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Impact of the Electronic Band Structure in High-Harmonic Generation Spectra of Solids

Nicolas Tancogne-Dejean, Oliver D. Mücke, Franz X. Kärntner, and Angel Rubio

Abstract: An accurate analytic model describing the microscopic mechanism of high-harmonic generation (HHG) in solids is derived. Extensive first-principles simulations within a time-dependent density-functional framework corroborate the conclusions of the model. Our results reveal that (i) the emitted HHG spectra are highly anisotropic and laser-polarization dependent even for cubic crystals; (ii) the harmonic emission is enhanced by the inhomogeneity of the electron-nuclei potential; the yield is increased for heavier atoms; and (iii) the cutoff photon energy is driver-wavelength independent. Moreover, we show that it is possible to predict the laser polarization for optimal HHG in bulk crystals solely from the knowledge of their electronic band structure. Our results pave the way to better control and optimize HHG in solids by engineering their band structure.

The process of HHG from gases is by now well understood in terms of the three-step model [13–15] in which electrons are first promoted from the ground state of the atom (or molecule) to the continuum, then accelerated by the electric field, and finally recombine with the parent ion. With this simple, intuitive model most of the observed effects are well described, in particular, the dependence of the harmonic cutoff energy on driver wavelength and intensity. In the case of solids, electrons are promoted to discrete conduction bands, where they do not evolve freely. This leads, for instance, to a different linear field dependence for the cutoff energy [6], different time-frequency characteristics of the harmonic emission between atoms and solids [8,11,16], and a different ellipticity dependence [6,17].

Historically, HHG in solids was first discussed in terms of Bloch oscillations (i.e., pure intraband dynamics) [18–20], and more recently mainly analyzed using simplified models based on numerical solutions of the semiconductor Bloch equations [21–23] treating the complex, coupled interband and intraband dynamics, with the exception of the \textit{ab initio} simulations of Ref. [24]. Even if these methods have been successfully applied to some materials, such as GaSe [7,25] or SiO$_2$ [9], basic questions remain controversial and/or unresolved, e.g., which bands are involved in the HHG dynamics [26,27].

The first experimental observations of HHG from solids were explained in terms of Bloch oscillations [6,7]. A competing model attributing the HHG mechanism to interband transitions (resembling the three-step model of gas HHG [13,14]) was introduced [28,29]. For not too strong...
excitation in ZnO by 3.76 μm pulses, such that the electrons explore only the near-parabolic region of the Brillouin zone (BZ), it was found that the magnitude of the interband contribution is larger than that of the intraband contribution [28]. Nevertheless, most theoretical works have used either a simplified two-band or five-band model, intrinsically hampering the predictive power of the model and the full microscopic understanding of the HHG process.

In this Letter, using an ab initio approach based on time-dependent density-functional theory (TDDFT) [30,31], we study the microscopic origin of HHG in solids. Effects stemming from the full electronic structure (valence and conduction bands) and the real crystal structure are properly accounted for. We show that the nonperturbative emission of harmonics in solids, arising from the interplay between intraband and interband contributions, is enhanced when the interband contribution is suppressed due to band-structure effects. We identify that the joint density of states (JDOS) along the laser polarization is the key parameter governing the weight of the interband contribution. In addition, we address the still controversial question of the wavelength dependence of the cutoff energy in bulk crystals.

We start by presenting some exact analytical results (see Supplemental Material [32] for details). We consider a general interacting many-electron Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) of the form

\[
\hat{H}(t) = \hat{T} + \hat{V}(t) + \hat{W},
\]

where \( \hat{T} \) is the kinetic energy, \( \hat{V}(t) \) is the time-dependent external laser potential, and \( \hat{W} \) is the electron-electron Coulomb interaction (the ionic motion is not considered here for the sake of simplicity). The exact equation of motion for the total microscopic current, \( \vec{j}(\vec{r}, t) \), can be rewritten as [33,34]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{j}(\vec{r}, t) = -n(\vec{r}, t)\nabla v(\vec{r}, t) + \Pi^{\text{kin}}(\vec{r}, t) + \Pi^{\text{int}}(\vec{r}, t),
\]

where \( \Pi^{\text{kin}}(\vec{r}, t) \) and \( \Pi^{\text{int}}(\vec{r}, t) \) are the kinetic and the interaction contributions to the momentum-stress tensor [33–35]. This equation just represents the local momentum conservation law, and shows that only external forces contribute to the total momentum, in accordance with Newton’s third law. As these two contributions to the momentum-stress tensor are internal forces [34], Eq. (2) reduces to

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} d^3\vec{r} \vec{j}(\vec{r}, t) = - \int_{\Omega} d^3\vec{r} n(\vec{r}, t) \nabla v(\vec{r}, t),
\]

where \( \Omega \) denotes the volume of the physical system. In here the external potential \( v(\vec{r}, t) \) accounts for both the electron-nuclei potential \( v_0(\vec{r}) \) and the externally applied time-dependent laser field. \( n(\vec{r}, t) \) is the time-dependent electronic density of the system driven by the external strong laser pulse \( E(t) \) thereby generating the higher harmonics. Equation (3) provides an exact relation, valid for atoms, molecules as well as solids, that allows us to obtain a new formula for the high-harmonic spectra. Using the current expression for the HHG spectra, namely, \( \text{HHG}(\omega) = |\text{FT}\{\int_{\Omega} d^3\vec{r} (\partial/\partial t) j(\vec{r}, t)\}|^2 \), and plugging now Eq. (3), we obtain a general expression for the HHG spectra,

\[
\text{HHG}(\omega) \propto |\text{FT}\left( \int_{\Omega} d^3\vec{r} n(\vec{r}, t) \nabla v_0(\vec{r}) + n(\vec{r}, t) E(\vec{r}, t) + \frac{j(\vec{r}, t) \times B(\vec{r}, t)}{c} \right) |^2,
\]

where the last two terms correspond to the Lorentz force exerted by the external laser on the electronic system [34]. If we now make the dipole approximation, Eq. (4) further simplifies and we finally get

\[
\text{HHG}(\omega) \propto |\text{FT}\left( \int_{\Omega} d^3\vec{r} n(\vec{r}, t) \nabla v_0(\vec{r}) \right) + N_e E(\omega) |^2,
\]

which provides the first important physical result of the present work, shedding fundamental insights on the intrinsic bulk contribution to the HHG spectra. Here, \( N_e \) is the number of electrons contained in the volume \( \Omega \). Note that the HHG spectra depend only on the electronic density. The second term does not result in a nonperturbative nonlinearity and thus cannot create a plateau-like HHG spectrum. The more interesting and relevant term for HHG is the first one in Eq. (5). It shows that higher harmonics are generated by two competing terms, the spatial variation of the total electronic density \( n(\vec{r}, t) \) and the gradient of the electron-nuclei potential \( \nabla v_0(\vec{r}) \), the latter being time independent, as we neglected ionic motion. In gases, the gradient of the electron-nuclei potential is important, but the electronic density is low. In the case of solids, the electronic density is higher, but the potential is rather homogeneous, resulting in a smaller gradient of the potential than in the atomic case. In fact, in the limit of a homogeneous electron gas, the gradient becomes 0, and no harmonics are generated, irrespective of the value of the electronic density. In this case the bands are parabolic; thus, we recover the known result that parabolic bands do not yield nonperturbative harmonics [6].

Since the gradient of the electron-nuclei potential is frequency independent, it contributes equally to all harmonics and therefore could be used to enhance the entire HHG spectra. As a consequence, we expect a higher harmonic yield when we have strong spatial fluctuations of the electron-nuclei potential, as can be realized at surfaces or interfaces. This also means that layered materials, such as transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMD) [36], should be good candidates for HHG. Finally, we note that a similar expression, valid only for atoms, was obtained in Ref. [37]. The authors’ equation (9) was used to explain the dependence of HHG yield on atomic number \( Z \) for noble gases only. Here, we suggest that the yield of HHG in solids also increases with the atomic number as in atoms. This corroborates the idea that layered TMD are good candidates for improving the yield of HHG. These results might
therefore guide the search of better materials for HHG from solids, as not only bulk crystal properties but also nanostructure engineering aspects are important for optimum HHG.

Next we discuss the numerical results of our first-principles TDDFT calculations. This method has already been successfully applied to the description of strong-field phenomena in atoms [38,39] and solids [40–43]. Being interested in the microscopic origin of HHG in solids, we have neglected macroscopic propagation effects in our quantum-mechanical simulations, thus making a sudden approximation, and we consider only the intrinsic bulk contribution. However the TDDFT framework is more general and can be used to include extrinsic effects or dissipation. As most previous works have described HHG from solids in terms of the dynamics of noninteracting electrons, we explore here how the Coulomb interaction and electron-electron correlations affect the HHG in solids. We consider a laser pulse of 25-fs duration, with a sin-squared envelope of the vector potential. The peak intensity inside matter is taken to be \( I_0 = 10^{11} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \) (see Supplemental Material [32] for higher intensity) and the carrier wavelength is 3000 nm, corresponding to a carrier photon energy of 0.43 eV. For such few-cycle driver pulses, the HHG spectra from solids have been shown to be quite insensitive to the carrier-envelope phase [9,11,44], which is therefore taken to be 0 here. The evolution of the wave functions and the evaluation of the time-dependent current is computed by propagating the Kohn-Sham equations within TDDFT, as provided by the Octopus package [45], in the local-density approximation (LDA).

Simulations are performed for the prototype system bulk silicon [46] (and AlAs; see Supplemental Material [32]), which exhibits a richer and more complex band structure close to the Fermi energy than previously studied materials, such as ZnO [6,11], GaSe [7,8], and SiO\(_2\) [9]. Moreover, it is highly relevant for semiconductor technology. It is therefore our material of choice for investigating the origin of HHG in solids.

From Fig. 1 we find that the HHG spectrum of bulk silicon does not change if we consider the full evolution of the Hartree and the exchange-correlation parts of the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian or the time evolution in a static ground-state potential. This means that, in silicon, electrons evolve mainly as independent particles in the ground-state potential for our excitation conditions. In the language of atomic HHG, this is similar to the widely used single-active electron approximation. This result has two important implications: First, it justifies the independent-particle approximation assumed in most previously published HHG models. Second, it implies that ground-state information of the crystal, such as the band structure, might be retrieved from the HHG spectra. However, the band-structure information could be altered by light propagation effects in the solid, possibly including impurity or lattice scattering. Only a careful analysis of these extrinsic effects, well beyond the scope of this Letter, could show how harmonics are affected while accounting for propagation effects.

We now investigate the effect of the laser polarization on the HHG emission. For the sake of simplicity, let us consider a general cubic material. In this case, the laser electric field is driving the electrons along the direction of the laser polarization. Orienting the laser polarization along specific directions, corresponding to high-symmetry lines of the three-dimensional BZ of the crystal, thus results in different HHG spectra. Therefore, even cubic materials such as silicon exhibit a strong anisotropic emission of high-order harmonics. Moreover, the symmetries of the crystal, which are also the symmetries of the BZ, are reflected in the anisotropy of the HHG emission. Our simulation results, displayed in Fig. 2(a), clearly predict an anisotropic emission of harmonics while rotating the polarization around the [001] crystallographic axis. In this plane, the harmonic emission is maximum for a laser polarization along the \( \Gamma X \) direction and minimal for the \( \Gamma Z \) direction.

Considering the mechanism underlying HHG in solids, we first note that harmonics emitted energetically below the band-gap energy cannot originate from the recombination of an electron with a hole present in the valence bands, as this leads to the emission of a photon with energy above the band-gap energy. This indicates that below-band-gap harmonics cannot originate from the interband contribution. In other words, below the band gap, the interband emission channel is naturally suppressed. This is the case in experiments performed on bulk GaSe [7,8], for which the numerical calculations reproduce quite well the clean shape of the harmonic peaks observed in the experiments [7], and the temporal profile of harmonic emission [8].

Above the band gap, in contrast, it becomes energetically possible that emitted harmonics originate from an interband electron-hole recombination. In this situation, both interband and intraband dynamics contribute to harmonics emitted above the band gap. Interestingly, clean above-band-gap odd-harmonic peaks have been observed experimentally in
ZnO [6] and SiO$_2$ [9], whereas the above-band-gap plateau has been found theoretically to be strongly modulated [16,27,28,44–50]. The absence of clean harmonics in the theoretical works has previously been attributed to an infinitely long dephasing time [28], to a metallization regime [50], to symmetry breaking [16], or to elastic or inelastic scattering processes [44]. We point out that such a strongly modulated plateau cannot originate here from intercycle or intracycle interferences, as observed in above-threshold ionization from gases [51,52], because such interferences would affect the entire HHG spectra, and not only the above-band-gap region.

The emission of harmonics by interband transitions in solids is naturally dictated by the discretization of the bands in solids. This represents one of the biggest differences between atomic or molecular HHG and the HHG in solids. In order to emit a photon at a given energy by interband transitions, the corresponding direct transition must be possible between two states. The density of possible transitions at a given energy, namely, the JDOS, is thus intrinsically related to the interband mechanism. More precisely, it is the JDOS corresponding to the region of the BZ explored by the electrons that dictates the emission of harmonics by interband transitions.

Similarly to previous theoretical studies [16,27,28,44–50], we do not obtain clean odd harmonics above the band gap. Nevertheless, we see in Fig. 2(b) that the noisy region (orange shaded area) is suppressed, thus recovering clean odd harmonics (green shaded area), when the JDOS (computed for the region explored by the electrons, assuming the acceleration theorem) is very low, corresponding to the situation when the electron-hole recombination channel is drastically reduced. Interestingly, we observe that selecting the laser polarization along the ΓL high-symmetry line leads to generation of harmonics up to the 17th harmonic, whereas only the first 15 harmonics are generated when the laser polarization is set along the ΓX high-symmetry line. Moreover, harmonics 11 to 17 are more intense for the ΓL case compared to the ΓX spectrum [see Fig. 2(b)]. This suggests that more intense and energetic harmonics are obtained when suppressing interband transitions. Therefore, with knowledge of the ground-state JDOS, a direct prediction of the optimal laser polarization for HHG in solids is possible. This paves the way to control and improvement of the yield of HHG in solids via band-structure engineering, for instance, by opening gaps between conduction bands.

We finally address a fundamentally and technologically relevant aspect of the emission of HHG, which is the wavelength dependence of the cutoff photon energy in harmonic spectra. Much research effort has been devoted to identify key parameters governing the HHG cutoff energy. Surprisingly, the wavelength scaling of the cutoff energy is still not clearly established theoretically, as some studies found it to be wavelength independent [6,9,11,53,54], whereas others claimed it depends linearly on the wavelength [27,48,49]. Our ab initio quantum-mechanical simulations displayed in Fig. 3 confirm that the HHG cutoff energy is independent of the driver laser wavelength.

In gases, the wavelength dependence comes from the ponderomotive energy $U_p \propto \lambda^2 I$, which originates from the free evolution of the ionized electron in the continuum.
accelerated by the laser field. In the case of solids, it is clear that electrons do not evolve as free particles. Thus, for solids, a wavelength dependence cannot arise from the ponderomotive energy. We note also that increasing the wavelength, clear perturbative harmonics disappear in Fig. 3 in a white-noise-type plateau, characteristic of a nonperturbative regime.

In conclusion, we analyzed the microscopic origin of high-harmonic generation in solids. We show analytically that high-harmonic generation in solids is enhanced by the laser polarization, based on the sole knowledge of the laser polarization, without making any model assumptions that the ponderomotive energy. We note also that increasing the wavelength dependence cannot arise from the ponderomotive energy. We finally confirmed without making any model assumptions that the cutoff energy of the HHG in solids is wavelength independent, offering many intriguing technological perspectives. Further investigations should address extrinsic effects such as the electron-phonon coupling, propagation, and surface effects. We expect this work will help in the search of better materials for solid-state high-harmonic sources and tailored HHG in solids.

We acknowledge financial support from the European Research Council (Grant No. ERC-2015-AdG-694097), and COST Action Grant No. MP1306 (EUSpec). N. T.-D. and tailored HHG in solids. We show analytically that high-harmonic generation in solids is enhanced by the laser polarization, based on the sole knowledge of the laser polarization, without making any model assumptions that the ponderomotive energy. We note also that increasing the wavelength dependence cannot arise from the ponderomotive energy. We finally confirmed without making any model assumptions that the cutoff energy of the HHG in solids is wavelength independent, offering many intriguing technological perspectives. Further investigations should address extrinsic effects such as the electron-phonon coupling, propagation, and surface effects. We expect this work will help in the search of better materials for solid-state high-harmonic sources and tailored HHG in solids.
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