Signals of energy homeostasis interact closely with neural circuits of motivation to control food intake. An emerging hypothesis is that the transition to maladaptive feeding behavior seen in eating disorders or obesity may arise from dysregulation of these interactions. Focusing on key brain regions involved in the control of food intake (ventral tegmental area, striatum, hypothalamus, and thalamus), we describe how activity of specific cell types embedded within these regions can influence distinct components of motivated feeding behavior. We review how signals of energy homeostasis interact with these regions to influence motivated behavioral output and present evidence that experience-dependent neural adaptations in key feeding circuits may represent cellular correlates of impaired food intake control. Future research into mechanisms that restore the balance of control between signals of homeostasis and motivated feeding behavior may inspire new treatment options for eating disorders and obesity.
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Introduction

Since 1980, the worldwide prevalence of overweight (body mass index ≥ 25) and obesity (body mass index ≥ 30) has more than doubled, affecting men, women and children in both developed and developing countries (World Health Organisation, 2016). An increase in body mass index represents a major risk factor for many non-communicable diseases, including cardiovascular disease, some forms of cancer, and Alzheimer’s disease (Fadel et al., 2013; O’Neill and O’Driscoll, 2015; Arnold et al., 2016). Eating disorders, such as anorexia nervosa, although relatively rare among the general population, are associated with elevated mortality risks (Papadopoulos et al., 2009; Smink et al., 2012). A multitude of both environmental and genetic factors influence the prevalence of obesity and eating disorders (Bakalar et al., 2015; Hruby et al., 2016), yet altered food intake is a common symptom (American Psychiatric Association, 2015; World Health Organisation, 2016). Thus, understanding mechanisms that mediate the regulation of food intake will likely aid in the identification of novel treatment options for eating disorders and obesity.

Food intake is determined by a rich interplay of circulating signals of energy homeostasis with brain circuits encoding the diverse behavioral repertoire required to acquire and consume food (Berthoud, 2004; Kelley et al., 2005; Fulton, 2010; Narayanan et al., 2010; Sternson, 2013). For example, the adipose-derived hormones insulin and leptin act on brain circuits to suppress feeding and promote energy expenditure in response to energy surfeit (Chen et al., 1975; Woods et al., 1979; Halaas et al., 1995; Pelleymouther et al., 1995; Chua et al., 1996; Obici et al., 2002). Short-term homeostatic signals, such as ghrelin and cholecystokinin, are produced in the gastrointestinal tract and serve to promote or inhibit feeding, respectively (Antin et al., 1975; Tschöp et al., 2000; Nakazato et al., 2001). Emerging evidence suggests that some of these signals may also be produced centrally (Csajbók and Tamás, 2016). However, there are times when signals of energy homeostasis can be overridden. For example, when opting for dessert following an energy-repleting meal or when food-associated stimuli provoke feeding, even when sated (Weingarten, 1983). Oppositely, in anorexia, individuals can forgo eating even despite severe energy deficit (Berthoud, 2004; Kaye et al., 2013). These examples of so-called “nonhomeostatic” feeding, where a mismatch occurs between the motivation to eat and energy demand, has several evolutionary advantages but has re-
cently gained recognition as being a defining feature of eating disorders and obesity (Zheng et al., 2009; Berridge et al., 2010; Petrovich, 2013; Brown et al., 2015a). Purely hedonic feeding is one component of nonhomeostatic feeding that has been reviewed (Berridge, 2009) and will not be a focus of this review.

Where in the brain does the battle between motivation and peripheral signals of energy homeostasis take place? Dopamine (DA) projections from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to the medial prefrontal cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, and nucleus accumbens (NAc), and connections among these neural nodes form the mesocorticolimbic system (MCL; Fig. 1). This system is critically involved in generating motivated behaviors, including feeding (Kelley et al., 2005; Wise, 2006; Castro et al., 2015). In addition, hypothalamic and thalamic nuclei implicated in arousal, consumption and hunger; the paraventricular thalamic (PVT) nucleus, lateral hypothalamus (LH), and the ventromedial hypothalamus, respectively, interact closely with neural nodes of the MCL (Fig. 1). In the following review we take a neural circuits perspective and discuss how specific cell types in the MCL, hypothalamic, and thalamic nuclei interact and can be affected by signals of energy homeostasis to control motivated feeding behavior. We highlight how loss of control over MCL circuit activity by circulating signals of energy homeostasis could favor nonhomeostatic food intake, and how exposure to energy dense and palatable foods can induce persistent alterations in MCL activity, which may hold relevance for understanding the neural basis of eating disorders and obesity.

VTA
Located near the base of the midbrain, the VTA is the origin of DA neurons of the MCL, which comprise ~70% of all VTA neurons, in addition to GABA (~30%) and glutamate (~2%–3%) neurons (Nair-Roberts et al., 2008; Ungless and Grace, 2012) (Fig. 2). VTA DA neurons respond to cues that predict rewards (Schultz et al., 1997) and are implicated as a key substrate in the incentive, reinforcing, and motivational aspects of food intake (Salamone et al., 2003; Wise, 2006; Fields et al., 2007; Palmiter, 2007; Narayanan et al., 2010).

Direct control of VTA by signals of energy homeostasis
Given the importance of VTA DA neurons in feeding (Salamone et al., 2003; Wise, 2006; Fields et al., 2007; Palmiter, 2007; Narayanan et al., 2010), it is of particular interest that they are subject to direct modulation from circulating signals of energy homeostasis (Palmiter, 2008; van Zessen et al., 2012). For example, leptin inhibits DA neuron activity and decreases food intake and effortful food seeking (Hommel et al., 2006; Domingos et al., 2011), whereas ghrelin increases VTA DA neuron activity and promotes food intake, effortful food seeking and favors consumption of palatable food over regular chow (Naleid et al., 2005; Abizaid et al., 2006; Zigman et al., 2006; Egcioglu et al., 2010; King et al., 2011; Skibicka et al., 2011). The appetite suppressant glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) also acts in the VTA to reduce high-fat diet intake, likely by reducing excitatory drive onto VTA DA neurons projecting to the NAC (Wang et al., 2015b).

Another important regulator of VTA activity is insulin, whose receptors are enriched on DA neurons (Figulewicz et al., 2003). When infused directly into the VTA, insulin reduces food anticipatory activity and decreases preference for a context previously associated with palatable food while not affecting effort to obtain food (Labouèbe et al., 2013). The synaptic mechanism of this effect involves an endocannabinoid-mediated, LTD of excitatory transmission onto VTA DA neurons (Labouèbe et al., 2013). Elevating endogenous insulin with a sweetened, high-fat meal subsequently occludes insulin-induced LTD onto VTA DA neurons, providing evidence of this mechanism occurring in a physiolog-
physically relevant situation (Laboue`be et al., 2013), although the precise relationship between central and peripheral insulin is still poorly understood. Collectively, these data suggest that insulin serves to regulate energy homeostasis in part by limiting the motivational drive of food-associated contextual cues by reducing excitatory drive onto VTA DA neurons.

VTA signaling is also implicated in the phenomenon of food priming: wherein brief exposure to palatable food drives future food approach and consumption that persists for days after the initial exposure (Liu et al., 2016). This effect is mediated by a rapid increase in synaptic density and excitatory synaptic transmission on VTA DA neurons, which persists for at least 7 d (Liu et al., 2016). Suppressing excitatory transmission onto VTA DA neurons with insulin can reverse the behavioral effects of palatable food priming, whereas inhibition of insulin signaling immediately after palatable food consumption enables subsequent food approach behavior (Liu et al., 2016). These results suggest that even short-term exposure to palatable foods can prime future feeding behavior by “rewiring” VTA DA neurons. Interestingly, intranasal insulin has been shown to act on the CNS to suppress food consumption and attention to food-related cues (Kullmann et al., 2013). Thus, future studies should explore whether intranasal insulin could also be efficient to decrease food priming or food-associated cue-induced overeating.

Finally, the pathological relevance of insulin’s effect on VTA DA neuron signaling has been investigated using a hyperinsulinemic mouse strain that is predisposed to obesity (Liu et al., 2013). In this mouse, insulin-induced LTD on VTA DA neurons is disrupted, likely due to reduced VTA insulin receptor efficacy, because other forms of excitatory LTD in the VTA are unaffected (Liu et al., 2013). In the condition of hyperinsulinemia, it follows that insulin may be unable to suppress information transmitted to the VTA regarding food-associated cues, which could promote further food-seeking, even in an energy-replete state, leading to weight gain and obesity.

**LH-VTA projections linking homeostasis to motivation**

As well as direct modulation of VTA DA neurons, inputs to VTA from brain areas that monitor metabolic need provide additional, indirect routes to translate these needs into behavioral output. In this regard, a notable example is LH (Fig. 2), which runs the length of the hypothalamus lateral to the fornix (Hahn and Swanson, 2010) and provides major direct innervation of VTA, as identified by electrophysiology (Biela`jew and Shizgal, 1986; Gratton and Wise, 1988), viral tracing (Watabe-Uchida et al., 2012), and optogenetic phototagging (Nieh et al., 2015). Initial studies of LH found that lesions led to fatal anorexia, whereas electrical stimulation triggered intense feeding, leading to the description of LH as a “feeding center” (Anand and Brobeck, 1951; Delgado and Anand, 1953). However, this initial description was an oversimplification (King, 2006), as decades of additional studies have shown that LH contributes not only to feeding behavior, but also to energy balance, arousal, reward, and motivated behaviors (for review, see Bonnavion et al., 2016). Recent studies suggest that the projection from LH to VTA (LH-VTA) plays a major role in these functions.

The LH-VTA projection is heterogeneous and composed of neurons releasing glutamate or GABA (Nieh et al., 2015, 2016), as well as neuropeptides, including orexin/hypocretin (Harris et al., 2005; Borgland et al., 2006, 2008) and neurtensin (Leinninger et al., 2011; Kempadoo et al., 2013; Opland et al., 2013) (Fig. 2). Optogenetic stimulation of the bulk LH-VTA projection was shown to support intracranial self-stimulation (Kempadoo et al., 2013) and reinforce compulsive sucrose-seeking (Nieh et al., 2015). Indeed, Nieh et al. (2015) found that mice were more willing to endure foot-shocks to obtain a sugar reward when the LH-VTA projection was stimulated. In this study, *in vivo* multi-unit recordings revealed that the LH-VTA projection specifically encodes the conditioned response (i.e., the action of obtaining the sucrose reward only after the CS-US pairing was learned) (Nieh et al., 2015).
With bulk optogenetic stimulation of LH-VTA projections, Kempadoo et al. (2013) demonstrated that the ability of this pathway to support ICSS required activation of neuropeitin-receptor-1 and NMDARs in the VTA. However, Nieh et al. (2016) later found that optogenetic stimulation of the isolated LH-VTA glutamate projection did not support ICSS and instead led to aversion when assayed in a real-time place preference task. Instead, it has been proposed that the LH-VTA GABA projection provides the rewarding and feeding effects seen in stimulation of bulk LH-VTA projections (Nieh et al., 2015, 2016; Barbano et al., 2016), acting to disinhibit VTA DA neurons and increase DA release in the NAc via inhibition of local VTA GABA neurons (Nieh et al., 2016). Moreover, optogenetic inhibition of the LH-VTA GABA projection was found to decrease feeding in hungry animals, demonstrating the necessity, in addition to the sufficiency, of this projection for driving feeding behaviors (Nieh et al., 2016).

Depending on the environment or context, the LH-VTA GABA projection actually has the capacity to support a variety of motivated behaviors. In the presence of food, stimulation of this projection evokes feeding (Nieh et al., 2015), but in the presence of a social cue or novel object, stimulation evokes interaction or investigation, respectively (Nieh et al., 2016). This suggests that stimulation of the LH-VTA GABA projection may serve to increase an animal's motivation to fulfill a need, and the action that is taken will differ depending on the state of the animal. Thus, with respect to feeding, the LH-VTA GABA projection likely modulates the behavioral activation required to cause an animal to eat while the processing of cues that necessitate feeding are processed upstream and the motor actions of feeding are processed downstream (Nieh et al., 2016).

In addition to LH GABA or glutamate inputs, VTA DA neurons are also subject to modulation from LH-derived neuropeptides. Of note are hypocretin/orexin (Hcrt/Ox) neurons, which are found only in the lateral, perifornical, and dorsomedial hypothalamus (Harris and Aston-Jones, 2006). LH Hcrt/Ox signaling is associated with increased food intake (Sakurai et al., 1998), driven by excessive food seeking (Barson et al., 2013). Hcrt/Ox neurons are sensitive to metabolic status and are activated during energy deficit (Cai et al., 1999, 2001). Specifically, ghrelin directly activates Hcrt/Ox neurons (Sheng et al., 2014), whereas leptin indirectly inhibits them. This inhibition arises from presynaptic leptin receptor-expressing neuropeitin and/or GABA neurons (Leinninger et al., 2011; Sheng et al., 2014). The net effect of Hcrt/Ox signaling in VTA is to promote DA neuron activity by enhancing excitation and suppressing inhibition onto these neurons (Borgland et al., 2006; Baimel et al., 2015). Blockade of VTA orexin-1 receptors drives rats to switch choice from high-effort, high-fat pellets to low-effort, regular food (Thompson and Borgland, 2011). Thus, by promoting DA release, the LH-VTA Hcrt/Ox projection allows the transfer of metabolic information to drive motivated behavior necessary to obtain salient rewards (Harris and Aston-Jones, 2006; Harris et al., 2007; Cason and Aston-Jones, 2013).

The Hcrt/Ox neurons also belong to the glucose-inhibited subtype of glucose-sensing neurons (Burdakov et al., 2005). Sheng et al. (2014) found that both fasting and ghrelin enhance activation of Hcrt/Ox neurons in low glucose, whereas leptin does the converse. One possibility is that the glucose-sensing function of Hcrt/Ox neurons could be important for linking metabolic need to the motivated behavior required to obtain food via alterations of VTA DA neuron signaling. Using a horizontal acute brain slice that contains both LH and VTA, Sheng et al. (2014) found that lowering glucose concentration increased glutamatergic EPSCs onto VTA DA neurons. Moreover, this effect was blocked by an orexin-1 receptor antagonist. These data lend support to the hypothesis that activation of Hcrt/Ox neurons by low glucose may enhance food-motivated behavior, especially in the fasted state, via downstream actions on VTA DA neurons. Such reinforcement of reward-based feeding behavior by LH Hcrt/Ox glucose-inhibited neurons could contribute to the difficulty in maintaining weight loss after dieting.

Much progress has been made in dissecting LH-VTA circuitry, yet important challenges remain. One is that subpopulations of LH neuropeptide releasing cells also have the capacity for GABA and/or glutamate synthesis and/or release (Meister, 2007; Schöne and Burdakov, 2012; Jego et al., 2013; Chee et al., 2015). It will be critical to understand whether corelease of LH peptides with different neurotransmitters from the same axon represents a physiologically important function at LH-VTA synapses. Second, LH can also control VTA indirectly via glutamatergic projections to the lateral habenula, whose stimulation suppresses food intake (Stamatakis et al., 2016). It is not known how information is routed between these indirect versus direct LH-VTA projections. Third, LH GABA neurons show heterogeneity in their neuronal responses during food seeking and consumption (Jennings et al., 2015). It is not known whether such heterogeneity is segregated among the different projections of these neurons, including those innervating VTA. Finally, LH also contains a distinct, non–Hcrt/Ox-expressing population of GABA neurons (Karnani et al., 2013; Jennings et al., 2015; O’Connor et al., 2015), some of which are glucose inhibited (Karnani et al., 2013). Other LH neuropeptide populations have also been described, including neuropeptide Y, thyrotropin-releasing hormone, encephalin, and urocortin-3 expressing neuron populations (Marston et al., 2011; Bonnivion et al., 2016) and glucose activated melanin-concentrating hormone neurons (Qu et al., 1996; de Lecea et al., 1998; Burdakov et al., 2005). Clearly, then, LH neurons are as diverse as their functions, and it will be important to dissect how this complex microcircuit operates to determine LH output in both healthy and disease states.

**Striatum**

A major target of midbrain DA neurons is the striatum, which is broadly divided into dorsal striatum (DS) and ventral (NAc core and shell) regions. Across the striatum, the majority (90–95%) of neurons are inhibitory medium-sized spiny neurons (MSNs), divisible into approximately two equal populations based on their projections and/or expression of DA D1R or D2R receptors (Fig. 2) (Beckstead and Cruz, 1986; Gerfen et al., 1990; Meredith et al., 2008; Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2010; Kupchik et al., 2015). Local interneurons comprise the remaining fraction of cells and include large cholinergic interneurons and GABA interneurons, which play key roles in coordinating striatal activity (Tepper and Bolam, 2004; Gittis and Kreitzer, 2012).

Dorsal and ventral striatum have distinct inputs, outputs, and roles in behavior (Sesack and Grace, 2010; Kupchik et al., 2015; Yager et al., 2015). In brief, DS integrates DA signals arising from the substantia nigra pars compacta with glutamate input from sensorimotor cortical areas and thalamus, and sends inhibitory projections via D1R-MSNs to output nuclei of the basal ganglia (EPN and SNr), or via D2R-MSNs to the globus pallidus, forming the “direct” and “indirect” pathways, respectively (Gerfen et al., 1990). These pathways play a critical role in the bidirectional regulation of motor behavior (Krivitz et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2013), but also in reinforcement learning and punishment (Bal-leave, 2005; Krivitz et al., 2012).
The accumbens integrates VTA DA signals with glutamate inputs from the hippocampus, amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and PVT nucleus. The NAc is also a target of many direct and indirect inputs from hypothalamic regions (Opland et al., 2010). A number of peptides and hormones, including GLP-1, leptin, insulin, endogenous opioids, and ghrelin, can also alter NAc activity and function (Perry et al., 2010; Castro and Berridge, 2014; Stouffer et al., 2015; Dailey et al., 2016; Hayes and Schmidt, 2016). Unlike DS, the projection pattern of NAc MSNs is not clearly segregated according to DA receptor expression. Both D1R- and D2R-MSNs innervate the ventral pallidum (Kupchik et al., 2015), whereas D1R-MSNs form the major projection from accumbens to LH (O’Connor et al., 2015) and VTA (Bocklisich et al., 2013). The function of accumbens is perhaps best described as a “limbic-motor interface” (Mogenson et al., 1980), playing a critical role in conditioned motivation, hedonic evaluation, and acting as a “sensory sentinel” to allow flexible control of consumption via its descending projections to premotor effector areas (Taha and Fields, 2005; Baldo and Kelley, 2007; Berridge et al., 2010; O’Connor et al., 2015).

Given the powerful regulation of midbrain DA neurons by circulating signals of energy homeostasis (see VTA), it is valuable to briefly consider the role of DS and NAc DA signaling in feeding behavior, and how perturbation of striatal function may have relevance for feeding disorders and obesity (Tomasi and Volkow, 2013; see also Kenny et al., 2013).

**Dorsal striatum DA and feeding behavior**

Initial evidence supporting a critical role of DS DA signaling in feeding came from DA-depleted mice, which do not eat and will starve without intervention (Szczypta et al., 2001). Restoration of DA selectively in the DS, but not NAc, is sufficient to enable these animals to eat and survive (Szczypta et al., 2001; Sotak et al., 2005; Hnasko et al., 2006). Impaired feeding in DA-depleted mice does not reflect a deficit in the ability to eat per se, or in the perception of signals of energy homeostasis, but rather a failure to initiate feeding behavior (Cannon and Palmiter, 2003; Palminter, 2008). Thus, DS DA signaling may serve as a permissive, “action initiation” signal, enabling animals to orient their attention toward nutritive food retrieval and consumption in response to metabolic demand (Palminter, 2008).

**NAc DA and feeding behavior**

NAc DA regulates feeding in a manner distinct to that of DS. In the NAc, food rewards and food-predictive cues increase local DA levels (Brown et al., 2011; Cone et al., 2015). Notably, food-evoked DA release is amplified in food-deprived animals (Avena et al., 2008) and food cue-evoked DA release is augmented in animals injected with the hunger signal ghrelin (Cone et al., 2015). Ablation of NAc-projecting DA neurons or intra-NAc DA receptor antagonist microinjection disrupts effort-related and anticipatory aspects of feeding but has only subtle effects on the microstructure of food consumption (Nowend et al., 2001; Salamone et al., 2001; Baldo et al., 2002; Baldo and Kelley, 2007). Conversely, elevating NAC DA with intra-accumbens amphetamine microinjection increases effortful food seeking (Zhang et al., 2003). Together, NAc DA signaling appears critical for augmenting the salience of food-related stimuli, with a consequent increase in effort directed at obtaining food (Hanlon et al., 2004; Aitken et al., 2016). The regulation of NAc-projecting DA neurons by circulating signals of energy homeostasis would therefore allow the salience of food-related stimuli and subsequent effortful food seeking to be closely coupled with internal energy demands.

**Striatal cell types controlling feeding behavior**

The global functions of DS and NAc in feeding behavior have been well characterized, but how these functions are encoded by specific striatal cell types and how such cells may be affected by circulating signals of energy homeostasis is only just beginning to be understood. One recent intriguing finding is that activation of insulin receptors on striatal cholinergic interneurons increases local DA release in both DS and NAc and enhances sucrose preference behavior (Stouffer et al., 2015). Notably, this effect is amplified in food-restricted animals and blunted in rats fed an obesogenic diet (Stouffer et al., 2015). In addition, insulin enhances both excitative transmission onto NAc neurons and cue-triggered food seeking (C.R.F., unpublished observations). However, it is not known how the effects of insulin in the NAc interact with insulin-induced LTD in the VTA, and questions remain regarding how local effects of insulin are related to peripheral insulin signals. Nevertheless, together, these data support the idea that insulin normally serves to enhance striatal activity and motivation.

Regarding the principal striatal cell type, the MSN, lick-contingent optogenetic stimulation of DS D1R-MSNs was found to increase intake of a noncaloric sweetener (sucralose) and annulled aversion to an adulterated bitter solution (Tellez et al., 2016). The same manipulation in NAc D1R- or D2R-MSNs also enhanced sweetener intake but did not attenuate aversion to the bitter solution (Tellez et al., 2016). These data, together with findings from in vivo DA measurements and cell-abelation studies, suggest that DA levels in DS and NAc, acting via D1R-MSNs, signal the nutritive and gustatory quality of sugar, respectively, and that DS output may serve to prioritize energy seeking over taste quality (Tellez et al., 2016; de Araujo, 2016). Surprisingly, in vivo recordings of NAc D1R-MSNs found that the activity of these neurons reduced during palatable food consumption and, consistent with this observation, noncontingent optogenetic inhibition of NAc D1R-MSNs prolonged food intake (O’Connor et al., 2015). Moreover, lick-contingent and noncontingent optogenetic stimulation of D1R-MSN projections to LH inhibited palatable food intake, even in food deprived mice, suggesting that the NAc D1R-MSN to LH pathway may serve to override immediate metabolic need and allow rapid consumption control in response to changing external stimuli (O’Connor et al., 2015). The contradictory findings between these two studies may reflect further segregation of NAc D1R-MSN function according to projection targeting of the ventral pallidum (Tellez et al., 2016) or LH (O’Connor et al., 2015). Indeed, stimulation of dynorphinergic cells (i.e., predominantly D1R-MSNs) in the dorsal or ventral NAc shell induces reinforcement or aversion, respectively (Al-Hasani et al., 2015), although the projection targets of these functionally opposing populations are not known. No doubt, further understanding of striatal subcircuitry, both at the level of distinct inputs to striatum and its output pathways, will greatly improve our overall understanding of the integration of the regulation of feeding by homeostatic and motivational systems.

**Altered striatal function and obesity**

Human neuroimaging studies have provided important insight into the control of food intake by striatal DA and its dysregulation in obesity (for review, see Small, 2009; Stice et al., 2013). A central observation is that, compared with normal weight controls, overweight and obese humans show reduced striatal D2R availability (Wang et al., 2001; Stice et al., 2008b; Kenny et al., 2013) and individuals with the Taq1A A1 allele, which is associated with reduced D2R expression, are more likely to be obese (Stice et al.,
Obese and overweight individuals also show enhanced striatal activation in response to food-predictive cues (Rothemund et al., 2007; Stoeckel et al., 2008; Demos et al., 2012), but reduced striatal activation following palatable food receipt (Stice et al., 2008a, b; Babbs et al., 2013). Importantly these striatal reactivity observations, which may reflect reward prediction error signals (Kroemer and Small, 2016), are also predictive of future weight gain, indicating that striatal network activity is closely linked with the development of obesity (Stice et al., 2010, 2015; Demos et al., 2012).

The role of striatal DA signaling in obesity has been further explored in rodent studies. In the dorsal striatum, prolonged access to an energy dense “cafeteria style” diet has been found to decrease (Johnson and Kenny, 2010) or increase D2R expression (Valenza et al., 2015), whereas genetically obese Zucker rats show reduced DS D2R levels (Thanos et al., 2008). Interestingly, rats with extended access to cafeteria style diet become resistant to punishment associated with feeding and show deficits in brain reward function, as measured by elevated brain-stimulation reward thresholds (Johnson and Kenny, 2010). In addition, viral-mediated knockdown of DS D2Rs mimics effects of cafeteria diet on self-stimulation and resistance to punishment, but not obesity, suggesting a functional coupling at the level of DS D2Rs between reduced brain reward function and compulsive food consumption (Johnson and Kenny, 2010).

In the NAc, research on the effects of obesity has revealed that both the type of nutrients consumed and physiological changes accompanying obesity can alter the function of this structure. For example, chronic overconsumption of saturated but not monounsaturated dietary lipids dampens NAc DA signaling in the absence of obesity (Hryhorczuk et al., 2016) and consumption of triglycerides acutely reduces food-seeking behavior (Cansell et al., 2014). Diet and obesity also induce changes in NAc DA receptor expression and transmission, which differ between obesity-susceptible and resistant populations (Geiger et al., 2009; Robinson et al., 2015; Valenza et al., 2015; Vollbrecht et al., 2016). These data lend support to the idea that individual susceptibility to obesity influences both neural and behavioral observations that promote weight gain (Stice et al., 2008b; Felsted et al., 2010; Albuquerque et al., 2015). In addition to changes in NAc DA signaling, diet-induced obesity impairs subsequent glutamatergic plasticity in the NAc, particularly in obesity-susceptible rats (Brown et al., 2015b). Consumption of sugar also enhances excitatory transmission mediated by AMPA receptors onto NAc neurons (Tukey et al., 2013; Cournotte et al., 2014), whereas consumption of a “junk-food” diet enhances transmission mediated by calcium-permeable AMPARs in the NAc (Oigginsky et al., 2016). In these studies, diet-induced alterations in NAc function occurred in the absence of obesity, suggesting that these changes may drive overconsumption that promotes subsequent weight gain. Diet-induced increases in NAc calcium-permeable AMPARs are particularly interesting because these receptors mediate enhanced cue-triggered drug craving (Loweth et al., 2014; Lüscher, 2016; Terrier et al., 2016; Wolf, 2016) and cue-induced motivation for food is enhanced in obese and obesity-susceptible rats and humans (Small, 2009; Stice et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2015b; Robinson et al., 2015).

Together, findings from human and rodent studies have led some to propose a model in which overeating may occur to compensate for preexisting striatal hypoactivity and reward deficiency, which may further attenuate the responsiveness of this circuit in a feedforward process (Stice et al., 2010; O’Connor and Kenny, 2016). However, reduced striatal D2R expression is seen in rodents fed a junk-food diet regardless of the whether they develop obesity or not (Robinson et al., 2015), suggesting that this striatal adaptation cannot fully explain maladaptive weight gain (Kroemer and Small, 2016). A more parsimonious explanation emerging from the literature is one in which individual susceptibility interacts with the types of foods consumed to alter striatal function and promote cue-induced food-seeking behavior (Stice et al., 2008b, 2009; Stoeckel et al., 2008; Felsted et al., 2010; Albuquerque et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2015b; Robinson et al., 2015). This chain of events may then be further exacerbated by increased adiposity and metabolic dysfunction that characterize obesity. In future work, it will be critical to resolve and dissociate signaling pathways linking obesity and consumption of energy dense and palatable foods with altered food seeking behavior and striatal function (including other molecular changes not discussed here) (Alsio et al., 2010; Baladi et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2015; Valenza et al., 2015; Hryhorczuk et al., 2016) and to understand how such alterations may vary in obesity-susceptible versus resistant individuals.

**PVT-NAc projections controlling motivated feeding**

A major glutamate input to accumbens arises from the PVT, which has recently emerged as an important structure in the regulation of motivated feeding (Fig. 2). Like other thalamic nuclei, PVT exhibits a high density of glutamatergic neurons (Watson, 2012), with neuronal subpopulations classified according to calcium binding protein expression or diverse neuropeptides (Kirouac, 2015), but almost no GABA cells (Watson, 2012). PVT receives strong inputs from feeding-related hypothalamic areas, including LH orexin neurons, ARC neurons expressing agouti-related peptide (AGRP), and cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript (Parsons et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2015) and from cortical areas linked to decision making (Kirouac, 2015). Via a dense bundle of primarily glutamatergic fibers, PVT innervates NAc shell and other MCL nodes, including medial prefrontal cortex, amygdale, and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (Parsons et al., 2006; Vertes and Hoover, 2008). These anatomical observations have led to the proposal that PVT serves as an integrative relay, conveying feeding-related information from hypothalamic areas to the MCL (Kelley et al., 2005; Parsons et al., 2006; Martin-Fardon and Boutrel, 2012) and thereby influencing motivation to seek rewards (Matzeu et al., 2014; Kirouac, 2015). Recent studies have begun to add functional support to these ideas. For example, Haight et al. (2015) have shown that PVT influences the motivation of rats to respond to food-predictive cues, whereas optogenetic activation of AGRP projections to the PVT or intra-PVT infusion of the GABAa receptor antagonist muscimol is sufficient to elicit feeding in rodents (Betley et al., 2013; Stratford and Wirtshafter, 2013).

Little is known about how signals of energy homeostasis directly influence PVT output, although receptors for leptin and GLP-1 are present in PVT (De Matteis and Cinti, 1998; Cork et al., 2015) and indirect modulation via LH and ARC inputs is likely. However, PVT contains neurons that respond to neuro Lucia, a condition that mimics hypoglycemia, as shown by strong neuronal activation observed in PVT following 2-deoxyglucose injection in rats (Dodd et al., 2010). In line with this observation, Labouebe et al. (2016) recently identified a population of PVT glutamate neurons which project to NAc MSNs and express Glut2, a glucose transporter implicated in the detection of glucose in both pancreatic β cells and the CNS (Lamy et al., 2014; Tarussio et al., 2014). These PVT Glut2-positive neurons increase their firing frequency when extracellular glucose
concentration drops below normoglycemic levels, and mice lacking Glut2 in PVT neurons make more effort to obtain sucrose, but not the noncaloric reward saccharin (Labouèbe et al., 2016). Optogenetic activation of PVT Glut2-expressing projections to the NAc shell was used to mimic hypoglycemia and thus test causality between increased activity of PVT Glut2 neurons and the motivation to seek sucrose. Indeed, this manipulation was sufficient to enhance effortful sucrose seeking in mice (Labouèbe et al., 2016). Together, these data link regulation of PVT activity by circulating glucose levels to the control of motivated, effortful food seeking.

The regulation of motivated sucrose seeking by PVT Glut2 neurons projecting to NAc may occur via the modulation of presynaptic accumbal DA levels (Parsons et al., 2007). However, a functional link between accumbal DA and PVT-dependent motivated feeding behavior remains to be clearly demonstrated. Nevertheless, findings reviewed here draw interesting parallels with a similar phenotype observed in humans, wherein a Glut2 gene variant (Thr101Ile) is associated with higher sugar intake (Eny et al., 2008). In addition, PVT activation was reported as exacerbated in obese rats following food deprivation (Timofeeva and Richard, 2001). Thus, further investigations into the cellular, synaptic, and circuit mechanisms controlling PVT activity may provide valuable new insight into eating disorders and obesity.

**Arcuate (ARC) nucleus of the hypothalamus**

Early studies of lesions to the basomedial part of the hypothalamus, which includes the ARC and ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei, resulted in profound hyperphagia and obesity, and thus provided the first evidence linking the function of these nuclei to the maintenance of energy homeostasis (Hetherington and Ranson, 1940). Mechanisms underlying the hunger and satiety inducing functions of basomedial hypothalamic nuclei have been subject of intense research efforts and are reviewed previously (Meister, 2007; Pandit et al., 2013; Sterns, 2013; Webber et al., 2015; Sutton et al., 2016). Here we focus on recent findings that have elucidated the motivational characteristics of neurons embedded within ARC (Fig. 2).

**AGRP and pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons in motivated feeding**

ARC is home to ~10,000 neurons that coexpress AGRP with neuropeptide-Y and release GABA (Betley et al., 2013). These AGRP neurons are activated by ghrelin (Cowley et al., 2003; van den Top et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2011) and inhibited by leptin (van den Top et al., 2004), insulin (Schwartz et al., 1992; Köhner et al., 2007), and glucose (Fioramonti et al., 2007). Optogenetic (Aponte et al., 2011) or chemogenetic (Krashes et al., 2011) activation of AGRP neurons rapidly elicits voracious feeding behavior within minutes, and transient inhibition of these neurons reduces appetite (Krashes et al., 2011; Betley et al., 2015). Moreover, AGRP neuron activation also increases the willingness to work for food (Krashes et al., 2011; Atasoy et al., 2012; Betley et al., 2015). AGRP neurons receive excitatory drive from paraventricular hypothalamus (PVH) (Krashes et al., 2014), and monosynaptically inhibit local POMC neurons (Cowley et al., 2001; Atasoy et al., 2012), whereas stimulation of AGRP projections to the anterior BNST, PVH, PVT, and LH promotes food intake (Atasoy et al., 2012; Betley et al., 2013; Garfield et al., 2015).

The motivated processes associated with AGRP neurons have been investigated by cell type-specific activity perturbations (Betley et al., 2015). AGRP neuron activation was shown to transmit a negative valence signal that influences learning such that mice can be conditioned to avoid a flavor or a place that was associated with optogenetic AGRP neuron activation. Conversely, cues associated with a reduction of AGRP neuron activity during deprivation-induced hunger were preferred. This negative valence property of elevated AGRP neuron activity is consistent with human self-reporting about the unpleasantness of hunger. However, it is seemingly paradoxical that a neuron population that avidly elicits food intake would also lead to avoidance behaviors. It would be ethologically contradictory for an animal to avoid environmental cues that predict food.

To resolve these issues, the endogenous activity dynamics of AGRP neurons during feeding behaviors were monitored by bulk fluorescence of population calcium activity (Chen et al., 2015), single-cell-resolution calcium imaging (Betley et al., 2015), and phototagging electrophysiological recording (Mandelblat-Cerf et al., 2015). These methods showed that, in food-deprived mice, AGRP neurons reduced activity upon presentation of food within seconds, even before food was consumed. Specifically, in vivo deep-brain calcium imaging revealed that 96% of AGRP neurons rapidly reduced activity upon the sight of food or a food-predictive auditory cue (Betley et al., 2015), whereas in vivo tetrode recordings found that ~60% of AGRP neurons reduced activity at meal onset (Mandelblat-Cerf et al., 2015). These contrasting results likely reflect different sensitivities between the two recording techniques used. Nevertheless, activity of the majority of AGRP neurons is low during food consumption, suggesting that AGRP neurons are involved in food seeking, but not food consumption.

Rapid AGRP neuron inhibition by food presentation was shown to involve learning. Neutral cues that initially have little influence on AGRP neuron activity come to rapidly reduce AGRP neuron activity after they have been repeatedly associated with food delivery (Betley et al., 2015). However, food consumption is required to sustain reduced AGRP neuron activity, which is consistent with the homeostatic role of these neurons (Betley et al., 2015).

Together, homeostatic AGRP neurons motivate behavior by a negative valence signal of homeostatic need and also reinforce preference for environmental cues that lead to prolonged reduction of their activity. This may explain the paradox of why mice eat in response to negative valence AGRP neuron activation. Eating is a previously learned behavior that reduces negative valence AGRP neuron activity, reinforces approach to food-associated cues, and thus becomes reliably adopted as the animal’s response to elevated activity of these neurons. Perhaps the closest similarity of this process for people is the intense and unpleasant motivational properties of starvation, which is even experienced in food-abundant societies. For instance, on a weight-loss diet, the negative emotional aspects of the AGRP neuron activity likely contribute to the high failure rate for dieting.

In addition to AGRP neurons, ARC also contains neurons coexpressing POMC and cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript release the anorexigenic signal α-melanoycte stimulating hormone (α-MSH). These POMC neurons are activated by leptin to decrease food intake (Elías et al., 1999; Cowley et al., 2001; Vong et al., 2011) and inhibited by insulin (Williams et al., 2010) and ghrelin (Chen et al., 2015). Both acute (Steculorum et al., 2016) and chronic (Zhan et al., 2013) chemogenetic activation of POMC neurons inhibits feeding and body weight gain, whereas chronic chemogenetic inhibition of these neurons increased food intake (Atasoy et al., 2012). In addition to a role in food consumption, real-time population recordings of calcium activity revealed that POMC neurons are also activated solely
upon food presentation; an effect modulated by both food quality and metabolic state (Chen et al., 2015). This finding suggests an important role for these neurons in sensory detection and the control of appetitive behaviors, such as foraging. POMC neurons innervate adjacent hypothalamic nuclei, including LH (King and Hentges, 2011), PVH (Wang et al., 2015a) and key neural nodes of the MCL, including the VTA and NAc (King and Hentges, 2011; Lim et al., 2012). Notably, intra-VTA α-MSH increases NAc DA levels (Lindblom et al., 2001), whereas α-MSH signaling in the NAc is implicated in the anorectic effects of chronic stress (Lim et al., 2012). Thus, projections of POMC neurons to the MCL may serve as an important bridge linking energy sensing to neural circuits controlling motivated behavior.

Conclusion
In conclusion, our review has focused on feeding behavior, which represents just one element involved in the complex regulation of energy homeostasis and body weight. We have reviewed how distinct anatomical regions are involved in several components of feeding behavior, from generating a negative valence to eating food seeking, choice, consumption, and postigestive learning. Indeed, classical models have emphasized the assignment of specific feeding-related functions to distinct regions of “reward” and “homeostasis” brain networks (Berridge, 1996; Berthoud, 2004; Palmiter, 2007). However, our review also illustrates the enormous amount of interconnectivity between these different regions and networks and highlights the need to better understand how information is communicated among them to ultimately determine food intake. This challenge is daunting but may now be realized with the advent of multisite in vivo imaging (Kim et al., 2016) and whole-brain activity mapping (Renier et al., 2016).

The MCL has long been recognized as a key substrate in motivated feeding and is subject to powerful modulation from circulating signals of energy homeostasis acting directly on local neurons, or indirectly via hypothalamic and thalamic inputs. We have highlighted studies in which consumption of fatty, sugary, “junk foods” and obesity can produce long-lasting alterations in the MCL system, and how the regulation of MLC activity is differentially altered by signals of homeostasis in the normal and obese state. Thus, when signals of homeostasis lose the battle to control neural circuits of motivation, inappropriate, nonhomeostatic feeding can dominate. However, it must be noted that many studies reviewed here have elucidated neural circuits involved in the immediate control of food intake, but in many cases it is not known whether perturbed function of these circuits could be sufficient to drive long-term changes in body weight relevant to feeding disorders and obesity. This represents an important and exciting avenue of research, particularly as the underlying cell types become increasingly well-defined and accessible for recording and manipulation. An important challenge will be to identify mechanisms that prevent or reverse maladaptive feeding behaviors, which in turn may inspire new treatment options for eating disorders and obesity.

For many, being overweight or obese results from increased intake of easily available, energy-dense, high-fat, high-sugar foods together with increased physical inactivity (World Health Organisation, 2016). Much can be done at the societal level to limit the so-called “obesity pandemic,” including increasing access to affordable, healthy dietary choices and promoting physical activity (Cawley, 2016). However, modifications in diet and exercise can be difficult to maintain (Langeveld and DeVries, 2015) and, particularly for eating disorders such as anorexia, treatment options are limited and inconsistently applied (Hart et al., 2013). In this regard, neuroscience research stands ideally poised to influence global policy and treatment provision for obesity and eating disorders in offering a better understanding of how neural circuits operate to determine food-related choices.
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