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19F NMR Study of Ligand Dynamics in Carboxylate-Bridged Diiron(II) Complexes Supported by a Macrocyclic Ligand

Mikael A. Minier and Stephen J. Lippard
Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

Abstract
A series of asymmetrically carboxylate-bridged diiron(II) complexes featuring fluorine atoms as NMR spectroscopic probes, [Fe₂(PIM)(Ar₄F-PhCO₂)]₂ (10), [Fe₂(F₂PIM)(ArTolCO₂)]₂ (11), and [Fe₂(F₂PIM)(Ar₄F-PhCO₂)]₂ (12), were prepared and characterized by X-ray crystallography, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and VT 19F NMR spectroscopy. These complexes are part of a rare family of syn-diiron(II) complexes, [Fe₂(X₂PIM)(RCO₂)]₂, that are structurally very similar to the active site of the hydroxylase enzyme component of reduced methane monooxygenase (MMOHₕₑｄ). Solution characterization of these complexes demonstrates that they undergo intramolecular carboxylate rearrangements, or carboxylate shifts, a dynamic feature relevant to the reactivity of the diiron centers in bacterial multicomponent monooxygenases.
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Introduction
Biology utilizes enzymes with carboxylate-bridged diiron centers to catalyze difficult transformations such as methane oxidation by methane monooxygenase (MMO).1–4 These diiron centers typically have an asymmetric arrangement of ligands that is conserved across the superfamily of bacterial multicomponent monooxygenases (BMMs) (Figure 1).5–8 In general, two nitrogen donors from histidine bind to the diiron unit in a syn fashion with respect to the diiron vector. The bridging carboxylates adopt different coordination modes. In the reduced state of the MMO hydroxylase component (MMOHₕₑᵈ), one carboxylate bridges in a symmetric μ-η¹:η¹ fashion and the other in an asymmetric μ-η¹:η² mode. In the oxidized form of the enzyme, MMOHₜₙₓ, the latter carboxylate shifts into a monodentate terminal position. This alteration in the carboxylate bridging mode, or carboxylate shift,9 is proposed to be mechanistically important based on both biological4 and synthetic model studies10,11 (Scheme 1).
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Efforts to replicate the chemistry of MMOH using small molecules have been reviewed.\textsuperscript{12,13} The rational synthesis of carboxylate-bridged dinuclear metal complexes is challenging, owing to the propensity of these ligands to form polymers. Reliable strategies involve the use of sterically demanding ligands such as \textit{m}-terphenyl carboxylates or dinucleating ligand frameworks. A variety of binding modes are possible for carboxylate-bridged diiron complexes. In the solid state structure of [LFe\textsubscript{2}(OAc\textsubscript{3})]\textsubscript{2}·2MeCN, where L is a bis-tetradentate pyrazolate, all carboxylate binding modes in a dinuclear system were observed within two molecules in the asymmetric unit in the crystals.\textsuperscript{14} Moreover, the solution structures of these complexes may differ from those in the solid or may switch between multiple conformations. For example, \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopic studies of [Fe\textsubscript{2}(Ar\textsuperscript{F-PH}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{4}(THF)\textsubscript{2}] (Ar\textsuperscript{F-PH} = 2,6-bis-4-fluoro-phenylphenyl) revealed an equilibrium between doubly and quadruply bridged forms, with conversion to the quadruply bridged form below −60 °C.\textsuperscript{15}

Previously, our lab reported two diiron(II) complexes, [Fe\textsubscript{2}((PIM)(Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}) (2) (ArTol = 2,6-bis-tolylphenyl), supported by the dinucleating macrocyclic ligand, H\textsubscript{2}PIM (Scheme 2, inset).\textsuperscript{16} Together with bulky carboxylate ligands, the PIM\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{nd}} macrocycle enforces geometries in diiron(II) complexes featuring syn-\textit{N} coordination and asymmetric carboxylate bridging modes, closely resembling that in MMOH\textsubscript{red}. Complexes 1 and 2 were characterized by X-ray crystallography, Mössbauer spectroscopy, UV-Vis, EPR, and NMR spectroscopy, and by cyclic voltammetry. Reaction of 2 with AgClO\textsubscript{4} produced the diiron(III) complex, [Fe\textsubscript{2}((μ-OH)\textsubscript{2}(ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}(PIM) (Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}Ag] (3), and reaction of 2 with AgSbF\textsubscript{6} formed a species believed to be the tetrairon(III) complex, [Fe\textsubscript{4}(μ−F)\textsubscript{6}(PIM)\textsubscript{2}(Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}], which was not fully characterized. When 1 was allowed to react with O\textsubscript{2}, three iron(III) species were observed, two of which were identified as [Fe\textsubscript{2}(μ-OH)(PIM)(Et\textsubscript{3}CCO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}] (4) and [Fe\textsubscript{4}(μ−OH)\textsubscript{6}(PIM)\textsubscript{2}(Et\textsubscript{3}CCO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (5). The reaction of 2 with O\textsubscript{2} produced a mixture of [Fe\textsubscript{2}(μ-O)(PIM)(Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (6) and [Fe\textsubscript{2}(μ-OH)\textsubscript{2}(PIM)(Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (7), complexes that resemble the resting diiron(III) state, MMOH\textsubscript{ox}, of MMO. When 6/7 further reacted with water, [Fe\textsubscript{4}(μ-OH)\textsubscript{6}(PIM)\textsubscript{2}(Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (8) formed.

With rare syn-\textit{N} asymmetrically carboxylate-bridged diiron(II) complexes 1–2 in hand, we sought to understand their solution dynamics by applying NMR spectroscopy. Because of their paramagnetism, however, 1 and 2 are not well suited for such a study. We therefore introduced fluorine atoms as \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopic handles by modifying the macrocyclic H\textsubscript{2}PIM ligand to create H\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{2}PIM, and introduced the fluorinated terphenylcarboxylate, Ar\textsuperscript{F-PH} CO\textsubscript{2}H, which we used previously to investigate the dynamics of the diiron(II) tetracarboxylate complexes as mentioned above. With these ligands, we prepared three new diiron(II) complexes, [Fe\textsubscript{2}(PIM)(Ar\textsuperscript{F-PH}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (10), [Fe\textsubscript{2}(F\textsubscript{2}PIM)(Ar\textsubscript{Tol}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (11), and [Fe\textsubscript{2}(F\textsubscript{2}PIM)(Ar\textsuperscript{F-PH}CO\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (12). Their solution dynamics were probed by using VT \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy.
Experimental Methods

General Considerations

Chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Solvents were saturated with argon, purified by the passage through two columns of activated alumina, and stored over 3 Å molecular sieves in an MBraun dry box. (2-Hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)boronic acid, (2-hydroxy-5-fluorophenyl)boronic acid, H$_2$PIM, Ar$_4$TolCO$_2$H, Ar$_4$FPhCO$_2$H, compounds L4a, and 2, were prepared according to published procedures. All manipulations of air sensitive compounds were performed in an MBraun dry box. A ThermoNicolet Avatar 360 spectrometer was used to obtain IR spectra and the data were processed with the OMNIC software. Melting points were obtained with a Stanford Research Systems OptiMelt. NMR spectra were recorded on either a 500 MHz Varian Inova spectrometer or a 300 MHz Varian Mercury spectrometer. $^1$H and $^{13}$C spectra were referenced to residual solvent peaks. $^{19}$F spectra were referenced to CFCl$_3$ (0.00 ppm). VT-NMR between 308 and 178 K were performed on a 500 MHz Varian Inova spectrometer. Reversibility of the VT-NMR experiments was confirmed by comparing initial and final spectra at room temperature. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were obtained on a WEB Research Co. MSI spectrometer with a $^{57}$Co source in Rh matrix. Solid samples were pulverized and suspended in Apiezon M grease inside a nylon sample holder and corresponding spectra were obtained at 80 K. Isomer shift values (δ) were referenced to metallic iron foil and spectra were fit to Lorentzian lines using the WMOSS program.

X-Ray Data Collection and Refinement

Single crystals of H$_2$PIM, H$_2$F$_2$PIM, and 10–12, were coated with Paratone oil and mounted onto a Bruker SMART APEX CCD X-ray diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation. Data collection was performed at 100 K and the diffractometer was controlled with the APEX2 (v. 2010.1–2) software package. Data reduction was performed with SAINT and absorption corrections with SADABS. XPREP was used to determine the space group through analysis of metric symmetry and systematic absences. Initial solutions were determined using direct methods and refinement was performed with either the SHELXL-97 software package or SHELX-2014 using full-matrix least squares refinement on F$^2$. PLATON was used to check for higher symmetry. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were fixed at idealized positions using a riding model except for the hydroxyl protons, which were located in the electron density maps and refined semi-free using distance restraints appropriate for 100 K. Methyl group hydrogen atoms were handled on a case-by-case basis. When maxima for methyl group hydrogen atoms could be observed in the electron density, the torsion angle was determined by a difference Fourier analysis followed by a rigid group refinement. In other cases, the methyl groups were modeled to an idealized disordered methyl group, with two sets of hydrogen atoms at 50% occupancy, rotated relative to each other by 60°. The hydrogen atom isotropic displacement parameters were fixed to 1.5 (methyl) or 1.2 (non-methyl) times the U value of the atom to which they are bound. Distance similarity restraints and anisotropic displacement parameter restraints were placed on disordered atoms. Data collection and refinement parameters, and other details of refinement for individual structures can be found in the supporting information.
in the Supporting Information (SI). Ellipsoid plots and other X-ray structure graphics were generated with Mercury CSD 3.3.

**Synthesis**

**Ligand Synthesis**

**Bis(3-bromobenzyl)ether (L1):** 3-Bromobenzaldehyde (9.25 g, 50 mmol) was added to 50 mL CH₂Cl₂ and afterwards triethylsilane (6.4 g, 55 mmol) was added. The reaction was cooled with an ice-bath at 0 °C. Triflic acid (44 μL) was added carefully to the solution. After 10 min stirring, the volume was reduced to about 20 mL and the solution was directly loaded onto a column of silica with some bicarbonate mixed in the top sand layer to remove trace acid. The product was eluted with hexanes to 2% ethyl acetate in hexanes. The combined fractions were stripped to form a colorless oil (7.8 g, 87.6%). Spectroscopic data matched that previously reported. An ~7% impurity of TES protected benzyl alcohol was present and easily removed during purification in the next synthetic step.

**3',3'''-(Oxybis(methylene))bis(5-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ol) (L3a):** L1 (2.98 g, 8.36 mmol), [Pd(PPh₃)₄] (386 mg, 334 μmol), (2-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)boronic acid (3.18 g, 20.9 mmol), and K₃PO₄ (6.21 g, 29.3 mmol) were added to a Schlenk flask, placed under vacuum, and back-filled three times with nitrogen. Degassed water (30 mL) and THF (30 mL) were transferred into the flask via a cannula. The reaction was heated to 80 °C for 18 h before cooling to rt and subsequent quenching with 30 mL 1 M HCl (aq). The product was extracted with 3 × 50 mL ethyl acetate, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and stripped to form an oil. Purification was achieved with column chromatography (hexanes to 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes), yielding L3a as a yellow solid (2.51 g, 73.0%). Spectroscopic data matched that previously reported.
3′,3‴-(Oxybis(methylene))bis(5-fluoro-[1,1′-biphenyl]-2-ol) (L3b): [Pd(PPh3)4] (175 mg, 385 μmol), (2-hydroxy-5-fluorophenyl)boronic acid (1.50 g, 9.62 mmol), and K3PO4 (2.87 g, 13.5 mmol) were added to a Schlenk flask. The system was placed under vacuum and back-filled with nitrogen three times. Degassed water (15 mL) and di(3-bromobenzyl) ether (1.37 g, 3.85 mmol) dissolved in THF (15 mL) were transferred into the flask by cannula. The system was heated to reflux and was allowed to stir for 20 h. Water (10 mL) was added and the product was extracted three times with 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic solutions were dried with MgSO4, filtered, and stripped to form a black oil. Column chromatography (hexanes to 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) yielded L3b as a tan solid (1.27 g, 78.5%). 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 500 MHz): δ 7.47 (m, 4H), 7.40 (m, 4H), 6.94 (m, 4H), 6.89 (m, 2H), 5.15 (br s, 1H), 4.65 (s, 4H). 13C-NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz): δ 157.23 (1J_C-F = 239 Hz), 148.70 (4J_C-F = 2 Hz), 139.28, 136.79 (4J_C-F = 2 Hz), 129.63, 129.12 (3J_C-F = 8 Hz), 128.65, 128.59, 127.86, 117.12 (3J_C-F = 8 Hz), 116.65 (2J_C-F = 23 Hz), 115.63 (2J_C-F = 23 Hz), 72.52. 19F-NMR (CDCl3, 282 MHz): δ −124.3 (m). Mp = 86 °C.

3′,3‴-(Oxybis(methylene))bis(5-fluoro-2-hydroxy-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carbaldehyde) (L4b): This reaction is extremely moisture sensitive and it is therefore important to rigorously dry all reagents and solvents. L3b (1.13 g, 2.70 mmol), paraformaldehyde (1.22 g, 40.5 mmol), MgCl2 (1.03 g, 10.8 mmol), and TEA (2.26 mL, 16.2 mmol) were added to a
Schlenk flask containing 7.5 g of 3 Å activated sieves. Acetonitrile (125 mL) was added to the flask by cannula. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred for 3 days, quenched with 1 M HCl (ca. 125 mL), and stripped from as much acetonitrile as possible. The product was extracted with 3 × 150 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried with Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and stripped to afford a dark green oil. Purification by column chromatography (hexanes to 10% ethyl acetate in hexane) yielded L4b as a light yellow solid (410.4 mg, 32.1%). $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): δ 11.34 (s, 2H), 9.86 (s, 2H), 7.62 (s, 2H), 7.53 (d, 2H), 7.45 (m, 4H), 7.37 (dd, 2H), 7.24 (dd, 2H), 4.67 (s, 4H). $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz): δ 195.85, 155.58 ($^1$J$_{C-F}$ = 240 Hz), 155.31 ($^4$J$_{C-F}$ = 2 Hz), 138.52, 135.48 ($^4$J$_{C-F}$ = 1 Hz), 132.23 ($^3$J$_{C-F}$ = 7 Hz), 128.62, 128.60, 127.68, 125.14 ($^2$J$_{C-F}$ = 24 Hz), 120.42 ($^3$J$_{C-F}$ = 6 Hz), 117.35 ($^2$J$_{C-F}$ = 22 Hz), 72.15. $^{19}$F-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 282 MHz): δ −123.7 (t, $^3$J$_{H-F}$ = 8 Hz). ESI-MS(−) = 473.1 [M-H]$^-$ (Calcd = 473.12 [M-H]$^-$), ESI-MS(+) = 513.1 [M+K]$^+$, (Calcd = 513.09 [M+K]$^+$). Mp = 123 °C.

H$_2$F-PIM: 3,3′-Diaminodiphenyl sulfone (196 mg, 790 μmol) and 5b (375 mg, 790 μmol) were added to a dry Schlenk flask with 175 mL of dry acetonitrile. Trifluoroacetic acid (220 μL) was added to the mixture, which was heated to 85 °C. After 6 h, the reaction was allowed to cool to rt, during which time a large amount of yellow precipitate formed. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with ether, and dried under vacuum. The material (382 mg, 70.4%) was used without further purification. $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): δ 13.18 (br s, 1H), 8.62 (s, 2H), 7.92 (d, 2H), 7.83 (s, 2H), 7.76 (s, 2H), 7.59 (t, 2H), 7.44 (m, 6H), 7.36 (d, 2H), 7.22 (dd, 2H), 7.12 (dd, 2H), 4.68 (s, 4H). $^{13}$C-NMR (CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 125 MHz): δ 164.35, 156.06 ($^1$J$_{C-F}$ = 237 Hz), 155.60, 149.69, 143.64, 138.52, 137.33, 132.19 ($^3$J$_{C-F}$ = 7 Hz), 131.46, 130.21, 129.41, 128.84, 128.63, 126.38, 124.95, 123.56, 122.19 ($^2$J$_{C-F}$ = 24 Hz), 119.52 ($^3$J$_{C-F}$ = 8 Hz), 117.37 ($^2$J$_{C-F}$ = 23 Hz), 74.07. $^{19}$F-NMR (CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 470 MHz): δ −125.6 (t, $^3$J$_{H-F}$ = 9 Hz). Mp = 184 °C.
Metal Complex Synthesis

\([\text{Fe}_2(\text{PIM})(\text{Ar}^{4-\text{Ph}}\text{CO}_2)]_2\) (10): H₂PIM (157.5 mg, 232 μmol) and Ar^{4-\text{Ph}}\text{CO}_2\text{H} (144.0 mg, 463 μmol) were combined in 3 mL of THF. [Fe₂(mes)_4] (136.5 mg, 232 μmol), pre-dissolved in 1.5 mL THF, was injected quickly to create a dark red mixture. After 3 h, the solvent was stripped, the crude residue washed with 3 x 1 mL ether, and the material was crystallized by slow diffusion of pentane into a solution in CH₂Cl₂. The resulting red crystals were washed (2 x 1 mL pentane) and dried under vacuum to yield 242.2 mg, 74.2% red crystalline material. Anal. Calcd for Fe₂C₈₀H₁₅₄F₄N₂O₉S·(C₀.5H₁Cl₁) (10)·0.5CH₂Cl₂): C, 66.70; H, 3.82; N, 1.93. Found: C, 66.95; H, 3.61; N, 1.92. CH₂Cl₂ was detected in solution NMR samples of 10 in THF-d₈. IR (KBr): 3049, 2920, 2850, 2336, 1653, 1604, 1573, 1534, 1506, 1433, 1411, 1382, 1325, 1308, 1282, 1221, 1199, 1091, 1074, 979, 837, 790, 707, 685, 595, 539, and 469 cm⁻¹. Mössbauer (polycrystalline, apiezon M grease): δ = 1.05(2) mm/s, ΔE_Q = 2.12(2) mm/s, Γ_{L/R} = 0.33(2) mm/s. Mp (dec) = 74 °C.

\([\text{Fe}_2(\text{F}_2\text{PIM})(\text{Ar}^{4-\text{Tol}}\text{CO}_2)]_2\) (11): H₂F₂PIM (150 mg, 218 μmol) and Ar^{4-\text{Tol}}\text{CO}_2\text{H} (132 mg, 437 μmol) were dissolved in THF (3 mL) in a dry box. [Fe₂(mes)_4] (129 mg, 218 μmol) was dissolved in 1.5 mL THF and added to the mixture. After 2 h, the solvent was removed and the residue washed twice with 1 mL of ether. The residue was then dissolved in 2 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and layered with 10 mL of pentane. The resultant material (278 mg, 91.0%) was filtered and washed with pentane. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a solution of 11 in CH₂Cl₂. Anal. Calcd for Fe₂C₈₂H₆₀F₂N₂O₉S·(C₄H₉Cl₃) (11)·1.5CH₂Cl₂·0.5C₅H₁₂): C, 66.10; H, 4.45; N, 1.79. Found: C, 66.18; H, 4.06; N, 1.82. CH₂Cl₂ and pentane were detected in NMR samples of 11. IR (KBr): 3054, 3028, 2915, 2857, 1649, 1601, 1581, 1527, 1449, 1434, 1403, 1386, 1341, 1302, 1277, 1201, 1184, 1149, 1110, 1070, 1000, 956, 881, 838, 762, 736, 702, 685, 604, 543, 529, and 459 cm⁻¹. Mössbauer (polycrystalline, apiezon M grease): (site 1, 50%): δ = 0.93(2) mm/s, ΔE_Q = 2.03(2) mm/s, Γ_{L/R} = 0.27(2) mm/s (site 2, 50%): δ = 1.15(2) mm/s, ΔE_Q = 1.98(2) mm/s, Γ_{L/R} = 0.28(2) mm/s. Mp (dec) = 200 °C.

\([\text{Fe}_2(\text{F}_2\text{PIM})(\text{Ar}^{4-\text{Ph}}\text{CO}_2)]_2\) (12): The same procedure was used as that described for 10 except that H₂F₂PIM (159 mg, 232 μmol) replaced H₂PIM. Obtained were 304.7 mg, 92.8% of maroon crystals. Anal. Calcd for Fe₂C₇₈H₄₈F₂N₂O₉S·(CH₂Cl₂) (12)·CH₂Cl₂): C, 63.00; H, 3.31; N, 1.81. Found: C, 63.26; H, 3.36; N, 1.87. CH₂Cl₂ was detected in NMR samples...
of 12. IR (KBr): 3054, 2954, 2933, 2859, 1610, 1581, 1532, 1510, 1446, 1386, 1321, 1299, 1212, 1187, 1152, 1074, 1001, 958, 840, 794, 698, 633, 542, and 469 cm$^{-1}$.

Mössbauer (polycrystalline, apiezon M grease): $\delta = 1.04(2)$ mm/s, $\Delta E_Q = 2.01(2)$ mm/s, $\Gamma_{L/R} = 0.33(2)$ mm/s. Mp (dec) = 232 °C.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization

In our previous report, H$_2$PIM was prepared in gram quantities by an 8 step synthesis.$^{16}$ Since then, a shorter route with 5 steps was developed (Scheme 3). Instead of the original two-step procedure to produce the bis(3-bromobenzyl)ether (L1), a reductive condensation reaction$^{25}$ was utilized with 3-bromobenzaldehyde, 1.1 equiv of Et$_3$SiH, and 1 mol% TfOH. After 10 min of reaction time, immediate purification provides gram quantities of L1 in 87.6% yield. The original synthesis of H$_2$PIM (Scheme S1) was limited by a Negishi-coupling of L1 and the aryl-zinc reagent of 2-bromo-4-methyl-phenol-tetrahydropyran that proceeded in 35% yield.$^{16}$ To improve this coupling step, the aryl-zinc reagent was replaced with an aryl-boronic acid, L2, to do a Suzuki-coupling. By doing so, a protecting group for the phenoxyl moiety was not needed, eliminating the next step in the main synthetic sequence. Thus, the new synthesis required (2-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)boronic acid (L2a), which was prepared from the commercially available (2-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)boronic acid following a literature procedure.$^{18}$ The Suzuki-coupling between L1 and L2a yielded the desired product, L3a, in 61–80% yield using [Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$] as the catalyst. The last step of the synthesis was unmodified. In total, the overall yield for the synthesis of H$_2$PIM increased to 30.9% from 13.3%. H$_2$F$_2$PIM, the analogue of H$_2$PIM where fluorine substituents replace the methyl groups, was prepared in an analogous manner in an overall yield of 15.1%. The solid-state structures of both H$_2$PIM and H$_2$F$_2$PIM were obtained and show the ligands to be preorganized for supporting a dinuclear complex. Crystallographic details are reported in the Supporting Information (SI).

Analogous to the synthesis of 1-2, 10-12 were synthesized in one pot by mixing the appropriate macrocyclic ligand, 2.0 equiv of carboxylic acid, and 1.0 equiv of [Fe$_2$(mes)$_4$] in THF; the yields ranged from 74.2–92.8%. X-ray quality crystals of 10-12 were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into methylene chloride solutions of the complexes (Figure 2). Compounds 10-12 feature the expected syn-$N$ dicarboxylate-bridged diiron centers. A comparison of the bond distances in the $m$-terphenylcarboxylate-bridged complexes 2 and 10-12 is provided in Table 1. There are noteworthy differences in bond distances and angles of the $\mu$-$\eta^1:\eta^2$ bridging carboxylate ligand for these structures. The Fe$_1$—O$_3$ bond length decreases from 2.342(6) Å in 2 to 2.348(2) Å in 12, 2.443(2) Å in 11, and 2.535(2) Å in 10. The trend does not follow the number of methyl-to-fluoro substitutions in the complexes and is tentatively attributed to packing interactions. The weakening of the Fe$_1$—O$_3$ interaction correlates with an increase in the Fe$_1$-O$_4$-C$_1$ angle, which rises from 95 ° in 2 and 12 to 99 ° in 11 and 102 ° in 10. A corresponding decrease in the Fe$_1$—O$_4$ distance occurs, from 2.103(2) Å in 12 to 2.062(2) Å in 11 and 2.043(2) Å in 10. These correlated changes are consistent with what is predicted to occur for varying the strength of the interaction of the
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dangling oxygen to the metal center\(^9\) and demonstrate a minor carboxylate shift within the solid state.

In all solid-state structures to date containing PIM or F\(_2\)PIM there is a wedge-shaped conformation (curved green line, Figure S14). In 2 and 10-12, the wedge provides space for the \(m\)-terphenyl group of the \(\mu_1\eta^1:\eta^2\) bridging carboxylate, which packs along the walls of the wedge (Figure S15). The \(m\)-terphenyl unit of the \(\mu_1\eta^1:\eta^1\) bridging carboxylate is oriented perpendicular to the edge of the wedge (Figure S15). The configuration in 1 (Figure S15, top left) is reversed, however, most likely as a consequence of the shape of the carboxylate. The steric bulk of the trityl groups in 1 is directed away from the macrocycle whereas the \(m\)-terphenyl groups in the other complexes point toward the macrocycle. The \(m\)-terphenyl groups impose a stronger effect on the conformation of the macrocycle. These points will be important later in our discussion of the solution dynamics of these complexes.

Mössbauer spectra for compounds 10-12 were obtained at 80 K and compared to those for 1 and 2 (Table 2). Compounds 1-2 and 11 nicely fit to a two-site model and 10 and 12 fit to a single site (Figure 3 and S6). The reason for the difference is not clear, although 10 and 12 both contain the \(\text{Ar}^{4}\text{F}-\text{Ph}^{\text{CO}2}\text{H}\) carboxylate, unlike the carboxylates in 1 (\(\text{Ph}^3\text{CCO}2\text{H}\)) and 2 and 11 (\(\text{Ar}^{\text{Tol}}\text{CO}2\text{H}\)). The \(\delta\) values for 10-12 range from 0.93 to 1.15 mm/s with \(\Delta E_Q\) values ranging from 1.98 to 2.12 mm/s, consistent with high-spin Fe(II) centers, as is the case with 1-2.

**NMR Studies**

Owing to the rare asymmetric carboxylate bridging modes in 1-2 as well as the importance of the carboxylate shift in BMMs, we sought to understand the carboxylate dynamics in this system by using solution NMR spectroscopy. Standard \(^1\)H spectroscopic NMR techniques were not possible because of paramagnetic effects, but fluorine substitutions provide a spectroscopic handle with \(^{19}\)F NMR. VT \(^{19}\)F NMR spectra for 10-12 were obtained.

In THF-\(d_8\), the \(^{19}\)F NMR spectrum of compound 10 shows a single fluorine peak at \(-116\) ppm, indicating rapid exchange of all four F atoms at room temperature. As the system is cooled down, the single peak remains and broadens slightly upon reaching \(-70^\circ\)C. The broadening suggests that fluorine atom exchange was slowed down, but not enough to show splitting on the \(^{19}\)F NMR timescale. We wondered whether the coordinating capabilities of THF to the iron atoms may increase the exchange rates of the carboxylate ligands, and CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) was therefore investigated as an alternative solvent.

At 35 \(^\circ\)C in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 10 displays a single \(^{19}\)F peak at \(-113.9\) ppm. As the temperature is decreased, this peak broadens and becomes nearly flat at \(-10^\circ\)C (Figure 4). Two peaks then grow in at \(-109.0\) ppm and \(-119.2\) ppm, commencing at \(-20^\circ\)C. The feature at \(-109.0\) ppm sharpens into a peak at \(-106.3\) ppm at \(-60^\circ\)C and then starts to broaden and further shift to \(-103.4\) ppm at \(-95^\circ\)C, possibly due to increased solvent viscosity at low temperature. The peak at \(-119.2\) ppm sharpens slightly at \(-30^\circ\)C and shifts to \(-120.3\) ppm and then broadens into the background at \(-50^\circ\)C. After further cooling to \(-60^\circ\)C, two peaks arise at \(-118.2\) ppm and \(-127.8\) ppm, which sharpen and shift to \(-119.3\) ppm and \(-131.3\) ppm at \(-80^\circ\)C before broadening at \(-95^\circ\)C with chemical shift values of \(-120.6\) and \(-134.4\) ppm.
Integration of the three peaks (−104.6, −119.3, and −131.3 ppm) at −80 °C reveals a ratio of 2:1:1, respectively. We refer to the first peak split at 35 °C at −113.9 ppm as process 1 and the split of the broad −120.3 ppm peak at −30 °C as process 2. At 35 °C and below, four very weak fluorine resonances of unknown origin were observed at −111.6, −112.2, −113.1, and −119.5 ppm. These features are believed to be associated with a structurally similar species and are also observed in samples of 2+10 and 12, discussed below.

To test whether the dynamic processes observed for 10 occur through intermolecular or intramolecular exchange events, we prepared a mixture of 2 and 10 and repeated the 19F NMR experiment. If intermolecular exchange of carboxylates occurs, a new species with a mixture of carboxylates, [Fe2(PIM)(ArTolCO2)(Ar4F-PhCO2)], would form, which might be distinguishable from 10 by its 19F NMR spectrum (Scheme 4). At 35 °C, two fluorine peaks are observed at −113.0 and −113.9 ppm (Figure S1), a clear indication that intermolecular carboxylate exchange occurs. Furthermore, the observation of two, instead of one, peak means that the intramolecular carboxylate exchange process is slower on the NMR time scale at 35 °C. Therefore, all exchange processes that we observe in our VT experiments in CD2Cl2 are intramolecular processes. To ensure that the exchange processes observed in the VT NMR of 10 were not altered by addition of 2, the spectrum was examined down to −95 °C. The VT NMR of 2+10 looks the same as that as 10 alone except that the number of peaks is doubled owing to the presence of [Fe2(PIM)(ArTolCO2)(Ar4F-PhCO2)]. At −50 °C, an additional peak occurs at −107.0 ppm and at −80 °C, and additional peaks are observed at −120.1 and −135.2 ppm. Integration at −80 °C, however, reveals an approximately 4:1:1 ratio in order of decreasing chemical shift. This observation suggests that the two carboxylate positional isomers of [Fe2(PIM)(ArTolCO2)(Ar4F-PhCO2)] are not equivalent and that one is favored over the other. This ratio also supports the notion that process 1 involves an exchange between the two carboxylate positions.

Having asymmetric bridging carboxylates in these diiron(II) complexes eliminates the 2-fold symmetry axis of the X2PIM2− ligand and introduces chirality to these complexes. Moreover, the two fluorine atoms in F2PIM2− are chemically inequivalent in these complexes and could offer some information about the intramolecular exchange processes observed in 10. To explore this possibility, we first examined the VT 19F NMR spectrum of 11 in CD2Cl2. At 35 °C, a single fluorine resonance occurs at −32.4 ppm (Figure S2). The free ligand, H2F2PIM, has a fluorine chemical shift at −125.6 ppm in CD2Cl2 at 25 °C. The 93 ppm difference in chemical shift is indicative of paramagnetic shifting and proves that iron binds to F2PIM2− in solution. Cooling to −95 °C shifts the −32.4 ppm resonance to 38.8 ppm. The peak slowly broadens with decreasing temperature, indicating that the two fluorine atoms exchange rapidly on the NMR time scale at all temperatures in this experiment, but the broadening at low temperature suggests that the exchange process slows down. Another possibility is that the broadening is due to viscosity changes as the temperature is lowered. The chemical shift change in this fluorine peak follows Curie behavior (Figure S3).

The VT 19F NMR spectrum of 12 was also obtained to ensure that the change to F2PIM2− from PIM2− does not alter the exchange processes observed in 10. Indeed, no significant changes occurred for 12 except for slight alterations in chemical shifts (Figure S4). The
F₂PIM²⁻ fluorine resonance in 12 is 1.6–6.5 ppm upfield relative to that in 11. The Ar₄F-PhCO₂⁻ fluorine resonances in 12 are 1.2–3.2 ppm upfield of those in 10. We can conclude that there are no significant differences between in the exchange processes in complexes 2 and 10-12.

A model for these intermolecular processes is presented in Scheme 5. The PIM fluorine substituents are labeled A or B and each fluorine atom on the carboxylate ligands is assigned the color blue, orange, green, or red. Examination of the space filling model of any of the complexes 2 and 10-12 clearly indicates that rotation of the μ-η¹:η² carboxylate would be unlikely due to a steric clash with the PIM macrocycle. Rotation of the μ-η¹:η² carboxylate to interchange the blue and orange markers appears possible, although one of the two Fe-O bonds might need to transiently dissociate in the process. The μ-η¹:η² carboxylate could shift into a μ-η¹:η¹ bridging mode to form an intermediate with approximate two-fold symmetry. If the same carboxylate undergoes a μ-η¹:η¹ to μ-η¹:η² carboxylate shift, it would either return to the original complex or the mirror image of the original complex. The mirror image results in the interchange of A and B. Similar to the blue and orange carboxylates, the green and red carboxylates may be able to rotate in a μ-η¹:η¹ bridging mode and such green-red intramolecular interchange could occur after a μ-η¹:η¹ to μ-η¹:η² carboxylate shift. As discussed above, the PIM and F₂PIM macrocycles in 2 and 10-12 adopt a wedge-shaped conformation that encircles the diiron(II) center. The diphenylsulfone unit curves to orient the wedge-shape to form a cradle for the μ-η¹:η² bridging carboxylate. In order to interchange the blue/orange and red/green pairs (Scheme 5), the macrocyclic ligand must undergo a conformational change that inverts the conformation of the sulfone, hereafter the “sulfone inversion.” With the m-terphenyl group of the μ-η¹:η² bridging carboxylate packed tightly with the macrocyclic cradle, it is likely that a carboxylate shift to the symmetric intermediate would be necessary before a sulfone inversion, which would need to be associated with rotations of both carboxylates. The sulfone inversion would lead to mixing of all color markers and A and B.

From the VT NMR spectroscopic experiments, we know that process 1 distinguishes the two carboxylates, which corresponds to the sulfone inversion in our model. Process 2 differentiates the two sides of either the red/green or the blue/orange carboxylates (Scheme 5). Our data do not allow us to conclusively assign the individual carboxylates in this exchange process. A blue/orange exchange by rotation of the O₂C-Caryl bond appears to be sterically inhibited by sulfone and ether units of the macrocycle projecting below the macrocycle plane. A similar O₂C-Caryl bond rotation on the red/green carboxylate on the canyon side of the macrocycle during a μ-η¹:η¹ bridging mode intermediate appears less sterically hindered than that of the blue/orange exchange. We therefore tentatively assign process 2 as a blue/orange exchange. The carboxylate exchange that gives rise to process 2 slows down sufficiently below −95 °C to give rise to the observed NMR spectral behavior, characterized by broadened peaks at −103.4 and −104.9 ppm in 10 and 12, respectively. Additional broadening occurs below −80 °C for the upfield carboxylate at −119.3 and −131.3 ppm in 10 and may reflect hindered rotation of the 4-fluorophenyl groups on the m-terphenyl groups of the Ar⁴F-PhCO₂⁻ ligand. The data from the macrocycle fluorine atoms in
11 and 12 are consistent with interconversion of the two enantiomers generated by the carboxylate shift remaining rapid on the NMR time scale even at −95 °C.

Comparison to MMOH

A significant change in the coordination mode of the bridging Glu243 in MMOH occurs upon reduction of the diiron(III) active site to the diiron(II) form. EXAFS and MCD studies of MMOH red in complex with the regulatory protein, MMOB, showed additional alterations in the primary coordination sphere at the diiron center at the active site. The MCD study suggested coordination changes in Glu209 to be the main alteration. A comparison of diiron active sites in X-ray structures of MMOH red and that of the H-B complex reveal that, in both cases, Glu243 bridges in a \( \mu-\eta^1:\eta^2 \) mode, but the conformation differs. The 2.9 Å resolution of the latter complex as well as the ambiguity of its oxidation state prevent a meaningful explanation, however. Nevertheless, it is clear from all these observations that there is conformational flexibility in the coordination of Glu209 and Glu243 at the active site of MMOH red. In the present model system, the macrocyclic framework preorganizes the diiron(II) center to contain both \( \mu-\eta^1:\eta^1 \) and \( \mu-\eta^1:\eta^2 \) bridging modes, similar to that in MMOH red. The variable temperature \(^{19}\text{F} \) solution NMR spectroscopic study described here demonstrates that the bridging carboxylates retain the ability to shift coordination modes while remaining as a diiron(II) complex. Thus the diiron(II) PIM complexes have the flexibility to accommodate structural changes, evoked by temperature changes, that provide a valuable precedent of potential relevance to the conformational alterations observed in soluble methane monoxygenase.

Conclusions

A series of fluorine substituted analogues of 2, 10-12, were prepared and characterized. From VT \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectra of these complexes it was possible to characterize intramolecular carboxylate exchange processes for this family of syn-\( \text{N} \) asymmetrically carboxylate-bridged diiron(II) complexes. The results mimic the occurrence of carboxylate shifts observed in MMOH red, evoked both by redox changes and complex formation with the regulatory protein MMOB.
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Figure 1.
Graphical representations of the oxidized (left) and reduced (right) MMOH active sites. The green coloring highlights a carboxylate shift in Glu243 between the two structures.
Figure 2.
Solid state structures of 10-12 and cartoon of the $\mu$-$\eta^1$:$\eta^2$ carboxylate bridging mode (bottom right). Ellipsoids are drawn at 50% and solvents, disordered atoms, and hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity. The carbon atoms of the m-terphenyl wings are colored bright blue to help distinguish those atoms from that of the macrocyclic ligand.
Figure 3.
Mössbauer spectra of 11 (left) and 10 (right).
Figure 4.
VT $^{19}$F NMR of 10 in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. Intramolecular processes 1 and 2 are highlighted in blue and green respectively. Minor impurities are observed at higher temperatures.
Scheme 1.
The carboxylate shift in diiron complexes.
Scheme 2.
Summary of chemistry reported for 1 and 2. The macrocyclic ligand, H$_2$PIM, is depicted by colored oxygen atoms (red) and nitrogen atoms (blue). The full ligand is depicted in the upper right corner.
Scheme 3.
Synthesis of H$_2$PIM and H$_2$F$_2$PIM.
Scheme 4.
Depiction of the intermolecular carboxylate exchange between 2 and 10 through an intermediate, [Fe₂(PIM)(Ar^{Tol}CO₂)(Ar^{4F-Ph}CO₂)].
Scheme 5.
Model for the intramolecular exchange processes that occurs in the family of compounds 2, 10-12. A space-filling model of 12 is provided in the top left in order to visualize the spatial orientation of the ligands.
Comparison of select interatomic distances and angles in 2, and 10-12, in order of increasing Fe1—O3 distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Å</th>
<th>Fe1-Fe2</th>
<th>Fe1-O1</th>
<th>Fe1-N1</th>
<th>Fe1-O3</th>
<th>Fe1-O4</th>
<th>Fe1-O5</th>
<th>Fe2-O2</th>
<th>Fe2-N2</th>
<th>Fe2-O4</th>
<th>Fe2-O6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.601(1)</td>
<td>1.895(4)</td>
<td>2.044(4)</td>
<td>2.342(6)</td>
<td>2.091(3)</td>
<td>2.048(6)</td>
<td>1.888(3)</td>
<td>2.038(5)</td>
<td>2.029(4)</td>
<td>1.998(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6387(6)</td>
<td>1.900(3)</td>
<td>2.054(3)</td>
<td>2.348(3)</td>
<td>2.103(2)</td>
<td>2.048(3)</td>
<td>1.888(3)</td>
<td>2.024(3)</td>
<td>2.039(2)</td>
<td>1.955(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.5648(8)</td>
<td>1.883(3)</td>
<td>2.042(3)</td>
<td>2.443(2)</td>
<td>2.062(2)</td>
<td>2.028(2)</td>
<td>1.886(3)</td>
<td>2.031(3)</td>
<td>2.038(2)</td>
<td>1.991(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5680(7)</td>
<td>1.881(2)</td>
<td>2.051(3)</td>
<td>2.535(2)</td>
<td>2.043(2)</td>
<td>2.026(2)</td>
<td>1.877(2)</td>
<td>2.032(3)</td>
<td>2.055(2)</td>
<td>1.973(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°</th>
<th>Fe1-O4-Fe2</th>
<th>Fe1-O4-C1</th>
<th>Fe2-O4-C1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>122.2(2)</td>
<td>95.5(3)</td>
<td>133.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>122.9(1)</td>
<td>95.4(2)</td>
<td>133.8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>120.8(1)</td>
<td>98.7(2)</td>
<td>129.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>121.0(1)</td>
<td>102.2(2)</td>
<td>132.9(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Mössbauer parameters for 1-2 and 10-12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>δ (mm/s)</th>
<th>ΔE_Q</th>
<th>Γ_L/R</th>
<th>δ (mm/s)</th>
<th>ΔE_Q</th>
<th>Γ_L/R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ref. 18.*