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ABSTRACT
Supramolecular protein assemblies including molecular motors, cytoskeletal filaments, chaperones, and ribosomes play a central role in a broad array of cellular functions ranging from cell division and motility to RNA and protein synthesis and folding. Single-particle reconstructions of such assemblies have been growing rapidly in recent years, providing increasingly high resolution structural information under native conditions. While the static structure of these assemblies provides essential insight into their mechanism of biological function, their dynamical motions provide additional important information that cannot be inferred from structure alone. Here we present an unsupervised computational framework for the analysis of high molecular weight assemblies and use it to analyze the conformational dynamics of structures deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank. Protein assemblies are modeled using a recently introduced coarse-grained modeling framework based on the finite element method, which is used to compute equilibrium thermal fluctuations, elastic strain energy distributions associated with specific conformational transitions, and dynamical correlations in distant molecular domains. Results are presented in detail for the ribosome-bound termination factor RF2 from Escherichia coli, the nuclear pore complex from Dictyostelium discoideum, and the chaperonin GroEL from E. coli. Elastic strain energy distributions reveal hinge-regions associated with specific conformational change pathways, and correlations in collective molecular motions reveal dynamical coupling between distant molecular domains that suggest new, as well as confirm existing, allosteric mechanisms. Results are publically available for use in further investigation and interpretation of biological function including cooperative transitions, allosteric communication, and molecular mechanics, as well as in further classification and refinement of electron microscopy based structures.
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INTRODUCTION

Single-particle reconstructions of supramolecular protein assemblies deposited in the publically accessible Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB, http://www.emdatabank.org/) have been growing rapidly in recent years, representing a total of approximately 250 distinct structures in 2009 [1, 2]. The EMDB covers a range of supramolecular assemblies including viruses as the dominant class, and RNA binding proteins and protein kinases as major subclasses (Figure 1). Recent growth of the EMDB parallels early growth of the Protein Data Bank (PDB), which has developed to include tens of thousands of protein crystal structures since its inception in 1971 [3, 4]. While the static structure of proteins provides invaluable insight into their biological function, their conformational dynamics often play an additional important role in understanding their function mechanistically [5-7].

Normal mode analysis (NMA) has proven to be an effective computational approach to investigate biologically relevant collective motions about a representative ground-state structure, or ensemble thereof [8]. The primary advantage of NMA over molecular or Brownian dynamics is its relative computational efficiency, which is a result of the harmonic approximation of atomic motions about the ground-state conformation, as well as the neglect of explicit solvent degrees of freedom. Computational efficiency is further enhanced in NMA by using coarse-grained modeling approaches that reduce the number of protein degrees of freedom, which has been essential to facilitating the analysis of high molecular weight supramolecular assemblies. Popular approaches include the Rotational Translational Blocks (RTB) procedure [9], which requires atomic coordinates for the underlying protein structure, the Gaussian [10-13] and Elastic Network Model (ENM) [14], the Rigid-Cluster Model [15-17], and more recently the Finite Element Method (FEM) [18]. The FEM is a well established numerical procedure with solid
theoretical foundations that has been developed over several decades to be applied to a broad range of continuum and molecular-level dynamical phenomena [19-21]. The FEM provides a natural framework for the computation of conformational dynamics and mechanics of high molecular weight proteins and their assemblies based on EM reconstructions because the model is defined using a closed molecular surface, which is naturally provided by single-particle EM reconstructions. In the FE framework employed here, proteins are modeled as homogeneous isotropic elastic bodies characterized by a mean mass density and elastic stiffness, which has been shown to reproduce quantitatively atomic-level protein fluctuations and correlations computed using all-atom NMA [18]. Accurate prediction of atomic motions using the FEM is attributed to its preservation of detailed molecular shape, even for high molecular weight protein assemblies.

While several data banks and servers [22-27] exist to disseminate publically the conformational dynamics of protein structures deposited in the PDB, similar data banks do not exist at present for the EMDB. Such a data bank would support both further computational analyses to gain insight into the biological function of high molecular weight protein assemblies lacking atomic structure, as well as potentially serve as a basis set for classification in single-particle reconstruction [28]. Toward this end, here we establish an unsupervised computational framework to analyze the conformational dynamics of structures deposited in the EMDB and store them in a publically accessible online data bank*. In this framework, the molecular surface of EMDB entries are computed and validated for computation of normal modes using the FEM [18]. Normal modes may be used to calculate conformational properties including root-mean-square fluctuations of the molecules in thermal equilibrium, elastic strain energy densities.

*Results are available at http://www.cdyn.org
corresponding to biologically relevant conformational changes, and correlations in collective
dynamical motions that may relate to cooperative or allosteric mechanisms. Individual normal
mode shapes and frequencies are provided together with the molecular models used to perform
the analyses, which may be used in further FE-based analyses of dynamical and mechanical
response (Figure 2). Results for the ribosome-bound termination factor RF2 from *Escherichia
coli*, the nuclear pore complex from *Dictyostelium discoideum*, and the bacterial chaperonin
GroEL from *E. coli* are presented in detail here to illustrate the utility of the foregoing results.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Structures in the EMDB are analyzed using an automated procedure that consists of
several distinct computational steps (Figure 3A): (1) retrieval of the EM density map; (2)
molecular surface computation and discretization; (3) discretized molecular surface evaluation
and repair; (4) FE model generation and normal mode analysis; and (5) results processing. The
EMDB is monitored regularly to determine when new structures suitable for conformational
dynamics analysis are deposited. To date, the preceding analysis approach has been applied to
681 EMDB entries with 453 entries solved successfully. The remaining entries are excluded
from the analysis because 55 entries are on hold by the EMDB, 31 entries are tomograms, 10
entries do not provide contour levels or molecular weights from which to determine the
molecular surface, 87 entries consist of disconnected multiple bodies, and 45 entries had surface
meshes that could not be repaired using the current approach (Figure 3B and Table S1). Proteins
are classified according to their biological function by title and sample name key words provided
by the EMDB (Figure 1).
Molecular surface computation and discretization

For computation of the molecular surface in step 2, the suggested contour level provided in the EMDB is used unless no such contour level is provided. In this case, the molecular weight is used instead (four entries), where the contour level corresponding to the given molecular volume assuming a protein mass density of 1.35 g/cm\(^3\) is employed [29]. If neither the contour level nor the molecular weight of the complex is provided, the EMDB entry is classified as “molecular surface indeterminable” and no analysis is performed (ten entries, Table S1). An alternative to using a simple molecular-volume-based contour level is to use one of the surface determination algorithms employed previously in NM studies of EM maps [30-33]. Additionally, in several cases structures consisting of disconnected multiple bodies are obtained using the suggested contour level, in which case the entry is flagged as having “disconnected multiple bodies” and no analysis is performed (87 entries, Table S1). Several examples of such maps are presented in Supplementary Material (Figure S1). Discretization of the molecular surface is performed using the marching cubes algorithm [34] implemented in Chimera [35]. The triangulated surface is subsequently exported in OBJ format, a geometry definition file format originally developed by Wavefront Technologies, Inc., Santa Barbara, CA.

In general, the triangulated molecular surface generated using Chimera contains small isolated fragments where an “isolated fragment” is defined to be a closed surface that consists of fewer than 10% of the number of triangular faces that forms the largest structure in the map. It additionally often contains intersecting, overlapping, degenerate, and/or non-manifold surface triangles. Because the FEM requires unique, closed surfaces for the generation of a volumetric mesh, surface mesh repair is required in step 3 prior to performing FE-based NMA (Figure S2).
Surface mesh filters available in Meshlab [36] are used for this purpose. Meshlab reads the OBJ file format exported from Chimera and exports the filtered molecular surface in STL file format, which is native to the stereolithography CAD software created by 3D Systems, Inc., Rock Hill, SC. The resulting STL file from Meshlab is imported to the commercially available Finite Element Analysis program ADINA (ADINA R&D, Inc., Watertown, MA), which is used to generate the 3D FE volume mesh consisting of 4-node tetrahedral finite elements [37]. If mesh repair is impossible using Meshlab, then the EMDB entry is classified as “failed in molecular surface repair” and no further analysis is performed (45 entries, Table S1).

The mesh filtering and repair scheme employs several filters available in Meshlab. The original surface mesh obtained from Chimera is first processed using basic filters with default parameters that remove duplicate faces, unreferenced vertices, zero-area faces, self-intersecting faces, isolated fragments, and non-manifold faces. Default parameters are additionally used to close holes that are in the original surface mesh and are created by removing defective faces. In cases where closing holes keep re-introducing problems with the surface, the surface mesh is refined successively, smoothened, and coarsened, where “Midpoint subdivision” [38], “Laplacian smooth” [39] and “Quadratic edge collapse decimation” [40] are employed for these procedures, respectively. The surface mesh is processed again using basic filters to remove defective faces that may be re-introduced during the mesh coarsening.

**Finite element model generation and normal mode analysis**

In step 3, the FEM is used to calculate normal modes based on the three-dimensional volume mesh. While twenty normal modes are chosen for the initial database because they generally describe approximately 60–90% of the total magnitude of equilibrium thermal
fluctuations (Figure S3), the database is currently being expanded to include results from 100 normal modes. Additional normal modes may easily be calculated using the provided FE model when desired, in addition to FE-based simulation of mechanical response properties such as viral capsids [41, 42]. Proteins are modeled as homogeneous linear isotropic materials characterized by three independent effective material parameters: the Young’s modulus \( (E) \), the mass density \( (\rho) \), and Poisson’s ratio \( (\nu) \), where proteins are assumed to have mass density 1.35 g/cm\(^3\) and Poisson’s ratio 0.3 [43], which is typical of crystalline solids. While the effective Young’s modulus is generally unknown for proteins, it can be obtained by fitting thermal fluctuations of \( \alpha \)-carbon atoms in the FE model to those obtained using either the all-atom normal mode analysis or the RTB procedure when atomic coordinates are available, which generally ranges from two to five GPa [18, 44]. Because most structures in the EMDB lack atomic coordinates, normal mode amplitudes and dependent properties are computed using a Young’s modulus of 2 GPa, representing an approximate lower bound on protein stiffness, and correspondingly an upper bound on molecular RMSFs [18]. The precise value of the Young’s modulus affects linearly the magnitude of thermal fluctuations, and therefore all results presented may be scaled linearly to calculate their value corresponding to higher or lower Young’s moduli.

The subspace iteration procedure [44, 45] is used to solve the eigenvalue problem using \( 2N_m \) starting iteration vectors, where \( N_m \) denotes the number of eigenmodes to be calculated. Analyses are performed on a 2.0 GHz Intel Xeon E5405 processor with 8 GB RAM. The computed number of rigid body modes is compared with the number of isolated molecular volumes calculated in the surface discretization step by successively removing the largest components from the molecular surface until no component remains and counting the number of those steps, where each isolated fragment has six rigid body modes.
Root-mean-square fluctuations and elastic strain energy densities

RMSFs in molecular motions are computed using the equipartition theorem of statistical thermodynamics [46], which requires that the equilibrium mean elastic strain energy associated with each normal mode equals \( \frac{1}{2} k_B T \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is temperature, assumed to be 298 K. The equilibrium mean elastic strain energy associated with each mode \( k \) is given by \( \langle \nu_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_k \cdot x_k)^T K (\alpha_k \cdot x_k) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 \lambda_k = \frac{1}{2} k_B T \) where \( x_k \) denotes the mass normalized eigenvector satisfying \( x_k^T M x_k = 1 \) with the mass matrix \( M \), \( \alpha_k \) is its equilibrium amplitude, \( K \) is the stiffness matrix and \( \lambda_k \) is the eigenvalue associated with mode \( k \). The RMSF for FE node \( i \) is calculated using \( \left\langle \Delta r_i^2 \right\rangle^{1/2} = \left( \sum_k \left\langle \Delta r_{ik}^2 \right\rangle \right)^{1/2} = \left( \sum_k \alpha_k^2 x_{ki}^2 \right)^{1/2} \) where \( x_{ki} \) is the displacement vector of node \( i \) due to mode \( k \).

Elastic strain energy densities corresponding to each mode are also computed at FE nodes. The elastic strain energy density corresponding to mode \( k \) is defined as \( v_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij}^k \varepsilon_{ij}^k \) where \( \varepsilon_{ij}^k \) and \( \sigma_{ij}^k \) denote the components of the strain and stress tensor for mode \( k \), respectively. The strain energy is first evaluated for each element and then averaged at each FE node because strains are discontinuous at element boundaries. Residues with high elastic strain energy density associated with experimentally observed conformational changes are structurally important to the stability of corresponding functional motions. It has been shown for some polymerases that those residues, termed hot spots by Zheng et al. [47], are also conserved evolutionarily, where hot spots are identified using the structural perturbation method, which measures for each residue the sensitivity of the total elastic energy corresponding to a specific mode that
maximally overlaps with the conformational change to the perturbation in the stiffness of springs connected to the residue using the ENM [47]. The elastic strain energy density is physically correlated to the structural perturbation method because the perturbation in the stiffness of a residue with high elastic strain energy density will yield high perturbation to the total elastic energy as well (Figure S4). The similarity between the modes and the conformational change is measured by the overlap coefficient defined as $C_k = |r_d \cdot x_k| / \|r_d\| |x_k|$ where $x_k$ is the displacement vector of mode $k$ and $r_d$ is the difference vector between two conformations.

When atomic structures of two or multiple conformations are available, the elastic strain energy densities corresponding to the conformational change are calculated by displacing FE nodes of the open conformer to their corresponding positions in the closed state. The displacements of FE nodes are obtained by superposing the lowest 100 normal modes with appropriate weights. The weight of each normal mode is computed using $W_k = \left( r_d^{C\alpha} \cdot x_k^{C\alpha} \right) / \|x_k^{C\alpha}\|$ where $r_d^{C\alpha}$ is the difference vector between $\alpha$-carbon positions of the conformers and $x_k^{C\alpha}$ is the displacement vector at $\alpha$-carbon positions due to mode $k$ calculated by a linear projection from FE nodes [44] (Figure S5).

All FE nodal results are stored in ASCII format including eigenvectors, their magnitudes, corresponding elastic strain energy densities for each normal mode, and total RMSF amplitudes. Results at FE nodes are also interpolated to each voxel of the original density map and stored in the MRC density map format so that both the original density map and result maps may be viewed simultaneously using, for example, Chimera (Figure S6). In addition, initial and deformed molecular surfaces for each mode are stored in STL file format for use in programs such as Maya (Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, CA). Distributions of modal magnitudes and strain
energy densities on the initial molecular surface are stored in PLY file format, known as the Polygon File Format or the Stanford Triangle Format, where relative values are used as colors of vertices comprising the discretized molecular surface. Molecular animations in high (640×480 pixels) and low (320×240 pixels) resolutions are provided for four sub-frames in orthogonal views: ISO-3D, XY-plane, XZ-plane and YZ-plane to illustrate the dynamical motions associated with each mode.

**Correlations in molecular motions**

Linearized Mutual Information (LMI) is used to calculate correlations in molecular motions [48, 49]. The Mutual Information (MI) in atomic displacements is defined as

$$ I[\Delta r_i, \Delta r_j, \cdots, \Delta r_N] = \int p(\Delta r) \ln \frac{p(\Delta r)}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} p_i(\Delta r_i)} d\Delta r $$

where \( \Delta r_i \) denotes the positional fluctuation vector of node \( i \), and \( p_i(\Delta r_i) \) and \( p(\Delta r) \) are their marginal and joint probability distributions, respectively. The LMI can be written in terms of equilibrium conformational properties as

$$ I_{lin}[\Delta r_i, \Delta r_j] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \ln \det C_{(i)} + \ln \det C_{(j)} - \ln \det C_{(ij)} \right) $$

where \( C_{(i)} = \langle \Delta r_i^T \Delta r_i \rangle \) and \( C_{(ij)} = \langle \Delta r_i^T \Delta r_j \rangle \). The generalized correlation coefficient,

$$ r_{LMI}[\Delta r_i, \Delta r_j] = \left( 1 - \exp \left( -2I_{lin}[\Delta r_i, \Delta r_j]/d \right) \right)^{1/2}, $$

is employed, where \( d \) is dimensionality (\( d = 1,2,3 \)) [48]. Accordingly, LMI components are computed in the standard way using the normal modes and

$$ \langle \Delta r_i^T \Delta r_j \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{nm} \left( \alpha_k x_{ik} \right)^T \left( \alpha_k x_{jk} \right) $$

where \( nm \) is the number of normal modes, taken here to be 100 by default which is generally sufficient to obtain converged correlation coefficients (Figure S7, Figure S8, and Figure S9), and \( x_{ik} \) is the displacement vector of node \( i \) due to mode \( k \).
The MI metric is employed due to its higher sensitivity in detecting correlations in molecular motions than the more commonly used Pearson correlation coefficient, which does not account for non-collinear correlated motions [48, 51]. In general, correlation coefficients are computed between FE nodes because EM-based structures typically lack atomic coordinates, although correlations in molecular motions may easily be computed at alpha carbon positions, for example, if a reference atomic structure is known using a linear projection from FE nodes (Figure S5).

To identify molecular regions that are highly correlated in their dynamical motion, hierarchical clustering is performed using $1 - r_{MI}$ as a distance metric and by defining the inter-cluster distance as the mean pair-wise distance between all elements (FE nodes) in any two clusters. In general, the density of FE nodes is uniform in the molecule and clusters identified are considerably larger than the inter-node spacing. While this process naturally forms clusters of nodes with respect to their magnitude of MI, in most cases clusters with high MI consist of nodes that are spatially near one another because direct geometric/packing interactions introduce highly correlated motions that are trivial and not of interest. Instead, we seek to identify clusters that are both highly correlated and spatially distant, which could not be identified from molecular structure or geometry alone. To achieve this, $N$ clusters are formed by choosing the number of clusters ($N$) at the knee point of a clustering performance curve that shows compactness (intra-cluster variance) and separation (inter-cluster partitioning) of resulting clusters as a function of the number of clusters [52]. Compactness is computed as the mean cluster size, where cluster size is defined as the mean pair-wise distance between all elements (FE nodes) in the cluster. Separation is defined as the mean inter-cluster distance, where the distance between clusters is the same as that used in hierarchical clustering. Spatially distant cluster pairs are determined
using the criterion $D_{ij} \geq C_{\text{dist}} \times \left( R_{g,i} + R_{g,j} \right)$, where $D_{ij}$ is the distance between the mean positions of clusters $i$ and $j$, $R_{g,i} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_i} \sum_{k=1}^{L_i} d_{ik}^2}$ is the radius of gyration of cluster $i$, which is the root-mean-squared distance ($d_{ik}$) between FE node $k$ and the mean position of cluster $i$ over the number of nodes in cluster $i$ ($L_i$), and $C_{\text{dist}}$ is an empirical parameter that is used to define “distant,” which is taken here to be 1.2. In general, $D_{ij} < R_{g,i} + R_{g,j}$ means that clusters are physically overlapping whereas $D_{ij} > R_{g,i} + R_{g,j}$ means that they are non-overlapping. Distant cluster pairs with the highest mean correlation are selected for evaluation.

This approach is tested for T4 lysozyme (PDB ID 3LZM) and adenylate kinase (PDB ID 4AKE, open conformer). Residue clusters obtained for T4 lysozyme correspond to residues correlated due to hinge-bending [18, 48] and those for adenylate kinase are active residues in the conformational change from its open (PDB ID 4AKE) to its closed states (PDB ID 1AKE) [44, 53] (Figure S10 and Figure S11). Note that the generalized correlation coefficients from LMI generally give more information compared with the Pearson correlation metric (Figure S12).

**RESULTS**

**Ribosome-bound termination factor RF2**

Class I release factors (RFs), RF1 and RF2 in prokaryotes, or eRF1 in eukaryotes, recognize mRNA stop codons via tripeptide motifs that result in termination of protein synthesis by the ribosome via peptidyl-tRNA hydrolysis and subsequent peptide chain release [54]. The cryo-EM structure of the ribosome-bound *E. coli* RF2 (EMDB ID 1010) consists of four domains in its native open, tri-lobed conformation (Figure 4A), which is in contrast to the closed
conformation that it exhibits in its unbound crystal structure [55-58]. The conserved GGQ amino-acid motif that is essential to peptidyl-tRNA hydrolysis is located at the distal end of domain 3 [59, 60], whereas the SPF loop that is essential to stop codon recognition is located in domains 2 and 4, which form a compact super-domain [54]. Overall, NMA reveals that domain 3 exhibits the highest conformational flexibility relative to the remainder of the molecule, as measured by root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSFs) (Figure 2). This is in contrast with domains 2 and 4, as well as the central region of domain 1, which exhibit relatively low flexibility (Figure 2).

Residues that are structurally important to the stability of specific functional motions are associated with regions of high elastic strain energy, termed hot spots by Zheng et al. [47]. The biological relevance of such residues has been established in several cases using sequence analysis [47], which shows that they are conserved evolutionarily. Regions of highest elastic strain energy associated with the open-to-closed transition of RF2 are predominantly at the interface between domains 3 and the super-domain 2 & 4, as well as secondarily in the neck region of domain 1 (Figure 2). Both of these regions act as hinges in the open-to-closed transition (Figure S13). Sequence analysis would be of interest to test whether residues in these regions are under evolutionary pressure to be conserved.

Analysis of the correlations in RF2 collective motions identifies five distinct molecular regions with domain 3 and the proximal region of domain 1 exhibiting the highest mean correlation despite their large spatial separation (Figure 4). The mean correlation of these regions corresponds to the 88th percentile of correlation coefficients in the molecule. While this result is unaffected by increasing the number of normal modes employed from 100 to 400 (Figure S14), molecular dynamics simulations would be of interest to test potential effects of anharmonic
dynamics on this correlation analysis [48]. The fact that these domains correspond to regions of the molecule that undergo a significant conformational change between its open and closed conformers [55] suggests that this correlation analysis might be useful to identify molecular domains involved in conformational transitions. Further analyses to test this hypothesis are beyond the scope of the present work.

**Nuclear pore complex**

Nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) are high molecular weight (50 to 120 MDa from yeast to vertebrates) supramolecular assemblies that confer eukaryotes the ability to transport selectively macromolecules between the nucleus and cytoplasm [61-63]. NPCs are highly conserved from yeast to humans, consisting of approximately 30 different nucleoporin proteins that form a central pore of approximately 30 to 60 nm through which small molecules (< 40 kDa) may diffuse freely, but larger molecules may only be transported with the assistance of karyopherin (Kap) receptor proteins [64, 65]. In order to transport cargo selectively, Kaps interact with the phenylalanine-glycine-rich domain repeats present within the channel of the NPC, which are thought to act either as an entropic or a physical barrier, with a detailed molecular mechanism that remains unclear [66-72]. Beyond their role in transport, NPCs are recently implicated in playing important additional roles in nuclear organization and gene regulation [73].

Cryo-electron tomography (Cryo-ET) applied to the NPC from *D. discoideum* nuclei (EMDB ID 1097, Figure 5A) reveals eight-fold symmetry of the complex that is composed of a 125 nm diameter central framework consisting of cytoplasmic, luminal spoke, and nuclear rings that form a central pore of 60 nm [74]. The nuclear basket consists of a distal ring plus nuclear
filaments and eight cytoplasmic filaments, each approximately 35 nm in length, are seen clearly to be oriented towards the central plug/transporter (CP/T) in the reconstruction [74].

NMA of this NPC reveals that the cytoplasmic filaments are conformationally most flexible relative to the rest of the molecule (Figure 2 and Figure S15). The nuclear basket also exhibits conformational mobility relative to the nearly rigid central framework, which may be attributed to the porous structure of the former compared with the solid hub-like structure of the latter.

Analysis of the correlations in molecular motions of the NPC demonstrates that the large amplitude fluctuations of the cytoplasmic filaments are not correlated with one another: the mean inter-cluster correlation between cytoplasmic filaments is 0.13, which corresponds to the 5th percentile of correlation coefficients for all nodal pairs in the molecule (Figure 5B). This is in contrast to the nuclear basket and central framework, in which nodal pairs have relatively high mean correlation coefficients of 0.67 (90th percentile) and 0.41 (75th percentile), respectively, exhibiting structural integrity that is manifest in their individually highly correlated collective motions. The lack of correlation in the collective motions of the cytoplasmic filaments might explain the inability of single-particle reconstructions to reach high resolution in the absence of interacting cargo molecules, which tend to stabilize the filaments in specific conformations [74, 75].

Bending transverse to the plane of the nuclear envelope, elliptical distortion, and homogeneous dilatational motions dominate the lowest normal modes of the central framework (Figure 5C and Figure S16), thereby representing the most significant large-scale, collective motions of the complex as measured by their relative contributions to total RMSFs (Figure S15). Regions of elevated elastic strain energy corresponding to elliptical distortion that has been
observed experimentally using cryo-ET [76] include the inner region of the cytoplasmic ring (Figure 2), suggesting that this region might be important to the structural stability of this motion. Other regions of elevated elastic strain energy include the roots of cytoplasmic filaments, the boundaries between the central framework and the nuclear basket, and the luminal spoke ring, which acts as a plane of transverse pseudo-symmetry for the hub-like central framework. Homogeneous dilatation of the central pore, which has also been observed to occur experimentally in response to the presence of calcium ions [77], ATP [78], and steroids [79-81], first appears at the considerably higher mode number 27, whose frequency is approximately twice that of the elliptical normal modes. This significant difference in harmonic frequency suggests that this latter mode of deformation is considerably less favorable mechanically. Thus, either small-molecule-induced structural transitions should occur to render homogeneous dilation energetically on par with elliptical distortion, or this difference operates to separate energetically these functional motions, with potential implications on selective transport of macromolecular cargo.

The lowest normal modes reported here for the cryo-ET-based NPC structure from *D. discoideum* are similar to the lowest normal modes reported for the yeast and *Xenopus* oocyte NPCs, which were analyzed using the ENM [82] and a FE representation [83], respectively, using simplified models based on idealized geometries. Notwithstanding, the similarity of the lowest normal modes reported across these studies indicates that the collective motions of the NPC central framework are robust to significant variations in overall structure as long as the hub-like structure of the central framework is preserved.
**GroEL**

GroEL is an extensively studied bacterial chaperonin that assists polypeptide chains in folding to form their native structure [84-89]. The assembly consists of two co-axial rings that are each composed of seven identical subunits of 60 kDa each. Each subunit consists of three domains: an equatorial domain that contains an ATP binding site and connects the coaxial rings, an apical domain that contains the co-chaperonin GroES binding site, and an intermediate domain that connects the equatorial and apical domains (Figure 6A).

NMA of GroEL (EMDB ID 1080) [90] reveals that the apical subunit domain, which exhibits significant conformational polymorphism between the bound and unbound states of GroES, also exhibits the highest conformational flexibility in the molecule (Figure 2). The elastic strain energy associated with the functional transition from the native T-state to the ATP-bound R-state (for the upper ring) is elevated at the interfaces of the different subunits, the upper and lower rings, and the equatorial and intermediate domains within the same subunit (Figure 2), suggesting that residues at these interfaces may play important roles in the structural stability of this functional motion.

Analysis of the correlations in the dynamical motions of GroEL identifies 28 molecular regions that are highly correlated in the collective motions of the complex (Figure 6B): each structural subunit consists of two clusters, one that contains the equatorial domain and another that contains the intermediate and apical domains†. Nearest-neighbor clusters in different subunits within the same ring (Figure 6C, i) exhibit maximally correlated dynamics amongst all nearest-neighbor clusters in the molecule (horizontal shaded region in Figure 6C), whereas other

---

† Correlation analysis performed using the alpha carbon positions of the reference atomic structure (PDB ID 1OEL) yields identical results to correlation analysis performed using FE nodes.
nearest-neighbor clusters are relatively less correlated (Figure 6C, ii). These high inter-subunit correlations may be important for the cooperative ATP binding and hydrolysis reactions that occur in seven subunits within each ring with the heptameric symmetry of GroEL being maintained [84, 86, 89]. In contrast, all non-neighboring cluster pairs exhibit relatively low correlations. The same correlation analysis results are consistently obtained for other GroEL models based on the molecular surfaces at different resolutions (Figure S17).

CONCLUSIONS

An unsupervised approach to computing the conformational dynamics of supramolecular protein assemblies based on single-particle cryo-EM based reconstructions is proposed. Conformational flexibility, spatial distributions of elastic strain energy, and correlation analysis highlight molecular regions that are important to functional motions, elucidated here for RF2, the NPC, and GroEL. A novel, objective approach to identifying highly correlated molecular domains identifies domains that are known to be functionally involved in cooperative or allosteric transitions, as well conformational change pathways. This suggests that the foregoing procedure might prove useful in the discovery of such domains that are not known \textit{a priori} and that cannot be deduced from structure alone. Application of this procedure to large classes of molecules with known allosteric or functional coupling is needed to test this hypothesis.

While the present procedure is applied to single-particle reconstructions in the EMDB, the approach is generally applicable to atom-based structures in the PDB [18] and VIPERdb [91] as well, for related functional analyses to those presented here. Analysis of the conformational flexibility, elastic strain energy density, and correlations in dynamical motions of the ribosome,
viral capsid, and bacterial flagellar motor shown in Figure 2 may be the subject of future work. Together with the remaining several hundred structures analyzed, these results should prove broadly useful to understanding the molecular-basis of the biological function of supramolecular protein assemblies [92].
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Classification of EMDB entries according to biological function. Numbers in parentheses denote successfully analyzed structures deposited at http://www.cdyn.org.

Figure 2 Representative results of conformational dynamics analysis. Discretized molecular surface, equilibrium RMSF at room temperature (298 K), and elastic strain energy densities for ribosome-bound termination factor RF2 from *E. coli* (EMDB ID 1010); nuclear pore complex from *D. discoideum* (EMDB ID 1097); GroEL from *E. coli* (EMDB ID 1080); 70S ribosome from *E. coli* in the pretranslocation state (EMDB ID 1554); human hepatitis B viral capsid (EMDB ID 1402); and connector of bacteriophage T7 (EMDB ID 1231). Elastic strain energy densities correspond for RF2 to its open-to-closed transition (PDB ID 1MI6 and 1GQE) represented using the lowest 100 normal modes, for GroEL to the functional transition from the native T-state (PDB ID 1OEL) to the ATP-bound R-state prior to co-chaperonin GroES binding (PDB ID 2C7E) represented by the lowest 100 normal modes, for the NPC to normal mode 3 that represents its experimentally observed elliptical distortion [76], and for the remaining structures to their lowest normal modes. RMSFs and elastic strain energy densities are normalized to range from zero to one, where blue and red denote low and high relative values, respectively.

Figure 3 Automatic procedure for conformational dynamics analysis. (A) Flowchart of the procedure consisting of map retrieval from the EMDB, surface discretization and repair, finite element mesh generation, normal mode analysis, and results processing. (B) Decomposition of the EMDB into solved (453) and remaining unsolved (228) entries.

Figure 4 Ribosome-bound termination factor RF2. (A) Structure of *E. coli* RF2 in the open conformation (EMDB ID 1010) [55]. (B) Generalized inter-nodal correlations and hierarchical clustering dendrogram, clustering performance curve, and identified regions with highly correlated molecular motions. Regions g1 and g2 of the molecule remain in a single cluster in neighboring clustering partitions consisting of 3, 4, or 6 clusters. (C) The cluster (g1-g2) with highest correlation is composed of two spatially distant structural regions: domain 3 (g1) and the proximal region of domain 1 (g2). Scatter plots of (far left) pair-wise spatial distance versus generalized correlation for (top) all nodal pairs in the molecule and (bottom) only nodal pairs in g1 & g2 show that (middle) nodal pairs in g1 & g2 constitute amongst the most highly correlated regions in the molecule. (Far right) Scatter plot of the mean generalized correlation versus spatial cluster separation of the five clusters identified in (B). See text for details.

Figure 5 Nuclear pore complex. (A) Structure of the NPC from *D. discoideum* (EMDB ID 1097) [74]. (B) Generalized inter-nodal correlations and hierarchical clustering dendrogram, clustering performance curve, and identified regions with highly correlated molecular motions. (C) Normal modes corresponding to elliptical distortion (mode 3 and 4) and homogeneous dilatation of the central pore (mode 27), which are implicated in NPC transport.

Figure 6 GroEL. (A) Structure of the bacterial chaperonin GroEL (EMDB ID 1080 & PDB ID 1OEL) [90]. (B) Generalized inter-residue correlations and hierarchical clustering dendrogram, clustering performance curve, and identified regions with highly correlated molecular motions. (C) The generalized correlations and separation of clusters identified in (B) indicate that adjacent
subunits in the same ring are more highly correlated than adjacent subunits in distinct rings, and that all adjacent subunits are considerably more correlated than other (nonadjacent) subunits in the collective motions of the complex.
<table>
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<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RF2</th>
<th>Nuclear pore complex</th>
<th>GroEL</th>
<th>Ribosome</th>
<th>Hepatitis B viral capsid</th>
<th>Connector of bacteriophage T7</th>
</tr>
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. EMDB entries excluded from NMA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of entries</th>
<th>EMDB ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular surface indeterminable¹</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1087, 1151, 1233, 1259, 1533, 1596, 1599, 1601, 1609, 5037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disconnected multiple bodies²</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1015, 1018, 1021, 1025, 1036, 1042, 1052, 1061, 1073, 1079, 1088, 1101, 1106, 1111, 1112, 1118, 1123, 1134, 1137, 1145, 1165, 1176, 1177, 1203, 1207, 1221, 1226, 1229, 1234, 1236, 1237, 1238, 1244, 1254, 1256, 1267, 1268, 1299, 1314, 1320, 1331, 1333, 1334, 1335, 1340, 1341, 1343, 1353, 1374, 1375, 1377, 1379, 1383, 1385, 1387, 1389, 1401, 1415, 1425, 1427, 1428, 1431, 1437, 1442, 1443, 1447, 1458, 1462, 1469, 1471, 1529, 1531, 1532, 1557, 1579, 1580, 1582, 1591, 1617, 5003, 5010, 5012, 5021, 5022, 5023, 5030, 5038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed in molecular surface repair³</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1016, 1026, 1060, 1075, 1083, 1113, 1115, 1130, 1133, 1152, 1164, 1179, 1181, 1201, 1206, 1235, 1239, 1264, 1265, 1285, 1309, 1316, 1321, 1354, 1371, 1381, 1392, 1412, 1420, 1441, 1444, 1461, 1480, 1489, 1490, 1503, 1509, 1511, 1544, 1549, 1552, 1581, 1593, 5001, 5038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Molecular surface indeterminable: Neither the contour level nor the molecular weight is provided.
²Disconnected multiple bodies: Structure consists of disconnected multiple bodies when the suggested contour level is used (Figure S1).
³Failed in molecular surface repair: The automatic procedure fails to repair the molecular surface. Molecular surfaces may be repaired by applying filters manually or in a supervised manner, neither of which is pursued in the present work.
Figure S1 Examples of EMDB entries classified as disconnected multiple bodies that are excluded from NMA. Colors highlight all or parts of disconnected fragments. (A) Microtubule (EMDB ID 1428). (B) ATPases (EMDB ID 5104). (C) Viral capsid (EMDB ID 1111). (D) GroEL (EMDB ID 1458). (E) Ribosome (EMDB ID 1073). (F) Acrosomal actin bundle (EMDB ID 1088). (G) Inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor (EMDB ID 1061). (H) Tail of bacteriophage K1-5 (EMDB ID 1335). (I) Anaphase promoting complex (EMDB ID 1591).

Figure S2 Discretized molecular surfaces. Molecular surfaces for kinesin dimers bound to a microtubule (EMDB ID 1030) generated by Chimera at the suggested contour level (A) prior to surface mesh repair and (B) after surface mesh repair using Meshlab. Molecular surfaces for GroEL (EMDB ID 1081) (C) prior to surface mesh repair and (D) after surface mesh repair. Isolated fragments and intersecting triangles are highlighted in red in (A) and (C), respectively.

Figure S3 Convergence of RMSFs. Mean relative RMSFs as a function of the number of modes used for RMSF calculations are shown for RF2 (cyan), NPC (blue), and GroEL (red). RMSFs computed using 400 normal modes is chosen as the reference. The dashed line corresponds to 20 normal modes.

Figure S4 Elastic strain energy densities corresponding to the normal mode that maximally overlaps with the conformational change. (A) Taq DNA polymerase I; and (B) bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase I. Numbers 1–8 denote residues with high elastic strain energy densities. Results are similar to those obtained using the structural perturbation method [47].

Figure S5 Linear projection of normal modes at FE nodes onto alpha carbon positions. Normal modes at alpha carbon positions can be computed by linear projection of normal modes computed at FE nodes. First, local coordinates of an alpha carbon atom inside a tetrahedral element are computed by solving a set of linear equations with given global coordinates of the FE nodes and the alpha carbon atom. Then, components of each normal mode at the alpha carbon position are computed by interpolating normal modes at FE nodes in the same way. Positions and eigenvector components in the global coordinate system are denoted by (x, y, z) and (u, v, w), respectively, and positions in the local coordinate system are denoted by (r, s, t). Subscripts indicate either local FE node numbers or an alpha carbon atom.

Figure S6 Sample EM-NMDB structures. Mobile regions of the lowest normal mode are highlighted in red whose mode magnitudes are larger than the 80th percentile. Figures are created using Chimera by importing the original density map (transparent) and the lowest mode magnitude map (red) together. (A) Kinesin dimers bound to a microtubule (EMDB ID 1030). (B) A GroEL (EMDB ID 1080). (C) Bacteriophage P22 tail machine (EMDB ID 1119). (D) Connector of bacteriophage T7 (EMDB ID 1231). (E) Human RNA polymerase II (EMDB ID 1283). (F) Nitrilase from Rhodococcus rhodochrous J1 (EMDB ID 1313). (G) A chaperonin, cpn60 (EMDB ID 1397). (H) Parvovirus capsid (EMDB ID 5105).

Figure S7 Convergence of RMSFs and generalized correlation coefficients for RF2. (A) RMSFs for a subset of FE nodes. (B–F) Distributions of the generalized correlation coefficients. Colors represent the density of pairs within a certain range of correlation coefficients and spatial distances.
Figure S8 Convergence of RMSFs and generalized correlation coefficients for the NPC. (A) RMSFs for FE nodes in the cytoplasmic filaments, the nuclear basket, and the central framework. (B–F) Distributions of the generalized correlation coefficients. Colors represent the density of pairs within a certain range of correlation coefficients and spatial distances.

Figure S9 Convergence of RMSFs and generalized correlation coefficients for GroEL. (A) RMSFs for residues in a single subunit. (B–F) Distributions of the generalized correlation coefficients. Colors represent the density of pairs within a certain range of correlation coefficients and spatial distances.

Figure S10 T4 lysozyme (PDB ID 3LZM) correlation analysis. (A) Clustering performance curve. (B) Six clusters correlated in molecular motions where the largest cluster (colored in orange) contains residues correlated due to hinge-bending (residues 13–90 and 108–122). (C) 13 clusters correlated in molecular motions. The most highly correlated distant clusters (inset) consist of residues 33–53 and residues 81–90 that are colored in red. Pearson correlation captures a subset of residues correlated due to hinge-bending (residues 30–50, 70–90, and 100–120; Figure S12).

Figure S11 Adenylate kinase (PDB ID 4AKE) correlation analysis. (A) Clustering performance curve. (B) Corresponding clusters correlated in molecular motions. The most highly correlated distant clusters (inset) consist of residues 30–73 and residues 113–175 that are colored in red and correspond to residues active in the conformational change from its open (PDB ID 4AKE) to its closed conformation (PDB ID 1AKE).

Figure S12 Comparisons of mutual information and Pearson correlation coefficients. Correlation coefficients of (A–B) T4 lysozyme (PDB ID 3LZM) and (C–D) adenylate kinase (PDB ID 4AKE) with linearized mutual information in upper triangle matrix and Pearson coefficients in lower triangle matrix.

Figure S13 Lowest normal modes of RF2. (A–C) Lowest three normal modes. (D) Normal mode 9, which coincides maximally with the conformational change associated with the open-to-closed transition (overlap coefficient $C_k = 0.53$). The initial molecular surface is colored in gray and two deformed molecular surfaces are colored in yellow and cyan for each mode. Corresponding elastic strain energy densities are shown on the initial molecular surface as inset figures. Animated molecular motions for each mode are available at http://www.cdyn.org.

Figure S14 Distributions of the generalized correlation coefficients of RF2. The scatter plot represents the fraction of the number of pairs belonging to the cluster with the highest correlation ($g1$ & $g2$ in Figure 4) to the total number of pairs with respect to the generalized correlation coefficient and the spatial distance. The generalized correlation coefficients are computed using (A) 10 modes; (B) 20 modes; (C) 50 modes; (D) 100 modes; (E) 200 modes; and (F) 400 modes.

Figure S15 Nuclear pore complex. (A) Relative RMSFs of NPC domains with respect to the number of modes used in RMSF computation with absolute RMSFs as an inset and (B) eigenvalues. RMSF of each domain is defined as the mean of RMSFs at FE nodes within each domain.
**Figure S16 Lowes normal modes of the NPC.** (A) Normal mode 1 is a shear-like motion between the nuclear basket and the remaining structure. (B) Normal mode 3 is an elliptical distortion of the central framework consisting of transverse bending and stretching. (C) Normal mode 5 is axial stretching of the central framework. (D) Normal mode 6 is torsional motion between the nuclear basket and the remaining structure. (E) Normal mode 7 is elliptical distortion of the central framework consisting of transverse bending and stretching. (F) Normal mode 27 is homogeneous dilatation of the pore. A large set of modes (9–26) consists largely of fluctuations of the cytoplasmic filaments alone, with closely spaced frequencies that indicate the existence of multiple, nearly degenerate motions associated with these regions (Figure S15). Normal modes 2, 4, and 8 are not shown because they are degenerate to normal modes 1, 3, and 7, respectively.

**Figure S17 Correlation analysis of GroEL.** The generalized correlations and separation of identified clusters are shown for GroEL models based on the molecular surfaces obtained from (A) the reference atomic crystal structure (PDB ID 1OEL, 2.8 Å) and (B-D) EM density maps at three resolutions: (B) 6 Å (EMDB ID 1081), (C) 11.5 Å (EMDB ID 1080), and (D) 25 Å (EMDB ID 1095). Insets represent the cross-sectional area at the interface between two rings. High correlations between neighboring clusters are consistently obtained for all models while a slightly wider range of low correlations between non-neighboring clusters is observed in (B) due to weaker connections between two rings (inset) when the suggested contour level is used.
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1. Solve for local coordinates \((r_0, z_0, t_0)\) of the alpha carbon atom inside the element

\[
\begin{align*}
x_c &= x + (x_2 - x_1) r_x + (x_3 - x_1) s_x + (x_4 - x_1) t_x \\
y_c &= y + (y_2 - y_1) r_y + (y_3 - y_1) s_y + (y_4 - y_1) t_y \\
z_c &= z + (z_2 - z_1) r_z + (z_3 - z_1) s_z + (z_4 - z_1) t_z
\end{align*}
\]

2. Interpolate eigenvector components to the alpha carbon atom

\[
\begin{align*}
u_c &= u_1 + (u_2 - u_1) r_x + (u_3 - u_1) s_x + (u_4 - u_1) t_x \\
v_c &= v_1 + (v_2 - v_1) r_y + (v_3 - v_1) s_y + (v_4 - v_1) t_y \\
w_c &= w_1 + (w_2 - w_1) r_z + (w_3 - w_1) s_z + (w_4 - w_1) t_z
\end{align*}
\]
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Figure S16