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Abstract

Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) that can be derived from food wastes were used for microbial lipid production by *Chlorella protothecoides* in heterotrophic cultures. The usage of VFAs as carbon sources for lipid accumulation was investigated in batch cultures. Culture medium, culture temperature, and nitrogen sources were explored for lipid production in the heterotrophic cultivation. The concentration and ratio of VFAs exhibited significant influence on cell growth and lipid accumulation. The highest lipid yield coefficient and lipid content of *C. protothecoides* grown on VFAs was 0.187 g/g and 48.7%, respectively. The lipid content and fatty acids produced using VFAs as carbon sources were similar to those seen on growth and production using glucose. The techno-economic analysis indicates that the biodiesel derived from the lipids produced by
heterotrophic *C. protothecoides* with VFAs as the carbon source is very promising and competitive with other biofuels and fossil fuels.
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1. Introduction
With depleting reserves of conventional petroleum resources and steadily rising oil prices caused by increasing demand from a growing world population and the rapid industrial development in many countries, there has been growing global interest in developing alternative sources of energy. Biofuels offer much promise on these frontiers. Biodiesel as a biofuel is being considered actively as a promising fossil fuel alternative or supplement, on the basis of its energy efficient proprieties [1-3]. In recent decades, microalgae have been mainly produced and sold as health food, because of their high content of proteins, vitamins and other nutrient supplements. There has been a great upsurge in studies on microalgae as a source of a wide range of fine chemicals, oils, lipids and polysaccharides [4,5].

Microbial lipids by acetyl-CoA as precursors in many species of *Chlorella*, have been studied for 50 years [6-8]. Although microalgae can contain high quantities of microbial lipids [9], their photosynthetic capabilities require more acreage for cultivation compared to other oleaginous microorganisms. Therefore, heterotrophic microalgae have been receiving increased attention in recent years. Compared to the classical photosynthetic culture model, heterotrophic cultures that allow microalgae to accumulate a much higher proportion of fatty acids have offered a feasible process to produce microbial lipids for biodiesel production in a large scale under optimal growth and production conditions controlled by a fermenter. Many research studies have demonstrated the possibility of growth and lipid accumulation under heterotrophic conditions for certain microalgae, including *Chlorella* [10-12]. *Chlorella* cells are capable of growing independent of light in ordinary stirred tank bioreactors, similar to the bioreactors used for most other microorganisms.
To date, most studies on lipid production by heterotrophic *Chlorella* have been carried out using glucose as the sole carbon source due to its high efficiency for cell growth [13-15]. However, high cost of refined glucose is considered an obstacle for the development of heterotrophic culturing of microalgae, which precludes its use for lipid production in industrial scales. Although the cost of aseptic operation is also main problem concerning heterotrophic culture of microalgae in large-scale production of biodiesel [16], a clean-in-place (CIP) system, which provides hot cleaning and sterilization chemicals using the energy recovered from the fermentation plant can reduce the cost of sterilization [17,18].

The biofuel production from microalgae in heterotrophic cultures demands that culture substrates be utilized as efficiently and economically as possible [19]. Volatile fatty acids (VFAs), which can be produced from food wastes, sludge, and a variety of biodegradable organic wastes via a VFAs platform [20,21] are a promising low-cost carbon source for lipid production. As a matter of fact, microalgae can directly convert those organic acids into acetyl-CoA by acetyl coenzyme-A synthetase, and this acetyl-CoA is then used for the biosynthesis of fatty acid and lipid accumulation [22,23]. An important consideration for the choice of using VFAs for lipid production is the economic potential of VFA production process through a food waste recovery platform [24]. A preliminary cost analysis in a previous report demonstrated that biodiesel production derived from VFAs-based microbial lipids accumulated by yeast is competitive with current agricultural-based biodiesels [25].

Till now, few results discuss the behavior of lipid accumulation by heterotrophic *C. protothecoides* cells under different culture conditions with various concentrations and ratios of VFAs in the culture medium. With the aim of producing biodiesel more economically and effectively, this study demonstrates the effects of VFAs as carbon sources on cell growth and lipid accumulation by *Chlorella protothecoides*. Two of the most widely used growth media (Basal and Bristol medium) for *Chlorella* were employed. The optimum culture temperature was examined for lipid accumulation using VFAs as carbon sources. Several nitrogen sources were also compared in order to obtain a higher lipid yield coefficient. Various concentrations and ratios of VFAs were used to study their effects on lipid accumulation. Furthermore, the compositions of lipids produced
using VFAs were analyzed and compared with the case of using glucose as the sole carbon source. A preliminary techno-economic analysis was finally carried out to compare four different scenarios for biodiesel production.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Microorganism and medium

*Chlorella protothecoides* (UTEX 25) was obtained from the Culture Collection of Alga at the University of Texas (Austin, Texas, USA) and maintained at 4°C on nutrient agar slopes prepared from modified Bristol’s medium with 1% proteose peptone and 1.5% agar. The medium used in seed cultures (pH 6.3) consisted of 0.7 g/L KH₂PO₄, 0.3 g/L K₂HPO₄, 0.3 g/L MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.1 g/L urea, 1 mL/L A5 trace. The A5 trace metal solution was prepared in 1000 mL of distilled water containing 2.86g H₃BO₃, 1.81g MnCl₂·H₂O, 0.222g ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.079g CuSO₄·5 H₂O, 0.390g Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O and 0.049g Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O [26]. The carbon source of seed cultures was 20 g/L glucose. A mixture of VFAs (acetic acid: propionic acid: butyric acid) was used as a sole carbon source in flask cultures, and the final concentrations of VFAs in the media were varied for different experiments. The purity of acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid with ACS reagent grade used in all experiments was higher than 99.7%. In all experiments, the ratio of VFAs (acetic acid: propionic acid: butyric acid) was 6:1:3, unless otherwise specified. Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2 Culture conditions

An inoculum (10% of seed flask culture) of exponentially growing cells was used for inoculation. All microalgae were grown separately on glucose or VFAs in 250-mL baffled Erlenmeyer flasks that contained 50 mL medium in batch mode in darkness for 168 h until the cultures reached stationary phase. Flask culture experiments were continuously incubated in a rotary shaker at 150 rpm. The pH of the medium was adjusted by a pH meter (Mettler Toledo SevenEasy Model S20) using 2 mol/L HCl and 1 mol/L NaOH solutions.
The lipid accumulation by *C. protothecoides* in heterotrophic cultivation was investigated firstly by using two different media (namely, Basal medium and modified Bristol culture medium). The compositions of these two media are compared in Table 1. The optimal culture temperature was also tested in flask cultures. *C. protothecoides* was grown at 20, 25, or 30°C with VFAs as carbon sources. The optimum culture medium and temperature were identified for the inoculum and flask cultures and employed in subsequent experiments.

Potassium nitrate, sodium nitrate, glycine, and urea were each used as separate nitrogen sources with an initial concentration of 20 mmol nitrogen/L. All nitrogen sources were ACS reagent grade with 99% purity. Organic nitrogen sources (urea and glycine) were sterilized by membrane filtration (0.2µm). Nitrogen sources were typically exhausted after 24h of cultivation. The cells were harvested in the stationary phase.

The influence of VFAs on lipid accumulation was studied by comparing various ratios and concentrations. The ratio of individual VFAs could be modified based upon the products produced from food wastes through the VFAs platform [24]. Four different ratios (4:3:3, 8:1:1, 6:1:3, and 7:2:1) of VFAs (acetic acid: propionic acid: butyric acid) were tested for their effects on lipid accumulation. In these experiments, the concentration of VFAs was 2 g/L. The effects of VFAs concentration on lipid accumulation was investigated by using VFA concentration of 1, 2, 4, and 8 g/L with a ratio of 6:1:3.

2.3 Cell growth analysis

Cell growth was monitored by the optical density measurement at 540 nm using a UV/Visible spectrophotometer (Evolution™ 60S Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Cell concentration was determined by measuring the cell dry weight (CDW). Samples (10 mL broth) were transferred to a pre-weighed centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. After rinsing the pellet twice with distilled water, it was dried overnight *in vacuo* at 105 °C until no further decrease in weight was observed.

2.4 Measurement of nitrogen and carbon sources
Nitrogen source concentrations were analyzed by an ammonia assay kit (AA0100, Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC). The concentrations of glucose and VFAs were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Varian, Inc. USA) fitted with a Bio-Rad Aminex HPX87H column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The column was eluted with 5 mmol/L H₂SO₄ (99.999%, Sigma–Aldrich, Inc. USA) as mobile phase at 50°C and a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min [25].

2.5 Lipid extraction

Culture broth of 20 mL was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was resuspended in distilled water. A mixture of methanol and chloroform was added, and the mixture was shaken for several minutes and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min. The chloroform layer (lower) with the lipids was then separated, and the alcoholic layer (upper), which contained the lipid residues, was reextracted twice with the mixture of methanol and chloroform. The chloroform layers were combined and subjected to a “Folch wash” to remove all nonlipid contaminants [27]. The mixture was washed with 0.88% (wt/vol) potassium chloride, followed by methanol/saline solution (1:1, vol/vol). The purified chloroform layer was carefully withdrawn and transferred to a glass vial, diluted with benzene (2 mL), and evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen in order to avoid oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids. The residual material was immediately weighed to give total lipid content.

2.6 Fatty acid composition analysis

Sodium methoxide solution (1 mL) and 1 mL toluene were added to 10 mg microbial lipids, heated to 75°C and held at that temperature for 20 min. After cooling, 1.5 mL toluene and 1.5 mL water were added and the mixture was shaken vigorously. After phase separation, the water was removed with a pipette and another 1.5 mL aliquot of water was added and the mixture was shaken again. After phase separation, an aliquot of the toluene phase was stored at 4°C prior to further analysis.

The fatty acid components were analyzed by a HP 5890 SERIES II plus Gas Chromatograph (GC) coupled to a HP 5973 Mass Spectrometer (MS) Detector (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The MS scanned a range of m/z of 50 to 550 using the
SCAN mode. The column used to separate each compound was an equity-1 (Supelco), with dimensions of 30 m (length) × 0.25 cm (inner diameter) × 0.1 μm (thickness). A flame ionization detector (FID) operated at 280 °C was also employed and the sample entrance was 45 cm/s. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas. The initial temperature was 120 °C for 5 min. The temperature was then raised at 3°C/min to 180°C, where it was maintained for 2 min. The temperature was then increased at 10 °C/min to 220 °C, where it was then sustained for 30 min. HP 5972 MS and data processing software (HP G1034C Chemstation Software) were used for measuring and analyzing the data. Peaks were identified by means of lipid standards fatty acid methyl ester mixture (C8:0-C24:0, Sigma-Aldrich Co.)

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effects of culture medium and temperature on lipid accumulation with VFAs as carbon sources

*C. protothecoides* was tested for the ability to produce lipid heterotrophically on both modified Bristol medium and Basal medium containing VFAs as carbon sources in flask cultures. Although both media supported cell growth as well as lipid accumulation in darkness (Figure 1-A&B), *C. protothecoides* grew faster in modified Bristol medium than in Basal medium (Figure 1-A). *C. protothecoides* produced higher biomass (0.56 g dry cells /L) and lipids (0.26 g/L) on modified Bristol medium as opposed to Basal medium. A higher lipid content of 47% was also obtained from modified Bristol medium. As can be seen in Table 1, the Basal medium contained EDTA (500 mg/L), and Bristol medium does not. Basal medium also contained higher concentrations of minerals than the modified Bristol medium. Although EDTA is the most commonly used chelating agent in microbial culture media, which plays important roles in stabilizing the sufficient supply of trace metal elements and in the prevention of inhibitory effects of some metals [28], it is not ideal for *C. protothecoides* growth with VFAs as carbon source at concentrations tested here. This phenomenon may be due to the inhibitory effect caused by the high concentration of EDTA present and the combination of EDTA with other trace elements in the Basal medium. Dou et al. [29] found the lipid production can be influenced significantly by trace elements of Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Mo⁶⁺, as well as
EDTA and their relative concentrations in microalgae cultures. The effect of temperatures on cell growth of heterotrophic *C. protothecoides* is shown in Figure 2-A. The growth of *C. protothecoides* was inhibited significantly at 35°C, which resulted in the lack of lipid at this culture temperature. The maximum biomass as well as the maximum total amount of lipids produced was observed at 25°C (Figure 2-B). These results are in agreement with results from Pahl *et al.* [30], who concluded that the optimum temperature range for *Cyclotella cryptica* was 22.5 to 25°C. However, Colla *et al.* [31] found that more biomass was obtained at 30°C with *Spirulin platensis*. The discrepancy in these results may be due to the different carbon sources and strains used for lipid accumulation. Since the modified Bristol medium and a cultivation temperature of 25°C provided higher biomass and lipid contents, these two culture conditions were chosen for further experiments.

3.2 Effects of nitrogen sources on lipid accumulation with VFAs as carbon sources

The culture medium is commonly supplied with sufficient nutrients resulting in a lack of inhibition for high cell density growth of organisms. However, lipid accumulation is always triggered by the nitrogen limitation during the cultivation. Since potassium nitrate, sodium nitrate, glycine, and urea have been applied widely as common nitrogen sources for the cultivation of microalgae [32-34,26,35], the effects of these four nitrogen sources on cell growth and lipid accumulation with VFAs as carbon sources in the culture of *C. protothecoides* were compared. A lower limit nitrogen concentration of 20 mmol/L, therefore, was used in these heterotrophic cultures. Figure 3 shows the microalgae growth and lipid accumulation with four different nitrogen sources. Organic nitrogen sources provided higher cell growth with VFAs as carbon sources (Figure 3-A). The maximum biomass concentration of 0.605 g/L was obtained in cultures containing urea (Figure 3-B). The type of nitrogen source also affected lipid accumulation by *C. protothecoides* in heterotrophic cultures. Organic nitrogen sources like urea and glycine provided higher lipid weight and lipid content, as compared to inorganic nitrogen sources. The maximum values of lipid production (0.287 g/L) and intracellular lipid content (47.5%) were both found in the cultures using urea, while the lowest values were found in the cultures with sodium nitrate. The highest cell growth yield coefficient on VFAs (0.144 g/g) was also achieved in cultures with urea (Table 2). Similar conclusions were also reported by Pahl.
et al. [36] who found that the maximum specific growth rate and lipid content were observed with urea as a nitrogen source in cultures of Cyclotella cryptica. Fidalgo et al. [37] reported that total fatty acid content in microalgae cells is influenced by the nitrogen source. However, the results for lipid accumulation will differ with different strains. According to These results indicate that urea is generally superior for lipid accumulation by C. protothecoides in comparison with other commonly used nitrogen sources.

3.3 Effects of VFAs ratio and concentration on lipid accumulation

Because the VFA ratio could be modified through the VFAs platform [24,20,21], it is necessary to investigate the effects of different ratios on C. protothecoides growth and the lipid production. Table 3 shows that the heterotrophic growth and lipid accumulation by C. protothecoides with four different VFA ratios. The highest biomass (0.65 g/L) and lipid production (0.317 g/L) were observed with a VFA ratio of 8:1:1. Similar results of biomass and lipid production were found when VFA ratio was 6:1:3 and 7:2:1. The highest lipid yield coefficient of 0.158 g/g and the highest biomass concentration (0.65 g/L) on VFAs were achieved in the cultures with a ratio of 8:1:1 (Table 3). In general, the higher acetic acid concentration in the culture provided more cell growth and lipid accumulation. As can be seen in Figure 4, it is obvious that consumption of acetic acid predominated during the cell growth and lipid production. Prior to depletion of acetic acid in cultures, propionic acid and butyric acid were depleted by only 10-20%. However the consumption rate of propionic acid and butyric acid increased to 50% after acetic acid exhaustion from the culture medium. These results indicate that acetic acid was more suitable for lipid production by C. protothecoides than propionic acid and butyric acid in these heterotrophic cultures.

In heterotrophic cultures with dense Chlorella suspensions, the carbon substrate in the medium is depleted very rapidly, and thus a large quantity of carbon source is needed for batch culture to extend the growth period. It is necessary to determine what concentration of VFAs can be used without inhibiting growth. Systematic investigations into the effect (either inhibition or promotion) of VFAs concentration on growth are also needed. Therefore, the influence of initial concentration of carbon source on the heterotrophic growth of C. protothecoides was investigated in flask cultures. Figure 5
shows the effect of various concentrations of VFAs on cell growth. It is obvious that the lower initial VFA concentration used in culture medium, the less inhibitory effect observed in the beginning of the cultures. However, low concentration of VFAs employed in this study resulted in low cell densities. There was no cell growth in the heterotrophic cultivation using 8 g/L VFAs, which may be due to an excess initial concentration of VFAs. As shown in Table 4, the biomass concentrations (0.58 g/L) and lipid content (48.2%, w/w) in cultures containing 2 g/L initial VFAs were higher than those at lower or higher concentrations of carbon source. Theriault [38] found that glucose was the only carbon source, among many tested, which gave appreciable growth of Chlorella pyrenoidosa heterotrophically in flask cultures. However, as can be seen in Table 4, C. protothecoides is also able to use VFAs as the sole carbon source with a lipid yield of 0.14 g lipid/ g VFAs. Although the VFAs provided lower lipid content (48%) comparing with glucose did (55%) [39], genetic modification work of microalgae can increase the total lipid content by improving the metabolic fluxes from starch to TAG biosynthesis [40]. These results suggest C. protothecoides could efficiently utilize those organic acids as a carbon substrate for cell growth and lipid accumulation. It is clear that inhibition effects of the VFAs concentration on the cell growth and lipid accumulation were observed in cultures at higher VFAs concentrations.

The present study has indicated that the initial VFAs concentration of 2 g/L in the medium supported a relatively high growth rate and high yield production of biomass and microbial lipids. Acetic acid is the most favorable component of VFAs for lipid accumulation by C. protothecoides. More inhibition effects were observed in cultivations containing higher VFAs concentrations. It has been demonstrated in this study that initial VFA concentrations affected cell density of Chlorella cultures, which is the most important parameter for the economical production of algal biomass and its products. Since microalgae can only tolerate relatively low concentrations of organic carbon substrates as compared with bacteria and yeasts, more systematic investigations into the development of high cell density heterotrophic processes are required. Based upon the optimum culture conditions explored in this work, high cell density and lipid production without inhibition effects of organic acids could potentially be achieved by using the fed-batch culture, continuous culture or membrane cell recycling culture, in which the
substrate concentrations in the medium can be maintained at low levels [41,42]. These culture modes may also provide a cost-effective, large-scale alternative method for culturing microalgae by utilizing low-cost carbon substances as their sole carbon and energy source.

3.4 Fatty acid composition of lipids accumulated by C. protothecoides with VFAs as carbon sources

Microbial lipids accumulated by C. protothecoides growing in flask cultures have been analyzed by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS). As shown in Table 5, the variations in the fatty acid composition from different carbon sources did not show distinct differences. The microbial lipids from heterotrophic cultures were characterized by the presence of C16 and C18 acids, of which polyunsaturated fatty acids are considered as the major competent for biodiesel production [43,44], predominated in the lipids accumulated by C. protothecoides. Oleic acid (C18:1) content was particularly consistent with 50%, compared to 10.8% for linoleic acid (C18:2) and 21.5% for palmitic acid (C16:0) using VFAs as carbon sources. The fatty acid composition will influence the cetane number (CN), which is one of the most significant properties to specify the quality of various biofuels used in a diesel engine. According to the Klopfenstein’s equation [45], microbial lipids produced with VFAs as carbon sources in this work had a CN value higher than 60 (Table 5). The minimal CN value by the biofuel standards of US and European Organizations has been set at around 50.0. The results from this study indicate that C. protothecoides can utilize both glucose and VFAs efficiently for the lipid accumulation and the fatty acids derived from microbial lipids are suitable for premium biodiesel production.

3.5 Preliminary techno-economic analysis of biodiesel production from heterotrophic cultivation using VFAs as a carbon source

The future of biodiesel production depends on several factors, and one of the most important factors is the cost of carbon source, accounting for up to 80% of the total cost of raw material [25]. A techno-economic analysis (TEA) is commonly used to guide investors and policy makers to select the most effective technology [46-48]. Therefore, a
preliminary economic assessment was estimated to compare various scenarios of biodiesel production. By using a TEA model developed in our pervious study, the lipid and biodiesel cost could be evaluated based upon the data from this work. The economic consideration of a VFAs-based biotechnology for biodiesel production could be compared with glucose- or sunlight-based biodiesel production.

Table 6 summarizes the biodiesel cost assessment based upon different carbon sources, microorganisms and culture modes. Lipid cost was calculated using the following equation:

Lipid cost ($/kg lipid) = raw material cost (including $30/ton carbon source and $150/ton NH₄Cl) of $0.29/kg (based on the lipid yield on carbon source of 0.19 g/g) + utilities cost of $0.035/kg (0.1 $/kWh) [25] + labor cost of $0.056/kg [25] + general work cost of $0.029/kg [25]. VFAs-based biodiesel appears to be competitive to completely displace fossil-based diesel. When VFAs-based lipids accumulated by *C. protothecoides* in heterotrophic cultivation were used for biodiesel production, the cost of biodiesel could be as low as $2.3/gal. The microbial lipids-based biodiesel cost is much lower than other biodiesels produced from various feedstocks, such as soybean oil, castor oil, and autotrophic microalgae [25]. Furthermore, the cost of microbial lipids-based biodiesel could be reduced further since the production of VFAs is derived from food waste, the price of which is in the range from ~$50 to 130/ton [24]. In the US, the federal government provides subsidies of $0.29 per energy equivalent liter (EEL) for biodiesel [49]. Comparing with the VFAs-based biodiesel from yeasts (Table 6), heterotrophic algae provide much higher lipid yield and content in this study. Although fixed capital investment has not been estimated in this preliminary techno-economic analysis, higher lipid content can reduce the total working volume of bioreactors, which can cut down the total fixed capital. A comprehensive techno-economic analysis will be developed in a future work to gain a better understanding of the effects of various factors on lipid and biodiesel production.

4. Conclusions

The present work demonstrates the feasibility of using VFAs as carbon sources for biodiesel production by microalga *C. protothecoides* during heterotrophic cultivation. The culture medium, culture temperature and choice of nitrogen source were investigated
for cell growth and lipid production. The highest lipid yield coefficient on VFAs and maximum lipid content was 0.187 g/g and 48.7% (w/w), respectively. Present results indicate that VFAs are suitable carbon sources for biodiesel production by *C. protothecoides* in heterotrophic culture. Furthermore, the cost of the biodiesel production could also be reduced by using the VFAs, which could be produced from food wastes. Results of a preliminary techno-economic analysis demonstrate that biodiesel production from VFAs using *C. protothecoides* in heterotrophic cultivation is economically viable and technically feasible. Several driving forces such as lipid content, lipid yield, and carbon source cost predicted in the economic assessment will be improved in the future experiments.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Effect of culture medium on cell growth (A) and lipid accumulation (B) in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* (n=3). A: modified Bristol medium. B: Basal medium. Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168h.

Figure 2. Effect of culture temperatures on cell growth (A) and lipid accumulation (B) in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* (n=3). Cultivation conditions: 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h.

Figure 3. Effect of nitrogen sources on the cell growth (A) and lipid accumulation (B) in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* (n=3). Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. Nitrogen source concentration was 20 mmol Nitrogen/L. VFAs concentration was 2 g/L with ratio of 6: 1: 3 in these cultures.

Figure 4. The consumption rate of different acids in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* (n=3). Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. Nitrogen source concentration was 20 mmol Nitrogen/L. VFAs concentration was 2 g/L with ratio of 6: 1: 3 in these cultures.

Figure 5. Effect of carbon source (VFAs) concentrations on the cell growth in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* (n=3). No cell growth was observed in the culture with 8 g/L VFAs. Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. Nitrogen source concentration was 20 mmol Nitrogen/L. VFAs ratio was of 6: 1: 3 in these cultures.

Table Captions

Table 1. Composition of two different media used in heterotrophic flask cultures

Table 2. Lipid accumulation by *C. protothecoides* using various nitrogen sources in heterotrophic cultures

Table 3. Cell growth and lipid accumulation in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* with different ratios of VFAs (acetate:propionate:butyrate) as carbon sources

Table 4. Cell growth and lipid accumulation in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* with different concentrations of VFAs as carbon sources

Table 5. Fatty acid composition of the lipids accumulated in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* with different carbon sources

Table 6. Comparison of biodiesel cost assessment based upon various carbon sources and microorganisms
Table 1. Composition of two different media used in heterotrophic flask cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Modified Bristol medium (mg/L)</th>
<th>Basal medium (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VFAs</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH₂PO₄</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂HPO₄</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₅ trace (mL)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl₂·2H₂O</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCl₂·4H₂O</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO₃</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuSO₄·5H₂O</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. Concentration of nitrogen sources was 20 mmol Nitrogen/L. VFAs concentration was 2 g/L with ratio of 6:1:3 in these cultures. The A₅ trace metal solution was prepared in 1000 mL of distilled water containing 2.86g H₃BO₃, 1.81g MnCl₂·H₂O, 0.222g ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.079g CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.390g Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O and 0.049g Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O.
Table 2. Lipid accumulation by *C. protothecoides* using various nitrogen sources in heterotrophic cultures (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrogen Source</th>
<th>Lipid (g/L)</th>
<th>Lipid content (% w/w)</th>
<th>$Y_{L/S}$ $^a$ (g/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaNO$_3$</td>
<td>0.073±0.01</td>
<td>33.8±1.2</td>
<td>0.037±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNO$_3$</td>
<td>0.195±0.03</td>
<td>41.1±2.1</td>
<td>0.098±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>0.287±0.04</td>
<td>47.5±3.9</td>
<td>0.144±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>0.27±0.03</td>
<td>46.4±2.9</td>
<td>0.135±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. Concentration of nitrogen sources was 20mmol Nitrogen/L. VFAs concentration was 2 g/L with ratio of 6:1:3 in these cultures.

$^a$ $Y_{L/S}$: Lipid yield coefficient, g lipid/g VFAs.
Table 3. Cell growth and lipid accumulation in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* with different ratios of VFAs (acetate:propionate:butyrate) as carbon sources (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VFA ratio</th>
<th>Biomass (g/L)</th>
<th>Lipid weight (g/L)</th>
<th>Lipid content (%, w/w)</th>
<th>Y\textsubscript{L/S}\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4:3:3)</td>
<td>0.32±0.04</td>
<td>0.112±0.02</td>
<td>35.1±3.1</td>
<td>0.056±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8:1:1)</td>
<td>0.65±0.03</td>
<td>0.317±0.01</td>
<td>48.7±2.2</td>
<td>0.158±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6:1:3)</td>
<td>0.57±0.06</td>
<td>0.265±0.07</td>
<td>46.5±6.5</td>
<td>0.133±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7:2:1)</td>
<td>0.60±0.05</td>
<td>0.284±0.05</td>
<td>47.4±4.9</td>
<td>0.142±0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. VFAs concentration was 2 g/L in these cultures.

\textsuperscript{a} Y\textsubscript{L/S}: Lipid yield coefficient, g lipid/g VFAs. VFAs concentration was 2 g/L
Table 4. Cell growth and lipid accumulation in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* with different concentrations of VFAs as carbon sources (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon Source</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Biomass (g/L)</th>
<th>Lipid weight (g/L)</th>
<th>Lipid content (%, w/w)</th>
<th>(Y_{LS}^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VFAs (1g/L)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.39±0.03</td>
<td>0.187±0.04</td>
<td>48.0±3.5</td>
<td>0.187±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFAs (2g/L)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.58±0.04</td>
<td>0.278±0.05</td>
<td>48.2±4.5</td>
<td>0.139±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFAs (4g/L)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.33±0.06</td>
<td>0.136±0.04</td>
<td>41.2±5.0</td>
<td>0.034±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFAs (8g/L)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cultivation conditions: 25°C, 150 rpm, pH 6.3, cultured for 168 h. VFAs ratio was 6:1:3 in these cultures.

\(^a\) \(Y_{LS}\): Lipid yield coefficient, g lipid/g VFAs.

\(^b\) nd: not detected.
Table 5. Fatty acid composition of the lipids accumulated in heterotrophic cultures of *C. protothecoides* with different carbon sources\textsuperscript{a} (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Myristic acid (C14:0)</th>
<th>Palmitic acid (C16:0)</th>
<th>Stearic acid (C18:0)</th>
<th>Oleic acid (C18:1)</th>
<th>Linoleic acid (C18:2)</th>
<th>Linolenic acid (C18:3)</th>
<th>CN\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.09</td>
<td>14.3±0.15</td>
<td>5.4±0.05</td>
<td>57.6±0.35</td>
<td>18.6±0.20</td>
<td>2.5±0.06</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFAs</td>
<td>tr\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>21.5±0.22</td>
<td>21.7±0.19</td>
<td>45.9±0.25</td>
<td>10.8±0.11</td>
<td>tr\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}, Some other fatty acids(lauric, myristic, palmitoleic and arachidic acid) were also detected in trace amounts and were not included in this table.

\textsuperscript{b}, tr: Trace amount less than 1%.

\textsuperscript{c}, Cetane number calculated by Klopfenstein’s equation [45].
Table 6. Comparison of biodiesel cost assessment based upon various carbon sources and microorganisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain type</th>
<th>Microalgae&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Yeast&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Yeast&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Microalgae&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain name</td>
<td><em>C. protothecoides</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>C. albidus</em>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>C. albidus</em>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n/a&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivation type</td>
<td>Heterotrophic&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Heterotrophic&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Heterotrophic&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Autotrophic&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon source (CS)</td>
<td>VFAs&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>VFAs&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Glucose&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sunlight&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon source cost</td>
<td>$30/ton&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$30/ton&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$490/ton&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid yield on CS</td>
<td>0.19 g/g&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.15 g/g&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.18 g/g&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n/a&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid content, w/w</td>
<td>50%&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30%&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30%&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>70%&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid cost</td>
<td>$0.41/kg&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$0.49/kg&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$3.15/kg&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$0.6/kg&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiesel cost</td>
<td>$2.31/gal&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$2.65/gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$14.35/gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$5.07/gal&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Data from this work

<sup>b</sup> Data taken from Fei et al. [25].

<sup>c</sup> Data taken from Chisti [4].

<sup>d</sup> Calculated based upon the data from Fei et al. [25]. Lipid cost ($/kg lipid) = raw material cost (including $30/ton carbon source and $150/ton NH₄Cl) of $0.29/kg (based on the lipid yield on carbon source of 0.19 g/g) + utilities cost of $0.035/kg (0.1 $/kWh<sup>-1</sup>) [25] + labor cost of $0.056/kg [25] + general work cost of $0.029/kg [25].

<sup>e</sup> Not available.