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Chloromethane (CM) is an ozone-depleting gas, produced predominantly from natural sources, that provides an important carbon source for microbes capable of consuming it. CM catabolism has been difficult to study owing to the challenging genetics of its native microbial hosts. Since the pathways for CM catabolism show evidence of horizontal gene transfer, we reproduced this transfer process in the laboratory to generate new CM-catabolizing strains in tractable hosts. We demonstrate that six putative accessory genes improve CM catabolism, though heterologous expression of only one of the six is strictly necessary for growth on CM. In contrast to growth of *Methylobacterium* strains with the closely related compound dichloromethane (DCM), we find that chloride export does not limit growth on CM and, in general that the ability of a strain to grow on DCM is uncorrelated with its ability to grow on CM. This heterologous expression system allows us to investigate the components required for effective CM catabolism and the factors that limit effective catabolism after horizontal transfer.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Chloromethane (CM) is the most abundant organohalide on earth, accounting for roughly 16% of tropospheric chlorine in 2012, and therefore contributes to chloride-catalyzed ozone depletion (World Meteorological Organization, 2014). Sources of CM are mainly natural, such as biomass burning and tropical plants (Yokouchi et al., 2000; Kepller et al., 2005). An abundant electron-rich compound represents a valuable carbon source for a microbe and, as expected, multiple microbial strains have been isolated based on their ability to grow with CM as the sole source of carbon and
must function effectively in its new host, and the host must be challenging for the recipient strain, since the transferred pathway (Marx et al., 2003, 2005; Crowther et al., 2008). Since CM (Marx et al., 2003) and metabolic consequences (Figure 1A). Catabolism of DCM requires a dedicated cytoplasmic dehalogenase (DcmA) that directly dechlorinates DCM to formaldehyde (La Roche and Leisinger, 1990). As with CM, growth on DCM produces cytoplasmic hydrochloric acid, though twice as much per C1 unit. However, DCM is metabolized similarly to the formaldehyde produced during growth on methanol, without requiring the metabolic rerouting necessary for growth on CM (Figure 1A). In the case of DCM, expressing DcmA in a variety of other Methylobacterium strains initially led to little or no growth (Kayser et al., 2002; Michener et al., 2014b). Effective use of the DCM catabolic pathway required mutations to the host genome that increased chloride efflux (Michener et al., 2014a). Given the similarities and differences between CM and DCM, we wished to understand whether the DCM-utilizing DM4 strain would be preadapted to use CM and, more generally, whether there would be a correlation between the relative ability of a strain to grow with these closely related compounds when provided with the corresponding dehalogenase.

In this work, we have deliberately transferred the CM catabolic pathway into naïve Methylobacterium strains, generating new CM-utilizing microbes. We demonstrate that these strains grow poorly on CM, indicating the need for post-transfer refinement. We find no correlation between a strain’s ability to grow with CM and DCM when provided with the corresponding heterologous catabolic pathway. Our heterologous expression system allows facile manipulation, allowing us to measure the fitness effect of accessory genes such as purU and folD. Finally, we show that growth on CM is not limited by chloride export, in contrast to growth on DCM.

RESULTS

Transfer of a cmu Cluster from Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1, But Not from M. extorquens CM4, Enables Diverse Methylobacterium Strains to Grow on CM

To reproduce the process of HGT, we cloned the gene clusters implicated in CM catabolism into conjugative plasmids and transferred them into naïve recipient strains (Figure 1B). The cmu cluster from Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1 (Vuilleumier et al., 2011) was cloned as a single insert, yielding pJM105. The cmu genes in M. extorquens CM4 are dispersed around a large 380 kb plasmid (Marx et al., 2012). Accordingly, we amplified two separate regions of this plasmid, comprising cmuA/folD/purU and metF2/cmuB/cmuC, and combined them into a single insert to construct plasmid pJM50 (Figure 1B).
Each of these plasmids was separately introduced into six different recipient strains unable to grow on CM: *M. extorquens* strains AM1 (Peel and Quayle, 1961), PA1 (Knief et al., 2010), DM4 (Gälli and Leisinger, 1985), and BJ001 (Van Aken et al., 2004), as well as *Methyllobacterium nodulans* (Sy et al., 2001) and *Methyllobacterium radiotolerans* (Sanders and Maxcy, 1979) (Supplementary Table S2). Each of the transconjugants was tested for growth in minimal medium containing CM as the sole source of carbon and energy. After three days of growth, all six of the pJM105 transconjugants containing the *Hyphomicrobium* sp. MC1 cmu cluster showed small, but consistent, levels of growth (0.02 < OD₆₀₀ < 0.06), while none of the pJM50 transconjugants reached a comparable optical density. Under these conditions, strain CM4 typically reaches an optical density of ~0.1. Control flasks, containing cells but no CM, did not exceed an OD₆₀₀ of 0.01.

Poor growth of the pJM105 transconjugants made it difficult to accurately quantify growth rates and yields, so instead we characterized their growth based on competitive fitness. Each of the transconjugants, as well as *M. extorquens* CM4 as a positive control, was mixed with the transconjugant of DM4 and grown with CM as the sole source of carbon and energy. We measured the population sizes and population ratios before and after growth, and then calculated the competitive fitness relative to DM4 (Figure 2A). As expected, the fitness of the native CM-consuming strain CM4 was significantly higher than any of the transconjugants (*p* < 0.01 for all transconjugants, two-tailed *t*-test). However, each of the transconjugants had non-zero fitness, indicating that they grew with CM as the sole source of carbon and energy. For comparison, we also competed AM1, PA1, and DM4 against CM4 directly. These competitions confirmed that the transconjugant strains grow with CM, but at 23–44% of the fitness of CM4 (Supplementary Figure S1).

**Effectiveness of CM Catabolism Does Not Correlate with DCM Use across Methyllobacterium Strains**

We previously measured the fitness of this same set of recipient strains during growth on DCM after introduction of a heterologous DCM catabolic pathway (Michener et al., 2014b). Comparing the fitness of the strains on CM and DCM, we find...
no correlation between an individual’s fitness on CM and DCM (linear regression, $p = 0.49$, Figure 2B).

**Deletions in the cmu Gene Cassette Allow Identification of Genes Essential for Growth with CM in M. extorquens AM1**

Each of the six accessory genes in the cmu gene cassette, metF2, purU, folD, paaE, hutI, and fmbD was individually deleted from pJM105, and the modified plasmids were introduced into AM1. We determined the fitness effect of each single-gene deletion by competing strains containing the modified plasmids against a strain containing the original plasmid during growth with CM (Figure 3). Only one gene, metF2 encoding a methylene $H_2$F reductase (Figure 1), was essential for growth on CM, while the other deletions imposed fitness costs of 18–47%.

**Chloride Transport Does Not Limit Growth with CM**

We previously showed that growth of transconjugant Methylobacterium strains with DCM was limited by the need to export the chloride ions produced as a byproduct of dechlorination (Michener et al., 2014a). Mutations that increased chloride efflux, such as overexpression of the ClcA chloride:proton antiporter, significantly increased fitness during growth on DCM (Figure 4B). Accordingly, we tested whether ClcA overexpression would increase the fitness of a Methylobacterium strain during growth with CM. We introduced the pJM105 plasmid into mutant strains of AM1 and PA1 that each overexpress ClcA. In both cases, the fitness of the ClcA overexpression strain was indistinguishable from an otherwise isogenic control (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

**Heterologous CM Use Does Not Correlate with DCM Use across Methylobacterium Strains**

As with growth on DCM, the ability to exploit this horizontally transferred pathway is common and all of the recipients were able to grow on CM. Consistent with our previous results, the phylogenetic relationships between recipients was not predictive of their fitness, though we might expect less of a phylogenetic effect since the heterologous pathway was transferred from outside the genus. Additionally, transfer of the pathway allowed only limited growth, ranging from 14 to 46% of the fitness of a natural isolate (Figure 2A). Despite these general similarities, the lack of correlation between fitness on CM and DCM suggests that the fitness-limiting factors are different for the catabolic pathways of these two chlorinated methanes. We assume in our interpretation of the competition experiments that the strains compete solely through consumption of the carbon source. Any other competitive interactions would likely have similar effects during growth both with CM and with DCM.

**Gene Deletions Demonstrate That metF2, But Not purU or folD, Is Essential for Growth with CM in M. extorquens AM1**

The MetF2 enzyme was essential for growth of AM1 with CM, as had previously been shown for CM4 (Studer et al., 2002). CM4 has two 5,10-methylene-$H_2$F reductase gene homologs: a chromosomal metF shared by strain AM1 (99.7% amino acid identity) and a plasmid-borne metF2 that is part of the
higher flux (Marx and Lidstrom, 2004). Both FolD and MtdA/Fch is insufficient for growth on methanol, which requires a much relatively little flux through the H\textsubscript{4}F cluster. Indeed, previous work replacing MtdA and Fch with FolD match even the limited growth of AM1 with the intact cmu cluster. (Marx et al., 2003; Nayak and Marx, 2014). The partial fitness defect of the ΔpurU cmu gene cassette contrasts with previous findings showing that this gene was essential for growth of CM4 with CM (Vannelli et al., 1999). We hypothesize that the formate-H\textsubscript{4}F ligase FtlL is capable of catalyzing a limited flux from formyl-H\textsubscript{4}F to formate. In a host such as AM1 with low CM flux, the reduction in flux from a purU deletion would likely only produce a small but measurable fitness cost. Reduction to a similar absolute level of flux in CM4 might either reduce growth to a level that gives the appearance of essentiality or lead to increased accumulation of one or more toxic intermediates.

The observed fitness cost of the folD deletion in the cmu cassette provides the first evidence that this enzyme plays an important role in CM catabolism. As with purU, however, the fact that the folD knockout still grows on CM strongly suggests that MtdA and Fch can carry C\textsubscript{1} flux in the oxidative direction (Figure 1A), albeit at levels insufficient for the AfolD strain to match even the limited growth of AM1 with the intact cmu gene cluster. Indeed, previous work replacing MtdA and Fch with FolD in AM1 has shown that FolD is sufficient for growth on succinate, which requires relatively little flux through the H\textsubscript{4}F pathway, but is insufficient for growth on methanol, which requires a much higher flux (Marx and Lidstrom, 2004). Both FolD and MtdA/Fch appear to poorly catalyze the reverse reaction, presumably due either to enzyme biochemistry or to allosteric regulation (Martinez-Gomez et al., 2013). More broadly, these results are consistent with past work showing that the phenotype of lesions in C\textsubscript{1} metabolic pathways can vary dramatically between different environments depending on the level of flux through the pathway (Marx et al., 2003; Nayak and Marx, 2014).

We have not investigated the fitness effects of deleting cmuA, cmuB, or cmuC, each of which was previously reported to be required for growth of CM4 with CM (Vannelli et al., 1999).

**Accessory Genes hutl, paeE, and fmdB Are Beneficial during Growth with CM in M. extorquens AM1**

Based on operon structure and gene conservation, paeE, hutl, and fmdB were predicted to be involved in growth with CM. However, this work is the first direct demonstration that these genes are beneficial during growth with CM. None of the genes were necessary for growth with CM, but deleting them imposed fitness costs of 25–47%. Further work will be needed to elucidate the precise contribution from each of these accessory genes.

These deletion experiments may also explain our inability to productively transfer the cmu operon from its best-studied host, M. extorquens CM4, into closely related Methylobacterium strains. The gene clusters cloned from strains MC1 and CM4 differ in four genes (Figure 1B): gene cmuC2 is only found in the CM4 cluster, while genes fmdB, paeE, and hutl were not included in the CM4 cluster that we cloned. Two of these genes, fmdB
and hutl are immediately adjacent to cmuA in M. extorquens CM4 and the third gene, paaE, occurs on the native CM4 plasmid pCMU01 roughly equidistant between the two segments that we amplified (Figure 1B). A simple multiplicative model based on our deletion studies, which assumes each mutation has a constant proportional effect on fitness regardless of the genetic background, would predict a fitness of ~20% for the triple deletion ΔfmdBΔhutlΔpaaE relative to the full pJM105 plasmid. If introduction of plasmid pJM50, which lacks fmdB, hutl, and paaE, allowed strains to grow with CM, but only 20% as effectively as with pJM105, we would not have been able to detect the growth of pJM50 transconjugants. Additionally, the cmu cluster that we cloned from Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1 has the native gene order and spacing, unlike the CM4 cluster, and this may favor heterologous cmu gene expression. In our single-gene deletion experiments, the start and stop codons of the deleted gene were preserved to minimize polar effects on the remainder of the gene cluster. However, even such conservative deletions may affect transcript stability, and we cannot rule out fitness effects due to disruption of undetected genes in the gene cluster or truncated polypeptides resulting from the deletions.

While it is possible that complete transfer of the native 380 kb CM4 plasmid might suffice for effective growth with CM, attempts to transfer the entire plasmid into naïve recipients has not been successful. We also note that several other genes are present on the native CM4 plasmid, are specifically induced during growth on CM, were not transferred in our experiment, and therefore are not essential for CM catabolism in Methylobacterium strains (Roselli et al., 2013). We have shown in this work that hutl, paaE, and fmdB are beneficial, but not essential, during growth with CM. The additional CM-induced genes from the native CM4 plasmid may provide a similar fitness benefit during growth on CM. Our heterologous expression system offers a unique opportunity to explore these questions in a genetically tractable system such as M. extorquens AM1.

**Chloride Transport Does Not Limit Growth with CM in AM1 or PA1**

Growth with CM and DCM both require dechlorination, yet growth with DCM is dependent on the level of chloride efflux while growth with CM appears unaffected, based on our ClcA overexpression experiments. Growth on DCM was highly sensitive to the clcA expression level, with a twofold change in clcA providing a roughly four-fold change in fitness (Michener et al., 2014a). The chloride burden of growth on CM is half that of growth on DCM, so the native chloride export capacity of the recipients may suffice for CM growth. In combination with our observation that the fitness of a given recipient strain during growth on DCM is not predictive of its fitness during growth on CM, we conclude that growth with DCM and CM place different stresses on the cell. However, we note that both the cmu operon in Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1 and the pCMU01 plasmid in CM4 also contain a second copy of clcA that we chose not to include in our heterologous operons. The factors limiting growth on CM in Methylobacterium strains may differ from those in Hyphomicrobium strains, with native chloride export capacity potentially higher in the Methylobacterium strains.

Having demonstrated that chloride export mediated by ClcA does not limit heterologous CM growth, we propose several alternate hypotheses for the limited transconjugant fitness during growth on CM. First, growth on CM is strongly dependent on cobalamin and folate, and the large CM4 plasmid contains 16 genes involved in B12 metabolism in addition to Methylobacterium core cob genes, as well as seven genes involved in folate metabolism, 6 of which do not have chromosomal homologs (Roselli et al., 2013). If chromosomally encoded cobalamin and folate metabolism is inefficient at providing the cofactors essential for dehalogenation, it would limit CM growth in the other Methylobacterium strains. Second, growth with CM introduces carbon at an unusual branch point in the Methylobacterium C1 metabolic network (Nayak and Marx, 2014), requiring the reversal of a metabolic pathway that more commonly functions in the reductive direction during growth with methanol or DCM (Figure 1A). Therefore, the recipients may be misregulating their metabolic networks for the new demands placed upon them during growth with CM. Third, the transfer of a nine-gene pathway between microbial families may affect protein expression levels in the new genetic environment, with significant costs to pathway flux and organismal fitness (Chou et al., 2014). Experimental evolution of these transconjugants, selecting for increased fitness during growth on CM, will help to investigate these hypotheses (Michener et al., 2014a; Clark et al., 2015).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Media and Chemicals**

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise noted. Escherichia coli were grown at 37°C in LB broth. Methylobacterium strains were grown in M-PIVES at 30°C, supplemented with 3.5 mM succinate for routine growth and with 12.5 µg/mL tetracycline as needed (Delaney et al., 2013). For CM growth, Methylobacterium strains were diluted to an OD600 of 0.001 in 10 mL of M-PIVES without tetracycline in 50 mL glass flasks sealed with silicone rubber stoppers. A gas-tight syringe was then used to transfer 1 mL of CM from a gas sampling bulb maintained at 16 psig into the headspace of the sealed flask. Cultures were grown aerobically for three days before analysis.

**Plasmid Construction and Matings**

Genomic DNA from Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1 and M. extorquens CM4 were prepared using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). To construct plasmid pJM50, two genomic regions were amplified from M. extorquens CM4 gDNA using the Q5 polymerase with the high GC enhancer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) (Supplementary Table S3). A single 6.2 kb amplicon contained cmuA, purU, and fold, while a second 3.6 kb amplicon contained metF, cmuB, and cmuC. The amplicons were combined in the pCM62 plasmid backbone using Gibson assembly (NEB) and transformed
into chemically competent E. coli (NEB). To construct plasmid pJM105, a single genomic region was amplified from Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1 gDNA as three overlapping amplicons of 2.6, 4.2, and 3.6 kb and assembled as for pJM50. Plasmids were mated from the 10^{16} cloning E. coli strain into recipient Methylobacterium strains by tri-parental matings as described previously (Fulton et al., 1984).

To construct the deletion plasmids, pJM107-112, plasmid pJM105 was amplified by inverse PCR with primers designed to overlap and create a clean deletion. The desired open reading frame was truncated, deleting the majority of the gene while leaving the start and stop codons intact and in-frame to minimize polar effects. The resulting amplicons were circularized using Gibson assembly, transformed into chemically competent E. coli, and mated into M. extorquens AM1 as described above.

**Competitive Fitness Assays**

Fitness assays were performed largely as described previously (Lee et al., 2009). In brief, cultures were grown in M-PIPES containing succinate and tetracycline, then diluted to OD 0.01 in fresh M-PIPES containing CM. After two days of growth, the cultures were mixed with the appropriate competitor strain, diluted into fresh M-PIPES containing CM, and grown for a further three days. Pre-growth population samples were frozen at −80°C for later analysis. After competitive growth in mixed culture, the population ratios in the mixed culture both before and after growth were determined by flow cytometry (Michener et al., 2014a). Population sizes were determined based on optical density at 600 nm.

To measure the fitness of the pJM50 and pJM105 transconjugants, strains were competed against M. extorquens DM4 ΔdcmA Venus containing the appropriate plasmid (Michener et al., 2014a) or against M. extorquens CM4 containing an empty tetracycline plasmid, pCM62 (Marx and Lidstrom, 2001). To measure the fitness of the deletion plasmid transconjugants, the deletion plasmids were conjugated into M. extorquens AM1 Δcel Venus and competed against M. extorquens AM1 Δcel Cherry + pJM105. To measure the fitness of the chloride transport mutants, pJM105 was conjugated into M. extorquens PA1 Δcel mCherry clcA^{E1} and M. extorquens AM1 Δcel mCherry clcA^{E2} and competed against M. extorquens DM4 ΔdcmA Venus + pJM105 (Michener et al., 2014b).
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