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Abstract

Targeted genome editing technologies have enabled a broad range of research and medical applications. The Cas9 nuclease from the microbial CRISPR-Cas system is targeted to specific genomic loci by a 20-nt guide sequence, which can tolerate certain mismatches to the DNA target and thereby promote undesired off-target mutagenesis. Here, we describe an approach that combines a Cas9 nickase mutant with pairs of guide RNAs to introduce targeted double-strand breaks. Given that individual nicks in the genome are repaired with high fidelity, simultaneous nicking via appropriately offset guide RNAs effectively extends the number of specifically recognized bases in the target site. We demonstrate that paired nicking can be used to reduce off-target activity by 50–1,000 fold in cell lines and facilitate gene knockout in mouse zygotes without sacrificing on-target cleavage efficiency. This versatile strategy thus enables a wide variety of genome editing applications with higher levels of specificity.

Introduction

The ability to perturb the genome in a precise and targeted fashion is crucial for understanding genetic contributions to biological function. Genome engineering of cell lines or animal models has traditionally been accomplished through random mutagenesis or low-efficiency gene targeting. To facilitate genome editing, programmable sequence-specific DNA nuclease technologies have enabled targeted modification of endogenous genomic
sequences with high efficiency, particularly in species that have proven genetically intractable (Carlson et al., 2012; Geurts et al., 2009; Takasu et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2012). The RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases from the microbial CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat)-Cas systems are robust and versatile tools for stimulating targeted double-stranded DNA breaks (DSBs) in eukaryotic cells (Chang et al., 2013; Cho et al., 2013; Cong et al., 2013; Deltcheva et al., 2011; Deveau et al., 2010; Friedland et al., 2013; Grat et al., 2013; Horvath and Barrangou, 2010; Jinek et al., 2013; Mali et al., 2013b; Wang et al., 2013), where the resulting cellular repair mechanisms (Hsu and Zhang, 2012; Perez et al., 2008; Urnov et al., 2010) – non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology-directed repair (HDR) pathways – can be exploited to induce error-prone or defined alterations.

The Cas9 nuclease from *Streptococcus pyogenes* can be directed by a chimeric single guide RNA (sgRNA) (Jinek et al., 2012) to any genomic locus followed by a 5′-NGG protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM). A 20-nt guide sequence within the sgRNA directs Cas9 to the genomic target via Watson-Crick base pairing (Deltcheva et al., 2011; Deveau et al., 2010; Gasiunas et al., 2012; Jinek et al., 2012) and can be easily engineered to target a desired genomic locus. Recent studies of Cas9 specificity have demonstrated that although each base within the 20-nt guide sequence contributes to overall specificity, multiple mismatches between the guide RNA and its complementary target DNA sequence can be tolerated depending on the quantity, position, and base identity of mismatches (Cong et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2013), leading to potential off-target DSBs and indel formation. These unwanted mutations can potentially limit the utility of Cas9 for genome editing applications that require high levels of precision, such as generation of isogenic cell lines (Soldner et al., 2011) for testing causal genetic variations or *in vivo* and *ex vivo* genome editing-based therapies.

To improve the specificity of Cas9-mediated genome editing, we developed a novel strategy that combines the D10A mutant nickase (Cong et al., 2013; Gasiunas et al., 2012; Jinek et al., 2012) version of Cas9 (Cas9n) with a pair of offset sgRNAs complementary to opposite strands of the target site. While nicking of both DNA strands by a pair of Cas9 nickases leads to site-specific DSBs and NHEJ, individual nicks are predominantly repaired by the high-fidelity base excision repair pathway (BER) (Dianov and Hubscher, 2013). In a manner analogous to dimeric zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) (Miller et al., 2007; Porteus and Baltimore, 2003; Sander et al., 2011; Wood et al., 2011) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) (Boch et al., 2009; Christian et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2011; Moscou and Bogdanove, 2009; Reyon et al., 2012; Sanjana et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011), where DNA cleavage relies upon the synergistic interaction of two independent specificity-encoding DNA-binding modules directing FokI nuclease monomers, this strategy minimizes off-target mutagenesis by each individual Cas9n-sgRNA complex while maintaining on-target modification rates similar to those of wild type Cas9.
Results

Extension of guide sequence does not improve Cas9 targeting specificity

Cas9 targeting is facilitated by base-pairing between the 20-nt guide sequence within the sgRNA and the target DNA (Deltcheva et al., 2011; Deveau et al., 2010; Gasiunas et al., 2012; Jinek et al., 2012). We reasoned that cleavage specificity might be improved by increasing the length of base-pairing between the guide RNA and its target locus. To test this, we generated U6-driven expression cassettes (Hsu et al., 2013) to express three sgRNAs with 20-nt (sgRNA 1) or 30-nt guide sequences (sgRNAs 2 and 3) targeting a locus within the human EMX1 gene (Figure 1A).

We and others have previously shown that while single-base mismatches between the PAM-distal region of the guide sequence and target DNA are well tolerated by Cas9, multiple mismatches in this region can significantly affect on-target activity (Fu et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2013; Mali et al., 2013a; Pattanayak et al., 2013). To determine whether additional PAM-distal bases (21–30) could influence overall targeting specificity, we designed sgRNAs 2 and 3 to contain 10 additional bases consisting of either 9 perfectly matched or 8 mismatched bases (bases 21–28). Surprisingly, we observed that these modified sgRNAs mediated similar levels of modification at the target locus in HEK 293FT cells regardless of whether the additional bases were complementary to the genomic target (Figure 1B). Subsequent Northern blots revealed that the majority of both sgRNA 2 and 3 were processed to the same length as sgRNA 1, which contains the same 20-nt guide sequence without additional bases (Figure 1C).

Cas9 nickase generates efficient NHEJ with paired, offset guide RNAs

Given that extension of the guide sequence failed to improve Cas9 targeting specificity, we sought an alternative strategy for increasing the overall base-pairing length between the guide sequence and its DNA target. Cas9 enzymes contain two conserved nuclease domains, HNH and RuvC, which cleave the DNA strand complementary and non-complementary to the guide RNA, respectively. Mutations of the catalytic residues (D10A in RuvC and H840A in HNH) convert Cas9 into DNA nickases (Cong et al., 2013; Gasiunas et al., 2012; Jinek et al., 2012). As single-strand nicks are preferentially repaired by the high-fidelity BER pathway (Dianov and Hubscher, 2013), we reasoned that two Cas9 nicking enzymes directed by a pair of sgRNAs targeting opposite strands of a target locus could mediate DSBs while minimizing off-target activity (Figure 2A).

A number of factors may affect cooperative nicking leading to indel formation, including steric hindrance between two adjacent Cas9 molecules or Cas9-sgRNA complexes, overhang type, and sequence context, some of which may be characterized by testing multiple sgRNA pairs with distinct target sequences and offsets. To systematically assess how sgRNA offsets might affect subsequent repair and generation of indels, we first designed sets of sgRNA pairs targeted against the human EMX1 genomic locus separated by a range of offset distances from approximately -200 to 200 bp to create both 5′- and 3′- overhang products (Table S1). We then assessed the ability of each sgRNA pair, with the D10A Cas9 mutant (referred to as Cas9n; H840A Cas9 mutant is referred to as...
Cas9H840A), to generate indels in human HEK 293FT cells. Robust NHEJ (up to 40%) was observed for sgRNA pairs with offsets from -4 to 20 bp, with modest indels forming in pairs offset by up to 100-bp (Figure 3B, left panel). We subsequently recapitulated these findings by testing similarly offset sgRNA pairs in two other genomic loci, *DYRK1A* and *GRIN2B* (Figure 2B, right panels). Notably, across all three loci examined, only sgRNA pairs creating 5’ overhangs with less than 8bp overlap (offset greater than -8 bp) between the guide sequences were able to mediate detectable indel formation (Figure 2C).

Importantly, each guide used in these assays is able to efficiently induce indels when paired with wildtype Cas9 (Table S1), indicating that the relative positions of the guide pairs are the most important parameters in predicting double nicking activity. Since Cas9n and Cas9H840A nick opposite strands of DNA, substitution of Cas9n with Cas9H840A with a given sgRNA pair should result in the inversion of the overhang type. For example, a pair of sgRNA that will generate a 5’ overhang with Cas9n should in principle generate the corresponding 3’ overhang instead. Therefore, sgRNA pairs that lead to the generation of a 3’ overhang with Cas9n might be used with Cas9H840A to generate a 5’ overhang. We therefore tested Cas9H840A with a broad set of sgRNA pairs but were unable to observe indel formation.

Double nicking mediates highly specific genome editing

Having established that double nicking (DN) mediates high efficiency NHEJ at levels comparable to those induced by wildtype Cas9, we next studied whether DN has improved specificity over wildtype Cas9 by measuring their off-target activities. We co-delivered Cas9n with sgRNAs 1 and 9, spaced by a 23-bp offset, to target the human *EMX1* locus in HEK 293FT cells (Figure 3A). This DN configuration generated on-target indel levels similar to those generated by the wildtype Cas9 paired with each sgRNA alone (Figure 3B, left panel). Strikingly, DN did not generate detectable modification at the sgRNA 1 off-target site OT-4 by SURVEYOR assay (Figure 3B, right panel), suggesting that DN can potentially reduce the likelihood of off-target modifications.

Using deep sequencing to assess modification at 5 different sgRNA 1 off-target loci (Figure 3A), we observed significant mutagenesis at all sites with wild type Cas9 + sgRNA 1 (Figure 3C). In contrast, cleavage by Cas9n at 5 off-target sites tested was barely detectable above background sequencing error. Using the ratio of on- to off-target modification levels as a metric of specificity, we found that Cas9n with a pair of sgRNAs was able to achieve over 100-fold greater specificity relative to wild type Cas9 with one of the sgRNAs (Figure 3D). We conducted additional off-target analysis by deep sequencing for two sgRNA pairs (offsets of 16 and 20 bp) targeting the *VEGFA* locus, with similar results (Figure 3E). DN at these off-target loci was able to achieve 200 to over 1500-fold greater specificity than the wild-type Cas9 (Figure 3F, Table S1). Taken together, these results demonstrate that Cas9-mediated double nicking minimizes off-target mutagenesis and is suitable for genome editing with increased specificity.

*Cell. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 12.*
Double nicking facilitates high-efficiency homology directed repair, NHEJ-mediated DNA insertion, and genomic microdeletions

DSBs can stimulate homology directed repair (HDR) to enable highly precise editing of genomic target sites. To evaluate DN-induced HDR, we targeted the human EMX1 locus with pairs of sgRNAs offset by -3 and +18 bp (generating 31- and 52-bp 5′ overhangs), respectively, and introduced a single-stranded oligonucleotide (ssODN) bearing a HindIII restriction site as the HDR repair template (Figure 4A). Each DN sgRNA pair successfully induced HDR at frequencies higher than those of single-guide Cas9n nickases and comparable to those of wild-type Cas9 (Figure 4B). Furthermore, genome editing in embryonic stem cells or patient derived induced pluripotent stem cells represents a key opportunity for generating and studying new disease paradigms as well as developing new therapeutics. Since single nick approaches to inducing HDR in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) have met with limited success (Hsu et al., 2013), we attempted DN in the HUES62 hES cell line and observed successful HDR (Figure 4C). To further characterize how offset sgRNA spacing affects the efficiency of HDR, we next tested a set of sgRNA pairs where the cleavage site of at least one sgRNA is situated near the site of recombination (overlapping with the HDR ssODN donor template arm). We observed that sgRNA pairs generating 5′ overhangs and having at least one nick occurring within 22bp of the homology arm are able to induce HDR at levels comparable to wildtype Cas9-mediated HDR. Double nicking HDR levels are significantly greater than single Cas9n-sgRNA nicking. In contrast, we did not observe HDR with sgRNA pairs that generated 3′-overhangs or double nicking of the same DNA strand (Figure 4D).

The ability to create defined overhangs could enable precise insertion of donor repair templates containing compatible overhangs via NHEJ-mediated ligation (Maresca et al., 2013). To explore this alternative strategy for transgene insertion, we targeted the EMX1 locus with Cas9n and an sgRNA pair designed to generate a 43-bp 5′-overhang near the stop codon, and supplied a double-stranded oligonucleotide (dsODN) duplex with matching overhangs (Figure 5A). The annealed dsODN insert was successfully integrated into the target with a frequency of 2.7% (1/37 screened by Sanger sequencing).

Additionally, we targeted a set of sgRNA pairs to the DYRK1A locus in HEK 293FT cells to facilitate genomic microdeletions. We generated a set of sgRNAs to mediate 0.5 kb, 1 kb, 2 kb, and 6 kb deletions (Figure 5B, Table S2: sgRNAs 32, 33, 54–61) and verified successful multiplex nicking-mediated deletion via amplicon size-based PCR screen.

Double nicking enables efficient genome modification in mouse zygotes

Recent work demonstrated that co-delivery of wildtype Cas9 mRNA along with multiple sgRNAs can mediate single-step generation of transgenic mice carrying multiple allelic modifications (Wang et al., 2013). Given the ability to achieve genome modification in vivo using several sgRNAs at once, we sought to assess the efficiency of multiple nicking by Cas9n in mouse zygotes. Peri-nuclear co-injection of wildtype Cas9 or Cas9n mRNA and sgRNAs into single-cell mouse zygotes allowed successful targeting of the Mecp2 locus (Figure 6A). To identify the optimal concentration of Cas9n mRNA and sgRNA for efficient gene targeting, we titrated Cas9 mRNA from 100 ng/μL to 3 ng/μL while maintaining the
sgRNA levels at a 1:20 Cas9:sgRNA molar ratio. All concentrations tested for Cas9 double nicking mediated modifications in at least 80% of embryos screened, similar to those levels achieved by wildtype Cas9 (Figure 6B). Taken together, these results suggest a number of applications for double nicking-based genome editing.

Discussion

Given the permanent nature of genomic modifications, specificity is of paramount importance to sensitive applications such as gene therapy or studies aimed at linking specific genetic variants with biological processes or disease phenotypes. Here, we have explored strategies to improve the targeting specificity of Cas9. Although simply extending the guide sequence length of sgRNA failed to improve targeting specificity, combining two appropriately offset sgRNAs with Cas9n effectively generated indels while minimizing single-stranded DNA break mutations via base excision repair. While significant off-target mutagenesis has been previously reported for Cas9 nucleases in human cells (Fu et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2013), the DN approach could provide a generalizable solution for rapid and accurate genome editing. The characterization of spacing parameters governing successful Cas9 double nickase-mediated gene targeting reveals an effective offset window over 100-bp long, allowing for a high degree of flexibility in the selection of sgRNA pairs. Previous computational analyses have revealed an average targeting range of every 12-bp for the Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 in the human genome based on the 5′-NGG PAM (Cong et al., 2013), which suggest that appropriate sgRNA pairs should be readily identifiable for most loci within the genome. We have additionally demonstrated DN-mediated indel frequencies comparable to wild type Cas9 modification at multiple genes and loci in both human and mouse cells, confirming the reproducibility of this strategy for high-precision genome engineering (Table S1).

The Cas9 double nicking approach is inherently similar to ZFN and TALEN-based genome editing systems, where cooperation between two hemi-nuclease domains is required to achieve double-stranded break at the target site. Systematic studies of ZFN and TALEN systems have revealed that the targeting specificity of specific ZFN and TALEN pairs can be highly dependent on the nuclease architecture (homo- or heterodimeric nucleases) or target sequence, and in some cases TALENs can be highly specific (Ding et al., 2013). Although the wildtype Cas9 system has been shown to exhibit high levels of off-target mutagenesis, the DN system is a promising solution and brings RNA-guided genome editing to similar specificity levels as ZFNs and TALENs.

Additionally, the ease and efficiency with which Cas9 can be targeted renders the DN system especially attractive. However, DNA targeting using DN will likely face similar off-target challenges as ZFNs and TALENs, where cooperative nicking at off-target sites might still occur, albeit at a significantly reduced likelihood. Given the extensive characterization of Cas9 specificity and sgRNA mutation analysis (Fu et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2013), as well as the NHEJ-mediating sgRNA offset range identified in this study, computational approaches may be used to evaluate the likely off-target sites for a given pair of sgRNAs. To facilitate sgRNA pair selection, we developed an online web tool that identifies sgRNA
combinations with optimal spacing for double nicking applications (http://www.genome-engineering.org/).

Although Cas9n has been previously shown to facilitate HDR at on-target sites (Cong et al., 2013), its efficiency is substantially lower than that of wildtype Cas9. The double nicking strategy, by comparison, maintains high on-target efficiencies while reducing off-target modifications to background levels. Nevertheless, further characterizations of DN off-target activity, particularly via whole genome sequencing and targeted deep sequencing of cells or whole organisms generated using the DN approach, are urgently needed to evaluate the utility of Cas9n DN in biotechnological or clinical applications requiring ultra-high precision genome editing. Additionally, Cas9n has been shown to induce low levels of indels at on-target sites for certain sgRNAs (Mali et al., 2013b), which may result from residual double-strand break activities and may be circumvented by further structure-function studies of Cas9 catalytic activity. As we explored these challenges, the DN strategy was shown independently to mediate HDR and NHEJ in cell lines (Mali et al., 2013a), further substantiating the potential benefit of double nicking in genome editing applications. Overall, Cas9n-mediated multiplex nicking serves as a customizable platform for highly precise and efficient targeted genome engineering and promises to broaden the range of applications in biotechnology, basic science, and medicine.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Cell culture and transfection**

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) cell line 293FT (Life Technologies) or mouse Neuro 2a (Sigma-Aldrich) cell line was maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 2mM GlutaMAX (Life Technologies), 100U/mL penicillin, and 100μg/mL streptomycin at 37°C with 5% CO2 incubation.

Cells were seeded onto 24-well plates (Corning) at a density of 120,000 cells/well, 24 hours prior to transfection. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) at 80–90% confluency following the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. A total of 500ng Cas9 plasmid and 100 ng of U6-sgRNA PCR product was transfected.

Human embryonic stem cell line HUES62 (Harvard Stem Cell Institute core) was maintained in feeder-free conditions on GelTrex (Life Technologies) in mTesR medium (Stemcell Technologies) supplemented with 100ug/ml Normocin (InvivoGen). HUES62 cells were transfected with Amaxa P3 Primary Cell 4-D Nucleofector Kit (Lonza) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

**SURVEYOR nuclease assay for genome modification**

293FT and HUES62 cells were transfected with DNA as described above. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 72 hours post-transfection prior to genomic DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted using the QuickExtract DNA Extraction Solution (Epicentre) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, pelleted cells were resuspended in QuickExtract
solution and incubated at 65°C for 15 minutes, 68°C for 15 minutes, and 98°C for 10 minutes.

The genomic region flanking the CRISPR target site for each gene was PCR amplified (primers listed in Supplementary information), and products were purified using QiaQuick Spin Column (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. 400ng total of the purified PCR products were mixed with 2μl 10X Taq DNA Polymerase PCR buffer (Enzymatics) and ultrapure water to a final volume of 20μl, and subjected to a re-annealing process to enable heteroduplex formation: 95°C for 10min, 95°C to 85°C ramping at − 2°C/s, 85°C to 25°C at − 0.25°C/s, and 25°C hold for 1 minute. After re-annealing, products were treated with SURVEYOR nuclease and SURVEYOR enhancer S (Transgenomics) following the manufacturer’s recommended protocol, and analyzed on 4–20% Novex TBE polyacrylamide gels (Life Technologies). Gels were stained with SYBR Gold DNA stain (Life Technologies) for 30 minutes and imaged with a Gel Doc gel imaging system (Bio-rad). Quantification was based on relative band intensities. Indel percentage was determined by the formula, \(100 \times (1 - (1 - (b + c)/(a + b + c))^{1/2})\), where \(a\) is the integrated intensity of the undigested PCR product, and \(b\) and \(c\) are the integrated intensities of each cleavage product.

**Northern blot analysis of tracrRNA expression in human cells**

Northern blots were performed as previously described (Cong et al., 2013). Briefly, RNAs were extracted using the mirPremier microRNA Isolation Kit (Sigma) and heated to 95°C for 5 min before loading on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gels (SequaGel, National Diagnostics). Afterwards, RNA was transferred to a pre-hybridized Hybond N+ membrane (GE Healthcare) and crosslinked with Stratagene UV Crosslinker (Stratagene). Probes were labeled with [gamma-32P] ATP (Perkin Elmer) with T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). After washing, membrane was exposed to phosphor screen for one hour and scanned with phosphorimager (Typhoon).

**Deep sequencing to assess targeting specificity**

HEK 293FT cells were plated and transfected as described above, 72 hours prior to genomic DNA extraction. The genomic region flanking the CRISPR target site for each gene was amplified by a fusion PCR method to attach the Illumina P5 adapters as well as unique sample-specific barcodes to the target. PCR products were purified using EconoSpin 96-well Filter Plates (Epoch Life Sciences) following the manufacturer’s recommended protocol.

Barcoded and purified DNA samples were quantified by Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and pooled in an equimolar ratio. Sequencing libraries were then sequenced with the Illumina MiSeq Personal Sequencer (Life Technologies).

**Sequencing data analysis, indel detection, and homologous recombination detection**

MiSeq reads were filtered by requiring an average Phred quality (Q score) of at least 30, as well as perfect sequence matches to barcodes and amplicon forward primers. Reads from on- and off-target loci were analyzed by performing Ratcliff-Obershief string comparison, as implemented in the Python difflib module, against loci sequences that included 30 nucleotides upstream and downstream of the target site (a total of 80 bp). The resulting edit
operations were parsed, and reads were counted as indels if insertion or deletion operations were found. Analyzed target regions were discarded if part of their alignment fell outside the MiSeq read itself or if more than 5 bases were uncalled.

Negative controls for each sample provided a gauge for the inclusion or exclusion of indels as putative cutting events. For quantification of homologous recombination, reads were first processed as in the indel detection workflow, and then checked for presence of homologous recombination template CCAGGCTTGG.

**Microinjection into mouse zygotes**

MII-stage oocytes were collected from 8-week old superovulated BDF1 females by injecting 7.5 I.U. of PMSG (Harbor, UCLA) and hCG (Millipore). They were transferred into HTF medium supplemented with 10 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich) and inseminated with capacitated sperm obtained from the caudal epididymides of adult C57BL/6 male mice. Six hours after fertilization, zygotes were injected with mRNAs and sgRNAs *in vitro* transcribed with mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 Kit (Life Technologies) in M2 media (Millipore) by using a Piezo impact-driven micromanipulator (Prime Tech Ltd., Ibaraki, Japan). The concentrations of Cas9 and Cas9n mRNAs and sgRNAs are described in the text and Figure 6B. After microinjection, zygotes were cultured in KSOM (Millipore) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$ and 95% air at 37°C.

**Genome extraction from blastocyst embryos**

Following *in vitro* culture of embryos for 6 days, the expanded blastocysts were washed with 0.01% BSA in PBS and individually collected into 0.2 ml tubes. Five microliters of genome extraction solution (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5% Triton-X100, 1 mg/ml Proteinase K) were added and the samples were incubated in 65°C for 3 hours followed by 95°C for 10 min. Samples were then amplified for targeted deep sequencing as described above.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Highlights

• Cas9 nickase can facilitate targeted DNA double strand break using two guide RNAs
• Double nicking of DNA reduces off-target mutagenesis by 50–1,000 fold
• Multiplex nicking stimulates homology directed repair, microdeletion, and insertion
• Double nicking provides efficient modification of mouse zygotes
Figure 1. Effect of guide sequence extension on Cas9 activity
(A) Schematic showing Cas9 with matching or mismatching sgRNA sequences targeting the human *EMX1* locus. (B) SURVEYOR assay gel showing comparable modification of target 1 by sgRNAs bearing 20- and 30-nt long guide sequences. (C) Northern blot showing that extended sgRNAs are largely reverted to 20-nt guide-length sgRNAs in HEK 293FT cells.
Figure 2. Double nicking facilitates efficient genome editing in human cells

(A) Schematic illustrating DNA double-stranded breaks using a pair of Cas9 D10A nickases (Cas9n). The D10A mutation renders Cas9 able to cleave only the strand complementary to the sgRNA; a pair of sgRNA-Cas9n complexes can nick both strands simultaneously. sgRNA offset is defined as the distance between the PAM-distal (5′) ends of the guide sequence of a given sgRNA pair. (B) Efficiency of double nicking induced NHEJ as a function of the offset distance between two sgRNAs. Sequences for all sgRNAs used can be found in Table S1. (n = 3; error bars show mean ± s.e.m.) (C) Representative sequences of the human EMX1 locus targeted by Cas9n. sgRNA target sites and PAMs are indicated by blue and magenta bars respectively. Below, selected sequences showing representative indels. See also Table S1 and S2.
Figure 3. Double nicking facilitates efficient genome editing in human cells

(A) Schematic illustrating DNA double strand breaks (red arrows) using Cas9 D10A nickases (Cas9n) and two sgRNAs. 5 off-target loci with sequence homology to EMX1 sgRNA 1 were selected to screen for Cas9n specificity. (B) On-target modification rate by Cas9n and a pair of sgRNAs is comparable to those mediated by wildtype Cas9 and single sgRNAs (left panel). Cas9-sgRNA1 complexes generate significant off-target mutagenesis, while no off-target locus modification is detected with Cas9n (right panel). (C) Levels of off-target modification with sgRNA 1 in HEK 293FT cells are measured by deep sequencing of five off-target loci. (D) Specificity comparison of Cas9n and wildtype Cas9 for sgRNA 1 off-target sites. Specificity ratio is calculated as on-target/off-target modification rates. (n = 3; error bars show mean ± s.e.m.) (E, F) Double nicking minimizes off-target modification.
at two human *VEGFA* loci while maintaining high specificity (on/off target modification ratio, $n = 3$; error bars show mean ± s.e.m.).
Figure 4. Double nicking allows insertion into the genome via HDR in human cells

(A) Schematic illustrating HDR mediated via a single stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) template at a DSB created by a pair of Cas9n enzymes. Successful recombination at the DSB site introduces a HindIII restriction site. (B) Restriction digest assay gel showing successful insertion of HindIII cleavage sites by double nicking-mediated HDR in HEK 293FT cells. Upper bands are unmodified template; lower bands are HindIII cleavage product. (C) Double nicking promotes HDR in the HUES62 human embryonic stem cell line. HDR frequencies are determined by deep sequencing. (n = 3; error bars show mean ± s.e.m.). (D) HDR efficiency depends on the configuration of Cas9 or Cas9n-mediated nicks. HDR is facilitated when a nick occurs near the center of the ssODN homology arm leading to a 5′-resulting overhang. HDR-compatible nicking configurations are denoted by red arrows separated by overhang regions (black lines), and non-compatible configurations are shown with brown arrows and gray lines (top panel). HDR efficiency mediated by sgRNAs 22, 18, 21, 20, 15, 5 paired with either Cas9 or Cas9n is shown for comparison (bottom panel, Table S2).
Figure 5. Multiplexed nicking facilitates non-HR mediated gene integration and genomic deletions

(A) Schematic showing insertion of a double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (dsODN) donor fragment bearing overhangs complementary to 5’ overhangs created by Cas9 double nicking. The dsODN was designed to remove the native EMX1 stop codon and contains a HA tag, 3X FLAG tag, HindIII restriction site, Myc epitope tag, and a stop codon in frame, totaling 148 bp. Successful insertion was verified by Sanger sequencing as shown (1/37 clones screened).

(B) Co-delivery of four sgRNAs with Cas9n generate long-range genomic deletions in the DYRK1A locus (from 0.5 kb up to 6 kb).
Figure 6. Cas9 double nicking mediates efficient indel formation in mouse embryos
(A) Schematic illustrating Cas9 double nicking targeting at the mouse Mecp2 locus. Representative indels are shown for mouse blastocysts co-injected with Cas9n-encoding mRNA and in vitro transcribed sgRNA pairs. (B) Efficient blastocyst modification is achieved at multiple concentrations of sgRNAs and wildtype Cas9 or Cas9n (titrating from 3 ng/µL to 100 ng/µL).