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Intellectual disability is a common neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by impaired intellectual and adaptive functioning. Both environmental insults and genetic defects contribute to the etiology of intellectual disability. Copy number variations of Sorbs2 have been linked to intellectual disability. However, the neurobiological function of Sorbs2 in the brain is unknown. The Sorbs2 gene encodes ArgBP2 (Arg/c-Abl kinase binding protein 2) protein in non-neuronal tissues and is alternatively spliced in the brain to encode nArgBP2 protein. We found nArgBP2 colocalized with F-actin at dendritic spines and growth cones in cultured hippocampal neurons. In the mouse brain, nArgBP2 was highly expressed in the cortex, amygdala, and hippocampus, and enriched in the outer one-third of the molecular layer in dentate gyrus. Genetic deletion of Sorbs2 in mice led to reduced dendritic complexity and decreased frequency of AMPAR-miniature spontaneous EPSCs in dentate gyrus granule cells. Behavioral characterization revealed that Sorbs2 deletion led to a reduced acoustic startle response, and defective long-term object recognition memory and contextual fear memory. Together, our findings demonstrate, for the first time, an important role for nArgBP2 in neuronal dendritic development and excitatory synaptic transmission, which may thus inform exploration of neurobiological basis of Sorbs2 deficiency in intellectual disability.
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Significance Statement
Copy number variations of the Sorbs2 gene are linked to intellectual disability, but the neurobiological mechanisms are unknown. We found that nArgBP2, the only neuronal isoform encoded by Sorbs2, colocalizes with F-actin at neuronal dendritic growth cones and spines. nArgBP2 is highly expressed in the cortex, amygdala, and dentate gyrus in the mouse brain. Genetic deletion of Sorbs2 in mice leads to impaired dendritic complexity and reduced excitatory synaptic transmission in dentate gyrus granule cells, accompanied by behavioral deficits in acoustic startle response and long-term memory. This is the first study of Sorbs2 function in the brain, and our findings may facilitate the study of neurobiological mechanisms underlying Sorbs2 deficiency in the development of intellectual disability.

Introduction
Intellectual disability (ID), formerly known as mental retardation, is a common neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by significantly reduced intellectual abilities and impaired adaptive function (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). ID is estimated to affect ~2%-3% of the population worldwide, with the etiology related to both environmental insults and genetic defects (van Bokhoven, 2011). Despite broad genetic heterogeneity, a growing number of ID risk genes are converging on common...
signaling pathways in regulation of actin cytoskeleton (van Bokhoven, 2011; Pavlovsky et al., 2012). This reflects the fact that actin, as one of the most enriched cytoskeleton proteins, plays important roles in neuronal morphogenesis and structural plasticity (Luo, 2002).

Microdeletions or microduplications of chromosome 4q35.1 in humans have been linked to ID (Rossi et al., 2009). SORBS2 (sorbin and SH3 domain containing 2), also known as ArgBP2 (Arg/c-Abl kinase binding protein 2) (Wang et al., 1997), is one of the candidate genes located in this region that may contribute to the development of cognitive impairments in patients (Rossi et al., 2009). SORBS2, SORBS1 (also known as CAP/Ponsin), and SORBS3 (also known as Vinexin), together constitute a novel adaptor protein family that is characterized by having a sorbin peptide homology (SoHo) domain in their N-terminal region and three Src-homology 3 (SH3) domains in the C-terminal region (Kioka et al., 2002). Through alternative RNA splicing, SORBS2 gene encodes multiple transcripts, including four ArgBP2 isoforms (α, β, γ, and δ isoform) and the neuronal isoform, nArgBP2 (Wang et al., 1997; Kawabe et al., 1999; Yuan et al., 2005; Murase et al., 2012). ArgBP2/nArgBP2 isoforms are widely expressed in human tissues and are especially abundant in the brain, heart, pancreas, colon, etc. (Wang et al., 1997). Previous studies revealed that ArgBP2 colocalizes with actin at cell adhesion sites and stress fibers and interacts with multiple cell adhesion molecules and actin regulators (Kioka et al., 2002; Roignot and Soubeyran, 2009; Anekal et al., 2015). Overexpression of ArgBP2 in cells promotes stress fiber formation, increases cell adhesion, and reduces cell migration (Martin et al., 2013; Anekal et al., 2015), whereas knockdown of ArgBP2 in astrocytes leads to focal adhesion protein dispersion and enhanced peripheral actin ruffling (Cestra et al., 2005). These studies suggest that ArgBP2 functions as an adaptor to coordinate multiple signaling complexes to the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton (Kioka et al., 2002; Roignot and Soubeyran, 2009).

In the brain, nArgBP2 is the only isoform highly expressed and is characterized by the presence of a neuronal-specific exon (NSE) that is absent in other ArgBP2 isoforms (Kawabe et al., 1999; Cestra et al., 2005). Although nArgBP2 is reported to localize at synapses and interact with the synaptic scaffolding protein SAPAP (Kawabe et al., 1999; Cestra et al., 2005), little is known about nArgBP2 function in brain and whether nArgBP2 deficiency could contribute to ID. In this study, we first characterized nArgBP2 distribution in the developing mouse brain and then investigated nArgBP2 function in vivo using Sorbs2 knockout (KO) mice. We found that nArgBP2 colocalized with F-actin at dendritic growth cones and spines and was highly expressed in the cortex, amygdala, and dentate gyrus (DG) in the mouse brain. Deletion of Sorbs2 in mice reduced dendritic complexity and excitatory synaptic transmission in DG granule cells and impaired acoustic startle response and long-term memory. Together, these results revealed an important function of SORBS2 in the brain and thus may facilitate the study of neurobiological mechanisms underlying SORBS2 deficiency in the development of intellectual disability.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Sorbs2 mutant mice were generated by introducingloxP sites to flank the target exon through homolog recombination in mouse R1 ES cell by using standard procedures as previously described (Heyer et al., 2012). Exon 12 encoding Sorbs2 C-terminal domain of mouse Sorbs2 transcript (Ensembl ID: ENSMUST00000171337) was targeted because this exon was predicted to be present in most sorbs2 transcripts and its deletion would lead to reading frame shift in the following exons, which presumably will cause degradation of Sorbs2 transcripts through nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (Kervestin and Jacobson, 2012). A targeting vector contains 1 kb homology arm and a loxP-Frt-SV40Neo-pA-Frt cassette 389 bp upstream of exon 12, and a loxP site with 6 kb homology arm 676 bp downstream of this exon. This vector was electroporated into mouse R1 ES cells, and correct recombinant clones were selected by PCR screening and further verified by sequencing. One positive ES clone was implanted into C57 blastocysts, and the chimera offspring were either crossed with germine transmissible beta-Actin-FLP mice (The Jackson Laboratory, stock #005703) or with germine transmissible beta-Actin-Cre mice (The Jackson Laboratory, stock #019099) to produce Sorbs2 floxed mouse line or Sorbs2 global heterozygote, respectively. Both Sorbs2 mutant lines were backcrossed to C57Bl/6J (The Jackson Laboratory, stock #006644) for >6 generations. Sorbs2 heterozygotes were bred with each other to generate wild-type (WT) and global KO littermates for all the experiments presented in the work. Primer Sorbs2 GT-F1 (5’ CATTGCCTAGTCCTGTGGA 3’) and Sorbs2 GT-R1 (5’ ATGAGTCTAGATCTCCAGG 3’) were paired to detect WT (222 bp) and loxP (313 bp) alleles; primer pairs of Sorbs2 GT-F1 and Sorbs2 GT-R2 (5’ CTGTGGCAGACCTTATGGCCG 3’) were used to detect KO (408 bp) allele.

Mice were housed at constant 22°C, on a 12 h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. Each cage contains 2–5 mice regardless of genotype. All experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Committee on Animal Care.

Antibodies. Anti-Sorbs2N2SE and anti-Sorbs2C antibodies were produced by using similar strategies as previously described (Welch et al., 2004). Primers Sorbs2N2SEaF-G (5’GGGAGATGATGAAAGATTGTCGC 3’) and Sorbs2N2SEaR (5’GGGAGATGATGAAAGATTGTCGC 3’) were used to amplify cDNA fragment coding the first 201 amino acids of Sorbs2NSE; primers Sorbs2CAg-F (5’CACGGCAAGATTGCTTTCTCC 3’) and Sorbs2CAg-R (5’CACGGCAAGATTGCTTTCTCC 3’) were paired to clone the last 195 amino acids of ArgBP2/NargBP2. These amplified cDNA fragments were then cloned in frame into pET-23b (+) vector to express His-tagged fusion proteins in BL21(DE3) Escherichia coli. Purified His-tagged fusion proteins were used to immunize rabbits to generate polyclonal antibodies, which were further affinity-purified by using Sepharose 4B beads (Sigma) cross-linked with the corresponding antigens. Antibodies against α-tubulin (Sigma, T5168), Brn2 (Abcam, ab94977), calretinin (Millipore, AB5054), Gephyrin (Synaptic System, 147021), GFAP (Sigma, G9269), GFP (Invitrogen, A11122; and Millipore, AB13056), PSD95 (Thermo Scientific, MA1-045), and synaptophysin (Thermo Scientific, 18-0130) are commercially available. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against NtrnG1 and NtrnG2 were used as previously described (Nishimura-Akiyoshi et al., 2007).

DNA plasmids. Murine full-length cDNA for nArgBP2 was PCR amplified from mouse brain cDNA by using primer nArgBP2FL_SacI_F (5’ GCAGGCTCTGAATACGAGTTGGG 3’) and nArgBP2FL_KpnI_R primer (5’CGGAGATCCTAGATGGAAGTTGG 3’). PCR fragments were digested to place between SacI and KpnI sites of pEGFP-C2 vector to make GFP-nArgBP2 fusion protein. GFP-nArgBP2 flox mouse was made by removing NSE from nArgBP2 through standard molecular cloning techniques. All the plasmids were verified by sequencing. The nArgBP2 cDNA sequence cloned in this study was deposited into GenBank (NCBI GenBank accession number KR610443) because...
we noticed that our sequence is slightly different from a previous cDNA sequence from GenBank (NCBI Reference Sequence NM_00120 S219.1), suggesting the existence of alternative nArgBP2 isoform in mouse brain.

**Cell culture and immunocytochemistry.** Low-density hippocampal neurons from P0 mice were cocultured with rat astrocytes in a “sandwich” format at the density of 12,500 cells/cm² as described previously (Kaesche and Banker, 2006). Medium-density (∼50,000 cells/cm²) hippocampal or cortical neurons from P0 mice were cultured on RD German coverslips (Belco Glass) that were precoated with 20 μg/ml poly-d-lysine (Sigma, P7405) and 4 μg/ml laminin (Invitrogen). High-density (∼120,000 cells/cm²) of mouse cortical neurons and astroglia cells were prepared from P0 pups and expanded in tissue culture dishes for preparing cell lysates. Neurons were transfected on DIV4/5 by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

Neuron cultures were stained as previously described with minor modifications (Zhang et al., 2009). Briefly, cells on coverslips were fixed in PBS containing 4% PFA and 4% sucrose for 15 min at room temperature, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and followed by blocking with 15% normal goat serum, 5% BSA and 0.02% Tween 20 in PBS for 1 h. Primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer were applied to fixed cells overnight at 4°C, followed by washing four times with blocking buffer at the interval of 3 min, and revealed by AlexaFluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:1000 dilution in blocking buffer, Invitrogen). DAPI (Sigma) was used to reveal nucleus. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen) or Fluoro-Gel (Electron Microscopy Sciences) before imaging. Images were captured under Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal microscope with 60X objective lens (UPlanSApo, 1.35 oil) was used to capture images at the size of 1024 × 1024 pixels. Z-stack images were acquired at 0.5 μm interval for a total depth of 2 μm. Maximum intensity projections were then formed from the Z-stacks.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Mouse were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and killed by decapitation. Brains and heart tissues were quickly dissected and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue lysate preparation and immunoblotting. Supernatants were collected for BCA protein quantification (Pierce), and equal amount of proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman). The membranes were then blocked with 3% (w/v) nonfat milk (Millipore) in TBS for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% nonfat milk in TBST (TBS plus 0.05% Tween 20) and incubated with the membranes at 4°C overnight. Excess antibodies were removed by washing membranes in TBST 4 times for 15 min each at room temperature. Secondary antibodies conjugated with IRDye 680/800 were diluted in Odyssey blocking buffer and applied to the membranes for 1 h at room temperature. After another extensive washing with TBST, membranes were imaged on ODYSSEY CLx machine (Li-COR).

**Lentivirus production and transcranial injection.** The ubiquitin promoter in lentivirus vector FUGW (Lois et al., 2002) was replaced with human synapsin-1 promoter to confer neuronal specificity (Glover et al., 2002). P2A-iCre cassette was cloned into the modified vector to express GFP-P2A-iCre fusion protein. Concentrated viral particles expressing GFP or GFP-P2A-iCre were produced as previously described (Welch et al., 2007), and 0.5 μl of each virus was transcranially injected into the DG of adult mice following the same procedures as reported previously (Barak et al., 2012).

**Morphological characterization of dendritic trees and spines.** Previous studies demonstrate that adeno-associated virus (AAV) with serotype 2/9 can cross the blood–brain barrier to infect neurons (Foust et al., 2009), and EGF with membrane-targeting signal (EGFPP) (Hancock et al., 1991) can promote spine labeling (Cai et al., 2013). Based on these findings, we developed a viral-based method to sparsely label neurons with GFP. Briefly, the backbone of AAV viral vector pAAV-EF1a-Dio-ChiR2-EYFP-WPRE-HGHpA (Ganavicius et al., 2010) was used to make pAAV-bSyn1-GFP-P2A-EGFP-P2A-iCre-WPRE-HGHpA vector, in which human synapsin-1 promoter drives the expression of EGFPP and membrane-targeted EGFPP (Hancock et al., 1991) linked by self-cleaving P2A peptide (Kim et al., 2011). Purified viral particles were generated from this vector with serotype 2/9 by Penn Vector Core at the University of Pennsylvania. To sparsely label the dentate granule cells, 25 μl AAV virus at the titer of 2 × 10^{12} (GC/ml) in PBS were delivered into mouse cardiovascular system through retro-orbital injection of the venous sinus as previously described (Yardeni et al., 2011). Sorbs2 KO and WT littermates were injected with virus at the age of P14 and killed 3 weeks later for immunohistochemistry. PFA-fixed 200-μm-thick coronal brain slices were sectioned by using Vibratome machine as mentioned above. Anti-GFP antibody was used to visualize the EGFPP-GFP-P2A-EGFPP expression. Immunohistochemistry was performed similarly as described earlier, except that 2 h permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, 48 h incubation of primary antibody, and 24 h incubation of secondary antibody were applied to increase the penetrance of antibodies. After staining, each slice was surrounded by a 240-μm-thick spacer (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 1 h at 4°C, followed by another extensive washing with TBST 4 times for 15 min each at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% nonfat milk in TBST and incubated overnight. Excess antibodies were removed by washing membranes in TBST 4 times for 15 min each at room temperature. Secondary antibodies conjugated with IRDye 680/800 were diluted in Odyssey blocking buffer and applied to the membranes for 1 h at room temperature. After another extensive washing with TBST, membranes were imaged on ODYSSEY CLx machine (Li-COR).

**Morphological characterization of dendritic trees and spines.** Previous studies demonstrate that adeno-associated virus (AAV) with serotype 2/9 can cross the blood–brain barrier to infect neurons (Foust et al., 2009), and EGF with membrane-targeting signal (EGFPP) (Hancock et al., 1991) can promote spine labeling (Cai et al., 2013). Based on these findings, we developed a viral-based method to sparsely label neurons with GFP. Briefly, the backbone of AAV viral vector pAAV-EF1a-Dio-ChiR2-EYFP-WPRE-HGHpA (Ganavicius et al., 2010) was used to make pAAV-bSyn1-GFP-P2A-EGFP-P2A-iCre-WPRE-HGHpA vector, in which human synapsin-1 promoter drives the expression of EGFPP and membrane-targeted EGFPP (Hancock et al., 1991) linked by self-cleaving P2A peptide (Kim et al., 2011). Purified viral particles were generated from this vector with serotype 2/9 by Penn Vector Core at the University of Pennsylvania. To sparsely label the dentate granule cells, 25 μl AAV virus at the titer of 2 × 10^{12} (GC/ml) in PBS were delivered into mouse cardiovascular system through retro-orbital injection of the venous sinus as previously described (Yardeni et al., 2011). Sorbs2 KO and WT littermates were injected with virus at the age of P14 and killed 3 weeks later for immunohistochemistry. PFA-fixed 200-μm-thick coronal brain slices were sectioned by using Vibratome machine as mentioned above. Anti-GFP antibody was used to visualize the EGFPP-GFP-P2A-EGFPP expression. Immunohistochemistry was performed similarly as described earlier, except that 2 h permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, 48 h incubation of primary antibody, and 24 h incubation of secondary antibody were applied to increase the penetrance of antibodies. After staining, each slice was surrounded by a 240-μm-thick spacer (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 1 h at 4°C, followed by another extensive washing with TBST 4 times for 15 min each at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% nonfat milk in TBST and incubated overnight. Excess antibodies were removed by washing membranes in TBST 4 times for 15 min each at room temperature. Secondary antibodies conjugated with IRDye 680/800 were diluted in Odyssey blocking buffer and applied to the membranes for 1 h at room temperature. After another extensive washing with TBST, membranes were imaged on ODYSSEY CLx machine (Li-COR).

**Lentivirus production and transcranial injection.** The ubiquitin promoter in lentivirus vector FUGW (Lois et al., 2002) was replaced with human synapsin-1 promoter to confer neuronal specificity (Glover et al., 2002). P2A-iCre cassette was cloned into the modified vector to express GFP-P2A-iCre fusion protein. Concentrated viral particles expressing GFP or GFP-P2A-iCre were produced as previously described (Welch et al., 2007), and 0.5 μl of each virus was transcranially injected into the DG of adult mice following the same procedures as reported previously (Barak et al., 2013).
female mice from each genotype were used for the analysis of dendritic complexity and dendritic spines.

**Electrophysiological studies.** The 5-week-old mice were used for the whole-cell electrophysiology procedures. Plasticity experiments were performed on 3- to 4-week-old mice. Experimenters were blinded to mouse genotypes during the recordings and data analysis. Acute horizontal hippocampal slices were prepared as previously described (Laplagne et al., 2006). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with Avertin solution (20 mg/ml, 0.5 mg/g body weight) and transcardially perfused with 15–20 ml ice-cold carbogenated (95% O2, 5% CO2) cutting solution containing the following (in mM): 194 sucrose, 30 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 0.2 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, and 10 (±) -glucose (with osmolarity of 340–350 mOsm). The brains were then rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold cutting solution for slice preparation. The horizontal slices with a subtle angle (20°–30° from the horizontal axis, 300 μm) were prepared using a slicer (VT1200S, Leica Microsystems) and then incubated in a holding chamber (BSK4, Scientific System Design) at 32°C for 10–15 min with carbogenated aCSF as follows (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.3 KCl, 1.0 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 11 glucose, 1.3 MgSO4, 2.5 CaCl2 (pH 7.4, with osmolarity of 295–305 mOsm). The slices were then transferred to the carbogenated aCSF at room temperature for at least 1 h. Before the recordings, the slice was placed in a recording chamber (RC-2CL, Warner Instruments) and constantly perfused with carbogenated aCSF at room temperature unless specified otherwise. The perfusion rate is at 2.0–3.0 ml/min. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from dorsal DG were performed with IR-DIC visualized guide. Recording pipettes (KG33, King Precision Glass) were pulled in a horizontal pipette puller (P-97, Sutter Instruments) with a tip resistance of 3–5 MΩ. The pipettes were filled with the internal solution containing the following (in mM): 110 CsOH (50 wt%), t-glutamic acid (49%–53% wt), 4 NaCl, 15 KCl, 5 TEA-Cl, 20 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 5 lidocaine N-ethyl chloride, 4 ATP magnesium salt, and 0.3 GTP sodium salt. pH was adjusted to 7.2–7.3 with KOH, and osmolarity was adjusted to 298–300 mOsm with 15 mM K2SO4. Cells in which the series resistance (Rs, typically 8–12 MΩ) changed by >20% were excluded for data analysis. In addition, cells with Rs >20 MΩ at any time during the recordings were discarded. Cell membrane potential was held −70 mV with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). Signals were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz with a Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices), and data were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz and sampled with a Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices), and data were stored on a computer for subsequent off-line analysis.

To record AMPA miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs), the cells were held at −70 mV in the presence of 50 μM AMPA, 100 μM picrotoxin, and 1 μM TTX (all from Tocris Bioscience). The miniature events were not recorded until 5 min after entering whole-cell patch-clamp recording mode to allow the dialysis of Cs⁻ into the internal solution for a relatively complete block of the potassium channels in the DG granule cells. The online line following interpolation as described by Grover et al. (2009) described. Briefly, a 15 min baseline recording period preceded burst stimulation, and the slices that failed to show stable fEPSP slopes during this period were excluded from further analysis. Burst intervals were 500 ms; stimuli within bursts were always delivered at 10 ms intervals (100 Hz) (Grover et al., 2009). LTP was quantified by comparing the mean fEPSP slope over the 25–30 min after burst stimulation with the mean fEPSP slope during the baseline period and calculated the percentage change from baseline. For LTD experiments, the stimulus intensity that evokes 40%–50% of the maximum response of fEPSP was set. A low-frequency stimulation (LFS) protocol (1 Hz, 900 pulses, 15 min) was used for induction of LTD. LTD was quantified by comparing the mean fEPSP slope over the 30 min after LFS with the mean fEPSP slope during the baseline period and calculated the percentage change from baseline.

**Behavioral test.** Sorbs2 WT and KO littermates were produced from heterozygous breeding pairs, and 2–5 mice were housed in the same cage regardless of genotype. Only male mice ~2–4 months of age were used for behavioral assays, which were conducted during the light phase. Experimenters were blinded to mouse genotypes during all tests and data analyses.

**Open field.** Spontaneous locomotion was measured by placing mouse in a Plexiglas box 40 cm × 40 cm × 30 cm (WLH) for 1 h. Motor activity was detected by infrared photoem sensors and analyzed by VersaMax animal activity monitoring system (AccuScan Instruments).

**Elevated zero maze.** The test was performed as previously described (Peca et al., 2011). Briefly, mouse was placed in the close arm of an elevated zero maze and video-recorded for 5 min. The duration that mouse stayed in the open-arm was coded by an observer blinded to the mouse genotype.

**Acoustic startle threshold and prepulse inhibition (PPI) test.** Startle Reflex Station (Kinder Scientific) was used to perform the test. Mice were habituated in startle chambers and exposed to 65 dB background white noise for 5 min at 3 dB before testing. The order of startle threshold test and PPI test was counterbalanced in 2 d; half of the mice were subjected to either acoustic startle threshold test or PPI test on first day, followed by the other test on the following day. A 65 dB background white noise was presented continually in both tests. For startle threshold test, each mouse was placed in the chamber 5 min before the start of testing session, which includes a total of 92 stimuli (trials) presented in pseudorandom order, with intertrial intervals ranging from 7 to 23 s. The stimuli include a presentation of 8 pulse-alone trials (120 dB, 40 ms pulse, four at the beginning and 4 at the end of the session), 77 pulse trials (7 each of 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100, 105, 110, and 120 dB, 40 ms pulse), and 7 trials each without pulse presentation. In each trial, the response to startle stimulus is measured in Newtons. Startle at each pulse level is averaged across trials for data analysis.

Prepulse inhibition test was performed in a similar way, except that each mouse received a total of 57 stimuli (trials) presented in pseudorandom order, with intertrial intervals ranging from 7 to 23 s. The stimuli include a presentation of 8 pulse-alone trials (120 dB, 40 ms pulse, four at the beginning and 4 at the end of the session), 35 prepulse trials (7 each of 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100, 105, 110, and 120 dB, 40 ms pulse), and 7 trials each without pulse or prepulse presentation. In each trial, the response to startle stimulus was measured in Newtons 65 ms after the presentation of pulse. This measurement is averaged for each prepulse level within the session. The percentage of PPI expressed within each test session is calculated as follows: \(100 \times \left(\frac{\text{mean prepulse response}}{\text{mean pulse response}}\right)\). Data are shown as mean ± SEM and analyzed with two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis.

**Novel object recognition task.** The test was performed as reported before with minor modifications (Kim et al., 2013). Nontransparent Plexiglas boxes (30 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm) under 25 lux illumination were used as arenas. Novel object recognition test was divided into three 10-min-long sessions separated by 10 min and 24 h intervals to test short-term and long-term object recognition memory. Three pairs of objects were used in the test, and naive mice showed no significant innate preference to any of the objects. Test mice were first habituated to the arenas 10 min daily for 3 consecutive days. On day 4, two identical objects (T1 and T2) were used in the first session and placed in the boxes to all mice, a response ion for the beginning and 4 at the end of the session), 35 prepulse trials (7 each of 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, and 90 dB, 20 ms prepulse given 100 ms before a 120 dB, 40 ms pulse), and 7 trials each without pulse or prepulse presentation. In each trial, the response to startle stimulus was measured in Newtons 65 ms after the presentation of pulse. This measurement is averaged for each prepulse level within the session. The percentage of PPI expressed within each test session is calculated as follows: \(100 \times \left(\frac{\text{mean prepulse response}}{\text{mean pulse response}}\right)\). Data are shown as mean ± SEM and analyzed with two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis.
the second session before putting mice back to the arenas. At 24 h later, the third session was performed by introducing mice back to arenas where the object N1 was replaced with another novel objects (N2). Each session was video-recorded from top view and multiple body points (nose point, body center, and tail base) of mice and analyzed by Ethovision (Noldus). The first 5 min of each test session was selected for analysis. Close interaction to objects is defined as mouse nose point within 2 cm close to object, but the body center is 1 cm away from object (to exclude the events that mice sat on top of the objects). The percent time spent in close interaction with novel objects relative to the total time spent in close interaction with both objects was used to generate preference index. Two-tailed unpaired t test was used to compare the preference index between genotypes.

**Contextual fear conditioning.** NIR Video Fear Conditioning System (Med Associates) was used for assessment of fear learning and memory behavior in mice as previously described (Anagnostaras et al., 2010). Mice were group housed in a holding room separate from the test room and were daily handled 2–3 d before the test. For contextual fear conditioning, the context consisted of an unmodified chamber (32 cm × 25 cm × 25 cm; WHL) with a grid floor (36 stainless steel rods); each rod is 2 mm diameter and spaced 8 mm apart. The chamber was illuminated with white light during the test and placed in a sound attenuation box with 65 dB background noise generated by the fan inside. On training day, mice in the home cage were covered by a black plastic bag and carried into the test room. Each mouse was then placed into the fear conditioning chamber and allowed free exploration for 150 s. A 2 s 0.65 mA electric shock was then delivered three times at 60 s interval. At 30 s after the last shock, mice were removed from chambers to their home cage and returned to the holding room. Chambers were cleaned with 70% ethanol before and after each trial. At 24 h later, mice were returned to the previous chambers with the same context, following exactly the same procedure as on the training day. The test was run for 5 min without delivering foot shock. Recorded videos were analyzed by Video Freeze software (Med Associates). Freezing was defined as motion index <2 for 1 s.

**Toned fear conditioning.** A separate cohort of mice was used for toned fear conditioning. On training day, the test room was illuminated with red light, and context was arranged the same way as contextual fear conditioning, except that no white light was presented during the whole training session. Mice in home cage were carried in a black plastic bag and carried into the test room. Each mouse was then placed into fear conditioning chamber and allowed free exploration for 3 min. A combination of 20 s tone (2800 Hz, 85 dB) that coterminated with a 2 s 0.65 mA shock was then delivered five times at a 3 min interval. At 3 min after the last shock, mice were collected from chambers to their home cage and returned to the holding room. Chambers were cleaned with 70% ethanol before and after each training. At 24 h later, mice were returned to the previous chambers with the same context, following exactly the same procedure as on the training day. The test was run for 5 min without delivering foot shock. Recorded videos were analyzed by Video Freeze software (Med Associates). Freezing was defined as motion index <22 for 1 s.

**Results**

nArgBP2 colocalizes with F-actin at dendritic growth cones and spines

To specifically examine nArgBP2 distribution in neurons, we used the first 201 amino acids of the NSE as an antigen to generate an anti-Sorbs2NSE antibody (Fig. 1A). Western blot analysis confirmed that anti-Sorbs2NSE recognized a GFP-nArgBP2 fusion protein, but not a GFP-nArgBP2ΔNSE mutant that lacks the NSE (Fig. 1B–D). The specificity of the anti-Sorbs2NSE antibody was further confirmed by probing brain homogenates and primary cell cultures from mice that lack nArgBP2 expression (Fig. 1C,D). Consistent with the previously reported mRNA distribution (Kawabe et al., 1999), nArgBP2 protein was only detected in brain tissue (Fig. 1C; and data not shown) and exclusively in neurons (Figs. 1E,F,3D).

To study the subcellular localization of nArgBP2, we performed immunocytochemistry with the anti-Sorbs2NSE antibody on low-density cultured mouse hippocampal neurons at early [2 DIV (DIV2)] and mature (DIV23) developmental stages. At DIV2, when neurons were actively extending neurites, nArgBP2 colocalized with F-actin (revealed by phalloidin staining) and was highly enriched at dendritic and axonal terminals (Fig. 1E,F), whereas in mature neurons at DIV23, nArgBP2 was mainly enriched in dendrites, not axons (Fig. 1G). Within dendrites, nArgBP2 colocalized with F-actin at dendritic spines and dendritic growth cones (Fig. 1H). These dendritic nArgBP2-positive clusters also colocalized well with the excitatory postsynaptic scaffolding protein PSD95 (Fig. 1I–L) but rarely overlapped with inhibitory postsynaptic scaffolding protein gephyrin (Fig. 1M–P), suggesting that nArgBP2 may have a specific function at excitatory synapses.

The presence of the NSE is the major structural feature that differentiates nArgBP2 from ArgBP2 isoforms (Kawabe et al., 1999). To investigate the function of NSE in nArgBP2, GFP-nArgBP2 and GFP-nArgBP2ΔNSE mutants were transfected into medium-density cultured cortical neurons at DIV5 and were examined for their distribution at DIV21 when neurons were mature. Whereas GFP-nArgBP2 fusion protein faithfully recapitulated the distribution of endogenous nArgBP2 at dendritic spines (Fig. 1Q,R; and data not shown), the GFP-nArgBP2ΔNSE mutant was less prominent at spines, with a much higher enrichment at the soma and dendritic shafts (Fig. 1S,T), suggesting that NSE is required for nArgBP2 to efficiently target to dendritic spines.
nArgBP2 is enriched in the cortex, amygdala, and DG in the mouse brain

Next, we investigated nArgBP2 protein expression patterns in the mouse brain using the anti-Sorbs2NSE antibody on serial mouse brain sections. As shown in Figure 2A, nArgBP2 is broadly expressed in the mouse brain with high expression level in the cortex, amygdala, and DG, and moderate expression level in striatum, lateral habenula, and thalamus. Within cortical regions,
nArgBP2 immunoreactivity was more intense in layers I–III in the neocortex (Fig. 2A, box 3) and layer I of piriform cortex (Fig. 2A, box 2). In the DG, nArgBP2 was specifically enriched at the edge of the molecular layer (Fig. 2A, box 1). Based on afferent axonal inputs, the DG molecular layer is divided into three laminas: outer molecular layer (OML), which receives axonal projection from lateral entorhinal cortex; medial molecular layer, which is innervated by axonal inputs from medial entorhinal cortex; and inner molecular layer, which receives axonal innervation from mossy cells in the contralateral and ipsilateral hilar region (Förster et al., 2006; Witter, 2007). Labeling these layers using layer-specific markers (Nishimura-Akiyoshi et al., 2007) revealed that nArgBP2 immunoreactivity in DG was mainly restricted to the OML (Fig. 2B–E). This laminated distribution of nArgBP2 in DG emerged as early as postnatal day 4 (P4) in mice and became more restricted by P21 and thereafter (Fig. 2F). nArgBP2 localization paralleled the dendritic growth of DG granule cells (Rahimi and Claiborne, 2007), suggesting that nArgBP2 may play an important role in dendritic development.

Generation of Sorbs2 KO mice
To study nArgBP2 function in vivo, we generated Sorbs2 conditional KO mice by floxing an exon that is conserved in nearly all the ArgBP2/nArgBP2 isoforms (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 3A). Sorbs2 floxed mice were paired with germline-transmittable Cre transgenic mice to obtain Sorbs2 heterozygotes (Het), which were further paired with each other to generate Sorbs2 KO mice and WT littermates (Fig. 3A, B). Loss of Sorbs2 in mice led to a ~40%–60% mortality in the first postnatal week; however, surviving Sorbs2 KO mice had normal body weight and were generally indistinguishable from WT littermates (data not shown). Characterization of Sorbs2 KO mice confirmed ArgBP2/nArgBP2 proteins were completely lost from both mouse heart and brain tissue as revealed by Western blot analysis using the anti-Sorbs2C antibody, which recognizes the conserved SH3 domains of ArgBP2 and nArgBP2 proteins (Fig. 3C; see Materials and Methods). We also confirmed loss of nArgBP2 in Sorbs2 KO mouse brain using the anti-Sorbs2NSE antibody (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, we compared ArgBP2 and nArgBP2 expression in cortical neuronal and astroglial cultures prepared from WT and Sorbs2 KO mice. As shown in Figure 3D, nArgBP2 was exclusively detected in WT neuronal cultures and ArgBP2 was specifically detected in the WT astroglial cultures. Both ArgBP2 and nArgBP2 were absent from Sorbs2 KO neuronal and astroglial cultures.

To assess whether Sorbs2 deletion can affect gross brain structure, we compared the overall brain morphology between WT and Sorbs2 KO mice using coronal and sagittal sections. As shown in Figure 4A, NeuN immunostaining of coronal and sagittal sections was indistinguishable between genotypes. Additionally, KO brains also exhibited normal cortex and DG molecular layer lamination (Fig. 4B, C). Therefore, these characterizations suggest that overall brain morphology is not affected by Sorbs2 deficiency.

nArgBP2 is required for dendritic development of DG granule cells

As shown in Figure 1E, F, nArgBP2 is present at both axonal and dendritic terminals in cultured neurons. Therefore, the laminated distribution of nArgBP2 in the DG molecular layer could come from presynaptic compartments (i.e., axon terminals projected from lateral entorhinal cortex) or postsynaptic compartments (i.e., dendritic terminals of DG granule cells). To determine the source of nArgBP2, we removed nArgBP2 expression only from local DG neurons, leaving possible expression in axonal terminals intact. We used lentivirus with neuronal-specific promoter to deliver Cre recombinase to the DG neurons in Sorbs2-floxed mice. The use of lentivirus limited expression of Cre recombinase, and loss of Sorbs2, to local neurons in the DG, particularly granule cells, because lentivirus has been shown to transduce neurons mainly through somas but not axons (Gradinaru et al., 2009). Expression of LV-EGFP-P2A-Cre virus by DG granule cells led to the loss of nArgBP2 immunoreactivity (Fig. 5D–F), indicating that nArgBP2 is enriched at dendritic terminals of DG granule cells, consistent with nArgBP2 localization at dendritic growth cones in cultured neurons (Fig. 1E, F).

Because nArgBP2 is highly expressed by DG granule cells (Fig. 2), we asked whether nArgBP2 plays a role in DG granule

Figure 2. Expression patterns of nArgBP2 in the mouse brain. A, A coronal section shows expression patterns of nArgBP2 in the mouse brain. Boxes 1–3 outline brain regions that are magnified. nArgBP2 is highly expressed in the DG molecular layer (Box 1), the amygdala (Amg) and layer I of piriform cortex (Pir) (Box 2), and also layer I–III of neocortex (Box 3). B–E, Comparison with DG inner molecular layer (IML) marker Calretinin (B), medial molecular layer (MML) marker NetrinG2 (C), and outer molecular layer (OML) marker NetrinG1 (D) reveal that nArgBP2 is enriched in the OML (E). F, nArgBP2 distribution in DG during development from P1 to P21. SR, Stratum radiatum; SLM, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; GCL, granule cell layer.
cell dendrite development. To compare dendrite morphology of DG granule cells in Sorbs2 KO and WT mice, we developed a viral-based method to sparsely and clearly label neuronal dendrites and spines with EGFP (Fig. 5G, L). We performed intravenous injections of P14 Sorbs2 KO and WT mice with AAV expressing both cytoplasmic EGFP and membrane-targeting EGFPf under the control of human synapsin-1 promoter (pAAV-hSyn1-EGFP-p2A-EGFPf) (further described in Materials and Methods). Characterization of dendrite morphology based on EGFP/EGFPf expression 3 weeks later revealed clear sparse visualization of DG granule cell dendrite (Fig. 5G) and dendritic spine morphology (Fig. 5L). Using this labeling method, we found that dendritic trees of DG granule cells were much less complex in Sorbs2 KO mice compared with those of WT mice, as revealed by Sholl analysis (Fig. 5H, I). Additionally, total dendritic length (WT: 1491 ± 60.01 μm, n = 17; KO: 1068 ± 48.56 μm, n = 22; p < 0.0001; Fig. 5J) and number of dendritic branch points (WT: 9.2 ± 0.3, n = 17; KO: 6.7 ± 0.4, n = 22; p < 0.0001; Fig. 5K) were all significantly reduced in Sorbs2 KO mice. Both male and female mice had a similar trend of reduction in DG granule cell dendritic complexity (data not shown). We also characterized the density and shape of dendritic spines in the DG molecular layer but did not observe significant differences between genotypes (Fig. 5L, M). Together, these results indicate that nArgBP2 plays an important role in dendritic development of DG granule cells.

Excitatory synaptic transmission in DG is reduced in Sorbs2 KO mice

To determine the functional consequences of nArgBP2 deficiency on synaptic transmission in DG granule cells, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recording in DG granule cells from acute slices of 5-week-old mice. To study the baseline spontaneous activity of those cells, we examined the frequency and
amplitude of AMPAR-mediated spontaneous miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) of DG granule cells from Sorbs2 KO and WT littermates. AMPAR-mEPSCs were recorded with bath application of picrotoxin (100 μM), DL-APV (50 μM), and TTX (1 μM) to block GABA receptor-mediated inhibitory currents, NMDA receptor- and action potential-dependent synaptic transmission, respectively. As shown in Figure 6A–C, the mean frequency of mEPSCs in Sorbs2 KO mice was significantly reduced compared with that of WT littermates (WT: 1.33 ± 0.10 Hz, n = 26; KO: 0.71 ± 0.05 Hz, n = 25; p < 0.001; Fig. 6C), whereas the mean frequency of mEPSCs in Sorbs2 Het mice was not changed compared with the WT littermates (Het: 1.19 ± 0.09 Hz, n = 8; p = 0.4457; Fig. 6C). In contrast, the amplitude of the mEPSCs in Sorbs2 KO and Het mice was similar to that of WT littermates (WT: 12.41 ± 0.31 pA, n = 26; KO: 12.81 ± 0.34 pA, n = 26; Het: 13.26 ± 0.81 pA, n = 8; KO vs WT: p = 0.3906; Het vs WT: p = 0.2426; Fig. 6D). The reduction in mEPSC frequency suggests either reduced presynaptic release probability or decreased number of functional synapses in DG granule cells from Sorbs2 KO mice.

Because we showed that nArgBP2 is highly enriched in the outer one-third of molecular layer in DG (Fig. 2B–E), which is mainly innervated by lateral perforant path (LPP) input from the lateral entorhinal cortex (Witter, 2007), we chose to focus on the LPP-DG pathway to determine whether Sorbs2 deletion affects presynaptic release probability. We measured PPR, a short presynaptic form of synaptic plasticity, from LPP input to DG granule cells in brain slices derived from Sorbs2 KO mice at interpulse intervals of 50 ms. The PPR was comparable between Sorbs2 KO and WT littermates (WT: 1.30 ± 0.07, n = 8; KO: 1.29 ± 0.08, n = 9; p = 0.9978; Fig. 6E–G), suggesting that the presynaptic glutamate release probability of LPP was not altered in Sorbs2 KO granule cells. Together with the observations of enrichment of nArgBP2 at dendritic spines (Figs. 1, Fig. 5A–F) and reduced dendritic complexity of DG neurons in Sorbs2 KO mice (Fig. 5H–K), our finding of the decreased frequency of mEPSCs in Sorbs2 KO granule cells suggests a decrease in the total number of functional synapses onto KO neurons.

Sorbs2 KO mice exhibit normal long-term synaptic plasticity in DG

Long-term synaptic plasticity (LTP/LTD) in hippocampus serves as a molecular substrate for learning and memory processes in the CNS (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993). We thus assessed the effects of Sorbs2 deletion on LTP and LTD using a low-frequency stimulation protocol (1 Hz, 900 pulses, 900 ms per burst, 30 s of rest) applied to the LPP input to the DG ex vivo. LTP was elicited by applying a burst electrical stimulation (20 bursts, separated by intervals of 500 ms, with each burst containing four stimuli at 100 Hz) to the LPP-DG input. As shown in Figure 6H, WT and Sorbs2 KO mice showed a similar level of LTP (WT: 129 ± 4%, n = 8; KO: 135 ± 5%, n = 9; p = 0.7978, two-way ANOVA test; Fig. 6H) that lasted for >40 min after the burst stimulation. We then examined the effects of Sorbs2 deletion on LTD using a low-frequency stimulation protocol (1 Hz, 900 pulses, 900 ms per burst, 30 s of rest) applied to the LPP input to the DG ex vivo. LTD was eli-
Sorbs2 deletion impaired acoustic startle response and memory in mice

Because mutations of SORB2 have been linked with ID (Rossi et al., 2009; Fromer et al., 2014; Castellani et al., 2015), we investigated whether Sorbs2 KO mice have any behavioral deficits that may be related to this disorder. To avoid a possible confound of the estrous cycle on the performance of resident behaviors (Markus and Zecevic, 1997; Jasnow et al., 2006; van Goethem et al., 2012), we limited our behavioral analysis to male 2.5- to 4-month-old WT and Sorbs2 KO littermates. Sorbs2 KO and WT mice were first subjected to open-field and elevated zero-maze tests. As shown in Figure 7A, B, the locomotion activity and anxiety level were comparable between genotypes.

Deficits in sensorimotor gating are common in neurodevelopmental disorders (Osumi et al., 2015). In addition, SORB2 de novo mutations have also been found in schizophrenia patients (Fromer et al., 2014), which often have sensorimotor gating deficits as measured by PPI. Interestingly, we found that, in the acoustic PPI test, the performance of Sorbs2 KO mice was significantly impaired (Fig. 7D). However, interpretation of PPI deficits in Sorbs2 KO mice is complicated by the profound defects also found in acoustic startle response test (Fig. 7C).

Learning and memory deficits are common recognizable manifestations of ID (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Based on the high expression of nArgBP2 in the cortex, amygdala, and DG, we chose the novel object recognition test (Antunes and Biala, 2012), toned fear conditioning test, contextual fear conditioning test, Morris water maze test, and operant visual/spatial discrimination test (Krueger et al., 2011) to characterize cognitive function and learning and memory abilities of Sorbs2 KO mice.

The novel object recognition test was performed as illustrated in Figure 7E. Mice were first exposed to two identical objects (T1 and T2) for 10 min, allowing them to get familiar with the objects. After a 10 min delay, one of the familiarized objects (T1/T2) was replaced with a novel object (N1), and mice were tested for their short-term object recognition memory. To assess long-term object recognition memory, a third novel object (N2) was introduced to replace object N1 at tests 24 h later. Normally, the WT mice are capable of differentiating novel objects from familiar ones and tend to explore novel ones for longer. As shown in Figure 7F, 10 min after the familiarization session, Sorbs2 KO mice performed similarly to WT mice, and both showed significant preference to the novel object (WT: 67.21 ± 2.51%; n = 15; KO: 66.56 ± 2.43%; n = 16; p = 0.8547); however, compared with WT, Sorbs2 KO mice showed significantly less preference for the new object after a 24 h delay (WT: 64.04 ± 3.54%; n = 15; KO: 54.52 ± 2.93%; n = 16; p < 0.05; Fig. 7G). These results suggest that long-term, but not short-term, object recognition memory was affected in Sorbs2 KO mice.

We next assessed contextual memory by subjecting Sorbs2 KO mice into a contextual fear conditioning test. During the training
phase, Sorbs2 KO mice showed similar levels of freezing behavior as WT littermates after being conditioned to aversive electrical shocks (Fig. 7H). However, when mice were returned to the same context 24 h after training, Sorbs2 KO mice showed significantly less freezing time than WT littermates (WT: 33.41 ± 3.04%, n = 15; KO: 21.61 ± 3.35%, n = 14; p < 0.05; Fig. 7I), suggesting that contextual fear memory was impaired in Sorbs2 KO mice. Interestingly, the performances of Sorbs2 KO mice in the toned fear conditioning test and Morris water maze test were indistinguishable from WT littermate controls (Fig. 7J–M), suggesting that cued fear memory and spatial memory were not affected by the loss of Sorbs2 in mice. In addition, Sorbs2 KO mice behaved similarly as WT mice in the acquisition of a visuospatial discrimination task (data not shown). Together, these results suggest that Sorbs2 KO mice have defects in a subset of cognitive and learning/memory tests.

Discussion

Chromosome 4q35 microdeletions/microduplications that cover SORBS2 gene have been found in patients with ID (Rossi et al., 2009). Because these deletions/duplications affect multiple genes, it is unknown whether SORBS2 deficiency contributes to neuro-
nal development and ID. We report here, for the first time, that loss of Sorbs2 in mice led to reduced dendritic complexity, decreased excitatory synaptic transmission, impaired acoustic startle response, and defective long-term memory. These findings indicate that SORBS2 plays an important role in dendritic development and memory formation. Meanwhile, SORBS2 is also linked to congenital heart disease and cancer metastasis (Roignot and Soubeyran, 2009; Geng et al., 2014), which are likely related...
to the functions of non-neuronal ArgBP2 isoforms. Thus, Sorbs2 mutant mice could be a useful tool to investigate ArgBP2 function in cardiology and oncology research.

ArgBP2, as the only neuronal isoform coded by the Sorbs2 gene, is highly expressed in dendritic growth cones and spines of cultured neurons. In support of nArgBP2 localization at dendritic growth cones in vivo, we observed enriched nArgBP2 localization in the outer one-third of the molecular layer of the DG during development, which corresponds to the location of outgrowing tips of DG granule cell dendrites (Rahimi and Claiborne, 2007). In Sorbs2 KO mice, we found that the dendritic complexity of DG granule cells was dramatically reduced (Fig. 5H–K), whereas the lamination of the DG molecular layer (Fig. 4C) and spine density of OML (Fig. 5L, M) were all comparable with WT. These results argue for a critical role of nArgBP2 in dendritic development of DG granule cells. Interestingly, reduced dendritic complexity phenotype of DG granule cells has also been observed in several other mouse models for intellectual disability (Chen et al., 2012; Powell et al., 2012; Dang et al., 2014), suggesting that defects in dendritic development might be a potential common pathology.

ArgBP2/nArgBP2 has been reported to interact with multiple actin regulatory proteins, including Arg, c-Abl, Vinculin, Paxillin, WAVE, c-Cbl, Pyk2, PKB, PAK1, α-actinin, 14-3-3, and SORBS2 (Wang et al., 1997; Kawabe et al., 1999; Haglund et al., 2004; Cestra et al., 2005; Rönty et al., 2005; Yuan et al., 2005; Anekal et al., 2015), which can be generally grouped into cell adhesion molecules and regulators and effectors of small GTPases. Among them, Paxillin is a signal transduction adaptor protein that recruits regulatory and structural proteins to cell adhesion sites that bind to the extracellular matrix (Deakin and Turner, 2008). Previous studies reported that Paxillin localization and phosphorylation, which are critical for its function, were impaired by overexpression or knockdown of ArgBP2 in non-neuronal cells (Cestra et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2013). It is possible that this interaction of ArgBP2 and Paxillin, direct or indirect, may play a similar role in neuronal cells. Another important signaling pathway regulating dendritic development is the small GTPase, such as Rho and Rac. Rac activation promotes dendrite growth and branching, whereas Rho activation inhibits dendritic development (Negishi and Katoh, 2005; Vadodaria et al., 2013). The ArgBP2/nArgBP2 interaction partner Cbl and Arg have been reported to regulate Rac and RhoA activities, respectively (Scaife et al., 2003; Sfakianos et al., 2007). Therefore, future studies of Rac and RhoA activities in Sorbs2 KO mouse may elucidate how nArgBP2 might coordinate these two signaling pathways during dendritic development. Additionally, our study showed that the NSE of Sorbs2 gene can promote nArgBP2 targeting into dendritic spines. Thus, the identification of binding partners to this exon in future studies may provide new insights into the unique function of nArgBP2 in the brain.

ArgBP2 is highly expressed in many brain regions, including cortex, amygdala, and DG. We noticed that Sorbs2 KO mice showed a specific deficit in contextual fear memory but not in conditioned fear memory, suggesting that nArgBP2 may play a more important role in hippocampus than in the amygdala (Phillips and LeDoux, 1992; Maren et al., 2013). Although our current cellular and electrophysiological studies were focused on the DG, some of the behavioral defects, such as novel object recognition and sensorimotor gating, could also involve cortical dysfunction. Future studies combining Sorbs2 floxed mice with regional and cell-type specific expression of Cre recombinase will be able to dissect the neural circuits underlying these behavioral phenotypes.
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