APOCENTER GLOW IN ECCENTRIC DEBRIS DISKS: IMPLICATIONS FOR FOMALHAUT AND ERIDANI
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Debris disks often take the form of eccentric rings with azimuthal asymmetries in surface brightness. Such disks are often described as showing “pericenter glow,” an enhancement of the disk brightness in regions nearest the central star. At long wavelengths, however, the disk apocenters should appear brighter than their pericenters: in the long-wavelength limit, we find that the apocenter/pericenter flux ratio scales as $1 + e$ for disk eccentricity $e$. We produce new models of this “apocenter glow” to explore its causes and wavelength dependence and study its potential as a probe of dust grain properties. Based on our models, we argue that several far-infrared and (sub)millimeter images of the Fomalhaut and $\epsilon$ Eridani debris rings obtained with Herschel, JCMT, SHARC II, ALMA, and ATCA should be reinterpreted as suggestions or examples of apocenter glow. This reinterpretation yields new constraints on the disks’ dust grain properties and size distributions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

More and more high-resolution images show that debris disks often take the form of rings, sometimes narrow, sometimes eccentric. Well-resolved examples include HR4796 (Schneider et al. 2009; Thalmann et al. 2011; Lagrange et al. 2012), Fomalhaut (Stapelfeldt et al. 2004; Kalas et al. 2005), HD 181327 (Schneider et al. 2006), $\zeta^2$ Reticuli (Eiroa et al. 2010), HD 202628 (Krist et al. 2012), and HD 115600 (Currie et al. 2015). These rings may indicate the presence of hidden planets, which can clear the central cavities in the rings and also excite the ring eccentricities via secular perturbations (Roques et al. 1994; Wyatt et al. 1999; Kuchner & Holman 2003; Quillen 2006; Chiang et al. 2009; Rodigas et al. 2014; Nesvold & Kuchner 2015).

At shorter wavelengths, regions of an eccentric disk near pericenter appear brighter because they receive more flux from the host star. Wyatt et al. (1999) named this phenomenon “pericenter glow” and developed a model for an eccentric debris ring interacting with a single planetary perturber. Their model disk consists of massless particles whose eccentricities differ only in the direction of the free eccentricity. The resulting ring suffices to explain the offset in the solar zodiacal cloud from the Sun and to fit observations of several debris disks, yielding constraints on the disks’ forced eccentricity and the masses of the hidden planetary perturbers.

However, variations in the disk surface density also affect its apparent brightness, and in a steady-state disk the density should peak at apocenter simply because typical orbit velocities are slowest there. Though modeling done by Wyatt et al. (1999) predicted a brightness enhancement at pericenter for HR 4796 at 18.2 $\mu$m, their disk model showed a 2% density enhancement at apocenter. Analogous apocentric density enhancements occur in more recent dynamical models of eccentric planets interacting with disks (see, for example, Nesvold et al. 2013; Pearce & Wyatt 2014). Indeed, millimeter observations of the very well-observed eccentric Fomalhaut disk consistently suggest apocentric brightness enhancements. JCMT images of Fomalhaut by Holland et al. (2003) show slight enhancements of the flux near apocenter; these enhancements are less than the quoted uncertainty in the photometry, but they appear in both 450 and 850 $\mu$m bands. When Marsh et al. (2005) imaged the Fomalhaut disk at 350 $\mu$m using the SHARC II (Submillimeter High Angular Resolution Camera II) at the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory, they found that the ring has an apocentric enhancement of approximately 14% in integrated column density. More recently, Ricci et al. (2012) imaged Fomalhaut’s disk at 7 mm with the ATCA and noted that the lobe of the disk near apocenter “…appears to be more extended, showing two possible asymmetric structures toward east and south.” The highest resolution ALMA images of Fomalhaut by Boley et al. (2012) at 350 GHz (1 mm) also show enhanced flux near apocenter in the maps corrected for the single-dish beam.

Here we describe a new model for debris rings that illustrates the wavelength dependence in the apocenter/pericenter flux ratio due to the competing effects of azimuthal asymmetries in dust density and stellar illumination. Our primary interest here is mid-infrared and longer wavelengths, so we focus on dust particles and planetesimals large enough to avoid radiation pressure effects and consider only absorbed and reradiated, rather than scattered, emission. In Section 2, we begin by describing a semi-analytic model for estimating the surface density of a steady-state distribution of collisionless planetesimals and show that the density of a dust ring varies with longitude and peaks at apocenter. In Section 3, we verify this result for a collisional ring using SMACK (Nesvold et al. 2013), a numerical model of debris disk evolution that incorporates both collisions and dynamics in 3D. Finally, in Section 4, we combine a simple dust reradiation model with our models of surface density to simulate the brightness of the Fomalhaut and $\epsilon$ Eridani rings, and demonstrate that the ratio between pericenter and apocenter flux varies with wavelength. We summarize our results and discuss the implications for future observations of eccentric rings in Section 5.
2. SEMI-ANALYTIC MODEL

The surface brightness of an optically thin debris disk depends on the underlying spatial distribution of the dust as well as on the dust particles’ absorption, reradiation, and scattering properties. In this section, we use basic orbit geometry and a simple Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the surface density of an eccentric ring of collisionless particles and its dependence on longitude from pericenter.

2.1. Disk Density Calculations

We first estimate the linear number density, \( \ell \), of an eccentric ring of particles as a function of longitude, \( f \), in the ring. \( \ell(f) \) is the most relevant quantity for the many images of disks that are unresolved in the radial direction. We assume that the particles form an annulus about the star, and that their eccentricity, inclination, and semimajor axis distributions are centered, respectively, on values \( e \), \( a \) and have widths of \( \Delta e < e < 1 \), \( \Delta a \approx a \Delta e \). Because we are considering a ring that appears eccentric overall and has a fractional radial width no larger than \( \Delta e \), we must constrain the longitude of pericenter \( \varpi \) to a distribution centered on \( \varpi = 0 \) with width

\[
\Delta \varpi \approx \sqrt{1 - e^2} \Delta e / e. \tag{1}
\]

Also, we assume the particles’ orbital phases and longitudes of the ascending node are distributed uniformly.

For a single particle in a stable elliptical orbit given by

\[
r = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos f}, \tag{2}
\]

the time-averaged linear number density along the orbit is inversely proportional to the local Keplerian velocity:

\[
\ell_{\text{single}}(f) \propto 1 / \nu(f), \quad \text{where } \nu(f) \text{ is given by}
\]

\[
\nu(f) = \left(\frac{GM_*}{a} \frac{1 + 2e \cos f + e^2}{1 - e^2}\right)^{1/2} 
\approx v_0 \left(1 + e \cos f + e^2 \left(1 - \frac{\cos^2 f}{8}\right)\right) 
\]

\[
v_0 = \sqrt{GM_* / a}. \tag{3}
\]

Here \( v_0 \) is simply the orbital velocity of a circular orbit with the same \( a \). We consider only mildly eccentric disks \( (e \ll 1) \), so to lowest order in \( e \) the linear number density in the disk scales as

\[
\ell_{\text{single}}(f) \propto \frac{1}{\nu(f)} \propto 1 - e \cos f. \tag{4}
\]

In short, because particles orbit faster at pericenter and slower at apocenter, their number density decreases at pericenter and increases at apocenter by the same fractional amount \( \Delta e \). Marsh et al. (2005) also calculated \( \ell(f) \) by a somewhat different method and found a similar enhancement at apocenter.

In a disk of such particles, the overall linear density \( \ell(f) \) is the sum of all the individual densities \( \ell_{\text{single}}(f - \varpi) \). For a disk with particle orbit elements within the ranges given above, the linear density dependence on \( f \) should remain close to that of \( \ell_{\text{single}}(f) \), that is,

\[
\ell(f) \propto 1 - e \cos f, \tag{5}
\]

with slight variations due to the finite widths of the distributions of orbit elements.

2.2. Monte Carlo Simulations

To check our scaling argument above, we performed each Monte Carlo disk simulation by randomly drawing \( 5 \times 10^4 \) disk particle orbits from orbit element distributions as follows. The semimajor axes, eccentricities, longitudes of pericenter, and inclinations were drawn from Gaussian distributions with center values and widths \( (\sigma) \) given by

1. typical eccentricity \( e \) and eccentricity width \( \Delta e \) chosen for each disk;
2. typical semimajor axis \( a = 1 \) and semimajor axis width \( \Delta a = \Delta e \);
3. typical longitude of pericenter \( \varpi = 0 \) and corresponding width \( \Delta \varpi \) given by Equation (1);
4. typical inclination \( i = 0 \) and inclination width \( \Delta i = \Delta e \).

The particles’ longitudes of the ascending node and mean anomalies were chosen from uniform distributions over \([0, 2\pi)\).

We then measured the linear density as a function of longitude for each simulation, an example of which is shown in Figure 1. As expected, \( \ell \) varies nearly sinusoidally with \( f \) with amplitude about equal to the eccentricity.

In the above calculations we neglected short-term density variations. In particular, we ignored azimuthal variations in dust production caused by differences in the frequency and severity of collisions between larger planetesimals induced, for example, by perturbations from planets orbiting in the system (see, for example, Nesvold & Kuchner 2015). These should be unimportant in our work here on gravitationally bound particles in a steady-state disk, since any effects of azimuthal variations in their production rate should smear out within an orbit.
steady-state finite eccentricity $e$ that persists regardless of the collisions; the Keplerian orbit shape required by this $e$ sets the linear density variation with longitude. Also, because the optical depth is much less than unity, any non-uniformity in dust production spreads around the disk much faster than dust can accumulate at a given longitude.

4. DUST RERADIATION MODEL

We have used semi-analytic and SMACK modeling to simulate the density of the dust ring produced by an eccentric parent body ring and showed that the orbital geometry of the dust produces a peak in surface density at apocenter. The observed flux from the dust is therefore subject to two competing effects: this higher number density at apocenter and the higher temperature at pericenter discussed in detail by Wyatt et al. (1999). We now combine our semi-analytic and SMACK results with a simple radiative equilibrium model for dust emission to study the disk emission as a function of longitude at different wavelengths. We apply this surface brightness model to two well-observed disks: Fomalhaut and $\epsilon$ Eridani.

4.1. Dust Model Framework

We assume a passively heated disk containing dust of sizes $s$ with size distribution $dN/ds \propto s^{-n}$. We assume the disk is optically thin so that the thermal equilibrium condition for each grain is

$$\int d\lambda A(s, \lambda) L_s \pi s^2 \frac{\sigma_B}{4\pi r^2} = \int d\lambda A(s, \lambda) 4\pi s^2 \sigma_B T^4(s, f)$$

(6)

where $r = a(1 - e^2)/(1 + e \cos f)$ is the orbital radius, $T$ is the dust’s effective temperature, and the absorptivity $A(s, \lambda)$ is 1 when $s > \lambda$ and scales as $(s/\lambda)^\nu$ otherwise. We solve this iteratively for sizes $s$ over a grid of wavelengths $\lambda$ including the star’s blackbody peak and sum over the sizes $s$, with weights assigned according to $dN/ds$, to find the flux at each of the desired wavelengths.

4.2. Fomalhaut

Because it is so well-observed at wavelengths from UV to millimeter-wave radio, the Fomalhaut disk (eccentricity $\sim$0.1, Kalas et al. 2005) provides an excellent test for our models. As discussed in Section 1, previous works have consistently found that Fomalhaut exhibits pericenter glow—i.e., is brightest at its southeast limb—at wavelengths shorter than about 250 $\mu$m (see, for example, Kalas et al. 2005; Acke et al. 2012, and references therein). However, observations at longer submillimeter wavelengths consistently suggest that the northwest limb appears brighter than would be expected in a uniform ring (Holland et al. 2003; Marsh et al. 2005; Boley et al. 2012).

We applied our dust reradiation model to the Fomalhaut system using stellar temperature $T_*$ = 8590 K, stellar radius $R_* = 1.28 \times 10^{11}$ cm, disk semimajor axis $a = 133$ au, radial width $\sim$20 au, and eccentricity 0.1. To approximate the results of our semi-analytic model, we vary the linear mass density of the disk sinusoidally with longitude with amplitude 0.1 (simulating a disk with eccentricity $\sim$0.1). We explored a grid of absorptivity laws $1.0 \leq \beta \leq 2.0$ and dust size distributions $3 \leq q \leq 4$ to predict the apocenter/pericenter glow from visual to millimeter wavelengths. Figure 2 shows some

---

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Initial Disk Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semimajor axis ($a$)</td>
<td>50–100 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity ($e$)</td>
<td>0.0–0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination ($i$)</td>
<td>0.0–0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude of ascending node ($\Omega$)</td>
<td>0–2$\pi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of pericenter ($\omega$)</td>
<td>0–2$\pi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean anomaly</td>
<td>0–2$\pi$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size distribution index ($q$)</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetesimal sizes</td>
<td>1 mm–10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical depth</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of superparticles</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

5 While azimuthal variations in production rate might indeed affect the steady-state distribution of unbound dust small enough to be affected by radiation pressure, we leave studies on their behavior to future work.
examples of our results. Each panel shows the flux as a function of longitude, normalized to pericenter, for 12 different wavelengths shown as different-colored curves. At the longer wavelengths, the simulated ring exhibits apocenter glow rather than pericenter glow. The location of the peak in flux shifts from apocenter (longitude = \( \pi \)) to pericenter (longitude = 0) with decreasing wavelength. The shortest wavelength to show apocenter glow in Figure 2 is typically 160 or 70 \( \mu \)m.

We show a summary view of the apocenter/pericenter flux contrast in Figure 3, which displays the range of apocenter/pericenter flux ratios occurring across our grid of models as a function of wavelength. Again, the modeled fluxes were integrated over the radial width of the disk, since azimuthal width variations are not well resolved in the images. Here, models exhibiting pericenter glow lie below the black dotted line while models with apocenter glow lie above it. At short wavelengths, all of our models exhibit pericenter glow, while at long wavelengths, they all exhibit apocenter glow. This illustrates the competition between higher temperatures at pericenter discussed in detail by Wyatt et al. (1999), which for Fomalhaut affect smaller particles more strongly and dominate in the shorter-wavelength emission, and the higher densities at apocenter, whose signature emerges strongly in the long-wavelength emission. Far-infrared and submillimeter observations of Fomalhaut appear broadly consistent with the range of apocenter/pericenter flux ratios our models predict, though flux ratio values based on ALMA and ATCA observations longward of 350 \( \mu \)m are currently not precise enough to include in Figure 3.

A sufficiently large beam can dilute the effect of apocenter glow or blur it with background galaxies or other disk features like clumps, or even disk ansae, which themselves can be limb-brightened if the disk is not face-on. For example, in their discussion of pericenter glow in the Keck image of HR 4796 from Telesco et al. (2000), Wyatt et al. (1999) calculate the effects of beam size and study the disappearance of disk asymmetry as pericenter/apocenter moves away from the disk ansae. Because the beam size in the Fomalhaut images is much smaller relative to the disk size than in the Telesco et al. (2000) HR 4796 observations, we believe these dilution/confusion effects are less important for the Fomalhaut data of Acke et al. (2012) and Marsh et al. (2005).\(^7\) Indeed, both sets of disk images appear ring-shaped rather than dumbbell-shaped.

\(^7\) We refer here to the deconvolved image of Marsh et al. (2005); the deconvolution method uses knowledge of the PSF to extract extra resolution information from strongly overlapped individual fields of view.
double-lobed as in the earlier HR 4796 images. Also, for Fomalhaut Acke et al. (2012) measure the disk major axis to coincide within 1° with the line of nodes in the sky plane, so it is highly unlikely that pericenter orientation masks the pericenter/apocenter flux ratio.

In these relatively well-resolved images, we believe we can reasonably estimate the uncertainty in the flux ratio due to beam size by convolving a one-dimensional Gaussian of width equal to the beam radius with a cosine representing the sinusoidal flux variation with longitude and quoting the difference in the pre- and post-convolution amplitudes. In order to compensate here for the apparent increase in flux at the disk ansae due to Fomalhaut’s 66° inclination, we convolve the cosine with a one-dimensional Gaussian of width equal to the beam radius divided by cos 66°. For the Herschel data this gives an extra flux uncertainty of ~5% of the difference between the pericenter and apocenter fluxes at 70 μm and ~13% of this difference at 160 μm, smaller than the size of the plot symbols. Marsh et al. (2005) incorporated beam/orientation effects in their data analysis, so we infer they are included in the quoted uncertainties.\footnote{For the deconvolved SHARC II image our one-dimensional estimation method gives an uncertainty of ~3%, which is comparable to that of Marsh et al. (2005). Nonetheless, in our modeling and analysis we simply adopt the result and uncertainty reported by Marsh et al. (2005); we assume their analysis correctly accounted for any effects the deconvolution may have had on the relative flux, as necessary.}

Precisely where the observed flux ratios fall among our models can be a revealing diagnostic of disk properties. Figure 4 shows the pericenter to apocenter flux ratio at 70 and at 160 μm for our Fomalhaut models as a function of β and q.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Apercenter to pericenter flux ratios (ratio of the radially integrated disk flux at apocenter to that at pericenter) as a function of wavelength for our grid of Fomalhaut dust reradiation models. The red solid curve follows the maximum flux ratio values, which consistently occur at q = 4, β = 1; the purple dashed/dotted curves follow the flux ratios occurring at q = 3 and β = 2 (dashed) or β = 3 (dotted). The q = 3, β = 3 flux ratios are the minimum attained on our parameter grid: extending our upper bound on β from two to three makes little difference in the overall range of model flux ratios. Blue points correspond to measured flux ratios from Herschel data at 70 and 160 μm (Acke et al. 2012) and from CSO/SHARC II data at 350 μm (Marsh et al. 2005). The observed results show broad agreement with our dust reradiation model output. Uncertainties on the Acke et al. (2012) points are smaller than the plot symbols.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Fractional amplitudes (referred to the pericenter flux) of the 70 μm (top) and 160 μm (bottom) fluxes in our grid of Fomalhaut dust reradiation models. All values in our parameter range produce pericenter glow at these wavelengths. The solid contours correspond to apocenter/pericenter flux ratios of 0.7 (top) and 0.89 (bottom), the values extracted from Herschel observations by Acke et al. (2012). The dashed contours mark nearby values of the flux ratio and give an idea of how the ratio changes as a function of the size distribution slope q and the absorptivity slope β.}
\end{figure}

A Herschel image of the Fomalhaut disk at 70 μm shows pericenter glow with an apocenter/pericenter flux ratio of about 0.7 (Acke et al. 2012). This value corresponds approximately to the line $\beta \approx 1.9q - 3.2$. At 160 μm, the Fomalhaut ring also shows pericenter glow (Acke et al. 2012), but the derived apocenter/pericenter flux ratio is about 0.89, corresponding approximately to the line $\beta \approx 1.8q - 4.7$.

Observations of Fomalhaut at 7 mm by Ricci et al. (2012) provide an additional constraint: $q = 3.48 \pm 0.14$. Combining these constraints with our findings above from the Herschel 70 and 160 μm data and our models yields the range $\beta \approx 1.4$ to 1.7, somewhat higher than the $\beta = 1.1$ obtained by Dent et al.
(2000) in their Fomalhaut model. Large values of $\beta$ often point to a lack of larger, millimeter-sized grains in the grain distribution. For example, in the outer regions of T Tauri disks, where grain growth has not yet occurred, $\beta$ is often in the range of 1.7–2 (Pérez et al. 2012). The millimeter dust opacity slope for the ISM yields $\beta \approx 1.7$ (Li & Draine 2001). However, the Fomalhaut system is too old for lack of grain growth to be likely. Explaining the Fomalhaut disk’s strong pericenter glow may require additional physics not included in our models, for example radiation from unbound grains or planet–disk interactions more complex than those we considered (e.g., resonant dynamics). Indeed, Acke et al. (2012) find that unbound grains contribute about a quarter of the non-stellar flux in their 70 $\mu$m Fomalhaut system simulations.

Finally, 350 $\mu$m observations by Marsh et al. (2005) using SHARC II at the CSO indicate somewhat stronger apocenter glow than our models predict. Either a very steep size distribution ($q > 4$), a very shallow absorptivity law ($\beta < 1$) or, perhaps least unlikely, a larger eccentricity $e > 0.1$ is required in our models to reproduce the Marsh et al. (2005) result. In fact, Acke et al. (2012) measure $e = 0.125$ and $e = 0.17$, respectively, from their 70 and 160 $\mu$m images.

4.3. $\epsilon$ Eridani

Resolved images of the $\epsilon$ Eridani cold dust disk ($a \simeq 61$ au Greaves et al. 2014) were recently obtained at several submillimeter/millimeter wavelengths with Herschel, SCUBA, and MAMBO (Greaves et al. 2014; Lestrade & Thilliez 2015). These images also display azimuthal asymmetry, providing an independent constraint on our models. Because $\epsilon$ Eridani (K2V, $T_\text{e} \simeq 5084$ K, $R_\text{e} \simeq 5.12 \times 10^{10}$ cm, Kovtyukh et al. 2003) is much cooler than Fomalhaut, none of its associated dust is subject to radiation pressure or stellar wind blowout (Reidemeister et al. 2011). Small grains may potentially provide much of the disk’s surface area. Following Reidemeister et al. (2011), we included grains down to 0.1 $\mu$m in our models of $\epsilon$ Eridani. As with Fomalhaut, we produced a grid of models with $3 \leq q \leq 4$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 3$. For $\epsilon$ Eridani, we also examined a range of disk eccentricities $0.02 \leq e \leq 0.25$, allowing the amplitude of the surface density variation with longitude to scale linearly with eccentricity, as demonstrated in our semi-analytic model.

Figure 5 shows our modeling results of $\epsilon$ Eridani with $e = 0.1$, the disk eccentricity favored by Greaves et al. (2014), plotted together with the observed south to north flux ratios. As these fluxes were reported in a variety of formats in the discovery papers, we converted them as follows. Greaves et al. (2014) report that their 160, 250, and 350 $\mu$m flux ratios differ from unity by 3.0, 3.7, and 2.5 times the spread measured in a 9 pixel grid around each point. Assuming a roughly Gaussian distribution of pixel fluxes, the spread among 9 pixels would be $\pm 2\sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the Gaussian width parameter. We produced our plotted uncertainties by assigning an uncertainty of $\pm \sigma$ to the north and the south fluxes and propagating errors. Although $\epsilon$ Eridani is nearly face-on, with an inclination of $\sim 26^\circ$, we estimate using the convolution method described in Section 4.2 that the large Herschel beam size relative to the disk size adds uncertainties of 27%, 42%, and 71% of the pericenter-apocenter flux difference for 250, 350, and 500 $\mu$m, respectively. Lestrade & Thilliez (2015) report in their Figure 5 the 850 and 1200 $\mu$m fluxes as a function of azimuth. For each wavelength, we took the five points closest to due north and due south, averaged them to get the north and south fluxes, and took the larger of the spread in the points or the uncertainties plotted by Lestrade & Thilliez (2015) as the overall uncertainty in the north/south fluxes. We then propagated errors to get the uncertainties plotted in Figure 5. These overwhelm the flux uncertainties due to beam size.

Because the $\epsilon$ Eridani disk has no independent pericenter direction determination, the flux asymmetry may be interpreted as either pericenter or apocenter glow. A pericenter glow interpretation of the Herschel 160, 250, and 350 $\mu$m observations is consistent with the range of flux ratios given by our models as long as $e \geq 0.02$. However, plots analogous to those in Figure 4 indicate that with a pericenter glow interpretation, the 160 $\mu$m data consistently favors large $q$ (a steep size distribution) and small $\beta$ (a shallower absorptivity law), while the 350 $\mu$m favors the opposite end of our parameter range, small $q$ and larger $\beta$. By contrast, an apocenter glow interpretation of the Herschel measurement is compatible with our models only if $e \geq 0.2$. In this case, all three Herschel flux ratios are consistent with a steep size distribution (large $q$) and a shallower absorptivity law (small $\beta$). While our models consistently predict apocenter glow only longward of 850 $\mu$m, the precision of the flux ratios gleaned from the Lestrade &
The top curve of each color/line style follows the maximum flux ratio values in our grid of models, while the bottom curve follows the minimum values. The wavelengths at which the greatest range of flux ratios occur are 24–70 μm. This suggests that observations of pericenter vs. apocenter asymmetries at the longest JWST bands are well-placed to constrain β and q in close-in disks around A stars.

Thilliez (2015) data are such that our models appear broadly consistent with either a pericenter or an apocenter glow interpretation.

4.4. Warmer Disks

Figure 4 suggests that with its maximum operating wavelength of 28.3 μm, the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) may not be able to add much to our understanding of pericenter/apocenter asymmetries in Fomalhaut: our Fomalhaut models are degenerate in this band. However, JWST could play an important role for warmer disks. As a final application for our dust reradiation model, we studied disks with semimajor axes of 10, 20, and 30 au around a Fomalhaut-like A star. Figure 6 shows a summary of the results. Due to the higher effective temperature of the dust, we expect the transition wavelength between pericenter and apocenter glow to occur in the far-infrared rather than the submillimeter bands that are important for Fomalhaut and ε Eridi. The longest JWST MIRI bands are well-placed to constrain β and q in such disks around A stars by measuring pericenter/apocenter asymmetries. Even barely resolved observations at 24–30 μm could constrain the size distribution and eccentricities of those disks.

5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Using both semi-analytic and numerical modeling of the azimuthal dust distribution in an eccentric ring of colliding planetesimals, we have studied the wavelength dependence of surface brightness variations using simple assumptions about dust radiative properties and size distributions. We argued that several far-infrared and (sub)millimeter images of Fomalhaut and ε Eridani obtained with Herschel, JCMT, SHARC II, ALMA, and ATCA (Holland et al. 2003; Marsh et al. 2005; Boley et al. 2012; Ricci et al. 2012; Greaves et al. 2014) should be reinterpreted as suggestions or examples of apocenter glow. This reinterpretation also yields new constraints on the grain properties and size distributions from the existing data.

Our modeling work also has implications for future observations of debris disks. JWST will be a powerful new source of debris disk images, observing at 5–28 μm with the MIRI instrument. Figure 6 illustrates that this wavelength range is particularly sensitive to pericenter glow. We predict apocenter/apocenter flux ratios as small as 0.1 in this range for dust emitting via the highly temperature-sensitive Wien law.

ALMA, on the other hand, will operate at wavelengths from 3 mm to 400 μm, primarily continuing to detect apocenter glow. ALMA images will be especially useful because, as Figures 3, 5, and 6 show, the apocenter/pericenter flux ratio becomes insensitive to dust properties at millimeter wavelengths. For a fixed disk mass, changes in q and β mostly affect the flux contributed by the smallest particles; increasing q increases the number of very small particles, while increasing β decreases the flux reradiated at wavelengths larger than the particle size. However, for the longest wavelengths, λ ≫ s, the flux from small particles becomes negligible. To lowest order, the millimeter apocenter/pericenter flux ratio therefore depends only on the apocenter/pericenter temperature and density contrasts. The largest bodies radiate efficiently and have effective temperature

\[ T \sim T_d(R_s/r)^{3/2} \propto 1 \pm e/2 \]  

where we assume the star is a blackbody with effective temperature \( T_d \) and radius \( R_s \) and, in the last step, apply Equation (2) with \( f = 0 \) (top sign) for pericenter and \( f = \pi \) for apocenter (bottom sign). Together with Equation (4) evaluated at \( f = 0 \) and \( \pi \), this gives

apocenter/pericenter flux ratio \( \approx \frac{1 - e/2}{1 + e/2} \cdot \frac{1 + e}{1 - e} \approx 1 + e \)  

where, as before, we take only lowest order terms in the eccentricity. The millimeter apocenter/pericenter flux ratio thus provides a direct estimate of the disk eccentricity.

Some systems, like ε Eridani, no doubt contain additional structures that will complicate interpretation of their images. However, with this new understanding of apocenter glow and its wavelength dependence, we can begin future studies of debris disk images pointed in the right direction.
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Figure 6. APOCENTER/PERICENTER flux ratios as a function of wavelength for model debris disks of sizes 10 au (solid purple), 20 au (dashed blue), and 30 au (dashed-dotted red) around a Fomalhaut-like A star. As before, our grid of dust reradiation models covered parameter ranges \( 1 \leq \beta \leq 3, 3 \leq q \leq 4 \). The top curve of each color/line style follows the maximum flux ratio values in our grid of models, while the bottom curve follows the minimum values. The wavelengths at which the greatest range of flux ratios occur are 24–70 μm. This suggests that observations of pericenter vs. apocenter asymmetries at the longest JWST bands are well-placed to constrain β and q in close-in disks around A stars.


