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SUMMARY

Xenophagy is a form of selective autophagy that involves the targeting and elimination of intracellular pathogens through several recognition, recruitment, and ubiquitination events. E3 ubiquitin ligases control substrate selectivity in the ubiquitination cascade; however, systematic approaches to map the role of E3 ligases in antibacterial autophagy have been lacking. We screened more than 600 putative human E3 ligases, identifying E3 ligases that are required for adaptor protein recruitment and LC3-bacteria colocalization, critical steps in antibacterial autophagy. An unbiased informatics approach pinpointed RNF166 as a key gene that interacts with the autophagy network and controls the recruitment of ubiquitin as well as the autophagy adaptors p62 and NDP52 to bacteria. Mechanistic studies demonstrated that RNF166 catalyzes K29- and K33-linked polyubiquitination of p62 at residues K91 and K189. Thus, our study expands the catalog of E3 ligases that mediate antibacterial autophagy and identifies a critical role for RNF166 in this process.

INTRODUCTION

Macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) is a homeostatic cellular process wherein constituents of the cytosol are encapsulated in a de novo-generated, double-membraned vesicle termed the autophagosome. Formation of the autophagosome is mediated by autophagy-related (ATG) proteins, and fusion of the autophagosome with a lysosome leads to degradation of autophagosomal contents (Mizushima and Komatsu, 2011). Under starvation conditions, autophagy operates non-selectively by recycling components of the cytosol for nutritional purposes. However, autophagy can also be selective, with specific substrates ranging from protein aggregates to damaged organelles (Levine et al., 2011; Randow and Youle, 2014).

Selective autophagy is also essential for cell-autonomous defense against pathogens, including intracellular bacteria, viruses, and parasites (Deretic, 2011; Orvedahl et al., 2010; Selleck et al., 2015). A broad range of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, including Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (Birmingham et al., 2006), Shigella flexneri (Ogawa et al., 2005), Listeria monocytogenes (Py et al., 2007), group A Streptococcus (Joubert et al., 2009; Thurston et al., 2009), Francisella tularensis (Case et al., 2014), Yersinia enterocolitica (Murthy et al., 2014), and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Gutierrez et al., 2004), are restricted by autophagy. Despite the importance of autophagy in antibacterial defense, we currently have an incomplete understanding of how such diverse bacterial species are recognized, targeted, and eliminated by autophagy.

The ability of host cells to target a variety of pathogens that have each evolved differing invasion and niche establishment strategies indicates the existence of a synergistic defense network of target recognition molecules and adaptors that activate autophagy at distinct steps of the invasion process. Although bacteria have evolved a number of mechanisms to evade detection by the host, the host cell elicits multiple signals to target and recognize bacteria. For example, bacterium-containing vesicles that accumulate diacylglycerol subsequently become the target of autophagy, and bacteria that escape this pathway expose host glycan systems on their damaged vacuoles, which are targeted for autophagic degradation by galectin-8 (Shahnazi et al., 2010; Thurston et al., 2012). Another mechanism of host defense involves coating the invading bacteria or bacteria-associated proteins with polyubiquitin chains (Collins et al., 2009; Fiskin et al., 2016; Fujita et al., 2013; Katsuragi et al., 2015; Khainets et al., 2016). Adaptor proteins then sense the bacterial ubiquitin coat and recruit autophagy adaptors to initiate engulfment of the bacteria by autophagy. There are currently four known ubiquitin-binding autophagy adaptors, NDP52, p62, NBR1, and optineurin, that recognize and bind to ubiquitinated substrates. These adaptors then bind to ubiquitin-like proteins of the LC3 (ATG8) family displayed on the phagophore membrane through a degenerate LC3-interacting region (Rogov et al., 2014; Sorbara and Girardin, 2015). NDP52, p62, and optineurin are each required to restrict bacterial proliferation, and, therefore, each executes unique functions (Kang et al., 2015; Katsuragi et al., 2015; Thurston et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2009). Distinct interacting proteins have been identified for each of the known adaptors, potentially contributing to their specificity.
Figure 1. A Core Contingent of E3 Ubiquitin Ligases Functions throughout Antibacterial Autophagy

(A) Distribution of effect size for each siRNA on GFP-LC3-Salmonella colocalization 1 hr post-infection. Effect size was calculated as a fraction relative to a non-targeting negative control siRNA and a positive control siRNA targeting ATG16L1. Genes that significantly decreased GFP-LC3-Salmonella colocalization are shown in green. Genes that significantly increased GFP-LC3-Salmonella colocalization are shown in red, and genes that did not significantly change colocalization are shown in gray.

(B) Schematic of antibacterial autophagy targeting strategies. During early bacterial targeting (1 hr), autophagy adaptors can bind independently of ubiquitin. During late bacterial targeting (4 hr), autophagy adaptor proteins bind via ubiquitinated substrates.

(C) Z-normalized secondary screen colocalization data. Scatterplots show data from two independent runs for either p62, NDP52, or ubiquitin colocalization at the given time point. Raw data were standardized with Z score computation using mean and SD of negative controls. Contour plots show bivariate Gaussian distribution fit to the data. The black line shows linear regression function fit to the normalized data.

(D) Number of autophagy genes linked via PPI network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>1 step</th>
<th>2 step</th>
<th>3 step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNF166</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBXO28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0317</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARK2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE3A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLRK1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2E3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBXL2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABT2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNC2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP5K1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(legend continued on next page)
non-redundant functions. Previous studies have suggested that, although p62 and NDP52 are independently recruited to diverse pathogens, they exert host species-dependent effects on cell-autonomous defense (Judith et al., 2013; Selleck et al., 2015) as well as pathogen-dependent effects (Katsuragi et al., 2015; Mostowy et al., 2010; Stanley and Cox, 2013). Additionally, p62 and NDP52 each have been shown to be important at multiple steps in selective autophagy (Katsuragi et al., 2015; McEwan and Dikic, 2014; Verhac et al., 2015).

Ubiquitination plays a key role in multiple steps of bacterial recognition and targeting, and it is likely that these post-translational modifications regulate substrate specificity in the autophagy pathway (Fiskin et al., 2016; Khaminets et al., 2016). E1, E2, and E3 enzyme cascades control the linkage of ubiquitin to target proteins. The human genome contains two known E1s, several dozen E2s, and hundreds of E3 ubiquitin ligases that play crucial roles in many cellular signaling pathways (Huttlin et al., 2015; Ordureau et al., 2015). The addition of polyubiquitin chains at lysine residues alters the target protein’s function. For example, K48-linked polyubiquitination is primarily associated with protein degradation, K27- and K29-linked ubiquitination have been linked with lysosomal degradation, and K11-linked ubiquitination is involved in cell cycle control (Kuang et al., 2013).

The diversity of E3 ligases suggests that they function to control the specificity of the ubiquitination cascade, and several E3 ligases have been implicated in the control of nonselective starvation-induced autophagy (Deng et al., 2015; Kuang et al., 2012, 2013; Li et al., 2015; McEwan and Dikic, 2014). Recently, the E3 ligases LRSAM1 and PARK2 (also known as parkin) were demonstrated to be necessary for innate targeting of bacteria by antibacterial autophagy (Huett et al., 2012; Manzanoillo et al., 2013). LRSAM1 targets Salmonella, Listeria, the autophagy-susceptible strain of Shigella (Shigella JicsB), and adherent invasive Escherichia coli, but not M. tuberculosis, for K6- and K27-linked polyubiquitination, whereas PARK2 functions in innate resistance to M. tuberculosis, driving predominantly K63- and, to a lesser extent, K48-linked ubiquitination. There are likely additional E3 ligases that function during antibacterial autophagy. These E3 ligases can modulate the breadth of defense and the type of cellular response by generating different combinations of ubiquitin chain linkages on target proteins.

Systematic approaches to map the role of E3 ligases in antibacterial autophagy have been lacking. Here we screen a library of putative E3 ligases for their ability to regulate xenophagic targeting of bacteria. We identify a subset of E3 ligases functioning in antibacterial autophagy and establish the E3 ligase RNF166 as a key gene interacting with autophagy-related proteins. Furthermore, we show that RNF166 promotes atypical K29- and K33-mediated ubiquitination of p62.

RESULTS

An siRNA Screen Identifies Multiple Putative E3 Ubiquitin Ligases that Affect Antibacterial Autophagy

To define the scope of E3 ubiquitin ligases functioning in targeting of bacteria to autophagy, we used a small interfering RNA (siRNA) library containing pools of three siRNAs for each of the 617 putative E3 ligases (Huttlin et al., 2015) in the human genome to knock down E3 ligase expression. 1 hr post-infection, cells were monitored for changes in the colocalization of S. Typhimurium with GFP-LC3, a core autophagy protein that is critical for multiple steps in autophagy (Birmingham et al., 2006). The effect size of each siRNA was determined using a non-targeting siRNA as a negative control and an siRNA against the core autophagy gene ATG16L1 as a positive control (Figure 1A). Of the 617 genes, knockdown of 48 genes significantly reduced LC3 colocalization with Salmonella 1 hr post-infection with an effect size of ≥50% of the positive control, suggesting that these genes play roles in promoting LC3-dependent targeting to bacteria. Additionally, a role for each of the 48 genes in LC3-bacteria colocalization was confirmed using single siRNAs from the deconvoluted pools. Notably, E3 ligases with known activity in cell-autonomous defense against bacteria, including LRSAM1, PARK2, and TRIM21 (Rakebrandt et al., 2014), scored as hits in this screen. Additional genes from the screen further supported the validity of our method. For example, HACE1 has been shown to directly ubiquitinate optineurin to control autophagy in lung cancer cells (Liu et al., 2014), and the E3 ligases DDB1, TRIM21, and TRIM13 have each been reported to function in selective or bulk autophagy (Antonioli et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2013; Tomar et al., 2012).

Given the known function of LRSAM1 and PARK2 in antibacterial autophagy, we anticipated that individual E3 ligases would likely play discrete roles in the recruitment of adaptor proteins at specific times post-infection (Shibutani and Yoshimori, 2014). Bacterial targeting can be divided into early (1 hr post-infection) and late (4 hr post-infection) events. At the 1-hr time point in Salmonella infection, the membranes of a subset of Salmonella-containing vacuoles typically become compromised, exposing the bacteria to the cytoplasm, where they become associated with ubiquitinated proteins and are subsequently targeted to autophagosomes (Figure 1B). This early targeting (1 hr post-infection) is characterized as being galectin-8-dependent, ubiquitin-independent targeting by NDP52 and likely involves both direct bacterial sensing as well as sensing of damaged membrane remnants by host proteins (Figure 1B). Later bacterial targeting (4 hr post-infection) is thought to be ubiquitin-dependent and likely entails direct recognition of the bacteria. In addition, the adaptor protein p62 has been shown to function in targeting ubiquitinated bacteria for autophagy (Yoshikawa et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2009). Therefore, we tested the 48
Figure 2. RNF166 Is Required to Recruit the Autophagy Apparatus to Salmonella and Interacts with p62

(A) HeLa cells were treated with a non-targeting siRNA or siRNA targeting RNF166 for 48 hr. Cells were then infected with Salmonella for 1 hr, and the fraction of Salmonella colocalizing with either p62, NDP52, or LC3 was enumerated. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 100 infected cells/group, and data were pooled from three independent experiments.

(B) RNF166-depleted HeLa cells were infected with Salmonella for 1 hr and co-stained for endogenous LC3, p62, and NDP52. The fraction of all intracellular bacteria that colocalized with one or more markers (LC3, p62, and/or NDP52) was determined. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 100 infected cells/group, and data were pooled from three independent experiments.

(C) HEK293T cells were transfected for 24 hr with constructs expressing FLAG alone or FLAG-RNF166 and HA-tagged autophagy proteins as indicated. Proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibodies. Data are representative of four independent experiments.

(D) Confocal images of HeLa cells infected with Salmonella for 1 hr and stained for endogenous RNF166. Insets indicate areas of bacterial colocalization. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

(legend continued on next page)
siRNAs for effects on the colocalization of ubiquitin, p62, and NDP52 with Salmonella both 1 hr and 4 hr post-infection (Figure 1C).

Colocalization rates were normalized to their respective non-targeting control siRNA, and Z score normalization was performed with respect to the mean and SD of the negative controls. A negative Z score implied a decrease in marker colocalization compared with the negative control. Data from duplicate runs were normalized independently (Figure 1C). Z score normalization also allows a direct comparison of phenotypic output from different assays in a quantitative manner. We pooled average Z scores of each gene (averaged over duplicate runs) from each of the six secondary screens and ordered them based on the number of times the average Z score was negative across six secondary screens and the magnitude of the average Z score across assays (Figure 1D). Using this method, we determined that each of the 48 genes decreased colocalization of bacteria with two or more markers at the time points investigated (Table S1). Eleven of the 48 genes altered NDP52-bacteria colocalization with no effect on p62 recruitment (Table S1). From this analysis, 12 genes were prioritized that consistently scored below zero in all colocalization assays at each time point, suggesting that they control both p62-dependent and NDP52-dependent bacterial targeting, potentially at the earliest stages.

To further filter E3 ligases of interest, we next performed a network analysis to evaluate which of the 12 candidate genes might functionally connect with the known autophagy protein–protein interaction network. Using the Bioplex protein interactome database, we mapped first-, second-, and third-degree interactors of each of the 12 candidate E3 ligases with a curated list of known autophagy-associated genes derived from published databases (Huttlin et al., 2015; Lipinski et al., 2010; McKnight et al., 2012; Orvedahl et al., 2011; Sorbara and Girardin, 2015; Sznitiarowski et al., 2011; Figure 1E; Table S2). We found four genes that directly interacted with one or more autophagy-associated genes, including RNF166, FBXO28, KLHL28, and PARK2. Among the four genes with direct interactions with one or more autophagy genes, RNF166 formed a network with four direct interactors (Figure S1). Taken together, these data suggest that at least 48 E3 ligases are involved in antibacterial autophagy, pointing to a substantial requirement for E3 ubiquitin ligases in this process. Additionally, we identify a high-confidence set of 12 E3 ligases that are likely functioning in the recruitment of ubiquitin, NDP52, and/or p62 to bacteria.

**RNF166 Is Required for the Early Recruitment of Autophagy Adaptors to Salmonella**

Little is known about the proteins involved in the early recruitment of p62. Given our finding that RNF166 was required for LC3-Salmonella colocalization as well as p62 recruitment to Salmonella, we selected RNF166 for further analysis. First, we used confocal microscopy to confirm the results of our high-throughput screen, which suggested that RNF166 is required for bacterial targeting by autophagy adaptors. Knockdown of RNF166 resulted in decreased proportions of bacteria that colocalized with p62, NDP52, or LC3 (Figure 2A; Figure S2A). The majority of studies analyzing adaptor recruitment to bacteria evaluate the colocalization of a single marker with bacteria at a given time point. Although useful, this method does not allow for the enumeration of bacteria that are marked with more than one adaptor. We therefore developed a four-color imaging approach using confocal microscopy to analyze bacterial colocalization with relevant proteins. Using this method, we next assessed the fraction of all intracellular bacteria that simultaneously localized with one or more canonical antibacterial autophagy markers (LC3, NDP52, or p62) (Figures S2B and S2C). We found that the fraction of Salmonella that colocalized with three markers simultaneously was unchanged in RNF166-deficient cells (Figure S2C). In contrast, when we evaluated colocalization of one or more markers with Salmonella, we found that, in cells expressing non-targeting siRNA, 32% of all bacteria colocalized with one or more markers simultaneously 1 hr post-infection. An siRNA targeting RNF166 reduced this number to 12% (Figure 2B). These data suggest that, in the absence of RNF166, the recruitment of single adapters to Salmonella is decreased but that the population that colocalizes with three markers at the same time is unaffected. To determine whether RNF166 binds the autophagy apparatus, we expressed FLAG-tagged RNF166 in HEK293T cells along with hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged p62, NDP52, LC3B, or GABARAPL2 and immunoprecipitated with an anti-FLAG antibody. Of the four target proteins, only p62 co-immunoprecipitated with RNF166, suggesting that this interaction is specific to p62 (Figure 2C).

**RNF166 Is a p62-Interacting Protein that Colocalizes with S. Typhimurium**

We next evaluated whether the RNF166-p62 interaction occurs in the context of antibacterial autophagy. To test this possibility, we examined whether RNF166 colocalized with bacteria. HeLa cells expressing RNF166 were infected with Salmonella and stained for RNF166 1 hr post-infection. We observed that both endogenous RNF166 and overexpressed RNF166 localized to...
Salmonella (Figures 2D and 2E). Additionally, we confirmed colocalization of RNF166 with ubiquitin, p62, NDP52, and LC3 around Salmonella (Figures 2E and 2F, Figure S2D), suggesting that RNF166 colocalizes with the autophagy apparatus during bacterial targeting.

Our results suggest that RNF166 functions in the early intracellular recognition of bacteria. To confirm that RNF166 is indeed acting to recruit p62 during the initial targeting stages, we quantified early p62 localization to Salmonella. We observed peak p62 colocalization 1 hr post-infection, with 18% ± 2.7% of Salmonella colocalized with p62, an effect that was abolished by depletion of RNF166 (Figure 2G). No differential recruitment was observed 30 min post-infection (Figure 2G). Interestingly, the fraction of Salmonella that colocalized with RNF166 was similar to that of Salmonella colocalization with p62; 18% ± 2% (Figure 2H). This frequency is also similar to that of Salmonella colocalization with LC3 during antibacterial autophagy (Birmingham et al., 2006). Depletion of p62 resulted in a significant reduction in RNF166 colocalization with Salmonella 1 hr post-infection, suggesting that the localization of p62 and RNF166 to bacteria is co-dependent (Figures 2G and 2H).

**RNF166 Mediates Atypical Ubiquitination of p62**

Given that RNF166 is an E3 ligase that likely functions through ubiquitination of target proteins, we hypothesized that RNF166 directly ubiquitinates p62 and that this ubiquitination regulates its function. To test this hypothesis, we co-transfected HEK293T cells with RNF166, p62, and ubiquitin and infected them for 1 hr with Salmonella. Immunoprecipitation of p62 under these conditions demonstrated that p62 was significantly ubiquitinated in the presence of RNF166 (Figure 3A). To confirm the specificity of this interaction, we replaced RNF166 with another E3 ligase that also disrupted p62-bacteria colocalization in our screen, KCRN6, and observed no ubiquitination of p62 (Figure 3A). To further validate this finding and show that RNF166 can directly ubiquitinate p62, we used an in vitro ubiquitination assay with recombinant UBA1 (E1), E2 enzymes, HA-ubiquitin, glutathione S-transferase (GST)-RNF166, and SUMO-p62. To determine the specific E2 enzyme required for the reaction, we tested a panel of the five most likely E2 enzymes identified by proteomics (Markson et al., 2009). Of the five E2s tested, ubiquitination of p62 was observed only in the presence of all defined proteins and the E2 enzyme UBE2D2 (Figure 3B). Furthermore, a ligase-dead RNF166 mutant (RNF166 C33A, C36A) was unable to drive p62 ubiquitination under the same conditions (Figure 3B). Thus, these data confirm that RNF166 directly ubiquitinates p62.

Ubiquitin contains seven lysines through which polyubiquitin chains can be assembled, with specific linkages determining the fate of the substrate. Several of these linkages, including K48- and K63-based linkages, have been associated with the bacterial ubiquitin coat during antibacterial autophagy (Collins et al., 2009; Manzanillo et al., 2013; van Wijk et al., 2012). To determine which ubiquitin linkage is critical for RNF166-mediated ubiquitination of p62, we used site-directed mutagenesis to generate lysine-to-arginine substitutions at the lysines in ubiquitin. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with RNF166, p62, and either wild-type (WT) ubiquitin, a ubiquitin containing no lysines (K0), or ubiquitin containing the indicated arginine substitutions. Transfected cells were infected for 1 hr with Salmonella, and p62 was immunoprecipitated. Loss of ubiquitinated p62 was observed with the K0 ubiquitin and K33R ubiquitin as well as with K29R to a lesser extent (Figure 3C). However, no change in ubiquitination of p62 was observed using the K48R or K63R mutants, suggesting that these linkages are not critical for RNF166-mediated ubiquitination of p62 (Figure 3C). Finally, we sought to identify the ubiquitinated lysine residues in p62. We individually mutated each of the 12 ubiquitinated lysine residues in p62 to arginine (Hornbeck et al., 2015) and tested the ability of RNF166 to ubiquitinate each of these p62 mutants. Arginine substitutions at K91 and K189 and, to a lesser extent, K313 resulted in significant decreases in co-immunoprecipitated ubiquitin compared with wild-type p62 (Figure 3D). Taken together, these data suggest that RNF166 drives K29- and K33-linked ubiquitination of p62 at K91 and K189.

**RNF166 Is Necessary to Limit Bacterial Replication**

We next determined the role of RNF166 in limiting bacterial replication. NDP52 and p62 have differing roles in the autophagic recognition of bacteria and subsequent bacterial clearance. Specifically, NDP52 has been previously shown to restrain Salmonella replication (Thurston et al., 2009); conversely, p62 is predominantly required to control Listeria and Shigella replication but is less important in the control of Salmonella replication (Yoshikawa et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2009). Consistent with these reports, we observed no significant effects on intracellular replication of Salmonella in cells treated with siRNF166 compared with a non-targeting control (Figure S3A). Therefore, we next tested the requirement of RNF166 in restricting the intracellular replication of autophagy-susceptible strains of Shigella and Listeria. HeLa cells treated with a non-targeting siRNA or siRNF166 were infected with the autophagy-susceptible strains of Listeria JactA or Shigella JicsB expressing luciferase and monitored for intracellular replication following gentamycin treatment. In the absence of RNF166, Listeria JactA replication increased more than 2-fold over the time course analyzed, with levels comparable with those observed in the absence of ATG16L1 (Figure 4A). Replication of Shigella JicsB also increased more than 4-fold in the absence of RNF166, with levels higher than those observed in the absence of either ATG16L1 or p62 (Figure 4B). Immunofluorescence studies confirmed that endogenous RNF166 is recruited to both Shigella JicsB (Figure S3B) and Listeria JactA (Figure S3C). These data suggest that RNF166 functions as an important component of autophagic targeting of cytosol-adapted pathogenic bacteria.

We next evaluated whether loss of RNF166 altered the recruitment of autophagy adaptors to Listeria JactA and Shigella JicsB. Consistent with our replication data, Listeria JactA and Shigella JicsB exhibited a 2- to 3-fold reduction in bacteria that simultaneously colocalized with p62, NDP52, and LC3 in RNF166-deficient cells (Figures 4C and 4D). This result is in contrast to results obtained with Salmonella, in which this p62/NDP52/LC3-positive population was largely unchanged in the absence of RNF166 (Figure S2C). These data suggest an inverse correlation between intracellular bacterial replication and the simultaneous accumulation of p62, NDP52, and LC3 around bacteria. This may explain the observed phenotypic differences.
in intracellular replication between *Salmonella*, *Listeria* *JactA*, and *Shigella* *JicsB* in the absence of RNF166, with *Listeria* *JactA* and *Shigella* *JicsB* exhibiting a more significant block in downstream accumulation of multiple adaptors.

Finally, to validate the requirement for RNF166 and RNF166 ligase activity in antibacterial autophagy, we generated an *RNF166*-null HeLa cell line using CRISPR/Cas9 (Figures S3D and S3E). It is known that p62 plays a role during non-selective or bulk autophagy; therefore, we employed an LC3 flux assay to determine whether loss of RNF166 alters bulk autophagy. In this immunoblot assay, levels of lipidated LC3-II are compared with LC3-I, and an increase in the ratio of LC3-II to LC3-I corresponds to an increase in autophagy (Kang et al., 2015). To determine whether RNF166 functions broadly in starvation-induced autophagy, cells were treated with Torin 1, an mTOR inhibitor and inducer of bulk autophagy, or Torin 1 and the lysosomal protease inhibitors E64d/pepstatin A to evaluate autophagic flux. No differences in autophagic flux were observed in RNF166-null cells, suggesting that RNF166 functions specifically in antibacterial autophagy (Figure S3F; Thoreen et al., 2009).
Figure 4. RNF166 Is Required to Inhibit the Intracellular Replication of Listeria and Shigella
(A) HeLa cells treated with a non-targeting siRNA or siRNA targeting RNF166, p62, or ATG16L1 for 48 hr were infected with Listeria ΔactA expressing luciferase. Cells were treated with gentamicin to remove extracellular bacteria, and relative light units were monitored over the indicated time course. Fold replication represents light units over time compared with 2 hr post-infection. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 8.
(B) Cells were treated as in (A) and infected with Shigella ΔicsB expressing luciferase. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 8.
(C and D) HeLa cells were treated with a non-targeting siRNA or siRNA targeting RNF166 for 48 hr, infected with Listeria ΔactA (C) or Shigella ΔicsB (D) for 1 hr, and co-stained for endogenous NDP52, p62, and LC3. The fraction of colocalization of each intracellular bacterium simultaneously with NDP52, p62, and LC3 was scored. > 50 bacteria from three independent experiments were analyzed. Data represent mean ± SEM.
(E–G) Quantification of LC3 (E), p62 (F), and ubiquitin (G) recruitment to Shigella ΔicsB 1 hr post-infection in RNF166-null HeLa cells expressing the indicated constructs. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 125 infected cells/group, and data were pooled from three independent experiments.
(H–K) Quantification of LC3 (H), p62 (I), ubiquitin (J), and RNF166-V5 (K) recruitment to Shigella ΔicsB in RNF166-null HeLa cells expressing the indicated constructs. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 125 infected cells/group, and data were pooled from three independent experiments.
(L) Intracellular replication of Shigella ΔicsB expressing luciferase in RNF166-null HeLa cells expressing the indicated constructs. Cells were treated with gentamicin to remove extracellular bacteria, and relative light units were monitored over the indicated time course. Fold replication represents light units over time compared with 2 hr post-infection. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 8.
*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001; ns, not significant. Student’s t test was used for (C), (D), and (K) and one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons for (A), (B), (E)–(J), and (L). See also Figures S3 and S4.

We focused on evaluating RNF166 in the context of Shigella ΔicsB infection because this is where the loss of RNF166 had the most significant effect. Consistent with our siRNA data, recruitment of LC3, p62, and ubiquitin to Shigella ΔicsB 1 hr post-infection was significantly reduced in RNF166-null cells compared with the same cells rescued with WT RNF166 (Figures 4E–4G). The E3 ligase-dead mutant (RNF166 C33A, C36A) was sufficient to rescue recruitment of ubiquitin, but not LC3 or p62, suggesting that ubiquitin modification of bacteria is unchanged in the absence of RNF166 ligase activity (Figures 4E–4G). Both WT RNF166 and the ligase-dead mutant localized to Shigella ΔicsB equally at this time point (Figures S3G and S3H). We also investigated recruitment of these proteins to Shigella ΔicsB 4 hr post-infection and found that LC3, p62, and ubiquitin recruitment was significantly decreased in RNF166-null cells; furthermore, LC3 and p62 recruitment were also decreased in cells expressing the ligase-dead mutant RNF166 (Figures 4H–4J). Importantly, a significant decrease in the recruitment of the ligase-dead RNF166 to Shigella ΔicsB was observed 4 hr post-infection, suggesting differences in bacteria-host protein complex stability over time in the absence of RNF166 ligase activity (Figure 4K). No difference in the recruitment of NDP52 to Shigella ΔicsB was observed either 1 hr or 4 hr post-infection (Figures S3I and S3J). Additionally, the ligase-dead mutant RNF166 was sufficient to completely rescue defects in LC3, p62, and ubiquitin colocalization with Salmonella in RNF166-null cells (Figures S4A–S4C). These data are consistent with bacterial species-specific
requirements for RNF166 and the E3 ligase activity of RNF166 in antibacterial autophagy.

Finally, intracellular replication of *Shigella ∆icsB* was significantly increased in RNF166-null cells compared with the same cells rescued with wild-type RNF166 (Figure 4L). The ligase-dead RNF166 mutant was able to partially rescue the observed replication phenotype, suggesting that RNF166 may have important roles beyond ubiquitination. Taken together, these data demonstrate that RNF166 limits intracellular replication of *Shigella* and that the E3 ligase activity of RNF166 is important for this function.

**DISCUSSION**

Autophagy represents a fundamental host cell response to invasion by a variety of bacteria. The accumulation of a ubiquitin coat is a central component in the autophagic targeting of intracellular pathogens (Collins et al., 2009; Katsuragi et al., 2015; Khaminets et al., 2016). Recent studies have provided a global analysis of the ubiquitinome of *Salmonella*-infected cells, identifying numerous host and bacterial proteins that are ubiquitinated or deubiquitinated upon infection (Fiskin et al., 2016). Systematic approaches have also revealed key contributions of TRIM proteins to autophagy regulation and substrate recognition (Mandell et al., 2014). Other E3 ligases have also been shown to regulate non-selective autophagy (Liu et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). Our LC3 screen as well as our secondary screening of adaptor recruitment to *Salmonella* revealed that multiple E3 ligases are involved at various steps in antibacterial autophagy. This broad use of E3 ligases across multiple steps likely adds redundancy to the system, allowing for diverse pathogen targeting.

We identified 48 E3 ligases that control recruitment of LC3 to bacteria from a total of 617 putative E3 ligases. From this group, we identified a core group of 12 E3 ligases that alter the recruitment of LC3 to ubiquitin-associated cargos (Selleck et al., 2015). Other E3 ligases have also been shown to regulate ubiquitin to *Salmonella* (Kang et al., 2015; Thurston et al., 2009, 2012); however, the mechanisms of p62-mediated targeting of bacteria have been less clearly defined. Additionally, the proteins mediating ubiquitination of p62 are currently unknown. Here we show that RNF166 is necessary for targeting LC3, p62, and ubiquitin to *Salmonella, Listeria ∆actA*, and *Shigella ∆icsB* but that it limits the intracellular replication of only *Listeria ∆actA* and *Shigella ∆icsB*. This finding suggests that multiple E3 ligases can function in the innate targeting of the autophagy machinery to invading bacteria without necessarily inhibiting the pathogens’ ability to replicate. The most likely explanation for this observation is that different E3 ligases promote different ubiquitin chain linkages; for example, PARK2 decorates *M. tuberculosis* with K63-linked chains, whereas LRSAM1 modifies *Salmonella* with K6- and K27-linked ubiquitin chains, and these linkages promote specific downstream signaling (or degradation) pathways (Guo et al., 2017). Depending on the bacterial life cycle, specific ubiquitin linkages and downstream signaling events may be more relevant for elimination of some pathogens compared with others.

Our results demonstrate that RNF166 can bind p62 but not NDP52 or the related ATG8 family proteins LC3B and GABARAPL2. p62 is a multi-domain protein that includes an N-terminal PB1 domain, an LC3-interacting region motif mediating the interaction with ATG8 family proteins, and a C-terminal ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain that binds ubiquitin with low affinity (Johansen and Lamark, 2011; Long et al., 2008, 2010; Vadlamudi et al., 1996). The N-terminal PB1 domain mediates interaction with several other proteins as well as homo-oligomerization, which is important for autophagosome formation (Lamark et al., 2003). Furthermore, deletion of the PB1 domain or oligomerization-inhibiting mutations decreases the interaction with both LC3B and ubiquitin in pull-down assay s, suggesting that oligomerization may increase the interaction with these binding partners (Itakura and Mizushima, 2011). Notably, one of the lysines in p62 (K91) that is ubiquitinated by RNF166 lies within the PB1 domain, suggesting that ubiquitination of K91 may facilitate p62 oligomerization.

Intriguingly, we found that RNF166-mediated ubiquitination of p62 is composed of atypical K29- and K33-based polyubiquitin linkages. Little is known about these linkage types, and proteins generating and recognizing these chains in eukaryotic cells have remained elusive (Kimura et al., 2015; Michel et al., 2015). The E3 ligase KLHL20, which also scored in our primary screen for LC3 colocalization to bacteria, has been found to drive K33-mediated ubiquitination of corron 7, which is necessary for post-Golgi trafficking (Antonioli et al., 2014). In both endocytic and secretory pathways, ubiquitin modification of membrane proteins serves as a sorting signal for their delivery to specific destinations through interaction with a number of ubiquitin-binding adaptor...
proteins (Begun et al., 2015), suggesting that K29- and K33-based linkages may be broadly involved in intracellular cargo trafficking. Thus, we have identified K29- and K33-linked ubiquitination as a signal for selective autophagy. Further studies will help elucidate the role of RNF166 and these atypical ubiquitin linkages in p62-mediated antibacterial autophagy.

We found that loss of RNF166 was associated with decreases in the levels of p62, LC3, and ubiquitin around Salmonella, Listeria, and Shigella. Additionally, RNF166 ligase activity was required for RNF166 colocalization with Shigella at later time points but not for Salmonella colocalization. These findings are consistent with a model in which ubiquitinated p62 acts as a scaffold to recruit downstream adaptors to bacteria at early time points and then helps maintain a stable complex. However, the contribution of RNF166 to intracellular bacterial restriction is highly pathogen-dependent. Taken together, our data reveal that ubiquitination of p62 is a critical event in the targeting of multiple bacterial species to autophagy and pinpoint RNF166 as a previously uncharacterized mediator of these ubiquitination events.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Infection Assays

Bacterial infection assays were performed as described previously (Huett et al., 2009).

Immunofluorescence

Cells were washed once in PBS before being fixed and permeabilized with methanol at −20°C for 3 min followed by another PBS wash. Coverslips were stained with appropriate primary antibodies in PBS + 10% donkey serum for 1 hr at room temperature, washed three times with PBS, and then stained with appropriate secondary antibodies plus Hoechst 33342 in PBS with 10% serum for 1 hr. For screening purposes, 96-well glass-bottomed plates were imaged using an ImagXpress Micro XLS (Molecular Devices) at 40× magnification. For all other purposes, coverslips were imaged using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope.

In Vitro Ubiquitination

RNF166 (and RNF166 C33A, C36A) and p62 were expressed as His6-GST or His6-SUMO (small ubiquitin-like modifier) fusions, respectively, from a pET28-derived vector in Codon Plus RILPL. Bacteria were grown in terrific broth amended with 0.5% glucose, 2 mM MgSO4, 0.375% aspartic acid, 100 μg/mL kanamycin, and 34 μg/mL chloramphenicol at 37°C to optical density 600 (OD600) 1.0. The cultures were cooled on ice and then induced with 0.5 μM isopropl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16°C for ~18 hr. Cell pellets were stored at −80°C until purification. Cell pellets were thawed on ice and then lysed in buffer A (50 mM HEPES [pH 8], 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride [TCEP]) with 1× bug buster reagent, 1× lysosome, 25 mM imidazole (Im), and protease inhibitors (Roche). After removal of insoluble material by centrifugation, the supernatant was applied to a 5 mL His-Trap HP that was then washed with buffer A containing 50 mM Im and eluted with 250 mM Im. Eluates were further purified by size exclusion chromatography in 50 mM HEPES (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 1 mM TCEP. Desired fractions were concentrated, and the protein was aliquoted and frozen in liquid nitrogen (IN2).

In vitro ubiquitination reactions contained 50 nM GST-RNF166, 500 nM SUMO-p62, 50 mM HEPES, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 2.5 mM ATP, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 2.5 nM E1 (UBE1E1, Lifesensors), 200 nM E2 (UBE2D1, UBE2D2, UBE2D3, UBE2E2, and UBE2W from Lifesensors), and 5 μM HA-ubiquitin (Boston Biochem) at pH 7.5. Reactions had a final volume of 25 μL and were initiated by the addition of HA-ubiquitin. After 90 min at 37°C, reactions were quenched by the addition of an equal amount of 2× SDS loading buffer.

Generation of RNF166 Knockout Cells

The first and fifth exons of RNF166 were targeted in HeLa cells using the px330 plasmid CRISPR system as described previously (Ran et al., 2013). Briefly, 20-nucleotide guide sequences complementary to exons 1 and 5 of RNF166 were cloned into px330 as described. The Cas9 vector containing RNF166-specific single guide RNA (sgRNA) sequence was then used to transfect HeLa cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 48 hr post-transfection, cells were plated in limiting dilution in 96-well plates to isolate single clones. Knockout was verified by western blot.

Antibodies

The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-FLAG antibody clone M2 (Sigma-Aldrich); rabbit anti-LC3 clone A9G8C (Sigma-Aldrich); mouse anti-actin clone AC-15 (Sigma-Aldrich); rabbit anti-HA (Sigma-Aldrich); mouse anti-HA clone HA-7 (Sigma-Aldrich); mouse anti- myc (Covance); mouse anti-NPD52 (Abcam); rabbit anti-NPD52 (Abcam); rabbit anti-RNF166 (Abgent); guinea pig anti-p62 (American Research Products); Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse, Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rabbit, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse, Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-goat, and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-rabbit (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories); and FK2 anti-ubiquitin (Enzo Life Sciences).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software. For comparisons between two groups, an unpaired Student’s t test was used. For comparison of groups of three or more, a one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons was used.
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