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Abstract: We derive explicit formulas for $\lambda$-brackets of the affine classical $\mathcal{W}$-algebras attached to the minimal and short nilpotent elements of any simple Lie algebra $g$. This is used to compute explicitly the first non-trivial PDE of the corresponding integrable generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies. It turns out that a reduction of the equation corresponding to a short nilpotent is Svinolupov’s equation attached to a simple Jordan algebra, while a reduction of the equation corresponding to a minimal nilpotent is an integrable Hamiltonian equation on $2h^*-3$ functions, where $h^*$ is the dual Coxeter number of $g$. In the case when $g$ is $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ both these equations coincide with the KdV equation. In the case when $g$ is not of type $C_n$, we associate to the minimal nilpotent element of $g$ yet another generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy.
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0. Introduction

In our paper [DSKV12] we put the theory of Drinfeld–Sokolov Hamiltonian reduction [DS85] in the framework of Poisson vertex algebras (PVA). Using this, we gave a
simple construction of the affine classical $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, attached to an arbitrary nilpotent element $f$ of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, for a large number of nilpotents $f$ we constructed in this framework the associated generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations and proved its integrability (the case studied by Drinfeld and Sokolov corresponds to the principal nilpotent element $f$).

In the present paper we study in detail the cases when $f$ is a “minimal” and a “short” nilpotent element. Let $\{e, 2x, f\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ triple containing $f$. The element $f$ is called minimal if its adjoint orbit has minimal dimension among all non-zero nilpotent orbits; equivalently, if the $\text{ad}(x)$-eigenspace decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}) = 1$. If $\theta$ is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$, the corresponding root vector $f = e_{-\theta}$ is a minimal nilpotent element, and its adjoint orbit consists of all minimal nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The element $f$ is called short if the $\text{ad}(x)$-eigenspace decomposition has the form

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1;$$

equivalently, if the product $\circ$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ (or, respectively, the product $*$ on $\mathfrak{g}_1$) defined by

$$a \circ b = [[e, a], b], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \quad \left( \text{resp. } a * b = [[f, a], b], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \right),$$

(0.1)
gives $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_1$) the structure of a Jordan algebra. In fact, the classification of conjugacy classes of short nilpotent elements in simple Lie algebras corresponds to the classification of simple Jordan algebras [Jac81]. Recall that a complete list of conjugacy classes of short nilpotent elements in simple Lie algebras is as follows: for $\mathfrak{g}$ of type $A_n$, with odd $n$, of type $B_n$ and $C_n$, with arbitrary $n$, and of type $D_4$ and $E_7$, there is a unique conjugacy class of short nilpotent elements, while for $\mathfrak{g}$ of type $D_n$, with $n \geq 5$, there are two conjugacy classes of short nilpotent elements. In all other cases there are no short nilpotent elements.

In the case of minimal and short nilpotent elements, we describe explicitly the PVA structure on $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ and the corresponding generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies, associated to a choice of $s \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, for which $f + s$ is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$.

In the case when $f$ is a short nilpotent element and $s = e$, the corresponding hierarchy admits a reduction. It turns out that the reduction of the simplest equation of this hierarchy coincides with the integrable equation associated to a simple Jordan algebra by Svinolupov [Svi91]. It follows that Svinolupov’s equations are Hamiltonian. In the case when $f$ is a minimal nilpotent element we get after a reduction an integrable Hamiltonian equation on $2h^\vee - 3$ functions. In a forthcoming publication [DSKV13] we construct for both cases the second Poisson structure, which is non-local, via an analogue of Dirac reduction [Dir50].

The generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy depends also on the choice of an isotropic subspace $l \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ [DSKV12]. In the above examples we chose $l = 0$. We show that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type $C_n$ and $f$ is a minimal nilpotent, one can choose a maximal isotropic subspace $l \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $s \in l$ such that $f + s$ is semisimple, which leads us to yet another integrable generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy.

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise specified, all vector spaces, tensor products, etc., are defined over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0.
1. Poisson Vertex Algebras and Hamiltonian Equations

Recall (see e.g. [DSK06, BDSK09]) that a Poisson vertex algebra (PVA) is a commutative associative differential algebra $\mathcal{V}$, with derivation $\partial$, endowed with a $\lambda$-bracket $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}[\lambda]$, denoted $g \otimes h \mapsto \{g, h\}$, satisfying the following axioms:

(i) sesquilinearity: $\{\partial g, h\} = -\lambda \{g, \partial h\} = \{g, \partial + \lambda\} h$,
(ii) skew-symmetry: $\{g, h\} = -\{h, g\}$ (\partial \text{ should be moved to the left to act on the coefficients}),
(iii) Jacobi identity: $\{g_{1,\lambda} \{g_{2,\mu}, h\} - \{g_{2,\mu} \{g_{1,\lambda}, h\}\} = \{\{g_{1,\lambda} g_{2,\mu}\}, h\}$,
(iv) left Leibniz rule: $\{g, h_1 h_2\} = \{g, h_2\} h_1 + \{g, h_1\} h_2$,
(v) right Leibniz rule: $\{g_{1,\lambda}, h\} = \{g_{1,\lambda+\mu}, h\} + g_2 + \{g_{2,\mu+\lambda}, h\} g_1$ where the arrow means that $\partial$ should be moved to the right).

Recall also that a Lie conformal algebra is an $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-module endowed with a $\lambda$-bracket satisfying axioms (i), (ii) and (iii).

An element $L \in \mathcal{V}$ in a PVA $\mathcal{V}$ is called a Virasoro element if

$$\{L, L\} = (\partial + 2\lambda)L + c\lambda^3 + \alpha \lambda,$$

where $c, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. (The number $c$ is called the central charge of $L$.) An element $a \in \mathcal{V}$ is called an eigenvector for $L$ of conformal weight $\Delta_a \in \mathbb{F}$ if

$$\{L, a\} = (\partial + \Delta_a \lambda)a + O(\lambda^2).$$

It is called a primary element of conformal weight $\Delta_a$ if $\{L, a\} = (\partial + \Delta_a \lambda)a$. A Virasoro element is called an energy momentum element if there exists a basis of $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of eigenvectors of $L$. Clearly, by sesquilinearity and the left Leibniz rule, a Virasoro element is an energy momentum element if and only if there exists a set generating $\mathcal{V}$ as differential algebra consisting of eigenvectors of $L$.

The basic example is the algebra of differential polynomials in $\ell$ differential variables $\mathcal{V}_\ell = \mathbb{F}[u^{(n)}_i | i = 1, \ldots, \ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}+]$, with the derivation $\partial u^{(n)}_i = u^{(n+1)}_i$. A $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{V}_\ell$ is introduced by letting

$$\{u_{i\lambda}, u_j\} = H_{ji}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}[\lambda], \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, \ell,$$

and extending (uniquely) to the whole space $\mathcal{V}_\ell$ by sesquilinearity and Leibniz rules. Then we have, for arbitrary $h, g \in \mathcal{V}_\ell$, the following Master Formula [DSK06]:

$$\{g_{\lambda}, h\} = \sum_{i,j \in I; m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u^{(n)}_j} (\partial + \lambda)^n H_{ji} (\partial + \lambda)(-\partial - \partial)^m \frac{\partial h}{\partial u^{(m)}_i}. \quad (1.1)$$

It is proved in [BDSK09] that the $\lambda$-bracket (1.1) defines a structure of a PVA on $\mathcal{V}_\ell$ if and only if skew-symmetry and the Jacobi identity hold on the generators $u_i$. In this case we say that the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix differential operator $H = (H_{ij}(\partial))_{i, j = 1}^\ell$ is a Poisson structure on $\mathcal{V}_\ell$.

As usual, for a PVA $\mathcal{V}$, we call $\mathcal{V}/\partial \mathcal{V}$ the space of Hamiltonian functionals, and we denote by $\int : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}/\partial \mathcal{V}$ the canonical quotient map. It follows from the sesquilinearity that

$$\{\int g, \int h\} := \int \{g, h\}|_{\lambda = 0} \in \mathcal{V}/\partial \mathcal{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\int g, h\} := \{g_{\lambda}, h\}|_{\lambda = 0} \in \mathcal{V},$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ denotes the $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{V}$.
are well defined. It follows from skewsymmetry and the Jacobi identity axioms of a PVA that \(\{f, g\}\) is a Lie algebra bracket on \(\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}\), and that \(\{f, g\}\) is a representation of \(\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}\) on \(\mathcal{V}\) by derivations of the associative product on \(\mathcal{V}\).

The Hamiltonian equation associated to a Hamiltonian functional \(\int h \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}\) and the Poisson structure \(H\) is, by definition,

\[
\frac{du_i}{dt} = \{\int h, u_i\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \ell.
\]

Note that the RHS of (1.2) is \(H(\partial) \frac{\delta h}{\delta u_i}\), where \(\frac{\delta h}{\delta u_i} \in \mathcal{V}\ell\) is the vector of variational derivatives \(\frac{\delta h}{\delta u_i} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (-\partial)^n \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i} \partial^n\), \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\). An integral of motion of this equation is a “Hamiltonian” functional \(\int g \in \mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}\) such that \(\{\int h, \int g\} = 0\), i.e. \(\int h, \int g\) are in involution. Equation (1.2) is called integrable if there are infinitely many linearly independent integrals of motion in involution, \(\int h_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), where \(h_0 = h\). In this case, we have an integrable hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations

\[
\frac{du_i}{dtn} = \{\int h_n, u_i\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \ell, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
\]

The main tool for proving integrability is the so called Lenard–Magri scheme (see e.g. [BDSK09]). It can be applied to a bi-Hamiltonian equation, meaning an equation that can be written in the form (1.2) in two different ways:

\[
\frac{du_i}{dt} = \{\int h_0, u_i\}_H = \{\int h_1, u_i\}_K,
\]

where \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_H\) and \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_K\) are the Lie algebra brackets on \(\mathcal{V}/\partial\mathcal{V}\) associated to the Poisson structures \(H\) and \(K\), which are assumed to be compatible, in the sense that any their linear combination \(\alpha H + \beta K\), for \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}\), is also a Poisson structure. The scheme consists in finding a sequence of Hamiltonian functionals \(\int h_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), starting with the two given ones, satisfying the following recursive equations, for all \(g \in \mathcal{V}\),

\[
\{\int h_0, \int g\}_K = 0, \quad \{\int h_n, \int g\}_H = \{\int h_{n+1}, \int g\}_K \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
\]

Then, automatically all Hamiltonian functionals \(\int h_n\) are in involution with respect to both Poisson brackets \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_H\) and \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_K\) (see [Mag78] or [BDSK09, Lem.2.6] for a proof of this simple fact), and therefore Eq. (1.3) is integrable, provided that the \(\int h_n\)'s span an infinite dimensional vector space, [Mag78].

2. Structure of Classical \(\mathcal{W}\)-Algebras

In this section we recall the definition of classical \(\mathcal{W}\)-algebras in the language of Poisson vertex algebras, following [DSKV12].

2.1. Setup and notation. Let \(\mathfrak{g}\) be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over the field \(\mathbb{F}\) with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form \((\cdot | \cdot)\), and let \(\{f, 2x, e\} \subset \mathfrak{g}\) be an \(\mathfrak{sl}_2\)-triple in \(\mathfrak{g}\). We have the corresponding ad \(x\)-eigenspace decomposition

\[
\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i.
\]
Clearly, \( f \in g_{-1}, x \in g_0 \) and \( e \in g_1 \). Given an ad-\( x \)-invariant subspace \( a \subset g \), we denote \( a_j = a \cap g_j, j \in 1/2\Z \), and by \( a_{\leq k} = \bigoplus_{j \leq k} a_j \), or \( a_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{j \geq k} a_j \), for arbitrary \( k \in 1/2\Z \). We have the nilpotent subalgebras: \( g_{\geq 1} \subset g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset g \). Fix an element \( s \in g_d \), where \( d \) is the maximal \( i \in 1/2\Z \) for which \( g_i \neq 0 \) in (2.1), called the depth of the grading (2.1). (It is easy to show that \( g_d \) is the center of \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \).

Let \( g^f \) be the centralizer of \( f \) in \( g \). By representation theory of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \), we have \( g^f \subset g_{\leq 0}, \) and we have the direct sum decomposition

\[
g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} = g^f \oplus [e, g_{\leq -\frac{1}{2}}]. \quad (2.2)
\]

We let \( \pi : g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \mapsto g^f \) be the quotient map with kernel \([e, g_{\leq -\frac{1}{2}}]\), and, for \( a \in g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \), we denote \( \pi(a) = a^\pi \in g^f \).

Clearly, \( \text{ad} \, e \) and \( \text{ad} \, f \) restrict to bijective maps

\[
\text{ad} \, e : g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \sim g_{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad} \, f : g_{\frac{1}{2}} \sim g_{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.3)
\]

Moreover, since \( x = \frac{1}{2}[e, f] \), it immediately follows by the invariance of the bilinear form \((\cdot | \cdot)\) that

\[
(x|x) = \frac{1}{2}(e|f). \quad (2.4)
\]

Note that the bilinear form \((\cdot | \cdot)\) restricts to a non-degenerate pairing between \( g_{-j} \) and \( g_j \). Fix \( \{u_j\}_{j \in J}, \{u^j\}_{j \in J} \), bases of \( g \) consisting of eigenvectors of \( \text{ad} \, x \) and dual with respect to \((\cdot | \cdot)\). Let \( \{q_i\}_{i \in J_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}} \) be the (sub)basis of \( g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \), and let \( \{q^i\}_{i \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \) be the corresponding dual basis of \( g_{\geq -\frac{1}{2}} \). Within these bases, we have the dual bases \( \{a_j\}_{j \in J_0} \) and \( \{a^j\}_{j \in J_0} \) of \( g_0 \).

Since the pairing between \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) is non-degenerate, using the bijective maps (2.3), we obtain induced non-degenerate skew-symmetric inner products on \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \), given by

\[
\omega_-(u, u_1) := (e[[u, u_1]]) \quad \text{for} \ u, u_1 \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.5)
\]

and on \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), given by

\[
\omega_+(v, v_1) := (f[[v, v_1]]) \quad \text{for} \ v, v_1 \in g_{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.6)
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** (a) For \( u, u_1 \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( a \in g_0 \) we have

\[
\omega_-(u, [a, u_1]) = \omega_-([[u, a], u_1]) + ([e, a][[u, u_1]]).
\]

(b) For \( v, v_1 \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( a \in g_0 \) we have

\[
\omega_+(v, [a, v_1]) = \omega_+([v, a], v_1) + ([f, a][v, v_1]).
\]

In particular, the bilinear forms \( \omega_- \) and \( \omega_+ \) are invariant with respect to \( g_0^f \).

**Proof.** It is straightforward, using the invariance of the bilinear form \((\cdot | \cdot)\). \( \square \)
Let \( \{ v_k \}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \) be a basis of \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), and let \( \{ v^k \}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \) be the dual basis, again of \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), with respect to the skew-symmetric inner product \( \omega_+ \) defined in (2.6). Equivalently, the collection of elements \( \{ [f, v_k] \}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \subset g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( \{ v^k \}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \subset g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) are dual bases of \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) with respect to \( (- \, | \, -) \). In other words, we have the orthogonality conditions

\[
\omega_+(v_h, v^k) = (f[[v_h, v^k]]) = \delta_{h,k}, \tag{2.7}
\]

for all \( h, k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}} \). Note that the orthogonality conditions (2.7) are equivalent to the following completeness relations for elements of \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \):

\[
\sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, v^k)v_k = - \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, v_k)v^k = v \quad \text{for all } v \in g_{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.8}
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \). Then:

(a) The bijective maps (2.3) are inverse to each other, i.e. \( [e, [f, v]] = v \) for all \( v \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), and \( [f, [e, u]] = u \) for all \( u \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \).

(b) The collections of elements \( \{ [f, v_k] \}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \) and \( \{ [f, v^k] \}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \) are bases of \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) dual with respect to \( -\omega_- \):

\[
\omega_-([f, v_h], [f, v^k]) := (e[[f, v_h], [f, v^k]]) = -\delta_{h,k}. \tag{2.9}
\]

(c) For every \( u \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) we have the completeness relation

\[
\sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} (u|v^k)v_k = - \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} (u|v_k)v^k = [e, u] \quad \text{for all } u \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.10}
\]

**Proof.** By the Jacobi identity we have, for \( v \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), \( [e, [f, v]] = [f, [e, v]] + [[e, f], v] \). Since, by assumption, \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \), we have \( [e, v] = 0 \). Moreover, \( [[e, f], v] = 2[x, v] = v \), proving part (a). Equation (2.9) follows immediately by invariance of \( (- \, | \, -) \) and part (a). Finally, Eq. (2.10) follows from (2.8) and part (a). \( \square \)

2.2. **Definition of the classical \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebra.** Consider the algebra of differential polynomials \( \mathcal{W}(g) = S(F[\partial]g) \). For \( P \in \mathcal{W}(g) \), we use the standard notation \( P' = \partial P \) and \( P^{(n)} = \partial^n P \) for \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). We have a PVA structure on \( \mathcal{W}(g) \), with \( \lambda \)-bracket given on generators by

\[
\{a_j, b\}_z = [a, b] + (a|b)\lambda + z([a, b]), \quad a, b \in g, \tag{2.11}
\]

and extended to \( \mathcal{W}(g) \) by the Master Formula (1.1). Here \( z \) can be viewed as an element of the field \( F \) or as a formal variable, in which case we should replace \( \mathcal{W}(g) \) by \( \mathcal{W}(g)[z] \). Since, by assumption, \( s \in Z(g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}) \), the \( F[\partial] \)-submodule \( F[\partial]g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathcal{W}(g) \) is a Lie conformal subalgebra with the \( \lambda \)-bracket \( \{a_j, b\}_z = [a, b], \quad a, b \in g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \) (it is independent on \( z \)). Consider the differential subalgebra \( \mathcal{W}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) = S(F[\partial]g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) of \( \mathcal{W}(g) \), and denote by \( \rho : \mathcal{W}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \), the differential algebra homomorphism defined on generators by

\[
\rho(a) = \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(a) + (f|a), \quad a \in g, \tag{2.12}
\]
where \( \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} : g \rightarrow g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \) denotes the projection with kernel \( g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \). Recall from [DSKV12] that we have a representation of the Lie conformal algebra \( F[\partial]g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \) on the differential subalgebra \( \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \subset \mathcal{V}(g) \) given by (\( a \in g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}, g \in \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \)):

\[
a_{\lambda}^\rho g = \rho(a_{\lambda} g)z
\]  
(2.13)

(note that the RHS is independent of \( z \) since, by assumption, \( s \in Z(g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}) \)).

The \textit{classical }\mathcal{W}\text{-algebra} is, by definition, the differential algebra

\[
\mathcal{W} = \{ g \in \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \mid a_{\lambda}^\rho g = 0 \text{ for all } a \in g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \}, \tag{2.14}
\]

endowed with the following PVA \( \lambda \)-bracket

\[
\{ g, h \}_{z, \rho} = \rho(g_{\lambda} h)_z, \quad g, h \in \mathcal{W}.
\]  
(2.15)

\textbf{Theorem 2.3 [DSKV12].} (a) Equation (2.13) defines a representation of the Lie conformal algebra \( F[\partial]g_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \) on \( \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) by conformal derivations (i.e. \( a_{\lambda}^\rho (gh) = (a_{\lambda}^\rho g)h + (a_{\lambda}^\rho h)g \)).

(b) \( \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) is a differential subalgebra.

(c) We have \( \rho(g_{\lambda} \rho(h))_z = \rho(g_{\lambda} h)_z \), and \( \rho(\rho(h)_{\lambda} g)_z = \rho(h_{\lambda} g)_z \) for every \( g \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( h \in \mathcal{V}(g) \).

(d) For every \( g, h \in \mathcal{W} \), we have \( \rho(g_{\lambda} h)_z \in \mathcal{W}[\lambda] \).

(e) The \( \lambda \)-bracket \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{z, \rho} : \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}[\lambda] \) given by (2.15) defines a PVA structure on \( \mathcal{W} \).

We can find an explicit formula for the \( \lambda \)-bracket in \( \mathcal{W} \) as follows. Recalling the Master Formula (1.1) and using (2.12) and the definition (2.11) of the \( \lambda \)-bracket in \( \mathcal{V}(g) \), we get (\( g, h \in \mathcal{W} \)):

\[
\{ g_{\lambda} h \}_{z, \rho} = \{ g_{\lambda} h \}_{H, \rho} - z\{ g_{\lambda} h \}_{K, \rho},
\]

where

\[
\{ g_{\lambda} h \}_{X, \rho} = \sum_{i,j \in J_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\partial h}{\partial q_{i,j}^{(m,n)}} (\partial + \lambda)^m X_{ji}(\partial + \lambda)(-\lambda - \partial)^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_{i,j}^{(m,n)}},
\]  
(2.16)

for \( X \) one of the two matrices \( H, K \in \text{Mat}_{k \times k} \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[\lambda] \) \( (k = \dim(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})) \), given by

\[
H_{ji}(\lambda) = \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}[q_i, q_j] + (q_i | q_j)\lambda + (f[[q_i, q_j]), \quad K_{ji}(\partial) = (s[[q_j, q_i]),
\]  
(2.17)

for \( i, j \in J_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \).
2.3. Structure of the classical $W\mathfrak{a}$. In the algebra of differential polynomials $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})$ we introduce the grading by conformal weight, denoted by $\Delta$, defined as follows.

For a monomial $g = a_1^{(m_1)} \cdots a_s^{(m_s)}$, product of derivatives of elements $a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{1-\Delta_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$ (i.e. $\Delta_i \geq \frac{1}{2}$), we define its conformal weight as

$$\Delta(g) = \Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_s + m_1 + \cdots + m_s. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus we get the conformal weight space decomposition

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}^+} \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[i].$$

For example $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[0] = \mathbb{F}$, $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[1] = \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[1] = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus S^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

**Theorem 2.4** [DSKV12]. Consider the PVA $\mathcal{W}$ with $\lambda$-bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_\lambda$ defined by Eq. (2.15).

(a) For every element $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{1-\Delta}$ there exists a (not necessarily unique) element $w \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta] = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[\Delta]$ of the form $w = v + g$, where

$$g = \sum b_1^{(m_1)} \cdots b_s^{(m_s)} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})[\Delta],$$

is a sum of products of ad $x$-eigenvectors $b_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{1-\Delta_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$, such that

$$\Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_s + m_1 + \cdots + m_s = \Delta,$$

and $s + m_1 + \cdots + m_s > 1$.

(b) Let $\{w_j = v_j + g_j\}_{j \in J_f}$ be any collection of elements in $\mathcal{W}$ as in part (a), where $\{v_j\}_{j \in J_f}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_f \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$ consisting of ad $x$-eigenvectors. Then the differential subalgebra $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})$ is the algebra of differential polynomials in the variables $\{w_j\}_{j \in J_f}$. The algebra $\mathcal{W}$ is graded by the conformal weights defined in (2.18): $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{F} \oplus \mathcal{W}[1] \oplus \mathcal{W}[\frac{3}{2}] \oplus \mathcal{W}[2] \oplus \ldots$.

(c) Let $\Delta = 1$ or $\frac{3}{2}$. Then, for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{1-\Delta}$, the corresponding element $w = v + g \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta]$ as in (a) is uniquely determined by $v$. Moreover, the space $\mathcal{W}[\Delta]$ coincides with the space of all such elements $\{w = v + g \mid v \in \mathfrak{g}_{1-\Delta}\}$. In other words, there are bijective linear maps $\phi : \mathfrak{g}_0^f \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{W}[1]$, such that $\phi(v) = w = v + g$, and $\psi : \mathfrak{g}_{1-\Delta} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{W}[\frac{3}{2}]$, such that $\psi(v) = w = v + g$.

(d) We have a Virasoro element $L \in \mathcal{W}[2]$ given by

$$L = f + x' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J_0} a_j a^j + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} v^k [f, v_k] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} v^k \partial v_k. \quad (2.19)$$

The $\lambda$-bracket of $L$ with itself is

$$\{L, L\}_{z, \rho} = (\partial + 2\lambda)L - (x|x)\lambda^3 + 2(f|s)z\lambda. \quad (2.20)$$

Furthermore, for $z = 0$, $L$ is an energy-momentum element and the conformal weight defined by (2.18) coincides with the $L$-conformal weight, namely, for $w \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta]$ we have $\{L, w\}_{0, \rho} = (\partial + \Delta \lambda)w + O(\lambda^2)$. 


Remark 2.5. In [DSKV12] the Virasoro element $L \in \mathcal{W}$ is constructed, via the so called Sugawara construction, as

$$L = \rho \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J} u_j u_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J_1^j} v_j \partial v_j + x' \right) \in \mathcal{W},$$

where $\rho$ is the map (2.12). The equality of this expression and the one in (2.19) follows immediately from the definition of the map $\rho$.

Later we will use the following result concerning the conformal weights defined by (2.18).

Lemma 2.6. If $g \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta_1]$ and $h \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta_2]$, we have

$$\{g\lambda h\}_{\rho} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} g(n, H)h \lambda^n - z \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} g(n, K)h \lambda^n,$$

where $g(n, H)h \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - n - 1]$ and $g(n, K)h \in \mathcal{W}[\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - n - 2 - d]$, are independent of $z$. (Recall that $d$ denotes the depth of the grading (2.1).)

Proof. It immediately follows from Eqs. (2.16) and (2.17) defining the $\lambda$-bracket of elements in $\mathcal{W}$. $\square$

3. Classical $\mathcal{W}$-Algebras for Minimal Nilpotent Elements

3.1. Setup and preliminary computations. Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a minimal nilpotent element, that is a lowest root vector of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this case, the $\text{ad } x$-eigenspace decomposition (2.1) is

$$\mathfrak{g} = Ff \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus Fe,$$

Remark 3.1. It is well known that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\pm \frac{1}{2}} = 2h^* - 4$, where $h^*$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$ (which equals $\frac{1}{2}$ of the eigenvalue in the adjoint representation of the Casimir operator associated to the Killing form $\kappa$). Also, $\kappa(x|x) = h^*$.

Note that $(x|a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$. Hence, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ admits the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus Fe$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we denote, as in (2.2), by $a^x$ its component in $\mathfrak{g}_0^f$. In other words, an element $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus Fe$ decomposes as

$$a = a^x + \frac{(a|x)}{(x|x)} x. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, since $\mathfrak{g}_1 = Fe$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = Ff$, we have, using (2.4),

$$[u, u_1] = \frac{\omega_-(u, u_1)}{2(x|x)} f, \quad \text{for all } u, u_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}},\quad (3.2)$$

and

$$[v, v_1] = \frac{\omega_+(v, v_1)}{2(x|x)} e, \quad \text{for all } v, v_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}},\quad (3.3)$$

where $\omega_{\pm}$ are as in (2.5) and (2.6).

Since $\mathfrak{g}_1 = Fe$, we can choose, without loss of generality, $s = e$. We can compute, using the definitions (2.11) and (2.12), all $\lambda$-brackets $\rho(x_\lambda y)_z$ for arbitrary $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. The results are given in Table 1:
3.2. Generators of \( \mathcal{W} \) for minimal nilpotent \( f \). In this section we construct an explicit set of generators \( \{ w_j \}_{j \in J_f} \) for the \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebra.

**Theorem 3.2.** The \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebra associated to a minimal nilpotent element \( f \in \mathfrak{g} \) is the algebra of differential polynomials with the following generators: the energy-momentum element \( L \) defined by (2.19), and elements of conformal weight 1 and \( \frac{3}{2} \), given by the following bijective maps:

\[
\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_0^f \to \mathcal{W}(1), \quad \varphi(a) = a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{3}{2}}} [a, v_k] v^k. \tag{3.4}
\]

and

\[
\psi : \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \to \mathcal{W}(\frac{3}{2}), \quad \psi(u) = u + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{h,k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [[u, v_h], v_k] v^h v^k + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{3}{2}}} [u, v_k] v^k + \partial [e, u]. \tag{3.5}
\]

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.4, we only need to prove that the images of the maps \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) lie in \( \mathcal{W} \). In other words, recalling the definition (2.14) of \( \mathcal{W} \), we need to prove that

(i) \( \rho(e_{\lambda} \varphi(a))_z = 0 \) for every \( a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f \),
(ii) \( \rho(v_{\lambda} \varphi(a))_z = 0 \) for every \( v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f \),
(iii) \( \rho(e_{\lambda} \psi(u))_z = 0 \) for every \( u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \),
(iv) \( \rho(v_{\lambda} \psi(u))_z = 0 \) for every \( u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} \).

We immediately get from Eq. (3.4), the left Leibniz rule, and Table 1, that

\[
\rho(e_{\lambda} \varphi(a))_z = \rho(e_{\lambda} a)_z + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \rho(e_{\lambda} [a, v_k])_z v^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{3}{2}}} \rho(e_{\lambda} v^k)_z [a, v_k] = -2(x|a),
\]

\[
\rho(v_{\lambda} \varphi(a))_z = \rho(v_{\lambda} a)_z + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{3}{2}}} \rho(v_{\lambda} [a, v_k])_z v^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{3}{2}}} \rho(v_{\lambda} v^k)_z [a, v_k] = 0.
\]
which is zero since $x$ is orthogonal to $g_0^{f}$. This proves (i). Similarly, for (ii) we have

$$\rho\{v_x\varphi(a)\} = \rho\{v_x a\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \rho\{v_x[a, v_k]\} z v^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \rho\{v_x v^k\} [a, v_k]$$

$$= [v, a] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, [a, v_k]) v^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, v^k) [a, v_k],$$

and this is zero by Lemma 2.1(b) and the completeness relation (2.8). Similarly, by the definition (3.5) of $\psi(u)$, the Leibniz rule for the $\lambda$-bracket, and Table 1, we have

$$\rho\{e_\lambda \psi(u)\} = \rho\{e_\lambda u\} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{h, k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \rho\{e_\lambda [[u, v_h], v_k]\} z v^h v^k + \rho\{e_\lambda v^h\} z [u, v_h], v_k\} v^kight. $$

$$+ \rho\{e_\lambda v^h\} z [u, v_h], v_k\} v^k\right) + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \rho\{e_\lambda [u, v_k]\} z v^k + \rho\{e_\lambda v^k\} [u, v_k]\right)$$

$$+ (\partial + \lambda) \rho\{e_\lambda [e, u]\} = [e, u] - 2 \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} (x [u, v_k]) v^k = [e, u] + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} (u v_k) v^k.$$

This is zero by Lemma 2.2(c), proving (iii). Finally, for part (iv) we have, using Table 1,

$$\rho\{v_x \psi(u)\} = [v, u] + (v [u] \lambda) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{h, k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \omega_+(v, [u, v_h], v_k) v^h v^k \right. $$

$$+ \omega_+(v, v^h)[u, v_h], v_k\} v^k + \omega_+(v, v^k)[u, v_h], v_k\} v^h\right) + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [v, [u, v_k]] v^k$$

$$+ \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, v^k)[u, v_k] + \omega_+(v, [e, u]) \lambda.$$

(3.6)

First, it follows by the definition (2.6) of $\omega_+$ and Lemma 2.2(a) that $\omega_+(v, [e, u]) = -(v | u)$, so that the second term and the last term in the RHS of (3.6) cancel. Moreover, the first term and the second last term in the RHS of (3.6) cancel thanks to the completeness relation (2.8). Furthermore, again using the completeness relation (2.8), we have

$$\sum_{h, k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, v^h)[u, v_h], v_k\} v^k = \sum_{h \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [u, v_h]\} v^h = - \sum_{h \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [v, [u, v_h]] v^h, \hspace{1cm} (3.7)$$

and

$$\sum_{h, k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_+(v, v^k)[u, v_h], v_k\} v^k = \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [u, v]\} v^k$$

$$= \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [u, [v, v_k]] v^k - \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [v, [u, v_k]] v^k,$$

(3.8)
while, by Lemma 2.1(b) and the completeness relation (2.8) we have
\[
\sum_{h,k \in J_2} \omega_4(v, [[u, v_h], v_k]) v^h v^k
= \sum_{h,k \in J_2} \left( \omega_4([v, [u, v_h]], v_k) + ([f, [u, v_h]][v, v_k]) \right) v^h v^k
= - \sum_{h \in J_2} [v, [u, v_h]] v^h + \sum_{h,k \in J_2} ([f, [u, v_h]][v, v_k]) v^h v^k. \tag{3.9}
\]
Combining Eqs. (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we get
\[
\rho\{v_\lambda \psi(u)\}_z = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{h,k \in J_2} ([f, [u, v_h]][v, v_k]) v^h v^k + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k \in J_2} [u, [v, v_k]] v^k. \tag{3.10}
\]
By (3.3) we have \([v, v_k] = \frac{\omega_4(v, v_k)}{2(x | x)} e\). Moreover, by the decomposition (3.1), we have
\[
[f, [u, v_h]] = \frac{(x[[u, v_h]([v, x)] [f, x] = -\frac{(u|v_h)}{2(x | x)} f.
\]
Therefore, Eq. (3.10) becomes, by the completeness relation (2.8),
\[
\rho\{v_\lambda \psi(u)\}_z = \frac{1}{6(x | x)} \sum_{h \in J_2} (u|v_h) v^h v - \frac{1}{6(x | x)} [u, e] v,
\]
which is zero by the completeness relation (2.10). □

Let, as before, \(\mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) = S(\mathbb{F}[\partial]g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})\) be the algebra of differential polynomials over \(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\), and let \(\mathcal{V}(g^f)\) be the algebra of differential polynomials over \(g^f\). We extend the quotient map \(\pi: g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \to g^f\) (defined by (2.2)) to a differential algebra homomorphism \(\pi: \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \to \mathcal{V}(g^f)\).

**Corollary 3.3.** The quotient map \(\pi: \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \to \mathcal{V}(g^f)\) restricts to a differential algebra isomorphism \(\pi: \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{V}(g^f)\), and the inverse map \(\pi^{-1}: \mathcal{V}(g^f) \to \mathcal{W}\) is defined on generators by
\[
\begin{align*}
\pi^{-1}(a) & = \varphi(a) \quad \text{for } a \in g^f_0, \\
\pi^{-1}(u) & = \psi(u) \quad \text{for } u \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\pi^{-1}(f) & = L - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \varphi(a_i) \varphi(a_i) =: \tilde{L},
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\{a_i\}_{i \in J_0^f}\) and \(\{a^i\}_{i \in J_0^f}\) are dual bases of \(g^f_0\) with respect to \((\cdot | \cdot)\).

**Proof.** By Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5) we have, respectively, that \(\pi(\varphi(a)) = a\) for every \(a \in g^f_0\) and \(\pi(\psi(u)) = u\) for every \(u \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}}\), since \(g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \ker(\pi)\). Moreover, by Eq. (2.19) we have \(\pi(L) = f + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i a^i\), so that \(L = \pi^{-1}(f) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \varphi(a_i) \varphi(a^i)\). The statement follows. □
3.3. \(\lambda\)-brackets in \(\mathcal{W}\) for minimal nilpotent \(f\).

**Theorem 3.4.** The multiplication table for \(\mathcal{W}\) in the case of a minimal nilpotent \(f\) is given by Table 2 (where \(L\) is as in (2.19), \(\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\) are as in (3.4) for \(a, b \in g_0^f\), and \(\psi(u), \psi(u_1)\) are as in (3.5) for \(u, u_1 \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}}\)).

The \(\lambda\)-bracket of \(\psi(u)\) and \(\psi(u_1)\) is

\[
\{\psi(u), \psi(u_1)\}_{\zeta, \rho} = \sum_{k \in J_1} \varphi([u, v^k])\varphi([u_1, v^k]) + (\partial + 2\lambda) \varphi([u, [e, u_1]]),
\]

and then apply the Leibniz rule and sesquilinearity, we can get contributions to the power \(\lambda^2\) only from terms involving derivatives in the expressions (2.19) of \(L\). Such terms are

\[
-\lambda\rho\{x_j\varphi(a)\}_{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \rho\{v_k\partial + \lambda\varphi(a)\}_{\zeta} (\partial + \lambda)v^k.
\]

On the other hand, recalling the expression (3.4) of \(\varphi(a)\), it is immediate to check, using Table 1, that \(\rho\{x_j\varphi(a)\}_{\zeta}\) and \(\rho\{v_k\varphi(a)\}_{\zeta}\) are independent of \(\lambda\). Therefore \(\lambda^2\) never appears, proving that \(L_{(2, H)}\varphi(a) = 0\).

By Theorem 2.4(d), we already know that, for \(z = 0\), \(\psi(u)\) is an \(L\)-eigenvector of eigenvalue \(\frac{1}{2}\): \(L\psi(u)\}_{\zeta=0, \rho} = (\partial + \frac{1}{2}\lambda) \psi(u) + O(\lambda^2).\) Moreover, since \(\psi(u) \in \mathcal{W}[\frac{1}{2}]\) and \(L \in \mathcal{W}[2]\), we get by Lemma 2.6 that the coefficients of \(\lambda^2\) and \(z\) in \(L\psi(u)\}_{\zeta, \rho}\) lie in \(\mathcal{W}[\frac{3}{2}]\) = 0. Therefore, \(L\psi(u)\}_{\zeta, \rho} = (\partial + \frac{1}{2}\lambda) \psi(u)\).

Next, let us prove the formula for \(\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}_{\zeta, \rho}\), for \(a, b \in g_0^f\). It turns out that it is much more convenient to compute, instead, \(\pi\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}_{\zeta, \rho}\), and then apply the
Table 2. $\lambda$-brackets among generators of $\mathcal{W}$ for minimal nilpotent $f$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>${\cdot, \cdot}_{z, \rho}$</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>$\varphi(b)$</th>
<th>$\psi(u_1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>$(\partial + 2\lambda)L$</td>
<td>$(\partial + \lambda)\varphi(b)$</td>
<td>$(\partial + 2\lambda)\psi(u_1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi(a)$</td>
<td>$\lambda\varphi(a)$</td>
<td>$\varphi([a, b]) + (a</td>
<td>b)\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi(u)$</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{2}\partial + 2\lambda)\psi(u)$</td>
<td>$\psi([u, b])$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second identity we used Table 1, while in the last identity we used the definition of $u_1$. By Table 1, we have

$$\psi(u_1) = \lambda\varphi(a) + (a|b)\lambda.$$ 

Therefore, we have

$$\psi([u_1, b]) = \psi([u, b]) - \lambda\varphi(a) - (a|b)\lambda.$$ 

In the second identity we used Corollary 3.3. Since $g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \text{Ker}(\pi)$, and since $\pi$ is a differential algebra homomorphism, by the Leibniz rule all quadratic terms in $g_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the expression (3.4) of $\varphi(a)$ and $\varphi(b)$ give zero contribution in the computation of $\pi\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}_{z, \rho}$. Therefore, we have

$$\pi\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}_{z, \rho} = \pi \rho\{a_2b\}z = [a, b] + (a|b)\lambda = [a, b] + (a|b)\lambda.$$

In the second identity we used Table 1, while in the last identity we used the definition (2.2) of $\pi$ and the fact that $[g^f, g^f] \subset g^f$. Applying $\pi^{-1}$ to the above equation, we get, according to Corollary 3.3, $\{\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\}_{z, \rho} = \{a, b\} + (a|b)\lambda$, as stated in Table 2.

We use a similar argument to prove the formula for $\{\varphi(a), \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho}$, for $a \in g_{\frac{1}{2}}^f$ and $u \in g_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Again, we start by computing the projection $\pi\{\varphi(a), \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho}$. Since $g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \text{Ker}(\pi)$, by the Leibniz rule we have

$$\pi\{\varphi(a), \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho} = \pi\left(\rho\{a_2u\}z + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \rho\{a_2v_k\}z[u, v_k] + (\partial + \lambda)\rho\{a_2[e, u]\}z\right).$$

By Table 1, we have $\rho\{a_2u\}z = [a, u] \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset g^f$, $\rho\{a_2v_k\}z = [a, v_k] \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \text{Ker}(\pi)$, and $\rho\{a_2[e, u]\}z = [a, [e, u]] \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \text{Ker}(\pi)$. Therefore, $\pi\{\varphi(a), \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho} = [a, u]$, and, by Corollary 3.3, $\{\varphi(a), \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho} = \pi\{a, u\}$, as stated in Table 2.

We are left to prove Eq. (3.11). As before, we start by computing the projection $\pi\{\psi(u), \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho}$. By the definition (3.5) of $\psi(u)$ and $\psi(u_1)$, and by the Leibniz rule, we have, using the fact that $g_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \text{Ker}(\pi)$,

$$\pi\{\psi(u), \psi(u_1)\}_{z, \rho} = \pi\left(\rho\{u_2u_1\}z + \sum_{h, k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [u_1, v_k]z^2 \rho\{v^h_{\partial + \lambda}v^k\}_{z \to [u, v_h]}^z + \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} [u_1, v_k]z \rho\{u_2v_k\}z + \sum_{h \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \rho\{v^h_{\partial + \lambda}u_1\}_{z \to [u, v_h]}^z - \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} \lambda[u_1, v_k]^2 \rho\{[e, u], v_k\}z + \sum_{h \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} (\partial + \lambda)\rho\{[e, u], u_1\}_{z \to [u, v_h]}^z - \lambda[u_1, v_k]^2 \rho\{[e, u], v_k\}z + (\partial + \lambda)\rho\{u_2[e, u]\}_{z \to [u, v_h]}^z - \lambda\rho\{[e, u], u_1\}_{z \to [u, v_h]}^z - \lambda(\partial + \lambda)\rho\{[e, u], u_1\}_{z \to [u, v_h]}^z \right) $$

(3.12)
Using Table 1 and the completeness relations (2.8) and (2.10), Eq. (3.12) gives the following
\[
\pi \{ \psi(u) \lambda \psi(u_1) \}_{\omega, \rho} = \pi \left( \omega_-(u, u_1) \frac{2}{2x|x} f + 2 \omega_-(u, u_1) - \sum_{k \in J^1} [u_1, v_k]^\sharp [u, v^k]^\sharp \right. \\
+ \sum_{k \in J^1} [u_1, v_k]^\sharp [u, v^k] + \sum_{h \in J^1} [v^h, u_1][u, v_h]^\sharp + (\partial + \lambda)[u, [e, u_1]] - [[e, u], u_1] \lambda \\
+ (u[[e, u_1]])^2 - ([e, u]|u_1)\lambda^2 - \omega_+([e, u], [e, u_1])\lambda^2 \bigg).
\]
(3.13)
Recall that \( \pi(f) = f \), since \( f \in g^f \). By skewsymmetry of \( \omega_+ \) and the definition of \( \pi \), we have
\[
\pi \left( \sum_{k \in J^1} [u_1, v_k]^\sharp [u, v^k] \right) = \pi \left( \sum_{h \in J^1} [v^h, u_1][u, v_h]^\sharp \right) = \sum_{k \in J^1} [u_1, v_k]^\sharp [u, v^k]^\sharp.
\]
Furthermore, we have,
\[
\pi([u, [e, u_1]]) = -\pi([[e, u], u_1]) = [u, [e, u_1]]^\sharp,
\]
and, by the definition (2.6) of \( \omega_+ \) and Lemma 2.2(a), we also have
\[
-\omega_+([e, u], [e, u_1]) = -(u|[e, u_1]) = ([e, u]|u_1) = \omega_-(u, u_1).
\]
Therefore, Eq. (3.13) gives
\[
\pi \{ \psi(u) \lambda \psi(u_1) \}_{\omega, \rho} = \pi \left( \omega_-(u, u_1) \frac{2}{2x|x} f + 2 \omega_-(u, u_1) - \sum_{k \in J^1} [u_1, v_k]^\sharp [u, v^k]^\sharp \right. \\
+ (\partial + 2\lambda)[u, [e, u_1]]^\sharp - \omega_-(u, u_1)\lambda^2. \tag{3.14}
\]
Applying the bijective map \( \pi^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(g^f) \to \mathcal{W} \) to both sides of Eq. (3.14) and using Corollary 3.3, we get Eq. (3.11). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.5.** The \( \lambda \)-brackets for the \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebra associated to a minimal nilpotent element were computed via the cohomological quantum (resp. classical) Hamiltonian reduction in [KW04] (resp. [Suh13]).

**4. Classical \( \mathcal{W} \)-Algebras for Short Nilpotent Elements**

**4.1. Setup and preliminary computations.** By definition, a nilpotent element \( f \in g \) is called *short* if the ad \( x \)-eigenvalues are \(-1, 0, 1\), namely the ad \( x \)-eigenspace decomposition (2.1) is
\[
g = g_{-1} \oplus g_0 \oplus g_1.
\]
According to Theorem 2.4, a set of generators for \( \mathcal{W} \) is in bijective correspondence with a basis of \( g^f = g_{-1} \oplus g_0^f \). Note that, by representation theory of \( sl_2 \), we have \( g_0^f = g_0^\perp, [f, g_1] = [e, g_{-1}] = (g_0^f)^\perp \). The subspace \( g_0^f \), being the centralizer of \( sl_2 \),
is a reductive subalgebra of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, hence the bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ restricts to a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}^f$, and $[f, \mathfrak{g}_1]$ is its orthocomplement. Hence, we have the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus [f, \mathfrak{g}_1].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)$$

and we denote by $\sharp : \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ and $\perp : \mathfrak{g}_0 \to [f, \mathfrak{g}_1]$ the corresponding orthogonal projections. In fact, the decomposition (4.1) is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, namely we have the following

**Lemma 4.1.** (a) $[\mathfrak{g}_0^f, \mathfrak{g}_0^f] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f,$
(b) $[\mathfrak{g}_0^f, [f, \mathfrak{g}_1]] \subset [f, \mathfrak{g}_1],$
(c) $[[f, \mathfrak{g}_1], [f, \mathfrak{g}_1]] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f.$

**Proof.** Parts (a) and (b) are immediate, by invariance of the bilinear form and by the Jacobi identity. For part (c) we have, for $v, v_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$,

$$[e, [[f, v], [f, v_1]]] = [[e, [f, v]], [f, v_1]] + [[f, v], [e, [f, v_1]]]$$

$$= 2[v, [f, v_1]] + 2[[f, v], v_1] = 0.$$

Hence, $[[f, v], [f, v_1]] \in \mathfrak{g}_0^c = \mathfrak{g}_0^f.$ \hspace{1cm} $\square$

Recall that we have a commutative Jordan product on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ given by (0.1). We fix dual bases $\{a_i\}_{i \in J_0}$ and $\{a^i\}_{i \in J_0^f}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0^f$: $(a_i | a^j) = \delta_{ij}$. They are equivalently defined by the following completeness relation:

$$\sum_{i \in J_0^f} (a | a^i) a_i = a^\sharp \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)$$

Let also $\{u_k\}_{k \in J_1}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and let $\{u_k^f\}_{k \in J_1}$ be the dual (with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)$) basis of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. Then, it is easy to check that $\{-\frac{1}{2}[e, u_k]\}_{k \in J_1}, \{[f, u_k]\}_{k \in J_1}$, are dual bases of $[f, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$. In other words, we have the completeness relations:

$$\sum_{k \in J_1} (u | u_k^f) u_k = u \text{ for all } u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \sum_{k \in J_1} (v | u_k^f) u_k = v \text{ for all } v \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} ([e, u_k] | [f, u_k]) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} ([f, u_k] | [e, u_k]) = a^\perp \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)$$

Recall that, by assumption, $s \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. We can compute, using the definitions (2.11) and (2.12), all $\lambda$-brackets $\rho(x_{\lambda} y)_z$ for arbitrary $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. The results are given in Table 3:
Table 3. $\rho(x, y)_z$ for $x, y \in g$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\rho(\cdot, \cdot)_z$</th>
<th>$u_1 \in g_1$</th>
<th>$b \in g_0$</th>
<th>$v_1 \in g_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u \in g_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$[u, b] + z(s[u, b])$</td>
<td>$[u, v_1] + (u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a \in g_0$</td>
<td>$[a, u_1] + z(s[a, u_1])$</td>
<td>$[a, b] + (a</td>
<td>b)\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v \in g_1$</td>
<td>$[v, u_1] + (v</td>
<td>u_1)\lambda$</td>
<td>$(f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Generators of $W$ for short nilpotent $f$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $W$ be the $W$-algebra associated to a short nilpotent element $f \in g$. As a differential algebra, $W$ is the algebra of differential polynomials with the following generators: $a_i$, for $i \in J_0^f$, and $\psi(u_k)$, for $k \in J_1$, where $\psi : g_1 \rightarrow W[2]$ is the following injective map

$$
\psi(u) = u - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} [u, u^k][e, u_k] - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in J_1} [f, u^k][e, u \circ u_k] + \frac{1}{2} \partial[e, u],
$$

(4.4)

where we are using the notation (0.1). The subspace of elements of conformal weight 1 is $W[1] = g_0^f$, while the subspace of elements of conformal weight 2 is

$$
W[2] = \psi(g_1) \oplus \partial g_0^f \oplus S^2 g_0^f.
$$

**Proof.** Since $g_1^f = 0$, it is clear from Theorem 2.4 that $W[1] = g_0^f$. Hence, according to Theorem 2.4, we only need to prove that all the elements $\psi(u), u \in g_1$, lie in $W$. In other words, recalling the definition (2.14) of $W$, we need to prove that, for every $u \in g_1$ and $v \in g_1$, we have

$$
\rho(v, \psi(u))_z = 0.
$$

By Table 3 we have

$$
\rho(v, \psi(u))_z = \rho(v, u)_z + \frac{1}{2}(\partial + \lambda)\rho(v, [e, u])_z - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \rho(v, [u, u^k])_z[e, u_k]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \rho(v, [e, u_k])_z[u, u^k] - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in J_1} \rho(v, [f, u^k])_z[e, u \circ u_k]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in J_1} \rho(v, [e, u \circ u_k])_z[f, u^k]
$$

$$
= [v, u] + (v|u)\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \lambda(f|[v, [e, u]]) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} (f|[v, [u, u^k]])[e, u_k]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} (f|[v, [e, u_k]])[u, u^k] - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in J_1} (f|[v, [f, u^k]])[e, u \circ u_k]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k \in J_1} (f|[v, [e, u \circ u_k]])[f, u^k].
$$

(4.5)
By invariance of $(\cdot | \cdot)$ and representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we have $(f [[v, [e, u]]]) = -2(v|u)$. Hence, the linear terms in $\lambda$ in the RHS of (4.5) vanish. Moreover, by invariance of $(\cdot | \cdot)$, by the Jacobi identity, and the completeness relations (4.3), we can rewrite the RHS of (4.5) as

$$[v, u] - \frac{1}{2} [e, [[f, v], u]] - \frac{1}{2} [u, [[f, v], e]]$$
$$- \frac{1}{8} [e, u \circ [[f, v], f]] - \frac{1}{8} [f, [[[f, v], e], [e, u]]].$$

(4.6)

By the Jacobi identity, we have

$$[e, [[f, v], u]] = 2[v, u] + [[f, v], [e, u]],$$
$$[u, [[f, v], e]] = -2[u, v],$$
$$[e, u \circ [[f, v], f]] = 2[[e, u], [f, v]] + 4[u, v],$$
$$[f, [[[f, v], e], [e, u]]] = 2[[e, u], [f, v]] - 4[v, u].$$

Hence, (4.6) is equal to 0. □

As in the Sect. 3.2, we denote by $\pi : \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$ the differential algebra homomorphism induced by the quotient map $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^f$ defined by (2.2).

**Corollary 4.3.** The quotient map $\pi : \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$ restricts to a differential algebra isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{W} \sim \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$, and the inverse map $\pi^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f) \sim \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is defined, on generators, by

$$\pi^{-1}(a) = a \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f, \quad \pi^{-1}(u) = \psi(u) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}.$$

**Proof.** The same as for Corollary 3.3. □

### 4.3. $\lambda$-bracket in $\mathcal{W}$ for short nilpotent $f$.

**Theorem 4.4.** The multiplication table for $\mathcal{W}$ in the case of a short nilpotent element $f$ is given by Table 4 $(a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f, u, u_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1})$:

where the $\lambda$-bracket of $\psi(u)$ and $\psi(u_1)$ is

$$\{\psi(u_1), \psi(u_1)\}_{z,\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi(u \circ u_k)[u_1, u^k]^z - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi(u_1 \circ u_k)[u, u^k]^z$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{h, k \in J_1} [[e, u_h], [e, u_k]][u, u^h]^z[u_1, u^k]^z - \frac{1}{2} (\partial + 2\lambda) \psi(u \circ u_1)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4} (\partial + 2\lambda) \sum_{k \in J_1} [[e, u], [e, u_k]][u_1, u^k]^z + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} [[e, u_1], [e, u_k]](\partial + \lambda)[u, u^k]^z$$
$$- \frac{1}{4} (3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda \partial + \partial^2) [[e, u], [e, u_1]] + \frac{1}{4} (e|u \circ u_1)\lambda^3$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} z ([e, u], [s, u_1]^z) - [[e, u_1], [s, u]]^z - (s|u \circ u_1)z\lambda. \quad (4.7)$$
Table 4. $\lambda$-brackets among generators of $\mathcal{W}$ for short nilpotent $f$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>${ \cdot, \cdot }<em>z,</em>{\rho}$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$\psi(u_1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$[a, b] + (a</td>
<td>b)\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi(u)$</td>
<td>$\psi([u, b]) + z(s</td>
<td>[u, b]$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Virasoro element (2.19) can be expressed in terms of the generators of $\mathcal{W}$ as follows:

$$L = \psi(f) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i a^i,$$

and we have the following $\lambda$-brackets of $L$ with the generators of $\mathcal{W}$ ($a \in g^f_0$, $u \in g_{-1}$):

$$\{L, a\}_z,_{\rho} = (\partial + \lambda)a,$$
$$\{L, \psi(u)\}_z,_{\rho} = (\partial + 2\lambda)\psi(u) - \frac{1}{2}(e|u)\lambda^3 + z(s|u)\lambda. \quad (4.9)$$

**Remark 4.5.** Note that the formula (4.7) for $\{\psi(u), \psi(u_1)\}_z,_{\rho}$ is indeed skew-symmetric w.r.t. exchanging $u$ with $u_1$ and $\lambda$ with $-\lambda - \partial$. This follows from the following identity in $(g^f_0) \otimes^2 (u, u_1 \in g_{-1})$:

$$\sum_{k \in J_1} [[e, u], [e, u_k]] \otimes [u_1, u^k]^z = \sum_{k \in J_1} [u, u^k]^z \otimes [[e, u_1], [e, u_k]], \quad (4.10)$$

which can be easily checked, by taking inner product with an element $a \otimes b \in (g^f_0) \otimes^2$.

**Proof of Theorem 4.4.** The $\lambda$-bracket $\{a, b\}_z,_{\rho}$ is given by Table 3. Next, we compute $\{a, \psi(u)\}_z,_{\rho}$ for $a \in g^f_0$ and $u \in g_{-1}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we compute, instead, $\pi \{a, \psi(u)\}_z,_{\rho}$, and then apply the inverse map $\pi^{-1}$, using Corollary 4.3. Recalling that $[e, g_{-1}] = [f, g_1] \subset \text{Ker}(\pi)$ we have, by the formula (4.4) for $\psi(u)$ and the Leibniz rule,

$$\pi \{a, \psi(u)\}_z,_{\rho} = \pi \rho \{a, \psi(u)\}_z - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} [u, u^k]^z \pi \rho \{a, [e, u_k]\}_z$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}(\partial + \lambda)\pi \rho \{a, [e, u]\}_z. \quad (4.11)$$

Using Table 3 and the definition of $\pi$, Eq. (4.11) gives

$$\pi \{a, \psi(u)\}_z,_{\rho} = [a, u] + z(s|[a, u]) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} [u, u^k]^z ([a, [e, u_k]]^z + (a|[e, u_k])\lambda)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}(\partial + \lambda)([a, [e, u]]^z + (a|[e, u])\lambda). \quad (4.12)$$
By assumption, $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f = \mathfrak{g}_0^c$. Hence, by invariance of the bilinear form we have $(a[[e, u]]) = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, and by the Jacobi identity and the definition of $\varpi$ we have $[a, [e, u]]^\varpi = 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Therefore, Eq. (4.12) reduces to

$$\pi \{a_\lambda \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho} = [a, u] + z(s[[a, u]]) \tag{4.13}$$

Applying $\pi^{-1}$ to Eq. (4.13), we get, according to Corollary 4.3, $\{a_\lambda \psi(u)\}_{z, \rho} = \psi([a, u]) + z(s[[a, u]])$, as stated in Table 4.

Next, we want to compute the formula for the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\psi(u)_\lambda \psi(u_1)\}_{z, \rho}$. As before, we first compute $\pi \{\psi(u)_\lambda \psi(u_1)\}_{z, \rho}$, and then apply the inverse map $\pi^{-1}$. Recalling that $[e, \mathfrak{g}_1] = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subset \ker(\pi)$ we have, by the formula (4.4) for $\psi(u)$ and the Leibniz rule,

$$\pi \{\psi(u)_\lambda \psi(u_1)\}_{z, \rho} = \pi \{\rho [u_\lambda u_1]_{z}\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} [u_1, u_k] \pi \{\rho [u_\lambda [e, u_k]]_{z}\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \pi \{\rho [[e, u_k]_{\lambda + \lambda_{\varpi}} u_1]_{z}\} \sum_{k \in J_1} [u_1, u_k] \pi \{\rho [u_\lambda [e, u_k]]_{z}\} - \frac{1}{2} (\varpi + \lambda) \pi \{\rho [u_\lambda [e, u_1]]_{z}\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \lambda \pi \{\rho [u_\lambda u_1]_{z}\} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{h, k \in J_1} [u_1, u_k] \pi \{\rho [[e, u_k]_{\lambda + \lambda_{\varpi}} [e, u_1]]_{z}\} [u_1, u^h]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} \lambda [u_1, u_k] \pi \{\rho [[e, u_k]_{\lambda + \lambda_{\varpi}} [e, u_1]]_{z}\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\varpi + \lambda) \pi \{\rho [[e, u_k]_{\lambda [e, u_1]]_{z}}$$

(4.14)

According to Table 3 we have, by definition of $\pi$, for arbitrary $u, u_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$:

$$\pi \{\rho [u_\lambda u_1]_{z}\} = 0,$$

$$\pi \{\rho [[e, u_k]_{\lambda [e, u_1]]_{z}} = -\pi \{\rho [u_\lambda [e, u_1]]_{z}\} = u \circ u_1 + z(s[u \circ u_1]),$$

$$\pi \{\rho [[e, u_k]_{\lambda [e, u_1]]_{z}} = [[e, u], [e, u_1]] - (e[u \circ u_1])\lambda.$$

In the last identity we used the fact that $[[e, \mathfrak{g}_1], [e, \mathfrak{g}_1]] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ (cf. Lemma 4.1). Using the above identities and the completeness relations (4.3), Eq. (4.1) gives

$$\pi \{\psi(u)_\lambda \psi(u_1)\}_{z, \rho} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} (u \circ u_k) [u_1, u_k] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} (u_1 \circ u_k) [u_1, u_k]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} z[[s, u], [e, u_1]] + \frac{1}{4} z[[s, u], [e, u_1]]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (\varpi + \lambda) u \circ u_1 - \frac{1}{2} z(u \circ u_1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{h, k \in J_1} [[e, u_h], [e, u_k]] [u_1, u_k] [u_1, u_k] + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} (u_1, u_k) (\varpi + \lambda) [[e, u], [e, u_k]]$$
\[-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} (\partial + \lambda)[[e, u_k], [e, u_1]] [u, u^k]^z - \frac{1}{4} (\partial + \lambda)^2 [[e, u], [e, u_1]]\]

\[+\frac{1}{4} \lambda \sum_{k \in J_1} [[e, u], [e, u_k]] [u_1, u^k]^z - \frac{1}{4} \lambda^2 [[e, u], [e, u_1]]\]

\[= \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\partial + \lambda)[[e, u], [e, u_1]] + \frac{1}{4} \lambda^3 (e|u \circ u_1). \quad \text{(4.15)}\]

Applying the bijective map \(\pi^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(g^f) \to \mathcal{W}\) to both sides of Eq. (4.15) and using Corollary 4.3 and Eq. (4.10), we get Eq. (4.7).

The formula (2.19) for \(L\) reduces, in the special case of a short nilpotent element \(f\), to

\[L = f + x' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J_0} a_j a^j, \quad \text{(4.16)}\]

while Eq. (4.4) reduces, for \(u = f\), to

\[\psi(f) = f + x' - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} [f, u^k][e, u_k]. \quad \text{(4.17)}\]

Here we used the fact that \(f \circ u = -2u\) for every \(u \in g_{-1}\). Equation (4.8) follows from Eqs. (4.16) and (4.17) and the fact that \(\{ -\frac{1}{2} [e, u_k]\}_{k \in J_1}, \{ [f, u^k]\}_{k \in J_1}\), are dual bases of \([f, g_1] \subset g_0\), and \(g_0^f\) is the orthocomplement to \([f, g_1]\) in \(g_0\).

Finally, we prove Eq. (4.9). If \(a \in g_0^f\) we have, from Table 4, that \([a, \psi(f)]_{z, \rho} = 0\,\text{and}\)

\[\{a, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i a^i\}_{z, \rho} = \sum_{i \in J_0^f} ([a, a^j] + (a|a^j)\lambda) a_i = a\lambda. \quad \text{(4.18)}\]

Here we used the fact that \(\sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i a^i\) is invariant with respect to the adjoint action of \(g_0^f\), and the completeness relation (4.2). Therefore, by Eq. (4.8), we have

\[\{a, L\}_{z, \rho} = a\lambda. \quad \text{(4.19)}\]

The first equation in (4.9) follows by skew-symmetry. Similarly, for the second equation in (4.9) we have, from Eq. (4.7),

\[\{\psi(u), \psi(f)\}_{z, \rho} = \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi(u_k) [u, u^k]^z + (\partial + 2\lambda) \psi(u) - \frac{1}{2} (e|u)\lambda^3\]

\[+\frac{1}{2} z[[e, u], [s, f]]^z + 2(s|u) z\lambda. \quad \text{(4.18)}\]

Here we used the facts that \([f, g_1] \subset \text{Ker } \pi\), so that \([f, v]^z = 0\) for every \(v \in g_1\), that \(f \circ u = u \circ f = -2u\) for every \(u \in g_{-1}\), and that \([e, f] = 2x\) commutes with \(g_0\). Furthermore, by Table 4, the left Leibniz rule, and the completeness relation (4.2), we have

\[\{\psi(u), \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i a^i\}_{z, \rho} = \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \psi([u, a^i]) a_i + z[s, u]^z. \quad \text{(4.19)}\]
It is not hard to check, using the completeness relations (4.2) and (4.3), that
\[
\sum_{k \in J_1} \psi(u_k)[u, u^k]^\sharp = - \sum_{i \in J_0} \psi([u, a^i]) a_i,
\]
and, by the Jacobi identity, that
\[
[[e, u], [s, f]]^\sharp = -2[s, u]^\sharp.
\]
Therefore, combining Eqs. (4.18) and (4.19), we get, by (4.8), that
\[
\{\psi(u), L\}_{z, \rho} = (\partial + 2\lambda) \psi(u) - \frac{1}{2}(e|u)\lambda^3 + 2(s|u)z\lambda.
\]
The second equation in (4.9) follows by skew-symmetry. \(\square\)

5. Generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov Integrable Bi-Hamiltonian Hierarchies

In this section we remind the construction of generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies, following [DSKV12].

According to the Lenard–Magri scheme of integrability (1.4), in order to construct an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations in \(\mathcal{W}\), we need to find a sequence of local functionals \(\int g_n \in \mathcal{W}/\partial \mathcal{W}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), satisfying the following recursive equations \((w \in \mathcal{W})\):

\[
\int \{g_{n+1}, \lambda w\}_{K, \rho} |_{\lambda=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int \{g_n, \lambda w\}_{H, \rho} |_{\lambda=0} = \int \{g_{n+1}, \lambda w\}_{K, \rho} |_{\lambda=0}.
\]

In this case it is well known and easy to prove (see e.g. [BDSK09, Lem.2.6]) that the \(\int g_n\)'s are in involution with respect to both \(H\) and \(K\):

\[
\{\int g_m, \int g_n\}_{H, \rho} = \{\int g_m, \int g_n\}_{K, \rho} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,
\]

and we get the corresponding integrable hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations:

\[
\frac{dw}{dt_n} = \{g_n, \lambda w\}_{H, \rho} |_{\lambda=0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,
\]

provided that the \(\int g_n\)'s span an infinite dimensional subspace of \(\mathcal{W}/\partial \mathcal{W}\).

Consider the Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{F}((z^{-1}))\), where \(\mathbb{F}((z^{-1}))\) is the field of formal Laurent series in the indeterminate \(z^{-1}\). Introduce the \(\mathbb{Z}\)-grading of \(\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))\) by letting

\[
\deg(a \otimes z^k) = i - (d + 1)k, \quad \text{for} \ a \in \mathfrak{g}_i \text{ and} \ k \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

where \(d\) is the depth of the grading (2.1). Then, for \(s \in \mathfrak{g}_d\), the element \(f + zs\) is homogeneous of degree \(-1\). We denote by \(\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))_i \subset \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))\) the space of homogeneous elements of degree \(i\). Then we have the grading

\[
\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))_i,
\]

where the direct sum is completed by allowing infinite series in positive degrees.

Recall the following well known facts about semisimple elements in a simple, or more generally reductive, Lie algebra:
Lemma 5.1. The following conditions are equivalent for an element $\Lambda$ of a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$:

(i) $\text{ad} \Lambda$ is a semisimple endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$;
(ii) the adjoint orbit of $\Lambda$ is closed;
(iii) $\text{Ker}(\text{ad} \Lambda) \cap \text{Im}(\text{ad} \Lambda) = 0$;
(iv) $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad} \Lambda) + \text{Im}(\text{ad} \Lambda)$;
(v) $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Ker}(\text{ad} \Lambda) \oplus \text{Im}(\text{ad} \Lambda)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is well known, [OV89]. Clearly, conditions (iii), (iv) and (v) are equivalent by linear algebra, since $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite dimensional. Moreover, condition (i) obviously implies (iii), again by linear algebra. To conclude, we shall prove that condition (iii) implies condition (i). Consider the Jordan decomposition $\Lambda = s + n$, where $s, n \in \mathfrak{g}$ are respectively the semisimple and nilpotent parts of $\Lambda$. Since $s$ and $n$ commute, $n$ lies in $\mathfrak{g}^s$, the centralizer of $s$, and so $\text{ad} n|_{\mathfrak{g}^s}$ is a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^s$. By assumption, $\text{Ker}(\text{ad} \Lambda) \cap \text{Im}(\text{ad} \Lambda) = 0$, and, a fortiori, $\text{Ker}(\text{ad} \mathfrak{g}^s) \cap \text{Im}(\text{ad} \mathfrak{g}^s) = 0$, which is the same as saying that $\text{Ker}(\text{ad} n|_{\mathfrak{g}^s}) \cap \text{Im}(\text{ad} n|_{\mathfrak{g}^s}) = 0$. But for a nilpotent element, kernel and image having zero intersection is the same as the element being zero. Therefore, $\text{ad} n|_{\mathfrak{g}^s} = 0$, i.e. $n$ is a central element of $\mathfrak{g}^s$. But $\mathfrak{g}^s \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive subalgebra, hence its center consists of semisimple elements of $\mathfrak{g}$, [OV89]. It follows that $n = 0$. □

We shall assume that $f + s$ is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $f + zs$ is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))$. (Indeed, for any scalar $t$ we have a Lie algebra automorphism acting as $t^i$ in $\mathfrak{g}_i$. On the other hand, $f \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, and $s$ is a homogeneous element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$. Hence, considering $z$ as an element of the field $\mathbb{F}((z^{-1}))$, $f + s$ is semisimple if and only if $f + zs$ is semisimple.) Therefore we have the following direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp,$$
where $\mathfrak{h} := \text{Ker} \text{ad}(f + zs)$ and $\mathfrak{h}^\perp := \text{Im} \text{ad}(f + zs)$. (5.4)

Since $f + zs$ is homogeneous, the decomposition (5.3) induces the corresponding decompositions of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$:

$$\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}^\perp = \bigoplus_{i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}^\perp_i.$$ (5.5)

Recall that $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})$ is a commutative associative algebra with derivation $\partial$. Hence we may consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathbb{F}\partial \ltimes (\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})),$$
where $\partial$ acts on the second factor. Note that $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))_{> 0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})$ is a pro-nilpotent subalgebra. Hence, for $U(z) \in \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))_{> 0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}})$ we have a well defined automorphism $e^{\text{ad} U(z)}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}\partial \ltimes (\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}))$.

As in Sect. 2.1, we fix a basis $\{q_i\}_{i \in J_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and we let $\{q^i\}_{i \in J_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}}$ be the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$, w.r.t. the bilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. We denote

$$q = \sum_{i \in J_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}} q^i \otimes q_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}).$$ (5.6)
Proposition 5.2 [DSKV12, Prop. 4.5]. There exist formal Laurent series $U(z) \in g((z^{-1}))_{>0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq 1})$ and $h(z) \in h_{>-1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq 1})$ such that

$$e^{\text{ad}U(z)}(\partial + (f + zs) \otimes 1 + q) = \partial + (f + zs) \otimes 1 + h(z).$$

The elements $U(z)$ and $h(z)$ solving (5.7) are uniquely determined if we require that $U(z) \in h_{>0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq 1})$

The key result of this section is the following theorem, which allows us to construct an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations.

Theorem 5.3 [DSKV12, Thm. 4.18]. Assume that $s \in g_d$ is such that $f + s$ is a semisimple element of $g$. Let $U(z) \in g((z^{-1}))_{>0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq 1})$ and $h(z) \in h_{>-1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq 1})$ be a solution of Eq. (5.7). Let $0 \neq a(z) \in Z(h)$, the center of $h \subset g((z^{-1}))$. Then, the coefficients $\int g_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, of the Laurent series $\int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int g_n z^N-n$ defined by

$$\int g(z) = \int (a(z) \otimes 1|h(z)),

$$

span an infinite-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{W}/\mathcal{W}$ and they satisfy the Lenard–Magri recursion conditions (5.1). Hence, we get an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations (5.2), called a generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy.

Remark 5.4. It follows from [BDSK09, Prop.2.10] that all the $\int g_n$’s obtained by taking all possible $a(z) \in Z(h)$ are in involution. Thus we attach an integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy to a nilpotent element $f$ of a simple Lie algebra $g$ and a choice of $s \in g_d$ such that $f + s$ is a semisimple element of $g$.

6. Generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov Hierarchy for a Minimal Nilpotent

6.1. Preliminary computations. As in Sect. 3 we assume, without loss of generality, that $s = e$. We start by finding the direct sum decomposition (5.4).

Lemma 6.1. The element $f + ze \in g((z^{-1}))$ is semisimple, and we have the decomposition $g((z^{-1})) = h \oplus h^\perp$, where

$$h = \text{Ker ad}(f + ze) = g^f_0((z^{-1})) \oplus F(f + ze)((z^{-1}))

$$

and

$$h^\perp = \text{Im ad}(f + ze) = g_{-\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1})) \oplus F x((z^{-1})) \oplus g_{\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1})) \oplus F(f - ze)((z^{-1})).

$$

Proof. Since $g^f_0 = g^e_0$, we have $g^f_0((z^{-1})) \subset \text{Ker ad}(f + ze)$. Moreover, obviously $f + ze \in \text{Ker ad}(f + ze)$. Therefore

$$g^f_0((z^{-1})) \oplus F(f + ze)((z^{-1})) \subset \text{Ker ad}(f + ze).

$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[f + ze, g_{-\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1}))] = [f, g_{-\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1}))] = g_{-\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1})),$$

$$[f + ze, g_{\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1}))] = [z, g_{\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1}))] = g_{\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1})).$$


and
\[ [f + ze, \left( \frac{1}{4}(f - ze)z^{-1} \right)] = x \quad \text{and} \quad [f + ze, x] = f - ze. \quad (6.4) \]

Therefore
\[ \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathbb{R}x((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathbb{F}(f - ze)((z^{-1})) \subset \text{Im ad}(f + ze). \quad (6.5) \]

Equalities (6.1) and (6.2) immediately follow from the inclusions (6.3) and (6.5). □

Since \( d = 1 \), the degree of \( z \) equals \(-2\). It is then easy to find each piece \( \mathfrak{h}_i \) and \( \mathfrak{h}_i^\perp \), \( i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \), of the decompositions (5.5). We have

(i) \( \mathfrak{h}_i = 0 \) for \( i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \),

(ii) \( \mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}z^{-\frac{i}{2}} \) for \( i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \),

(iii) \( \mathfrak{h}_i = \mathbb{R}(f + ze)z^{-\frac{i+1}{2}} \) for \( i \in 2\mathbb{Z} - 1 \),

(iv) \( \mathfrak{h}_i^\perp = \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}}z^{-\frac{i+1}{2}} \) for \( i \in 2\mathbb{Z} - \frac{1}{2} \),

(v) \( \mathfrak{h}_i^\perp = \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}z^{-\frac{2i+1}{2}} \) for \( i \in 2\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \),

(vi) \( \mathfrak{h}_i^\perp = \mathbb{R}xz^{-\frac{i}{2}} \) for \( i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \),

(vii) \( \mathfrak{h}_i^\perp = \mathbb{R}(f - ze)z^{-\frac{i+1}{2}} \) for \( i \in 2\mathbb{Z} - 1 \).

Recall from Sect. 2.1 that \( \{a_j \}_j \in J_0^f \) and \( \{a^j \}_j \in J_0^f \) denote dual bases of \( \mathfrak{g}_{0}^f \) with respect to the inner product \((\cdot | \cdot)\), and that \( \{v^k \}_k \in J_1^f \) and \( \{v_k \}_k \in J_1^f \) denote bases of \( \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}^f \) dual with respect to the skewsymmetric bilinear form \( \omega_+ \) defined in (2.6). Therefore, \( \{[f, v_k] \}_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and \( \{v^k \}_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} \) are dual bases with respect to \((\cdot | \cdot)\). Then, the element \( q \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \) defined in (5.6) is the following

\[ q = \sum_{k \in J_1^f} [f, v_k] \otimes v_k + \sum_{k \in J_1^f} v_k \otimes [f, v_k] + \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i \otimes a^i + \frac{x}{(x|x)} \otimes x + \frac{e}{2(x|x)} \otimes f. \quad (6.6) \]

In order to apply Theorem 5.3 we need to find (unique) \( U(z) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\geq 0}^i \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) and \( h(z) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\leq -1}^i \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) solving Eq. (5.7). We will do it recursively by degree. We extend the degree (5.3) of \( \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \) to \( \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) by letting the degree of elements of \( \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) be zero. We can then expand \( U(z) \) and \( h(z) \) according to the decompositions (5.5) for \( \mathfrak{h} \) and \( \mathfrak{h}^\perp \):

\[ U(z) = U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} + U(z)_1 + U(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} + U(z)_2 + \ldots \quad \text{with} \quad U(z)_i \in \mathfrak{h}^i_{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}), \]

\[ h(z) = h(z)_0 + h(z)_1 + h(z)_2 + \ldots \quad \text{with} \quad h(z)_i \in \mathfrak{h}_i \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}), \]

(here we are using the fact that \( \mathfrak{h}_i = 0 \) for semi-integer \( i \), and we can also expand accordingly the element \( q \) in (6.6) as \( q = q_{\frac{1}{2}} + q_0 + q_{\frac{3}{2}} + q_1 \), where

\[ q_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k \in J_1^f} [f, v_k] \otimes v_k, \quad q_0 = \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i \otimes a^i + \frac{x}{(x|x)} \otimes x, \]
\[ q_\frac{1}{2} = \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} v^k \otimes [f, v_k], \quad q_1 = \frac{e}{2(x|x)} \otimes f. \]

Clearly, both sides of Eq. (5.7) have the form \( \vartheta + (f + ze) \otimes 1+ \) some expression in \( g((z^{-1}))_{\geq -1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \), and we could solve it, degree by degree, by comparing the terms in \( g((z^{-1}))_i \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \) for each \( i \geq -\frac{1}{2} \) in both sides of the equation. Instead, we will use a trick similar to the one used for the proof of Theorem 3.4. Recall the definition of the quotient map \( \pi : \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(g^f) \), which, by Corollary 3.3, restricts to a bijection on \( \mathcal{W} \), and the inverse map \( \pi^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(g^f) \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \). We extend these maps to

\[ (6.7) \]

\[ \pi : g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f), \quad \pi^{-1} : g((z^{-1})) \mathcal{V}(g^f) \rightarrow g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{W}, \]

by acting as the identity on the first factors. Then, instead of computing \( U(z) \) and \( h(z) \) in low degrees (which becomes quite lengthy even at low degrees), we will compute their projections \( \pi U(z) \) and \( \pi h(z) \) in \( h_{\geq 0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f) \) and \( h_{>-1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f) \) respectively, degree by degree. Using Eq. (5.8) we then get \( \int \pi g(z) \), and therefore, applying the inverse map \( \pi^{-1} \) by use of Corollary 3.3 (which is a differential algebra homomorphism, and therefore it commutes with taking \( \pi \)), we get \( \int g(z) \in \mathcal{W}/\partial \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{W}(z^{-1})) \).

Since \( \pi : \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(g^f) \) is a homomorphism of differential algebras, it follows that \( \pi : g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f) \) is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Therefore, applying \( \pi \) to both sides of Eq. (5.7), we get

\[ (6.8) \]

\[ e^{\text{ad} \pi U(z)} (\vartheta + (f + ze) \otimes 1 + \pi q) = \vartheta + (f + ze) \otimes 1 + \pi h(z), \]

and, by the formula (6.6) for \( q \) we get \( \pi q = \pi q_0 + \pi q_{\frac{1}{2}} + \pi q_1 \), where

\[ (6.9) \]

\[ \pi q_0 = \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i \otimes a^i, \quad \pi q_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} v^k \otimes [f, v_k], \quad \pi q_1 = \frac{e}{2(x|x)} \otimes f. \]

We start by looking at the homogeneous components of degree \(-\frac{1}{2}\) in both sides of Eq. (6.8). We get the following equation

\[ [\pi U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (f + ze) \otimes 1] = 0, \]

which implies \( \pi U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \), since \( \text{ad} (f + ze) \) is bijective on \( h_{\frac{1}{2}} \). Similarly, taking the homogeneous components of degree 0 in both sides of Eq. (6.8), we get

\[ \pi h(z)_0 = \pi q_0 + [\pi U(z)_{1}, (f + ze) \otimes 1]. \]

By Eq. (6.9) we have \( \pi q_0 \in g_0^f \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f) \). Therefore, by looking at the components in \( h_0 = g_0^f \) and \( h_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{F}x \) separately, we get that \( \pi U(z)_1 = 0 \), and

\[ (6.10) \]

\[ \pi h(z)_0 = \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i \otimes a^i. \]

Next, we take the homogeneous components of degree \( \frac{1}{2} \) in both sides of Eq. (6.8):

\[ \pi q_{\frac{1}{2}} + [\pi U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (f + ze) \otimes 1] = 0. \]
Recalling the expression (6.9) for $\pi q_1$, and using the fact that $v^k = [f + ze, [f, v^k]z^{-1}]$, we deduce that

$$\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{k \in J_1^2} [f, v^k]z^{-1} \otimes [f, v_k]. \quad (6.11)$$

We then take the homogeneous components of degree 1 in both sides of Eq. (6.8):

$$\pi h(z)_1 = \pi q_1 + [\pi U(z)_2, (f + ze) \otimes 1].$$

Recalling the expression (6.9) of $\pi q_1$, using the obvious decomposition

$$e = \frac{1}{2}(f + ze)z^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(f - ze)z^{-1} \in h_1 \oplus h_1^\perp,$$

and the second equation in (6.4), we get

$$\pi h(z)_1 = \frac{1}{4(x|x)}(f + ze)z^{-1} \otimes f,$$

$$\pi U(z)_2 = -\frac{1}{4(x|x)}x z^{-1} \otimes f. \quad (6.12)$$

Next, we take the terms of degree $\frac{1}{2}$ in both sides of Eq. (6.8):

$$-[\partial, \pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}] + [\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}, (f + ze) \otimes 1] + [\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}, \pi q_0] = 0.$$

Recalling the formulas (6.9) for $\pi q_0$ and (6.11) for $\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$[(f + ze) \otimes 1, \pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}] = -\sum_{k \in J_2^1} [f, v^k]z^{-1} \otimes \partial [f, v_k]$$

$$+ \sum_{i,J_0^1,k \in J_2^1} [[f, v^k], a^i]z^{-1} \otimes a_i [f, v_k].$$

Note that $[f, v^k] = [f + ze, v^k]$, and, since $a^i \in g_0^f$, we also have, by the Jacobi identity, $[[f, v^k], a^i] = -[f + ze, [a^i, v^k]]$. Hence,

$$\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2} = -\sum_{k \in J_2^1} v^kz^{-1} \otimes \partial [f, v_k] - \sum_{i,J_0^1,k \in J_2^1} [a^i, v^k]z^{-1} \otimes a_i [f, v_k]. \quad (6.13)$$

Next, taking the terms of degree 2 in both sides of Eq. (6.8), we get:

$$\pi h(z)_2 + [(f + ze) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_3] = -[\partial, \pi U(z)_2] + [\pi U(z)_2, \pi q_0]$$

$$+ [\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}, \pi q_1] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}, [\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}, (f + ze) \otimes 1]].$$

Substituting the expressions (6.9) for $\pi q_0$ and $\pi q_1$, (6.11) for $\pi U(z) \frac{1}{2}$, and (6.12) for $\pi U(z)_2$, we get

$$\pi h(z)_2 + [(f + ze) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_3] = \frac{1}{4(x|x)}xz^{-1} \otimes \partial f$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h,k \in J_2^1} [[f, v^h], v^k]z^{-1} \otimes [f, v_h][f, v_k].$$
Here we used the fact that, by Lemma 2.2(a), $[[f, v^h], f + ze] = -v^h$. To find $\pi h(z)_2$ and $\pi U(z)_3$, we find the components in $\mathfrak{h}_2 \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f) = \mathfrak{g}_0^f \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$ and in $\mathfrak{h}^+_2 \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f) = F x z^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$ in the RHS above. By the definition (2.6) of the skew-symmetric form $\omega_+$, we have the decomposition

$$[[f, v^h], v^k] = [[f, v^h], v^k]^a + \frac{1}{2} \omega_+(v^k, v^h)(x|x)x \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus F x.$$

Moreover, by the completeness relation (2.8), we have

$$\sum_{h, k \in J_3^+} \omega_+(v^k, v^h)[f, v_h][f, v_k] = \sum_{k \in J_3^+} [f, v^k][f, v_k],$$

which is zero by skewsymmetry of the bilinear form $\omega_+$. Therefore, by the first equation in (6.4), we get

$$\pi h(z)_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h, k \in J_3^+} [[f, v^h], v^k]^a z^{-1} \otimes [f, v_h][f, v_k]$$

(6.14)

$$\pi U(z)_3 = \frac{1}{16(x|x)}(f - ze)z^{-2} \otimes \partial f.$$

It turns out that, in order to compute $\pi h(z)_3$, we do not need to compute $\pi U(z)_3$. Therefore, we look at the terms of degree 3 in both sides of Eq. (6.8). We get

$$\pi h(z)_3 + [(f + ze) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_4] = [\pi U(z)_3, \partial] + [\pi U(z)_3, \pi q_0] + [\pi U(z)^x_3, \pi q_0] + [\pi U(z)^x_3, \pi q_1]$$

$$+ [\pi U(z)_2, \pi q_1] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)^x_3, [\pi U(z)_3, \partial]] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)^x_3, \partial] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)^x_3, \pi U(z)_3, (f + ze) \otimes 1]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)_2, \pi U(z)_2, (f + ze) \otimes 1] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)^x_3, [\pi U(z)_3, \pi U(z)_3, (f + ze) \otimes 1]]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)^x_3, \pi U(z)^x_3, \pi q_0].$$

By Eqs. (6.9), (6.11), (6.12), (6.13), and (6.14), we get, after some manipulations using Eq. (3.2) and (3.3), and the completeness relation (2.8),

$$\pi h(z)_3 + [(f + ze) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_4]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{16(x|x)}(f - ze)z^{-2} \otimes \partial^2 f + \frac{1}{32(x|x)^2}(f - 3ze)z^{-2} \otimes f^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{4(x|x)}ez^{-1} \otimes \sum_{k \in J_3^+} [f, v^k]\partial [f, v_k]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4(x|x)}ez^{-1} \otimes \sum_{i \in J_0^f, k \in J_3^+} [f, [a^i, v^k]]a_i[f, v_k].$$
Taking the component of both sides in $\mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f) = \mathbb{F}(f+ze)z^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$, i.e. replacing $f$ and $ze$ by $\frac{1}{2}(f+ze)$ in the first factors, we get the formula for $\pi h(z)_3$:

$$
\pi h(z)_3 = (f + ze)z^{-2} \otimes \left( -\frac{1}{32(x|x)^2} f^2 - \frac{1}{8(x|x)} \sum_{k \in J_1} [f, v^k] \partial[f, v_k] \right) \\
- \frac{1}{8(x|x)} \sum_{i \in J_0', k \in J_{1/2}} [a_i^i, [f, v^k]]a_i[f, v_k].
$$

(6.15)

6.2. First few equations of the hierarchies. According to Theorem 5.3, for each non-zero element $a(z) \in Z(\mathfrak{h})$ there is an associated integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy corresponding to the Laurent series $\int g(z) \in \mathcal{W}/\partial \mathcal{W}((z^{-1}))$ defined by (5.8). By Eq. (6.1), the center of $\mathfrak{h}$ is spanned over $\mathbb{F}((z^{-1}))$ by $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0^f)$ and the element $f + ze$. (Note that $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0^f)$ is 1-dimensional for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n, n \geq 3$, and it is zero in all other cases.) Hence, we will consider separately the following two choices:

(a) $a(z) = c \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0^f)$,
(b) $a(z) = f + ze$.

Case (a). Let $a(z) = c \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_0^f)$. By Eq. (5.8) and the description (i)–(iii) of the subspaces $\mathfrak{h}_i, i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $\int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int g_n z^{-n}$, where

$$
\int g_n z^{-n} = \int(c \otimes 1|h(z)_{2n+1} + h(z)_{2n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
$$

(6.16)

Equations (6.10), (6.12) and (6.14) give us the value of $\pi h(z)_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$. On the other hand, the quotient map $\pi: \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \times \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_\infty^{-1}) \to \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \times \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)$ defined in (6.7) acts as the identity on the first factor, and it is a differential algebra homomorphism on the second factor. Therefore, it commutes with taking inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)$, and with the $\int$ sign. Therefore, applying $\pi$ to both sides of Eq. (6.16), we get

$$
\int \pi g_n z^{-n} = \int(c \otimes 1|\pi h(z)_{2n+1} + \pi h(z)_{2n}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,
$$

and in order to reconstruct $\int g_n$ from this equation, we just apply the inverse map $\pi^{-1}$ using Corollary 3.3. Therefore,

$$
\int g_0 = \int \varphi(c) \quad \text{and} \quad \int g_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{1/2}} \int \psi([f, v_k])\psi([c, [f, v^k]]).
$$

We can use these first two integrals of motion to write down the corresponding first two equations of the hierarchy: $\frac{d\psi}{dt_0} = \{g_n \varphi(w)\}_{0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, w$ is a generator of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra. They are (a $\in \mathfrak{g}_0^f, u \in \mathfrak{g}_\infty^{-1}$):

$$
\frac{d\varphi(a)}{dt_0} = 0, \quad \frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_0} = \psi([c, u]), \quad \frac{dL}{dt_0} = 0,
$$
and

\[
\frac{d\varphi(a)}{dt_0} = 0, \quad \frac{dL}{dt_0} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_1} = -\frac{1}{2(x|x)} \tilde{L}\psi((c, u)) + \sum_{i,j \in I_0} \varphi(a_i)\varphi(a_j)\psi((c, [a^i, [a^j, u]]))
\]

\[
- \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \psi((c, [a^i, u]))\varphi(a_i) + 2 \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \varphi(a_i)\psi((c, [a^i, u]))' + \psi((c, u))''
\]

where \(\tilde{L}\) was defined in Corollary 3.3.

Remark 6.2. Note that elements \(\varphi(a), a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f\), are central with respect to the \(\lambda\)-bracket \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathcal{K}, \rho}\). Therefore, \(\frac{d\varphi(a)}{dt_n} = 0\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\).

Case (b). Let \(a(z) = f + ze \in Z(\mathfrak{h})\). As before, we write the integrals of motion \(\int g_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), in terms of the various components of \(h(z) \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{z})\). Recall that \(\mathfrak{h}_{2n} = \mathfrak{g}_0^f z^{-n}\), which is orthogonal to \(e\) and \(f\) w.r.t. \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\). Therefore the even components of \(h(z)\) do not contribute to \(\int g(z)\). Furthermore, we have \(\mathfrak{h}_{2n-1} = \mathfrak{F}(f + ze)z^{-n}\), so we can write \(h(z)_{2n-1} = (f + ze)z^{-n} \otimes H_{2n-1}\), for some \(H_{2n-1} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{z})\). Since \((f + ze)_{-1} = 4(x)z\), we thus get that

\[
\int g_n = \int 4(x|x)H_{2n+1} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
\]

Using the same trick as before, we obtain the values of \(\int \mathfrak{g}_n\) for \(n = 0, 1\), by the formulas (6.12) and (6.15) for \(\pi h(z)_{1}\) and \(\pi h(z)_{3}\), and applying at the end the map \(\pi^{-1}\), using Corollary 3.3. The results are as follows: \(\int \mathfrak{g}_0 = \int \tilde{L}\), and

\[
\int \mathfrak{g}_1 = \int \left( -\frac{1}{8(x|x)} \tilde{L}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi([f, v^k])\partial\psi([f, v_k])
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f, k \in J_2} \varphi(a_i)\psi([a^i, [f, v^k]])\psi([f, v_k]) \right).
\]

The associated evolution equations are \((a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f, u \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{-1})\)

\[
\frac{d\varphi(a)}{dt_0} = 0, \quad \frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_0} = \psi(u)' - \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \varphi(a_i)\psi([a^i, u]), \quad \frac{dL}{dt_0} = \tilde{L}',
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\varphi(b)}{dt_1} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_1} = \psi(u)''' - 2 \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \varphi(a_i)\psi([a^i, u])''' - \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \varphi(a_i)\psi([a^i, u])'.
\]
obtain the second Poisson structure on it we have to apply Dirac’s reduction, developed (see Example 3.20 in [DSKV12]). Let

\[ \psi(u) \]

From Table 2, therefore, we can consider the PV A \( \mathcal{W}/J_K \), generated by the elements \( \psi(u), u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \), and \( L \). The corresponding \( \lambda \)-brackets induced by \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_K, \rho \) are given by Table 2:

\[ \{ \psi(u), \psi(u_1) \} = -\omega_-(u,u_1), \quad \{ L_\lambda L \} = -4(x|\lambda)\lambda, \quad \{ L_\lambda \psi(u) \} = 0. \]

As a result we obtain the following integrable Hamiltonian equations on the functions \( \psi(u), u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \), and \( L \):

\[
\frac{d\psi(u)}{dt} = \psi(u)^{'''} - \frac{3}{4(x|X)} L\psi(u) - \frac{3}{8(x|X)} \psi(u)L' - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f, k \in J_{1/2}} \psi([a_i, u])\psi([a_i, [f, v^k]])\psi([f, v_k]),
\]

\[
\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} L'' - \frac{3}{4(x|X)} LL' + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi([f, v_k])\psi([f, v^k])'''.
\]

Remark 6.3. With respect to the \( K \)-Poisson structure all the functions \( \psi(a), a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{3}{2}} \), are central, and therefore they generate a Poisson vertex algebra ideal \( J_K \). This is clear from Table 2. Therefore, we can consider the PVA \( \mathcal{W}/J_K \), generated by the elements \( \psi(u), u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \), and \( L \). The corresponding \( \lambda \)-brackets induced by \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_K, \rho \) are given by Table 2:

\[ \{ \psi(u), \psi(u_1) \} = -\omega_-(u,u_1), \quad \{ L_\lambda L \} = -4(x|\lambda)\lambda, \quad \{ L_\lambda \psi(u) \} = 0. \]

As a result we obtain the following integrable Hamiltonian equations on the functions \( \psi(u), u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \), and \( L \):

\[
\frac{d\psi(u)}{dt} = \psi(u)^{'''} - \frac{3}{4(x|X)} L\psi(u) - \frac{3}{8(x|X)} \psi(u)L' - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f, k \in J_{1/2}} \psi([a_i, u])\psi([a_i, [f, v^k]])\psi([f, v_k]),
\]

\[
\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} L'' - \frac{3}{4(x|X)} LL' + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi([f, v_k])\psi([f, v^k])'''.
\]

The \( H \)-Poisson structure does not induce a PVA \( \lambda \)-bracket on \( \mathcal{W}/J_K \), and in order to obtain the second Poisson structure on it we have to apply Dirac’s reduction, developed in [DSKV13].

Example 6.4 (see Example 3.20 in [DSKV12]). Let \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3 \). Then \( f = E_{31} \) is a minimal nilpotent element which is embedded in the \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \)-triple \( \{ f, h = 2x, e \} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3 \), where \( h = E_{11} - E_{33} \) and \( e = E_{13} \). It is easy to check that \( \mathfrak{g}_0^f = \mathbb{F} a \), where \( a = E_{11} - 2E_{22} + E_{33} \).
and $g_{12} = \mathbb{F}u_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}u_2$, where $u_1 = E_{21}$ and $u_2 = E_{32}$. Furthermore, let us write $g_{12} = \mathbb{F}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}v_2$, where $v_1 = E_{12}$ and $v_2 = E_{23}$. Then $v_1^1 = \frac{1}{2(x|x)} v_2$ and $v_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2(x|x)} v_1$.

Let us assume $s = e$ and denote $\varphi = \varphi(a)$, $\psi_+ = \psi(u_1)$ and $\psi_- = \psi(u_2)$. Then, by Theorem 3.4, we get the following $\lambda$-bracket on the generators of $\mathcal{W}$:

$$\{L, L\}_{z, \rho} = (\partial + 2\lambda) L - (x|x)\lambda^3 + 4(x|x)z\lambda,$$
$$\{L, \psi_+\}_{z, \rho} = (\partial + \frac{3}{2}\lambda) \psi_+,$$
$$\{L, \psi_-\}_{z, \rho} = (\partial + \lambda) \psi_-,$$
$$\{\psi_+, \psi_+\}_{z, \rho} = 0,$$
$$\{\psi_+, \psi_-\}_{z, \rho} = -L + \frac{1}{6(x|x)} \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial + 2\lambda) \varphi + 2(x|x)\lambda^2 - 2(x|x)z,$$
$$\{\psi_-, \psi_-\}_{z, \rho} = \pm 3\psi_-,$$
$$\{\psi_-, \psi_-\}_{z, \rho} = 12(x|x)\lambda.$$

According to the above discussion, choosing $a(z) = a$ we get $\int g_0 = \int \varphi$ and $\int g_1 = \frac{3}{2(x|x)} \int \psi_+ \psi_-$. The corresponding Hamiltonian equations are

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt_0} = 0, \quad \frac{d\psi_\pm}{dt_0} = \mp 3\psi_\pm, \quad \frac{dL}{dt_0} = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt_1} = 0, \quad \frac{dL}{dt_1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{d\psi_\pm}{dt_1} = \pm \frac{3}{2(x|x)} L\psi_\pm + \frac{3}{12(x|x)^2} \varphi^2 \psi_\pm - \frac{3}{4(x|x)} \psi_\pm \psi_- - \frac{3}{2(x|x)} \varphi \psi_\pm' \mp 3\psi_\mp.$$
As pointed out in Remark 6.3, φ generates a central Poisson vertex algebra ideal with respect to the $K$-Poisson structure, and we can consider the induced PVA structure on the quotient algebra $\mathcal{W}/(\phi)$, where $(\phi)$ denotes the differential algebra ideal generated by $\phi$. The corresponding $\lambda$-brackets are
\begin{equation}
\{\psi_{\pm}\psi_{\pm}\} = 0, \quad \{\psi_{\pm}\psi_{-}\} = -\frac{3}{2c}, \quad \{L_{\lambda}L\} = \frac{3}{c}\lambda, \quad \{L_{\lambda}\psi_{\pm}\} = 0.
\end{equation}

It follows that the Hamiltonian equation on the functions $\psi_+, \psi_-$ and $L$:
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\psi_+}{dt} &= \psi_+''' - cL\psi_+'' - \frac{c}{2}\psi_+L' + \frac{2}{3}c^2\psi_+\psi_-\psi_-,
\frac{dL}{dt} &= \frac{1}{4}L''' - cLL' + c(\psi_+\psi_-'' - \psi_-\psi_+')
\end{align*}

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary non-zero constant, is integrable.

7. Generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov Hierarchies for a Short Nilpotent

7.1. Preliminary computations. For simplicity we will assume, as in the case of a minimal nilpotent element, that $s = e$. In this case, $f + ze$ is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))$, and we can describe explicitly the direct sum decomposition (5.4).

Lemma 7.1. We have the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, where

\begin{equation}
\mathfrak{h} = \text{Ker } \text{ad}(f + ze) = \mathfrak{g}_0^f((z^{-1})) \oplus ((\text{ad } f)^2 - 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1((z^{-1})),
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\mathfrak{h}^\perp = \text{Im } \text{ad}(f + ze) = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1]((z^{-1})) \oplus ((\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1((z^{-1})).
\end{equation}

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g}_0^f = \mathfrak{g}_0^e$, clearly $\mathfrak{g}_0^f \subset \text{Ker } \text{ad}(f + ze)$. Moreover, for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, we have
\begin{align*}
\text{ad}(f + ze)((\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)(v) &= 2z[f, v] + z[e, [f, [f, v]]] = 0.
\end{align*}

Hence,
\begin{equation}
\mathfrak{g}_0^f((z^{-1})) \oplus ((\text{ad } f)^2 - 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1((z^{-1})) \subset \text{Ker } \text{ad}(f + ze).
\end{equation}

On the other hand, we have $\text{ad}(f + ze)\mathfrak{g}_1 = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1]$, and $\text{ad}(f + ze)[f, \mathfrak{g}_1] = ((\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1$. Therefore,
\begin{equation}
[f, \mathfrak{g}_1]((z^{-1})) \oplus ((\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1((z^{-1})) \subset \text{Im } \text{ad}(f + ze).
\end{equation}

The equalities (7.1) and (7.2) immediately follow from the inclusions (7.3) and (7.4).

Lemma 7.2. The decomposition $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))$, namely $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$, $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^\perp] \subset \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, and $[\mathfrak{h}^\perp, \mathfrak{h}^\perp] \subset \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. The first two inclusions are immediate, by the definitions of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$ and the Jacobi identity. We are left to prove that $[\mathfrak{h}^\perp, \mathfrak{h}^\perp] \subset \mathfrak{h}$. By Lemma 7.1, it is enough to prove that, for $v, v_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, we have

\[ [v, v_1] = \sum_{k=0}^{k} c_k v_k \]
\(i\) \([f, v], [f, v_1] \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f\).

(ii) \([f, v], (\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)v_1 \in (\text{ad } f)^2 - 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1.

(iii) \(((\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)v, (\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)v_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f.

Part (i) is stated in Lemma 4.1. By the Jacobi identity and part (i) we also have

\[
[[f, v], (\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)v_1] = [[f, v], [f, [f, v_1]]] + 2z[[f, v], v_1] = [f, [v, [f, [f, v_1]]]] - 2z[v, [f, v_1]] = [f, [f, v], [f, v_1]] - 2z[v, [f, v_1]] = ((\text{ad } f)^2 - 2z)[v, [f, v_1]],
\]

demonstrating part (ii). We are left to prove part (iii). Again by the Jacobi identity, we have

\[
(((\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)v, (\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)v_1 = 2z[[f, [f, v]], v_1] + 2z[v, [f, [f, v_1]]] + 2z[f, [v, [f, v_1]]] - 4z[[f, v], [f, v_1]] = -4z[[f, v], [f, v_1]],
\]

and this lies in \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f\) by part (i). \(\Box\)

**Remark 7.3.** As an alternative proof of Lemma 7.2, we can just note that the element \(f + z e\) is a semisimple element of \(\mathfrak{sl}_2((z^{-1}))\), and therefore it is conjugate to a multiple of \(x\) over some field extension of \(\mathbb{R}((z^{-1}))\). Its eigenvalues on \(\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))\) are 0, \(\pm \sqrt{z}\), and \(\mathfrak{h}\) is the eigenspace with eigenvalue 0, while \(\mathfrak{h}^\perp\) is the sum of eigenspaces with eigenvalues \(\pm \sqrt{z}\). The claim of the lemma follows immediately from this observation.

Since \(d = 1\), the degree of \(z\) equals 2. It is then easy to find each piece \(\mathfrak{h}_i\) and \(\mathfrak{h}_i^\perp\), \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\), of the decompositions (5.5) (note that, since \(f\) is an even nilpotent element, the degrees are all integers). We have

(i) \(\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_0^f z^{-\frac{i}{2}}\) for \(i \in 2\mathbb{Z}\),

(ii) \(\mathfrak{h}_i = (\text{ad } f)^2 - 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1 z^{-\frac{i+1}{2}}\) for \(i \in 2\mathbb{Z} - 1\),

(iii) \(\mathfrak{h}_i^\perp = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1] z^{-\frac{i}{2}}\) for \(i \in 2\mathbb{Z}\),

(iv) \(\mathfrak{h}_i^\perp = (\text{ad } f)^2 + 2z)\mathfrak{g}_1 z^{-\frac{i+1}{2}}\) for \(i \in 2\mathbb{Z} - 1\).

We let, as in Sect. 4, \(\{a_j\}_{j \in J_0^f}\) and \(\{a_i^j\}_{j \in J_0^f}\) be dual bases of \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f\) with respect to the inner product \((\cdot, \cdot)\), and \(\{u_k\}_{k \in J_1}\) and \(\{u^k\}_{k \in J_1}\) be dual bases of \(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}\) and \(\mathfrak{g}_1\) respectively. Note also that, then, \(\{[e, u_k]\}_{k \in J_1}\) and \(\{-\frac{1}{2} [f, u^k]\}_{k \in J_1}\) are dual bases of \([f, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0\). Hence, the element \(q \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0})\) defined in (5.6) is the following

\[
q = \sum_{j \in J_0^f} a^j \otimes a_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_1} [f, u^k] \otimes [e, u_k] + \sum_{k \in J_1} u^k \otimes u_k. \tag{7.5}
\]

As in Sect. 6, we will solve Eq. (5.7) for \(U(z) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\geq 1}^f \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0})\) and \(h(z) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\geq 0} \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0})\) degree by degree, applying the quotient map \(\pi : \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f)\). Indeed, by Corollary 4.3 we have maps

\[
\pi : \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}) \to \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f),
\]

\[
\pi^{-1} : \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}^f) \sim \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{W},
\]
acting as identity on the first factors, which restrict to bijections of \( g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{W} \). Applying \( \pi \) to both sides of Eq. (5.7), we get
\[
e^{\text{ad}(\pi U(z_1) + \pi U(z_2) + \ldots)} (\partial + (f + z e) \otimes 1 + \pi q_0 + \pi q_1) = \partial + (f + z e) \otimes 1 + \pi h(z)_0 + \pi h(z)_1 + \ldots, \tag{7.6}
\]
and, by the formula (7.5) for \( q \) we get \( \pi q = \pi q_0 + \pi q_1 \), where
\[
\pi q_0 = \sum_{i \in J} a_i \otimes a^i, \quad \pi q_1 = q_1 = \sum_{k \in J} u^k \otimes u_k. \tag{7.7}
\]

Equating the homogeneous components of degree 0 in both sides of Eq. (7.6), we get the equation
\[
\pi h(z)_0 + [(f + z e) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_1] = \pi q_0,
\]
which implies
\[
\pi h(z)_0 = \pi q_0 = \sum_{i \in J} a_i \otimes a^i, \quad \pi U(z)_1 = 0.
\]

Next, equating the homogeneous components of degree 1 in both sides of Eq. (7.6), we get
\[
\pi h(z)_1 + [(f + z e) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_2] = \pi q_1.
\]
Recalling the expression (7.7) for \( \pi q_1 \), and using the obvious decomposition
\[
u^k = -\frac{1}{4}((\text{ad} f)^2 - 2z)u^k z^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} [f + z e, [f, u^k]] z^{-1} \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp, \tag{7.8}
\]
we deduce that
\[
\pi h(z)_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} ((\text{ad} f)^2 - 2z)u^k z^{-1} \otimes u^k, \quad \pi U(z)_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} [f, u^k] z^{-1} \otimes u^k. \tag{7.9}
\]

Similarly, equating the homogeneous components of degree 2 in both sides of Eq. (7.6), we get
\[
\pi h(z)_2 + [(f + z e) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_3] = -\partial \pi U(z)_2 + [\pi U(z)_2, \pi q_0],
\]
which implies, after a straightforward computation,
\[
\pi h(z)_2 = 0, \quad \pi U(z)_3 = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k \in J_1} ((\text{ad} f)^2 + 2z)u^k z^{-2} \otimes (-\partial u^k + \sum_{j \in J_0^f} a_j [a^j, u_k]).
\]

Finally, we compute \( \pi h(z)_3 \) by equating the homogeneous components of degree 2 in both sides of Eq. (7.6). We get
\[
\pi h(z)_3 + [(f + z e) \otimes 1, \pi U(z)_4] = -\partial \pi U(z)_3 + [\pi U(z)_3, \pi q_0] + [\pi U(z)_2, \pi q_1] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)_2, [\pi U(z)_2, (f + z e) \otimes 1]].
\]
Note that \( \partial \pi U(z)_3 \in \h^\perp \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_f) \). Moreover, for \( j \in J_0^f \), \( \text{ad} a^j \) commutes with \( (ad f)^2 + 2z \). Therefore, \([\pi U(z)_3, \pi q_0] \in \h^\perp \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_f) \). It follows that the only contributions to \( \pi h(z)_3 \) are the components in \( ((ad f)^2 - 2z) g_1 z^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_f) \) of

\[
[\pi U(z)_2, \pi q_1] + \frac{1}{2} [\pi U(z)_2, [\pi U(z)_2, (f + z e) \otimes 1]] \\
= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{h,k \in J_1} [[f, u^h], u^k] z^{-1} \otimes u_h u_k - \frac{1}{32} \sum_{h,k \in J_1} [[f, u^h], ((ad f)^2 + 2z) u^k] z^{-2} \otimes u_h u_k.
\]

By Lemma 7.2, we have \([[[f, u^h], ((ad f)^2 + 2z) u^k] \in \h \), and according to Lemma 7.2 and the decomposition (7.8), the component in \( \h \) of \([[[f, u^h], u^k] \) can be expressed in the following two alternative ways:

\[
\pi_\h [[[f, u^h], u^k] = \frac{1}{4} [[f, u^h], ((ad f)^2 + 2z) u^k] z^{-1} = -\frac{1}{4} ((ad f)^2 - 2z) [[[f, u^h], u^k] z^{-1}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\pi h(z)_3 = \frac{1}{32} \sum_{h,k \in J_1} [[f, u^h], ((ad f)^2 + 2z) u^k] z^{-2} \otimes u_h u_k \\
= -\frac{1}{32} \sum_{h,k \in J_1} ((ad f)^2 - 2z) [[[f, u^h], u^k] z^{-2} \otimes u_h u_k \\
= \frac{1}{32} \sum_{k \in J_1} ((ad f)^2 - 2z) u^k z^{-2} \otimes \sum_{h \in J_1} [[[f, u^h], u_k] u_h. \quad (7.10)
\]

In the last identity we used the completeness relations (4.3).

7.2. First few equations of the hierarchies. We make the choice \( a(z) = f + z e \). According to Theorem 5.3, there is an associated integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy corresponding to the Laurent series \( \int g(z) \in \mathcal{W}/\partial \mathcal{V}(z^{-1}) \) defined by

\[
\int g(z) = \int ((f + z e) \otimes 1 h(z)).
\]

We write the integrals of motion \( \int g_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), in terms of the various components of \( h(z) \in \h \otimes \mathcal{V}(g_{\geq 0}) \). Recall that \( h_{2n} = g_{U(z)}^f z^{-n} \), which is orthogonal to \( e \) and \( f \) w.r.t. \( (\cdot, \cdot) \). Therefore the even components of \( h(z) \) do not contribute to \( \int g(z) \). Furthermore, we have \( h_{2n-1} = ((ad f)^2 - 2z) g_1 z^{-n} \), so we can write

\[
h(z)_{2n-1} = \sum_{k \in J_1} ((ad f)^2 - 2z) u^k z^{-n} \otimes H_{2n-1,k},
\]

for some \( H_{2n-1,k} \in \mathcal{V}(g_{\geq 0}) \). For \( n = 1, 2 \) we get, from the formulas (7.9) and (7.10) for \( \pi h(z)_1 \) and \( \pi h(z)_3 \), that

\[
\pi H_{1,k} = -\frac{1}{4} u_k, \quad \pi H_{3,k} = \frac{1}{32} \sum_{h \in J_1} [[[f, u^h], u_k] u_h. \quad (7.11)
\]
for \( k \in J_1 \). Note also that
\[
(f + z e)((\operatorname{ad} f)^2 - 2z)u^k) = -2z(f|u^k) + z(e)(\operatorname{ad} f)^2u^k = -4z(f|u^k).
\]
Therefore, \( \int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} g_n z^{-n} \), where
\[
\int g_n = -4 \sum_{k \in J_1} \int (f|u^k)H_{2n+1,k}.
\]
As in Sect. 6.2, we obtain the values of \( \int g_n \) for \( n = 0, 1 \), from the formulas (7.11) for \( \pi H_{1,k} \) and \( \pi H_{3,k} \), and applying at the end the map \( \pi^{-1} \), using Corollary 4.3. The results are as follows:
\[
\int g_0 = \int \psi(f),
\]
and
\[
\int g_1 = \frac{1}{8} \int \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi([f, [f, u^k]])\psi(u_k).
\]
Recalling Table 4, we get the corresponding hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations \( \frac{dw}{dt} = \{g_n \},_{\mathcal{H}, \rho} \big|_{\lambda = 0} \). They are (\( a \in g_0^f, u \in g_{-1} \)):
\[
\frac{da}{dt_0} = 0, \quad \frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_0} = \psi(u)' - \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \psi([a^i, u])a_i,
\]
and
\[
\frac{da}{dt_1} = 0, \quad \frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_1} = \frac{1}{4} \psi(u)''' - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \psi([a^i, u])a_i''' - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i'(\psi([a^i, u])'')
\]
\[
- \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} a_i'(\psi([a^i, u])''') + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in J_0^f} a_j'(\psi([a^i, [a^j, u]])a_i'
\]
\[
+ \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j \in J_0^f} a_i a_j (\psi([a^i, [a^j, u]])') + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j \in J_0^f} a_j (\psi([a^i, [a^j, u]])a_i'
\]
\[
- \frac{3}{4} \sum_{k \in J_1} \psi([f, [u^k]])\psi(u_k)' - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j \in J_0^f} a_i a_j a_l (\psi([a^i, [a^j, [a^l, u]])
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i \in J_0^f, k \in J_1} (\psi(u_k \circ [a_i, u]) - \psi([a_i, u_k] \circ u)) a_i \psi([f, [f, u^k]]).
\]

Remark 7.4. Since \( s = e \), we easily see from Table 4 that all the functions \( a \in g_0^f \) are central, and therefore they generate a Poisson vertex algebra ideal \( J_K \). Therefore, we can consider the PVA \( \mathcal{W}/J_K \), generated by elements \( \psi(u), u \in g_{-1} \). The corresponding \( \lambda \)-brackets induced by \( \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{K, \rho} \) are given by Eq. (4.7):
\[
\{\psi(u), \psi(u_1)\} = (e|u \circ u_1)\lambda.
\]
As a result we obtain the following integrable equations on the functions \( \psi(u), u \in g_{-1} \):

\[
\frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_0} = \psi(u)',
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\psi(u)}{dt_1} = \frac{1}{4} \psi(u)' + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{h,k \in J_1} (u^k \ast u^h | u) \psi(u_h) \psi(u_k)',
\]

where \( \ast \) is the Jordan product on \( g_1 \) defined in (0.1). The last equation is, after a rescaling of the variables, the Svinolupov equation associated to this Jordan product, [Svi91]. Hence, we proved that the Svinolupov equation is Hamiltonian with Poisson structure given by (7.12). The second Poisson structure for this equation can be obtained via the Dirac reduction, which will be explained in our forthcoming publication [DSKV13]. This Poisson structure is non-local (see [DSK12] for the theory of non-local Poisson structures).

7.3. Another example: a generic choice for \( s \) when \( g = sl_{2n} \). In the case of \( g = sl_{2n} \) there is a unique conjugacy class of short nilpotent elements. Let us write \( X \in sl_{2n} \) as a block matrix

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( A, B, C, D \in gl_n \) and \( \text{Tr} A = - \text{Tr} D \). Then, the element \( f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) is a short nilpotent element. Indeed, it is contained in the following \( sl_2 \)-triple: \( \{ f, h = 2x, e \} \subset sl_{2n} \), where

\[
h = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and we have the following ad \( x \)-eigenspace decomposition: \( sl_{2n} = g_{-1} \oplus g_0 \oplus g_1 \), where

\[
g_{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in gl_n \right\}, \quad g_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A, B \in gl_n, \text{Tr} A = - \text{Tr} B \right\}, \quad g_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in gl_n \right\}.
\]

Hence, the adjoint orbit of \( f \) consists of all short nilpotent elements of \( sl_{2n} \).

We note that the direct sum decomposition (4.1) is, in this case, \( g_0 = g_0^f \oplus [f, g_1] \), where

\[
g_0^f = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in sl_n \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad [f, g_1] = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in gl_n \right\}.
\]

Let us consider

\[
s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( S \in gl_n \) is a semisimple element with non-zero eigenvalues. Then, \( f + zs \in g((z^{-1})) \) is semisimple and we can describe explicitly the decomposition (5.4), generalizing Lemma 7.1 (which corresponds to the choice \( s = e \)).
Lemma 7.5. We have the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, where

$$\mathfrak{h} = \text{Ker ad}(f + zs) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & zSB \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \middle| A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}_n((z^{-1})), \quad [S, A] = [S, B] = \text{Tr} A = 0 \right\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}^\perp = \text{Im ad}(f + zs) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A + C & -zSB + D \\ B + E & -A + F \end{pmatrix} \middle| A, B, C, D, E, F \in \mathfrak{gl}_n((z^{-1})), \quad [S, A] = [S, B] = 0, \quad C, D, E, F \in \text{Im}\{\text{ad} S : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_n((z^{-1}))\} \right\}.$$  

(7.13)\hspace{1cm} (7.14)

Proof. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2n((z^{-1}))$. We have

$$[f + zs, X] = \begin{pmatrix} zSC - B & z(SD - AS) \\ A - D & B - zCS \end{pmatrix},$$

from which follows that $\mathfrak{h}$ given in (7.13) is contained in Ker ad$(f + zs)$. Moreover, if $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & -zSB \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix}$, with $[S, A] = [S, B] = 0$, then

$$X = \left[ f + zs, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}B & -\frac{1}{2}A \\ \frac{1}{2z}S^{-1}A & -\frac{1}{2}B \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

and, if $X = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ E & F \end{pmatrix}$, with $C, D, E, F \in \text{Im}\{\text{ad} S : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_n((z^{-1}))\}$, then

$$X = \left[ f + zs, \begin{pmatrix} E + (\text{ad} S)^{-1}(Dz^{-1} + ES) & F + (\text{ad} S)^{-1}(C + F)S \\ (\text{ad} S)^{-1}(C + F)z^{-1} & (\text{ad} S)^{-1}(Dz^{-1} + ES) \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

from which follows that $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$ given in (7.14) is contained in Im ad$(f + zs)$. Since, by assumption, $\text{ad} S : \mathfrak{gl}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_n$ is semisimple, the equalities (7.13) and (7.14) follow. \(\square\)

By Theorem 5.3 we can construct a generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations. However the general formula of the integrals of motion and of the corresponding integrable hierarchy is very complicated. For $n = 1$ one gets the well known KdV hierarchy. In the next example we will treat in detail the case corresponding to $n = 2$.

Example 7.6. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4$ be the Lie algebra of $4 \times 4$ traceless matrices, and let us assume $S = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2)$ is a diagonal matrix with distinct eigenvalues (the case $s_1 = s_2$ was treated in the previous sections, since in this case $s$ becomes a scalar multiple of $e$). A basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0^\perp$ is given by the following matrices:

$$A_{11} = E_{11} - E_{22} + E_{33} - E_{44}, \quad A_{12} = E_{12} + E_{34},$$

$$A_{21} = E_{21} + E_{43}, \quad E_{31}, \quad E_{32}, \quad E_{41}, \quad E_{42}. \quad A_{22} = E_{22} - E_{33} + E_{44},$$
By Theorem 4.2, \( \mathcal{W} \) is the algebra of differential polynomials with generators \( A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{21} \) and \( \psi(E_{ij}) \), for \( i = 3, 4 \) and \( j = 1, 2 \), where \( \psi : g_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}[2] \) is the map defined by (4.4). The \( \lambda \)-bracket among generators of \( \mathcal{W} \) can be computed using Table 4.

In order to construct the generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov integrable hierarchy we need to compute \( U(z) \in g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V} (g_{\leq 0}) \) and \( h(z) = h(z)_0 + h(z)_1 + h(z)_2 + \ldots \in g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V} (g_{\leq 0}) \) satisfying (5.7). The first terms of the series \( h(z) \) are (we let \( c = (E_{ij}|E_{ji}) \), for any \( i, j = 1, \ldots, 4 \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
 h(z)_0 &= A_{11} \otimes \frac{A_{11}}{4c}, \\
 h(z)_1 &= (E_{13}s_1 + E_{32}z^{-1}) \otimes \frac{1}{8c^2s_1(s_1 - s_2)} ((3s_1 + s_2)A_{12}A_{21} - 4c(s_1 - s_2)\psi(E_{31})) \\
 &\quad + (E_{24}s_2 + E_{42}z^{-1}) \otimes \frac{1}{8c^2s_2(s_2 - s_1)} ((s_1 + 3s_2)A_{12}A_{21} - 4c(s_1 - s_2)\psi(E_{42})), \\
 h(z)_2 &= A_{11}z^{-1} \otimes \frac{(s_1 + s_2)}{4c^3(s_1 - s_2)^2} (cA'_{12}A_{21} - cA_{12}A'_{21} - A_{11}A_{12}A_{21}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2c^2(s_1 - s_2)} (A_{12}\psi(E_{41}) + A_{21}\psi(E_{32})). 
\end{align*}
\]

By Theorem 5.3 we get an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations for any \( 0 \neq a(z) \in Z(\mathfrak{h}) \), where \( \mathfrak{h} \) is defined in (7.13), and the integrals of motion are the coefficients of the power series \( \int g(z) = \int (a(z) \otimes 1)h(z) = \int g_0 + g_1z^{-1} + \ldots \), whose first terms can be computed using (7.15). We consider the following three choices of \( a(z) \):

(a) \( a(z) = f + zs \); \\
(b) \( a(z) = s^{-1} + ez \); \\
(c) \( a(z) = A_{11} \).

In case (a) we get

\[
\int g_0 = \int \psi(f) + \frac{1}{4c} A_{12}A_{21}.
\]

The corresponding system of Hamiltonian equations is

\[
\begin{align*}
 \frac{dA_{11}}{dt_0} &= 0, & \frac{dA_{12}}{dt_0} &= A_{12} - \frac{A_{11}A_{12}}{2c}, & \frac{dA_{21}}{dt_0} &= A'_{21} - \frac{A_{11}A_{21}}{2c}, \\
 \frac{d\psi(E_{31})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{31})', & \frac{d\psi(E_{32})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{32})' - \frac{A_{11}\psi(E_{32})}{2c}, \\
 \frac{d\psi(E_{41})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{41})' + \frac{A_{11}\psi(E_{41})}{2c}, & \frac{d\psi(E_{42})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{42})'.
\end{align*}
\]

In case (b) we get

\[
\int g_0 = \int \psi(s^{-1}) - \frac{s_1 + s_2}{4c^2s_1s_2} A_{12}A_{21}.
\]

The corresponding system of Hamiltonian equations is

\[
\begin{align*}
 \frac{dA_{11}}{dt_0} &= 0, & \frac{dA_{12}}{dt_0} &= \frac{s_1 + s_2}{4c^2s_1s_2} (A_{11}A_{12} - 2cA'_{12}) - \frac{s_1 - s_2}{s_1s_2} \psi(E_{32}), \\
 \frac{dA_{21}}{dt_0} &= A'_{21} - \frac{A_{11}A_{21}}{2c}, & \frac{d\psi(E_{31})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{31})', & \frac{d\psi(E_{32})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{32})' - \frac{A_{11}\psi(E_{32})}{2c}, \\
 \frac{d\psi(E_{41})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{41})' + \frac{A_{11}\psi(E_{41})}{2c}, & \frac{d\psi(E_{42})}{dt_0} &= \psi(E_{42})'.
\end{align*}
\]
The system of Hamiltonian equations corresponding to
\[
\frac{\psi(E_{31})}{d t_0} = \frac{3(s_1 - s_2)}{8c s_1 s_2} (A_{12} A_{21})' + \frac{\psi(E_{31})' + 3(s_1 - s_2)}{s_1} (A_{12} A_{21}) + \frac{s_1 + s_2}{4c s_1 s_2} (A_{21} \psi(E_{32}) - A_{12} \psi(E_{41})),
\]
\[
\frac{\psi(E_{32})}{d t_0} = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{4c s_1 s_2} (2c \psi(E_{32})' - A_{11} \psi(E_{32})) + \frac{A_{21}}{c} \left( \frac{\psi(E_{31}) - \psi(E_{41})}{s_2} \right)
\]
\[
\frac{s_1 - s_2}{16c s_1 s_2} \left( 4c A_{12}'' - 3A_{11} A_{12}' - A_{11}' A_{12} + A_{11}^2 A_{12} + 4A_{12}^2 A_{21} \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\psi(E_{41})}{d t_0} = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{4c s_1 s_2} (2c \psi(E_{41})' + A_{11} \psi(E_{41})) + \frac{A_{21}}{c} \left( \frac{\psi(E_{41}) - \psi(E_{31})}{s_1} \right)
\]
\[
\frac{s_1 - s_2}{16c s_1 s_2} \left( 4c A_{21}'' + 3A_{11} A_{21}' + A_{11}' A_{21} + A_{11}^2 A_{21} + 4A_{12} A_{21}^2 \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\psi(E_{42})}{d t_0} = \frac{\psi(E_{42})'}{s_2} - \frac{3(s_1 - s_2)}{8c s_1 s_2} (A_{12} A_{21})' + \frac{s_1 + s_2}{4c s_1 s_2} (A_{12} \psi(E_{41}) - A_{21} \psi(E_{32})).
\]

Finally, in case (c) we get \( \int g_0 = \int A_{11} \) and
\[
\int g_1 = \int \frac{(s_1 + s_2)}{c^2(s_1 - s_2)^2} (2c A_{12} A_{21} - A_{11} A_{12} A_{21}) + \frac{2}{c(s_1 - s_2)} (A_{12} \psi(E_{41}) + A_{21} \psi(E_{32})).
\]

The system of Hamiltonian equations corresponding to \( \int g_0 \) is
\[
\frac{d A_{11}}{d t_0} = 0, \quad \frac{d A_{12}}{d t_0} = 2A_{12}, \quad \frac{d A_{21}}{d t_0} = -2A_{21},
\]
\[
\frac{d \psi(E_{31})}{d t_0} = 0, \quad \frac{d \psi(E_{32})}{d t_0} = 2 \psi(E_{32}), \quad \frac{d \psi(E_{41})}{d t_0} = -2 \psi(E_{41}), \quad \frac{d \psi(E_{42})}{d t_0} = 0.
\]

The system of Hamiltonian equations corresponding to \( \int g_1 \) has a much more complicated expression. However, since \( A_{11} \in g_0^0 \), we can see from Table 4 that it is a central element for the \( K \) Poisson structure. Hence it generates a central PVA ideal \( J_K \). Then, we can consider the quotient PVA \( W/J_K \) and the corresponding reduced Hamiltonian equations. The reduced Hamiltonian equation corresponding to \( \int g_1 \) becomes
\[
\frac{d A_{12}}{d t_1} = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{c^2(s_1 - s_2)^2} \left( 4c^2 A_{12}'' - 2A_{12}^2 A_{21} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{2}{c(s_1 - s_2)} (2c \psi(E_{32})' - A_{12} \psi(E_{31}) - \psi(E_{42})),
\]
\[
\frac{d A_{21}}{d t_1} = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{c^2(s_1 - s_2)^2} \left( -4c^2 A_{21}'' + 2A_{12} A_{21}^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{2}{c(s_1 - s_2)} (2c \psi(E_{41})' + A_{21} \psi(E_{31}) - \psi(E_{42})),
\]
\[
\frac{d \psi(E_{31})}{d t_1} = \frac{1}{c^2(s_1 - s_2)} (c A_{12} \psi(E_{41})' + c A_{21} \psi(E_{32})' + c A_{12} A_{21}'' - c A_{12} A_{21})
\]
\[
+ \frac{2s_1}{c(s_1 - s_2)^2} (A_{12} \psi(E_{41}) + A_{21} \psi(E_{32})),
\]
\[
\frac{d \psi(E_{32})}{d t_1} = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{c^2(s_1 - s_2)^2} (2c A_{12} \psi(E_{42}) - \psi(E_{31})) - 2A_{12} A_{21} \psi(E_{32})
\]
8. Generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov Hierarchies for a Minimal Nilpotent and a Choice of a Maximal Isotropic Subspace

8.1. The classical \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebra \( \mathcal{W}(l) \). In the previous publication [DSKV12] we considered a slightly more general construction of the classical \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebras, associated to the nilpotent element \( f \) and a choice of an isotropic subspace \( l \subset g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), with respect to the skew-symmetric bilinear form \( \omega_+ \) defined in (2.6). The construction for \( l = 0 \) is described in Sect. 2.2.

In the present section we consider the case when \( f \) is a minimal nilpotent element, and \( l \subset g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) is a maximal isotropic subspace, that is \( l = l^{-\omega_+} \). We review the construction of the \( \mathcal{W} \)-algebra in this case, and we study the associated generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies.

We fix throughout the section a maximal isotropic subspace \( l \subset g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), and a maximal isotropic subspace \( l' \subset g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) complementary to \( l \). Let \( \{v_k\}_{k \in L} \) be a basis of \( l \), and let \( \{v^k\}_{k \in L} \) be the \( \omega_+ \)-dual basis of \( l' \):

\[
\omega_+(v_h, v^k) = \delta_{h,k}. \tag{8.1}
\]

Then, clearly, a basis for \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) is

\[
\{v_k\}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} = \{v_k\}_{k \in L} \cup \{v^k\}_{k \in L}.
\]

and the \( \omega_+ \)-dual basis, again of \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \), is

\[
\{v^k\}_{k \in J_{\frac{1}{2}}} = \{v^k\}_{k \in L} \cup \{-v_k\}_{k \in L}.
\]

Using the same notation as in [DSKV12], we consider the direct sum decomposition \( g = n \oplus p \), where

\[
n = l \oplus g_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p = l' \oplus g_{\leq 0}.
\]

The orthogonal complement to \( n \) w.r.t. \( (\cdot | \cdot) \) is

\[
n^\perp = [f, l] \oplus g_{\geq 0}.
\]
Note that, since $l$ is isotropic, we have $[n, n] = 0$, thus we can choose $s$ to be any homogeneous (with respect to the decomposition (2.1)) element $s \in n$.

The classical $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(l)$ is, by definition, the differential algebra

$$
\mathcal{W}(l) = \{ g \in \mathcal{V}(p) \mid a^g = 0 \text{ for all } a \in n \},
$$

endowed with the following PVA $\lambda$-bracket

$$
[g, h]_{\lambda, \rho} = \rho_l [g, h]_z, \quad g, h \in \mathcal{W},
$$

where the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_z$ is defined in (2.11) and $\rho_l : \mathcal{V}(g) \to \mathcal{V}(p)$ is the differential algebra homomorphism defined on generators by

$$
\rho_l(a) = \pi_p(a) + (f|a), \quad a \in g,
$$

where $\pi_p : g \to p$ denotes the projection with kernel $n$.

It is proved in [DSKV12] that, for $z = 0$, the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(l)$ associated to $l$ is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{V}(l)$ (associated to the choice $l = 0$). Moreover, for $s = e$, we get a PVA isomorphism $\mathcal{W} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{W}(l)$ for arbitrary $z$. We can describe explicitly the map $\mathcal{V} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{W}(l)$, considered as a differential algebra isomorphism. It is given by the restriction to $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}(g_{\frac{1}{2}})$ of the differential algebra homomorphism $\pi_p : \mathcal{V}(g_{\frac{1}{2}}) \to \mathcal{V}(p)$, extending the projection map $\pi_p : g_{\frac{1}{2}} \to p$ (with kernel $l$). It follows by this observation and Theorem 3.2 that $\mathcal{W}(l)$ is the algebra of differential polynomials with the following generators: the energy momentum $L_l$, defined as (cf. Eq. (2.19))

$$
L_l = f + x' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J_0} a_j a_j^\d + \sum_{k \in L} [f, v_k] v^k,
$$

and elements of conformal weight $1$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, given by the bijective maps (cf. Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5)) $\varphi_l : g^f_0 \to \mathcal{W}(l) \{1\}$, given by

$$
\varphi_l(a) = a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in L} \pi_p([a, v_k]) v^k,
$$

and $\psi_l : g^f_{\frac{1}{2}} \to \mathcal{W}(l) \{\frac{3}{2}\}$, given by

$$
\psi_l(u) = u + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{h, k \in L} \pi_p([[u, v_h], v_k]) v^h v^k + \sum_{k \in L} [u, v_k] v^k + \partial \pi_p[e, u].
$$

Consider the quotient map $\pi_l : p \to g^f$ with kernel $[e, g_{\frac{1}{2}}] \cap p = \mathbb{F} x \oplus l'$, and extend it to a surjective differential algebra homomorphism $\pi_l : \mathcal{V}(p) \to \mathcal{V}(g^f)$. We have an analogue of Corollary 3.3:

**Corollary 8.1.** The quotient map $\pi_l : \mathcal{V}(p) \to \mathcal{V}(g^f)$ restricts to a differential algebra isomorphism $\pi_l : \mathcal{W}_l \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{V}(g^f)$, and the inverse map $\pi_l^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(g^f) \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{W}_l$ is defined on generators by

$$
\pi_l^{-1}(a) = \varphi_l(a), \quad \text{for } a \in g^f_0,
$$

$$
\pi_l^{-1}(u) = \psi_l(u), \quad \text{for } u \in g^f_{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

$$
\pi_l^{-1}(f) = L_l - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_0^f} \varphi_l(a_i) \varphi_l(a_i^\d) =: \tilde{L}_l.
$$
If we take \( s = e \), then the \( \lambda \)-brackets among the generators are the same as the ones given by Table 2. If we take \( s \in l \), then the \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_H^{I, \rho} \lambda \)-bracket is the same as in Table 2, and it is not hard to check, using Corollary 8.1, that the \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_K^{I, \rho} \lambda \)-bracket is given by Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( { \cdot, \cdot }_K^{I, \rho} )</th>
<th>( L_1 )</th>
<th>( \varphi_1(b) )</th>
<th>( \psi_1(u_1) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L_1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( -\frac{3}{2}(s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varphi_1(a) )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( (s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_1(u) )</td>
<td>( -\frac{3}{2}(s</td>
<td>u)\lambda )</td>
<td>( (s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2. Embeddable elements \( s \in g \). Clearly, if we chose \( s = e \) we get the same generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies of bi-Hamiltonian equations as for the case when \( l = 0 \) (cf. Sect. 6). Hence, let us assume \( s \in l \). As usual, we need to require that \( f + zs \) is a semisimple element of \( g((z^{-1})) \). This is guaranteed (cf. Proposition 8.5 below) if we assume that \( s \) satisfies the following property:

**Definition 8.2.** An element \( s \in g \) is called embeddable (with respect to \( x \)) if there exists \( s^* \in g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) such that \( [s, s^*] = 2x \).

**Lemma 8.3.** If \( s \in g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) is embeddable, then \( 2s^*, 4x, s \) is an \( sl_2 \)-triple and:

(a) \( g_0^s \subset g_0^f \);
(b) the maps \( \text{ad}(f) : g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s \rightarrow [f, g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s] \subset g_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ad}(s) \circ \text{ad}(f) : g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s \rightarrow [s, [f, g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s]] \subset g_0 \), and \( \text{ad}(s) \circ \text{ad}(s) \circ \text{ad}(f) : g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s \rightarrow [s, [s, [f, g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s]]] \subset g_{-\frac{1}{2}} \) are bijective;
(c) we have the orthogonal (w.r.t. \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \)) decompositions \( g_0 = g_0^s \oplus [s, g_{-\frac{1}{2}}] \);
(d) we have the dual (w.r.t. \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \)) decompositions \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} = g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s \oplus F[s^*, e] \), and \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} = g_{-\frac{1}{2}}^s \oplus F[s, f] \);
(e) we have the orthogonal decompositions \( g_0 = g_0^f \oplus Fx \), and \( g_0^f = g_0^s \oplus [s, [f, g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s]] \);
(f) we have the dual (w.r.t. \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \)) decompositions \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} = [s, [s, [f, g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s]]] \oplus Fs \), and \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} = [f, g_{\frac{1}{2}}^s] \oplus Fs^* \);
(g) we have the dual (w.r.t. \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \)) decompositions \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} = [s, g_0^f] \oplus Fs \), and \( g_{-\frac{1}{2}} = [s^*, g_0^f] \oplus Fs^* \);
(h) we have a non-degenerate, symmetric, \( g_0^s \)-invariant bilinear form \( \chi \) on \( g_{\frac{1}{2}} \) given by \( \chi(u, v) = ([s, [f, u]]|[s, [f, v]]) \).

**Proof.** The fact that \( 2s^*, 4x, s \) is an \( sl_2 \)-triple follows by the definition of embeddable element. Recall from Sect. 3.1 that \( g_0^f \) is the orthocomplement to \( x \) in \( g_0 \). Hence, in order
to prove part (a) we only need to show that \((x|a) = 0\) for every \(a \in \mathfrak{g}_0\). This follows by the identity \(x = \frac{1}{2}[s, s^\ast]\) and by the invariance of the bilinear form.

Part (b) is immediate from representation theory of \(\mathfrak{sl}_2\).

For part (c), the fact that \(\mathfrak{g}_0\) admits the direct sum decomposition \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus [s, \mathfrak{g}_-^f]\) follows by representation theory of \(\mathfrak{sl}_2\), and the fact that this decomposition is orthogonal follows by invariance of the bilinear form \((\cdot | \cdot)\).

Similarly, for part (d), By representation theory of \(\mathfrak{sl}_2\) we immediately have the direct sum decompositions \(\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1^f \oplus \mathbb{F}[s^\ast, e]\), and \(\mathfrak{g}^-_1 = \mathfrak{g}^-_1^f \oplus \mathbb{F}[s, f]\). These decompositions are dual since, by invariance, \(([s^\ast, e]|\mathfrak{g}^s) = 0\) and \(([s, f]|\mathfrak{g}^s) = 0\).

Next, we prove part (e). By part (d) we have that \(\mathfrak{g}_-^f = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f] = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f] \oplus \mathbb{F}s^\ast\). Here we used Lemma 2.2(a). Hence, by part (c), we get that \(\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus [s, [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f]] \oplus \mathbb{F}x\).

We already know from part (a) that \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f\). Moreover, we claim that \([s, [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f]] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f\). Indeed, if \(u \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f\), then \([f, [s, [f, u]]]) \in \mathfrak{g}_-^f = \mathbb{F}f\), and \((e|[f, [s, [f, u]]]) = 2x|[s, [f, u]]) = 0\). Hence, we have the direct sum decomposition \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f = \mathfrak{g}_0^f \oplus [s, [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f]]\). This decomposition is obviously orthogonal, by invariance of the bilinear form \((\cdot | \cdot)\).

We already proved that \(\mathfrak{g}_-^f = [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f] \oplus \mathbb{F}s^\ast\). Moreover, by part (b) \([s, [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f]]\) is a subspace of \(\mathfrak{g}_1^f\) of codimension 1. For \(u \in \mathfrak{g}_1^f\), we have \((s^\ast|[s, [f, u]]) = -2(s|[f, u]) = 0\). In other words, \([s, [f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f]]\) is orthogonal to \(s^\ast\), and therefore it does not contain \(s\). To conclude the proof of part (f) we just need to observe that, obviously, \(s\) is orthogonal to \([f, \mathfrak{g}_1^f]\).

Next we prove part (g). The direct sum decompositions \(\mathfrak{g}_1 = [s, \mathfrak{g}_0^f] \oplus \mathbb{F}s\) and \(\mathfrak{g}_1^f = [s^\ast, \mathfrak{g}_0^f] \oplus \mathbb{F}s^\ast\) follow from representation theory of \(\mathfrak{sl}_2\). These decompositions are dual with respect to \((\cdot | \cdot)\) by invariance and since \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f\) is orthogonal to \(x\).

Finally, we prove part (h). The inner product \(\chi\) is clearly symmetric, and it is non-degenerate by part (f). The fact that it is \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f\)-invariant follows by invariance of \((\cdot | \cdot)\) and the fact that \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f\). \(\square\)

According to Lemma 8.3, \((\cdot | \cdot)\) restricts to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on \(\mathfrak{g}_0^f\), and we fix an orthonormal basis

\[
\{a_i\}_{i \in J^f_0} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^f \quad \text{such that} \quad (a_i|a_i) = \delta_{i,j}. \tag{8.2}
\]

Moreover, we have the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form \(\chi\) on \(\mathfrak{g}_1^f\), and we fix an orthonormal basis

\[
\{u_k\}_{k \in J^f_1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1^f \quad \text{such that} \quad \chi(u_k|u_k) = ([s, [f, u_k]]|[s, [f, u_k]]) = \delta_{h,k}. \tag{8.3}
\]

Then, by part (e) in Lemma 8.3, dual (w.r.t. \((\cdot | \cdot)\)) bases of \(\mathfrak{g}_0\) are

\[
\{a_i\}_{i \in J_0} = \{x\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in J_0^f} \cup \{[s, [f, u_k]]\}_{k \in J^f_1},
\]

\[
\{a^i\}_{i \in J_0} = \left\{ \frac{1}{(x|x)}x \right\} \cup \{a_i\}_{i \in J_0^f} \cup \{[s, [f, u_k]]\}_{k \in J^f_1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0.
\]
while by part (f) in Lemma 8.3, we have the following basis of $\mathfrak{g}_2$

$$\{u_k\}_{k \in J_{1/2}} = \{[s, [s, [f, u_k]]]\}_{k \in J_{1/2}} \cup \{s\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{1/2},$$

and the dual (w.r.t. $(\cdot | \cdot)$) basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is

$$\{-[f, u_k]\}_{k \in J_{1/2}} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{4(x|y)s^*} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}.$$

**Proposition 8.4.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra not of type $C_n$. Then the set of embeddable elements $s \in \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$ is a non empty Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$. Moreover, any two embeddable elements $s \in \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$ can be obtained from one another by the adjoint action of $G_0^{1_f}$ up to a non-zero constant factor.

**Proof.** We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ using the invariant bilinear form normalized so that $(\theta|\theta) = 2$. Choose root vectors $e_{\alpha_1}, e_{-\alpha_1}, e_{\theta-\alpha_1}, e_{-\theta+\alpha_1}$, such that $(e_{\alpha_1}|e_{-\alpha_1}) = 1$, and $(e_{\theta-\alpha_1}|e_{-\theta+\alpha_1}) = 1$. Let $s = e_{\alpha_1} + e_{\theta-\alpha_1}$, and $s^* = e_{\alpha_1} + e_{\theta+\alpha_1}$. Then, $[s, s^*] = \theta = 2x$. This proves that the set of embeddable elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$ is not empty.

By Kostant’s Theorem [Kos59, Thm.4.2], the $G_0^{1_f}$-orbit of any embeddable element $s$ is Zariski open in $\mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^{1_f} \oplus \mathbb{F}x$, the last statement of the proposition follows.

\[\square\]

### 8.3. Preliminary computations.

**Proposition 8.5.** If $s$ is embeddable, then $f + zs \in \mathfrak{g}((z^{-1}))$ is semisimple, and we have the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}((z^{-1})) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, where

$$\mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{F}(f + zs)((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^{1_f}((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathbb{F}(e + z^{-1}s^*)((z^{-1})) = \text{Ker ad}(f + zs) \quad (8.4)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}^\perp := \mathbb{F}(2f - zs)((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathbb{F}x((z^{-1})) \oplus \mathbb{F}(2e - z^{-1}s^*)((z^{-1})) \oplus \left[ f, \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}\right]((z^{-1}))$$

$$\oplus \left[ s, \left[ f, \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}\right]\right]((z^{-1})) \oplus \left[ s, \left[ s, \left[ f, \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}\right]\right]\right]((z^{-1})) = \text{Im ad}(f + zs). \quad (8.5)$$

In fact, we have

(i) $[f + zs, 2x] = 2f - zs$;

(ii) $[f + zs, -\frac{1}{6}(2e - z^{-1}s^*)] = x$;

(iii) $[f + zs, s^*, e]z^{-1} = 2e - z^{-1}s^*$;

(iv) $[f + zs, u] = [f, u] \in \left[ f, \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}\right]$, for all $u \in \mathfrak{g}_2^{s};$

(v) $[f + zs, [f, u]z^{-1}] = [s, [f, u]] \in \left[ s, \left[ f, \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}\right]\right]$, for all $u \in \mathfrak{g}_2^{s};$

(vi) $[f + zs, [s, [f, u]]z^{-1}] = [s, [s, [f, u]]] \in \left[ s, \left[ s, \left[ f, \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}\right]\right]\right]$, for all $u \in \mathfrak{g}_2^{s}.$

**Proof.** Clearly, $f + zs \in \text{Ker ad}(f + zs)$. By Lemma 8.3(a), $\mathfrak{g}_0^{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^{1_f}$, and therefore $\mathfrak{g}_0^{s} \subset \text{Ker ad}(f + zs)$. Next, we have $[f + zs, e + z^{-1}s^*] = [f, e] + [s, s^*] = 0$, by definition of admissible element. Therefore, we have the inclusion $\mathfrak{h} \subset \text{Ker}(\text{ad}(f + zs)).$
Furthermore, all identities (i)–(v) are immediate. For identity (vi) we just have to show that \([f, [s, [f, u]]] = 0\) for all \(u \in g_1^F\). Since, clearly, \([f, [s, [f, u]]] \in g_{-1} = \mathbb{F} f\), the claim follows from the following identity: 
\[e[[f, [s, [f, u]]]] = 2(x[[s, [f, u]]]) = (s[[f, u]] = 0.\]

The identities (i)–(vi) imply the inclusion \(h^\perp \subset \text{Im}(f + zs)\). In order to conclude the proof of the proposition, we are left to prove, recalling Lemma 5.1, that \(h \oplus h^\perp = g((z^{-1}))\). This immediately follows from parts (b), (e) and (f) in Lemma 8.3. □

**Remark 8.6.** If \(g\) is of type \(C_n\), then there is no element \(s \in g_1^F\) such that \(f + s\) is semisimple. Indeed, in this case \(g_0^F\) is a simple Lie algebra of type \(C_{n-1}\), and its representation on \(g_1^F\) is the standard \(2n - 2\)-dimensional representation. Therefore, the set of non-zero elements of \(g_1^F\) form a single \(G_0^F\)-orbit. Hence, the \(G_0^F\)-orbit of \(f + s\) is \(f + g_1^F \setminus \{0\}\), and its closure contains \(f\). A fortiori, \(f\) lies in the closure of the \(G\)-orbit of \(f + s\). But \(f\) is nilpotent, so it does not lie in the \(G\) orbit of the semisimple element \(f + s\). This is a contradiction since, by Lemma 5.1(ii), the \(G\)-orbit of \(f + s\) is closed.

**Remark 8.7.** It is not difficult to show that the converse to Proposition 8.5 holds: the set of elements \(s \in g_1^F\) such that \(f + s\) is semisimple in \(g\) coincides with the set of embeddable elements.

We generalize the argument in Sect. 5 to this case. Let us assume that \(s \in g_1^F\) is embeddable, so that, by Proposition 8.5, we have the direct sum decomposition \(g((z^{-1})) = h \oplus h^\perp\), given by (8.4) and (8.5). Since \(s \in g_1^F\), we let \(z\) have degree \(-\frac{3}{2}\) and we get the corresponding decompositions for \(h\) and \(h^\perp\) given by (5.5). To find the homogeneous subspaces, we use the obvious set identity:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} = \left(\frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} - 1\right) \cup \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} \cup \left(\frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} + 1\right).
\]

Hence, we get:

(i) \(h_i = \mathbb{F}(f + zs)z^{-\frac{3}{2}(i+1)}\) for \(i \in \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} - 1\),
(ii) \(h_i = g_0^Fz^{-\frac{3}{2}i}\) for \(i \in \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z}\),
(iii) \(h_i = \mathbb{F}(e + z^{-1}s^*)z^{-\frac{3}{2}(i-1)}\) for \(i \in \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} + 1\),
(iv) \(h_i^\perp = \mathbb{F}(2f - zs)z^{-\frac{3}{2}(i+1)} \oplus [s, [s, [f, g_1^F]]]z^{-\frac{3}{2}(i-\frac{1}{2})}\) for \(i \in \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} - 1\),
(v) \(h_i^\perp = \mathbb{F}xz^{-\frac{3}{2}i} \oplus [s, [f, g_1^F]]z^{-\frac{3}{2}i}\) for \(i \in \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z}\),
(vi) \(h_i^\perp = [f, g_1^F]z^{-\frac{3}{2}(i+\frac{1}{2})} \oplus \mathbb{F}(2e - z^{-1}s^*)z^{-\frac{3}{2}(i-\frac{1}{2})}\) for \(i \in \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{Z} + 1\).

**8.4. Generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies.** Consider the element

\[
q = \sum_{i \in P} q^{i} \otimes q_{i} \in n^\perp \otimes \mathcal{V}(p),
\]
where \( \{q_i\}_{i \in P} \) is a basis of \( p \), and \( \{q^i\}_{i \in P} \) is the dual (w.r.t. \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \)) basis of \( n^\perp \). In terms of the bases \((8.1), (8.2), \) and \((8.3)\), we can write \( q \) as follows:

\[
q = \frac{1}{2(x|x)} e \otimes f + \frac{1}{4(x|x)} s \otimes s^* + \frac{1}{(x|x)} x \otimes x + \sum_{i \in J_0^+} a_i \otimes a_i \\
+ \sum_{k \in J_1^+} [s, [f, u_k]] \otimes [s, [f, u_k]] - \sum_{k \in J_1^+} [s, [s, [f, u_k]]] \otimes [f, u_k] + \sum_{k \in L} [f, u_k] \otimes v^k.
\]

Its image under the map \( \pi_1 : \mathcal{V}(p) \to \mathcal{V}(g^f) \) defined in Sect. 8.1 is

\[
\pi_1 q = \frac{1}{2(x|x)} e \otimes f + \frac{1}{4(x|x)} s \otimes s^* + \sum_{i \in J_0^+} a_i \otimes a_i \\
+ \sum_{k \in J_1^+} [s, [f, u_k]] \otimes [s, [f, u_k]] - \sum_{k \in J_1^+} [s, [s, [f, u_k]]] \otimes [f, u_k],
\]

and its homogeneous components \( \pi_1 q_i \in n^\perp_i \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f) \) are

\[
\pi_1 q_0 = \sum_{i \in J_0^+} a_i \otimes a_i + \sum_{k \in J_1^+} [s, [f, u_k]] \otimes [s, [f, u_k]], \\
\pi_1 q_1 = \frac{1}{4(x|x)} s \otimes s^* - \sum_{k \in J_1^+} [s, [s, [f, u_k]]] \otimes [f, u_k], \\
\pi_1 q_1 = \frac{1}{2(x|x)} e \otimes f.
\]

Using the results in [DSKV12, Sec.4] and the same trick as in Sect. 6, we construct the generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy as follows:

1. We solve Eq. \((5.7)\) for \( U(z) \in h^\perp_{\geq -\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \) and \( h(z) \in h^\perp_{\geq -\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \), after applying the map \( \pi_1 \) to both sides of the equation.
2. We fix an element \( a(z) \) in the center of \( h \), and we compute the Laurent series \( \int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \int g_n z^{N-n} \) defined by \((5.8)\). The corresponding bi-Hamiltonian integrable hierarchy is \( \frac{dw}{dt_n} = \{g_n w\}_{H, \rho, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \).

**Step 1.** By [DSKV12, Prop. 4.5] there are unique \( U(z) = U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} + U(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} + U(z)_{\frac{5}{2}} + \cdots \in h^\perp_{\geq -\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \) and \( h(z) = h(z)_{-\frac{1}{2}} + h(z)_0 + h(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots \in h^\perp_{\geq -\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \) solving Eq. \((5.7)\).

Using the same trick as in Sect. 6, we apply the map \( \pi_1 \) to both sides of the Eq. \((5.7)\), and we solve it degree by degree, for \( \pi_1 U(z)_{i+1} \in h^\perp_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \) and \( \pi_1 h(z)_{i} \in h_i \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \).

If we apply the map \( \pi_1 \) to both sides of Eq. \((5.7)\), we get

\[
e^{ad(\pi_1 U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \pi_1 U(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \pi_1 U(z)_{\frac{5}{2}} + \cdots)} (\partial + (f + zs) \otimes 1 + \pi_1 q_0 + \pi_1 q_{\frac{1}{2}} + \pi_1 q_1) \\
= \partial + (f + zs) \otimes 1 + \pi_1 h(z)_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \pi_1 h(z)_0 + \pi_1 h(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots.
\]
If we take the homogeneous components of degree \(-\frac{1}{2}\) in both sides of Eq. (8.7), we get
\[
\pi_l h(z)_{-\frac{1}{2}} + [(f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_l U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}}] = 0,
\]
which implies \(\pi_l U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} = \pi_l h(z)_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0\).

Similarly, if we take the homogeneous components of degree 0 in both sides of Eq. (6.8), we get
\[
\pi_l h(z)_0 + [(f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_l U(z)_1] = \pi_l q_0.
\]
By Proposition 8.5 we have \(h_0 = g^1_0\) and \(h^1_0 = \mathbb{F}x \oplus [s, [f, g^1_2]]\). It follows by the expression (8.6) for \(\pi_l q_0\) and Proposition 8.5(v) that
\[
\pi_l h(z)_0 = \sum_{i \in J_0^1} a_i \otimes a_i, \quad \pi_l U(z)_1 = \sum_{k \in J_1^2} [f, u_k] z^{-1} \otimes [s, [f, u_k]]. \tag{8.8}
\]
Next, we take the homogeneous components of degree \(\frac{1}{2}\) in both sides of Eq. (8.7):
\[
\pi_l h(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} + [(f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_l U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}}] = \pi_l q_{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
By Proposition 8.5 we have \(h_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{F}(f + zs) z^{-1}\) and \(h^ {1}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{F}(2f - zs) z^{-1} \oplus [s, [s, [f, g^1_2]]] = \text{ad}(f + zs)(\mathbb{F}(2x) z^{-1} \oplus [s, [f, g^1_2]] z^{-1})\). It follows from the expression (8.6) for \(\pi_l q_{\frac{1}{2}}\), and the obvious decomposition \(s = \frac{2}{3}(f + zs) z^{-1} - \frac{1}{3}(2f - zs) z^{-1}\), that
\[
\pi_l h(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{6(x|x)}(f + zs) z^{-1} \otimes s^*,
\]
\[
\pi_l U(z)_{\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{1}{6(x|x)} x z^{-1} \otimes s^* - \sum_{k \in J_1^2} [s, [f, u_k]] z^{-1} \otimes [f, u_k]. \tag{8.9}
\]
Next, we take the homogeneous components of degree 1 in both sides of Eq. (8.7):
\[
\pi_l h(z)_1 + [(f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_l U(z)_2] = \pi_l q_1 - \partial \pi_l U(z)_1 + [\pi_l U(z)_1, \pi_l q_0]
\]
\[\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\pi_l U(z)_1, [\pi_l U(z)_1, (f + zs) \otimes 1]].\]
It follows from the formulas (8.6) for \(\pi_l q_0\) and \(\pi_l q_1\), and (8.8) for \(\pi_l U(z)_1\), that
\[
\pi_l h(z)_1 + [(f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_l U(z)_2] = \frac{1}{2(x|x)} e \otimes f - \sum_{k \in J_1^2} [f, u_k] z^{-1} \otimes \partial [s, [f, u_k]]
\]
\[\quad - \sum_{i \in J_0^1} \sum_{k \in J_1^2} [f, [a_i, u_k]] z^{-1} \otimes a_i [s, [f, u_k]]]
By Proposition 8.5 we have $h_1 = \mathbb{F}(e + z^{-1}s^*)$ and $h_1^+ = \mathbb{F}(2e - z^{-1}s^*) \oplus [f, g_1^+]z^{-1}$.

We thus get, by the orthogonality condition (8.3), and the obvious identities $e = \frac{1}{3}(e + z^{-1}s^*) + \frac{1}{3}(2e - z^{-1}s^*)$ and $s^* = \frac{2}{3}(e + z^{-1}s^*)z - \frac{1}{3}(2e - z^{-1}s^*)z$,

$$\pi_1 h(z) = \frac{1}{6(x|x)}(e + z^{-1}s^*) \otimes f + \frac{1}{12(x|x)}(e + z^{-1}s^*) \otimes \sum_{k \in J_1^s} [s, [f, u_k]] [s, [f, u_k]] \quad (8.10)$$

Finally, we take the homogeneous components of degree $\frac{3}{2}$ in both sides of Eq. (8.7):

$$\pi_1 h(z) \frac{1}{2} + (f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_1 U(z) \frac{1}{2} = -\partial \pi_1 U(z) \frac{1}{2} + \pi_1 U(z) \frac{1}{2}, \pi_1 q_0 + \pi_1 U(z_1), \pi_1 q_1 \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \pi_1 U(z) \frac{1}{2}, \pi_1 U(z_1), (f + zs) \otimes 1].$$

We can use Eqs. (8.6), (8.8) and (8.9), to rewrite the above identity as follows

$$\pi_1 h(z) \frac{1}{2} + (f + zs) \otimes 1, \pi_1 U(z) \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6(x|x)} x z^{-1} \otimes \partial s^*$$

$$+ \sum_{k \in J_0^s} [s, [f, u_k]] z^{-1} \otimes \partial f, u_k] + \sum_{i \in J_0^s} \sum_{k \in J_1^s} [s, [f, a_i, u_k]] z^{-1} \otimes [f, u_k] a_i$$

$$- \sum_{h, k \in J_1^s} [[s, [f, u_h]], [s, [f, u_k]]] z^{-1} \otimes [f, u_h][s, [f, u_k]]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4(x|x)} \sum_{k \in J_1^s} [s, [f, u_k]] z^{-1} \otimes s^*[s, [f, u_k]]$$

$$- \sum_{h, k \in J_1^s} [[f, u_h], [s, [f, u_k]]] z^{-1} \otimes [s, [f, u_h]][f, u_k]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{24(x|x)} \sum_{k \in J_1^s} [s, [f, u_k]] z^{-1} \otimes s^*[s, [f, u_k]]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h, k \in J_1^s} [[f, u_h], [s, [f, u_k]]] z^{-1} \otimes [s, [f, u_h]][f, u_k]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h, k \in J_1^s} [[s, [f, u_h]], [s, [f, u_k]]] z^{-1} \otimes [f, u_h][s, [f, u_k]].$$
By Proposition 8.5 we have $h_{\frac{3}{2}} = g_0^s z^{-1}$ and $h_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{F} x z^{-1} \oplus [s, [f, g^f_1]] z^{-1}$. It follows by the above equation and the orthogonality relations (8.2) and (8.3), that

$$\pi_l h(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} = - \sum_{i \in J^+_0} \sum_{k \in J^+_1} a_i z^{-1} \otimes [f, u_k][s, [f, [a_i, u_k]]].$$

Here we used the fact that, for $u, v \in g_0^s$, the component in $g_0^f$ of $[[s, [f, u]], [s, [f, v]]] \in g_0^f$ is equal to $\sum_{i \in J^+_0} \chi([a_i, u], v)a_i$.

**Step 2.** Given an element $0 \neq a(z) \in Z(\mathfrak{h})$, consider the Laurent series $\int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \int g_n z^{N-n}$ defined by (5.8). According to [DSKV12, Thm.4.18], the coefficients $\int g_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ of this series span an infinite-dimensional subspace of $W/\mathfrak{g}W$ and they satisfy the Lenard–Magri recursion conditions (5.1).

In order to compute the coefficient of $\int g(z)$, we first apply the surjective map $\pi_l : g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(p) \rightarrow g((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathcal{V}(g^f)$ (acting as the identity on the first factor, and as a differential algebra homomorphism on the second factor) to $h(z)$ inside Eq. (5.8), and then we apply the inverse map $\pi_l^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(g^f) \rightsquigarrow g((z^{-1})) \otimes W$, using Corollary 8.1. The resulting equation is

$$\int g(z) = \int \pi_l^{-1}(a(z) \otimes 1) |\pi_l h(z)|.$$

We will consider three different choices of $a(z) \in Z(\mathfrak{h})$:

(a) $a(z) = c \in Z(g_0^s)$,
(b) $a(z) = e + z^{-1}s^*$,
(c) $a(z) = f + zs$.

**Case (a):** $a(z) = c \in Z(g_0^s)$ Note that $c \in g_0^s$ is orthogonal to $f, e, s, s^*$. Hence, according to the description of the subspaces $\mathfrak{h}_i$, $i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^+$, in Sect. 8.3, we obtain

$$\int g(z) = \sum_{i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^+} \int \pi_l^{-1}(a(z) \otimes 1) |\pi_l h(z)_i|.$$ We then write, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\pi_l h(z)_{\frac{3}{2}} = \sum_{i \in J^+_0} a_i z^{-n} \otimes h_{n,i},$$

with $h_{n,i} \in \mathcal{V}(g^f)$. It follows that, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have

$$\int g_n = \sum_{i \in J^+_0} \int \pi_l^{-1}(c |a_i|) h_{n,i},$$

From Eqs. (8.8), (8.12) and (8.13) we thus get, using Corollary 8.1,

$$\int g_0 = \int \varphi_1(c),$$

while from Eq. (8.11) we get

$$\int g_1 = - \sum_{k \in J^+_1} \int \psi_1([f, u_k]) \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]]]).$$
The corresponding Hamiltonian equations of the hierarchy \( \frac{d}{dt_n} = \{g_{n0}, w\}_{H, \rho} \) are

\[
\frac{d\varphi_1(a)}{dt_0} = \varphi([c, a]), \quad \frac{d\psi_1(u)}{dt_0} = \psi([c, u]), \quad \frac{dL_1}{dt_0} = 0,
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\varphi_1(a)}{dt_1} = \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]]]) \psi_1([f, [a, u_k]]) + \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \varphi_1([a, [s, [f, u_k]]]) \psi_1([f, u_k]) - \sum_{k \in J_2^1} (a|[s, [f, [c, u_k]]]) \psi_1([f, u_k])',
\]

\[
\frac{d\psi_1(u)}{dt_1} = \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \psi_1([u, [s, [f, u_k]]]) \psi_1([f, u_k])
\]

\[
- \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \sum_{r \in J_2^1} \varphi_1([[[f, u_k], [v', v]]) \varphi_1([u, [v, v]]) \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]])]
\]

\[
- \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \varphi_1([[[f, u_k], [e, u]]) \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]])]
\]

\[
-2 \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \varphi_1([[[f, u_k], [e, u]]) \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]])]
\]

\[
- \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \frac{(u_k|u)}{2(x|x)} \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]])] + \sum_{k \in J_2^1} (u_k|u) \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]])]
\]

\[
\frac{dL_1}{dt_1} = -3 \sum_{k \in J_2^1} \left( \psi_1([f, u_k]) \varphi_1([s, [f, [c, u_k]])] \right).
\]

**Case (b):** \( a(z) = e + z^{-1}s^* \) Note that \( e + z^{-1}s^* \) is orthogonal to \( g_0^* \) and to \( e + z^{-1}s^* \). Hence, according to the description of the subspaces \( h_i, i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_+ \), in Sect. 8.3, we obtain

\[
\int g(z) = \sum_{i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_+ + \frac{1}{2}} \int \pi_{-1}^{-1}(a(z) \otimes 1) |\pi_{1} h(z)|^2 \text{d} \mathbb{R}.
\]

We then write, for \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \),

\[
\pi_{1} h(z) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = (f + zs)z^{-(n+1)} \otimes h_{n,a}, \tag{8.14}
\]

with \( h_{n,a} \in \mathcal{V}(g^f) \). It follows that

\[
\int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int g_n z^{-(n+1)} = 6(x|x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int \pi_{-1} h_{n,a} z^{-(n+1)}. \tag{8.15}
\]

From Eqs. (8.9), (8.14) and (8.15) we thus get, using Corollary 8.1,

\[
\int g_0 = \int \psi_1(s^*).
\]
The corresponding Hamiltonian equation is

\[
\frac{d\varphi_i(a)}{dt_0} = \varphi_i([s^*, a]), \quad \frac{dL_1}{dt_0} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi_i(s^*),
\]
\[
\frac{d\psi_i(u)}{dt_0} = \sum_{k \in J_{1,2}} \varphi_i([s^*, v_k^k])\varphi_i([u, v_k^k]) + \frac{([e, s^*]u)}{2(x|x)} L_1 + \varphi_i([s^*, [e, u]])
\]

Case (c): \( a(z) = f + zs \) Note that \( f + zs \) is orthogonal to \( g_0^f \) and to \( f + zs \). Hence, according to the description of the subspaces \( h_i, i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+ \), in Sect. 8.3, we obtain

\[
\int g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int g_n z^{-n} = 6(x|x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int \pi_1^{-1} h_{n,b} z^{-n}.
\]

From Eqs. (8.10), (8.16) and (8.17) we thus get, using Corollary 8.1,

\[
\int g_0 = \int L_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \varphi_i(a_i) \varphi_i(a^i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J_{1,2}} \varphi_i([s, [f, u_k]]) \varphi_i([s, [f, u_k]])
\]

\[
= \int L_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \varphi_i(a_i) \varphi_i(a^i).
\]

In the last identity we used the orthogonal decomposition \( g_0^f = g_0^f \oplus [s, [f, g_1^f]] \). The corresponding Hamiltonian equation is

\[
\frac{d\varphi_i(a)}{dt_0} = \varphi_i(a^i) - \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \left( \varphi_i([a^i, a]) \varphi_i(a_i) + [a^i, a] \varphi_i(a_i)^i \right),
\]
\[
\frac{d\psi_i(u)}{dt_0} = \varphi_i(u^i) + \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \varphi_i(a_i) \psi_i([u, a^i]),
\]
\[
\frac{dL_1}{dt_0} = \left( L_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I_0^f} \varphi_i(a_i) \varphi_i(a^i) \right)^i.
\]
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