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Despite the importance of mitotic cell rounding in tissue development and cell proliferation, there remains a paucity of approaches to investigate the mechanical robustness of cell rounding. Here we introduce ion beam-sculpted microcantilevers that enable precise force-feedback–controlled confinement of single cells while characterizing their progression through mitosis. We identify three force regimes according to the cell response: small forces (∼5 nN) that accelerate mitotic progression, intermediate forces where cells resist confinement (50–100 nN), and yield forces (>100 nN) where a significant decline in cell height impinges on micropipetteindle function, thereby inhibiting mitotic progression. Yield forces are coincident with a nonlinear drop in cell height potentiated by persistent blebbing and loss of cortical F-actin homogeneity. Our results suggest that a buildup of actomyosin-dependent cortical tension and intracellular pressure precedes mechanical failure, or herniation, of the cell cortex at the yield force. Thus, we reveal how the mechanical properties of mitotic cells and their response to external forces are linked to mitotic progression under conditions of mechanical confinement.

I

In mitosis, eukaryotic cells down-regulate focal adhesions and increase their cortical tension and intracellular pressure, thereby generating force to round up against external impediments (1–3). Recent studies in the epithelium and epidermis of various organisms indicate that mitotic cell rounding is involved in tissue organization, development, and homeostasis (4–8). Abnormal mitotic cell shape can have adverse consequences for chromosome segregation and tissue growth (9), in some cases contributing to tumorigenesis (7). Despite the importance of cell rounding in mitotic progression and tissue organization, the mechanical robustness of mitotic cells remains poorly investigated even in vitro, probably due to a paucity of suitable experimental tools that can apply precise forces to poorly adherent cells. Confining cell rounding below 5–8 μm with microfabricated polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) chambers perturbs mitotic progression in several cell types (2, 10), but the forces required to do this remain unquantified. Thus, the magnitude of confinement force required to prevent mitosis and the mechanisms of cell response to such forces are not known.

One approach to applying precise forces to cells is atomic force microscopy (AFM). Originally developed for nanoscale analysis and visualization of inorganic surfaces (11), AFM has found applications in studying the mechanics of soft biological materials, from single molecules to cells and tissues (12–16). However, the ability to conduct experiments on poorly adherent cells, such as nonadherent white blood cells (17) or round mitotic cells (18), has been hampered by cantilevers of inappropriate geometry. In these cases, cells become laterally unstable under loading forces of more than several tens of nanonewtons due to the standard 8°–12° angle of cantilever mounting (18). Polymer-wedged cantilevers that we recently introduced were promising but, because of hand manufacturing, lacked the required accuracy and reproducibility needed to confine single cells at high submicrometer and nanonewton precision (19). Here we engineer wedged cantilevers from a single piece of silicon by using focused ion beam (FIB) ablation, a technique widely available in physics and materials science laboratories. The resulting FIB-sculpted cantilevers enable confinement of single mitotic cells with precise uniaxial forces and subsequent characterization of their progression through mitosis with optical microscopy. We deploy this method to determine the robustness of mitotic rounding against externally applied forces and provide mechanistic insights into how confinement force affects mitotic progression in animal cells.

Results

In mitosis, cells round up and reduce adhesion to extracellular matrix or substrate (1–3). When attempting to confine cells with a tilted AFM cantilever, cells easily slide away (18). Even slightly tilted cantilevers of a few degrees will cause rounded cells to slip away so that they cannot be mechanically confined. To properly confine single mitotic cells and enable characterization of their progression through mitosis, we used FIB ablation to reshape standard AFM cantilevers. A larger silicon cantilever is remodeled into a smaller one featuring a flat terminal wedge, which negates the 10° mounting angle of our system and facilitates accurate (<1° error) uniaxial confinement geometry (Figs. S1 A–D and S2). By using FIB to modulate the thickness of the cantilever shaft, the spring constant was tuned to 0.5–1.5 N·m⁻¹, a range sufficiently sensitive to measure and apply forces relevant to most animal cell.

Significance

In animal tissue, most adherent cells round up against confinement to conduct mitosis. Impaired cell rounding is thought to perturb tissue development and homeostasis and contribute to progression of cancer. Due to the lack of suitable experimental tools, however, insight into the mechanical robustness of mitosis in animal cells remains limited. Here we introduce force-feedback–controlled ion beam-sculpted cantilevers to confine single cells and characterize their progression through mitosis. Our approach reveals critical yield forces that trigger cell cortex herniation, loss of F-actin homogeneity, dissipation of intracellular pressure, critical cell-height decrease, mitotic spindle defects, and resultant perturbation of mitotic progression.
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cells (1–500 nN) and yet stiff enough to maintain the required uniaxial confinement under variable loading forces. These customized cantilevers thus provide the technical improvements to confine isolated cells with precise force control.

To verify the use of FIB-sculpted cantilevers for confinement of mitotic cells, we performed pilot experiments on rounded HeLa cells chemically arrested in mitosis with an inhibitor of kinesin Eg5, S-trityl-l-cysteine (STC) (20). By exploiting the feedback functionality of AFM, stable deployment of both constant-height and constant-force modes could be demonstrated, which we refer to as “height confinement” and “force confinement” experiments (Fig. S1 E and F). Under a loading force of 50 nN, FIB-sculpted cantilevers held cells in place for over an hour, whereas standard tipless cantilevers caused lateral instability.
and cell sliding (Fig. S3) (18). In the case of height confinement to 10 μm with a cantilever of spring constant ~1 N m⁻¹, a sustained counterforce of ~50–70 nN was recorded. Flexion was therefore ~50–70 nm and represented less than 0.1° deviation in angle. Analyzing cell geometry for contact area with a verified model based on the Young–Laplace relationship (21), we observed that the intracellular pressure was steady for both 10-μm height confinement and 50-nN force confinement (Fig. S4). Thus, this setup demonstrates the ability to maintain confinement of mitotic or otherwise poorly adherent cells in a stable and precise manner. It enables cells on rigid substrates to be subjected to defined forces or set heights while gauging the mechanical response of live cells by optical microscopy.

Next, we investigated the effect of constant forces on mitotic cell shape and progression. In mitosis, cells generate actomyosin-dependent (1) intracellular pressure to round up and optimize geometry for proper function of the mitotic spindle, the machinery that organizes and segregates chromosomes (2, 9, 10, 22). Restricting cell rounding height below 5–8 μm with microfabricated PDMS chambers perturbs mitotic progression in several cell types (2, 10), but the forces that cells can withstand remain unquantified. To determine these forces, we selected cells in early mitosis (prophase) by the appearance of condensed chromosomes and performed force-confinement experiments (Fig. 1A). HeLa cells that constitutively express a microtubule label, mouse tubulin-GFP, and a chromatin marker, human H2B-mCherry, enabled the identification of cell-cycle phase and evaluation of spindle morphogenesis (Fig. 1B and C). As cells progressed through mitosis, they became rounder and increased in height. Cells subjected to 5-nN force reached a maximum height of 17.5 ± 1.5 μm (average ± SD) compared with ~19 μm in the unconfined case (Fig. 1G and Fig. S5). Although metaphase duration remained unchanged, overall time through mitosis was decreased due to a significantly shortened prometaphase of ~18 min (5 nN) compared with ~24 min (unconfined) (Fig. 1F and G). Interestingly, others have reported that rapid subsecond mechanical impulses in the range of 3–8 μm can accelerate mitotic progression, albeit in metaphase (23). Thus, small forces and deformations appear capable of assisting mitotic progression, possibly by biasing the long axis of the cell parallel to the substrate or placing a slight stimulatory tension on the spindle (23, 24).

At 50 nN, however, mitotic durations were similar to unconfined controls (52 ± 10 min) with maximum cell heights reaching 12.2 ± 2.3 μm. Increasing force to 100 nN, we found that cell height peaked at 10.6 ± 1.5 μm, whereas mitotic progression was prolonged to 64 ± 19 min (Fig. 1D, F, and G). Distortion of spindle geometry was evidenced by distended metaphase plate widths and pole-to-pole distances, and correlated with reduced efficiency of the spindle to efficiently gather stray chromosomes (Fig. 1G and Fig. S6). When applied forces were increased to 150 and 200 nN, confined cells were unable to rise above 7 μm, concomitant with even more drastic distortion of spindle morphology, persistent stray chromosomes, and failure of cells to initiate chromosome segregation within 120 min. In accordance with these results, Lancaster et al. also identified ~7 μm as the critical height that causes severe spindle assembly defects and delay in mitotic progression via an inability to satisfy the spindle assembly checkpoint in HeLa cells (2). Thus, we determined that single mitotic HeLa cells could withstand confinement forces up to 100 nN before succumbing to heights that retard mitotic progression due to spindle dysfunction. Finally, beyond 150 nN, cells were mechanically arrested and could not complete mitosis.

While performing constant-force experiments on cells progressing through mitosis, we noticed that cell height exhibited a nonlinear relationship with respect to input force. In particular, confinement forces greater than 100 nN appeared to potentiate cell-height decrease (Fig. 2A). Height declined ~1.6 μm from 50 to 100 nN but ~4 μm from 100 to 150 nN. However, cell-height decrements should decay per unit force increment if intracellular pressure and volume remain constant with confinement (Fig. S4A, equations). Thus, we wondered whether pressure or volume was altered as a function of confinement force. Indeed, analysis of intracellular pressure versus force revealed a pronounced elevation to ~0.55 kPa at 100 nN, compared with ~0.4 kPa for the other forces tested. On the other hand, volume of the main cell body showed a small loss for cells confined by 150 nN (Fig. 2C and Fig. S7). Hence, increased hydrostatic pressure at 100 nN appears to endow mitotic cells with the ability to resist height decline, whereas at 150 nN, loss of cell-body volume and reversal of gained intracellular pressure correlate with augmented height decline. Seeking a mechanism, we examined changes in cell-surface...
Threshold confinement forces promote loss of cortical F-actin homogeneity concurrent with persistent blebbing. (A) Schematic representation of the experimental setup. (Left) A cell arrested in mitosis by 2 μM STC. (Right) The same cell confined with a set force \( F_{\text{set}} \). h, cantilever height. (B) Distribution of F-actin and myosin II in HeLa cells expressing Lifeact-mCherry (red) and MYH9-GFP (green). Mitotic cells were confined with forces of 5, 50, 100, 150, 200, or 250 nN. (C) Stable maximum cell height and pressure (i) and cross-sectional bleb area, cell-body volume, and cell-body surface area (ii) (mean ± SD) vs. confinement forces with \( n = 10 \) cells for each force. The purple line represents constant pressure and volume behavior based on the cortical shell-liquid core model (Fig. S4). Note that 5-nN pressure data are not included due to excessive behavior and geometry (Fig. 2B). First, we found that cell-surface area did not expand from 50 to 100 nN, indicating that a buildup of cortical stresses at the cell surface was linked to increased elevated intracellular pressure at this force (21). Second, we observed a significant surge in plasma membrane blebbing above 100 nN, as demonstrated by quantification of the number and cross-sectional area of blebs (Fig. 2B and C and Fig. S7). Most of these blebs were persistent, exhibiting dynamic movement without fully retracting. Interestingly, cells exhibiting greater than 100-μm² cross-sectional bleb areas neither sustained intracellular pressures above 0.4 kPa nor efficiently progressed through mitosis (Fig. S7 M and N). Taken together, our results reveal a distinct pattern of changes in intracellular pressure and persistent plasma membrane blebbing that correlates with potentiation of cell-height decline (Fig. 2 and Fig. S7).

The observed increase in blebbing could be triggered by force-induced defects at the cell cortex. Bleb formation can result from local detachment of the membrane–cortex linkage or local rupture of the cortical actin meshwork (25). To investigate this possibility, we performed force confinement experiments on HeLa cells that stably express human non-muscle myosin heavy chain 9 tagged with green fluorescent protein (MYH9-GFP) and a 17-amino-acid peptide binding filamentous actin (F-actin) tagged with mCherry (Lifeact-mCherry). These fluorescent markers normally reveal a uniform enrichment of F-actin and myosin II at the mitotic cortex (3, 26). Cells were chemically arrested in mitosis with STC and then subjected to confinement forces ranging from 5 to 250 nN (Fig. 3 D–C and Fig. S8) (3). We found that increasing forces promote disorganization of cortical F-actin, in regard to both loss of homogeneous distribution and dynamic blebbing. Here, confined cells exhibited a potentiation in cell-height decrease proportional to blebbing intensity, albeit from 200 to 250 nN. This difference in robustness could be due to the use of prerounded STC-arrested cells or because of clonal variation of the cell line (26). To further probe the role of F-actin distribution in resisting confinement forces, we perturbed it by chemical or genetic means using the actin monomer sequesterer latrunculin A or siRNA targeting diaphanous-related formin 1 (DIAPH1) (3) (Fig. 3 D and E and Fig. S8). At 50 nN confinement force, dose–response latrunculin A treatments and RNAi confirmed a correlation between loss of F-actin homogeneity, persistent blebbing, dissipation of intracellular pressure, and resistance of target cells to deformation.

Overall, our results suggest the following model to explain mechanical robustness of mitotic cells against confinement forces (Fig. 4). As applied force expands cell cortex-surface area, elastic resistance causes increased cortical stress and a subsequent rise in intracellular pressure, like a balloon, which follows Laplace’s law (21). At a critical yield point, these effects lead to concurrent persistent blebbing and a loss of cortical F-actin homogeneity, followed by dissipation of intracellular pressure and a potentiation of cell-height decrease. By analogy to the biomechanical failure of pressurized tissues, this yield mechanism is akin to a herniation scenario. Finally, below a critical cell height, distortion of cell shape impinges on mitotic spindle function, thus perturbing mitotic progression.

**Fig. 3.** Threshold confinement forces promote loss of cortical F-actin homogeneity concurrent with persistent blebbing. (A) Schematic representation of the experimental setup. (Left) A cell arrested in mitosis by 2 μM STC. (Right) The same cell confined with a set force \( F_{\text{set}} \). h, cantilever height. (B) Distribution of F-actin and myosin II in HeLa cells expressing Lifeact-mCherry (red) and MYH9-GFP (green). Mitotic cells were confined with forces of 5, 50, 100, 150, 200, or 250 nN. (C) Stable maximum cell height and pressure (i) and cross-sectional bleb area, cell-body volume, and cell-body surface area (ii) (mean ± SD) vs. confinement forces with \( n = 10 \) cells for each force. The purple line represents constant pressure and volume behavior based on the cortical shell-liquid core model (Fig. S4). Note that 5-nN pressure data are not included due to excessive error in determining cell–cantilever contact area at small deformations. (D) Distribution of F-actin and myosin II in HeLa cells expressing Lifeact-mCherry (red) and MYH9-GFP (green). Mitotic cells were confined with forces of 50 nN after perturbation with RNAi (siRNA-mediated knockdown of DIAPH1; light gray) or increasing concentrations of latrunculin A (LatA) (orange). UT, untreated control cells. (E) Stable height (i), cross-sectional bleb area (ii), and pressure (iii) of conditions from D. Each diamond represents one cell. Red bars, mean. (Scale bars, 20 μm.) Statistical significance was determined using the Mann–Whitney test comparing each condition with 5 nN (D) or UT (E) data. ns, not significant (\( P > 0.05 \)); **, ***, ***, \( P \leq 0.01 \); ***P \leq 0.001.
and myosin II in the cell cortex. Increasing confinement forces provoked a loss of cortical F-actin homogeneity necessary to maintain maximum intracellular pressure and resistance to confinement (Fig. 3). Based on these observations, we suggest a model where stretching of the cell surface initially increases actomyosin-dependent surface tension and intracellular pressure. Then, upon reaching a yield point, defects in F-actin uniformity and persistent blebbing conspire to potentially a nonlinear drop in cell height, by analogy to a herniation scenario (Fig. 4). Interestingly, it has been observed elsewhere that both cortical tension and confinement can promote blebbing (1, 30–32). Recent experiments on confined cells exhibiting spontaneous bleb-based migration indicate that local fluctuations in cortical contractility are amplifies by physical confinement, thereby increasing the probability of symmetry breaking and polarization in the cortex (31, 32). We hypothesize that two factors conspire to promote loss of cortical F-actin homogeneity at critical forces: (i) Cdk1-dependent up-regulation of myosin II contractility characteristic of mitosis (3), and (ii) thinning of limited cortical components as cell-surface area is dilated by mechanical confinement. We further speculate that cortical myosin II activity may be akin to a double-edged sword. On one hand, it is necessary to generate the tension for intracellular pressure that constrains the mitotic cells with the ability to push against confinement (1, 3); on the other hand, it increases the probability of defect formation that can destabilize F-actin cortex homogeneity (30).

We anticipate that further investigations of the mechanical robustness of mitosis across diverse cell types will offer unique insight into the influence of the mechanical environment on cell proliferation. Studies of multicellular spheroids indicate that the mechanical pressure of the tissue environment can impair cell proliferation (33, 34). Moreover, it has been predicted that cells in stiffer or more densely packed tissue environments, such as of an overgrown tumor, would require a more robust cell cortex and mitotic rounding response (9, 35). Indeed, although strategies for chemical perturbation of cell division have been pursued for decades (36), the advent of mechanical approaches opens the door to studies of physical perturbation. Our approach expands the applicability of in vitro cell mechanics studies by providing a platform to understand how confinement forces can affect mitosis (8). Further studies could lead to novel concepts, for example, perturbing cell division with a combination of cortex-weakening agents and external force (37). Finally and more broadly, precise methods to probe cell response to mechanical forces should advance our understanding of cell proliferation, motility, mechanosensitivity, cell-fate determination, and signaling dynamics in confined conditions more indicative of the in vivo microenvironment.

Materials and Methods

Processing of FIB-Sculpted Cantilevers. Noncoated N-type silicon cantilevers (ACL-TL-10; AppNano) with length, width, and thickness of 225, 60, and 7.8 μm, respectively, were remodeled (Fig. S1A) using FIB-SEM technology (Helios NanoLab 650; FEI) to compensate for the 10° tilt angle intrinsic to the AFM setup. To this end, the cantilever chip was first mounted vertically on the sample holder and brought into the FIB-SEM chamber via the air-lock system. The sample was then tilted by 52° such that the gallium ion beam was perpendicular to the side of the mounted cantilever (Fig. S2A). The front end of the cantilever was milled to an angle of 10° over its whole width (60 μm) using 30-kV ion beam acceleration voltage and 21-nA beam current. Subsequently, the cantilever beam thickness was reduced to ~2–3 μm between the base of the cantilever and the rear end of the newly created 10° plane using 30-kV ion beam acceleration voltage and 47-nA beam current, leaving a wedge-shaped structure at the end of a thin beam (Fig. S1B). Length, width, and thickness of the modified cantilevers were assessed using SEM and optical imaging (Fig. S2). According to experimental needs, cantilever thickness could be tuned ranging from 1 to 3 μm, resulting in spring constants from 0.1 to 2.7 N·m⁻¹ (Fig. S2E).

Characterization of FIB-Sculpted Cantilevers. AFM reverse imaging (38) in force–volume mode was performed to assess the quality of the modified
cantilevers. To this end, cantilevers were mounted on an atomic force microscope (NanoWizard II; JPK Instruments) and calibrated using point contact with the spring constant determined by both methods were between 1% and 19% (Fig. 59), which lies within the accuracy of the thermal noise method (35, 42). Therefore, we consider the thermal noise method to be a suitable calibration approach. The FIB-sculpted cantilevers could typically be used repeatedly, because, similar to earlier work (21), the confined mitotic cells did not stick to the cantilever but rather remained adherent to the glass surface after the experiment (Fig. 510). Thus, a confinement of a set of cells could be carried out sequentially in the same dish without intermediate washing steps, and one FIB-sculpted cantilever could be used for many consecutive experiments. If needed in future work, the cantilevers could be cleaned using detergents, bleach, or sulfuric acid. For experiments where cell adhesion to the FIB-sculpted cantilevers could be problematic, we suggest chemical passivation of the cantilever.

Cell Culture. HeLa Kyoto cells expressing mouse tubulin-GFP and human H2B-mCherry or Lifeact-mCherry and human MYH9-GFP were seeded on glass-bottom Petri dishes (FluoroDish; WPI) in culture medium: DMEM (high-glucose, GlutaMAX supplement, pyruvate; Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS (Life Technologies), 100 μg/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and selection antibiotics 0.5 mg mL−1 genetin and 0.5 μg mL−1 puromycin (Life Technologies), and cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO2 until further use (24–48 h). Before AFM experiments, medium was changed to microscopy medium: DMEM (high-glucose, pyruvate; Life Technologies) with 4 mM NaHCO3 (Sigma-Aldrich) buffered with 20 mM Hepes (AppliChem) at pH 7.4 supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 100 μg/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. For experiments on chemically arrested mitotic cells, 2 μM (+)-5-trityl-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the microscopy medium 2 h before measurements.
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