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Studies of neural oscillations in the beta band (13–30 Hz) have demonstrated modulations in beta-band power associated with sensory and motor events on time scales of 1 s or more, and have shown that these are exaggerated in Parkinson’s disease. However, even early reports of beta activity noted extremely fleeting episodes of beta-band oscillation lasting <150 ms. Because the interpretation of possible functions for beta-band oscillations depends strongly on the time scale over which they occur, and because of these oscillations’ potential importance in Parkinson’s disease and related disorders, we analyzed in detail the distributions of duration and power for beta-band activity in a large dataset recorded in the striatum and motor-premotor cortex of macaque monkeys performing reaching tasks. Both regions exhibited typical beta-band suppression during movement and postmovement rebounds of up to 3 s as viewed in data averaged across trials, but single-trial analysis showed that most beta oscillations occurred in brief bursts, commonly 90–115 ms long. In the motor cortex, the burst probabilities peaked following the last movement, but in the striatum, the burst probabilities peaked at task end, after reward, and continued through the postperformance period. Thus, what appear to be extended periods of postperformance beta-band synchronization reflect primarily the modulated densities of short bursts of synchrony occurring in region-specific and task-time-specific patterns. We suggest that these short-time-scale events likely underlie the functions of most beta-band activity, so that prolongation of these beta episodes, as observed in Parkinson’s disease, could produce deleterious network-level signaling.
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Oscillations of brain activity in the beta band (13–30 Hz) have been implicated in sensorimotor control and integration (1–5) and are pathologically synchronized and exaggerated in Parkinson’s disease (6–11). Although reports have published examples of very brief (<150 ms) bursts of beta-band oscillation (12–15), the analysis of beta-band activity has focused primarily on data averaged over trials, which show variations in average beta-band power occurring on a time scale of seconds.

To address the apparent discrepancy in time scales between the single-trial results and the trial-averaged results, we analyzed the relationship of brief beta bursts as viewed at a single-trial level to the substantially slower variations in trial-averaged power that are conventionally referred to as periods of “synchronization” or “desynchronization” of beta-band activity. We examined beta-band activity recorded in two regions of prime clinical interest, the motor-premotor regions of the neocortex and the striatum, in macaque monkeys performing well-learned movement sequences. Our findings suggest that these regions exhibit different peak times of synchronization of beta bursting during the classic postmovement period, comprising differentially timed alignments of beta bursts within a desynchronized background of beta activity. Such brief, one to several cycle alignments of beta oscillation are suitable for realignment of circuit activity according to contextual demands. We suggest that the prolongation of beta bursts known to occur in Parkinson’s disease could blunt or abolish such flexibility in the neural control of behavior.

Results

We recorded local field potentials (LFPs) from multiple microelectrodes placed in the primary motor and premotor cortex and the caudate nucleus (CN) and putamen of two female monkeys (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1). Visual cues instructed the monkey to perform either a single joystick movement to a peripheral target and back to the center position (1M1T; Fig. I4), or a series of three such movements (3M3T; Fig. I1C). Each movement had to be preceded by holding the joystick in the center for a short (0.6–1.2 s) or long (1.4–2.0 s) period that the monkey had to estimate by itself.

As viewed in grand average spectral power over all electrodes in both monkeys (Fig. 1 D and E), beta power was clearly task-modulated. In each region, beta power fell sharply when the empty cues were presented to signal that a trial could be initiated, and it remained low in both regions throughout the execution of the task. Beta power reached its highest level in the motor-premotor cortex abruptly after cessation of the last movement. In the striatum, beta power also rose postmovement, but peak power was not reached until later in the intertrial interval (ITI), after reward delivery. Similar results were obtained using the method of local average referencing (14) in two sessions (Fig. S2), indicating that volume conduction contributed little to the results. Differences between subregions of the striatum were less marked than the
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Overview of tasks and power spectra. (A and C) Task timelines. (B) Monkey’s position during the task. (D and E) Average LFP spectograms calculated over all correct trials of 1M1T (D) and 3M3T (E) tasks across two monkeys for each region, using a 1-s moving window. Power is shown in decibels relative to a 1/f$^1$ curve fitted to each channel. White vertical lines mark the boundaries between panels aligned to different task events. Colored vertical lines mark task events: empty targets on (E, black); colored cue targets on (C, black); first movement (1, red); second movement (2, green); third movement (3, blue); reward delivery (R, purple); ends of movements or reward delivery (unlabeled, black).

Fig. 1. Overview of tasks and power spectra. (A and C) Task timelines. (B) Monkey’s position during the task. (D and E) Average LFP spectograms calculated over all correct trials of 1M1T (D) and 3M3T (E) tasks across two monkeys for each region, using a 1-s moving window. Power is shown in decibels relative to a 1/f$^1$ curve fitted to each channel. White vertical lines mark the boundaries between panels aligned to different task events. Colored vertical lines mark task events: empty targets on (E, black); colored cue targets on (C, black); first movement (1, red); second movement (2, green); third movement (3, blue); reward delivery (R, purple); ends of movements or reward delivery (unlabeled, black).

difference between the striatum and the motor cortex, although local-average-referenced analyses indicated that the moderate increase in striatal beta power at movement cessation is due largely to activity in the putamen, where beta power after movement cessation may be nearly equal to that during the ITI (Figs. S3 and S4).

Changes in Beta-Band Power in Single Trials Occur Much Faster Than Those Detectable in Averages over Trials. There was a striking contrast between the time courses of beta power fluctuations visible in trial-averaged and single-trial analyses (Fig. 2). Whether averaged with a 1-s analysis window that produced strong temporal smoothing (Fig. 2 A and D) or plotted with minimal smoothing (Fig. 2 B and E), the time courses of increased beta span seconds. In the single trial traces (Fig. 2 C and F), instead of such long periods of increased beta power, there were many short-duration episodes of increased power that become denser during the periods that appeared as synchronized beta activity in the trial-averaged plots. The lack of consistency from trial to trial cannot be accounted for by variations in task demands, because all of the trials shown had the same spatial and temporal patterns of visuomotor requirements. Such inconsistency was typical of the entire dataset, indicating that the trial-averaged analyses blurred brief, often nonsynchronous episodes of beta-band activity. Thus, synchronized beta activity actually comprised many bursts, only some of which were synchronized over successive trials.

Burst Marking and Parameters. To determine whether the trial-to-trial variability was different from that produced by random signals, we constructed randomized surrogate signals that were spectrally matched to each channel of actual data for 3M3T blocks (SI Materials and Methods). The resulting signals had a temporal structure equivalent to random noise (compare Fig. 2 and Fig. S6). We then calculated the coefficient of variation (CV) of beta power across the entire 3M3T block for both the original signals and the randomized signals (Fig. 3). The CVs for randomized signals fell almost entirely into just one bin; those for the actual data were all higher than any value obtained from any randomized channel, and covered a range of values (Table 1). A paired-sample $t$ test showed the difference to be significant ($P < 5 	imes 10^{-69}$).

Beta Bursts Come in All Shapes and Sizes. We marked bursts in each channel whenever power in the beta band met or exceeded a threshold of 3 times the median power for that channel (Fig. 4). All surrounding samples for which power was at least 1.5 times the median were considered part of the burst. We recorded the duration, maximum power, and mean power of each identified burst. Because maximum power and mean power were highly correlated (Pearson’s rho = 0.95), we pursued analyses of mean power and duration only. As shown in Fig. 5, although peaks of the distributions of duration and power were located in the same bin for the randomized and actual data (90–113 ms duration, 2.4–2.55 times the median power; see also “Burst Marking and Burst Parameter Distributions” in SI Materials and Methods), the tails of the distributions were radically different. The burst parameters clustered much more tightly around the peak in the randomized data than in the actual data. We verified that it was reasonable to aggregate bursts across monkeys, regions, tasks, and task periods by plotting each combination separately (Fig. 5C and Fig. S7). The contour lines indicate that the apparent difference in tail size was due mainly to the different sizes of the datasets.

The Proportions of High Values of Burst Power and Duration Depend on Task Time. During the cue period, the distributions of burst parameters in real and randomized data appeared similar (Fig. 6 A and C and Figs. S8 and S9), but extremely high values were more common in the real data (Fig. 6 B and D). Such extreme values were particularly common during the postmovement and ITI periods (Fig. 6 E–L), so that the peaks of the real distributions fell below the peaks of the randomized distributions. Strikingly, the extreme values for motor cortex and striatum effectively traded places between the postmovement period and the ITI (Fig. S8); the largest tails in the motor cortex occurred postmovement, whereas in the striatum they were maximum during the ITI.
Modulations of Trial-Averaged Beta Power Primarily Reflect Modulations of Burst Density. The motor cortex and the striatum exhibited the same reversal between postmovement and ITI in burst density that they showed for burst power and burst duration (Fig. 7); cortical burst density was higher during postmovement, and striatal burst density was higher during ITI. Most of the variations in power were expressed by variations in burst density. The one notable exception was the sharp peak in motor cortex power after termination of the final movement, which was absent or much reduced in the burst density traces. To account for this feature of the trial-averaged power, a change in other burst parameters must be invoked, for example, a brief increase in burst power or a decrease in variability of timing of the first burst after movement termination.

Discussion

Our findings demonstrate that beta-band events in LFPs occur predominantly in brief bursts both in the motor-premotor cortex and in the striatum of monkeys performing self-timed movement tasks, and show that the probability of bursting in both regions is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual data</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.0395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized data</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.000297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
highlighted after completion of the ongoing task. The brevity of many of the beta bursts is striking, comprising only one to several cycles of beta. Even during task periods in which beta bursts were most likely to occur, the chance of seeing a burst never exceeded 55% (Fig. 7 and Table S1). Thus, beta synchronization and desynchronization are quantum-mechanics-like probabilistic states, reflecting the probability of much shorter bursts of oscillation rather than the strength of sustained oscillations. The task-selective modulation of these probabilistic states suggests a functional import for the oscillations that must be realized during their short lifetimes.

Notably, we found that the timing of the episodes of posttask beta bursting were largely different in the two regions. In the motor-premotor cortex, peak beta-burst probabilities occurred in the immediate postmovement period, corresponding to the time classically referred to as postmovement resynchronization. In the striatum, although there were some sites, especially in the putamen, at which beta bursts were prominent in the postmovement period, for the most part the maximum beta bursting occurred later, during the ITI that followed reward and task end. Thus, postperformance beta oscillations in the motor cortical region appear to be related to movement completion, as indicated in classic studies, but striatal beta oscillations on average mark task end twice as strongly as movement completion. This late striatal postperformance beta-band activity accords with the occurrence of brief spike activity peaks recorded in the striatum and prefrontal cortex of both monkeys and rodents after task completion (16–20).

Our work emphasizes the fast dynamics of beta activity. Early studies in humans and nonhuman primates prominently featured such brief bursts of beta oscillations (12–14), but the majority of later studies have concentrated on trial-averaged views of beta modulation, obscuring the very fast dynamics visible in individual trials. The terms “event-related synchronization,” “movement-related desynchronization,” and “resynchronization” tend to foster the misapprehension that beta oscillations are persistently present or suppressed, when in fact beta power in between bursts in any given trial is low during much of the synchronization, and beta power during bursts can still be relatively high during desynchronization. A recent study that recognized the importance of a fast time scale is that of Cagnan et al. (21), who examined the correlation between beta amplitude and the angle of beta-band phase-locking between the human subthalamic nucleus and globus pallidus during phase-locking events as brief as 50 ms. Similarly brief bursts of gamma oscillation have been found in rodent studies of phase-amplitude coupling (22–24) and movement initiation (25).

Here we focused on the postperformance period, following up on studies of the rodent basal ganglia in which accentuated post-performance beta bursting was documented (15, 26). Numerous studies in nonhuman primates have demonstrated significant task-related effects in the low beta band (4, 5, 27–29), but except for the elegant studies of Tan and colleagues (30, 31), oscillation during the postmovement period has not been analyzed in detail. Brief episodes of heightened spike activity at task end have been documented in both nonhuman primates and rodents (16–20, 32). It has been suggested that the end-signaling could be part of a mechanism to tag completion of successful sequences of behavior, providing action boundaries to facilitate the expression of such action sequences (16, 17). In the ventromedial striatum, such task-end spike and beta-burst activities were found to develop with behavioral learning (26), further suggesting that the LFP beta-burst activity could reflect local neuronal activity patterns and plasticity.

Maintenance of the status quo (33), error evaluation and motor adaptation (30, 31), and task difficulty (12, 13) are among the interpretations given to bouts of heightened beta-band activity in normal subjects. Here we suggest that beta bursts could have different functions in different regions of the brain. For the motor cortex and striatum of monkeys, the critical context that defined the end of the task differed in a way that seemed to match the functional specializations of the two regions: the motor cortical areas for the execution of the movements, and the striatum for modulation of task performance based on cost-benefit analysis through reinforcement-based learning. By extension, in
many regions of the central nervous system, a substantial fraction of the beta bursts that follow task completion could be related to modifying (in error trials) or to maintaining (in correct trials) the strengths of the connections involved in task performance. The details of timing and selectivity for error or correct performance could vary from region to region.

Potential Implications for Beta Activity in Parkinson’s Disease. Beta-band oscillations are best known as antimovement neural signals, exaggerated in patients with Parkinson’s disease, and are the targets of therapeutic interventions to minimize their power. We note several features of this pathological beta rhythm compared with characteristics of healthy beta rhythm that we describe here. First, we found that on a trial-by-trial basis, the timing of bursts of beta power was highly variable in normal subjects repetitively performing a given task. In comparison, in Parkinson’s patients off medication, Little et al. (34) reported that patients with the most severe motor symptoms had extremely low variation in power in the beta band, in some cases as low as those for our randomized signals. Second, we found that beta bursts were mainly brief, but Parkinson’s patients off medication have been shown to exhibit an abnormally large proportion of “long” episodes (>100 ms) of beta-band phase-locking at phases that promote higher beta power (21). Abnormal prolongation of beta oscillation could be due in part to an abnormal thalamocortico-basal ganglia network resonance of the kind found by Moran et al. (35) in 6-hydroxydopamine model parkinsonian rats. In contrast, the durations of the beta bursts that we describe accord with the durations of beta oscillations evoked in healthy human subjects by the experimental delivery of a single transcranial magnetic stimulation pulse to parietal cortex, circa one or two cycles of oscillation occurring over widespread cortical regions (36).

Based on these findings, we suggest that the brevity of beta bursts could be critical to normal beta-band function, and that

![Fig. 6. Histograms showing the distributions of duration and power of bursts with peaks detected during the cue period (A–D), postmovement period (E–H), and ITI (I–L). Data were aggregated across both tasks and both monkeys for the motor cortex (blue) and striatum (green). Plots are paired, with the peaks of the distributions on a fine scale on the left and the logarithms of the distributions on a coarse scale on the right. The first two columns show durations, and the last two show power averaged over the whole burst. Results aggregated across all task periods are also shown for the phase-randomized counterparts of the signals from motor cortex (red) and striatum (gold).](image)

![Fig. 7. Burst density (A) and beta-band power (13–30 Hz; B) averaged over trials for two timing patterns in each task. Breaks in traces mark the boundaries between panels that were aligned to different task events. Event markers are colored as in Fig. 1. Shading shows ±2 SEM.](image)
the pathological prolongation of beta bursts could, in particular, be critical to the parkinsonian state. If postperformance beta bursts represent brief messages regarding the retrospective evaluation of task performance, and potentially affecting the next task performance, then a pathologically prolonged postperformance beta episode could lead to repetition of the same message many times, with a range of possible consequences. The exaggerated beta-band oscillation might simply crowd out the transmission of other messages. Because the durations of normal beta bursts, on the order of 50–150 ms, comport with time windows relevant to neurolasticity and other features of neurotransmission, the bursts also could lead neural circuits to fail into fixed states, resulting in freezing or perseveration, states that are, like beta activity, decreased by dopamine replacement therapy (37). Thus, the indiscriminately high postperformance beta-band activity in Parkinson’s patients could limit future choices for action by directly interfering with the normal adaptive mechanisms facilitated by post-performance beta bursts.

Materials and Methods

All methods were approved by the Committee on Animal Care at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Recordings were made in two female rhesus macaque monkeys (designated HH and JB) fitted with a titanium head post and a Delrin recording chamber in which electrodes were implanted for chronic recording. Recording sites were analyzed postmortem by histological analysis, as described in detail by Feingold et al. (38). The monkeys, on a food- or water-restricted diet, were trained to manipulate a joystick with the right hand in a series of center-out-center movements, the details of which were specified in each trial by an array of visual cues. On correct completion of the instructed movements, the monkeys received liquefied food reward. Trials of a particular task type were grouped together in continuous blocks, with a short block of 1M1T at the beginning, followed by a long block of 3M3T, and then another short block of 1M1T. Monkeys were overtrained extensively on all variations of the task before recording began. We analyzed six sessions from monkey HH and seven sessions from monkey JB.

Further details are available in SI Materials and Methods and Table S2.
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