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We show that a common polymorphic variant in the ERCC5 5′ untranslated region (UTR) generates an upstream ORF [uORF] that affects both the background expression of this protein and its ability to be synthesized following exposure to agents that cause bulky adduct DNA damage. Individuals that harbor uORF1 have a marked resistance to platinum-based agents, illustrated by the significantly reduced progression-free survival of pediatric ependymoma patients treated with such compounds. Importantly, inhibition of DNA-PKcs restores sensitivity to platinum-based compounds by preventing uORF1-dependent ERCC5 expression. Our data support a model in which a heritable 5′ noncoding mRNA element influences individuals’ responses to platinum-based chemotherapy.
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Many commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs, such as cisplatin, exert their cytotoxic affects by causing DNA damage in the form of intrastrand and interstrand cross-links (Koberle et al. 2010; Galluzzi et al. 2011). In response to these lesions, cells initiate a complex series of signaling cascades that coordinate cell cycle arrest and DNA repair [collectively referred to as the DNA damage response [DDR]] (Bartek and Lukas 2007; Jackson and Bartek 2009). Importantly, the expression levels and activities of components of the DDR play a pivotal role in the response of tumors to therapy (Jackson and Bartek 2009; Helleday 2011).

Activation of the DDR by agents that cause bulky adduct damage, such as UV irradiation or cisplatin, increases the transcription of hundreds of genes, including genes directly involved in nucleotide excision repair [NER], the DNA repair pathway that removes these lesions (Rieger and Chu 2004; Boerma et al. 2005; da Costa et al. 2005). Additionally, in response to UV irradiation, many critical components of the NER pathway are also controlled at the level of translation (Deng et al. 2002; Powley et al. 2009). For translation initiation, the eukaryotic initiation factor 4F [eIF4F] complex binds to the mRNA and recruits the ribosome and the ternary complex [comprised of eIF2, GTP, and tRNAmet], which delivers the initiator tRNAmet to the start codon (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch 2009). Formation of the ternary complex can be controlled by four upstream kinases [PERK, PKR, HRI, and GCN2] that phosphorylate eIF2 on the α subunit, causing sequestration of this protein in an inactive complex with its GEF eIF2B (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch 2009).

We showed previously that, in response to a nonlethal dose of UVB, signaling via DNA-PKcs to GCN2 results in a reduction in the global rate of protein synthesis mediated by an increase in the phosphorylation of eIF2α (Fig. 1A; Deng et al. 2002; Powley et al. 2009). However, despite the general repression of translation that occurs following UVB exposure, ∼8% of transcripts, including mRNAs encoding NER proteins, are recruited to translationally active polysomes concurrent with an increase in the translational efficiency of these mRNAs (Powley et al. 2009). The translation of proteins required for the DDR is dependent on sequence elements within the 5′ untranslated regions [UTRs] of their mRNAs that are referred to as upstream ORFs [uORFs] (Le Quesne et al. 2010; Somers et al. 2013). Under stress conditions, when increased eIF2α phosphorylation lowers the availability of the eIF2 ternary complex, translation of some mRNAs containing uORFs are up-regulated, although the mechanisms by which uORFs function are not yet fully understood (for review, see Somers et al. 2013).

Given the role of uORFs in the DDR, one testable hypothesis would be that polymorphisms that create or remove upstream AUG codons (Deng et al. 2002, Calvo et al. 2009; Powley et al. 2009) in NER genes could contribute to the differential responses of patients to chemotherapeutic agents. Interestingly, ERCC5 [a structure-specific endonuclease that cleaves 3′ of the DNA adduct and is an indispensable core protein of the NER machinery] contains uORFs, one of which is generated by the polymorphic variant rs751402 that is present in 35% of the white Caucasian population. Here we show that this uORF regulates the synthesis of ERCC5 following exposure to agents that cause bulky adduct DNA damage, that signaling through DNA-PKcs is required for the increased uORF-
Parallel samples were taken, and the level of mRNA was assessed by quantitative PCR (qPCR). Individuals, each representing A/A or G/G genotypes, were determined by Western analysis. Neuroblastoma cell lines (derived from individual patients) or B-cell lines (derived from healthy individuals) were transfected with the plasmids indicated and a β-galactosidase transfection control. After 48 h, cells were harvested and assayed for luciferase activity. pUTR-A caused the strongest inhibition of luciferase activity. pUTR-G contains no uORFs (both AUGs mutated to AUA). HeLa cells were transfected with the plasmids indicated and a β-galactosidase transfection control. After 48 h, cells were harvested and assayed for luciferase activity. pUTR-A caused the strongest inhibition of luciferase activity. [Black bars] pUTR-MUT; [red bars] pUTR-A; [white bars] pUTR-G.

Results and Discussion

The 5’ UTR of ERCC5 contains a functional uORF that is required for expression of the downstream cistron following bulky adduct DNA damage

The polymorphism rs751402, which is located in the 5’ UTR of ERCC5, is present in 35% of the white Caucasian population (Fig. 1Bi). This polymorphism generates a uORF (termed uORF1) (Fig. 1Bi). The two variants are referred to as the “G” allele [no uORF] or the “A” allele [uORF1]. uORF1 lies 243 bases upstream of a second uORF [termed uORF2] and 420 bases upstream of the physiological AUG start codon (Supplemental Fig. 1A).

To test the hypothesis that the polymorphism could be associated with altered expression of endogenous ERCC5, Western blot analysis was carried out on either A/A or G/G cell lines derived from patients with neuroblastoma or B cells derived from healthy individuals. The data show that cell lines homozygous for the ERCC5 “A” allele expressed a significantly lower level of ERCC5 protein with no such difference detectable in the levels of RNA expression (Fig. 1Ci,Cii). We reasoned that the difference in expression of ERCC5 from these transcripts could be due to the presence of the additional uORF1, and, in support of this, sequencing of the entire 5’ UTR of the G/G or A/A cell lines revealed no other sequence differences (Supplemental Fig. 1B). To investigate the impact of the rs751402 polymorphism upon translation of the downstream cistron in a cell culture-based system, the 5’ UTR of ERCC5 was subcloned upstream of the luciferase coding sequence to generate three constructs: pUTR-A (containing both uORF1 and uORF2), pUTR-G (containing uORF2), and a control construct, pUTR-MUT, in which the 5’ UTR of ERCC5 contained no uORFs [both AUGs mutated to AUA] (Fig. 1Di). The plasmids were transfected into HeLa cells, and the luciferase activity was determined. These data show that uORF2-containing transcripts showed repressed translation relative to pUTR-MUT; however, uORF1 (rs751402 SNP pUTR-A) led to further inhibition (Fig. 1Di). To ensure that translation was initiated at the AUG codons found at the start of uORF1 and uORF2, the luciferase constructs containing regulated translation of ERCC5 following cisplatin exposure, and, importantly, that rs751402 is a prognostic indicator for poor progression-free survival of patients with childhood ependymoma treated with platinum-containing compounds.

Figure 1. A polymorphism in a uORF controls ERCC5 translation. A) Schematic diagram of signaling from bulky adduct damage to the inhibition of translation. When cells are exposed to bulky adduct damage [e.g., UVB light], there is a shutdown of translation and reprogramming of the translational machinery that permit the selective up-regulation of DNA repair enzymes at the level of translation. We showed previously that signaling from DNA-PKcs to phosphorylation of elf2α is essential for this response, since, in the presence of a DNA-PKcs inhibitor, in DNA-PKcs deficient cell lines, and following depletion of DNA-PKcs levels by siRNA, the translational shutdown and selective translational reprogramming that are part of the normal response are inhibited (Powley et al. 2009). B) Global distribution of the polymorphism rs751402. The derived “A” allele is present in ~35% of white Caucasians. Bii) Schematic representation of the uORFs present in ERCC5. Ci) The levels of ERCC5 protein expression differ with genotype, with no corresponding changes in the levels of the mRNA. Levels of ERCC5 in neuroblastoma cell lines [derived from individual patients] or B-cell lines [derived from healthy individuals], each representing A/A or G/G genotypes, were determined by Western analysis. Parallel samples were taken, and the level of mRNA was assessed by quantitative PCR (qPCR). [*] P < 0.05, [**] P < 0.01. Di) Schematic diagram of the plasmids containing the alternative 5’ UTRs of ERCC5. pUTR-A contains both uORF1 and uORF2, and pUTR-G contains uORF2. pUTR-MUT contains no uORFs [both AUGs mutated to AUA]. Di) HeLa cells were transfected with the plasmids indicated and a β-galactosidase transfection control. After 48 h, cells were harvested and assayed for luciferase activity. pUTR-A caused the strongest inhibition of luciferase activity. [Black bars] pUTR-MUT; [red bars] pUTR-A; [white bars] pUTR-G. [*] P < 0.05.
the 5’ UTRs of the two variants of the ERCC5 sequence were modified such that the AUGs of the uORFs were in-frame with the luciferase start codon (Supplemental Fig. 1C). RNAs generated from these vectors were used to prime rabbit reticulocyte lysates, and the data show that ribosomes can recognize and initiate from the AUG codons in both uORFs (Supplemental Fig. 1Cii). To confirm whether the ERCC5 uORF1 translationally regulates the expression of a reporter protein in response to bulky adduct DNA damage, cells were transfected with the reporter constructs and then treated with cisplatin (Fig. 2Ai) or UVB (Fig. 2Aii). In each case, the translation from the construct with no uORFs [pUTR-MUT] or only uORF2 [pUTR-G] was repressed by the treatments (consistent with a global decrease in translation rates due to phosphorylation of eIF2α). However, synthesis from the rs751402 SNP pUTR-A construct remained significantly higher, demonstrating that uORF1 augments translation following DNA damage [Fig. 2A].

These data strongly suggest that uORF1 is required for expression of the downstream cistron following exposure to compounds that elicit bulky adduct DNA damage.

Figure 2. The 5’ UTR of ERCC5 contains a uORF that promotes translation in response to bulky adduct DNA damage. [Ai,Aii] HeLa cells were transfected with the plasmids indicated and then exposed to cisplatin [Ai] or UVB [Aii] and harvested at the times indicated. Cells were then lysed, and the luciferase activity was determined. When exposed to DNA damage, cells containing the pUTR-A plasmid show significantly higher luciferase activity. (Black bars) pUTR-MUT; (red bars) pUTR-A; (white bars) pUTR-G. (** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

Figure 3. ERCC5 transcripts that harbor uORF1 confer resistance to cisplatin exposure

Since platinum-based chemotherapy is used as a frontline treatment for a range of tumors (Galluzzi et al. 2011), we hypothesized that the polymorphism, by facilitating ERCC5 protein expression, could affect the sensitivity of cells to these compounds. Thirteen cell lines representative of the three different genotypes, including cell lines derived from patients with neuroblastoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and from healthy individuals of fibroblast and B-cell origin, were incubated with increasing doses of cisplatin. The percentage of cell survival was determined in each case by using WST1 assays (Supplemental Fig. 2A–E), and, using these data, SF50s (the cisplatin concentrations required to reduce 50% of the cell population) were calculated by a logarithmic regression. In each group of cell types, there was relatively higher survival of those that contained the “A” allele (A/A or A/G) when compared with cells that are homozygous for the “G” allele [Fig. 2B].

To assess the effects that uORF1 had on endogenous ERCC5 expression in response to cisplatin exposure, neuroblastoma and B-cell lines representative of all three genotypes were used. All genotypes showed substantial reduction in global protein synthesis rates with cisplatin treatment, as assessed by methionine incorporation (Fig. 3Ai, Aii). To determine how cisplatin exposure affected ERCC5 expression across the different genotypes, Western blot analysis and quantitative PCR (qPCR) were performed in parallel [Fig. 3Bi,Ci,Cii]. In all cell lines, there was an increase in eIF2α phosphorylation following exposure to cisplatin [Fig. 3Bi,Ci], consistent with the reduction in global protein synthesis rates. However, in cell lines that contain the ERCC5 transcripts with uORF1 (both A/A and A/G), there was maintenance of ERCC5 protein expression after exposure to cisplatin. In contrast, cells homozygous for the “G” allele showed a decrease in expression of this protein with an increasing dose of cisplatin [Fig. 3Bi,Ci]. There was no significant increase in the levels of ERCC5 mRNA [Fig. 3Bi,Cii]. Consistent with an enhanced translation rate, we show that the “A” allele ERCC5 transcripts were specifically maintained on polysomes [actively translating ribosomes] in response to cisplatin, while “G” allele transcripts shifted toward lighter polysomes [less actively translating ribosomes] in heterozygote cell lines [Supplemental Fig. 3]. Pulse-labelled immunoprecipitations to examine ERCC5’s half-life confirmed that the loss of ERCC5 protein expression after cisplatin treatment is solely due to translational inhibition [Supplemental Fig. 4].

To confirm the link between induction of ERCC5 and repair of cisplatin-induced DNA damage, competitive ELISAs were carried out to assess the degree of cisplatin adducts that remained in cells derived from neuroblastomas [Fig. 3Bi] or B cells [Fig. 3Cii] at 24 or 16 h of exposure to this compound, respectively. The data show that significantly more competition [indicating higher
cisplatin adduct levels) was provided from the cell lines whose ERCC5 transcripts lack the uORF1 (G/G), implying that these cells have slower repair rates [Fig. 3Biii, Ciii].

Collectively, these data suggest that tumors that arise in individuals that harbor at least one ERCC5 “A” allele [rs751402 uORF1] are likely to be refractory to platinum-based chemotherapy as a result of the translational up-regulation of this protein.

**Translational control of ERCC5 is downstream from DNA-PKcs**

Following bulky adduct DNA damage, signaling through DNA-PKcs (a critical DNA damage kinase) is required for the selective translational activation of NER enzymes in response to UVB irradiation, and phosphorylation of eIF2α links DNA damage sensing to protein synthesis regulation [Powley et al. 2009]. Therefore, we hypothesized that resistant “A” allele-expressing cells could be sensitized to cisplatin by DNA-PKcs inhibition [Supplemental Fig. 5A]. B cells were pretreated with the DNA-PKcs inhibitor Nu7441 [Supplemental Fig. 5B; Harcastle et al. 2005] and exposed to 50 µM cisplatin, and survival assays were performed. The data show that, in the presence of the DNA-PKcs inhibitor, the differential response to cisplatin was ablated and became independent of the genotype [Fig. 4A]. Western blot analysis confirmed inhibition of eIF2α phosphorylation by Nu7441 and attenuation of the induction of ERCC5 in rs751402 “A” allele-expressing cells [Fig. 4B; Supplemental Fig. 5Ci, Cii, Ciii], with no such change in the ERCC5 RNA levels [Fig. 4C]. To confirm a role for eIF2α phosphorylation in this process, cells that contained the “A” allele of ERCC5 were transfected with plasmids encoding the eIF2α phosphorytase GADD34 [Supplemental Fig. 5A]. The data show that direct inhibition of eIF2α phosphorylation was sufficient to inhibit the increase in ERCC5 expression after cisplatin exposure, strongly supporting a role for eIF2α in this process [Supplemental Fig. 5D].

The polymorphism is prognostic for progression-free survival for individuals with childhood ependymoma following platinum-based therapy

The in vitro cisplatin resistance of the “A” allele variant of ERCC5 led us to hypothesize that cancer patients who carried the “A” allele would be more refractory to platinum-based chemotherapy due to relatively high rates of repair of platinum–DNA adducts within tumors. Testing this hypothesis at the clinical level required a tumor type that fulfilled the following important criteria: The primary adjuvant therapy was platinum-based and was not confounded by the addition of other adjuvant

---

**Figure 3.** A uORF in the 5′ UTR of ERCC5 promotes resistance to cisplatin in vitro. [Ai, Aii] Neuroblastoma cell lines (Ai) or B-cell lines (Aii) derived from healthy individuals for all three rs751402 genotypes were treated with cisplatin at the doses shown, and methionine incorporation was measured to assess the degree of inhibition of global protein synthesis rates. There were no significant differences in the degrees of protein synthesis between the three B-cell lines or the three neuroblastoma-derived cell lines. [Solid bars] Control conditions; [hatched bars] cells treated with cisplatin. [Bi-Bii] The three neuroblastoma cell lines (Bi–Bii) or the three B-cell lines (Ci–Ciii) of each ERCC5 5′ UTR rs751402 genotype were treated with the doses of cisplatin shown, and protein and RNA samples were obtained. [Bi-Ci] Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted. The data show that, in all cases, eIF2α is phosphorylated by treatment of cells with cisplatin with no change in the total levels of eIF2α. In each case, with increasing doses of cisplatin in the cell lines that were homoygous for the “G” allele, there was a marked decrease in ERCC5. In contrast, when the cells lines that contain the ERCC5 5′ UTR “A” allele (either the homoygous or heterozygous cell lines) were treated with cisplatin, the expression of ERCC5 protein was maintained. [Biii, Ciii] The level of ERCC5 mRNA was assessed by qPCR, and no significant increases were detected. [Bi, Cii] A competitive ELISA was used to measure platinum adduct levels in cisplatin-treated neuroblastoma and B cells. Cells were treated with cisplatin at the doses shown. DNA was then extracted, and equal concentrations were used to perform a competitive ELISA against a standard of platinated DNA with the monoclonal antibody [CP9/19] that recognizes guanine intrastrand cross-links formed by cisplatinations. Plotted is the mean absorbance normalized to competition with DMF DNA (100%) for the monoclonal antibody (CP9/19) that recognizes guanine intrastrand cross-links formed by cisplatinations. Plotted is the mean absorbance normalized to competition with DMF DNA (100%) for the monoclonal antibody (CP9/19).
treatment. For these salient reasons, we chose to study the outcomes in ependymoma in very young children treated in two clinical trials (Grill et al. 2001; Grundy et al. 2007). Ependymoma is the third most common malignant brain tumor in children, accounting for 12% of all brain tumors, and the 5-year survival rates range from 39% to 64% (Kilday et al. 2009). Early childhood ependymoma also exhibits low genetic heterogeneity (Supplemental Material). The current frontline therapy for ependymoma is surgical resection followed by radiotherapy, which has reasonable response rates. However, this can lead to significant sequelae for the developing CNS, such as neuropsychological and cognitive defects later in life. Therefore, efforts have been made to use adjuvant chemotherapy based on platinum agents (cisplatin and carboplatin) in place of radiation in very young patients (Grill et al. 2001; Grundy et al. 2007). The distribution of the ERCC5 rs751402 polymorphism in ependymoma patients was examined using allele-specific PCR on genomic DNA extracted from 55 post-operative frozen tumor tissue samples, and progression-free survival rates were analyzed (Fig. 5; Supplemental Tables 1, 2).

These data show that patients that express an “A” allele [uORF] ERCC5 transcript have significantly reduced progression-free survival [P = 0.016] and multivariate hazard ratio of 3.63 (95% CI [confidence interval]; 1.69 and 7.76) (Fig. 5; Supplemental Table 1). The rs751402 genotype did not affect the overall survival of ependymoma patients [P = 0.108], most likely because patients who failed to respond to primary chemotherapy subsequently received radiotherapy, which creates double-strand breaks—a form of DNA damage not repaired by the NER pathway.

Overall, these data would strongly suggest that the rs751402 polymorphism is an independent prognostic factor for progression-free survival of platinum-treated ependymoma in early childhood patients.

In our study, we provided mechanistic data to show for the first time how a polymorphism in a 5′ UTR can create an RNA regulatory element that influences cell fate. The data presented here have very important implications for the treatment of ependymoma with platinum-based compounds. Given the prevalence of polymorphic variants that create or remove uORFs in humans [Calvo

Figure 4. Otherwise resistant cells are sensitized to cisplatin treatment by inhibiting DNA-PKcs activity. (A) The three B-cell lines were incubated with either DMSO/DMF (solvent control; black bars), 1 µM DNA-PKcs inhibitor Nu7441 [black hatched bars], 50 µM cisplatin [green bars], or a combination of Nu7441 and cisplatin [green hatched bars], and the survival rates were determined. The data show that cells that contained the “A” allele had a statistically significant higher survival rate after cisplatin treatment. However, pretreatment with Nu7441 rendered all cell genotypes equally sensitive to cisplatin. [†] P < 0.05. (B) Extracts were generated from cells treated as above and were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with the antibodies shown. The data show that, in the presence of the DNA-PKcs inhibitor and cisplatin, there is a decrease in the phosphorylation of eIF2α and a corresponding decrease in the level of the DNA-PKcs inhibitor and cisplatin, there is a decrease in the phosphorylation of eIF2α and a corresponding decrease in the level of

Figure 5. The polymorphism in ERCC5 is prognostic for sensitivity to platinum-based chemotherapy in early childhood ependymoma. [A] Clinicopathological data from primary ependymoma patients obtained from Children’s Cancer and Leukaemia Group (CCLG) or Société Française d’Odontologie Pédiatrique (SFOP). All 55 children were below 5 years of age at diagnosis and had been treated only with primary post-operative platinum chemotherapy [cisplatin/carboplatin] (Grill et al. 2001; Grundy et al. 2007). This was in adherence to two concordant European pediatric clinical trials: CCLG CNS9204 (Grundy et al. 2007) [n = 41] and Baby Brain SFOP [BBSFOP] (Grill et al. 2001) [n = 14]. Probability [P] values for univariate and multivariate survival analysis were obtained by the Cox proportional hazard model. (PF) Posterior fossa; (ST) supratentorial; (IR) incomplete resection; (CR) complete resection; [HR] hazards ratio; [95% CI] 95% confidence interval; [MD] missing data. [B] Kaplan-Meier plot of progression-free survival of ependymoma patients treated with platinum chemotherapy that were homozygous for the “G” allele [blue line] or contained the “A” allele [A/G or A/A; red line].
et al. 2009), there may well be other such elements that have the potential to modify an individual’s sensitivity to a range of agents. These warrant further investigation.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

HeLa cells were cultured as described (Powley et al. 2009). TIG fibroblasts were from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources [JCRB] and were cultured according to the JCRB Cell Bank [http://cellbank.nibio.go.jp/]. B cells and fibroblasts were from Coriell Cell Repositories and were cultured according to the Coriell Cell Repositories site [http://ccr.coriell.org]. Neuroblastoma cells were from American Type Culture Collection (except for the LAN5 cell line, which was obtained from Charles-Henry Gatotliat, Institute Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France). DLBCL cells were a gift from Professor M. Dyer, University of Leicester. B cells naturally form clumps in culture that can induce cellular stress signaling pathways. To minimize these effects, clumps were dispersed during treatments and 1 h prior to harvest.

Cells treatments

Cells were exposed to UVB as described (Powley et al. 2009). Cisplatin was dissolved in DMF and added to B cells or HeLa for 16 h or to neuroblastoma, DLBCL, and fibroblasts for 24 h. Nu7441 (1 µM) was added 30 min prior to cisplatin. All experiments were performed on at least three separate occasions.

Transient transfections and reporter gene assays

Transient transfections and measurements of luciferase activity were as described (Kong et al. 2008, Powley et al. 2009). Luciferase values were expressed relative to the transfection control described (Kong et al. 2008; Powley et al. 2009). Luciferase values were expressed relative to the transfection control described (Kong et al. 2008; Powley et al. 2009). Luciferase values were expressed relative to the transfection control described (Kong et al. 2008; Powley et al. 2009). Luciferase values were expressed relative to the transfection control described (Kong et al. 2008; Powley et al. 2009). Luciferase values were expressed relative to the transfection control described (Kong et al. 2008; Powley et al. 2009).
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