthene-9-one (247 mg, 1.0 mmol); EtOAc/hexanes (1:4), then EtOAc; 224 mg, 98%; sunset-yellow solid. m.p.: 247−248 °C. H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 10.16 (br s, 1 H), 8.45 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.2 Hz).
H₂O, δ = 7.34 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H)), 7.33 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (br s, 1 H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.88 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.88 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (s, 1 H), 3.69 (br s, 2 H).13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 158.3, 137.4, 134.1, 121.9, 124.6, 124.5, 122.0, 118.3, 110.8. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O₂ [M+H]: 162.0888. Found: 162.0886.

2-Naphthol (3a). Following general procedure A, the title compound was prepared using 1,7-dichloro-1-naphthylamine (211 mg, 1.0 mmol); EtOAc/hexanes (1:6); 155 mg, 0.79 mmol, 79%; white solid. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 7.73 (br s, 1 H), 7.65 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.28 (br s, 1 H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.78 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H).13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 158.8, 138.5, 134.2, 128.0, 124.5, 122.0, 118.3, 110.8. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C₁₀H₉NO₂ [M+H]: 164.0303. Found: 164.0304.
2,4-Dimethylphenol (5a). Following general procedure B, the title compound was prepared using 1-bromo-2,4-dimethylbenzene (185 mg, 1.0 mmol); EtOAc/hexanes (1:6); 87 mg, 71%); volatile, pale-brown oil. The 1H NMR yield of the title product was determined to be 96% based on 0.25 mmol ArBr. 3H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 8.93 (br s, 1 H), 6.84 (s, 1 H), 6.76 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.15 (s, 3 H), 0.20 (s, 3 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 153.0, 131.1, 127.0, 126.8, 123.4, 114.4, 20.1, 15.9. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C$_8$H$_9$NO [M + H]$: 122.0726. Found: 122.0725. IR (neat cm$^{-1}$): 3777, 2921, 1506, 1325, 1262, 1204, 1117, 929, 808, 766.

3'-Hydroxy-4'-methylacetophenone (5b). Following general procedure B, the title compound was prepared using 3-bromo-4'-methylacetophenone (213 mg, 1.0 mmol). EtOAc/hexanes (1:2); 150 mg, 100%; off-white solid. m.p.: 121–122 °C (lit: 119–120 °C). 3H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 9.68 (br s, 1 H), 7.34–7.32 (ovrlp, 2 H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.48 (s, 3 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 197.4, 155.5, 135.9, 130.7, 130.3, 119.6, 113.2, 26.5, 16.2. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C$_8$H$_9$NO [M + H]$: 151.0754. Found: 151.0752. IR (neat cm$^{-1}$): 3404, 1659, 1580, 1418, 1349, 1285, 1238, 1129, 899, 884, 813, 712, 688, 608.

3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzamide (5c). Following general procedure B, the title compound was prepared using 3-bromo-1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (247 mg, 1.0 mmol), 1 (25.7 mg, 0.03 mmol, 3 mol %), and L5 (14.6 mg, 0.03 mmol, 3 mol %); EtOAc/hexanes (1:1); 168 mg, 91%; pale-brown solid. m.p.: 147–148 °C (lit: 146–147 °C). 3H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 9.19 (br s, 1 H), 6.05 (s, 2 H), 3.69 (s, 6 H), 3.55 (s, 3 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 153.8, 153.3, 130.3, 92.8, 60.1, 55.5. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C$_9$H$_9$NO$_2$ [M + H]$: 183.0663. Found: 183.0671. IR (neat cm$^{-1}$): 3254, 1599, 1428, 1218, 1177, 1121, 990, 811, 777.

N,N-Diethyl-4-hydroxybenzamide (5d). Following general procedure B, the title compound was prepared using 4-bromo-N,N-diethylbenzamide (256 mg, 1.0 mmol); EtOAc/hexanes (3:1); 173 mg, 89%; pale-brown solid. m.p.: 121–123 °C (lit: 120 °C). 3H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 9.74 (br s, 1 H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.30 (br s, 4 H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 170.2, 158.2, 128.1, 127.7, 114.8, 13.5. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C$_9$H$_8$N$_2$O$_2$ [M + H]$: 192.1030. Found: 192.1039. IR (neat cm$^{-1}$): 3076, 1570, 1434, 1361, 1316, 1277, 1244, 1170, 1101, 837, 764, 597.

4-Hydroxybenzophenone (5e). Following general procedure B, the title compound was prepared using 4-bromobenzophenone (261 mg, 1.0 mmol); EtOAc/hexanes (1:4); 196 mg, 99%; pale-brown solid. m.p.: 133–134 °C (lit: 133.5–134.5 °C). 3H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 10.45 (br s, 1 H), 7.68–7.64 (ovrlp, 4 H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 194.3, 162.0, 138.1, 132.5, 131.8, 129.1, 128.4, 127.9, 115.3. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C$_9$H$_7$O$_2$ [M – H]$: 197.0608. Found: 197.0609. IR (neat cm$^{-1}$): 3137, 1632, 1599, 1557, 1512, 1444, 1312, 1286, 1232, 1172, 1148, 939, 924, 848, 740, 694, 608.

4-Hydroxybenzonitrile (5f). Following general procedure B, the title compound was prepared using 4-bromobenzonitrile (364 mg, 2.0 mmol, 2 equiv), 1 (68.4 mg, 0.08 mmol, 4 mol %), aqueous Cs$_2$CO$_3$ solution [Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (900 mg, 6.0 mmol, 6 equiv)] dissolved in deionized water (360 mg, 20.0 mmol, 20 equiv) as prepared in the preceding procedures, and 1,4-dioxiane (4.0 mL); EtOAc/hexanes (1:2); 230 mg, 97%; pale-brown solid. m.p.: 109–110 °C (lit: 111–112 °C). 3H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 10.61 (br s, 1 H), 7.62 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): δ: 161.7, 134.2, 119.6, 116.4, 101.0. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C$_8$H$_7$N$_2$O [M + H]: 137.0709. Found: 137.0709. IR (neat cm$^{-1}$): 3265, 2230, 1585, 1507, 1438, 1365, 1281, 1219, 1165, 835, 750, 701.


