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Quantum control of systems plays an important role in modern science and technology. The ultimate goal of quantum control is to achieve high-fidelity universal control in a time-optimal way. Although high-fidelity universal control has been reported in various quantum systems, experimental implementation of time-optimal universal control remains elusive. Here, we report the experimental realization of time-optimal universal control of spin qubits in diamond. By generalizing a recent method for solving quantum brachistochrone equations [X. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 170501 (2015)], we obtained accurate minimum-time protocols for multiple qubits with fixed qubit interactions and a constrained control field. Single- and two-qubit time-optimal gates are experimentally implemented with fidelities of 99% obtained via quantum process tomography. Our work provides a time-optimal route to achieve accurate quantum control and unlocks new capabilities for the emerging field of time-optimal control in general quantum systems.
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Time-optimal control (TOC), including the famous examples of the brachistochrone problem [1] and the Zermelo navigation problem [2], has been widely investigated for over three centuries. TOC of quantum systems has recently attracted great interest due to the rapid development of quantum information processing and quantum metrology. Because the ever-present noise from the environment degrades quantum states or operations over time, generating the fastest possible evolution by TOC becomes a preferable choice for realizing precise quantum control in the presence of noise. To obtain accurate TOC protocols is difficult because both the fidelity and time should be optimized. Analytical methods utilizing the Pontryagin maximum principle or the geometry of the unitary group are applicable only to specific problems and constraints [3–10]. Recently, the quantum brachistochrone equation (QBE) has been proposed to provide a general framework for finding time-optimal state evolutions or unitary operations [11–18]. The QBE has been applied to some cases where analytic solutions exist [15–17]. For problems where the QBE cannot be analytically solved, an effective numerical method has been developed [18]. The relationship between TOC and gate complexity has also been explored [19,20]. Experimental TOC has been implemented only in single-qubit systems [21–23], while experimental time-optimal universal control, which requires universal single-qubit gates as well as a nontrivial two-qubit gate, has not been reported.

Here, we demonstrate the first experimental time-optimal universal control of a two-qubit system, which consists of an electron spin and a nuclear spin of a nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center in diamond. High-fidelity single- and two-qubit gates are realized with fidelities of 99% obtained via quantum process tomography. Our results show that TOC provides a novel route to achieve precise universal quantum control. The approach to realize time-optimal control of multiple qubits can be applied to other quantum systems.

As shown in Fig. 1, the quantum system is driven by the Hamiltonian \( H(t) \), which is described by the Schrödinger equation \( \dot{U} = -iH(t)U \), with boundary conditions \( U(0) = I \) and \( U(T) = U_F \) (we set \( \hbar = 1 \)). Different Hamiltonians \( H(t) \) make the evolutions of the system follow different paths (labeled by \( \Gamma_j \)) to the same unitary operation \( U_F \). The path with the minimal time cost can be obtained by solving the QBE [12] together with the Schrödinger equation. The QBE is written as

\[
\dot{F} = -i[H,F],
\]

where \( F = \partial L_C / \partial H \) and \( L_C = \sum_j \lambda_j f_j(H) \), with \( \lambda_j \) the Lagrange multiplier. One physically relevant constraint is the finite energy bandwidth described as \( f_0(H) = \text{Tr}(H^2) - E^2)/2 = 0 \), where \( E \) is a constant. Reference [18] provides a method to obtain the accurate minimum-time protocol by solving the QBE.

In realistic physical systems, part of the Hamiltonian \( H \) is usually time independent (e.g., fixed couplings between spin qubits), and the reasonable constraint for the energy is actually for the time variable part (e.g., the shaped...
The experiment was implemented on an NV center in [100] face bulk diamond. The nitrogen concentration in the diamond was less than 5 ppb, and the abundance of $^{13}\text{C}$ was at the natural level of 1.1%. The NV center was optically addressed by a home-built confocal microscope. Spin-state initialization and detection of the NV center were realized with a 532 nm green laser controlled by an acousto-optic modulator (ISOMET, power leakage ratio $\sim 1/1000$). To preserve the NV center’s longitudinal relaxation time from laser leakage effects, the laser beam was passed twice through the acousto-optic modulator before going through an oil objective (Olympus, PLAPON 60°, NA 1.42). The phonon sideband fluorescence (wavelength 650–800 nm) went through the same oil objective and was collected by an avalanche photodiode (Perkin Elmer, SPCM-AQRH-14) with a counter card. A solid immersion lens was created around the NV center to increase the fluorescence collection efficiency. The magnetic field was provided by a permanent magnet and aligned by monitoring the variation of fluorescence counts. The spin states of the NV center were manipulated with microwave and radio-frequency pulses. The microwave and radio-frequency pulses were generated by an arbitrary waveform generator (Keysight M8190A), amplified individually with power amplifiers (Mini Circuits ZHL-30W-252-S+ for microwave pulses and LZY-22+ for radio-frequency pulses), and combined with a diplexer (Marki DPX-1). An ultrabroadband coplanar waveguide with 15 GHz bandwidth was designed and fabricated to feed the microwave and radio-frequency pulses.

Universal control of a single qubit requires the ability to realize rotations around two different axes of the Bloch sphere. The evolution operator is denoted with $R(\hat{n}, \theta)$, corresponding to a rotation of angle $\theta$ around axis $\hat{n} = \hat{x}\sin \gamma \cos \phi + \hat{y}\sin \gamma \sin \phi + \hat{z}\cos \gamma$. The method to realize TOC gates, which rotate the quantum states along two different axes, is detailed in Sec. II in the Supplemental Material [26]. We take a target unitary transformation $R(\hat{z}, \theta)$ on the electron spin qubit as an example. In the rotating frame, $H_0 = 2\nu S_z$, $H_c(\nu) = 2\pi \frac{1}{3} \left[ \cos (\phi(\nu)) S_x + \sin (\phi(\nu)) S_y \right]$, where $S_z$, $S_y$, and $S_x$ are effective spin operators of the electron spin qubit, $\delta$ is the detuning term, $\nu_1 > 0$ stands for the amplitude of the microwave pulse, and $\phi(\nu)$ is the phase of microwave pulse. The control Hamiltonian $H_c$ satisfies two constraints, which are $f_0(H_c) \equiv \left[ \text{Tr} (H_c^2) - 2\pi^2 \nu_1^2 \right]/2 = 0$ and $f_1(H_c) \equiv \text{Tr} (H_c S_z) = 0$. The solution to the QBE is $\phi(\nu) = 2\pi \nu_1 + \phi(0)$, where $\eta$ is a constant. Then, the detailed parameters of the control Hamiltonian [e.g., $\eta$ and $\phi(\nu)$] and the minimum evolution time $T$ can be obtained by further solving the Schrödinger equation. By following the procedure described above, we can derive the explicit analytical solutions to the TOC for realizing $R(\hat{z}, \theta)$. Without loss of generality, we present the analytical solution when $\delta \geq 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

If $\theta < \pi (1 + \sqrt{3}\delta/\nu_1)$, the minimum evolution time...
\[ T = |\delta(\theta/2\pi - 1) + \sqrt{\nu_1^2 + \delta^2 - \nu_1^2(\theta/2\pi - 1)^2}/(\nu_1^2 + \delta^2)\];
otherwise, the minimum evolution time becomes
\[ T = |\delta(\theta/2\pi + \sqrt{\nu_1^2 + \delta^2 - \nu_1^2(\theta/2\pi)^2}/(\nu_1^2 + \delta^2)\).\]

The experimental amplitude of control field is set to be \(\nu\) to state evolution during with Euler rotation, respectively. Figure 2(c) shows the experimental gate time. The time durations for gate operations between TOC and Euler rotation is shown in Fig. 2(a). It is clear that the time cost with TOC is considerably shorter than that with Euler rotation for all the rotation angles. We experimentally implement the target gate operators \(R(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \theta)\), \(R(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \pi/4)\), \(R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pi/2)\), and \(R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pi)\) with both methods. Figure 2(b) shows the comparison of the experimental gate time. The time durations for gate operations with TOC are 69.6, 96.8, 132.3, and 173.2 ns, which are 42.9, 28.1, 17.7, and 26.8 ns shorter than those with Euler rotation, respectively. Figure 2(c) shows the state evolution during \(R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pi)\). The initial state is prepared to \((|m_i = 0\rangle + i|m_i = -1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\). We performed measurements of \(\langle S_y \rangle\) and \(\langle S_z \rangle\) on the states during the evolution. As shown in Fig. 2(c), the target evolution of \(R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pi)\) is realized at 173.2 ns with TOC and at 200 ns with Euler rotation. All the gate fidelities [30] are measured to be above 0.99 via quantum process tomography [31].

The case when \(\delta \neq 0\) has also been experimentally implemented. Both \(R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \theta)\) and \(R(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \theta)\) with various values of \(\theta\) have been demonstrated. Furthermore, time-optimal universal single-qubit control with other constraints on \(H_c\) is also experimentally demonstrated. The implementations are characterized utilizing quantum process tomography (see Sec. III in the Supplemental Material [26]). The experimental results for the cases are presented in Sec. II, Fig. S3, Fig. S4, and Table I of the Supplemental Material [26]. Our results show the universality of our approach to perform time-optimal universal control for a single qubit.

Universal control of qubits also requires a nontrivial two-qubit gate [32]. In our experiment, we demonstrate a controlled-\(U\) gate with

\[
U_c = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

which is also a nontrivial two-qubit gate [32]. In our experiment, we have demonstrated this two-qubit gate in a time-optimal way with the system consisting of the electron and nuclear spins. Electron (nuclear) spin states \(|m_S = 0\rangle\) and \(|m_S = -1\rangle\) \((|m_I = +1\rangle\) and \(|m_I = 0\rangle\)) are encoded as the electron (nuclear) spin qubit. The quantum state of the two-qubit system is denoted as \(|m_S, m_I\rangle\), with corresponding population denoted as \(P_{m_S, m_I}\) hereafter. The drift Hamiltonian \(H_0 = 2\pi A_S I_z\) is the hyperfine coupling between the spins, where \(I_z\) is the effective spin operator of the nuclear spin qubit and the hyperfine coupling strength is \(A = -2.16\) MHz. We consider a model in which only controls with bounded strength on the electron spin are applied, while the control Hamiltonian takes the form \(H_c(t) = 2\pi \nu_1 [\cos \phi(t) S_x + \sin \phi(t) S_y]\). The strength of the control field \(\nu_1\) is set to 2.5 MHz. The constraints on the control Hamiltonian can be described by \(f_0(H_c) = 0\) and \(f_\nu(H_c) = Tr(H_cB) = 0\), where \(\{B_k\} = \{I_x, I_y, I_z, S_xI_x, S_yI_y, S_zI_z, S_xI_z, S_yI_z, S_zI_x, S_zI_y, S_zI_z\}\). The target evolution operator is a controlled unitary gate which flips the electron spin qubit iff the nuclear spin.
qubit is in the state $|m_j = 0\rangle$. The time-optimal control Hamiltonian is obtained by numerically solving the QBE together with the Schrödinger equation (see Sec. II in the Supplemental Material [26]). If the dephasing effect and the imperfection of the control field are taken into account [33], the theoretical fidelity of $U_c$ is estimated to be 0.9933. The detailed experimental pulse and time-optimal control and the fidelity estimation are included in Sec. II and Fig. S5 in the Supplemental Material [26]. The time duration of the controlled-U gate with TOC is 446 ns. A conventional method to implement the controlled-U gate with the constraint control field is to apply a selective pulse [34,35]. With $\nu_1 = 2.5$ MHz (the same as that in TOC), the time duration to implement the controlled-U gate with a selective pulse is 612.4 ns (see Sec. II in the Supplemental Material [26]).

The left panel of Fig. 3(a) [Fig. 3(b)] shows the state trajectory of the electron spin qubit on the Bloch sphere, while the nuclear spin state is $|m_j = +1\rangle \{ |m_j = 0\rangle \}$. It is clear that the electron spin qubit is flipped to the state $| - 1\rangle$ with the nuclear spin qubit in $|m_j = 0\rangle$, and the state of the electron spin qubit returns to the state $|0\rangle$ with the nuclear spin qubit in $|m_j = +1\rangle$. In the right panels of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), experimental populations of $|0, m_j\rangle$ and $| - 1, m_j\rangle$ (i.e., $P_{0, m_j}$ and $P_{-1, m_j}$) during the $U_c$ gate are recorded. The experimental results represented by symbols are in agreement with theoretical predictions represented as lines. The small deviation from 1 (0) of $P_{0, m_j}$ ($P_{-1, m_j}$) at $t = 0$ is due to imperfect polarization of the electron spin (about 0.95, which is measured with sequences described in Sec. IV and Fig. S6 in the Supplemental Material [26]).

We further perform quantum process tomography (see Sec. III in the Supplemental Material [26]) to characterize the $U_c$ gate. A set of 16 initial states is prepared, after which the $U_c$ is applied, and quantum state tomography is applied to reconstruct the final state corresponding to each initial state. With the information of the 16 final states, the process matrix $\chi$ is determined in the Pauli basis $\{ \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \}$, where $\sigma_{ij} \in \{ I, X, Y, Z \}$, $I$ is the identity operator, and $X = \sigma_x$, $Y = \sigma_y$, and $Z = \sigma_z$ are Pauli operators. Figure 4 shows the real and imaginary parts of the experimental process matrix. The average gate fidelity of the two-qubit gate in our experiment is 0.99(1), which reaches the threshold of fault-tolerant quantum computations [36]. The shortest possible time duration of the gate operation by TOC is advantageous to high fidelity due to the reduction of the dephasing effect. The relatively small strength of the control field also contributes to the high fidelity, as the noise induced from the control field is proportional to the control field [33,37].

**Discussion.**—Manipulation of quantum systems is of fundamental significance in quantum computing [31], quantum metrology [38], and high-resolution spectroscopy [39–41]. It is desirable to achieve universal control with high fidelity and in a minimal time interval in the presence of decoherence. High-fidelity universal control has been reported in various quantum systems, including trapped ions [42], superconducting circuits [36], NV centers in diamond [33,43], and spins in silicon [44,45]. However, experimental demonstration of universal control, when high fidelity and minimal time are satisfied simultaneously, were not achieved in previous work. We have realized the time-optimal universal control of the two-qubit system in diamond with high fidelity. Our results provide an experimental validation of TOC casting a high-fidelity control operation on multqubit

![FIG. 3. State trajectories under the two-qubit controlled-U gate by TOC with initial states (a) $|0, 1\rangle$ and (b) $|0, 0\rangle$. The left panels show the state evolutions of the nuclear and electron spins on the Bloch spheres. When the nuclear spin qubit is in the state $|1\rangle \{ |0\rangle \}$ labeled by the blue arrows, the electron spin qubit undergoes the paths labeled by red lines to the state $|0\rangle \{ | - 1\rangle \}$. The right panels show the experimental dynamics of state populations $P_{0, m_j}$ (black circles) and $P_{-1, m_j}$ (grey diamonds), and lines are theoretical predictions of the populations. The error bars on the data points are the standard deviations from the mean.](image)

![FIG. 4. Quantum process tomography for the controlled-U gate by TOC. The left and right panels are the real and imaginary parts of the reconstructed process matrix $\chi$. The error bar of each point is about 0.01 due to the statistics of photon counts. An average gate fidelity of 0.99(1) can be obtained from the process matrix.](image)
systems. The approach developed in this work to realize accurate minimum-time control of multiquits can be applied to other important physical systems.
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