DUST IN THE CIRCUMGALACTIC MEDIUM OF LOW-REDSHIFT GALAXIES
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ABSTRACT

Using spectroscopically selected galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey we present a detection of reddening effects from the circumgalactic medium of galaxies which we attribute to an extended distribution of dust. We detect the mean change in the colors of “standard crayons” correlated with the presence of foreground galaxies at $z \sim 0.05$ as a function of angular separation. Following Peek & Graves, we create standard crayons using passively evolving galaxies corrected for Milky Way reddening and color-redshift trends, leading to a sample with as little as 2% scatter in color. We devise methods to ameliorate possible systematic effects related to the estimation of colors, and we find an excess reddening induced by foreground galaxies at a level ranging from 10 to 0.5 mmag on scales ranging from 30 kpc to 1 Mpc. We attribute this effect to a large-scale distribution of dust around galaxies similar to the findings of Ménard et al. We find that circumgalactic reddening is a weak function of stellar mass over the range $6 \times 10^9 M_\odot$–$6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and note that this behavior appears to be consistent with recent results on the distribution of metals in the gas phase. We also find that circumgalactic reddening has no detectable dependence on the specific star formation rate of the host galaxy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Galaxies process and return a significant fraction of their accreted gas to their surroundings, the circumgalactic medium (CGM), but the physical mechanisms involved as well as the matter distribution in this environment are still poorly constrained. Much of our knowledge of the distribution of baryons in the CGM comes from absorption line studies which probe the gas phase. The interpretation of such measurements is often limited by our lack of knowledge of the ionization state of the gas. In this work we explore the distribution of dust around galaxies and use it as an alternative tracer of metals in this environment, independent of ionization corrections. It is important to realize that a substantial fraction of CGM metals might be in the solid phase. In the ISM, about 25% of the metals are found in dust (Weingartner & Draine 2001). Measuring dust reddening effects from the CGM may also allow us to put constraints on the grain size distribution and potentially the mechanisms responsible for their ejection from galactic disks to halos, for example, supernova explosions (Silk 1997; Efstathiou 2000) or radiation pressure (e.g., Murray et al. 2005; Salem et al. 2014).

A number of authors have reported the presence of dust well beyond galaxy disks. Using superpositions of foreground/background galaxies, Holwerda et al. (2009) detected dust extinction up to about five times the optical extent of spiral galaxies. Using deep Herschel observations, Roussel et al. (2010) showed that emission from cold dust is seen up to 20 kpc from the center of M82. Using UV light scattered by dust grains, Hodges-Kluck & Bregman (2014) reported the detection of dust up to about 20 kpc perpendicular to the disk of edge-on galaxies. With a statistical approach, Ménard et al. (2010, MSFR) measured the cross-correlation between the colors of distant quasars and foreground galaxies as a function of the impact parameter to galaxies. They found an excess reddening signal on scales ranging from 20 kpc to a few Mpc, implying that the distribution of dust extends all the way to the intergalactic medium. Ménard & Fukugita (2012) showed that a similar amount of dust can been seen associated with strong Mg II absorbers at $0.5 < z < 2.0$, providing another line of evidence of the presence of dust on large scales around galaxies. Fukugita (2011) showed that the summed contributions of dust in and outside galaxies appears to be in agreement with the total amount of dust that ought to be produced in the universe. This implies that dust destruction does not play a major role in the global dust distribution and that most of the intergalactic dust survives over cosmic time.

In this work we focus on the low-redshift universe and constrain both the amount of dust surrounding nearby ($z \sim 0.05$) galaxies and its dependence on galaxy properties. We do so by using a set of standard crayons, following the work of Peek & Graves (2010, PG10), i.e., galaxies for which the colors can be standardized. The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe the data sets and how we estimate the color of a standard crayon galaxy. In Section 3 we introduce our estimator for reddening measurement. In Section 4 we present our analysis and results showing both detections of reddening and the variation of this reddening as a function of foreground galaxy parameters. We discuss these results in the context of galaxy formation and metal budgets in Section 5, and conclude in Section 6.

2. DATA

Our goal is to measure the mean color change of distant sources induced by the presence of foreground galaxies, as a function of impact parameter, $R$. Optimizing such a measurement requires both maximizing the number of foreground-
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First, we correct for the effects of Galactic dust reddening. To estimate them we avoid using the standard dust map from Schlegel et al. (1998) which relies on FIR emission, known to originate not only from the Milky Way but also from low redshift galaxies (see Yahata et al. 2007; Kashiwagi et al. 2013; Peek & Schiminovich 2013, for a discussion of the effect). Instead, we emulate the work of Burstein & Heiles (1982) and estimate extinction with a neutral hydrogen column, as measured by the Leiden–Argentina–Bonn radio survey (Kalberla et al. 2005). This is a commonly used method for determining extinction toward a source with significant FIR emission, for example, nearby galaxies (e.g., Cordiner et al. 2011). We define the Galactic dust reddening $\Delta C_{MW}$, measured in the bands $a$ and $b$, in a given direction in the sky by

$$\Delta C_{MW} = (R_a - R_b) \frac{N_{H_1}}{7 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}}. \quad (1)$$

where $R_a$ and $R_b$ are the Galactic extinction coefficients for the SDSS bandpass filters (Stoughton et al. 2002), and the ratio of reddening to H$\text{I}$ for this region is derived from Peek (2013), Equation (2). We exclude galaxies with a measured $E(B-V) > 0.1$, where the H$\text{I}$ column is known to be a poor reddening estimate, removing 1891 galaxies from our background sample. We note that using the Schlegel et al. (1998) extinction rather than the method described above changes all values quoted in this text by less than 10% and by less than 10% of their quoted errors. We further note that any zero-point offset between Schlegel et al. (1998) and H$\text{I}$ methods is absorbed by the fact that we are only concerned with deviations from the average color of the sample. Second, we need to remove possible trends between background galaxy colors and redshifts, which stem both from galaxy evolution and “K-correction.” To do so we measure the median color-redshift relation for each of the 10 SDSS optical colors and characterize it using a fourth-order polynomial fit, referred to as $\Delta C_{\text{redshift}}$. We show these fits for four colors in Figure 2.

With these two correction terms in hand we finally define the corrected color of a background galaxy by

$$C_{\text{corr}} = C_{\text{obs}} - \Delta C_{MW} - \Delta C_{\text{redshift}}. \quad (2)$$

This provides us with a distribution of corrected colors $C_{\text{corr}}$ for which the dispersion ranges from 21 $(r-i)$ to 180 mmag $(u-z)$ depending on the chosen bands. Figure 3 shows examples of these color distributions alongside the color distributions presented in PG10. The distributions in PG10 are slightly narrower because additional regressions (color–magnitude and color–density relations) were applied in that work.

### 2.1. Outlier Rejection

To increase the robustness of our statistical analysis we first remove outliers in the color distribution of the background galaxies (PG10, Figures 2 and 3). To do so we use Chauvenet’s criterion, rejecting outliers that have larger deviations in color than would be expected (given a sample size and standard deviation). We reject galaxies that fail to meet this criterion in any of the 10 colors, which removes 7861 galaxies from the sample, i.e., about 5% of the data. We note that arbitrarily moving the threshold such that we reject half or twice the number of galaxies, or simply clipping at $3\sigma$ does not effect our
reported results: the reported dust masses in Section 4.1 and trends in Section 4.2 are insensitive to these choices. We also test against a bootstrap analysis and do not detect differences in the measured errors. We conclude that the method by which we reject our outliers, sophisticated or simple, does not effect our results. Our extreme outlier rejection criterion is indicated as vertical dashed lines in the right panel of Figure 3. The final sample contains 141,885 background galaxies.

3. METHODS

Given that the expected level of CGM dust reddening is lower than the intrinsic width of the corrected color distribution, we constrain its amplitude by measuring the mean color excess induced by the presence of foreground galaxies in a range of impact parameters, \( \langle C(R_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{max}}) \rangle \). We chose different ranges of \( R_{\text{min}} \) and \( R_{\text{max}} \), depending on the scientific questions explored in Section 4.

In addition to reddening by dust, apparent color changes can stem from other effects and systematic errors: physical interactions between foreground and background objects (in galaxy groups and clusters) and/or distortions in the photometry due to the presence of a nearby galaxy and wide-field photometric errors. Below we define an estimator that takes those effects into account.

One requirement we put on our estimator is that it should not lead to any reddening signal if we measure the color changes of foreground galaxies as a function of angular separation from background galaxies. Any such change would indicate our estimator is sensitive to some non-physical effect, as light coming from a galaxy in front should not be modified physically by a galaxy behind. We refer to this measurement as the “reverse test.”

3.1. Physical and Lensing-induced Correlations

Galaxies are known to follow a color–density relation (e.g., Blanton et al. 2005) leading to mean color change as a function of angular separation. To avoid being contaminated by such an effect, we impose a minimum redshift difference between our background and foreground objects. In Figure 4 we show the distribution of foreground-background pairs within 30 arcmin as a function of \( z_{\text{background}} - z_{\text{foreground}} \) for both the direct reddening measurement (right) and the reverse test (left; see Section 3.3). There is a sharp increase in the number of pairs on small scales, due to the clustering of galaxies. Guided by the visually evident clustering at \( |\delta z| < 0.01 \) seen in Figure 4, we conservatively ignore galaxy pairs with \( |\delta z| < 0.015 \) (the light gray band). Changing this value by a factor of two does not change our results.

Gravitational lensing increases the brightness of background galaxies behind foreground galaxies. Because of this brightening, there will tend to be more background galaxies brighter than a given threshold in the vicinity of foreground galaxies (Narayan 1989). The strength of this effect depends on both the gravitational magnification of the foreground population and the shape of the brightness distribution of the background sources. While our results are insensitive to background galaxy brightness, the average color of a population of galaxies will slightly change if the limiting magnitude is effectively altered. This effect, similar to the population reddening effect discussed...
in Peek & Schiminovich (2013), is very small; for our sample less than 20 mmag of color changes per magnitude of brightening for all the colors we investigate. On scales of 150 kpc (about three arcminutes at the redshifts of interest), typical changes in galaxy brightness are $\sim 3 \times 10^{-2}$ and drop with scale roughly as $R^{-1}$ (Scranton 2005, MSFR). We therefore expect lensing-induced color changes to be $\sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ mag at $R \sim 150$ kpc. This term is insignificant and we neglect it in the following.

3.2. Wide-field Photometric Errors

We expect wide-field variations in the photometry and colors at some level, as the SDSS survey was conducted over varying conditions over many years. This can be induced by calibration offsets (Padmanabhan et al. 2008) or biases in the dust map (e.g., Peek 2013). These errors can exceed 40 mmag in some regions (PG10). Such large-scale variations may bias the color change we wish to measure: large scale structure in our foreground galaxies may overlap with regions of photometric error, generating a bias beyond simple Poisson noise. In order to mitigate this effect, we only focus on the excess color change measured with respect to a large-scale averaged mean color:

$$
\Delta C (R_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{max}}) = \langle C_{\text{corr}} (R_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{max}}) \rangle - \langle C_{\text{corr}} (1 \text{ Mpc}, 2 \text{ Mpc}) \rangle.
$$

We measure this effect to be typically of order $2 \times 10^{-4}$ mag, and always smaller than our error bars and signal. We choose to use galaxies with an impact parameter between 1 and 2 Mpc as our “zero point” because we are chiefly interested in the dust in the CGM of galaxies, defined to be within the virial radius, which is always less than 1 Mpc. We note that this method restricts us to measuring dust with $R < 1$ Mpc and represents an imperfect mitigation of this very small effect.

3.3. Small-scale Photometric Biases

On small scales, photometric estimation can be affected by the presence of nearby extended sources, like bright galaxies (Aihara et al. 2011). The foreground galaxy is not a point source, and thus may have a real extended light distribution. The fact that the background object is also a galaxy introduces additional opportunities for error. To consistently measure the apparent magnitude of a galaxy, one must fit a model to the galaxy profile, which requires a careful estimate of the sky brightness nearby. Any other galaxies in the same region of sky can bias the sky brightness estimate. Additionally, because we are examining pairs of galaxies, there is an opportunity for blending, where the SDSS photometric pipeline must disentangle the light from the foreground and background galaxy. In practice this happens less than 10% of the time for very close pairs (first radial bin discussed in Section 4), and not at all for pairs with larger impact parameters.

Quantifying such effects as a function of galaxy proximity and brightness requires tests of the SDSS photometric pipeline (see Huff & Graves 2013 and future work discussed therein). Instead of attempting to predict the amplitude of these effects, we can directly estimate them using the reverse test introduced above, i.e., measuring the color changes of foreground galaxies as a function of angular separation from background galaxies. Such a correlation should not lead to any signal. Therefore any measured quantity is an estimate of the amplitude of photometric biases. We perform a photometrically and angularly matched measurement $\Delta C_{\text{reverse}}$. We weight galaxy pairs in the reverse test such that their pair-wise angular distribution matches that of the forward measurement. Our final circumgalactic dust reddening estimator is thus

$$
\Delta C_{\text{dust}} = \Delta C - \Delta C_{\text{reverse}}.
$$

This small-scale photometric bias is expected to depend on the brightness of the foreground galaxies. Since the reverse test will tend to have higher redshift “foregrounds” than the dust measurement, we also need to take this difference into account. We estimate $\Delta C_{\text{reverse}}$ as a function of the magnitude of “foreground” galaxies by binning the sample by quartiles in $r$ band magnitude. We find that, in practice, the strength of this small scale photometric bias is insensitive to whether we bin by quartiles or in some other way, and whether we use $r$ or another
filter. A comparison of $\Delta C_{\text{dust}}$ and $\Delta C$ is shown in the following section.

### 3.4. Statistical Methods

Much of this work can be approached with standard statistical methods, where classical estimators for the mean and errors on the mean ($\chi^2$) are appropriate. We are, however, also interested in estimating the ratio of redenningens between two independent measurements, for instance the ratio of the reddening observed around large galaxies to the reddening observed around small galaxies. In this case we assume a priori that the true underlying reddening for a set of color measurements $X$ is some ratio $\rho$ times the true reddening a set of color measurements $Y$. The covariances matrices associated with the $X$ and $Y$ measurements are $\Sigma_X$ and $\Sigma_Y$. We assume zero covariance between $X$ and $Y$, as the data are independent. Applying Fieller’s Theorem (Fieller 1954) to this restricted case we find

$$V = \frac{I^T (X - Y \rho)}{\sqrt{I^T (\Sigma_X + \rho^2 \Sigma_Y) I}},$$

where $I$ is simply a vector of ones representing a naive weighting. Here $V$ is the statistical score: $\rho$ where $V = 0$ is our point estimator of the ratio, and the range of $\rho$ that corresponds to $V = [-1, 1]$ is our 1-$\sigma$ confidence interval.

### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1. Mean Reddening Signal

Using the color excess estimator presented in Equation (4) we measure the mean color excess induced by foreground galaxies as a function of impact parameter, from 30 to 750 kpc.

In Figure 5 we show the radial profile of the color excess for four radial bins. We detect a color excess signal of about 8 $(u-g)$, 2 $(g-r)$, 1 $(r-i)$, and 1 $(i-z)$ milli-magnitudes at $R_p < 150$ kpc, which drops by an order of magnitude for $R_p > 150$ kpc. We attribute this signal to the presence of dust in the CGM and IGM. For comparison we show as dotted lines the best fit power-law trend to the results obtained by MSFR using foreground galaxies at $z \sim 0.3$ and background quasars to measure colors. Our measurement shows some differences with respect to the MSFR best fit trend in both the steepness of the falloff beyond 150 kpc and in the much stronger color excess in $u-g$.

In order to investigate the extinction curve for CGM dust, Figure 6 plots the wavelength dependence of the measured color change in the region $30 < R_p < 150$ kpc, where the signal-to-noise ratio of our measurements is higher. The $u-g$ color shows a significant excess, which is inconsistent with that of an SMC bar extinction curve (red dashes, Weingartner & Draine 2001) at the shortest wavelengths. Fitting the data points in Figure 6 with an SMC extinction curve yields a reduced $\chi^2$ of 7.6. We note that our $u$-band extinction is not affected by the canonical 2175 Å (NUV) absorption “bump” at the redshift of our foreground galaxies, i.e., $0.03 < z < 0.1$.

In general, small dust grains contribute to a steeper slope on the blue end of an extinction curve, which might account for the excess in $u-g$ reddening. To test the hypothesis that the increase in $u-g$ color relative to SMC extinction (Figure 6) is driven by the dust grain size distribution, we examine the very simplified case in which the dust has a single grain size and
material. We do not expect that CGM grains are truly uniform, but we use this toy model as a simple test appropriate for our broadband data.

We investigate two extremely simplified dust models: graphite only and silicate only, each with a single variable grain size $a$. We use dielectric constants given by Draine (2003), Draine & Lee (1984), and Laor & Draine (1993) and compute Mie scattering and extinction cross-sections using the publicly available {	exttt{bhmie}} code (Bohren & Huffman 1983). We use these cross-sections to compute extinction curves, the slopes of which yield predictions for $u - g$, $g - r$, and so on (Figure 6). The best fit graphite and silicate models are $a_g = 0.05 \, \mu m$ and $a_s = 0.06 \, \mu m$, respectively. However, both fall short of fitting the $u - g$ data point, with reduced $\chi^2$ of 6.5 and 5.5, respectively. Therefore, we cannot conclude that we have strong evidence for a small grain population in the CGM. More likely, there exists some weak, unmodeled systematic bias that is increasing our errors in this band.

Fitting the $u - g$, $g - r$, $r - i$, and $i - z$ data points and errors (see Figure 6) with an SMC extinction curve yields an average $A_V = 3 \pm 1$ mmag between 30 and 150 kpc. Using $\kappa_V \approx 1.5 \times 10^4 \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{g}^{-1}$ (Weingartner & Draine 2001), this implies a CGM dust mass of $6 \pm 2 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. When we exclude the $u - g$ data point, the SMC extinction curve still fits the observed reddening with a reduced $\chi^2 = 2.3$. To within error, we get the same dust mass with this fit because the $u$-band errors are dramatically larger than those in the other bands. The same mass is recovered if we use a Milky Way reddening curve. This result is consistent with the result of MSFR, who found a CGM dust mass of about $5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$.

### 4.2. Trends with Galaxy Properties

Having access to a number of physical parameters for the foreground galaxies we can investigate correlations between the amount of dust in the CGM and galaxy properties. To do so we split the foreground sample by mass at $M_* = 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (about 1/2 $L^*$; see Figure 7). The average stellar mass of the low-mass group is $6 \times 10^9 M_\odot \approx 0.1 L^*$ while the average for the high-mass group is $6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \simeq L^*$. We call these groups the 0.1$L^*$ and $L^*$ sub-samples, respectively.

We determine the CGM reddening for each subsample and their ratios, shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. In determining the ratio we make the explicit assumption that the ratio of reddening to dust column is not a function of physical parameter by which we split the sample. We use all colors and covariances, as per Section 3.4. We detect more reddening around L$^*$ galaxies over our fiducial impact parameter range (30–150 kpc), but only by a factor of $1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$. If we characterize this ratio as a power-law dependence of CGM dust mass on host stellar mass we find

$$M_{\text{dust}} \propto M_*^\beta \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = 0.23^{+0.15}_{-0.15}.$$
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**Figure 7.** Bivariate distribution of foreground galaxies in sSFR and $M_*$. The univariate quartile values are shown with long gray tickmarks; the splits used in Section 4.2 are shown in black.

**Figure 8.** Average reddening between 30 and 150 kpc impact parameter of foreground galaxies, $\Delta C_{\text{dust}}$ (30 kpc, 150 kpc), split into sub-samples by stellar mass (top panel) and specific star formation (bottom panel). In the top panel the blue half-circles and errors represent the measurement of a sub-sample with an average stellar mass of L$^*$ (the L$^*$ sample), while the red half-circles and errors represent the sub-sample with an average stellar mass of 0.1 L$^*$ (the 0.1 L$^*$ sample). The bottom panel shows the high specific star formation rate sub-sample, at an average of $2 \times 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$, while the red half-circles and errors represent the low specific star formation rate sub-sample, at an average of $8 \times 10^{-12}$ yr$^{-1}$. It is visually evident that the higher mass galaxies produce more reddening, but not 10 times as much, as would be expected if CGM dust mass scaled linearly with galaxy stellar mass. No reddening trend is detectable as a function of sSFR.
shown that it is possible to use background galaxies, and in particular standard crayons (Bovy et al. 2008), PG10.

5.1. The Spatial Distribution of CGM Dust

The increased reddening detected within 150 kpc (Figure 5) appears at first to be inconsistent with the smooth power-law found by MSFR. However, the MSFR result assumes that all of the foreground galaxies in that work are at $z = 0.36$ (Equation (22) of that work), while in fact it is based on photometrically detected foreground galaxies over a very broad range of true redshifts (Sheldon et al. 2012). Thus, any radial edge in the CGM in physical space around MSFR galaxies is washed out in angular space by the variation in redshift.

The increased detection within 150 kpc is qualitatively consistent with absorption lines of highly ionized metals (Wakker & Savage 2009) and cooler metals in the CGM (Bordoloi et al. 2011). These measurements of dust spatial distribution present an interesting and coherent picture to test against galaxy formation scenarios.

5.2. Trends with Galaxy Properties

A new frontier reached in this analysis is the ability to probe the relation between the amount of CGM dust and galaxy properties. Our results indicate no detectable relationship between sSFR and CGM dust content (Equation (7), Figure 9). This result has implications for dust lifetime in the CGM and feedback mechanisms. Our blue sample has a sSFR 25 times higher than the red sample, and yet we detect no change in the amount of reddening signal.

If dust is primarily ejected from galaxies by star formation, and if star formation has not happened in quiescent galaxies for gigayears (Kauffmann et al. 2003), the ejected dust must survive in the CGM for a similar amount of time. This is consistent with the dust sputtering timescales predicted for hot environments, $\sim 10^{10}(a/0.1 \mu m)(n_H/10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3})^{-1}$ years (Draine & Salpeter 1979).

We also find that $M_{\text{dust,CGM}} \propto M_*^{\beta}$ with $\beta \sim 0.2$ (Equation (6), Figure 9). This trend provides us with a new constraint for the modeling of galactic winds (Murray et al. 2005; Oppenheimer & Davé 2006; Zu et al. 2011) and metal pollution on large scales. We note that recent studies of CGM metals through absorption line analyses also point to a weak dependence on galactic stellar mass (Werk et al. 2013; Zhu & Ménard 2013).

5.3. The Metal Budget

Determining the location and state of metals can help us understand how galaxies produce and expel material, and therefore how they evolve. While early work highlighted the location of metals at high redshift (e.g. Bouché et al. 2007), recently a complete analysis has been done by Peeples et al. (2014, P14). This work determined the total mass of metals formed by a galaxy by $z \sim 0$ as a function of $M_*$ that were not immediately locked in stellar remnants (Fukugita & Peebles 2004); the “available metals.” P14 showed that only $\sim 25\%$ of these available metals are detected within galaxy disks, and that some, but not all, are detected in the gas-phase of the CGM. This is the “missing metals” problem at low redshift: most metals created by stars over cosmic time are not detected.

To illustrate the significance of CGM dust to the overall metal budget, we show a new version of P14 Figure 9 including...
While each of these $\beta$ measurements are subject to various independent uncertainties and biases, the fact that all three measurements (OVI ions, lower ions, and dust) show weak dependence on $M_*$ in this mass range suggests that it is worth examining the physical implications. With these fiducial assumptions, a large fraction of the metals produced by 0.1 L$^*$ galaxies resides in the CGM, weakening any “missing metals” problem at that mass. Indeed, a 0.1 L$^*$ galaxy contains approximately as much metal in CGM dust as in the entire galaxy itself. Conversely, very little of the available metals from L$^*$ galaxies are found in detected phases of the CGM, suggesting that the missing metals must be in some unprobed phase or outside the CGM. These results give a valuable perspective on galaxy formation models, especially in the context of galactic feedback.

6. CONCLUSION

We can draw several conclusions from this work.

1. Using galaxies and in particular standard crayons as background sources we detect the excess reddening induced by the extended distribution of dust around galaxies.

2. We confirm the existence of $\sim 6 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ of dust in the CGM of 0.1 L$^*$–L$^*$ galaxies, consistent with the results of MSFR based on reddening of background quasars.

3. We find a weak dependence of CGM dust reddening on galaxy stellar mass $M_{\text{dust}} \propto M_\ast^{0.2}$ (Equation (6)), and no detectable dependence on sSFR.

4. Including constraints on the distribution of metals from absorption line studies, the dust contribution to the overall metal budget indicates that the missing metals problem at low redshift is more acute near L$^*$ galaxies than near 0.1 L$^*$ galaxies.

We have found in this work that the photometry of close pairs of galaxies is susceptible to significant systematic error, even in a survey with photometry tested as meticulously as the SDSS (Padmanabhan et al. 2008). These systematics, and other possible unknown systematics, should be considered seriously, and we hope to confirm the above conclusions with future work. To press forward with the measurement of extragalactic dust reddening, we must build photometric pipelines that are resistant to the kinds of biases discussed in this paper. Future ground-based surveys and space missions may sidestep some issues with more stable seeing, darker skies, and higher resolution. However, without a clear specification to deliver photometry unbiased by close neighbors, we cannot assume that such surveys will be optimal for this measurement. Better bias constraints on the photometry of close pairs are also very important for weak lensing constraints on the MSFR based on reddening of background quasars.

With these important caveats in mind, we look toward the future of measuring dust in the CGM of galaxies with spectroscopic galaxy data. Expanding the analysis to a broader range of wavelengths would help better constrain the dust extinction properties, perhaps including WISE (Wright et al. 2010) and GALEX (Martin et al. 2005) data. A preliminary investigation has shown much stronger systematic biases in close galaxy pairs when comparing photometry across surveys. Data from the GAMA survey (Driver et al. 2011) may help us reach higher precision, especially because the multi-pass spectroscopic survey avoids fiber collision issues, and many more close pairs can be studied. BOSS (Dawson et al. 2012) has observed 10 times more passively evolving galaxies than the original SDSS data, but its targets are selected with cuts in
color space, which may contaminate the standard crayons. If this contamination can be well understood, BOSS may allow us to study CGM reddening at $z \sim 0.5$. Future surveys like the notional high latitude survey proposed for WFIRST (Spergel et al. 2013) may allow similar measurements toward $z \sim 2$, at the height of galaxy formation in the history of the universe.
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