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Background: Traumatic brain injury (TBI) causes functional deficits that may significantly interfere with numerous activities of daily living such as driving. We report the case of a 20-year-old woman having lost her driver's license after sustaining a moderate TBI.

Objective: We aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of an in-simulator training program with automated feedback on driving performance in a TBI individual.

Methods: The participant underwent an initial and a final in-simulator driving assessment and 11 in-simulator training sessions with driving-specific automated feedbacks. Driving performance (simulation duration, speed regulation and lateral positioning) was measured in the driving simulator.

Results: Speeding duration decreased during training sessions from 1.50 ± 0.80 min (4.16 ± 2.22%) to 0.45 ± 0.15 min (0.44 ± 0.42%) but returned to initial duration after removal of feedbacks for the final assessment. Proper lateral positioning improved with training and was maintained at the final assessment. Time spent in an incorrect lateral position decreased from 18.85 min (53.61%) in the initial assessment to 1.51 min (4.64%) on the final assessment.

Conclusion: Driving simulators represent an interesting therapeutic avenue. Considerable research efforts are needed to confirm the effectiveness of this method for driving rehabilitation of individuals who have sustained a TBI.
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Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) causes functional deficits that may significantly interfere with numerous activities of daily living (ADL) such as driving,1–4 which is a strong symbol of autonomy.5,6 Since driving requires operational (basic driving skills such as lateral positioning and speed control), tactical (judgment and anticipation) and strategic level of control (route planning),7,8 resuming driving following TBI may be challenging. Thus, only 40%–60% of moderate-to-severe TBI individuals return to driving.3,6,9–15

Case report

We report the case of a 20-year-old woman who lost her driver’s license after sustaining a moderate TBI in May 2013 after a car crash involving a deer. When transferred to intensive care, she presented a score of 10/15 on the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS).10 Following the accident, she presented a posttraumatic amnesia, diffuse axonal injury with several bilateral petechiae, hemorrhagic contusions mostly located in the right frontal lobe, an infringement of the third right cranial nerve, mild right optic neuropathy, left hemiparesis, balance impairment (43/56 on Berg Balance Scale (BBS)).17
difficulty in performing ADL and cognitive impairments, including memory impairment, decline in audioverbal and visual attention, decline in the ability of abstraction, reduced organizational capacity, decreased visuospatial abilities, cognitive fatigability and apathy.

At the time of the accident, the participant held a Learner’s License – Passenger Vehicle (Class 5) and had very little driving experience. Having failed her first attempt to the Probationary License – Passenger Vehicle (Class 5), she was planning to perform her second attempt to the Société de l’Assurance Automobile du Québec (SAAQ) on-road test as required by Québec’s provincial legislation.

In order to regain her Learner’s License – Passenger Vehicle (Class 5), she had to obtain an evaluation certifying her functional capacity for driving. She underwent an in-clinic assessment, which revealed mild posttraumatic cognitive impairments (i.e., cognitive fatigability that interferes with the sustained attention span and vigilance weakness that generates a slow reaction time when stimuli appear slowly); sufficient muscle strength, range of motion and coordination; proper reaction time; sufficient overall perceptual-cognitive functions for driving and sufficient behavior. Following this assessment, she received a 10-hour on-road driving training with a driving instructor and a 3-hour in-simulator-specific road rules learning with a driving instructor. Driving ability of the posttrauma subject was assessed during an on-road evaluation conducted by an occupational therapist in May 2014 at the Quebec City Rehabilitation Institute (IRDPQ). During this test, the participant did not demonstrate the operational and tactical skills required to drive safely. The difficulties observed during the road test were consistent with cognitive sequelae observed in clinical settings. These seemed to cause functional impairments interfering with her driving performance. Considering the deficiencies observed during the on-road assessment, the lack of improvement during training sessions and the integration difficulties of the basics of driving, the improvement potential was estimated as low. Therefore, no other subsequent road test was envisaged by the IRDPQ.

In-simulator driving rehabilitation presents a promising method to improve driving skills after TBI.18,19 The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of an in-simulator training program with automated feedback on driving performance in a TBI individual.

**Methods**

**Procedure**

A 20-year-old woman was recruited at the Quebec City Rehabilitation Institute (IRDPQ) after failing the on-road evaluation assessing her fitness to drive in order to earn back her driving license. The participant provided written informed consent to have this case report published. The participant underwent 11 in-simulator training sessions (over a 5-week period) with driving-specific automated feedbacks on the driving performance issued during the simulation. An initial and a final in-simulator driving assessment was performed before and after the in-simulator driving rehabilitation training. Feedbacks were not audible during these two simulation-based evaluations. In order to avoid simulator sickness, a 15 min (6 km) in-simulator straight long course was conducted before all simulation sessions. The driving training and assessment scenario (27.5 km) included 19.6 km of rural and 7.9 km of urban sections. She was asked to follow Québec’s Highway safety code (R.S.Q, Chapter c-24.2). The course included similar operations to those carried out on the road such as managing lane change, intersection stop signs, traffic lights, turns and overtaking. The same course was used across all sessions. The scenario events were carefully planned to unravel in exactly the same way for any driving speed.

Automated auditory driving-specific feedbacks were issued during the simulation according to the following criteria: 1) exceeding a speed of 10 km/h above the speed limit and 2) maintaining lateral vehicle position of >15% of the lane width to the left or the right of the center of the lane (i.e., tires <15 cm from the nearest lane) for >5 s. Additional warnings were sent every 10 s until the vehicle speed or lateral position decreased under these thresholds.

**Material**

Driving simulation was conducted on a fixed-based open-cab simulator that consists of an instrumented sedan (brake and accelerator pedals, steering and automatic transmission). STISIM Drive 3.0 (System Technology Inc., Hawthorne, CA, USA) software displayed image of the driving scene on a 1.2 m high by 1.6 m wide flat screen using a projector Hitachi CPX8, which provides a 40° horizontal by 30° vertical field of view and recorded the driver’s performance. Auditory driving stimuli and automated feedbacks were displayed through a piezoelectric speaker (100 ms, 1.5 kHz).

**Data analysis**

Considering the single-case nature of the data collection, descriptive statistics about duration of the simulation, speed regulation and lateral positioning are presented. Data analysis was conducted on the initial and final assessment sessions and on training sessions 1–3 and 9–11. Mean and standard deviation are presented. These sessions were selected to analyze the effects of training and need for feedbacks on driving...
performance. Basic simulator data of speed and vehicle lateral position were used to evaluate driving performance.20,21 Exceeding a speed of 10 km/h above and below the speed limit was considered as a speed regulation error. Lateral positioning error was defined by maintaining lateral vehicle position of >15% of the lane width to the left or the right of the center of the lane (i.e., tires <15 cm from the nearest line).

Results
Initial assessment was completed in 35.15 min. On average, the time to complete the scenario was stable in the first three (36.00 ± 0.44 min) and last three (37.33 ± 0.64 min) in-simulator trainings. The participant completed the final assessment in 32.63 min.

The participant drove 1.26 min (3.58%) over the speed limit (>10 km/h) during initial assessment. This time decreased during training sessions from 1.50 ± 0.80 min (4.17% ± 2.23%) to 0.45 ± 0.15 min (1.20% ± 0.42%). Upon removal of feedbacks for the final assessment, speeding went back to the initial duration (1.31 min, 4.00%). Even if no feedbacks regarding speed below speed limit were issued during training, we measured the time during which the participant was driving <10 km/h below speed limit. After removing mandatory stops and red light intersections, time spent driving 10 km/h slower than the speed limit initially totaled 10.56 min (30.04%), increased to 13.92 ± 2.39 min (38.63% ± 6.15%) for the three first training sessions with feedback to and was relatively stable at 15.95 ± 2.59 min (42.65% ± 6.26%) on sessions 9–11. Time spent driving at a speed of 10 km/h slower than the speed limit decreased greatly during the final evaluation (6.18 min, 18.94%). Speed regulation results are presented in Figure 1.

The maintenance of the vehicle lateral position further apart than 15% of the lane width to the left or the right of the center of the lane was considered as a driving error. She spent 18.85 min (53.61%) in an incorrect lateral position at the initial assessment. With feedbacks, time spent in an improper lane positioning decreased rapidly and was maintained over time for training sessions 1–3 (5.83 ± 1.84 min, 16.23 ± 5.25%), 9–11 (2.37 ± 0.66 min, 6.34 ± 1.70%) and on the final assessment without feedback (1.51 min, 4.64%). These results are presented in Figure 2.

Discussion
The objective was to report the driving adaptations following an in-simulator driving rehabilitation training program with
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**Figure 1** Speed regulation results.
**Notes:** Proportion of over speeding duration relative to the simulation duration (%) presented by the number of sessions (A). Proportion of slow driving relative to the simulation duration (%) presented by the number of sessions (B).
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**Figure 2** Proportion of positioning errors duration.
**Note:** Proportion of the positioning error duration relative to the simulation duration (%) presented by the number of sessions.
automated feedback on driving performance in TBI individual. Improvement in speed regulation and lateral positioning was observed through the in-simulator training sessions.

The participant responded to specific auditory feedbacks while training by reducing speeding error duration. However, this adaptation was not maintained after removing the feedbacks. In general, the participant was driving too slowly during training. Interestingly, time to complete the scenario was shorter on final assessment, which represents a higher overall speed without impacts on speeding duration. This might have indicated that participant was more attentive to speed regulation after the in-simulator training program. We have to consider that the participant aimed to regain her driving license. Therefore, she had to obtain an evaluation certifying her functional capacity for driving. Before the in-simulator final assessment, the participant had access to an on-road learning session through the IRDPQ driving rehabilitation program during which the driving instructor told her that she was driving too slowly. This may impact her driving behavior and may explain higher overall speed on final simulator assessment. Lateral positioning improved greatly with training and maintained after feedback removal. This indicated that the introduction of real-time feedback emission impacted this specific driving behavior.

To our knowledge, only two other studies aimed to rehabilitate fitness to drive in TBI drivers using in-simulator training program. Our results are consistent with those of Cox et al19 and Gamache et al,22 which demonstrated improvements in in-simulator driving skills such as lateral positioning, speed regulation, steering through turns and brake control following an in-simulator rehabilitation training. This case study shows that automated auditory driving-specific feedbacks may be beneficial when issued while relearning to drive in a simulator. However, participant’s dependence on feedbacks and transfer of driving improvements to on-road driving task remain unclear. There is anecdotal evidence that this method can be successful as the patient in the study by Gamache et al19 succeeded in regaining her driving license.22

At the end of the present study, the patient’s functional capacity for driving was assessed by an on-road driving evaluation conducted by an occupational therapist.23 The occupational therapist concluded that, in comparison with the previous on-road test conducted earlier, the participant presented improved operational skills. Although some tactical skills improvements were observed, anticipation, situation analysis, planning, attention and vigilance were not compatible with safety requirements for driving. The participant demonstrated appropriate operational skills (basic driving skills as lateral positioning and speed control) but not tactical skills (judgment and anticipation). Therefore, her Learner’s License – Passenger Vehicle (Class 5) was not renewed. Several studies demonstrated that novice drivers show a wide range of driving deficits when compared to experience drivers.24–37 Moreover, novice drivers may successfully develop basic operational driving skills through training without developing the tactical and strategic skills required for safe driving.24,28,37 Therefore, although deficits observed during the on-road assessment have been attributed to posttraumatic sequelae, contribution of the participant’s driving experience in driving prior to TBI should be considered. Further, our in-simulator-specific feedbacks focused on operational skills through a unique training scenario with limited range of challenging situations. This could limit the transfer to improvement in tactical skills.

**Conclusion**

This pilot study shows that a TBI individual responded positively to feedback during an in-simulator driving rehabilitation training program and that some of the improvements in driving performance were maintained after the instantaneous feedback was removed. Since TBI causes functional deficits that may affect the ability to drive, it is imperative to develop effective rehabilitation method. In-simulator driving rehabilitation training may represent an interesting therapeutic avenue. Although an insufficient number of studies have investigated the potential of driving rehabilitation, considerable research efforts are needed to confirm the effectiveness of this method in driving rehabilitation of TBI individuals.
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